#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# **A nematode‑inducible promoter can efectively drives RNAi construct to confer** *Meloidogyne incognita* **resistance in tomato**

**Yogesh E. Thorat1,2 · Tushar K. Dutta1  [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0278-1707) Pradeep K. Jain3 · Kuppuswamy Subramaniam4 · Anil Sirohi1**

Received: 18 September 2023 / Accepted: 30 October 2023 / Published online: 20 December 2023 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2023

#### **Abstract**

# *Key message* **Heterologous expression of a nematode-responsive promoter in tomato successfully driven the RNAi constructs to impart root-knot nematode resistance.**

**Abstract** The root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita* seriously aficts the global productivity of tomatoes. Nematode management options are extremely reliant on chemical methods, however, only a handful of nematicides are commercially available. Additionally, nematodes have developed resistance-breaking phenotypes against the commercially available *Mi* gene-expressing tomatoes. Nematode resistance in crop plants can be enhanced using the bio-safe RNAi technology, in which plants are genetically modifed to express nematode gene-specifc dsRNA/siRNA molecules. However, the majority of the RNAi crops conferring nematode tolerance have used constitutive promoters, which have many limitations. In the present study, using promoter-GUS fusion, we functionally validated two nematode-inducible root-specifc promoters (*pAt1g74770* and *pAt2g18140*, identifed from *Arabidopsis thaliana*) in the *Solanum lycopersicum*-*M. incognita* pathosystem. *pAt2g18140* was found to be nematode-responsive during 10–21 days post-inoculation (dpi) and became non-responsive during the late infection stage (28 dpi). In contrast, *pAt1g74770* remained nematode-responsive for a longer duration (10–28 dpi). Next, a number of transgenic lines were developed that expressed RNAi constructs (independently targeting the *M. incognita integrase* and *splicing factor* genes) driven by the *pAt1g74770* promoter. *M. incognita* parasitic success (measured by multiplication factor ratio) in *pAt1g74770:integrase* and *pAt1g74770:splicing factor* RNAi lines were signifcantly reduced by 60.83–74.93% and 69.34–75.31%, respectively, compared to the control. These data were comparable with the RNAi lines having CaMV35S as the promoter. Further, a long-term RNAi efect was evident, because females extracted from transgenic lines were of deformed shape with depleted transcripts of *integrase* and *splicing factor* genes. We conclude that *pAt1g74770* can be an attractive alternative to drive localized expression of RNAi constructs rather than using a constitutive promoter. The *pAt1g74770*-driven gene silencing system can be expanded into diferent plant–nematode interaction models.

**Keywords** Nematode-responsive · Root-specifc · GUS expression · RT-qPCR · Southern hybridization · Nematode resistance

Communicated by Marcelo Menossi.

 $\boxtimes$  Tushar K. Dutta tushar.dutta@icar.gov.in

 $\boxtimes$  Anil Sirohi anilsirohi@yahoo.com

- <sup>1</sup> Division of Nematology, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi 110012, India
- <sup>2</sup> Biological Control Centre, ICAR-Indian Institute of Sugarcane Research, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra 413712, India
- ICAR-National Institute for Plant Biotechnology, New Delhi 110012, India
- <sup>4</sup> Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai 600036, India

#### **Introduction**

Plant–parasitic root-knot nematode (RKN), *Meloidogyne incognita*, can infect more than 3000 plant species and cause an immense yield decline in Solanaceous and Cucurbitaceous vegetable crops (Phani et al. [2023](#page-14-0)). This sedentary endoparasitic nematode maintains an intricate and long-lasting relationship with the host plant by establishing a hypermetabolic feeding site (may contain multiple numbers of giant cells) in the vascular cylinder that serves as the continual food source for the developing nematodes (Vieira and Gleason [2019](#page-14-1)). The tissues surrounding the feeding site become hypertrophied to form the macroscopic knots or galls that hinder the normal physiological processes of plants, including water and nutrient transport across the vascular tissue (Kaloshian and Teixeira [2019](#page-13-0)). Finding sustainable RKN management tactics has been a difficult task because of the phasing out of environmentally harmful nematicides, and limitations in the widespectrum applicability and feasibility of cultural, physical and biological control measures. Although a number of resistance (*R*) genes were identifed from the wild relatives of Solanaceous crops and upon introgression into the cultivated species either via grafting or molecular breeding conferred improved RKN resistance (Barbary et al. [2015](#page-12-0)), only a few of the *R* genes such as *Mi-1.2* (in tomato) and *N* (in pepper) are commercially available (Phani et al. [2023](#page-14-0)).

RNA interference (RNAi) is considered a powerful reverse genetic tool, which uses double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) or small-interfering RNA (siRNA, generated upon cleavage of dsRNA by dicer enzyme) molecules to induce the degradation of cognate endogenous mRNAs and prevent the synthesis of the encoded protein (Rosso et al. [2009](#page-14-2); Lilley et al. [2012](#page-13-1)). In the host-induced gene silencing (HIGS) strategy, plants are genetically modifed to express dsRNA molecules, which correspond to the pathogen (that infects the host plant)-specifc gene sequences. A number of nematode survival- and parasitism-related genes were targeted using HIGS, which conferred improved nematode resistance in diferent crops (Dutta et al. [2015a](#page-13-2),[b](#page-13-3), [2020](#page-13-4); Shivakumara et al. [2017](#page-14-3); Chaudhary et al. [2019;](#page-13-5) Joshi et al. [2020;](#page-13-6) Mani et al. [2020](#page-13-7); Moreira et al. [2022](#page-13-8), [2023](#page-13-9)). Due to the ease of designing the dsRNA/siRNA molecules, target selectivity, and biodegradable nature, RNAi has a low environmental impact (Fletcher et al. [2020\)](#page-13-10). In addition, negligible biosafety concerns are associated with HIGS because no foreign proteins are translated *in planta* (Dutta et al. [2015a](#page-13-2)). However, a slow adoption of RNAi crops is apparent, probably because HIGS-based products are regulated as genetically modifed organisms (GMOs) in many countries (Papadopoulou et al. [2020\)](#page-13-11). Amid this regulatory conundrum, a number of RNAi crops have already been commercialized. For example, Bayer "SmartStax Pro" maize (Mon87411) expresses a dsRNA that corresponds to the *Snf7* gene of the western corn rootworm, *Diabrotica virgifera virgifera* (De Schutter et al. [2022\)](#page-13-12). Bayer "Vistive Gold" high-oleic soybean (Mon87705) produces healthier oils by targeting a gene in the fatty acid biosynthesis pathway (De Schutter et al. [2022\)](#page-13-12).

The majority of the HIGS studies targeting nematodes have used constitutive promoters including *CaMV35S* and *pUbi1* to drive the expression of dsRNA cassettes (Sindhu et al. [2009](#page-14-4); Dutta et al. [2015a](#page-13-2), [b;](#page-13-3) Banerjee et al. [2017](#page-12-1); Moreira et al. [2023\)](#page-13-9). Since constitutive promoters can drive target gene expression in the whole plant, the likelihood of obtaining off-target pleiotropic phenotypes (especially when plant endogenous genes have sequence homology to target dsRNA/siRNA sequences) is very obvious. This type of phenotypic variation can interfere with data interpretation in wild-type and transgenic plants (Peremarti et al. [2010](#page-14-5)). Additionally, expression of the constitutive promoter was found to be variable in the nematode feeding sites (Urwin et al. [1997;](#page-14-6) Ali et al. [2017\)](#page-12-2). In many cases, downregulation of the target transcripts was observed in the feeding cells (Goddijn et al. [1993;](#page-13-13) Goverse et al. [1998](#page-13-14); Bertioli et al. [1999\)](#page-12-3). In view of this, the deployment of tissue-specifc, nematode-responsive promoters appears to be an attractive alternative.

