# Check for updates

# Metal Removal by a Free Surface Constructed Wetland and Prediction of Metal Bioavailability and Toxicity with Diffusive Gradients in Thin Films (DGT) and Biotic Ligand Model (BLM)

Chongyang Qin D<sup>1</sup> · Xiaoyu Xu<sup>1</sup> · Erin Peck<sup>1</sup>

Received: 14 April 2021 / Accepted: 11 November 2021 / Published online: 22 November 2021 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2021

#### Abstract

The H-02 constructed wetland is a free water surface wetland to remove copper (Cu) and zinc (Zn) from the industrial wastewater. In this study, we evaluated the performance of the wetland from 2018 to 2019 and coupled the diffusive gradients in thin films (DGTs) and biotic ligand model (BLM) to explore metal speciation and bioavailability in wetland waters. Surface water samples were collected and piston DGTs were deployed in different sites of the wetland. The H-02 wetland functioned well during the sampling period with high removal efficiencies (Cu:  $73.8 \pm 1.2\%$  and Zn:  $75.2 \pm 16.0\%$ ). In our study, with the assumption that the combination of BLM predicted inorganic metals species, BLM Cu(II) and BLM Zn(II), were the bioavailable and toxic species, DGT-Cu did not correlate to BLM Cu(II) (P = 0.47), but DGT-Zn positively correlated to BLM Zn(II) ( $R^2 = 0.35$ , P < 0.001). Compared to the modeling results of BLM, DGT-indicated labile and/or bioavailable Cu included not only free Cu ions and inorganic Cu complexes but also a high percentage of Cu-labile organic matter complexes. DGT-indicated the appropriate use of passive sampling techniques and geological modeling when biomonitoring could be substituted. The close monitoring of metal concentrations, speciation, and bioavailability helps us understand metal biogeochemistry and metal removal processes and ensure the long-term sustainability of the constructed wetland.

Keywords Constructed wetland · DGT · BLM · Metal speciation · Bioavailability

## Introduction

Constructed wetlands are widely used to remove heavy metals from the wastewater in recent years because of the low cost, easy maintenance, and high metal removal efficiency (Batool and Saleh 2020; Kivaisi 2001; Vymazal 2010). Different from the natural wetlands, constructed wetlands are optionally designed to utilize soil, plants, and associated microorganisms to treat contaminants in the wastewater (Halverson 2004; Kivaisi 2001; Vymazal 2010). There are two types of

**Supplementary information** The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-021-01567-7.

Chongyang Qin qin\_chongyang@163.com constructed wetlands based on the hydrology: free water surface (FWS) wetlands and subsurface flow wetlands (Vymazal and Kröpfelová 2008). The FWS wetland is the most widely used constructed wetlands due to its low cost and high removal efficiency of contaminants in various wastewater, such as chromium, nickel, and zinc (Maine et al. 2007; Vymazal 2010). The FWS wetland generally consists of a soil bottom, the vegetation, and a water surface above the substrate (Halverson 2004; Vymazal 2010). The function and removal efficiency of FWS wetlands are controlled by multiple processes, including sedimentation, chemical precipitation, adsorption, microbial degradation, and uptake by plants (Kadlec 2009; Watson et al. 1989).

Metal speciation shows a large effect on the metal bioavailability in the water (Morel and Hering 1993). Besides free metal ions and inorganic metal species (Spry and Wood 1985; Vink 2009), complexes between metal and labile organic matter are also bioavailable through directly acting on the biological membrane (Ahlf et al. 2009; Ferreira et al. 2008) or discomposing and releasing free metal ions (Ahlf et al. 2009;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Savannah River Ecology Laboratory, University of Georgia. PO Drawer E, Aiken, SC 29802, USA

Worms et al. 2006). Xu and Mills (2018) found out the formation of metal-organic matter complexes in a metal treatment FWS wetland during the cold months of a year, especially the formation of metal-fulvic acid complexes, increased the overall metal bioavailability. Also, elevated metal bioavailability increases the risk of metal exposure to the surrounding ecosystem (Kadlec 2009). Therefore, it is essential to explore metal speciation in the FWS wetland to understand metal bioavailability and bioaccumulation and estimate its environmental impacts.

Passive sampling techniques and geological modeling are always adopted among many approaches that study metal speciation. Diffusive gradients in thin films (DGT) is one of the most widespread passive samplers (Buzier et al. 2006; Yapici et al. 2008; Zhang and Davison 1995; Zhang and Davison 2015). A DGT device mimics the biological membrane, composed of a filter membrane, a diffusive gel, and an ion-exchange resin gel assembled in the housing (Zhang and Davison 1995, 2000). Free ions, inorganic metal complexes, and some metal-labile organic matter complexes can be measured by the DGT; some large-sized colloidal and particulate metals are excluded because of size restrictions of the diffusive gel (Philipps et al. 2019; Twiss and Moffett 2002; Zhang and Davison 2000). A series of studies validated the usefulness of DGT as the substitute for biomonitoring by exploring correlations between metal concentrations indicated by DGT and accumulated by organisms (Oporto et al. 2009; Philipps et al. 2019; Zhang et al. 2001). So far, DGT has been found to be an excellent prediction of the bioavailability of many metals, such as cadmium (Pérez and Anderson 2009), mercury (Gao et al. 2014), copper (Cu) (Zhang et al. 2001), lead (Philipps et al. 2019), zinc (Zn) (Cornu and Denaix 2006), though not for all elements (Amato et al. 2016).

The biotic ligand model (BLM) is one of the most wellknown geochemical models relative to metal speciation and toxicity. BLM incorporates the competition of free metal ions with other cations (e.g., Ca2+, Na+, Mg2+, H+), and meanwhile considers the influence of water chemistry (e.g., dissolved organic matter, chloride, carbonates, sulfate) on the metal speciation in the solution (Di Toro et al. 2001; Niyogi and Wood 2004; Santore et al. 2001; Santore et al. 2002). Based on the requirement of water quality parameters as input, BLM has developed different bioavailability approaches, including full or complex BLM, user-friendly BLMbased bioavailability tools, and simplified bioavailability approaches to help to quantify the species of metal in the water (Rüdel et al. 2015). In a critical review, Slaveykova and Wilkinson (2005) supported that the BLM is a useful tool for predicting the bioavailability of metals and metal complexes to aquatic biota. The application of BLM makes us further analyze the metal speciation, improving our understanding of the complicated metal biogeochemical processes in the environment (Philipps et al. 2018; Xu et al. 2019).

