#### **ORIGINAL PAPER**



# Prognostic factors for failed Pavlik harness treatment in infants with developmental dysplasia of the hip: a retrospective cohort study

Nada Abdulla<sup>1</sup> · Maryam Ashoor<sup>2</sup> · Nicholas Heinz<sup>3</sup> · Vasileios Alexopoulos<sup>3</sup> · Ibrar Majid<sup>2</sup> · Emmanouil Morakis<sup>4</sup> · Amar Hassan Khamis<sup>1</sup> · Sattar Alshryda<sup>2</sup>

Received: 6 November 2022 / Accepted: 26 April 2023 / Published online: 12 May 2023 © The Author(s) under exclusive licence to SICOT aisbl 2023

#### Abstract

**Purpose** Pavlik harness treatment is the most common treatment in newborns diagnosed with developmental dysplasia of the hip (DDH). The success rates and predictors for failure have been debated over the last decade. In this study, we explored our treatment failure rate and potential prognostic factors that could predict the failure of Pavlik harness (PH) treatment in patients with DDH.

**Methods** Two hundred and sixty-five patients were treated with PH based on the Graf hip types of classification. Age, gender, first born status, family history, foot deformity, plagiocephaly, breech presentation, hip abduction, hip stability, Graf hip type, Galeazzi sign, bilateralism, and femoral nerve palsy were tested as predictors for failure in multivariate logistic regression mode. Success and failure were determined by the normalization of the hip based on the Graf hip classification. **Results** The failure rate of patients treated with Pavlik harness was 16.6% which is within the reported range of failure rate. The mean age of patients who were successfully treated was 6.73 weeks in comparison to 8.84 weeks for those who failed. Age, plagiocephaly, hip instability, Graf classification, and the development of femoral nerve palsy were found to be predictors for failure of PH treatment upon univariate analysis only. However, only the presence of Galeazzi sign, hip instability, high grades of Graf hip classification, and the development of femoral nerve palsy proved to be independent predictors for failed PH treatment upon multivariate logistic regression analysis.

**Conclusions** Pavlik harness treatment is a successful treatment with an average success of 83.4%. Several independent predictors for failure of PH treatment have been identified. These include a positive Galeazzi sign, a frankly dislocated hip, Graf types III and IV, and the development of femoral nerve palsy.

Keywords Pavlik harness · Developmental dysplasia of the hip · Failure · Prognostic factors · Femoral nerve palsy · Hip dislocation

## Introduction

Developmental dysplasia of the hip (DDH) involves a range of disorders that represent an abnormal relationship between the head of the femur and the acetabulum leading

Sattar Alshryda sattar26@doctors.org.uk

- <sup>1</sup> Medical School, Mohammed Bin Rashid University of Medicine and Health Sciences (MBRU), Dubai, United Arab Emirates
- <sup>2</sup> Al Jalila Children's Specialty Hospital, Dubai, United Arab Emirates
- <sup>3</sup> Stockport NHS Trust, Manchester, UK
- <sup>4</sup> Royal Manchester Children Hospital, Manchester, UK

to abnormal development of the hip joint [1-3]. Current and previous data allude to an estimate of DDH that ranges from one to eight cases per 1000 live births revealed by physical examination which escalates up to one to three in 100 live births revealed by sonographic screening [3-5].

Several risk factors have been implicated with the cause of the DDH including first born status, female gender, family history, fetal malposition (particularly extended breech presentation), fetal packaging disorders (congenital torticollis and metatarsus adductus), and some geographical and racial factors (more common in native American and European than Asian and African). Two meta-analyses of over a million babies showed that the main independent risk factors are breech presentation, female gender, and family history [6, 7].

Screening practices include a detailed history and clinical examination by assessing infants for limb discrepancy and

2338

thigh fold symmetry, as well as any limitations in hip abduction [4]. Diagnosis is confirmed by ultrasound examination using either Graf, Harcke, Terjesen, or Suzuki methods [8, 9]. The quantitative classification of Graf is the most commonly utilized method (Table1) [10].

Pavlik harness (PH) treatment is one of the most used treatment methods for DDH in infants younger than six months yielding a 70–90% success rate [1, 11–14]. PH aims to relocate the hip to the normal anatomical position in a non-invasive fashion [15–17] [10] (Fig. 1).

We conducted a systematic review of the studies that used PH treatment, and we identified 15 studies that investigated the Pavlik harness treatment in DDH [16, 18–31]. Seven studies investigated studies that reported a failure rate that ranged from 3.3 to 40% (crude mean is 11.6%) [11] (Table 2). Graf type IV hips, treatment initiation age, and male sex are reported as risk factors for treatment failure [4, 11]. Most case series are either small, did not follow a standard protocol, or were based on clinical rather than sonographic grading which is less specific and sensitive. In addition, not all the potential causes of treatment failure were studied.

The purpose of the study is to identify independent prognostic factors that could predict PH treatment failure in patients with DDH.

### Methods

This is a retrospective study of infants, who were less than six months of age, that were treated with a Pavlik harness. The study was conducted and reported in accordance with Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies [32].

Table 1 Graf sonographic grading for DDH



Fig. 1 Child treated with a Pavlik harness

Demographic, sonographic, and clinical data were registered in a purpose-designed Microsoft Access Database. Referred patients were assessed according to the baby hip clinic initial assessment proforma. Based on the clinical and radiological findings, patients were either discharged, monitored, or treated with PH. A few patients who were close to six months of age were treated with surgery as first-line treatment. These patients were excluded from the study. Patients with Graf type I hips are considered normal and consequently were discharged. Patients with Graf IIa were monitored on a monthly basis. Graf IIb and onwards were treated with the PH.

