

Risk Factors for Early Postoperative Small Bowel Obstruction After Colectomy for Colorectal Cancer

Jun Nakajima · Akira Sasaki · Koki Otsuka ·
Toru Obuchi · Satoshi Nishizuka · Go Wakabayashi

Published online: 12 February 2010
© Société Internationale de Chirurgie 2010

Abstract

Background Small bowel obstruction (SBO) after colectomy leads to markedly lower patient quality of life, longer hospital stays, and increased hospitalization costs. From a systemic treatment point of view, early postoperative SBO is one of the major concerns of the surgery because it often delays chemotherapy in advanced cases. The goal of this single-center study was to evaluate the risk factors for early postoperative SBO.

Methods Univariate and multivariate analyses were performed for 1,004 patients who underwent open colectomy (OC, 421 patients) or laparoscopic-assisted colectomy (LAC, 583 patients) for colorectal cancer between January 1997 and December 2008.

Results The overall early postoperative SBO were 45 cases (4.5%). Univariate analysis of the risk factors for early postoperative SBO showed no statistical significance between respective risk factors and occurrence of SBO for age >70 years, body mass index >25 kg/m², ASA score ≥3, pT stage T4, pN stage ≥N1, pM stage M1, or increased blood loss. Multivariate analysis demonstrated that OC (odds ratio (OR), 2.62; 95% confidence interval (CI), 1.34–5.13; *P* = 0.005), and rectal cancer (OR, 2.12; 95% CI, 1.1–4.1; *P* = 0.025) were independent risk factors for postoperative SBO after colectomy for colorectal cancer.

Regarding the causes of SBO, paralytic obstruction was more frequent in the LAC group, and adhesive obstruction was more frequent in the OC group.

Conclusions Early postoperative SBO cases are more likely to occur with OC and rectal cancer. LAC is an effective surgical procedure from the perspective of reducing the incidence of early postoperative SBO after colectomy for colorectal cancer.

Introduction

Small bowel obstruction (SBO)—a common postoperative morbidity of colectomy for colorectal cancer [1]—leads to markedly lower patient quality of life, longer hospital stays, and increased hospitalization costs [2]. From a systemic treatment point of view, early postoperative SBO is one of the major concerns of the surgery because it often delays chemotherapy in advanced cases. Therefore, the appearance of early postoperative SBO symptoms is a serious issue because it affects the long-term prognosis of patients with colorectal cancer.

The indications for laparoscopy-assisted colectomy (LAC) have recently expanded because of the benefits of reduced postoperative wound pain, more rapid dietary intake, and shorter hospital stays compared with open colectomy (OC) [3–5]. Some reports indicate that postoperative SBO after LAC is less frequent than after OC [5, 6]. However, most of these studies have involved only a small series of patients with gynecological problems, particularly infertility [7, 8], or were performed with animal models [9, 10].

This study was designed to evaluate the risk factors for early postoperative SBO after colectomy for colorectal cancer from our database, which contains >1,000 colectomy cases over 11 years.

J. Nakajima (✉) · A. Sasaki · K. Otsuka · T. Obuchi ·
S. Nishizuka · G. Wakabayashi
Department of Surgery, Iwate Medical University School
of Medicine, 19-1 Uchimaru, Morioka 020-8505, Japan
e-mail: junnaka@iwate-med.ac.jp

Patients and methods

Subjects were selected from 1,210 patients with colorectal cancer who underwent colectomy at Iwate Medical University Hospital between January 1997 and December 2008. A total of 206 patients were excluded from present study. Of 206 patients excluded, 64 patients underwent resection of other organs for metastatic or other lesions (e.g., gastric or genitourinary cancers) simultaneously with colectomy. The remaining 142 patients could not undergo curative resection of colorectal cancer due to marked other organs invasion: these risks result in greater blood loss, longer operating time, and higher rates of complications. Overall, we analyzed data from 1,004 patients. Early postoperative SBO that may occur within 30 days after surgery and is defined as a condition requiring following three treatments: fasting, insertion a ileus tube or surgery for ileus symptoms, such as abdominal fullness, nausea, and vomiting. Early postoperative SBO is classified as adhesive, strangulated, or paralytic. In the present study, we defined those take more than 7 days to resume diet after colectomy as paralytic SBO. The time was set at 7 days because the average time for diet resumption after LAC or OC in patients with colorectal cancer at our institution is 4 days. The treatment for patients kept ileus symptoms after fasting was to insert an ileus tube to decompress of the obstructed intestine. Adhesiolysis was performed in patients who showed no improvement 1 week after tube insertion. Emergency resection of the small intestine was performed in one patient diagnosed with strangulated intestinal obstruction. The cause of early postoperative SBO for each patient was determined by physical examination, radiographic image findings from the ileus tube, intraoperative findings, and other means.