Recently, more and more studies apply a combination of DGT and chemical equilibrium models, e.g., ligand-exchange/DPCSV, Windermere Humic Aqueous Model (WHAM), NICA-Donnan, Stockholm Humic Model (SHM), to research the specific speciation and bioavailability of metal in the water (Balistrieri and Blank 2008; Han et al. 2013; Meylan et al. 2004; Odzak et al. 2002; Yapici et al. 2008).

In this study, we combined DGT and BLM to study the speciation and bioavailability of metals in the water of the FWS wetland. Water quality parameters and metal concentrations in the water in different wetland sites were measured monthly from 2018 to 2019, coupling with DGT deployment and speciation modeling. Labile metal concentrations were indicated by DGT and metal speciation was predicted by BLM. The objectives of this study are to understand the influence of water chemistry on metal bioavailability, and to predict metal speciation and bioavailability DGT and BLM.

## **Materials and Methods**

#### **Sampling Sites**

The H-02 wetland is an FWS wetland, composed of five source pipes, one retention basin, two wetland treatment cells, one effluent pool, and one effluent stream (Supplementary Fig. 1). Water entered and exited the wetland cells were defined as the influent and effluent. The treatment cells are half-acre, rectangular, and consist of an impermeable clay layer, 46-61 cm of soil layer, main macrophyte, Schoenoplectus californicus, and many other emergent and submerged algae at certain location. Water depth is about 30 m but varies slightly with location and season. The average residence time of water in each wetland cell is about 48 h (Bach et al. 2008). After being treated by the wetland cells, the water is mixed at the effluent pool and released into a stream connected to the regulatory Upper Three Runs (Xu and Mills 2018). The detailed engineer design of the H-02 wetland was illustrated by Xu and Mills (2018) and Xu et al. (2019). The influent culvert, wetland cells, effluent pool, and stream were selected as sampling sites.

## Water Sampling

Surface water samples were collected per site, and water quality parameters were measured monthly from January 2018 to December 2019. The first water sample was used to measure temperature, pH, and oxidation-reduction potential in situ (ORP, Oakton pH 6+ and ORPTestr 10; Vernon Hills, IL, USA). The second sample was collected in 50 mL metal-free vials (VWR International; Radnor, PA) for measurement of metals (Cu and Zn) and anions (chloride and sulfate). The third sample was collected in a 40 mL borosilicate glass vial (Fisher Scientific; Pittsburgh, PA) to measure dissolved organic carbon (DOC). The last water sample was collected in a 1000 mL HDPE bottle (Nalgene Nunc International Corporation; Rochester, NY) for measurement of alkalinity. Sample storage, transportation, and the process can be found in Xu and Mill's (2018) study (Xu and Mills 2018). Sterile syringe filters with 0.45  $\mu$ m pore size (Millipore Corporation; Billerica, MA) were used for the preparation of dissolve metal determination and DOC measurement. Field blank samples were prepared for each sampling occasion: containers filled with Milli-Q water (Thermo Scientific, USA) were taken to the field and processed like the other samples.

#### **DGT Deployment and Analysis**

The DGTs were purchased from the DGT Research LTD (Lancaster, UK) and deployed monthly at the same sites where water samples were collected. Due to the limitations of experimental conditions, DGTs were only deployed for one year from June 2018 to May 2019. Each DGT was composed of a polyethersulphone filter membrane, an agarose crosslinked polyacrylamide diffusive gel, and a binding layer Chelex (Zhang and Davison 2000). DGTs were placed on the plastic holder with a 2 cm diameter window in surface water for four days. The deployment and retrieval time were recorded accurately to the second. After retrieval, each DGT was separated from the plastic holder, rinsed with Milli-O water, transported back to the lab on ice, and stored in a clean plastic bag in the refrigerator (4 °C) before the process. The resin layer was separated and extracted with 1 mL 1 M HNO3 for 24 h. The extracted solution was then diluted with Milli-Q water and analyzed. The mass of metal accumulated in the resin gel layer (M, ng) was calculated using Eq. (1) (Zhang and Davison 2000):

$$M = \frac{C_e * \left( V_{HNO_3} + V_{gel} \right)}{f_e} \tag{1}$$

where *Ce* means the concentration of metals (Cu and Zn) in 1 M HNO<sub>3</sub> solution,  $V_{HNO3}$  is the amount of nitric acid (1 mL),  $V_{gel}$  is the volume of resin (0.16 mL), and  $f_e$  is the elution efficiency of 0.8 (Devillers et al. 2017). Because the number of metals accumulated in the resin was assumed to be equivalent to the number of metals passing through the diffusive layer, the time-averaged metal concentrations in the environmental media  $C_{DGT}$  can be calculated with Eq. (2) based on Fick's first law of diffusion:

$$C_{DGT} = \frac{M * \Delta g}{D * t * A} \tag{2}$$

where *M* is the mass of metals (Cu, Zn, ng),  $\Delta g$  is the thickness of the diffusive gel and filter membrane (0.093 cm)

(Zhang and Davison 2000), D is the diffusion coefficient for each metal in the diffusion layer at the corresponding water temperature (cm<sup>2</sup>/s), *t* is the deployment duration (s), and *A* is the exposed area of the DGT ( $3.14 \text{ cm}^2$ ).

## **Chemical Analysis**

Alkalinity (expressed as CaCO<sub>3</sub> m/L) was determined with a Test Kit (Hach; Loveland, CO, USA). Concentrations of major anions and DOC were analyzed by the Center of Applied Isotope Studies at the University of Georgia (Athens, GA). Anions (sulfate and chloride) were measured with Dionex Ion Chromatograph (Thermo Scientific; West Columbia, SC, USA). DOC concentrations were determined by the high-temperature combustion Total Carbon Analyze-500 (Shimadzu Inc.; Durham, NC, USA). The percent recoveries of carbon standard (SPEX CertiPrep; Metuchen, NJ, USA) was  $103 \pm 5\%$  (n = 15). and of Dionex 7 Anion Standard II (Thermo Fisher Scientific; West Columbia, SC, USA) were  $102 \pm 5\%$  for sulfate (n = 15) and  $103 \pm 13\%$  for chloride (n = 15).

