ORIGINAL PAPER

LchERF, a novel ethylene-responsive transcription factor from *Lycium chinense*, confers salt tolerance in transgenic tobacco

Dianyun Wu · Jing Ji · Gang Wang · Chunfeng Guan · Chao Jin

Received: 6 May 2014/Revised: 11 August 2014/Accepted: 24 August 2014/Published online: 3 September 2014 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2014

Abstract

Key message An ERF gene, *LchERF*, was cloned from *L. chinense* for the first time. Overexpression of *LchERF* conferred salt stress tolerance in transgenic tobacco lines during seed germination and vegetative growth.

Abstract Ethylene-responsive transcription factors (ERFs) play important roles in tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses by regulating the expression of stressresponsive genes. Although the ERF proteins involved in defense responses against biotic stresses have been extensively documented, the mechanisms by which ERF subfamily genes regulate plant responses to abiotic stresses are largely unknown. In this study, a novel ethylene-responsive transcription factor, named LchERF, was isolated from Lycium chinense (a salinity-resistant plant). Analysis of the LchERF-deduced protein sequence showed that it had a typical AP2/ERF domain and belonged to the B-3 subgroup of the ERF subfamily. The expression of LchERF was found to be tissue specific in L. chinense under normal conditions. Upon treatment with NaCl, polyethylene glycol (PEG) or ethephon (ET), transcript levels of LchERF rapidly increased in L. chinense. Overexpression of LchERF

Communicated by Howard S. Judelson.

D. Wu

School of Chemical Engineering and Technology, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, People's Republic of China

J. Ji $(\boxtimes) \cdot G$. Wang $\cdot C$. Guan $\cdot C$. Jin

School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, People's Republic of China e-mail: jijing@tju.edu.cn

G. Wang e-mail: wanggangtjdx@126.com conferred salt stress tolerance in transgenic tobacco during seed germination and vegetative growth. Compared with control lines, *LchERF*-overexpressing plants showed higher chlorophyll and proline contents, and were associated with lower H_2O_2 content under salt stress. Overall, our results demonstrate that LchERF might play an important role in the regulation of plant responses to abiotic stresses and mediate various physiological pathways that enhance salt stress tolerance in plants.

Keywords Ethylene-responsive factor \cdot *Lycium chinense* \cdot Osmotic pressure \cdot ROS \cdot Salt tolerance

Introduction

Plants are constantly exposed to adverse environmental conditions throughout their life cycle, including biotic and abiotic stresses (Cai et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2004). However, plants have developed a finely tuned adaptive network to perceive stress signals and regulate the expression of specific stress-related genes during the course of evolution. Transcription factors play key roles in regulating plant stress responses through binding to cis-acting elements in the promoters of stress-related genes or interacting with other transcription factors, subsequently activating or repressing the expression of related genes (Chen and Zhu 2004; Schenk et al. 2000; Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and Shinozaki 2006). For example, MYB, NAC, bZIP (zinc finger proteins), ABA responsive element (ABRE)-binding proteins and AP2/ERF proteins are known to play important roles in regulating the expression of specific stressrelated genes.

AP2/ERF transcription factors form one of the largest plant transcription factor families. The AP2/ERF

superfamily is defined by the AP2/ERF domain, which consists of about 60-70 amino acids and is involved in DNA binding (Nakano et al. 2006). Based on differences in the binding domain, the AP2/ERF superfamily can be divided into five main subfamilies: AP2 (APETALA2), CBF/DREB, ERF (ethylene-responsive factor), RAV and one very specific gene, At4g13040 (Nakano et al. 2006; Sakuma et al. 2002). The AP2 subfamily proteins, which contain two AP2/ERF domains, mainly participate in the regulation of developmental processes. RAV subfamily proteins contain a B3 domain and one AP2/ERF domain, and are involved in ethylene, brassinosteroid, and biotic and abiotic stress responses. Although both the ERF and CBF/DREB subfamily proteins only have one AP2/ERF domain each, the highly conserved 14th and 19th amino acids distinguish the ERF proteins (alanine and aspartic acid, respectively) from CBF/DREB (valine and glutamic acid, respectively) proteins. The members of the CBF/ DREB subfamily play vital roles in plant abiotic stress resistance by recognizing the dehydration responsive or cold-repeat element (DRE/CRT) with a core motif of A/GCCGAC (Hu et al. 2012; Kishor et al. 1995; Mishra et al. 2013). Ethylene-responsive transcription factors (ERFs) were first identified from tobacco as GCC boxbinding proteins, and can either induce or repress the expression of genes containing the GCC box and related elements in their promoters. Sequence analysis showed that ERFs contained a highly conserved, plant-specific DNAbinding domain consisting of 58-59 amino acids (Ohme-Takagi and Shinshi 1995). The tertiary structure of the ERF domain in AtERF1 has been analyzed. It consists of a three-stranded anti-parallel β -sheet and an α -helix packed approximately parallel to the β -sheet (Allen et al. 1998). Based on the sequence identities of their DNA-binding domains, the ERF subfamily members can be divided into six small subgroups (B-1 through B-6) (Sakuma et al. 2002). The ERF subfamily members are mainly involved in responses to biotic stresses by recognizing the cis-acting element AGCCGCC, known as the GCC box (Hao et al. 1998). Certain ERF subfamily members also can bind DRE/CRT elements (Lee et al. 2004; Zhang et al. 2009).

Ethylene-responsive transcription factors (ERFs) have been identified in many plant species, such as *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Oñate-Sánchez and Singh 2002), *Nicotiana tabacum* (Fischer and Dröge-Laser 2004), and *Lycopersicon esculentum* (Tournier et al. 2003). The ERF proteins involved in defense responses against biotic stresses have been extensively documented (Gutterson and Reuber 2004; Park et al. 2001). For example, overexpression of ERF genes in transgenic *Arabidopsis* or tobacco plants can induce the expression of several PR (pathogenesis-related) genes, resulting in enhanced resistance of transgenic plants to bacterial, fungal or viral pathogens (Berrocal-Lobo et al. 2002; Fischer and Dröge-Laser 2004; Yi et al. 2004; Zuo et al. 2007). The expression of the wheat ERF gene TaPIE1 was induced following Rhizoctonia cerealis infection. Ta-PIE1-overexpressing transgenic wheat exhibited significantly enhanced resistance to R. cerealis (Zhu et al. 2014). Recent studies have found that certain ERF proteins participate in abiotic stress responses in plants (Schmidt et al. 2013). For example, transcripts of GhERF4 from Gossypium hirsutum accumulated rapidly to high levels when plants were treated with salt stress (Jin and Liu 2008), and overexpression of JcERF gene isolated from Jatropha curcas seedlings in transgenic Arabidopsis enhanced salt tolerance (Tang et al. 2007). Seedlings of TaERF3-overexpressing transgenic wheat exhibited significantly enhanced tolerance to both drought and salt stresses compared with untransformed lines. Conversely, TaERF3silencing wheat plants showed more sensitivity to drought and salt stresses compared with the control plants (Rong et al. 2014). However, abiotic stresses are complex stimuli that can induce many different yet related physiological changes (e.g. ionic imbalance and osmotic stress) (Zhu 2001). Thus, the mechanisms by which ERF subfamily genes regulate plant responses to abiotic stresses need to be further examined.