One experienced colorectal surgeon performed or assisted all colectomies by both OC and LAC. Laparoscopy-assisted colectomy was performed with carbon dioxide pneumoperitoneum using a five-trocar technique. At the time of tumor resection, the trocar wound at one location was extended to 3–4 cm, and intestinal resection and anastomosis were performed outside the body. Double-stapling technique was used for rectal anastomosis in both LAC and OC. A covering stoma was created and a drain was inserted in case of postoperative anastomotic leak was considered for those with cancer at very low level of the rectum, malnutrition, diabetes mellitus, and immunosuppressant drugs. If the postoperative anastomotic leak was not evident, the drain was removed within 4 days after surgery.

Data were analyzed by using StatView version 5.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Pearson's chi-square test was used to compare frequencies between groups. Univariate logistic regression was performed to identify factors that

were significantly associated with occurrence of SBO. Potential risk factors with $P < 0.05$ on univariate analysis were entered into a multivariate logistic model to establish independent predictors of occurrence of SBO. Final statistical results were considered significant at $P < 0.05$.

Results

We analyzed the information of 1,004 patients who underwent colectomy from our database. Of these patients, 421 (41.9%) and 583 (58.1%) underwent OC and LAC, respectively. Median patient age was 69 (range, 22–94) years; and 56.4% were men. More than half of patients were TNM stage II and III: 28.6 and 28.5%, respectively (Table 1).

The overall early postoperative SBO were 45 cases (4.5%). Table 2 shows the incidence of SBO by risk factors. Ileocecal resection, right hemicolectomy, transverse colectomy, left hemicolectomy, and sigmoid colectomy

Table 1 Characteristics of patients with colectomy for colorectal cancer

Characteristics	No. of patients (%)
Age (years) ^a	69 (22–94)
Sex	
Male	566 (56.4)
Female	438 (43.6)
Tumor location	
Colon	618 (61.6)
Rectum	386 (38.4)
TNM stage	
0	64 (6.4)
I	191 (19)
II	287 (28.6)
III	286 (28.5)
IV	176 (17.5)
Operative procedure	
Open	421 (41.9)
Laparoscopic	583 (58.1)
Operative type	
Ileocecal resection	90 (9)
Right hemicolectomy	176 (17.5)
Transverse colectomy	69 (6.9)
Left hemicolectomy	58 (5.8)
Sigmoid colectomy	208 (20.7)
Anterior rectal resection	301 (30)
Hartmann's procedure	51 (5.1)
Miles' operation	51 (5.1)

TNM tumor node metastasis

^a Values are median (range)

Table 2 Risk factors of early postoperative SBO after colectomy for colorectal cancer

Variable	No. of patients (%)
Age (years)	
>70	23 (5.1)
≤70	22 (4)
Sex	
Male	32 (6)
Female	13 (2.7)
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	
>25	10 (4.1)
≤25	35 (4.6)
ASA	
1, 2	44 (4.5)
≥3	1 (6.3)
Operative procedure	
Open	31 (8.8)
Laparoscopic	14 (2.4)
Operative type	
Colonic	17 (2.8)
Rectal	28 (7.3)
Tumor size (cm)	
>5	19 (7.1)
≤5	26 (3.5)
pT stage	
≤T3	41 (4.3)
T4	4 (7.4)
pN stage	
N0	23 (3.7)
≥N1	22 (5.8)
pM stage	
M0	38 (4.6)
M1	7 (4.0)
Operating time (min)	
>180	29 (6.1)
≤180	16 (3)
Blood loss (ml)	
>100	20 (6.3)
≤100	25 (3.6)

SBO small-bowel obstruction, ASA American Society of Anesthesiologists, pTNM pathological tumor node metastasis

were classified as colonic surgery, whereas anterior rectal resection, Hartmann's procedure, and Miles' operation were classified as rectal surgery. The risk factors associated with a high incidence of postoperative SBO were OC (8.8%), pT4 stage (7.4%), rectal surgery (7.3%), tumor size >5 cm, ASA score ≥3 (6.3%), blood loss >100 ml (6.3%), and operating time >180 min (6.1%).