Metal concentrations, including total metal concentrations (TCu and TZn), dissolved metal concentrations (DCu and DZn), and metal concentrations in DGT resin extracts were analyzed by the Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-OES, Optima 4300 DV, Perkin-Elmer) at the Savannah River Ecology Laboratory (Aiken, SC). Copper was analyzed using a wavelength of 324.752 nm and Zn using 213.857 nm. Standard curves were established with a standard reference material ICP-200.7-6 (High-Purity Standards, SC, USA). Blank, duplicates, standard reference material (SRM), and spiked samples were included to verify the precision and accuracy of the analytical system. The method detection limit was 0.06 ng/L for Cu and 0.3 ng/L for Zn. Relative percent difference of duplicate samples averaged at  $3.5 \pm 4.0\%$  (n = 18) for Cu and  $6.6 \pm$ 10.4% (n = 18) for Zn. The percent recoveries of SRM 1640a (trace elements in natural water, Sigma, USA) were 98.2 ± 8.8% (n = 12) for Cu and 97.3 ± 8.3% (n = 12) for Zn. The recoveries of spiked samples (High-Purity Standards; Charleston, SC, USA) were  $103.7 \pm 8.7\%$  (n = 19) for Cu and  $103.7 \pm 7.3\%$  (*n* = 19) for Zn.

#### **Biotic Ligand Model**

In this study, BLM 3.16 (Windward Environmental LLC; Seattle, WA, USA) was adopted to calculate the chemical speciation of metals in the water. Water quality parameters, including temperature, pH, dissolved metal concentrations (DCu and DZn), alkalinity, and DOC, were included to run speciation function in BLM. Dissolved metal concentrations were used as this study focused on metal bioavailability and speciation in the water (Xu et al. 2019). The BLM-predicted **Table 1** Water quality parameters and metal concentrations in the water of each sampling site averaged for all sampling occasions. Each value was presented with mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 24)

Site	Influent	Cell 1	Cell 2	Effluent	Stream
Temperature (°C)	$22.1 \pm 7.9$	$19.8 \pm 7.5$	$20.2 \pm 8.0$	$19.6 \pm 7.6$	$18.5 \pm 7.2$
pH	$8.8 \pm 0.8$	$6.6 \pm 0.3$	$6.5 \pm 0.3$	$6.8 \pm 0.3$	$7.3 \pm 0.4$
ORP (mV)	$76.1 \pm 46.8$	$91.5 \pm 54.8$	$106.0\pm42.5$	$106.0 \pm 38.1$	$128.7 \pm 37.2$
Alkalinity (mg/L CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	$51.4 \pm 15.5$	$52.8 \pm 14.6$	$52.5 \pm 14.6$	$53.2 \pm 15.1$	$51.7 \pm 16.4$
DOC (mg/L)	$2.5 \pm 1.3$	$2.9 \pm 1.4$	$3.4 \pm 1.8$	$2.9 \pm 1.4$	$2.7 \pm 1.2$
Chloride (mg/L)	$3.5 \pm 1.6$	$2.9 \pm 1.6$	$3.0 \pm 1.3$	$3.0 \pm 1.6$	$4.0 \pm 1.7$
Sulfate (mg/L)	$2.3 \pm 1.1$	$2.3 \pm 1.2$	$2.1 \pm 1.1$	$2.1 \pm 1.1$	$2.0 \pm 1.2$
TCu (µg/L)	$20.1 \pm 6.9$	$5.2 \pm 2.1$	$6.8 \pm 3.9$	$5.3 \pm 1.9$	$5.5 \pm 1.9$
DCu (µg/L)	$13.6 \pm 3.1$	$4.0 \pm 1.7$	$4.7 \pm 2.8$	$4.4 \pm 1.7$	$4.5 \pm 1.2$
TZn (µg/L)	$45.4\pm30.1$	$9.2 \pm 5.9$	$15.3 \pm 14.8$	$8.6 \pm 3.6$	$8.2 \pm 3.6$
DZn (µg/L)	$20.2 \pm 10.1$	$6.8 \pm 3.4$	$7.6 \pm 7.3$	$6.6 \pm 3.3$	$5.0 \pm 2.3$
%DCu (%)	$67.2 \pm 16.7$	$76.0 \pm 17.9$	$76.9 \pm 23.4$	$81.8 \pm 13.9$	$79.0 \pm 12.1$
%DZn (%)	$34.9 \pm 15.2$	$47.9 \pm 21.1$	$35.5 \pm 22.0$	$52.4 \pm 21.9$	$52.3 \pm 17.2$

Note: *ORP* oxidation-reduction potential, *DOC* dissolved organic carbon, *TCu/TZn* total copper/zinc concentration, *DCu/DZn* dissolved copper/zinc concentration, %DCu and %DZn indicate the percentage of DCu and DZn in TCu and TZn, respectively

metal speciation included free metal ions ( $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Zn^{2+}$ ), inorganic metals ( $Cu_{inorg}$  and  $Zn_{inorg}$ , the sum of all inorganic complexes), and metal-DOC complexes (Cu-DOC and Zn-DOC). In this study, free ions and inorganic complexes were combined and defined as BLM-indicated inorganic metal species (BLM-Cu(II) and BLM-Zn(II)).

#### **Statistical Analysis**

All data in this study was expressed with mean, standard deviation (SD), and sample size (n). All tables and figures were generated by Excel 2010 (Microsoft Corporation, USA) and Origin 9.7.0.188 (Origin Lab Corporation, USA). The method of comparison of means was t Test at a 5% significance level. Equality of variance and normality was assessed prior to the use of the t Test. Linear regression modeling was employed to evaluate the correlation of dissolved metal concentrations versus DGT-indicated metal concentrations, and DGT-indicated metal concentrations versus BLM-predicted metal concentrations. The metal removal efficiencies of the H-02 constructed wetland were calculated by Eqs. (3) and (4) (Xu et al. 2019):

$$Cu \, removal \, efficiency \, (\%) = \frac{\left( \left[ TCu_{Influent} \right] - \left[ TCu_{Effluent} \right] \right) * 100}{\left[ TCu_{Influent} \right]}$$
(3)

$$Zn \, removal \, efficiency \, (\%) = \frac{\left( \left[ TZn_{Influent} \right] - \left[ TZn_{Effluent} \right] \right) * 100}{\left[ TZn_{Influent} \right]}$$

