**ORIGINAL PAPER**



# **Investigation on tribo‑characteristics of** *Calotropis gigantea* **fber‑reinforced‑CNT modifed polymer composites**

**Umesh K. Dwivedi1  [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8990-2275) Shikha Singh2 · Satish Chandra Shukla2 · S. A. R. Hashmi3**

Received: 11 August 2020 / Revised: 20 December 2020 / Accepted: 29 December 2020 / Published online: 5 January 2021 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH, DE part of Springer Nature 2021

## **Abstract**

This study included the preparation and tribo-characterization of *Calotropis gigantea* (CG) fber reinforced CNT modifed phenolic resin composites. Composites were prepared with varying contents  $(0.25, 0.5, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1)$  and 2 wt%) of multi-walled carbon nanotube (MWCNT) in phenolic resin, which was reinforced with CG fber. The prepared composites were tested for their adhesive wear and frictional behavior at different applied loads (up to 100 N) against EN-31 steel disk on a standard pin-on-disk tribometer. The results revealed that addition of MWCNT enhanced the pressure–velocity (PV) limit signifcantly and reduced the value of friction coefficient of CG-fiber-reinforced phenolic resin composite. The friction coefficient decreases with increasing applied load. The wear rate signifcantly depends on MWCNT contents. The contact temperature of sliding surfaces increased with increasing applied load. The worn surfaces were analyzed and discussed to understand the wear mechanism involved with the help of SEM micrographs.

**Keywords** Reinforcement · Wear · Friction · Phenolic resin · MWCNT · *Calotropis gigantea*

# **Introduction**

Natural fbers have been becoming attention-grabbing for scientist and technologist due to their various advantages over conventional fbers e.g., low density, low cost, environmental friendly, biodegradable and high specifc mechanical performance. The interest in natural fber-reinforced polymer composite material is expeditiously increasing in both areas of their industrial application and fundamental

 $\boxtimes$  Umesh K. Dwivedi umeshkudwivedi@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Applied Physics, Amity University Rajasthan, Jaipur, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Banasthali Vidyapeeth, Newai, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> CSIR-Advanced Materials and Processes Research Institute, Bhopal, India

research. These composites are used in automobiles, aerospace, railway coaches, military application, building and construction industries (ceiling, paneling, and partition board), packaging, consumer product, etc.  $[1-9]$  $[1-9]$ . The composite materials are used for manufacturing of storage devices, electrical devices, house hold furniture, transport sector and toys [[6\]](#page-10-1). Mohammed et.al. [\[10](#page-10-2)] presented a detailed review on natural fber-reinforced composite applications in various industries. Bio-fbers have recently become eye catching for the researchers due to their excellent properties. One of the biodegradable fbers *Calotropis gigantea* (also known as madar/ mudar) was used for making composite material, which was extracted by the manual process [[5\]](#page-9-1). Ashori et al. proved that the composites using seed and bark fbers have enough potential for replacing or supplementing other fbrous raw materials as reinforcing agent [[6\]](#page-10-1). Babu et al. focused on wear and tensile properties of *Calotropis gigantea* fber-reinforced polyester resin composites. The composites were fabricated to maximum volume fraction of 0.35. The tensile strength shows increasing trend as the fber content increases in the sample. The wear behavior of mudar composites has also been studied [[7\]](#page-10-3). Velusamy et al. studied that raw fbers and fbers treated with various concentrations of NaOH has modifed their physical, mechanical, thermal and chemical properties. The fbers treated with NaOH had better tensile strength and maximum cellulose and minimum wax content, so they had superior chemical stability. Further, the thermal properties showed that the temperature peak shifts to a higher region in the treated fber compared with raw fber [\[8](#page-10-4)]. The mechanical and machining characteristics of the *Calotropis gigantea* Fruit Fiber composites were determined and reported [\[9](#page-10-0)]. The red mud-flled madar fberreinforced polymer composites were studied in terms of the mechanical properties. The addition of red mud in madar fber polymer composites increases the mechanical properties of the madar fber polyester composite [\[11](#page-10-5)]. Mudar fbers have been characterized for their physical, chemical, and tensile properties. These fbers possess good length, uniformity, fneness, tensile strength, and excellent absorption of moisture. The problem in spinning of 100% Mudar yarn has been reported. Hence, a combination of mudar / cotton (75/25) could have then spun successfully into a cotton spinning machine, and the results have been analyzed. On treatment with 5% NaOH, smooth Mudar fbers developed convolutions that can make spinning possible with fbers treated in such a way [[12\]](#page-10-6). The yarns had enough potential in natural fber-reinforced composites and other industrial textile applications. The study [\[13](#page-10-7)] reported a comparison in the properties of *Calotropis gigantea*, kapok and cotton fbers. They have calculated and compared the mechanical properties and water absorption capabilities. Both fbers (CG fber and kapok fber) showed a high degree of hollowness (80–90%), and there was no natural twist. CG fbers showed 42.54% crystallinity and their crystallinity orientation index have been quoted to be 85.40%. CG fbers possessed lowest tenacity but the highest water content in all three fber types. The dyeing test has indicated that the fabric of CG fber exhibits the lowest absorption of dye [\[13](#page-10-7)]. Athijayamani et al. [\[14](#page-10-8)] have reported mechanical properties of randomly oriented CG fber-reinforced Phenol Formaldehyde (PF) biocomposites with different fiber loadings  $(10, 20, 30, 40,$  and  $50$  vol%) and different fiber lengths (3, 9, and 15 mm). They found that the addition of CG fbers improved the mechanical properties of the PF composite. The critical fber length and the optimum fber