Lycium chinense Mill, belonging to the family Solanaceae, is a drought-resistant shrub or small tree that is extensively distributed in the northwestern areas of China and other warm and subtropical countries such as Japan, Korea and some European countries. The fruit of L. chinense has not only nutrient but also medicinal value. It can reduce blood glucose and serum lipids, and is therefore considered as an anti-aging, immunomodulation, antitumor and male-fertility-facilitating agent (Gan et al. 2004). Moreover, L. chinense has great capability for environmental adaptation, so it is a good choice for studying plant environmental resistance. Previous studies on this plant have mainly focused on the extraction of active components, pharmacology and medical functions, cultivation and so on (Chang and So 2008; Gan et al. 2004; Li et al. 2007). However, our understanding of the molecular mechanisms of L. chinense responses to abiotic stresses such as salt and drought stress is limited. Additionally, although there has been substantial progress in the identification and functional analysis of ERF genes in model plants, the ERF genes in L. chinense have not been characterized. Therefore, it is important to clone and characterize ERF genes from L. chinense.

In this study, a novel ERF gene was cloned from *L. chinense* and designated *LchERF*. The expression patterns of *LchERF* in response to abiotic stresses and its function in transgenic tobacco were investigated.

Materials and methods

Plant material, growth conditions and treatments

Lycium chinense seedlings were grown at 25 °C with a 16-h light/8-h dark cycle and relative humidity of 60–75 %. Experiments were carried out using 10-week-old plants with 26–30 leaves.

Seeds from transgenic plants were surface sterilized and sown on Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium for germination under a 16/8-h light/dark cycle at 22/25 °C. Twoweek-old *Nicotiana tabacum* seedlings were transplanted into soil and further grown in the greenhouse under a 16/8h light/dark cycle at 25 °C and 60–75 % relative humidity. All seeds used in this experiment were harvested at the same stage and stored similarly and for the same duration, thus guaranteeing same seed viability.

Before stress treatment, L. chinense plants were carefully uprooted and transferred to Hoagland's solution for 7 days under same conditions as described above. For salt and drought treatments, the L. chinense plants were placed in different culture bottles wrapped with aluminum foil containing 300 mM NaCl or 20 % PEG 6000 solution; for the ethylene treatment, the L. chinense plants were sprayed with 100 µM ethephon (ET) solution. All plants were cultured at 25 °C with a 16-h light/8-h dark cycle and relative humidity of 60-75 %. Stress treatments were given for different time durations (0, 1, 3, 6, 12, 24 h). Sample treated at 0 h was used as control. The fourth to fifth leaves from the top of the first lateral branch were harvested at the indicated times and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen before further analysis. For each treatment, ten independent plants at the same age were treated simultaneously. Each treatment was repeated at least three times independently.

Cloning procedure and sequence analysis of LchERF gene

Total RNA was extracted from the leaves of *L. chinense* using the RNeasy plant mini kit (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The isolated total RNA was used as the template for first-strand cDNA synthesis using a TaKaRa RNA PCR Kit (AMV) Ver. 3.0. A gene-specific upstream primer was designed based on the Unigene of the EST of *L. chinense* sequenced by BGI (Beijing Genomics Institute). The M13 Primer M4 in the TaKaRa RNA PCR Kit (AMV) Ver. 3.0 was used as the down-stream primer. All primer sequences are presented in Table 1. Amplification was performed with *L. chinense* cDNA templates and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) products were purified directly using a MiniBEST DNA Fragment Purification Kit Ver.4.0 (TaKaRa). After being cloned into the pMD18-T vector using a pMDTM 18-T

Vector Cloning Kit (TaKaRa), the *LchERF* gene sequences were confirmed by sequencing three clones one time from three independent PCRs. The nucleotide and predicted amino acid sequences were analyzed using DNAMAN. Multiple alignments of the deduced amino acid sequence were performed using the Clustal W and DNAMAN programs, and a phylogenetic tree was constructed with the neighbor-joining (NJ) method using the MEGA 4.1 software with the following parameters: Poisson correction, complete deletion, and bootstrap (500 replicates) (Zhuang et al. 2013).

Semi-quantitative RT-PCR analyses

To investigate the differential expression patterns of *LchERF* in different tissues (root, stem and leaf) of *L. chinense* plants under normal conditions, the total RNA of roots, stems and leaves was extracted using the RNeasy plant mini kit (QIAGEN) from the same *L. chinense* plant, which was not treated with any stress. To detect *LchERF* differential expression patterns between the control and stress-treated plants, total RNA of treated *L. chinense* plants was extracted. For semi-quantitative reverse-transcriptase RT-PCR analysis, total RNA was used as the template for first-strand cDNA synthesis using the TransScriptII one-step gDNA removal and cDNA synthesis SuperMix with random primers (TransGen Biotech). All protocols were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions.

PCR amplification was carried out for 4 min at 94 °C, followed by 28 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 56 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s. A final extension was performed at 72 °C for 8 min. All primer sequences are presented in Table 1. The constitutively expressed β -actin (GenBank accession number: KM011338) gene was used as an internal control. The RT-PCR product of the *LchERF* gene was sequenced to verify the specificity of PCR amplification. The experiment was repeated at least three times using independently isolated total RNAs. The cycle numbers of the PCR were adjusted to obtain barely visible bands in agarose gels. The PCR products were loaded on 0.75 % agarose gels and stained with ethidium bromide.