Variables that were potentially associated with postoperative SBO and analyzed in the univariate model are

Table 3 Univariate analysis for risk factors of early postoperative SBO after colectomy for colorectal cancer

Variable	Univariate analysis	
	OR (95% CI)	P value
Age > 70 years	1.29 (0.71–2.35)	0.4
Male sex	1.96 (1.02–3.78)	0.04
Body mass index > 25 kg/m ²	1.11 (0.44–1.85)	0.77
ASA score ≥ 3	1.43 (0.19–11.1)	0.73
Open colectomy	3.23 (1.7–6.15)	0.004
Rectal surgery	2.75 (1.49–5.1)	0.001
Tumor size > 5 cm	2.07 (1.13–3.81)	0.02
pT stage T4	1.77 (0.61–5.15)	0.29
pN stage ≥ N1	1.61 (0.89–2.94)	0.12
pM stage M1	1.16 (0.38–1.96)	0.72
Increased operating time	2.07 (1.11–3.86)	0.02
Increased blood loss	1.79 (0.98–3.28)	0.058

SBO small-bowel obstruction, OR odds ratio, CI confidence interval, ASA American Society of Anesthesiologists, pTNM pathological tumor node metastasis

presented in Table 3. Some of the factors that did not reach statistical significance in the univariate analysis were age >70 years, body mass index >25 kg/m², ASA score ≥3, pT stage T4, pN stage ≥N1, and pM stage M1.

Multivariate analysis revealed that OC (odds ratio (OR), 2.62; 95% confidence interval (CI), 1.34–5.13; *P* = 0.005) and rectal cancer (OR, 2.12; 95% CI, 1.1–4.1; *P* = 0.025) were independent risk factors for postoperative SBO (Table 4).

Early postoperative SBO rate was a higher incidence in the OC group (7.4 vs. 2.4%; *P* < 0.001). Regarding the causes of SBO, paralytic obstruction was more frequent in the LAC group, and adhesive obstruction was more frequent in the OC group. No differences were observed between the OC and LAC groups with respect to the treatment method for early postoperative SBO (Table 5).

Discussion

This study is a retrospective investigation into the risk factors for early postoperative SBO after colectomy for colorectal cancer. A randomized, controlled trial (RCT) will be required to draw more accurate conclusions, but it should be noted that the reported incidence of postcolectomy SBO is 5–10.3% [11–13], and even in the present study, it was as low as 4.5%. Therefore, a very large number of patients will be needed to yield satisfactory results in an RCT [14], implying that an RCT at a single institution may be unrealistic. Many surgeons have most noticed in daily clinical practice in which SBO occurs less

Table 4 Multivariate analysis for risk factors of early postoperative SBO after colectomy for colorectal cancer

Variable	Multivariate analysis	
	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	P value
Male sex	1.59 (0.81–3.12)	0.18
Rectal cancer	2.12 (1.1–4.1)	0.025
Open colectomy	2.62 (1.34–5.13)	0.005
Tumor size > 5 cm	1.43 (0.75–2.71)	0.28
Increased operating time	1.44 (0.74–2.82)	0.29

SBO small-bowel obstruction, OR odds ratio, CI confidence interval

Table 5 Causes and treatments of early postoperative SBO after OC and LAC group

	OC group (n = 31)	LAC group (n = 14)
Cause		
Adhesion	8 (25.8)	1 (7.1)
Strangulation	1 (3.2)	0 (0)
Paralysis	20 (64.5)	12 (85.7)
Unknown	2 (6.5)	1 (7.1)
Treatment		
Fast cure only	18 (58)	8 (57.1)
Placement of long intestinal tube	10 (32.3)	5 (35.7)
Adhesiotomy	2 (6.5)	1 (7.1)
Small intestinal resection	1 (3.2)	0 (0)

SBO small-bowel obstruction, OC open colectomy, LAC laparoscopy-assisted colectomy

Data are numbers with percentages in parentheses

often with LAC than with OC [5, 6]. In this regard, several animal experiment studies have been performed [9, 10]. However, there have been a few reports of studies of SBO after OC and LAC in patients with colorectal cancer [15, 16]. Although our present study is retrospective, we think that it is precious data because we were able to present objective results in regard to the risk factors of postoperative SBO in more than 1,000 patients with colorectal cancer.