Research on nematode-inducible promoters was mostly performed in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, probably because of the amenability of promoter tagging and T-DNA mutant generation in this model plant. Syncytia (feeding cells induced by cyst nematodes)-specifc promoters such as *Pdf2.1* and *MIOX5* were identifed from the *A. thaliana*-*Heterodera schachtii* pathosystem (Siddique et al. [2009](#page-14-7), [2011](#page-14-8)). A number of root-specifc promoters such as *Atcel1*, *AtWRKY23*, *TobRB7*, *LEMMI9*, *Hahsp17*, *MDK4-20*, *ZmRCP-1* and *TUB-1* were used to drive the expression of reporter genes, proteinase inhibitors and nematode-repellent peptides in diferent host plants (Opperman et al. [1994;](#page-13-15) Escobar et al. [1999,](#page-13-16) [2003;](#page-13-17) Lilley et al. [2004](#page-13-18), [2011](#page-13-19); Sukno et al. [2006](#page-14-9); Grunewald et al. [2008](#page-13-20); Green et al. [2012;](#page-13-21) Papolu et al. [2016\)](#page-14-10). In our earlier studies, two root-specifc promoters *pAt1g74770* and *pAt2g18140* that regulate the expression of *A. thaliana* endogenous genes zinc-ion-binding protein (Gene ID: AT1G74770) and peroxidase (AT2G18140), respectively, were found to be responsive to *M. incognita* infection in *A. thaliana* (Kakrana et al. [2017](#page-13-22); Joshi et al. [2022](#page-13-23)). A fusion with the reporter gene glucuronidase (GUS) showed root gall-specifc expression of *pAt2g18140*, whereas *pAt1g74770* exhibited strong expression across the nematode-infected root tissues (Kakrana et al. [2017](#page-13-22)). Further, *pAt1g74770* and *pAt2g18140* successfully driven the RNAi constructs of splicing factor (involved in nematode survival and development) and *Mi-msp2* (a pioneer effector) genes, respectively, in *A. thaliana*, which conferred improved resistance against *M. incognita*, compared to the wild-type plants (Kakrana et al. [2017](#page-13-22); Joshi et al. [2022](#page-13-23)). Considering the need for sustainable nematode management options, exploring the utility of these nematodeinducible promoters in commercial crops would have been advantageous.

In the present study, both *pAt1g74770* and *pAt2g18140* were heterologously expressed (with *GUS* reporter fusion) in a Solanaceous crop tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) and their nematode responsiveness was assessed upon *M. incognita* infection. Additionally, the RNAi constructs of *M. incognita* development-related genes *integrase* and *splicing factor* were expressed (driven by *pAt1g74770*) in tomato to examine the potential of the root-specifc promoter in conferring HIGS.

# **Materials and methods**

#### **Maintenance of** *M. incognita* **culture**

The pure culture of *M. incognita* (Kofoid & White) Chitwood race 1 was propagated in the roots of eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) in a greenhouse at 28ºC, 60% relative humidity and 16:8 h light–dark photoperiod. Identifcation of the pure culture was performed by analyzing the perineal pattern of females (Koulagi et al. [2020](#page-13-24)) and using a SCAR-PCR-based species-specifc molecular marker (Adam et al. [2007\)](#page-12-4). At 30 days post-infection, egg masses were handpicked from the infected roots using sterilized forceps and kept for hatching in a modifed Baermann assembly (Southey [1986](#page-14-11)) containing sterile tap water at 28ºC. Freshly hatched second-stage juveniles (J2s) were used for infection experiments.

#### **Generation of promoter::GUS fusion constructs**

The genomic DNA of *A. thaliana* was extracted as described previously (Dutta et al. [2023a\)](#page-13-25). The promoter regions (approximately 1500 bp upstream of the start codons) of the *At1g74770* and *At2g18140* genes were PCR-amplifed from the genomic DNA using specifc primers (Supplementary Table S1) fanked by *Bam*HI and *Sal*I restriction enzyme recognition sites. PCR products (1.5 Kb) were resolved on 1% (w/v) agarose gel and gel-eluted DNA fragments were double-digested with *Bam*HI and *Sal*I (New England Biolabs). Promoter fragments were ligated into the linearized (via double digestion with *Bam*HI and *Sal*I) pORE-R2 vector at the upstream of the *gusA* gene (Coutu et al. [2007](#page-13-26)) using T4 DNA ligase (New England Biolabs) with a recommended insert-vector molar ratio of 3:1. pORE-R2:*pAt1g74770* and pORE-R2:*pAt2g18140* recombinant clones were initially transformed into *E. coli* DH5α cells via electroporation, sequence verifed and eventually transformed into *Rhizobium radiobacter* (syn. *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*) strain GV3101 by the freeze–thaw method. Recombinant *Rhizobium* colonies were identifed by colony PCR and those colonies were propagated in yeast-peptone (YEP) agar (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with rifampicin (25  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>), gentamicin (50 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>) and kanamycin (50 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>) antibiotics.

# **Transformation of tomato with promoter::GUS fusion**

Tomato cv. Pusa Ruby seeds were surface-sterilized with 70% ethanol for 5 min and 10% NaOCl for 15 min followed by rinsing with sterile distilled water five to six times. Seeds were germinated on Murashige and Scoog (MS) agar medium (Sigma-Aldrich, pH 5.8) and cotyledonary leaves  $\sim$  1 cm<sup>2</sup> leaf discs or explants) of a fortnight-old seedlings were excised for *R. radiobacter* co-culture. Explants were pre-cultivated in MS agar supplemented with  $0.5 \text{ mg } L^{-1}$ each of indole acetic acid (IAA) and zeatin riboside (ZR). In parallel, recombinant *R. radiobacter* colonies were grown overnight (0.6–0.8 OD<sub>600</sub>) in YEP broth. *Rhizobium* culture was precipitated via centrifugation at 5000 g for 5 min at 4 °C, and pellets were suspended in MS broth. Two-day-old pre-cultivated explants were infected with *R. radiobacter* colonies for 15 min via shaking at 180 rpm at 28 °C in the dark. Co-cultured explants were blot-dried and placed in MS agar supplemented with 0.5 mg  $L^{-1}$  each of IAA and ZR. Two-day-old co-cultivated explants were rinsed with 250 mg L<sup>-1</sup> cefotaxime antibiotic for 20 min, and then transferred onto the MS agar containing 0.5 mg  $L^{-1}$  each of IAA and ZR, 50 mg L<sup>-1</sup> kanamycin, and 250 mg L<sup>-1</sup> cefotaxime. MS agar plates were incubated at 25 °C with a 16:8 h light–dark photoperiod (light level—250 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). After 15–20 days of culturing in regeneration media, shoots emerged from the explant were excised, and sub-cultured in a fresh medium for shoot elongation. For root induction, elongated shoots were placed in MS agar containing 0.5 mg L<sup>-1</sup> naphthalene acetic acid (NAA), 50 mg L<sup>-1</sup> kanamycin, and 250 mg  $L^{-1}$  cefotaxime. At 20–25 days after rooting, plantlets were transferred to 10 cm-diameter plastic pots containing an equal mixture of soil rite (Keltech Energies Ltd.) and autoclaved top soil. Well-established plants with hardened roots were shifted to the National Phytotron Facility, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, for fowering, fruiting and  $T_0$  seed production.  $T_0$  events (after growing in MS media containing 100 μg mL<sup>-1</sup> kanamycin) were selfed to generate  $T_1$  seeds. Plants transformed with an empty pORE-R2 vector served as the control.

#### **Molecular analysis of transformed tomato plants**

For PCR-based detection of the transgene, genomic DNA was extracted from the leaves of  $T_1$  events using the NucleoSpin Plant II Kit (TaKaRa) as per the manufacturer's protocol. Fragments of diferent target genes such as *GUS*, *nptII* (antibiotic marker), *pAt1g74770* and *pAt2g18140* were PCRamplifed from the genomic DNA using a standard protocol (TaKaRa) with specifc primers (Supplementary Table S1). Amplifed fragments were electrophoresed in a 1% (w/v) agarose gel.

For detecting the integration patterns of *pAt1g74770* and  $pAt2g18140$  in T<sub>1</sub> events, Southern hybridization was performed. 10 µg of genomic DNA from each PCR-positive event was digested with 50U *Bam*HI (New England Biolabs) overnight at 37 °C. Cleaved DNA was run on a 0.8% (w/v) agarose gel followed by transfer into a nitro-cellulose membrane (Pall Life Sciences) via capillary action in 10X saline sodium citrate (SSC) buffer (1.5 M NaCl, 0.15 M sodium citrate pH 7.0). For probe preparation, *pAt1g74770* and *pAt2g18140* DNA fragments (1.5 Kb) were biotin labelled with a chemiluminescent reagent Biotin DecaLabel DNA labelling kit (Thermo Fisher Scientifc) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The membrane was hybridized overnight at 65 °C in a hybridization buffer (2 M Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> pH 7.2, 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 0.5 M EDTA pH7.0) containing denatured probes. Next, membrane was subjected to three consecutive washes (30 min each at 65 °C) in  $3X$  SSC + 0.1% SDS followed by  $0.5X$  SSC + 0.1% SDS followed by  $0.1X$  SSC +  $0.1\%$  SDS. Hybridized bands were detected using streptavidin–alkaline phosphatase conjugate that detects biotinylated antibodies (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