Construction binary vector and transformation of tobacco

The open reading frame (ORF) of *LchERF* was amplified by PCR using a specific primer pair (LchERFf2 and LchERFr2) modified to include *Bam*HI and *SalI* restriction sites. The fragment was inserted into the binary vector pCAMBIA2300 under the control of the *Cauliflower mosaic virus* (CaMV35S) promoter. Then, the recombinant plasmid pCAMBIA2300-*LchERF* was introduced into Table 1 Oligonucleotide primers used in this study

Primer	Primer sequences 5' 3'
LchERFf	ATGTATCAACCCATTTCTACTGAGTTAGC
M13Primer m4	GTTTTCCCAGTCACGAC
LchERFf1	TTGGAACTTACGAGACGGCT
LchERFr1	CAGCTGATCGTCGCTTAACC
ActinF	GGAAACATAGTGCTCAGTGGTG
ActinR	GCTGAGGGAAGCCAAGATAG
LchERFf2	CGC <u>GGATCC</u> ATGTATCAACCCATTTCTACTGAGTTAGC
LchERFr2	ACGC <u>GTCGAC</u> TTAACTGACCAATAGCTGCTCGCCA
LchERFf3	TCTACAAGACGCTTTAAATAT
LchERFr3	GCTTAACCGTCACTCGAACG
Vf	ATACCGTAAAGCACGAGGAAG
Vr	CTGAAGCGGGAAGGGACT

LchERFf gene-specific upstream primer, LchERFf1 semi-quantitative RT-PCR forward primer of LchERF, LchERFr1 semi-quantitative RT-PCR reverse primer of β-actin, ActinR semi-quantitative RT-PCR reverse primer of β-actin, LchERFf2 the forward primer for binary vector construction (BamHI site underlined), LchERFr2 the reverse primer for binary vector construction (SaII site underlined), LchERFf3 the forward primer for transgenic plant detection, LchERFr3 the reverse primer for transgenic plant detection, Vf the forward primer for Vec plant detection, Vr the reverse primer for Vec plant detection

Agrobacterium tumefaciens (strain C58). As a control (Vec), the empty vector pCAMBIA2300 was introduced into *A. tumefaciens* (strain C58) simultaneously. Agrobacterium-mediated transformation tobacco was performed by the leaf disc method (Horsch et al. 1985). Putative transgenic seedlings were screened on 100 mg/L kanamycin MS agar medium. The T₀ kanamycin-resistant seedlings were confirmed by PCR analysis using the specific primers. The seeds of all transgenic lines were harvested for subsequent analysis. The seeds of T₁ progeny transgenic lines were used in the present study. All of the primers used in this study are presented in Table 1.

Salt stress assays

Seeds from T_1 progeny transgenic lines were surface sterilized and sown on MS agar medium containing different concentrations of NaCl (0, 50, 150, and 200 mM). The germination rate was determined after 15 days.

Seedlings of transgenic lines grown on normal MS medium for 7 days were transferred onto MS agar medium supplemented with different concentrations of NaCl (0, 50, 150, and 200 mM) for 14 days. At the end of the salt treatment, the length of the roots was measured, and then all leaves were excised from the treated seedlings and immersed in 95 % ethanol for 24 h to extract chlorophyll. The total chlorophyll in the leaves was determined as described below.

Eight-week-old transgenic plants planted in soil were irrigated with 200 mM NaCl solution for 30 days at 3-day intervals. After NaCl treatment for 16 days (a total of four irrigations), the third to fourth leaves from the top of the transgenic plants were harvested for physiological analysis. The contents of proline and H_2O_2 in the leaves were examined as described below. Following irrigation with 200 mM NaCl solution for an additional 14 days (a total of eight irrigations), the survival percentage (the number of surviving plants relative to the total number of treated plants) was counted.

Measurement of proline, chlorophyll and H₂O₂ concentrations

Proline concentration was determined according to Bates et al. (1973). The content of H_2O_2 was estimated by monitoring the absorbance at 415 nm of the titanium peroxide complex as described by Jiang and Zhang (2001). The total chlorophyll was measured according to Wintermans and De Mots (1965). At least ten independent plants were evaluated in each test, and all tests were repeated three times.

Results

Isolation and sequence analysis of LchERF

The *LchERF* gene (GenBank accession number KJ584446) was isolated from *L. chinense* for the first time. *LchERF* had an open reading frame (ORF) of 678 bp encoding a protein of 225 amino acids with a predicted molecular mass of 24.71 kDa, and a pI of 8.62. The predicted LchERF protein had a typical conserved DNA-binding domain (AP2/ERF domain) of 59 amino acids. Moreover, LchERF

Fig. 1 a Nucleotide sequence and deduced amino acid of the LchERF gene from L. chinense. The AP2/ERF conserved domain is underlined, the conserved 14th alanine and 19th aspartic acid are marked with asterisks. b The phylogenetic relationships between LchERF and other ERF subfamily TFs from Arabidopsis. The phylogenetic tree was created with the neighbor-joining method using the MEGA 4.1 software. The numbers above or below the branches indicate the bootstrap values from 500 replicates. LchERF is boxed. c The 3D structure of LchERF, a α -helix, b β -stranded sheet. d Alignment of the amino acid sequence of LchERF with other ERFs belonged to the B-3 group. Amino acid residues that are conserved in at least three of the six sequences are colored, whereas amino acids identical in all six proteins are shown with a dark background. The putative three β -stranded sheets are shown with arrows above the sequences; the α -helix is marked with a helical curve above. The GenBank accession numbers of all proteins used here are LchERF (KJ584446), AtERF1 (AB008103), AtERF5 (AB008107), LeERF1 (AY192367), LeERF4 (AY192370) and GhERF1 (AY181251). The database of A. thaliana ERF subfamily protein sequences was downloaded from the DATF (the database of Arabidopsis transcription factors) website

Α ATGTATCAACCCATTTCTACTGAGTTAGCTCCAACTAGTTTCAGTAATTTCATGTCATGTTTGACAGAGTCATGG 75 M Y Q P I S T E L A P T S F S N F M S C L T E S W 25 GGTGACTTGCCGTTAAAAGTTGACGATTCCGAAGATATGGTAATTTATGGGCTTCTACAAGACGCTTTAAATATC 150 G D L P L K V D D S E D M V I Y G L L Q D A L N I 50 GGATGGAECCCGTTAAATTTAACGTCCATGGAAGTTAAAGCCGAGCCAAGGGAGGAGACTGAGCCAGCTACGAGT 225 G W T P L N L T S M E V K A E P R E E T E P A T S 75 CCTGTCCCTTCAGTGGETCCAACGGECGAGACTACAACGGGTCGAGECGTTGTGCAGECTAAGGGAAGGCATTAT 300 PVPSVAPTAETTTGRAVVQPKGR<u>HY</u> 100 375 AGGGGCATCAGACAAAGACCGTGGGGGGAAATTCGCAGCGGAGATAAGAGATCCAGCTAAAAAATGGTGCACGTGTC 125 <u>RGIRQRPWGKFAAEIRDPAKNGARV</u> TGGETTGGAACTTAEGAGACGGETGAAGAAGETGEGETEGETTATGATAAAGEGGETTAEAGGATGEGEGGGETEA 450 W L G T Y E T A E E A YDKA RMRGS 150 A T A A Y 525 AAGGETETATTAAATTTEEEGEATAGAATEGGETTAAATGAGEEGGAAEEEGTTEGAGTGAEGGTTAAGEGAEGA 175 <u>A L L N F P</u> H R I G L N E P E P V R V T V K R R TCAGETGAADDGGETAGCTCGTCGATATCATCGGCTTCCGAAAGTGGCTCACCAAAGAGGAGGAAAAAAGCTGTA 600 S A E P A S S S I S S A S E S G S P K R R K K A V 200 675 GTGGCTAAGCCAAGCCGAATTAGAAGTTCAGAGCCGACCAAATGTAATGCCAGTTGGCGAGCAGCTATTGGTCAGT 225 V A K O A E L E V O S R P N V M P V G E O L L V S 678 TAA