$$(4)$$

## Results

#### Water Chemistry

All water quality parameters averaged for sampling occasions, including temperature, pH, ORP, alkalinity, DOC, chloride, and sulfate in each sampling site were shown in Table 1 and Supplementary Fig. 2. The pH values changed with sampling sites: pH in the influent  $(8.8 \pm 0.8)$  was highest among all sites (P < 0.001) and decreased dramatically in cell 1 ( $6.6 \pm 0.3$ ) and cell 2 ( $6.5 \pm 0.3$ ). From influent to stream, the average ORP increased from 76.1 mV to 128.7 mV (P = 0.002). The other water quality parameters, temperature, alkalinity, DOC, chloride, and sulfate did not indicate any difference among sites (P > 0.05). The temporal change of water quality parameters was shown in Supplementary Fig. 3. Temperature and DOC concentration showed similar and noticeable fluctuation with time, which increased in the warm season (April to September) and decreased in the cold season (October to next March). Sulfate concentrations were relatively high from January to June of 2018 (2.9-4.9 mg/L) and suddenly decreased by almost 90% in the following two months (July and August 2018); sulfate concentrations then slowly increased (1.3-2.5 mg/L) in the rest months of 2018 and 2019. In addition, it was noted that sulfate concentrations in the stream water collected from May of 2019 presented a surprisingly low value, which was not discovered in other sites.

#### Metal Concentration in the Water

Metal concentrations (TCu, DCu, TZn, and DZn) and the percentages of dissolved metal in total metal (%DCu and



**Fig. 1** Total and dissolved Cu (**a**) and Zn (**b**) concentration in the water of each sampling site averaged for all sampling occasions. Broken lines indicate the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

**Table 2** Metal concentrations ( $\mu$ g/L) of different species in the water of each sampling site averaged for sampling occasions from June 2018 to May 2019. Each value was presented with mean and SD (n = 12)



limit (12.3  $\mu$ g/L of Cu and 110  $\mu$ g/L of Zn). The circles and error bars indicate means and SD

	Speciation	Influent		Cell 1		Cell 2		Effluent		Stream	
		mean	SD	mean	SD	mean	SD	mean	SD	mean	SD
DGT	DGT-Cu	2.2	1.9	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.7	0.3	0.8	0.4
	DGT-Zn	12.5	9.1	4.6	2.6	4.3	3.1	5.7	3.4	3.9	1.8
BLM	Cu-DOC	13.2	2.0	3.4	1.1	4.2	2.0	4.0	1.3	4.1	0.9
	Cuinorg	3.7E-1	3.7E-1	3.8E-3	1.7E-3	4.5E-3	2.9E-3	4.4E-3	2.1E-3	5.8E-3	3.6E-3
	$Cu^{2+}$	2.8E-3	3.3E-3	9.6E-3	6.7E-3	1.2E-2	7.5E-3	7.3E-3	4.2E-3	3.4E-3	1.5E-3
	Zn-DOC	15.2	10.8	2.6	1.4	3.5	3.6	3.6	2.6	3.8	1.9
	Zninorg	5.0	4.5	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1
	$Zn^{2+}$	2.7	3.0	2.9	1.5	3.5	2.6	3.0	2.0	1.6	0.8

%DZn) were shown in Table 1, Fig. 1, Table S1. Total concentrations in the influent were the highest (TCu:  $20.1 \pm 6.9 \,\mu\text{g/L}, P < 0.001; TZn: 45.4 \pm 30.1 \,\mu\text{g/L}, P < 0.001;$ 0.001) among all sites. After flowing through the treatment cells, total concentrations in the effluent were much lower (TCu:  $5.3 \pm 1.9 \,\mu\text{g/L}$ , P < 0.001; TZn:  $8.6 \pm 3.6 \,\mu\text{g/}$ L, P < 0.001). Dissolved metal concentrations showed similar trends as total metals and decreased notably after flowing through the wetland treatment cells. DCu accounted for most TCu with the average %DCu of  $74.9 \pm 17.6\%$  for all water samples. However, DZn only accounted for  $43.8 \pm 20.5\%$  in TZn. The temporal change of metal concentrations in each sampling site was shown in Fig. S5. The fluctuations of both total and dissolved metal concentrations in the influent were higher than those in other sites. The temporal changes of dissolved metal concentrations in all sample sites did not correspond to those of the total metal concentrations.

The removal efficiencies of Cu  $(73.8 \pm 10.2\%)$  and Zn  $(75.2 \pm 16.0\%)$  calculated by Eqs. (3) and (4) were relatively consistent in 2018 and 2019 (Fig. S3 and Table S1).

#### **DGT-indicated Metal Concentrations**

DGT-indicated Cu and Zn concentrations (DGT-Cu and DGT-Zn) in the water of each sampling site were shown in Table 2 and Table S2. The highest values of DGT-Cu  $(2.2 \pm 1.9 \,\mu\text{g/L}, P < 0.001)$  and DGT-Zn  $(12.5 \pm 9.1 \,\mu\text{g/L}, P < 0.001)$ p < 0.001) were observed in the influent, which were almost 2 to 3 times higher compared to other sites. The percentages of DGT-Cu and DGT-Zn in dissolved metal (%DGT-Cu and %DGT-Zn) were shown in Fig. 3a, c, respectively. The %DGT-Zn (71.0  $\pm$  20.9%) was generally higher than those of %DGT-Cu (15.5  $\pm$  8.1%) for all sampling sites (P < 0.001). There were no statistical differences of %DGT-Cu among sites (P = 0.13), except for the cell 2 and the effluent (P = 0.02). The %DGT-Zn of the influent was lower than the others (P < 0.05). The temporal changes of DGTindicated in all sample sites did not show noticeable seasonal variation (Fig. S5). Linear regression indicated that DGT-Zn and DZn showed a strong positive relationship  $(y = 0.55x + 0.99, R^2 = 0.80, P < 0.001)$ , while DGT-Cu and DCu showed a relatively weak relationship (y = 0.17x-0.12,  $R^2 = 0.45$ , P < 0.001, Fig. 2).