To analyze the *GUS* expression in  $T_1$  events, RT-qPCR was carried out. Total RNA was extracted from diferent events using the NucleoSpin RNA Plant Kit (TaKaRa) as per the manufacturer's protocol. RNA integrity was assessed via electrophoresis in 1% (w/v) agarose gel. RNA purity and quantity were ascertained in a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientifc). 1 µg RNA was reversetranscribed to cDNA using the Verso cDNA synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientifc). The qPCR reaction was performed in a CFX96 thermal cycler (BioRad) with a reaction mix (10 μL) containing 1.5 ng cDNA, 750 nM each of sense and antisense primers, and 5 μL SYBR Green PCR master-mix (BioRad). Amplifcation conditions comprised a hot start phase of 95 °C for 30 s, then 40 cycles of 95 °C for 10 s and 60  $\degree$ C for 30 s. Amplification specificity was determined by using a melt curve program (95 °C for 15 s, 60 °C for 15 s, and finally a slow ramp from 60 to 95 °C). Quantifcation cycle (Cq) values were obtained from CFX Maestro software (BioRad). *S. lycopersicum* housekeeping genes (*18S rRNA* and actin) were used as internal references to normalise the target gene expression data. Fold change in gene expression was calculated using the comparative Cq method (Schmittgen and Livak [2008](#page-14-12)). The PCR efficiency of the primers was calculated by following the standard MIQE guidelines (Bustin et al. [2009](#page-12-5)). The qPCR run constituted of three biological and fve technical replicates for each sample. The qPCR primer details and PCR efficiency are given in Supplementary Table S1.

# **Histochemical GUS assay**

 $T<sub>1</sub>$  plants growing in 500 ml pots containing an equal mixture of soil rite and top soil were inoculated with 1000 J2s per root system (@ 2 J2s per g of soil). Histochemical localisation of GUS activity was carried out using the substrate 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D glucuronide (XGluc). *M. incognita*-inoculated roots at diferent infection stages (7, 14, 21, and 28 days post-inoculation) were harvested, washed to remove adhered soil, and immersed in a readily-prepared GUS staining solution (0.5 mM X-Gluc, 0.1 M NaHPO<sub>4</sub> pH 8.0, 0.5 mM  $K_3Fe(CN)_6$ , 0.5 mM  $K_4Fe(CN)_6$ , 0.01 M EDTA pH 8.0, 20% methanol, 0.1% Triton X-100) for overnight in the dark at 37 °C. Root segments were washed with 10% phosphate buffer to stop the reaction and cleared of stain by immersing in 70% ethanol. Photomicrographs of GUSstained root segments were taken with a Nikon stereomicroscope. Additionally, roots were stained with acid fuchsin (the detailed method is provided in Byrd et al. [1983\)](#page-12-6) to detect the endoparasites in the infected tissue.

# **Cloning of nematode‑inducible promoter and downstream RNAi constructs in a binary vector**

DsRNA molecules for *integrase* (624 bp, Genebank ID: AW871671) and *splicing factor* (349 bp, AW828516) were designed based on the greater siRNA formation probability in the targeted region compared to the no-targeted sequence of the identical gene using diferent in silico tools such as siDirect, Dharmacon and dsCheck (described in Dutta et al. [2023b](#page-13-27)). Additionally, target siRNA sequence homologies were analyzed with siRNAs from non-target organisms (including *C. elegans*) using the dsCheck tool to avert the off-target effects (Dutta et al. [2023b](#page-13-27)). *Integrase* and *splicing factor* gene fragments (in sense and antisense orientation) were PCR-amplifed from the cDNA of *M. incognita* J2s (RNA was extracted and reverse-transcribed to cDNA as described above). The backbone of the RNAi binary vector pBC06 (Yadav et al. [2006\)](#page-14-13) was used for cloning. Initially, the *CaMV35S* sequence was replaced with the *pAt1g74770* sequence using *Sbf*I and *BamH*I restriction enzymes. Next, sense (fanked by *Bam*HI and *Xho*I restriction sites) and antisense (fanked by *Kpn*I and *Sac*I restriction sites) fragments of *integrase* were

cloned in pBC06 to obtain the *pAt1g74770::integrase* RNAi construct. Similarly, sense and antisense fragments of *splicing factor* were cloned in pBC06 to obtain the *pAt1g74770::splicing factor* RNAi construct. In order to compare our nematode-inducible promoter results with that of the CaMV35S promoter, *integrase* and *splicing factor* sequences were separately cloned into the wildtype pBC06 vector. Cloned fragments were digested via selective restriction enzymes, gel-purifed and Sanger sequenced to confrm their identity. RNAi constructs were transformed into *S. lycopersicum* cv. Pusa Ruby via the *R. radiobacter* co-culture method as described above.  $T_1$ plants were generated and molecular analysis including PCR, RT-qPCR and Southern hybridization were performed by following the methodologies described above.

#### **Nematode infectivity assay**

RNAi plants were infected with 1000 J2s per root system as described above. Plants were grown in a controlled environment in a greenhouse at 28 °C, 60% relative humidity and a 16:8 h light–dark photoperiod. Plants were harvested at 30 days post-inoculation (dpi), roots were carefully washed free of soil, and diferent infection parameters such as number of galls, egg masses, eggs per egg mass and multiplication factor (MF) ratio (Dutta et al. [2015b\)](#page-13-3) were assessed per root system. Each treatment contained at least fve replicates and the whole experiment was repeated at least thrice.

To verify the target gene silencing in feeding nematodes, RT-qPCR was performed. Reproducing females (at 30 dpi) feeding on the galled roots of RNAi plants were extracted via maceration of root tissues using forceps under the microscope, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C. Total RNA was extracted using the NucleoSpin RNA Plant Kit (TaKaRa) and reverse-transcribed to cDNA as described above. The transcript abundance of *integrase* and *splicing factor* genes was assessed using qPCR. Amplifcation conditions are described above. *M. incognita 18S rRNA* and *actin* genes were used as internal references.

#### **Statistical analysis**

Bioassays were set up as a completely randomized block design. Initially, the normality of both gene expression and bioassay data was checked via the Shapiro–Wilk test. Data were subjected to a one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's honest significant difference (HSD) at  $P < 0.01$  using SAS version 14.1. Statistical comparisons were made between diferent treatments or between control and treatment, as stated in the fgure captions.

#### **Results**

# **Root gall‑specifc expression of** *pAt1g74770* **and** *pAt2g18140* **upon** *M. incognita* **infection in tomato**

*Solanum lycopersicum* cv. Pusa Ruby plants were separately transformed with *pAt1g74770*:*GUS* and *pAt2g18140*:*GUS* constructs that contained the promoter regions of *At1g74770* and *At2g18140*, respectively, fused with the β-glucuronidase (GUS) reporter gene using the *Rhizobium radiobacter* co-culture method (Fig. [1](#page-5-0)a; Supplementary Figure S1). PCR amplifcation of the *pAt1g74770*+*gusA* sequence (748 bp encompassing the partial sequences of *pAt1g74770* and *gusA*) was verified in 13 out of 16 tested  $T_1$  events harboring the *pAt1g74770*:*GUS* construct (Fig. [1](#page-5-0)b). PCR amplification of the *pAt2g18140*+*gusA* sequence (787 bp encompassing the partial sequences of *pAt2g18140* and *gusA*) was verified in 9 out of 11 tested  $T_1$  events harboring the *pAt2g18140*:*GUS* construct (Fig. [1](#page-5-0)b). The PCR-positive events were subjected to a Southern hybridization assay to analyze the integration patterns of *pAt1g74770* and *pAt2g18140* in the *S. lycopersicum* genome. Four (event numbers 2, 3, 4, and 9) and six (event numbers 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, and 10) single-copy events harboring the independent integration of *pAt1g74770* and *pAt2g18140* genes, respectively, were confrmed from the blots (Fig. [1](#page-5-0)c). Event number 3 harboring the *pAt2g18140* gene was a double-copy event (Fig. [1](#page-5-0)c). This event was not considered for further analysis because of the possibility of transgene co-suppression.