В



Fig. 1 continued



С

n		
LChERE		1 2
A+FPF1	MSMTADSOSDVAFI FSTERHI I GESEDTI SESTASSWTOSCUTCOSTKOWYCEND	т <i>с</i> 5 с
ACBRE 1	MATTENER I I DEAL	20
LOEDE1		24
Leerfi Leepf4		24
LEERF4		22
GhERFI	MPATFE <mark>EST</mark> T <mark>LEFI</mark> RQ <mark>H</mark> LLGDFA	23
Consensu	S	
LchERF	SFSNFMSCLTESWGDLPLKVDDSEDMVIYGLLQD <mark>A</mark> LNIGWTPLNLTSME	61
AtERF1	SFSKLYPCFTESWGDLPLKENDSEDMLVYGILND <mark>A</mark> FHGGWEPSSSSSDEDRSSFP	110
AtERF5	PVATDPWMKHESSSATESSSDSSSIIFGSSSSSFAPIDFSESVCKPEIIDLDTPR	77
LeERF1	SFSSLMPCLTESWGDLPLKVNDSEDMVIYGFLODAFSIGWTPSNLTSEE	73
LeERF4	TTESFIDSLNSCFSDHISSSDDISPVFTSVKTEPSTSNSLSDSPN	67
GhERF1	TVDAFINTLDFGLSHLOPOSHOLPEIFTHG, VEPAP	58
Consensu	S	00
LChERF	VKAPPREETEPATSPVPSVAPTAETTTGRAVVQPK	96
AtERF1	SKTSPVSAAVDSVPVKKEKTSPVSAAVTAAK	144
AtERF5	SMEFLSIPFEFDSEVSVSDFDFKPSNQNQNQFEPELKSQIRKP <mark>PL</mark> KISLPAKTEW	132
LeERF1	VKLEPREEIEPAMSTSVSPPTVAPAALQPK	103
LeERF4	SSYPNEPNSPISR.YFNLR <mark>S</mark> DFPEFKIDSDTILS <mark>PV</mark> FDSSAGSNE.	111
GhERF1	<mark>I</mark> TK <mark>E</mark> PFC <mark>E</mark> E	67
Consensu	s e β-sheet-1 β-sheet-2 β-sheet-3	
LChERF	GRHYRGTRORPWGKFAAETROPAKNCARWWLGTYF	131
A+ERF1	GKHYRGVRORPWCKFAAFTRDPAKNCARWWLGTFF	170
A+EDE5		187
LOEDE1		130
Leerfi Leerfi		150
Chepel		100
GIERFI		102
Consensu	s q-helix yrg r rpwgkraaeirop g r wigt	
LchERF	TAEEAALAYDKAA <mark>YRMRGSKAL</mark> LNFPHRIGLNEPEPVRVTVKRRSAEPASSSISS	186
AtERF1	TAEDAALAYDRAAFRMRGSRALLNFPLRVNSGEPDPVRIKSKRSSFSS	227
AtERF5	TAIEAARAYDEAAFRIRGSKAILNFPLEVGK, WKPRADEGEKKRKRDDDEKVTVV	241
LeERF1	SAEEAALAYGKAAFRMRGTKALLNEPHRIGLNEPEPVRVTVKRRLSESASSSVSS	193
LeERF4	TDIDAARAYDCAAFKMRGRKATLNFPLDAGK, SGAPANVGRKRRRENKMELV	201
GhERF1	SDVDAAKAYDCAAFKMRGOKATI.NEPLEAGEGSOPPAVTTCRKRRREK RVWLP	155
Consensu	s aa ay aa rg a lnfp	100
Laberr		0 O F
LCRERF	ASESGSPKRRKKAVVAKQAELEVQSR.PNVMPV.GEQLLVS	220
ATERFI	SNENGAPKKRRTVAAGGGMDKGLTVKCEVVEVARGDKLLVL	268
Aterr5	EKVLKTEQSVDVNGGETFPFVTSNLTELCDWDLTGFLNFPLLSPLSPHPPFGYSQ	296
LeERF1	A <mark>SE</mark> S <mark>GSPK</mark> RRRKGVAAKQA <mark>E</mark> LEVESRGPN <mark>V</mark> MKV. <mark>G</mark> CQMFQLASSYWLVKIWS	244
LeERF4	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	201
GhERF1	E <mark>SD</mark> IT <mark>SP</mark> GSSEMAW <mark>E</mark> VKEEEGELDDDDRN <mark>G</mark> LS <mark>LL</mark> TRKRVIVTC	198
Consensu	S	
LchERF		225
Aterf1		268
Aterf5	I.TV	290
Leerf1		244
LOEREA	•••	201
Chepel	•••	201
GIERFI		Т 9 6
consensu	.5	

had conserved alanine (A) and aspartic acid (D) residues at the 14th and 19th positions in the AP2/ERF domain, which are believed to contribute to functional GCC box and CRT/ DRE motif-binding activity in many ERF subfamily members (Fig. 1a). Based on the similarity of the amino acid sequences of their DNA-binding domains, ERF subfamily proteins in *Arabidopsis* are divided into six subgroups (B-1 through B-6) (Sakuma et al. 2002). A phylogenetic tree was created from the deduced amino acid sequences of LchERF and



Fig. 2 Expression profiles of *LchERF* in different tissues and under abiotic stresses. **a** The tissue-specific expression of *LchERF* under normal conditions. Total RNA was extracted from the roots, stems and leaves of 11-week-old *L. chinense* plants, and was subjected to RT-PCR analysis. Analysis of *LchERF* transcription in leaves of *L. chinense* plants under various stress conditions. For the stress treatments, 11-week-old *L. chinense* plants were subjected to treatment with 300 mM NaCl (**b**), 20 % PEG 6000 (**c**), and 100 μ M ethylene (ET), released from ethephon (**d**). Total RNA was isolated from the fourth to fifth leaves from the top of the first lateral branch at the indicated times following the initiation of treatment and was subjected to RT-PCR analysis

other ERF proteins from *Arabidopsis*. The result revealed that LchERF belonged to the B-3 group of the ERF subfamily (Fig. 1b).