**Fig. 2** Linear regressions between dissolved metal concentration and DGT-indicated metal concentration (**a**) or BLM-predicted inorganic metal concentration (**b**) in all water samples. For DGT-Zn vs. DZn (**a**): y = 0.55x + 0.99, R<sup>2</sup> = 0.80, P < 0.001; DGT-Cu vs. DCu (**a**):

## **BLM-predicted Metal Speciation**

The BLM-predicted concentrations of free metal ions, inorganic metal complexes, and metal-DOC complexes were presented in Table 2. For each sampling site, the Cu-DOC concentration  $(13.2 \pm 2.0 \,\mu\text{g/L})$  was highest among all Cu species (P < 0.001). The Zn-DOC concentrations  $(15.2 \pm 10.8 \,\mu\text{g/L})$  were higher than other Zn species in the influent (P < 0.001). However, the values of Zn-DOC and  $Zn^{2+}$  were similar in the treatment cells, effluent, and stream (P = 0.16). The percentage of each BLM-predicted metal species in dissolved metals was shown in Fig. 3b, d. The percentage of Cu-DOC in DCu was as high as  $99.4 \pm 1.1\%$ , while BLM-Cu(II) only accounted for  $0.6 \pm 1.1\%$  of DCu (Fig. 3b). The percentage of BLM-predicted Zn speciation in DZn followed the trend of Zn-DOC >  $Zn_{inorg}$  >  $Zn^{2+}$  in the influent (P < 0.001, Fig. 3d). The percentage of Zn<sub>inorg</sub> obviously decreased from the influent to the treatment cells, and an increase was observed in the percentage of  $Zn^{2+}$  in the treatment cells. Except for the influent, both Zn-DOC and  $Zn^{2+}$  were the major species with an obviously higher percentage than that of  $Zn_{inorg}$  (P < 0.001). There was no relationship between BLM-Cu(II) and DCu (P = 0.11); however, the BLM-Zn(II) indicated a strong and positive correlation with DZn (y = 0.26x + 1.44, R<sup>2</sup> = 0.57, P < 0.001, Fig. 2).

Linear regressions between DGT-indicated metal concentration and BLM-predicted metal concentration were shown in Fig. 4. Though BLM-Cu(II) were not related to DGT-Cu (P = 0.47), while a significant linear relationship was found between BLM-Zn(II) and DGT-Zn ( $R^2 = 0.35$ , P < 0.001).



y = 0.17x - 0.12,  $R^2 = 0.45$ , P < 0.001; BLM-Cu(II) vs. DCu (b): y = 0.26x + 1.44, P = 0.11; BLM-Zn(II) vs. DZn (b): y = 0.26x + 1.44,  $R^2 = 0.57$ , P < 0.001

## Discussions

## **The Wetland Function**

The function of the FWS wetland is determined by the major chemical, physical, and biological processes, mostly depending on the pH, temperature, DOC, ORP, and sulfur dynamics in the water. Generally, vegetation plays a fundamental role in the wetland treatment system (Batool and Saleh 2020). Giant bulrush (*Schoenoplectus californicus*) was planted in the H-02 wetland cells to serve as the primary carbon source for the ecosystem because of its low metal accumulation (Bach et al. 2008; Murray-Gulde et al. 2005).

Wetland treatment cells 1 and 2 were the main constructions of the H-02 wetland system, where heavy metals were removed from the surface water. During the sampling period, total metal concentrations in the wastewater decreased after being processed by the treatment cells (Fig. 1), both total and dissolved metal concentrations in the wetland cells were significantly lower than those in the influent. Metal concentrations in the effluent and stream were lower than the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System limit (12.3  $\mu$ g/L for Cu and 110  $\mu$ g/L for Zn) (Kadlec and Wallace 2008). Metal removal efficiencies of H-02 wetland were relatively high with the averages of  $73.8 \pm 10.2\%$  for Cu and  $75.2 \pm 16.0\%$  for Zn (Fig. S3), keeping a consistent level from 2018 to 2019 and were close to the results from 2008 to 2017 (63.8% for Cu and 70.5% for Zn) (Xu et al. 2019). Our results were in the range of removal efficiencies of other constructed wetlands, which were 48-80% of Cu and 55-88% of Zn (Ayaz et al. 2020; Crites et al. 1997; Hadad et al. 2006; Khan et al. 2009).



Fig. 3 Percentages of DGT-indicated (a and c) and BLM-predicted (b and d) metals in dissolved metals in the water of each sampling site. Each value was presented with mean and SD (n = 12)





Fig. 4 Linear regressions between DGT-indicated metal concentrations (DGT-Cu and DGT-Zn) and BLM-predicted inorganic metal concentrations (BLM-Cu(II) and BLM-Zn(II)) in all sampling sites.

For DGT-Cu vs. BLM-Cu(II) (a): y = 0.01x + 0.05, P = 0.47; DGT-Zn vs. BLM-Zn(II) (b): y = 0.33x + 1.85,  $R^2 = 0.35$ , P < 0.001

Totally, the H-02 constructed wetland still functions well and maintains high metal removal efficiency. A three-stage performance pattern of the FWS metal-removing wetland was hypothesized by Xu et al. (2019), which includes the plateau stage, the trough stage, and the recovery stage. The removal efficiency derived from this study indicated that the current performance of the H-02 wetland was in the second plateau stage (Xu et al. 2019).

Xu and Mills (2018) found that the metal removal processes were primarily related to sulfur cycling in the treatment cells of H-02 wetland. Sulfate reduction dominated the sulfur cycling during the warm months of a year (February to August), and the produced sulfide caused precipitation of metals from the surface water: while during the cold months (September to next February), sulfide oxidation dominated the sulfur cycling, and the primary removal process of metals shifted to the adsorption of organic matter (Xu and Mills 2018). The sulfur cycle in this study showed a similar dynamic: (1) sulfate concentrations in the treatment cells were generally higher than the influent during the cold months, indicating the production of sulfate compounds; (2) sulfate concentrations in the cells were generally lower than the influent during the warm months, indicating the consumption/reduction of sulfate compounds (Fig. S3). However, the noticeable drop of sulfate concentrations in all sampling sites in July 2018 should be caused by the low concentrations in the influent, which might be due to the biogeochemical changes in the retention basin but not just the sulfur dynamics in the treatment cells. The carbon cycle in the wetland also influences metal removal mechanisms. DOC, as one of the bacterial energies, facilitates and accelerates microbial processes, such as the bacterial sulfate reduction in the warm season (Xu and Mills, 2018). Meanwhile, DOC is a strong binding agent that combines metals and hydrophobic organics in the water (Pinney et al., 2000), and its labile fractions, e.g., fluvic acid, can combine with metals and form bioavailable metal-fulvic acid complexes (Xu and Mills, 2018).