Histochemical GUS staining of nematode-infected  $T_1$ roots demonstrated the nematode-responsive root-specifc expression of *pAt1g74770* and *pAt2g18140* promoters (Figs. [2](#page-6-0), [3\)](#page-7-0). No GUS activity was observed in uninfected roots, mechanically wounded roots and above-ground part of the infected roots (Figs. [2](#page-6-0), [3](#page-7-0)). Both the promoters were unresponsive during the initial stage of nematode infection, i.e., 7 days post-inoculation (7dpi). From 10 dpi, GUS activity was detected in the infected roots. During 14 dpi, strong GUS activity was observed in and around the galled roots that was sustained till 21 dpi (Figs. [2,](#page-6-0) [3](#page-7-0)). However, during 28 dpi, although GUS activity was detectable in roots harboring the *pAt1g74770:GUS* construct (Fig. [2](#page-6-0)), no GUS activity was detected in roots harboring the *pAt2g18140:GUS* construct (Fig. [3](#page-7-0)). Our RT-qPCRbased *gusA* expression study supported the results of histochemical analyses. At 7 dpi, *gusA* expression was not significantly  $(P > 0.01)$  altered in nematode-infected roots of different  $T_1$  events ( 1 g-2, 1 g-3, 1 g-4 and 1 g-9 harboring the *pAt1g74770:GUS* fusion; 2 g-4, 2 g-5, 2 g-6,

LB



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Generation of transformed tomato lines with promoter::GUS fusion. **a** The T-DNA portion of recombinant pORE-R2 is schematically represented. *pAt1g74770* and *pAt2g18140* drive the expression of *gusA*, an open reading frame (ORF) encoding β-glucuronidase. An ORF encoding neomycin phosphotransferase II (*nptII*) was used for selection in plants. *P*<sub>ENTCUP2</sub>, *Nicotiana tabacum* cryptic constitutive promoter.  $T_{NOS}$ —polyadenylation signal of the nopaline synthase gene. Arrows show the direction of transcription. LB, RB—left and right borders. Forward primer (FP) and reverse primer (RP) binding sites are indicated as black arrowheads. **b** Approximately 748 (cor-

2 g-8, 2 g-9 and 2 g-10 harboring the *pAt2g18140:GUS* fusion) compared to the uninfected roots (Supplementary Figure S2). At 14 and 21 dpi, *gusA* expression was diferentially and signifcantly (*P*< 0.01) upregulated in nematode-infected roots of different  $T_1$  events compared to the uninfected roots (Supplementary Figure S2). At 28 dpi, compared to uninfected roots, *gusA* expression was significantly  $(P < 0.01)$  upregulated in nematodeinfected  $T_1$  events harboring the  $pAt1g74770:GUS$  fusion, whereas *gusA* expression was unaltered  $(P > 0.01)$  in nematode-infected T<sub>1</sub> events harboring the *pAt2g18140:GUS* fusion (Supplementary Figure S2). We hypothesized that *pAt1g74770* may remain nematode-inducible for a longer duration of tomato–*M. incognita* interaction, in contrast

 $P_{\mathit{At2g18140}}$ gusA  $T_{NOS}$  $nptII$  $T_{NOS}$  $P_{ENTCUP2}$ BamHI  $S$ all  $FP$  $\blacksquare$ RP 9 10 11 PC NC M M  $\overline{7}$ 8 700 500<br>500<br>400<br>300<br>200<br>75 pAt2g18140+gusA (787 bp) M HC  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\sim$ 6 8 9 10 11 Kb 6.6  $\frac{2.3}{2.0}$ **PC** pAt2g18140 responding to  $pAt1g74770 + gusA$  and 787 ( $pAt2g18140 + gusA$ ) bp

pORE-R2:pAt2g18140

fragments were PCR-amplified from different  $T_1$  events. Positive control consists of cloning vector fragments. Negative control contained no template DNA. M—1 Kb plus DNA ladder. **c** Southern blots showing the integration of *pAt1g74770* and *pAt2g18140* transgenes in different genomic locations of  $T_1$  events. Genomic DNA of untransformed control (UC) plants did not reveal any hybridization signal. Positive controls (PC) are the probes used to hybridize *pAt1g74770* and *pAt2g18140* fragments. M—Lambda *Hind*III digest marker

to the non-responsiveness of *pAt2g18140* during the late stage of nematode infection. In view of this, we selected the *pAt1g74770* promoter for generating the RNAi plants.

# *pAt1g74770***‑driven RNAi construct expression protected tomato against** *M. incognita* **infection alike of** *pCaMV35S***‑driven RNAi construct**

For generating the *pAt1g74770*-driven RNAi lines, the *pCaMV35S* sequence in the RNAi binary vector pBC06 was replaced with the *pAt1g74770* sequence, followed by cloning of *M. incognita integrase* and *splicing factor* genes (separately) in sense and antisense orientations for eventual expression as dsRNA molecules (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)a). For a realistic



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Expression of *pAt1g74770:GUS* in tomato root upon *M. incognita* infection. **a** No GUS activity in uninfected roots upon mechanical wounding, **b** no GUS activity in nematode-infected roots at 7 dpi, **c** initiation of GUS activity in nematode-infected roots at 10 dpi, **d**

Intense GUS activity in nematode-infected roots at 14 dpi, **e**, **f** GUS activity in nematode-induced galls at 21 dpi, **g**–**i** strong GUS activity in nematode-induced galls at 28 dpi. N—nematode female. Scale  $bar=500 \mu m$ 

comparison, *integrase* and *splicing factor* dsRNA cassettes were also cloned separately into the wild-type pBC06 containing the CaMV35S promoter. *S. lycopersicum* cv. Pusa Ruby plants were transformed with RNAi constructs using the *R. radiobacter* co-culture method. Sense strands of *integrase* and *splicing factor* transgenes were detected in different  $T_1$  events by PCR amplification (Supplementary Figure S3). Additionally, antisense strands and antibiotic marker fragments were detected in diferent events (data not shown). The PCR-positive events were subjected to a Southern hybridization assay to analyze the integration patterns of *integrase* and *splicing factor* transgenes in the *S. lycopersicum* genome. Five (event numbers 2, 3, 4, 6, and

10) and three (event numbers 4, 6, and 7) single-copy events harboring the independent integration of *integrase* and *splicing factor* genes, respectively, were confrmed from the blots (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)b). Event numbers 2, 3, and 5 harboring the dsRNA cassettes of the *splicing factor* gene showed double-copy integration of the transgene (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)b). Expression of *integrase* and *splicing factor* transgenes was detected in all these T1 events by RT-qPCR. Conversely, *integrase* and *splicing factor* expression were not detected in the RNAs isolated from control plants. Therefore, transgene expression data was represented as mean ΔCq relative to the Cq mean of *S. lycopersicum 18S rRNA*. *Integrase* transcripts were variably expressed in diferent single-copy events (I2, I3, I4, I6,



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Expression of *pAt2g18140:GUS* in tomato root upon *M. incognita* infection. **a** No GUS activity in uninfected roots, **b** no GUS activity in nematode-infected roots at 7 dpi, **c** no GUS activity in leaves of the nematode-infected plant at 10 dpi, **d** initiation of GUS activity in nematode-infected roots at 10 dpi, **e**, **f** intense GUS activ-

ity in nematode-induced galls at 14 dpi, **g** GUS activity in nematodeinduced galls at 21 dpi, **h** no GUS activity in galls induced in secondary roots at 28 dpi, **i**, **j** no GUS activity in galls induced in primary roots at 28 dpi. Scale bar=500 µm

and I10) (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)c). However, *splicing factor* transcripts were significantly  $(P<0.01)$  greatly expressed (as determined via a lower ΔCq value) in single-copy events (Sf4, Sf6, and Sf7) compared to the double-copy events (Sf2, Sf3, and Sf5) (Fig. [4c](#page-8-0)). In view of this, only single-copy RNAi lines were taken up for further analysis.