The *A. thaliana* ethylene-responsive transcription factor AtERF1 is a unique AP2 superfamily protein, and its crystallographic structure has been analyzed. The DNAbinding domain (DBD) of AtERF1 consists of a threestranded anti-parallel β -sheet and an α -helix packed approximately parallel to the β -sheet (Allen et al. 1998). Using Swiss model, we constructed a tertiary structure model of LchERF and found that the DBDs of LchERF and AtERF1 shared 82.61 % identity. The DNA-binding domain of LchERF contained a three-stranded anti-parallel β -sheet and an α -helix that lay parallel to the β -sheet, like in AtERF1 (Fig. 1c). However, the Val at position 149 in AtERF1 was replaced by Ile 103 in LchERF (Fig. 1d). In addition, some other amino acids in the DBD domain of LchERF were not identical to those in AtERF1; these residues may be responsible for binding specificity (Wang et al. 2010).

Expression patterns of LchERF in L. chinense

To investigate the expression patterns of *LchERF* in *L. chinense*, the level of *LchERF* mRNA in different organs was monitored by semi-quantitative RT-PCR. The results of these experiments are shown in Fig. 2a. *LchERF* mRNA was expressed in the root, stem and leaf. *LchERF* transcript levels in the stem and root were nearly the same but the transcript level in the leaf was higher than in the root and stem. These results suggested that the expression of *LchERF* in *L. chinense* was tissue specific.

Changes in *LchERF* transcript levels in response to abiotic stress were investigated. As shown in Fig. 2b, after salt treatment, *LchERF* mRNA accumulation increased with time and reached a maximum at 12 h. The transcript level then decreased, but remained higher than that in the control (0 h). Upon treatment with 20 % PEG 6000, the transcript level of *LchERF* was induced obviously within 1 h and reached a peak at 3 h, then reduced slightly at 6 h and remained no changed until 24 h. After *L. chinense* plants were sprayed with 100 mM ethephon solution, the transcript level of *LchERF* was also induced, with an induction peak at 6 h, and then declined with time until 24 h. These results indicated that the *LchERF* gene was involved in responses to abiotic stresses.

Identification of transgenic plants

To investigate the function of the *LchERF* gene, we overexpressed its ORF under the control of the CaMV 35S promoter in tobacco. A total of 30 independent transgenic lines (T_0) were selected by kanamycin-resistance screening, and then these transgenic lines were confirmed by PCR detection with the genomic DNA of *N. tabacum* as a template (data not shown). Ten T_1 progeny plants from *LchERF*-overexpressing lines were randomly selected to detect the *LchERF* expression levels in leaves by semiquantitative RT-PCR. As shown in Fig. 3, six lines showed high *LchERF* expression; no LchERF expression was



Fig. 3 Analysis of the *LchERF* expression level in transgenic tobacco plants by semi-quantitative RT-PCR. Total RNA was extracted from leaves of T_1 progeny transgenic tobacco plants grown under normal conditions

detected in empty vector plants. The seeds from three representative *LchERF*-overexpressing lines (OE-1, OE-5, OE-7) were selected for further functional analysis.

Overexpression of *LchERF* enhanced the salt tolerance of transgenic plants

Because the expression of *LchERF* in *L. chinense* was significantly induced by salt treatment, we investigated whether *LchERF* could increase salt tolerance in transgenic tobacco plants.

Seeds from three *LchERF*-overexpressing lines (OE-1, OE-5, OE-7) and control lines were planted on MS plates containing different concentrations of NaCl. No significant difference was observed between the control and *LchERF*-overexpressing lines on MS plates with 0 or 50 mM NaCl during germination. However, on the MS plates containing 150 and 200 mM NaCl, the *LchERF*-overexpressing lines showed a significantly higher germination rate compared with the control lines. The germination rate of all *LchERF*-overexpressing lines was more than 70 % on the MS plates with 150 mM NaCl, but was only 50.7 % for the control. When the concentration of NaCl was increased to 200 mM, the germination rate of all *LchERF*-overexpressing lines was still more than 40 %, but only 13.1 % of the empty vector seeds germinated (Fig. 4a, b).

The sensitivity of seedling growth to salt stress was also assayed. The seeds planted on normal MS agar medium for 7 days were transferred onto MS agar medium supplemented with different concentrations of NaCl for 14 days. There was no significant difference in root length among all tested plants under 0 or 50 mM NaCl stress conditions. Although 150 and 200 mM NaCl stress suppressed root growth in all tested plants, the *LchERF*overexpressing lines had longer roots than the control lines, and the control seedlings had smaller cotyledons than the *LchERF*-overexpressing lines (Fig. 4c, d). In addition, after salt treatment, the total chlorophyll content was also measured. There was no significantly difference Fig. 4 Salt tolerance tests comparing Vec and *LchERF*-overexpress-▶ ing N. tabacum plants. a Phenotypic analysis of Vec and LchERFoverexpressing seeds on MS medium supplemented with 0 mM and 200 mM NaCl. The seeds were allowed to grow for 15 days before the photographs were taken. b Germination rates of the Vec and LchERF-overexpressing lines under normal conditions and different NaCl concentration treatments. The germination rate was counted 15 days after sowing. c The root morphology of the Vec and LchERFoverexpressing lines on MS medium containing different concentrations of NaCl. Seeds planted on MS medium for 7 days were transferred to MS medium supplemented with different concentrations of NaCl, and the photograph was taken 14 days after transfer. Scale bar 1 cm. d Primary root lengths of the seedlings 14 days after transfer to MS medium containing different NaCl concentrations. e Total chlorophyll content of the Vec and LchERF-overexpressing lines after salt treatment for 14 days. f Photograph of representative 8-week-old Vec and LchERF-overexpressing plants irrigated with 200 mM NaCl solution for 16 days (four times of irrigations). g Survival rates of 8-week-old transgenic plants irrigated with 200 mM NaCl solution for 30 days (eight times of irrigations). Each column represents the mean of three independent experiments, and bars indicate SDs. Asterisks above the column indicate significant differences in comparison with the control (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01)

in total chlorophyll content between *LchERF*-overexpressing and the control plants under 0 and 50 mM NaCl stress conditions. Although the total chlorophyll content was reduced under 150 and 200 mM stress condition in all tested plants, the extent of this decline in *LchERF*-overexpressing lines was much less than that in the control plants (Fig. 4e).