## **Metal Speciation Predicted by BLM**

The speciation of dissolved metals was modeled by BLM. Copper and Zn indicated distinct speciation in the water of the H-02 wetland. There was no relationship between BLM-Cu(II) and DCu (P = 0.11); however, the BLM-Zn (II) indicated a strong and positive correlation with DZn (P < 0.001, Fig. 2b). The most possibility might because the major fraction of DCu was Cu-DOC complexes  $(99.4 \pm 1.1\%)$ , and only a minor fraction was Cu<sup>2+</sup> and  $Cu_{inorg}$  (0.6 ± 1.1%, Fig. 3b). Unlike DCu, DZn species, including Zn-DOC complexes  $(55.2 \pm 14.6\%)$ , Zn<sup>2+</sup>  $(45.8 \pm 14.4\%)$ , and Zn<sub>inorg</sub>  $(3.6 \pm 1.0\%)$ , distributed relatively even in all sites (except the influent) with a surprisingly high percentage of  $Zn^{2+}$  (Fig. 3d). Generally, the percentage of Cu-DOC was higher than Zn-DOC and the percentage of  $Cu^{2+}$  was lower than  $Zn^{2+}$ , demonstrating their dissimilar capability of complexing organic ligands. This was consistent with previous conclusions that Cu was a much stronger binding element than Zn when competing for metal sorption sites on organic matter (Machemer and Wildeman, 1992).

A large variation of the percentage of Cuinorg was observed in the influent (Fig. 3b). Considering the water quality parameters input in the BLM, the only parameter showing the obviously different pattern was the pH whose fluctuation during the sampling period was significantly higher than the others (Fig. S3). The large treatment cells provide a neutralization condition for the wastewater. The wastewater discharged from the source pipes was slightly alkaline  $(8.8 \pm 0.8)$ . In contrast, the water in the effluent and stream after being treated by the cells was almost close to neutral (6.8 and 7.3, Table 1 and Fig. S2), which was similar to the results observed from the last ten years (from 2007 to 2018) (Xu and Mills 2018; Xu et al. 2019). Thus we assumed the change of pH values influenced Cu speciation by affecting the binding strength between BIM-Cu(II) and organic matter, and the conversion between  $Cu^{2+}$  and Cuinorg (Morel and Hering 1993). Likewise, the different percentages of Zn<sup>2+</sup> and of Zn<sub>inorg</sub> between the influent and the other sites can be explained with the pH values. After water being treated by the wetland cells, the percentage of  $Zn_{inorg}$  decreased and of  $Zn^{2+}$  increased (Fig. 3d). The lowered pH (Fig. S2) and increased H<sup>+</sup> ions would compete against metal cations to form inorganic complexes with bicarbonate, carbonate, and hydroxide (Morel and Hering 1993), resulting a release of  $Zn^{2+}$ .

#### Metal Bioavailability Indicated by DGT

DGT technique has been used as a metal speciation tool in the seawater, river water, and wastewater (Buzier et al. 2006; Dunn et al. 2003; Meylan et al. 2004; Munksgaard and Parry 2003). Research indicated that the DGT accumulated not only free and inorganic metal species but also labile metal-organic complexes (Ferreira et al. 2008; Philipps et al. 2018). Our results of Cu demonstrated the similar conclusion. Linear regression indicated that DGTmetal and dissolved metal showed a positive relationship (P < 0.001, Fig. 2a). The percentage of DGT-Cu were much higher than the percentage of BLM-Cu(II) in the wetland cells, effluent, and stream (P < 0.01, Fig. 3a, b), indicating DGT in the water also combined a large amount of labile Cu-DOC complexes. However, the %DGT-Cu  $(15.5 \pm 8.1\%)$  was much lower compared to the result of natural freshwater  $(66 \pm 17\%)$  (Meylan et al. 2004). The most possibility of the low %DGT-Cu might be Cu<sup>2+</sup> and  $Cu_{inorg}$  in H-02 constructed wetland only accounted 0.6 ± 1.1% in all sites. Dissimilarly of DGT-Cu, the close percentages of DGT-Zn and BLM-Zn(II) in all sampling sites (Fig. 3c, d) illustrated the DGT targeted Zn species overlapped with Zn<sup>2+</sup> and Zn<sub>inorg</sub> predicted by BLM, and the presence of DOC did not influence the performance of DGT. Zn, as a much weaker binding element than Cu (Kerndorff and Schnitzer 1980; Machemer and Wildeman 1992), was not greatly complexed with DOC in the water, so its diffusion coefficient was not lowered. The extremely high  $Zn^{2+}$  concentrations in Fig. 3d also corresponded to this conclusion.

## **Comparing Techniques**

In the output of BLM, we usually assume the combination of predicted free metal ions and inorganic metals (BLM-Cu (II) and BLM-Zn(II)) are the bioavailable and toxic metal species. Under this assumption, the DGT-measured Zn overlapped with BLM-Zn(II), but the DGT-Cu was different from the BLM-Cu(II). There was no relationship between DGT-Cu and BLM-Cu(II) (P = 0.47, Fig. 4a) but a strong correlation between DGT-Zn and BLM-Zn(II) ( $R^2 =$ 0.35, P < 0.001, Fig. 4b), suggesting Zn lability and/or bioavailability can be predicted by both DGT-Zn and BLM-Zn(II) in the water of H-02 wetland. However, care should be taken relative to Cu. Considering BLM-Cu(II) was not the primary species of DGT-Cu, BLM is not appropriate to explore Cu lability and/or bioavailability in the H-02 wetland or in the water with moderately high DOC concentrations. Balistrieri and Blank (2008) discovered the similar inconsistent performances of both DGT and geochemical modeling relative to different elements. For instance, the dynamic Zn concentrations predicted by models WHAM VI, NICA-Donnan, and SHM were all related to the DGT labile concentrations; but only the WHAM VI successfully predicted the DGT-Cu (Balistrieri and Blank 2008). These findings demonstrated the appropriate use of passive sampling techniques and geological modeling. In most cases, a combination of techniques, which could improve the accuracy of prediction, should be a much-optimized approach for a monitoring project when biomonitoring can be substituted; while in some situations, biomonitoring is irreplaceable due to the limitations of passive sampling techniques (Xu et al. 2020).