 $T_1$  plants transformed with RNAi constructs did not exhibit any pleiotropic effect when compared with the untransformed control or empty vector-transformed (UC) plants in terms of shoot length, root length, shoot weight and root weight data of 30-day-old plants (Supplementary Figure S4). Each plant was 'challenge inoculated' with

1000 J2s near the root, and at 30 dpi, plants were harvested to assess diferent infection parameters. Qualitative data showed greater galling intensity in control roots compared to the roots of RNAi plants (Fig. [5](#page-9-0)a). The number of galls per root system was significantly  $(P < 0.01)$  reduced by 42.85–53.34% in different  $T_1$  events expressing the *pAt1g74770*-driven *integrase* RNAi construct, compared to the control (Fig. [5](#page-9-0)b; Supplementary Figure S5). Similarly, the number of egg masses and the number of eggs/egg mass per root system were significantly  $(P < 0.01)$  reduced by 38.84–51.38% and 35.96–48.43%, respectively, in diferent T1 events expressing the *pAt1g74770*-driven *integrase* RNAi



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Generation of specifc promoter-driven RNAi tomato lines expressing the *M. incognita integrase* and *splicing factor* dsRNAs. **a** The T-DNA portion of recombinant pBC06 is schematically represented. *pAt1g74770* drives the expression of *integrase* and *splicing factor* mRNAs in sense and antisense orientations. An ORF encoding neomycin phosphotransferase II (*nptII*) was used for selection in plants.  $P_{NOS}$ ,  $T_{NOS}$ —promoter and terminator sequences of the nopaline synthase gene. Arrows show the direction of transcription. LB, RB—left and right borders. During plant transformation, dsRNAs are produced from intron-spliced hairpin RNAs. Feeding nematodes may ingest dsRNAs or Dicer-processed siRNAs. **b** Southern blots showing the integration of *integrase* and *splicing factor* transgenes in different genomic locations of  $T_1$  events. Genomic DNA of untrans-

construct, compared to the control (Fig. [5b](#page-9-0); Supplementary Figure S5). Ultimately, the nematode multiplication factor (MF) ratio  $[ (egg mass \times egg per egg mass) \div initial inocu$ lum] was drastically  $(P<0.01)$  reduced by  $60.83-74.93\%$ in  $T_1$  events compared to control (Fig. [5](#page-9-0)b; Supplementary Figure S5). Notably, the number of galls, egg masses, eggs per egg mass and MF ratio were significantly  $(P < 0.01)$ reduced by 46.06, 45.92, 44.91 and 70.21%, respectively,

formed control (UC) plants did not reveal any hybridization signal. Probes used for hybridization correspond to the *integrase* (624 bp) and *splicing factor* (349 bp) genes. M—Lambda *Hind*III digest marker. **c** Detection of transgene expression in different  $T_1$  events via RT-qPCR. Relative transcript levels of *integrase* and *splicing factor* are expressed as  $\Delta Cq$  values that represent the differences in the Cq mean of transgene and a reference gene (*S. lycopersicum 18S rRNA*). Greater ΔCq value indicates the lower expression of the target gene in a specific event. Each bar represents the mean  $\Delta Cq$  value  $\pm$  SE of qPCR runs in three biological and fve technical replicates. Bars with diferent letters are signifcantly diferent at *P*<0.01, Tukey's HSD test

in a T<sub>1</sub> event expressing the *pCaMV35S*-driven *integrase* RNAi construct, compared to the control (Fig. [5](#page-9-0)b; Supplementary Figure S5). The degree of improvement in nematode resistance was very much alike for *pAt1g74770*- and *pCaMV35S*-driven *integrase* RNAi plants, when compared to the control. A similar result was obtained for *pAt1g74770* and *pCaMV35S*-driven *splicing factor* RNAi plants. The number of galls, egg masses, eggs per egg mass and MF

ratio were significantly  $(P < 0.01)$  reduced by 47.84–59.07%, 45.83–51.33%, 43.41–49.28% and 69.34–75.31%, respectively, in different  $T_1$  events expressing the  $pAt1g74770$ driven *splicing factor* RNAi construct, compared to the control (Fig. [5](#page-9-0)c; Supplementary Figure S5). A similar degree of

reduction in nematode infection parameters was documented

in a *pCaMV35S*-driven *splicing factor* RNAi event, compared to the control (Fig. [5](#page-9-0)c; Supplementary Figure S5).

Intriguingly, adult females recovered from RNAi lines were of deformed shape compared to the normal melonshaped females extracted from control plants, at 30 dpi (Fig. [6](#page-10-0)a). Microscopic measurements indicated a size

<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Fig. 5** Specifc promoter-driven RNAi tomato lines afected the infectivity and reproductive success of *M. incognita* at 30 dpi. **a** Comparative galling intensity of the nematode-infected root system corresponding to the untransformed/empty vector-transformed control (UC) plant and  $T_1$  plants expressing the dsRNA constructs of *integrase* and *splicing factor*. **b** Relative diferences in the various nematode infection parameter data in control and single-copy  $T_1$  events (I2, I3, I4, I6, and I10) expressing the *integrase* RNAi construct driven by

the *pAt1g74770* promoter. **c** Relative diferences in the various nematode infection parameter data in control and single-copy  $T_1$  events (Sf4, Sf6, and Sf7) expressing the *splicing factor* RNAi construct driven by the *pAt1g74770* promoter. For a realistic comparison, *integrase* and *splicing factor* RNAi constructs driven by the CaMV35S promoter were used. Each bar represents the mean  $\pm$  SE ( $n = 10$ ). Bars with different letters (within an identical parameter) are significantly diferent at *P*<0.01, Tukey's HSD test





a

**UC** 



<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Fig. 6** Long-term efect of HIGS on *M. incognita* females infecting the RNAi lines at 30 dpi.  $aT_1$  plants expressing the dsRNA constructs caused morphological aberrations in nematode females. Females extracted from untransformed/empty vector-transformed control (UC) plants were of normal melon-shaped, whereas females extracted from transgenic lines were of elongated shape with a deformed neck and transparent body. **b** Average body length and greatest body width of females as measured under the microscope. Each bar represents the mean  $\pm$  SE ( $n=10$ ). Bars with different letters (within an identical parameter) are significantly different at  $P < 0.01$ , Tukey's HSD test. **c** Targeted downregulation of the *integrase* gene in nematode females extracted from five independent  $T_1$  lines (I2, I3, I4, I6, and I10) expressing the *integrase* RNAi construct driven by the  $pAt1g74770$  promoter. For comparative analysis, a  $T_1$  line (35S-

reduction in females feeding on the RNAi lines, compared to the control females. Although the average body length was statistically similar  $(P > 0.01)$ , average greatest body width was greatly reduced  $(P < 0.01)$  in the females isolated from RNAi lines, compared to the control (Fig. [6](#page-10-0)b). This long-term RNAi efect of *integrase* and *splicing factor* was further confrmed by analyzing the expression of these genes in isolated females. Compared to control, expression of *integrase* was significantly  $(P < 0.01)$  downregulated by 68–73% in females extracted from different  $T_1$  events harboring the *pAt1g74770*-driven *integrase* RNAi construct (Fig. [6](#page-10-0)c). Compared to control, expression of *splicing factor* was significantly ( $P < 0.01$ ) attenuated by 75–80% in females extracted from different  $T_1$  events harboring the *pAt1g74770*-driven *splicing factor* RNAi construct (Fig. [6](#page-10-0)d). Likewise, expression of *integrase* and *splicing factor* was significantly  $(P < 0.01)$  reduced by 55 and 50% in females isolated from  $T_1$  lines harboring the  $pCaMV35S$ -driven

I) expressing the *integrase* RNAi construct driven by the CaMV35S promoter was used. **d** Targeted downregulation of the *splicing factor* gene in nematode females extracted from three independent  $T_1$  lines (Sf4, Sf6, and Sf7) expressing the *splicing factor* RNAi construct driven by the  $pAt1g74770$  promoter. A T<sub>1</sub> line (35S-Sf) expressing the *splicing factor* RNAi construct driven by the CaMV35S promoter was used for comparison. Fold change in gene expression was set at 1 in control and compared with other treatments. *M. incognita 18S rRNA* and actin were used as internal references to normalize the target gene expression. Each bar represents the mean fold change value $\pm$ SE of qPCR runs in three biological and five technical replicates. Bars with diferent letters are signifcantly diferent at *P*<0.01, Tukey's HSD test

*integrase* and *splicing factor* RNAi constructs, respectively, compared to control (Fig. [6c](#page-10-0), d).

### **Discussion**

Considering that the constitutive overexpression or suppression of a specific gene can have a detrimental effect on plant growth and development (Ali et al. [2017\)](#page-12-2), nematode-responsive root-specifc promoters are a better choice to drive the expression of a candidate gene. In our earlier study, two root-specifc promoters such as *pAt1g74770* and *pAt2g18140* were identifed from *A. thaliana* that were highly expressed in the *M. incognita*-infected root galls (Kakrana et al. [2017\)](#page-13-22). In the present study, we heterologously expressed *pAt1g74770* and *pAt2g18140* via GUS reporter fusion in *S. lycopersicum* and analyzed their induction upon *M. incognita* infection. BLASTn analysis showed that these

promoters are exclusively present in the *A. thaliana* genome, because no homologous nucleotide sequences were detected in the genomes of Solanaceous plants including *S. lycopersicum* (Supplementary Figure S6), tobacco, potato, eggplant, pepper and petunia (data not shown). Although both of these promoters were found to be non-responsive during the early stage of nematode infection (7 dpi), their induction was detectable from 10 dpi onwards with greater GUS activity at 14 and 21 dpi in nematode-infected galls. However, a sharp contrast in their induction during the late stage of nematode infection (28 dpi) was evident because *pAt1g74770* still remained nematode-inducible during that period while *pAt2g18140* did not. Additionally, no leaky expression of these promoters in the shoot tissues and upon mechanical wounding was observed. Assuming that *pAt1g74770* has the better potential to remain nematode-responsive for a longer duration than *pAt2g18140*, we selected the former to examine its efficacy in driving the RNAi constructs.