To further verify that *LchERF* enhanced the salt tolerance of the overexpression lines, 8-week-old transgenic plants planted in soil were irrigated with 200 mM NaCl solution for 30 days at 3-day intervals. After NaCl treatment for 16 days (a total of four irrigations), the control lines showed obvious growth retardation and the leaves were chlorotic, whereas the majority of the *LchERF*overexpressing lines grew normally (Fig. 4f). Following irrigation with 200 mM NaCl solution for an additional 14 days (a total of eight irrigations), the survival rate of the Vec plants was only 61.8 %, but more than 80 % of the *LchERF*-overexpressing plants survived (Fig. 4g). These results showed that overexpression of *LchERF* could enhance the salt tolerance of transgenic plants during both seed germination and vegetative growth.

Physiological changes in transgenic plants under salt stress

Free proline content and the redox homeostasis of cells in plant leaves are important physiological indices of drought and salinity tolerance (Chang and So 2008; Mao et al. 2011; Szabados and Savouré 2010). To explore the physiological mechanism of the salt stress tolerance conferred by *LchERF* overexpression, the proline and H_2O_2 contents



in transgenic plants were measured before and after 200 mM NaCl treatment. No significant difference in proline and H_2O_2 contents between *LchERF*-overexpressing and Vec plants was observed before salt stress. The proline content increased after salt stress in both control

and *LchERF*-overexpressing plants, but *LchERF*-overexpressing lines accumulated higher levels of proline compared with control lines (Fig. 5a). These results indicated that overexpression of *LchERF* could enhance proline accumulation in the leaves of transgenic plants under salt stress. Although the H_2O_2 content increased after salt stress in all tested lines, the extent of this enhancement in all *LchERF*-overexpressing plants was much less than that in the Vec plants. The H_2O_2 content in control plants doubled after 200 mM NaCl treatment, whereas the *LchERF*-overexpressing plants only showed 56.2, 35.4 and 49.1 % increases in H_2O_2 content under the same salt stress (OE-1, OE-5 and OE-7, respectively; Fig. 5b). These results suggested that overexpression of the *LchERF* gene could suppress H_2O_2 accumulation in transgenic plants under salt stress.

Discussion

The ERF subfamily is a large family of plant transcription factors that belongs to the AP2/ERF superfamily (Riechmann and Meyerowitz 1998). Early studies indicated that the ERF subfamily members primarily participated in biotic stress responses by recognizing the cis-acting element AGCCGCC, known as the GCC box (Hao et al. 1998). Recent investigations have shown that certain ERF subfamily proteins are involved in both biotic and abiotic stress responses by regulating specific stress-related genes (Li et al. 2007; Rong et al. 2014; Yamamoto et al. 1999). However, our understanding of how ERF subfamily genes regulate plant responses to abiotic stresses is still limited. L. chinense has great capability for environmental adaption. Therefore, investigation into the mechanisms by which L. chinense ERFs regulate stress responses is vital for understanding plants adaptation to environmental stresses. However, the ERF genes of L. chinense and the physiological processes they regulate under abiotic stresses have not been reported.

In this study, a novel *LchERF* gene was isolated from *L. chinense*. Sequence alignment showed that the predicted LchERF protein had a single conserved DNA-binding domain (AP2/ERF domain) of 59 amino acids, which contained two key conserved amino acid residues (position 14-alanine and position 19-aspartic acid). In addition, phylogenetic tree analysis showed that *LchERF* was a member of the ERF B-3 subgroup. These data indicate that *LchERF* is a novel gene of the ERF subfamily belonging to AP2/ERF superfamily. This is the first time that a *L. chinense* ERF gene has been identified.

Plant adaptation to external stimuli is regulated via a network of various signaling pathways, in which different pathways can converge on ERF proteins through complex interactions (Zhang et al. 2004). For example, TSRF1 is a molecular node of signal integration for ethylene signaling pathways (Schmidt et al. 2013). Previous studies have revealed that many ERF genes can be induced by exogenous hormones and abiotic stresses. For example, the



Fig. 5 Proline and H_2O_2 contents in the leaves of Vec and *LchERF*overexpressing tobacco plants after 0 and 16 days of 200 mM NaCl stress treatment. **a** Proline content, **b** H_2O_2 content. Each column represents the average of three replicates, and *bars* indicate SDs. ** and * indicate significant differences in comparison with the control at P < 0.01 and P < 0.05, respectively

transcripts levels of AtERF1, AtERF2 and AtERF5 increased two to threefold after 12 h of ethylene treatment (Fujimoto et al. 2000), and expression of SIERF5 was induced by abiotic stresses, such as high salt, drought and cold (Pan et al. 2012). In the present study, semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis showed that the transcript levels of LchERF were clearly induced by treatment with PEG, NaCl or ethylene. The expression patterns of LchERF were largely similar to those of GhERF1/GhERF6, which was shown to be induced by salt, drought or ethylene (Jin et al. 2010; Qiao et al. 2008). Our data suggest that LchERF might play an important role in protecting L. chinense against abiotic stresses, and the LchERF protein might link different pathways to positively regulate plant responses to abiotic stresses. Although soil salinity existed long before humans and agriculture, the problem has been aggravated by agricultural practices such as irrigation. Today, $\sim 20 \%$

of the world's cultivated land and nearly half of all irrigated lands are affected by salinity (Krasensky and Jonak 2012; Zhu 2001). These findings compelled us to do indepth work on elucidation of the potential role of the *LchERF* gene in enhancing salt tolerance. Therefore, we generated *LchERF*-overexpressing tobacco lines via transformation. As shown in Fig. 4, the results indicated that overexpression of *LchERF* conferred salt stress tolerance of transgenic plants during the seed germination and vegetative growth periods.