loading to obtain the maximum mechanical properties were identifed as 9 mm and 40 vol%, respectively. The study [[15\]](#page-10-9) reported the preparation of hybrid composites using CG with Glass Fibers (GFs). The GFs were hybridized for comparative study with the CG and Areca fne fber (AFF) fbers in PF composites. Hybrid composite having 35 wt% showed the better mechanical properties as compared with the other (25 and 45 wt%) hybrid composites. Authors [[16\]](#page-10-10) recently studied the tribological behavior of hybrid composite having 5 wt% *Calotropis procera* and 15 wt% Glass fber in epoxy matrix and has shown less wear in hybrid composites both in sliding wear test as well as in abrasive wear test.

Although *Calotropis gigantea* fber has enough potential as reinforcement, only a few papers mentioned above are available on Calotropis gigantea fberreinforced composite out of which tribological studies [[7](#page-10-3), [16\]](#page-10-10) are rarely reported. Indeed, the study of tribo-behavior is an important characterization to explore any developed composite for diferent possible applications. This paper attempts the development of *Calotropis gigantea* fber-reinforced phenolic composites. The diferent amount of CNT was incorporated in phenolic resin to modify the tribo-behavior of the prepared composites and compared with pure phenolic resin. Adhesive wear and friction study were carried out at diferent applied loads followed by worn surface analysis to understand the dominating mechanism.

#### **Material and methods**

A Novolac type phenolic formaldehyde (PF) resin (Grade PH-4055) supplied by Chemovate, (Banglore, India) was used as matrix, and *Calotropis gigantea* (madar) fbers collected from local farms were used as reinforcement for the composite. The extraction of fbers from *Calotropis gigantea* fruits was done manually, and the fbers were stripped from the stalks followed by drying in the sun for two days. If any extraneous matter that may still be adhering to them was removed manually. The extracted fbers were then used for composite making. The MWCNT obtained from Nanoshel Ltd, (Hyderabad, India) was used in the study.

For the preparation of the composites, MWCNT was mixed with resin in 0, 0.25, 0.5, 1, and 2 wt% by using magnetic stirrer and then ultrasonicated for 30 min for uniform dispersion. After that, the accurately weighted madar fbers were mixed mechanically with modifed phenolic resin by using a glass rod. This ratio of CG fber to phenolic resin was found suitable for preparation of test specimen as per scheme given in Table [1.](#page-3-0) It also ensured complete wetting of CG fbers with resin and a bubble free casting of specimen pin. Cylindrical-shaped mold of diameter 8 mm was used for making composite pins. Silicon grease was coated in the inner surface of mold for smooth release of composite. The mixture was poured in the grease-coated cylindrical mold and formation of air bubbles was avoided by applying load on the upper side of the mold. The sample was allowed to cure for 24 h. After then the samples were removed from the mold and used for testing. The compositions of diferent prepared samples are shown in Table [1](#page-3-0).