Notably, *At1g74770* and *At2g18140* annotate for zincbinding and peroxidase proteins, respectively (Kakrana et al. [2017;](#page-13-22) Joshi et al. [2022\)](#page-13-23). Zinc-binding proteins play a pivotal role in the plant's response to pests and pathogens (Shirasu et al. [1999;](#page-14-14) Sharma et al. [2019;](#page-14-15) Cabot et al. [2019](#page-12-7)). They can aid in inducing plant defense as well as eliciting pathogen virulence (Cabot et al. [2019](#page-12-7)). A zinc-binding protein (HIPP3; At5g60800) in *A. thaliana* was specifcally induced upon infection of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato*; HIPP3 regulates the salicylate-dependent pathway of plant immune response to pathogen attack (Zschiesche et al. [2015](#page-14-16)). A number of investigations have shown that plant peroxidases are directly involved in plant–nematode interactions; peroxidases scavenge reactive oxygen molecules and are implicated in plant defense (Vercauteren et al. [2001](#page-14-17); Jammes et al. [2005;](#page-13-28) Severino et al. [2012\)](#page-14-18). The promoter element of the *Cofea arabica* peroxidase gene was specifcally induced upon *M. incognita* infection (Severino et al. [2012](#page-14-18)). It was assumed that peroxidases may play a conserved role during root-knot nematode invasion in diferent host plants (Joshi et al. [2022](#page-13-23)). Supported by these fndings, we speculate that *pAt2g18140* expression in *S. lycopersicum* is related to the elicitor molecules produced by the early to mid-infection stages of *M. incognita*, i.e., the post-parasitic juvenile stage to the young female stage. On the contrary, *pAt1g74770* remains responsive to the elicitor molecules produced by all the parasitic stages of *M. incognita*.

To date, a number of nematode-responsive promoters have been identifed from diferent host plants, including *TobRB7* from *Nicotiana tabacum* (Opperman et al. [1994](#page-13-15)), *LEMMI9* from *S. lycopersicum* (Escobar et al. [1999\)](#page-13-16), *Hahsp17.7G4* from *Helianthus annuus* (Escobar et al. [2003](#page-13-17)), *TUB-1* from *A. thaliana* and *S. melongena* (Lilley et al. [2004](#page-13-18); Papolu et al. [2016](#page-14-10)), *Atcel1* from *A. thaliana* (Sukno et al. [2006](#page-14-9)), *AtWRKY23* from *A. thaliana* (Grunewald et al. [2008](#page-13-20)), *Pdf2.1* and *MIOX5* from *A. thaliana* (Siddique et al. [2009,](#page-14-7) [2011\)](#page-14-8), *MDK4-20* from *A. thaliana* (Lilley et al. [2011](#page-13-19)), *ZmRCP-1* from maize, bananas, and plantains (Onyango et al. [2016](#page-13-29)). However, only a few of these promoters were deployed to drive the expression of RNAi constructs to improve nematode resistance in the host plant. In an isolated study, *TobRB7* was deployed to drive the dsRNA cassette of a nematode gene (*MjTis11* of *Meloidogyne javanica*) in *N.*  tabacum. However, no significant improvement in nematode resistance was observed in RNAi plants (over control), indicating the poor efficacy of *TobRB7* in driving a RNAi construct (Fairbairn et al. [2007](#page-13-30)). Notably, in our laboratory, *pAt1g74770* and *pAt2g18140* were used to efectively confer HIGS in *A. thaliana* targeting *M. incognita* (Kakrana et al. [2017](#page-13-22); Joshi et al. [2022\)](#page-13-23). This prompted us to further translate this approach into commercial crops such as tomatoes.

*M. incognita integrase* and *splicing factor* genes are considered as attractive targets for HIGS studies, because these genes are constitutively involved in nematode metabolism and development processes (Yadav et al. [2006](#page-14-13); Kumar et al. [2017](#page-13-31)). Silencing the nematode metabolism and development-related genes would provide a greater degree of RNAi-mediated resistance in crop plants compared to the other target genes such as efectors (Dutta et al. [2015a,](#page-13-2) [b](#page-13-3)). Moreover, nematode avirulent effectors are the targets of the plant resistance genes (as they are involved in R-Avr interaction) and therefore, would be under incessant selection pressures to diversify and generate resistance-breaking nematode phenotypes (Eves-van den Akker [2021\)](#page-13-32). In earlier studies, tobacco and Arabidopsis plants independently expressing the RNAi constructs of *integrase* and *splicing factor* genes (driven by the CaMV35S promoter) conferred improved *M. incognita* resistance in host plants (Yadav et al. [2006](#page-14-13); Kumar et al. [2017\)](#page-13-31). In the current study, *pAt1g74770* was found to be highly efficient to drive the dsRNA expression cassettes of *integrase* and *splicing factor* genes for conferring improved *M. incognita* resistance in tomato. Most importantly, the degree of reduction in diferent nematode infection parameters (such as number of galls, egg masses, eggs per egg mass, and nematode multiplication factor) in *pAt1g74770* driven *integrase* and *splicing factor* RNAi plants were alike of *pCaMV35S*-driven *integrase* and *splicing factor* RNAi plants. The reproductive success (in terms of multiplication factor ratio) of *M. incognita* was profoundly reduced by 60.83–74.93% and 69.34–75.31% in *pAt1g74770:integrase* and *pAt1g74770:splicing factor* RNAi lines, respectively, compared to the control. A comparable fgure was documented in *pCaMV35S:integrase* (70.21% reduction in MF ratio over control) and *pCaMV35S:splicing factor* (72.34%) RNAi plants.

Intriguingly, a long-term RNAi efect of *integrase* and *splicing factor* genes was observed in *M. incognita* females. Females extracted from RNAi lines were of reduced size

and deformed shape compared to the females extracted from untransformed lines. Additionally, a pronounced reduction in *integrase* and *splicing factor* transcript abundance was observed in recovered females compared to the control. This exemplifed the targeted delivery of dsRNA/siRNA molecules (corresponding to the *integrase*/*splicing factor* gene) into the feeding females from RNAi plants. Notably, *pAt1g74770* expression remains functional during the late stage of nematode infection.

More than 2 decades of research have shown that RNAi can contribute to global food security with minimal environmental impacts (Koch and Wassenegger [2021](#page-13-33)). Regarding innovations in the feld of crop protection, RNAi technology has witnessed notable development worldwide with an annual average number of inventions amounting to 22, which corresponds to 122 patent applications (Ventura and Frisio [2021\)](#page-14-19). A number of RNAi crops have already been commercialized globally. The list includes Bayer "SmartStax Pro" maize (confer resistance to *D. virgifera virgifera*), Bayer "Vistive Gold" high-oleic soybean, "Super-High Oleic" (SHO) safflower, Simplot GM "Innate" potatoes (reduced acrylamide content and improved starch quality), "HarvXtra" alfalfa (forage cattle feed with reduced lignin content for better digestibility) (De Schutter et al. [2022](#page-13-12)). A number of crops are already in the advanced stage of regulatory pipeline for future commercialization (De Schutter et al. [2022](#page-13-12)). An independent food and feed safety assessment performed on "SmartStax Pro" maize by European Food Safety Authority concluded that the plant-produced dsRNA/siRNA molecules do not exert any toxic efects once ingested by humans and animals (Papadopoulou et al. [2020](#page-13-11)). Although CRISPR/Cas9-based genome editing technology has gained considerable momentum to confer resistance in crop plants against various biotic stresses (Wheatley and Yang [2021](#page-14-20)), its application for improving nematode resistance in plants is yet in its nascent stage. This maybe because a limited number of plant susceptibility (*S*) genes have yet been identifed for targeted knockout experiments (Dutta et al. [2023a\)](#page-13-25). In addition, complete knockout of a target gene is unwarranted or may confer pleiotropic efects (because endogenous *S* genes are involved in plant developmental pathways). In contrast, RNAi is a better alternative, because gene silencing is required in targeted cells and not across the organism (Jones [2021\)](#page-13-34). A continued research on HIGS mechanism would further improve its applicability in sustainable agriculture.