Proline accumulation is an important parameter in determining salt tolerance in plants, and proline may act as a radical scavenger or cellular osmotic regulator (Shan et al. 2007; Yamamoto et al. 1999). The overproduction of proline can increase tolerance against abiotic stresses in transgenic tobacco plants (Kishor et al. 1995). Previous studies have indicated that overexpression of ERF subfamily genes can elevate proline content; the level of salt tolerance and the accumulation of proline parallel each other (Jin et al. 2013; Rong et al. 2014). In this study, proline contents in the leaves of LchERF-overexpressing lines were significantly higher than those in control plants under salt stress conditions (Fig. 5a), suggesting that overexpression of LchERF enhances the proline accumulation in plants under salt stress. Therefore, overexpression of the LchERF gene could increase the salt tolerance of transgenic tobacco by controlling the osmotic pressure of cells.

Previous studies have shown that abiotic stresses can result in damage to plants via oxidative stress involving the generation of ROS (Mao et al. 2011; Rong et al. 2014). The accumulation of H_2O_2 is often used as an indicator of ROS production. The accumulation of H_2O_2 due to salt stress has been reported in many plants. For example, overexpression of the *TaERF3* gene could reduce the accumulation of H_2O_2 in transgenic wheat under salt stress (Hu et al. 2012; Mishra et al. 2013; Rong et al. 2014). In the present study, the control plants accumulated more H_2O_2 than *LchERF*-overexpressing lines under salt stress conditions. This observation implies that overexpression of *LchERF* either inhibits ROS generation or effectively scavenges excess ROS.

Salinity interferes with plant growth as it leads to ion toxicity and osmotic stress. Both ion toxicity and osmotic stress can cause oxidative damage (Huang et al. 2012; Zhu 2001). Overexpression of *LchERF* could enhance salt tolerance in tobacco via ROS scavenging. Additionally, it was reported that hybrid poplar overexpressing *JERFs* can avoid salt damage by accumulating Na⁺ in their vacuoles (Li et al. 2009); however, whether the *LchERF* gene functions in coping with the ionic toxicity from salt stress need to be further studied.

Conclusion

In summary, a novel ERF subfamily gene, LchERF, was identified and characterized. The results of this study demonstrated that LchERF was responsive to abiotic stresses. Overexpression of LchERF conferred salt stress tolerance to transgenic plants during seed germination and vegetative growth. Moreover, LchERF-overexpressing plants showed higher chlorophyll and proline contents, which were associated with lower H2O2 content under salt stress. This demonstrates that LchERF can mediate various physiological pathways to enhance the salt tolerance of transgenic plants. These results broaden the function of ethylene-responsive factors in plants. Furthermore, this study provides a basis for fundamental studies on L. chinense regulatory responses to environmental stresses and a prospective gene for efforts to improve salt tolerance in other plants.

Acknowledgments This work was supported financially by the National Science and Technology Key Project of China on GMO cultivation for new varieties (No. 2014ZX08003-002B) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31271419, No. 31401391 and No. 31271793).

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Allen MD, Yamasaki K, Ohme-Takagi M, Tateno M, Suzuki M (1998) A novel mode of DNA recognition by a β -sheet revealed by the solution structure of the GCC-box binding domain in complex with DNA. EMBO J 17:5484–5496
- Bates L, Waldren R, Teare I (1973) Rapid determination of free proline for water-stress studies. Plant Soil 39:205–207
- Berrocal-Lobo M, Molina A, Solano R (2002) Constitutive expression of *ETHYLENE-RESPONSE-FACTOR1* in Arabidopsis confers resistance to several necrotrophic fungi. Plant J 29:23–32
- Cai G, Wang G, Wang L, Pan J, Liu Y, Li D (2014) ZmMKK1, a novel group A mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase gene in maize, conferred chilling stress tolerance and was involved in pathogen defense in transgenic tobacco. Plant Sci 214:57–73
- Chang RC-C, So K-F (2008) Use of anti-aging herbal medicine, *Lycium barbarum*, against aging-associated diseases. What do we know so far? Cell Mol Neurobiol 28:643–652
- Chen WJ, Zhu T (2004) Networks of transcription factors with roles in environmental stress response. Trends Plant Sci 9:591–596
- Fischer U, Dröge-Laser W (2004) Overexpression of NtERF5, a new member of the tobacco ethylene response transcription factor family enhances resistance to tobacco mosaic virus. Mol Plant Microbe In 17:1162–1171
- Fujimoto SY, Ohta M, Usui A, Shinshi H, Ohme-Takagi M (2000) Arabidopsis ethylene-responsive element binding factors act as transcriptional activators or repressors of GCC box-mediated gene expression. Plant Cell 12:393–404
- Gan L, Hua Zhang S, Liang Yang X, Bi XH (2004) Immunomodulation and antitumor activity by a polysaccharide-protein

complex from *Lycium barbarum*. In Immunopharmacol 4:563–569

- Gutterson N, Reuber TL (2004) Regulation of disease resistance pathways by AP2/ERF transcription factors. Curr Opin Plant Biol 7:465–471
- Hao D, Ohme-Takagi M, Sarai A (1998) Unique mode of GCC box recognition by the DNA-binding domain of ethylene-responsive element-binding factor (ERF domain) in plant. J Biol Chem 273:26857–26861
- Horsch R, Fry J, Hoffmann N, Eichholtz D, Sa R, Fraley R (1985) A simple and general method for transferring genes into plants. Science 227:1229–1231
- Hu L, Li H, Pang H, Fu J (2012) Responses of antioxidant gene, protein and enzymes to salinity stress in two genotypes of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*) differing in salt tolerance. J Plant Physiol 169:146–156
- Huang GT et al (2012) Signal transduction during cold, salt, and drought stresses in plants. Mol Biol Rep 39:969–987
- Jiang M, Zhang J (2001) Effect of abscisic acid on active oxygen species, antioxidative defence system and oxidative damage in leaves of maize seedlings. Plant Cell Physiol 42:1265–1273
- Jin L-G, Liu J-Y (2008) Molecular cloning, expression profile and promoter analysis of a novel ethylene responsive transcription factor gene *GhERF4* from cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*). Plant Physiol Bioch 46:46–53
- Jin LG, Li H, Liu JY (2010) Molecular characterization of three ethylene responsive element binding factor genes from cotton. J Integr Plant Biol 52:485–495
- Jin X et al (2013) Transcription factor OsAP21 gene increases salt/ drought tolerance in transgenic Arabidopsis thaliana. Mol Biol Rep 40:1743–1752
- Kishor PK, Hong Z, Miao G-H, Hu C-AA, Verma DPS (1995) Overexpression of [delta]-pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase increases proline production and confers osmotolerance in transgenic plants. Plant Physiol 108:1387–1394
- Krasensky J, Jonak C (2012) Drought, salt, and temperature stressinduced metabolic rearrangements and regulatory networks. J Exp Bot 63:1593–1608
- Lee J-H, Hong J-P, Oh S-K, Lee S, Choi D, Kim W (2004) The ethylene-responsive factor like protein 1 (CaERFLP1) of hot pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) interacts in vitro with both GCC and DRE/CRT sequences with different binding affinities: possible biological roles of CaERFLP1 in response to pathogen infection and high salinity conditions in transgenic tobacco plants. Plant Mol Biol 55:61–81
- Li X, Li X, Zhou A (2007) Evaluation of antioxidant activity of the polysaccharides extracted from *Lycium barbarum* fruits in vitro. Eur Polym J 43:488–497
- Li Y, Su X, Zhang B, Huang Q, Zhang X, Huang R (2009) Expression of jasmonic ethylene responsive factor gene in transgenic poplar tree leads to increased salt tolerance. Tree Physiol 29:273–279
- Mao X et al (2011) Transgenic expression of TaMYB2A confers enhanced tolerance to multiple abiotic stresses in Arabidopsis. Funct Integr Genomic 11:445–465
- Mishra P, Bhoomika K, Dubey R (2013) Differential responses of antioxidative defense system to prolonged salinity stress in salttolerant and salt-sensitive Indica rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) seedlings. Protoplasma 250:3–19
- Nakano T, Suzuki K, Fujimura T, Shinshi H (2006) Genome-wide analysis of the ERF gene family in Arabidopsis and rice. Plant Physiol 140:411–432
- Ohme-Takagi M, Shinshi H (1995) Ethylene-inducible DNA binding proteins that interact with an ethylene-responsive element. Plant Cell 7:173–182
- Oñate-Sánchez L, Singh KB (2002) Identification of Arabidopsis ethylene-responsive element binding factors with distinct