Prepared sample (designation)	Phenolic Resin $(wt\%)$	CG Fiber $(wt\%)$	$MWCNT$ (wt%)
Pure phenolic resin	100	$\Omega$	$^{0}$
$CG$ reinforced unmodified phenolic resin $(0 \text{ wt\% CNT})$	90	10	$\mathbf{0}$
CG reinforced modified phenolic resin $(0.25 \text{ wt\% CNT})$	89.75	10	0.25
CG reinforced modified phenolic resin $(0.5 \text{ wt\% CNT})$	89.5	10	0.5
CG reinforced modified phenolic resin (1 wt% CNT)	89	10	
Modified CG reinforced Phenolic resin (2 wt% CNT)	88	10	2

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 1** Compositions of diferent prepared samples

## **Adhesive (Sliding) wear and friction test**

A pin-on- disk sliding wear and friction tester, model TR-201 CL-M2 (Ducom, India), was used to measure the friction and wear behavior. A stainless steel disk (EN-31) of 100 mm diameter and 8 mm thickness was used as counterface. Operating parameters were sliding distance 2.6 km, sliding velocity 2.22 m/s, diameter of composite pin 8 mm and applied load vary upto 100 N as per bearing capacity of samples.

Wear rate was calculated using following formula reported elsewhere [[17\]](#page-10-11)

$$
Wear rate = V/d
$$

where, *V* is the volume loss and *d* is sliding distance.

#### **FESEM studies**

Worn surface of the samples were observed using a feld emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) model M/s Nova NanoSEM. The surfaces were observed after gold coating.

## **Results and discussion**

The SEM image of *Calotropis gigantea* fber at diferent magnifcations are shown in Fig. [1](#page-4-0)a, b. The diameter of fber is around 10–20 µm. Hollowness in structure of *Calotropis gigantea* fber is clearly visible in image. These fbers were reinforced with CNT modifed phenolic resin to fabricate the composites.

The variation in friction coefficients with respect to sliding time at  $20 \text{ N}$  applied load for diferent prepared samples (i.e., pure phenolic resin, CG reinforced phenolic resin and CG-reinforced CNT modifed phenolic resin composites) is depicted in Fig. [2](#page-4-1). It was observed from Fig. [2](#page-4-1) that pure phenolic resin sample shows maximum value of friction coefficient. The friction coefficient decreases on inclusion of CG fber in phenolic resin matrix. This may be attributed to the lubricating efect of CG fber. The result has also found in the literature [[7,](#page-10-3) [16\]](#page-10-10). The graph also revealed that CG-reinforced-CNT modifed phenolic resin composites having diferent amount



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 1 a**, **b** SEM images of *Calotropis gigantea* fber at diferent magnifcations



<span id="page-4-1"></span>Fig. 2 Variation of friction coefficients with respect to sliding time at 20 N applied load for different prepared samples (i.e., pure phenolic resin, CG reinforced unmodifed phenolic resin (0 wt%) and CNTmodifed (0.25, 0.5, 1, 2 wt%) phenolic resin composites

of CNT also modifed the frictional characteristics. On CNT modifcation, composite reduced the friction coefficient as compared with pure phenolic resin, whereas exhibiting more friction coefficient when compared with unmodified one. It has been clearly observed that the sample having 0 wt% CNT (CG reinforced unmodified phenolic composite) shows the minimum value of friction coefficient.

Figure [3a](#page-5-0), b exhibits the effect of different applied loads on coefficients of friction with respect to the sliding time for unmodifed (0 wt% CNT) and modifed CGreinforced phenolic resin composites  $(1 \text{ wt\% CNT})$ . It has been observed in figures that friction coefficient is decreased with increasing applied load. This may have two reasons, (1) at higher loads more frictional heat is generated, which soften the material near contact region and aligned the CG fbers in the sliding direction, (2)



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 3 a**, **b** Effect of different applied loads on friction coefficients with respect to the sliding time for (**a**) unmodifed and (**b**) CNT-modifed (1 wt%) CG-reinforced phenolic resin-composites

at higher applied load more wear debris generated and make lubricating transfer flm in between the interfaces [\[17](#page-10-11)]. This mechanism is also being confrmed in SEM micrographs of worn surfaces, which is discussed further in SEM discussion.