In conclusion, our results show that the nematodeinducible root-specifc promoter *pAt1g74770* can be heterologously expressed to impart RNAi-based nematode tolerance in an agriculturally important crop such as tomato. In contrast to the constitutive promoter, *pAt1g74770* can putatively regulate the localized expression of dsRNA constructs targeting specifc nematode genes. The spatiotemporal modulation of gene expression using inducible promoters is considered as a preferable strategy to unravel specifc gene functions. In addition, application of our nematode-inducible gene silencing system can circumvent lethality problems and off-target effects. This technology can further be expanded in diferent plant-nematode pathosystems. A number of nematode genes can also be targeted simultaneously to achieve complete resistance in crop plants alike of gene pyramiding.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-023-03114-6>.

**Acknowledgements** We profusely thank the stafs of National Phytotron Facility, IARI for maintenance of our transgenic lines.

**Author contributions** Conceptualization: YET, TKD, AS; methodology: YET, TKD; formal analysis: TKD; resources: PKJ, KS; writing original draft: TKD; writing—review and editing: AS; Supervision: AS.

**Funding** The present research was funded by the Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR) via National Agricultural Innovative Project (NAIP/C4/C1092) and National Agricultural Science Fund (NFBSFARA/RNA-3022/2012-13).

**Data availability** The data sets supporting this article are included in the article and in the supplemental fles.

# **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** Authors declare that no competing interests is associated with this manuscript.