induction kinetics after pathogen infection. Plant Physiol 128:1313-1322

- Pan Y, Seymour GB, Lu C, Hu Z, Chen X, Chen G (2012) An ethylene response factor (ERF5) promoting adaptation to drought and salt tolerance in tomato. Plant Cell Rep 31:349–360
- Park JM, Park C-J, Lee S-B, Ham B-K, Shin R, Paek K-H (2001) Overexpression of the tobacco Tsi1 gene encoding an EREBP/ AP2-type transcription factor enhances resistance against pathogen attack and osmotic stress in tobacco. Plant Cell 13:1035–1046
- Qiao ZX, Huang B, Liu JY (2008) Molecular cloning and functional analysis of an ERF gene from cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*). BBA 1779:122–127
- Riechmann JL, Meyerowitz EM (1998) The AP2/EREBP family of plant transcription factors. Biol Chem 379:633–646
- Rong W et al (2014) The ERF transcription factor TaERF3 promotes tolerance to salt and drought stresses in wheat. Plant Biotechnol J 12:468–479
- Sakuma Y, Liu Q, Dubouzet JG, Abe H, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K (2002) DNA-binding specificity of the ERF/AP2 domain of *Arabidopsis* DREBs, transcription factors involved in dehydration- and cold-inducible gene expression. Biochem Bioph Res Co 290:998–1009
- Schenk PM, Kazan K, Wilson I, Anderson JP, Richmond T, Somerville SC, Manners JM (2000) Coordinated plant defense responses in Arabidopsis revealed by microarray analysis. PNAS 97:11655–11660
- Schmidt R et al (2013) SALT-RESPONSIVE ERF1 regulates reactive oxygen species-dependent signaling during the initial response to salt stress in rice. Plant Cell 25:2115–2131
- Shan DP et al (2007) Cotton GhDREB1 increases plant tolerance to low temperature and is negatively regulated by gibberellic acid. New Phytol 176:70–81
- Szabados L, Savouré A (2010) Proline: a multifunctional amino acid. Trends Plant Sci 15:89–97
- Tang M, Sun J, Liu Y, Chen F, Shen S (2007) Isolation and functional characterization of the JcERF gene, a putative AP2/EREBP domain-containing transcription factor, in the woody oil plant Jatropha curcas. Plant Mol Biol 63:419–428
- Tournier B et al (2003) New members of the tomato ERF family show specific expression pattern and diverse DNA-binding capacity to the GCC box element. FEBS Lett 550:149–154
- Wang Q-J et al (2010) Characterization of a new dehydration responsive element binding factor in central arctic cowberry. Plant Cell Tiss Org 101:211–219
- Wintermans J, De Mots A (1965) Spectrophotometric characteristics of chlorophylls a and b and their phenophytins in ethanol. BBA 109:448–453
- Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K (2006) Transcriptional regulatory networks in cellular responses and tolerance to dehydration and cold stresses. Annu Rev Plant Biol 57:781–803
- Yamamoto S, Suzuki K, Shinshi H (1999) Elicitor-responsive, ethylene-independent activation of GCC box-mediated transcription that is regulated by both protein phosphorylation and dephosphorylation in cultured tobacco cells. Plant J 20:571–579
- Yi SY, Kim J-H, Joung Y-H, Lee S, Kim W-T, Yu SH, Choi D (2004) The pepper transcription factor CaPF1 confers pathogen and freezing tolerance in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 136:2862–2874
- Zhang H et al (2004) The ethylene-, jasmonate-, abscisic acid- and NaCl-responsive tomato transcription factor JERF1 modulates expression of GCC box-containing genes and salt tolerance in tobacco. Planta 220:262–270
- Zhang G, Chen M, Li L, Xu Z, Chen X, Guo J, Ma Y (2009) Overexpression of the soybean GmERF3 gene, an AP2/ERF type

transcription factor for increased tolerances to salt, drought, and diseases in transgenic tobacco. J Exp Bot 60:3781–3796

- Zhu J-K (2001) Plant salt tolerance. Trends Plant Sci 6:66-71
- Zhu X et al (2014) The wheat ethylene response factor transcription factor pathogen-induced ERF1 mediates host responses to both the necrotrophic pathogen Rhizoctonia cerealis and freezing stresses. Plant Physiol 164:1499–1514
- Zhuang J, Jiang H-H, Wang F, Peng R-H, Yao Q-H, Xiong A-S (2013) A rice OsAP23, functioning as an AP2/ERF transcription

factor, reduces salt tolerance in transgenic Arabidopsis. Plant Mol Biol Rep 31:1336–1345

Zuo K-J, Qin J, Zhao J-Y, Ling H, Zhang L-D, Cao Y-F, Tang K-X (2007) Over-expression *GbERF2* transcription factor in tobacco enhances brown spots disease resistance by activating expression of downstream genes. Gene 391:80–90