Variation of wear rate with respect to applied loads for diferent prepared samples is represented in Fig. [4](#page-6-0). It has been observed from the fgure that the CG reinforced-CNT modifed phenolic resin samples depicted signifcant improvement in wear properties at diferent applied loads. In addition with better wear properties, CG-reinforced-CNT modifed phenolic resin samples show the increased



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Variation of wear rate with respect to applied loads for diferent samples

pressure–velocity (PV) limit, as they could be tested at higher applied loads. In other words, pure phenolic resin sample exhibited least PV limit amongst all reported samples herein and could not be tested beyond 60 N applied load. However, the CGreinforced unmodifed phenolic resin sample enhanced the PV limit or withstanding capability but refecting more wear rate as compared with pure phenolic resin sample. The similar fnding with *Calotropis gigeantea* fber is reported in the literature [\[7](#page-10-3)]. This may be attributed to the less adhesion between CG fber and phenolic resin matrix. The CG-reinforced-CNT modifed phenolic resin samples have lower wear rate as compared with unmodifed one and pure phenolic resin. This increased continuously as the CNT content was increased upto 1 wt%. However, the wear rate again decreased beyond 1 wt% CNT content. This above result is almost reversed, when compared with the result obtained by author  $[18]$  $[18]$  where 1wt % MWCNT in epoxy is the optimum amount for getting least wear. The reason for the optimum value was usually embrittlement of the composites that promoted susceptibility to surface fatigue [[18\]](#page-10-12). But here, reverse trend might be due to the presence of three constituents (CG fber, MWCNT, phenolic resin) which afected the efective contact surface of individual hence alter the optimum weight percent.

Variation in temperature increased at interface with respect to applied load for different studied samples is represented in Fig. [5](#page-7-0). The increased temperature at interface mainly depends upon frictional heat generated during sliding and heat dissipation through conduction over the sample pin. The value of temperature at interface increased with applied load which is attributed to the generation of more frictional heat. Pure phenolic resin sample showed higher friction coefficient as well as higher temperature of sliding surfaces. More frictional force (tangential force), which is depicted in Fig. [6](#page-7-1) (randomly for 1 wt% CG-reinforced-CNT



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 5** Variation in increased temperature with respect to the applied load for diferent studied samples



<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Fig. 6** Variation of frictional force (tangential force) with respect to applied loads for 1 wt% CG reinforced-CNT modifed phenolic resin sample

modifed phenolic resin samples), produced higher frictional heat, when surfaces are slid against the motion at higher load. Figure  $6$  shows the variation of frictional force (tangential force) with respect to applied loads for 1 wt% CG-reinforced-CNT modifed phenolic resin sample. As applied load increased the corresponding frictional force also increased.

The SEM images of worn surfaces are shown in Fig. [7.](#page-8-0) Worn surfaces when observed under scanning electron microscope (SEM) revealed morphological changes of studied materials subjected to adhesive wear. The worn surface of pure phenolic resin sample (Fig. [7](#page-8-0)a) shows the destruction of material surface under sheared force that may be attributed to the thermo-mechanical deformation



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 7** (**a**–**f**) SEM micrographs of the worn surfaces. **a** Pure phenolic resin, **b** CG-reinforced unmodifed phenolic resin (0 wt% CNT). **c** CG reinforced unmodifed phenolic resin (0wt % CNT). **d** CNT-modifed composites (0.25 wt% CNT). **e** CNT- modifed composite (1 wt% CNT). **f** CNT- modifed composites (1 wt% CNT)

exceeding the elastic limit of material. Pure phenolic resin sample was failed under sliding shear beyond 60 N applied load and could not be tested further. The worn surfaces of *Calotropis gigantea* fber reinforced polymer shows that the composite was damaged under sliding action. Transfer flm can be seen in the Fig. [7b](#page-8-0), which reduces the friction at the interface. At higher applied load, the cavities were formed due to the material removal, which can be seen in Fig. [7](#page-8-0)c. A lump removal was observed in this case because *Calotropis gigantea* fber may not allow small debris to be removed easily due to reinforcing efect. CNT-modifed samples show the smoothened surface (Fig. [7](#page-8-0)d) having less destruction, which is due to the more integration under thermo-mechanical stress. Figure [7](#page-8-0)e (CNT modifed composite) refects the softening of the material owing to the frictional heat generated against sliding resulting CG fbers got aligned (Fig. [7f](#page-8-0)) in the sliding direction at higher load, however, at higher applied load more wear debris generated and make lubricating transfer flm in between the interfaces.

The dominating wear mechanism is formation of transfer thin flm of *Calotropis gigantea* phenolic resin composites. The sliding against steel counterface abstracted fne debris, which are entrapped and accumulated on the counterface. Subsequently, temperature increment due to frictional heat softened the debris on counterface and being spread over the counterface under the increased temperature and pressure due to the applied normal load. This sticky flm being transferred owing to adhesion between interfaces, hence material removal occurred.