One of the unique features of the present study is a multivariate analysis for early postoperative SBO independent risk factors of OC. Interestingly, this analysis showed that OC and rectal cancer had greater associations with postcolectomy SBO than did other patient-, tumor-, or surgery-related factors. In the present study, the tumor-related factors pT, pN, and pM showed no association with the incidence of early postoperative SBO in univariate analysis. In cases of advanced cancer, the extent of resection must be increased according to the depth of cancer

invasion or lymph node metastasis. However, the degree of surgical invasiveness resulting from the expanding of resection is lower than from OC or rectal surgery and, therefore, is not considered to be the direct cause of early postoperative SBO. Large tumor size and increased operating time showed positive correlations with early postoperative SBO in univariate analysis, but in multivariate analysis, there was no association with early postoperative SBO compared with those for OC and rectal cancer.

As reported in several animal studies [17–19], postoperative SBO is associated with the local inflammatory reaction induced by surgical manipulation. According to an earlier study [20], it has been reported that local to systemic inflammatory responses are elicited by excessive injury to the body wall and peritoneum by open surgery or manual handling of the intestines, resulting in systemic immune response. On the other hand, the reduction in abdominal wall injury and delicate handling of intestines using laparoscopic devices in LAC seems to reduce the postoperative inflammatory response and to decrease incidence of SBO [15]. In an experiment using pigs, Hiki et al. [21] reported no difference in inflammatory cytokines expression under pneumoperitoneum versus open abdominal manipulations using the same laparoscopic equipment.

Recent long-term investigations into the rates of recurrence and survival after LAC have shown no differences compared with OC [22–24]. The curative rate of LAC has been equal to that of OC, whereas the low invasiveness of LAC does not contribute to long-term patient prognosis. However, with respect to short-term outcomes, such as postoperative morbidities and length of hospital stay, there has been number of reports attesting to the usefulness of LAC [3–5, 25, 26]. In the present study, OC was a major risk factor for early postoperative SBO, which is thought to affect the long-term prognosis of patients with colorectal cancer.

Various factors other than inflammatory responses may play a role in early postoperative SBO, such as postoperative wound pain, walking, intestinal peristalsis, and diet resumption. These factors are thought to contribute to the decreased incidence of SBO. Early postoperative SBO reduces patient quality of life as well as alters consecutive therapies. For instance, SBO leads to delay in introduction of chemotherapy in patients with advanced colorectal cancer. For patients with rectal cancer, which is considered to be a risk factor for postoperative SBO, attention must be paid to the selection of the surgical procedure, taking operating time into account.

In conclusion, early postoperative SBO cases are more likely with OC and rectal cancer. Laparoscopy-assisted colectomy is an effective surgical procedure from the perspective of reducing incidence of early postoperative SBO after colectomy for colorectal cancer.