# **References**

- <span id="page-12-4"></span>Adam MAM, Phillips MS, Blok VC (2007) Molecular diagnostic key for identifcation of single juveniles of seven common and economically important species of root-knot nematode (*Meloidogyne* spp.). Plant Pathol 56:190–197
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>Ali MA, Azeem F, Abbas A, Joyia FA, Li H, Dababat AA (2017) Transgenic strategies for enhancement of nematode resistance in plants. Front Plant Sci 8:750
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>Banerjee S, Banerjee A, Gill SS, Gupta OP, Dahuja A, Jain PK, Sirohi A (2017) RNA interference: a novel source of resistance to combat plant parasitic nematodes. Front Plant Sci 8:834
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>Barbary A, Djian-Caporalino C, Palloix A, Castagnone-Sereno P (2015) Host genetic resistance to root-knot nematodes, *Meloidogyne* spp., in Solanaceae: from genes to the feld. Pest Manag Sci 71:1591–1598
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>Bertioli DJ, Smoker M, Burrows PR (1999) Nematode-responsive activity of the caulifower mosaic virus 35S promoter and its subdomains. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 12:189–196
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>Bustin SA, Benes V, Garson JA, Hellemans J, Huggett J, Kubista M, Wittwer CT (2009) The MIQE guidelines: minimum information for publication of quantitative real-time PCR experiments. Clin Chem 55:611–622
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>Byrd DW, Kirkpatrick JRT, Barker KR (1983) An improved technique for clearing and staining plant tissues for detection of nematodes. J Nematol 15:142–143
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>Cabot C, Martos S, Llugany M, Gallego B, Tolrà R, Poschenrieder C (2019) A role for zinc in plant defense against pathogens and herbivores. Front Plant Sci 10:1171
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>Chaudhary S, Dutta TK, Tyagi N, Shivakumara TN, Papolu PK, Chobhe KA, Rao U (2019) Host-induced silencing of *Mi-msp-1* confers resistance to root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita* in eggplant. Transgenic Res 28:327–340
- <span id="page-13-26"></span>Coutu C, Brandle J, Brown D, Miki B, Simmonds J (2007) PORE: a modular binary vector series suited for both monocot and dicot plant transformation. Transgenic Res 16:771–781
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>De Schutter K, Taning CNT, Van Daele L, Van Damme EJM, Dubruel P, Smagghe G (2022) RNAi-based biocontrol products: market status, regulatory aspects, and risk assessment. Front Insect Sci 1:818037
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>Dutta TK, Banakar P, Rao U (2015a) The status of RNAi-based transgenic research in plant nematology. Front Microbiol 5:760
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>Dutta TK, Papolu PK, Banakar P, Choudhary D, Sirohi A, Rao U (2015b) Tomato transgenic plants expressing hairpin construct of a nematode protease gene conferred enhanced resistance to root-knot nematodes. Front Microbiol 6:260
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>Dutta TK, Papolu PK, Singh D, Sreevathsa R, Rao U (2020) Expression interference of a number of *Heterodera avenae* conserved genes perturbs nematode parasitic success in *Triticum aestivum*. Plant Sci 301:110670
- <span id="page-13-25"></span>Dutta TK, Vashisth N, Ray S, Phani V, Chinnusamy V, Sirohi A (2023a) Functional analysis of a susceptibility gene (*HIPP27*) in the *Arabidopsis thaliana*-*Meloidogyne incognita* pathosystem by using a genome editing strategy. BMC Plant Biol 23:390
- <span id="page-13-27"></span>Dutta TK, Santhoshkumar K, Veeresh A, Waghmare C, Mathur C, Sreevathsa R (2023b) RNAi-based knockdown of candidate gut receptor genes altered the susceptibility of *Spodoptera frugiperda* and *S. litura* larvae to a chimeric toxin Cry1AcF. PeerJ 11:e14716
- <span id="page-13-16"></span>Escobar C, De Meutter J, Aristizábal FA, Sanz-Alférez S, del Campo FF, Barthels N et al (1999) Isolation of the LEMMI9 gene and promoter analysis during a compatible plant-nematode interaction. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 12:440–449
- <span id="page-13-17"></span>Escobar C, Barcala M, Portillo M, Almoguera C, Jordano J, Fenoll C (2003) Induction of the *Hahsp17.7G4* promoter by root-knot nematodes: involvement of heat-shock elements in promoter activity in giant cells. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 16:1062–1068
- <span id="page-13-32"></span>Eves-van den Akker S (2021) Plant–nematode interactions. Curr Opin Plant Biol 62:102035
- <span id="page-13-30"></span>Fairbairn DJ, Cavallaro AS, Bernard M, Mahalinga-Iyer J, Graham MW, Botella JR (2007) Host-delivered RNAi: an effective strategy to silence genes in plant parasitic nematodes. Planta 226:1525–1533
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>Fletcher SJ, Reeves PT, Hoang BT, Mitter N (2020) A perspective on RNAi-based biopesticides. Front Plant Sci 11:51
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>Goddijn OJ, Lindsey K, van der Lee FM, Klap JC, Sijmons PC (1993) Diferential gene expression in nematode-induced feeding structures of transgenic plants harbouring promoter-gusA fusion constructs. Plant J 4:863–873
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>Goverse A, Biesheuvel J, Wijers GJ, Gommers FJ, Bakker J, Schots A, Helder J (1998) *In planta* monitoring of the activity of two constitutive promoters, *CaMV 35S* and *TR2´*, in developing feeding cells induced by *Globodera rostochiensis* using green fuorescent protein in combination with confocal laser scanning microscopy. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 52:275–284
- <span id="page-13-21"></span>Green J, Wang D, Lilley CJ, Urwin PE, Atkinson HJ (2012) Transgenic potatoes for potato cyst nematode control can replace pesticide use without impact on soil quality. PLoS ONE 7:e30973
- <span id="page-13-20"></span>Grunewald W, Karimi M, Wieczorek K, Van de Cappelle E, Wischnitzki E, Grundler F, Inze D, Beeckman T, Gheysen G (2008) A role for AtWRKY23 in feeding site establishment of plant-parasitic nematodes. Plant Physiol 148:358–368
- <span id="page-13-28"></span>Jammes F, Lecomte P, de Almeida-Engler J, Bitton F, Martin-Magniette ML, Renou JP et al (2005) Genome-wide expression profling of the host response to root-knot nematode infection in Arabidopsis. Plant J 44:447–458
- <span id="page-13-34"></span>Jones HD (2021) Gene silencing or gene editing: the pros and cons. In: RNAi for plant improvement and protection. CABI, Wallingford, pp 47–53
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>Joshi I, Kumar A, Kohli D, Singh AK, Sirohi A, Subramaniam K, Chaudhury A, Jain PK (2020) Conferring root-knot nematode resistance via host-delivered RNAi-mediated silencing of four *Mi-msp* genes in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Sci 298:110592
- <span id="page-13-23"></span>Joshi I, Kumar A, Kohli D, Bhattacharya R, Sirohi A, Chaudhury A, Jain PK (2022) Gall-specifc promoter, an alternative to the constitutive *CaMV35S* promoter, drives host-derived RNA interference targeting *Mi-msp2* gene to confer efective nematode resistance. Front Plant Sci 13:1007322
- <span id="page-13-22"></span>Kakrana A, Kumar A, Satheesh V, Abdin MZ, Subramaniam K, Bhattacharya RC, Srinivasan R, Sirohi A, Jain PK (2017) Identifcation, validation and utilization of novel nematode-responsive root-specifc promoters in Arabidopsis for inducing host-delivered RNAi mediated root-knot nematode resistance. Front Plant Sci 8:2049
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>Kaloshian I, Teixeira M (2019) Advances in plant-nematode interactions with emphasis on the notorious nematode genus *Meloidogyne*. Phytopathology 109:1988–1996
- <span id="page-13-33"></span>Koch A, Wassenegger M (2021) Host-induced gene silencing—mechanisms and applications. New Phytol 231:54–59
- <span id="page-13-24"></span>Koulagi R, Banerjee S, Gawade BH, Singh AK, Jain PK, Praveen S, Subramaniam K, Sirohi A (2020) Host-delivered RNA interference in tomato for mediating resistance against *Meloidogyne incognita* and Tomato leaf curl virus. Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult (PCTOC) 143:345–361
- <span id="page-13-31"></span>Kumar A, Kakrana A, Sirohi A, Subramaniam K, Srinivasan R, Abdin MZ, Jain PK (2017) Host-delivered RNAi-mediated root-knot nematode resistance in Arabidopsis by targeting splicing factor and integrase genes. J Gen Plant Pathol 83:91–97
- <span id="page-13-18"></span>Lilley CJ, Urwin PE, Johnston KA, Atkinson HJ (2004) Preferential expression of a plant cystatin at nematode feeding sites confers resistance to *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Globodera pallida*. Plant Biotechnol J 2:3–12
- <span id="page-13-19"></span>Lilley CJ, Wang D, Atkinson HJ, Urwin PE (2011) Efective delivery of a nematode-repellent peptide using a root-cap-specifc promoter. Plant Biotechnol J 9:151–161
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>Lilley CJ, Davies LJ, Urwin PE (2012) RNA interference in plant parasitic nematodes: a summary of the current status. Parasitology 139:630–640
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>Mani V, Reddy CS, Lee SK, Park S, Ko HR, Kim DG, Hahn BS (2020) Chitin biosynthesis inhibition of *Meloidogyne incognita* by RNAimediated gene silencing increases resistance to transgenic tobacco plants. Int J Mol Sci 21:6626
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>Moreira VJV, Lourenço-Tessutti IT, Basso MF, Lisei-de-Sa ME, Morgante CV, Paes-de-Melo B, Grossi-de-Sa MF (2022) *Minc03328* efector gene downregulation severely afects *Meloidogyne incognita* parasitism in transgenic *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Planta 255:44
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>Moreira VJV, Pinheiro DH, Lourenço-Tessutti IT, Basso MF, Lisei-de-Sa ME, Silva M, Grossi-de-Sa MF (2023) *In planta* RNAi targeting *Meloidogyne incognita Minc16803* gene perturbs nematode parasitism and reduces plant susceptibility. J Pest Sci. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-023-01623-7) [org/10.1007/s10340-023-01623-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-023-01623-7)
- <span id="page-13-29"></span>Onyango SO, Roderick H, Tripathi JN, Collins R, Atkinson HJ, Oduor RO, Tripathi L (2016) The ZmRCP-1 promoter of maize provides root tip specifc expression of transgenes in plantain. J Biol Res Thessaloniki 23:4
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>Opperman CH, Taylor CG, Conkling MA (1994) Root-knot nematode directed expression of a plant root-specifc gene. Science 263:221–223
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>Papadopoulou N, Devos Y, Álvarez-Alfageme F, Lanzoni A, Waigmann E (2020) Risk assessment considerations for genetically modifed RNAi plants: EFSA's activities and perspective. Front Plant Sci 11:445
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>Papolu PK, Dutta TK, Tyagi N, Urwin PE, Lilley CJ, Rao U (2016) Expression of a cystatin transgene in eggplant provides resistance to root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita*. Front Plant Sci 7:1122
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>Peremarti A, Twyman RM, Go S, Naqvi S, Farré G, Sabalza M et al (2010) Promoter diversity in multigene transformation. Plant Mol Biol 73:363–378
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>Phani V, Gowda MT, Dutta TK (2023) Grafting vegetable crops to manage plant-parasitic nematodes: a review. J Pest Sci. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-023-01658-w) [doi.org/10.1007/s10340-023-01658-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-023-01658-w)
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>Rosso MN, Jones JT, Abad P (2009) RNAi and functional genomics in plant parasitic nematodes. Annu Rev Phytopathol 47:207–232
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>Schmittgen TD, Livak KJ (2008) Analyzing real-time PCR data by the comparative C(T) method. Nat Protoc 3:1101–1108
- <span id="page-14-18"></span>Severino FE, Brandalise M, Costa CS, Wilcken SRS, Maluf MP, Goncalves W et al (2012) *CaPrx*, a *Cofea arabica* gene encoding a putative class III peroxidase induced by root-knot nematode infection. Plant Sci 191–192:35–42
- <span id="page-14-15"></span>Sharma A, Sharma D, Verma SK (2019) Zinc binding proteome of a phytopathogen *Xanthomonas translucens* pv. *undulosa*. R Soc Open Sci 6:190369
- <span id="page-14-14"></span>Shirasu K, Lahaye T, Tan MW, Zhou F, Azevedo C, Schulze-Lefert P (1999) A novel class of eukaryotic zinc-binding proteins is required for disease resistance signaling in barley and development in *C. elegans*. Cell 99:355–366
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>Shivakumara TN, Chaudhary S, Kamaraju D, Dutta TK, Papolu PK, Banakar P, Sreevathsa R, Singh B, Manjaiah KM, Rao U (2017) Host-induced silencing of two pharyngeal gland genes conferred transcriptional alteration of cell wall-modifying enzymes of *Meloidogyne incognita* vis-à-vis perturbed nematode infectivity in eggplant. Front Plant Sci 8:473
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>Siddique S, Endres S, Atkins JM, Szakasits D, Wieczorek K, Hofmann J et al (2009) Myo-inositol oxygenase genes are involved in the development of syncytia induced by *Heterodera schachtii* in Arabidopsis roots. New Phytol 184:457–472
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>Siddique S, Wieczorek K, Szakasits D, Kreil DP, Bohlmann H (2011) The promoter of a plant defensin gene directs specifc expression in nematode induced syncytia in Arabidopsis roots. Plant Physiol Biochem 49:1100–1107
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>Sindhu AS, Maier TR, Mitchum MG, Hussey RS, Davis EL, Baum TJ (2009) Efective and specifc in planta RNAi in cyst nematodes: expression interference of four parasitism genes reduces parasitic success. J Exp Bot 60:315–324
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>Southey JF (1986) Laboratory methods for work with plant and soil nematodes. Fisheries and Food Great Britain: Ministry of Agriculture, London, p 202
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>Sukno S, Shimerling O, Mccuiston J, Tsabary G, Shani Z, Shoseyov O et al (2006) Expression and regulation of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* Cel1 endo 1,4 beta glucanase gene during compatible plantnematode interactions. J Nematol 38:354–361
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>Urwin PE, Lilley CJ, McPherson MJ, Atkinson HJ (1997) Resistance to both cyst and root-knot nematodes conferred by transgenic Arabidopsis expressing a modifed plant cystatin. Plant J 12:455–461
- <span id="page-14-19"></span>Ventura V, Frisio DG (2021) The economics of RNAi-based innovation: from the innovation landscape to consumer acceptance. In: RNAi for plant improvement and protection. CABI, Wallingford, pp 159–166
- <span id="page-14-17"></span>Vercauteren I, van der Schueren E, Van Montagu M, Gheysen G (2001) *Arabidopsis thaliana* genes expressed in the early compatible interaction with root knot nematodes. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 14:288–299
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>Vieira P, Gleason C (2019) Plant-parasitic nematode efectors-insights into their diversity and new tools for their identifcation. Curr Opin Plant Biol 50:37–43
- <span id="page-14-20"></span>Wheatley MS, Yang Y (2021) Versatile applications of the CRISPR/ Cas toolkit in plant pathology and disease management. Phytopathology 111:1080–1090
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>Yadav BC, Veluthambi K, Subramaniam K (2006) Host-generated double stranded RNA induces RNAi in plant-parasitic nematodes and protects the host from infection. Mol Biochem Parasitol 148:219–222
- <span id="page-14-16"></span>Zschiesche W, Barth O, Daniel K, Böhme S, Rausche J, Humbeck K (2015) The zinc-binding nuclear protein HIPP 3 acts as an upstream regulator of the salicylate-dependent plant immunity pathway and of fowering time in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. New Phytol 207:1084–1096

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.