# Conclusion

In this study, we explored the speciation and bioavailability of Cu and Zn in the water of the H-02 wetland with passive sampling device DGT and geochemical modeling BLM. Our results indicated the influence of water chemistry, such as pH and DOC, on metal speciation, which thus affected the performance of DGT, especially with the presence of metal mixtures. The conclusion that DGT and BLM were both appropriate tools to evaluate the lability and/or bioavailability for Zn while only DGT was good for Cu brings up the complexity of predicting speciation and bioavailability of metal mixtures with DGT. Though techniques like passive sampling and geochemical modeling are easy, convenient, and cost-effective, it is critical to understanding the water chemistry in the environmental media, realize the heterogeneity of diffusive capability among different elements, and cautiously interpret data when geochemical modeling and/or passive samplers such as the DGT are applied. Biomonitoring often acts as the "early warning signal", plays significant role in ecological risk assessment. DGT, BLM, and biomonitoring coupling should be the future strategy of management and ecological risk assessment.

## Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Acknowledgements This research was supported by the U. S. Department of Energy through Financial Assistance Award No. DE-FC09-96SR18546 to the University of Georgia Research Foundation.

## **Compliance with Ethical Standards**

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

## References

- Ahlf W, Drost W, Heise S (2009) Incorporation of metal bioavailability into regulatory frameworks—metal exposure in water and sediment. J Soils Sediments 9(5):411–419. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s11368-009-0109-6
- Amato ED, Simpson SL, Remaili TM, Spadaro DA, Jarolimek CV, Jolley DFJES(2016) Assessing the effects of bioturbation on metal bioavailability in contaminated sediments by diffusive gradients in thin films (DGT) Environ Sci Technol. 50 (6):3055–3064. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b04995
- Ayaz T, Khan S, Khan AZ, Lei M, Alam M (2020) Remediation of industrial wastewater using four hydrophyte species: a comparison

of individual (pot experiments) and mix plants (constructed wetland). J Environ Manage 255:109833. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jenvman.2019.109833

- Bach, M, Michael Serrato, M, Eric Nelson, E (2008). H-02 wetland treatment system water chemistry sampling and results report (WSRC-STI-2007-00680). Retrieved from United States
- Balistrieri LS, Blank RG (2008) Dissolved and labile concentrations of Cd, Cu, Pb, and Zn in the South Fork Coeur d'Alene River, Idaho: Comparisons among chemical equilibrium models and implications for biotic ligand models. Appl Geochem 23(12):3355–3371. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2008.06.031
- Batool A, Saleh TA (2020) Removal of toxic metals from wastewater in constructed wetlands as a green technology; catalyst role of substrates and chelators. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 189:109924. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2019.109924
- Buzier R, Tusseau-Vuillemin MH, Mouchel JM (2006) Evaluation of DGT as a metal speciation tool in wastewater. Sci Total Environ 358(1-3):277–285. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2005.09.051
- Cornu J-Y, Denaix L (2006) Prediction of zinc and cadmium phytoavailability within a contaminated agricultural site using DGT. Environ Chem 3(1):61–64. https://doi.org/10.1071/EN05050
- Crites RW, Dombeck GD, Watson RC, Williams CR (1997) Removal of metals and ammonia in constructed wetlands. Water Environ Res 69(2):132–135. https://doi.org/10.2175/106143097x125272
- Devillers D, Buzier R, Charriau A, Guibaud G (2017) Improving elution strategies for Chelex<sup>\*</sup>-DGT passive samplers. Anal Bioanal Chem 409(30):7183–7189. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00216-017-0680-4
- Di Toro DM, Allen HE, Bergman HL, Meyer JS, Paquin PR, Santore RC (2001) Biotic ligand model of the acute toxicity of metals. 1. Technical basis. Environ Toxicol Chem 20(10):2383–2396. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620201034
- Dunn RJ, Teasdale PR, Warnken J, Schleich RR (2003) Evaluation of the diffusive gradient in a thin film technique for monitoring trace metal concentrations in estuarine waters. Environ Sci Technol 37 (12):2794–2800. https://doi.org/10.1021/es026425y
- Ferreira D, Tousset N, Ridame C, Tusseau-Vuillemin MH (2008) More than inorganic copper is bioavailable to aquatic mosses at environmentally relevant concentrations. Environ Toxicol Chem 27(10):2108–2116. https://doi.org/10.1897/07-249.1
- Gao Y, De Craemer S, Baeyens W (2014) A novel method for the determination of dissolved methylmercury concentrations using diffusive gradients in thin films technique. Talanta 120:470–474. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2013.12.023
- Hadad HR, Maine MA, Bonetto CA (2006) Macrophyte growth in a pilot-scale constructed wetland for industrial wastewater treatment. Chemosphere 63(10):1744–1753. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. chemosphere.2005.09.014
- Halverson, N (2004). Review of constructed subsurface flow vs. surface flow wetlands (WSRC-TR--2004-00509). Retrieved from United States
- Han S, Naito W, Hanai Y, Masunaga S (2013) Evaluation of trace metals bioavailability in Japanese river waters using DGT and a chemical equilibrium model. Water Res 47(14):4880–4892. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2013.05.025
- Kadlec R (2009) Comparison of free water and horizontal subsurface treatment wetlands. Ecol Eng 35(2):159–174. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ecoleng.2008.04.008
- Kadlec, R, Wallace, S (2008). Treatment wetlands: CRC press
- Kerndorff H, Schnitzer M (1980) Sorption of metals on humic acid. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 44(11):1701–1708. https://doi.org/10. 1016/0016-7037(80)90221-5
- Khan S, Ahmad I, Shah MT, Rehman S, Khaliq A (2009) Use of constructed wetland for the removal of heavy metals from industrial wastewater. J Environ Manage 90(11):3451–3457. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2009.05.026