# **Conclusions**

The tribological study shows that *Calotropis gigantea* fber has enough potential to use as tribomaterial. MWCNT played signifcant role in reducing the wear of composites. The value of pure phenolic resin reflected highest friction coefficient at different applied load. Inclusion of CG fber in phenolic resin matrix enhanced the PV limit i.e., withstanding capability signifcantly. The CG fbers facilitate lower friction against EN-31 steel counterface in phenolic resin sample. The friction coefficient decreases with increasing applied load. The decrement in the wear rate could be achieved with the MWCNT modifcation. The adhesive wear occurs due to the transfer of flm mechanism.

### **References**

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>1. Chand N, Dwivedi UK (2006) Efect of coupling agent on abrasive wear behavior of chopped jute fber-reinforced polypropylene composites. Wear 261(10):1057–1063
- 2. Dwivedi U, Ghosh A, Chand N (2007) Abrasive wear behavior of bamboo powder flled polyester composites. BioResources 2(4):693–698
- 3. Dwivedi UK, Hashmi SAR, Naik A, Joshi R, Chand N (2013) Development and physico-mechanical behaviour of LDPE–sisal prepreg-based composites. Polym Compos 34(5):650–655
- 4. Dwivedi UK, Trihotri M, Gupta SC, Khan FH, Malik MM, Qureshi MS (2017) Compos Interfaces 24(2):111–123
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>5. Kandeepan C, Raja K, Ganeshan P (2016) Investigation on the mechanical properties of madar fber reinforced in polymer matrix composites. ICCREST
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>6. Ashori A, Bahreini Z (2009) Evaluation of *Calotropis gigantea* as a Promising raw material for fber-reinforced composite. J Compos Mater 43(11):1297–1304
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>7. Babu GD, Babu KS, Kishore PN (2014) Study on tensile and wear behavior of *Calotropis gigantea* fruit fber reinforced polyester composites. Procedia Eng 97:531–535
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>8. Velusamy K, Navaneethakrishnan P, Vendan S, Kumar SK (2014) Study on experimental investigations to evaluate the mechanical properties and behavior of raw and alkali treated King's Crown (*Calotropis gigantea*) fber to be employed for fabricating fber composite. Appl Mech Mater 598:73–77
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>9. Srinivas CA, Babu GD (2013) Study on mechanical and machining characteristics of *Calotropis gigantea* fruit fber reinforced plastics. IJERT 2(6):1524–1530
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>10. Mohammed L, Ansari M, Pua G, Jawaid M, Islam MS (2015) A review on natural fber reinforced polymer composite and its applications. Int J Polym Sci 2015:243947
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>11. Ganeshan P, Raja K (2016) Study on Improvement on the Mechanical Properties Madar Fiber Reinforced Polyester Composites. Int J Adv Eng Technol VII(II):261–264
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>12. Sakthivel JC, Mukhopadhyay S, Palanisamy NK (2005) Some studies on madar fbers. J Ind Text 35(1):63–76
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>13. Chen Q, Zhao T, Wanga M, Wanga J (2013) Studies of the fber structure and dyeing properties of *Calotropis gigantea*, kapok and cotton fbers. Color Technol 129:448–453
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>14. Athijayamani A, Sadagopan S, Ramanathan K (2017) Mechanical properties of randomly oriented *Calotropis gigantea* fber-reinforced phenol formaldehyde biocomposites. J Adv Chem 13(11):6043–6050
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>15. Venkatarajan S, Bhuvaneswari BV, Sekar S (2019) Efect of addition of areca fne fbers on the mechanical properties of *Calotropis gigantea* fber/phenol formaldehyde biocomposites. Vacuum 166:6–10
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>16. Raghu MJ, Goud G (2019) Tribological properties of *Calotropis Procera* natural fber reinforced hybrid epoxy composites. Appl Mech Mater 895:45–51
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>17. Chand N, Dwivedi UK (2008) Sliding wear and friction characteristics of sisal fber-reinforced polyester composites: efect of silane coupling agent and applied load. Polym Compos 29(3):280–284
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>18. Jacobs O, Xu W, Schadel B, Wu W (2006) Wear behaviour of carbon nanotube reinforced epoxy resin composites. Tribol Lett 23(1):65–75

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.