References

1. Pickleman J, Lee RM (1989) The management of patients with suspected early postoperative small-bowel obstruction. *Ann Surg* 210:216–219
2. Ellis H, Moran BJ, Thompson JN et al (1999) Adhesion-related hospital readmissions after abdominal and pelvic surgery. *Lancet* 353:1476–1480
3. Braga M, Vignali A, Gianotti L et al (2002) Laparoscopic versus open colorectal surgery. A randomized trial on short-term outcome. *Ann Surg* 236:759–767
4. Weeks JC, Nelson H, Gelber S et al (2002) Short-term quality-of-life outcomes following laparoscopic-assisted colectomy vs. open colectomy for colon cancer: a randomized trial. *JAMA* 287:321–328
5. Lacy AM, Garcia-Valdecasas JC, Delgado S et al (2002) Laparoscopy-assisted colectomy versus open colectomy for treatment of non-metastatic colon cancer: a randomized trial. *Lancet* 359:2224–2229
6. Schwenk W, Bohm B, Haase O et al (1998) Laparoscopic versus conventional colorectal resection: a prospective randomized study of postoperative ileus and early postoperative feeding. *Langenbecks Arch Surg* 383:49–55
7. Vrijland WW, Jeekel J, van Geldorp HJ et al (2003) Abdominal adhesions: intestinal obstruction, pain, and infertility. *Surg Endosc* 17:1017–1022
8. Swank DJ, Van Erp WF, Repelaer Van Driel OJ et al (2003) A prospective analysis of predictive factors on the results of laparoscopic adhesiolysis in patients with chronic abdominal pain. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech* 13:88–94
9. Jacobi CA, Ordemann J, Böhn B et al (1998) Increased systemic inflammation after laparotomy vs. laparoscopy in an animal model of peritonitis. *Arch Surg* 133:258–262
10. Hanly EJ, Mendoza-Sagaon M, Murata K et al (2003) CO₂ pneumoperitoneum modifies the inflammatory response to sepsis. *Ann Surg* 237:343–350
11. Woon KJ, Seok-Byung L, Hyo SC et al (2008) Conservative management of adhesive small bowel obstructions in patients previously operated on for primary colorectal cancer. *J Gastrointest Surg* 12:926–932
12. Edna TH, Bjerkeset T (1998) Small bowel obstruction in patients previously operated on for colorectal cancer. *Eur J Surg* 164:587–592
13. Poon JT, Law WL, Chu KW (2004) Small-bowel obstruction following low anterior resection: impact of diversion ileostomy. *Langenbecks Arch Surg* 389:250–255
14. Wilson MS, Menzies D, Knight AD et al (2002) Demonstrating the clinical and cost effectiveness of adhesion reduction strategies. *Colorectal Dis* 4:355–360
15. Dowson HM, Bong JJ, Lovell DP et al (2008) Reduced adhesion formation following laparoscopic versus open colorectal surgery. *Br J Surg* 95:909–914
16. Jin YS, Kwan HH (2008) Risk factors for early postoperative small-bowel obstruction after colectomy in colorectal cancer. *World J Surg* 32:2287–2292
17. Kalf J, Schraut WH, Simmons RL et al (1998) Surgical manipulation of the gut elicits an intestinal muscularis inflammatory response resulting in postsurgical ileus. *Ann Surg* 228:652–663
18. Kehlet H (2000) Postoperative ileus. *Gut* 47(Suppl 4):iv85–iv86
19. Dubois A, Weise VK, Kopin IJ (1973) Postoperative ileus in the rat: physiopathology, etiology and treatment. *Ann Surg* 178:781–786
20. Kalf J, Schraut WH, Billiar TR et al (1999) Surgically induced leukocytic infiltrates within the rat intestinal muscularis mediate postoperative ileus. *Gastroenterology* 117:378–387
21. Hiki N, Shimizu N, Yamaguchi H et al (2006) Manipulation of the small intestine as a cause of the increased inflammatory response after open compared with laparoscopic surgery. *Br J Surg* 93:195–204
22. COST Study Group (2004) A comparison of laparoscopic assisted and open colectomy for colon cancer. *N Engl J Med* 350:2050–2059
23. Leung KL, Kwok SP, Lam SC (2002) Laparoscopic resection of rectosigmoid carcinoma: prospective randomized trial. *Lancet* 363:1187–1192
24. Marco B, Matteo F, Andrea V et al (2005) Laparoscopic vs. open colectomy in cancer patients: long-term comparisons, quality of life, and survival. *Dis Colon Rectum* 48:2217–2223
25. Hasegawa H, Kabeshima Y, Watanabe M et al (2003) Randomized controlled trial of laparoscopic versus open colectomy for advanced colorectal cancer. *Surg Endosc* 17:636–640
26. King PM, Blazeby JM, Ewings P et al (2006) Randomized-clinical trial comparing laparoscopic and open surgery for colorectal cancer within an enhanced recovery programme. *Br J Surg* 93:300–308