- Kivaisi AK (2001) The potential for constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment and reuse in developing countries: a review. Ecol Eng 16 (4):545–560. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-8574(00)00113-0
- Machemer SD, Wildeman TR (1992) Adsorption compared with sulfide precipitation as metal removal processes from acid mine drainage in a constructed wetland. J Contam Hydrol 9(1-2):115–131. https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-7722(92)90054-I
- Maine MA, Sune N, Hadad H, Sánchez G, Bonetto C (2007) Removal efficiency of a constructed wetland for wastewater treatment according to vegetation dominance. Chemosphere 68(6):1105–1113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.01.064
- Meylan S, Odzak N, Behra R, Sigg L (2004) Speciation of copper and zinc in natural freshwater: comparison of voltammetric measurements, diffusive gradients in thin films (DGT) and chemical equilibrium models. Anal Chim Acta 510(1):91–100. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.aca.2003.12.052
- Morel, FM, Hering, JG (1993). Principles and applications of aquatic chemistry: John Wiley & Sons
- Munksgaard NC, Parry DL (2003) Monitoring of labile metals in turbid coastal seawater using diffusive gradients in thin-films. J Environ Monit 5(1):145–149. https://doi.org/10.1039/b209346d
- Murray-Gulde CL, Huddleston GM, Garber KV, Rodgers JH (2005) Contributions of Schoenoplectus californicus in a constructed wetland system receiving copper contaminated wastewater. Water Air Soil Pollut 163(1-4):355–378. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-005-1297-3
- Niyogi S, Wood CM (2004) Biotic ligand model, a flexible tool for developing site-specific water quality guidelines for metals. Environ Sci Technol 38(23):6177–6192. https://doi.org/10.1021/ es0496524
- Odzak N, Kistler D, Xue HB, Sigg L (2002) In situ trace metal speciation in a eutrophic lake using the technique of diffusion gradients in thin films (DGT). Aquat Sci 64(3):292–299. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00027-002-8073-x
- Oporto C, Smolders E, Degryse F, Verheyen L, Vandecasteele C (2009) DGT-measured fluxes explain the chloride-enhanced cadmium uptake by plants at low but not at high Cd supply. Plant Soil 318(1-2):127–135. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-008-9823-x
- Pérez AL, Anderson KA (2009) DGT estimates cadmium accumulation in wheat and potato from phosphate fertilizer applications. Sci Total Environ 407(18):5096–5103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scitotenv.2009.05.045
- Philipps RR, Xu X, Bringolf RB, Mills GL (2019) Evaluation of the DGT technique for predicting uptake of metal mixtures by fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas) and yellow lampmussel (Lampsilis cariosa). Environ Toxicol Chem 38(1):61–70. https:// doi.org/10.1002/etc.4289
- Philipps RR, Xu X, Mills GL, Bringolf RB (2018) Evaluation of diffusive gradients in thin films for prediction of copper bioaccumulation by yellow lampmussel (Lampsilis cariosa) and fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas). Environ Toxicol Chem 37 (6):1535–1544. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4108
- Pinney ML, Westerhoff PK, Baker L (2000) Transformations in dissolved organic carbon through constructed wetlands. Water Res 34 (6):1897–1911. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(99)00330-9
- Rüdel H, Muñiz CD, Garelick H, Kandile NG, Miller BW, Munoz LP, Peijnenburg WJ, Purchase D, Shevah Y, Van Sprang P (2015) Consideration of the bioavailability of metal/metalloid species in freshwaters: experiences regarding the implementation of biotic ligand model-based approaches in risk assessment frameworks. Environ Sci Pollut Res 22(10):7405–7421. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s11356-015-4257-5
- Santore RC, Di Toro DM, Paquin PR, Allen HE, Meyer JS (2001) Biotic ligand model of the acute toxicity of metals. 2. Application to acute copper toxicity in freshwater fish and Daphnia. Environ Toxicol Chem 20(10):2397–2402. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620201035

- Santore RC, Mathew R, Paquin PR, DiToro D (2002) Application of the biotic ligand model to predicting zinc toxicity to rainbow trout, fathead minnow, and Daphnia magna. Comp Biochem Physiol C 133(1-2):271–285. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1532-0456(02)00106-0
- Slaveykova VI, Wilkinson KJ (2005) Predicting the bioavailability of metals and metal complexes: Critical review of the biotic ligand model. Environ Chem 2(1):9–24. https://doi.org/10.1071/En04076
- Spry DJ, Wood CM (1985) Ion flux rates, acid–base status, and blood gases in rainbow trout, Salmo gairdneri, exposed to toxic zinc in natural soft water. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 42(8):1332–1341. https:// doi.org/10.1139/f85-168
- Twiss MR, Moffett JW (2002) Comparison of copper speciation in coastal marine waters measured using analytical voltammetry and diffusion gradient in thin-film techniques. Environ Sci Technol 36(5):1061–1068. https://doi.org/10.1021/es0016553
- Vink JP (2009) The origin of speciation: trace metal kinetics over natural water/sediment interfaces and the consequences for bioaccumulation. Environ Pollut 157(2):519–527. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.envpol.2008.09.037
- Vymazal J (2010) Constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment. Water 2(3):530–549. https://doi.org/10.3390/w2030530
- Vymazal, J, Kröpfelová, L (2008). Wastewater treatment in constructed wetlands with horizontal sub-surface flow (Vol. 14): Springer science & business media
- Watson, JT, Reed, SC, Kadlec, RH, Knight, RL (1989). Performance Expectations and Loading Rates: Technology & Engineering
- Worms I, Simon DF, Hassler CS, Wilkinson KJ (2006) Bioavailability of trace metals to aquatic microorganisms: importance of chemical, biological and physical processes on biouptake. Biochimie 88(11):1721–1731. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2006.09.008

- Xu XY, Mills G, Lindell A, Peck E, Korotasz A, Burgess E (2019) The performance of a free surface and metal-removing constructed wetland: how a young wetland becomes mature. Ecol Eng 133:32–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2019.04.020
- Xu XY, Mills GL (2018) Do constructed wetlands remove metals or increase metal bioavailability? J Environ Manage 218:245–255. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.04.014
- Xu, XY, Peck, E, Fletcher, DE, Korotasz, A, Perry, J (2020). Limitations of Applying DGT to Predict Bioavailability of Metal Mixtures in Aquatic Systems with Unstable Water Chemistries. Environ Toxicol Chem https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4860
- Yapici T, Fasfous II, Murimboh J, Chakrabarti CL (2008) Investigation of DGT as a metal speciation technique for municipal wastes and aqueous mine effluents. Anal Chim Acta 622(1-2):70–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2008.05.061
- Zhang H, Davison W (1995) Performance characteristics of diffusion gradients in thin films for the in situ measurement of trace metals in aqueous solution. Anal Chem 67(19):3391–3400
- Zhang H, Davison W (2000) Direct in situ measurements of labile inorganic and organically bound metal species in synthetic solutions and natural waters using diffusive gradients in thin films. Anal Chem 72(18):4447–4457. https://doi.org/10.1021/a c0004097
- Zhang H, Davison W (2015) Use of diffusive gradients in thin-films for studies of chemical speciation and bioavailability. Environ Chem 12(2):85–101. https://doi.org/10.1071/EN14105
- Zhang H, Zhao F-J, Sun B, Davison W, Mcgrath SP (2001) A new method to measure effective soil solution concentration predicts copper availability to plants. Environ Sci Technol 35(12):2602–2607. https://doi.org/10.1021/es000268q