				-		
Туре	Alpha a	ngle (	α)	Beta angle (β)	6)	Descriptions
Ι	>60°			<55° I >55° I	Ia Ib	Normal hip (at any age). This grade is further divided into (Ia; $\beta < 55^{\circ}$ ) and (Ib; $\beta > 55^{\circ}$ ). The significance of this subdivision is not yet established. Patient does not need follow-up
II	50–59°	IIa		<77°		If the child is <3 months. This may be physiological and does not need treatment; however, follow- up is required
		IIb		<77°		> 3 months, delayed ossification
	43–49°	IIc	Stable Unstable	<77°		Critical zone, labrum not everted. This is further divided into stable and unstable by provocation test
D	43–49°			>77°		This is the first stage where the hip becomes decentered (subluxed). It used to be called IId, but for the above reason, it is a stage on its own now
III	<43°	IIIa IIIb				Dislocated femoral head with the cartilaginous acetabular roof is pushed <i>upwards</i> . This is further divided into IIIa and IIIb depending on the echogenicity of the hyaline cartilage of the acetabular roof (usually compared to the femoral head) which reflects the degenerative changes (Fig. 3.3.10)
IV	<43°					Dislocated femoral head with the cartilaginous acetabular roof is pushed <i>downwards</i> (Fig. 3.3.11)

Table 2 The character	istics of s	tudies of Pavlik harness	s treatments							
Study	Design	Country (years)	Patients (hips)	F/U (Y)	Classification	Failure (%)	AVN (%)	RD (%)	QAS	Notes
Atar 1993 [28]	CCS	Israel	40 (48)	1-4	Clinical	6 (12%)	3 (6%)	NR	11.5	Comparative study between PH and FP
Cashman 2002 [22]	CS	UK (1988–1997)	332 (546)	6.5	Clinical	18 (3.3%)	4 (1%)	25 (2.6%)	15	Displaced: 428 and Dislocated: 118
Czubak 2004 [ <mark>29</mark> ]	CCS		95			5 (5%)	7 (7%)			Comparative study between PH and FP
Filipe 1982 [27]	CS		74			4 (5.4%)				
Grill 1988 [20]	CS	Austria (1976–1986)	2636 (3611)	1-9	Radiological	255 (7%)	257 (7%)	NR	15	Tonnis 1 (1092); Ton- nis 2 (1698); Tonnis 3 (742); and Tonnis 4 (79)
Johnson 1981 [30]	CS		(91)			9 (1%)		(%0) 0		
Mafalda 1997 [26]	CS	France	141 (189)	5.3	Clinical and radio- logical	12 (6.3%)	30 (16%)	NR		AP radiographs. Dislo- cation: 159 Dysplasia: 30
Murnaghan 2012 [25]	CS	USA (1992–2008)	1218	NR	NR	73 (6%)	109 (9%)	NR	11	Ratios are calculated by patients and not hips
Nakamura 2007 [19]	CS	Japan (1971–1991)	115 (130)	16	Clinical	22 (16.9%)	23 (17.6%)	22 (16.9%)	12.5	Complete dislocation was the indication for treatment
Pavlik 1957 [17]	CS	CZ (1944-1952)	1424 (1912)	-	Clinical	101 (5.2%)	18 (0.9%)	NR	10.5	Hypoplasia (640), sub- luxation (640), and dislocation (632). All complications happened in the last group
Van der Sluijs [18]	CS	Holland (2009)	50 (62)	1	Radiological	25 (40%)	10 (16%)	29 (46%)	14	Graf grade III and above was the indica- tion for treatment. III: 42 and IV: 20
Wada 2013 [23]	cs	Japan (1950–2008)	4004	14	Clinical	766 (19%)	195 (13%)	NR	12	Accurate assessment of AVN was avail- able for 1498 hips. Age at treatment 3-5 months

Table 2 (continued)										
Study	Design	Country (years)	Patients (hips)	F/U (Y)	Classification	Failure (%)	AVN (%)	RD (%)	QAS	Notes
Walton 2012 [31]	CS	UK (2004–2007)	77 (123)	7	Clinical and radio- logical	12 (10%)	3 (2.4%)	NR	12	Graf US (III: 58 and IV: 24). Dysplasia without instability (16); subluxable hip (64); dislocated but reducible (39); and dislocated irreduc- ible (4)
Westacott 2014 [24]	CCS	UK (2008–2011)	128 (185)		Radiological	24 (18.7%)	5 (2.7)	NR	9	Compared weaning and immediate ces- sation of PH. Graf US. Failure rates per patients ( not hips)
Wilkinson 2002 [21]	CCS	UK (2002)	43	-	Radiological	13 (30%)	0 (0%)	13 (30%)	S.	Comparative retrospec- tive study between PH, VRS, AS, and no treatments (see texts). Graf US III (40), IV (3)
Crude pooling			12,331*			1250/10,701 (11.6%	) 548/10,701 (5.1%)	(%) 76/829 (9%)		
<i>F/U</i> , follow-up; <i>Y</i> , yea <i>CCS</i> , comparative con *This is underestimated	trolled st d. We ass	avascular necrosis; <i>R</i> . udy; <i>PH</i> , PH; <i>FP</i> , Fre umed that all patients	D, residual dysplas ejka pillow; CS, ca in studies that did I	sia; QAS, c se series; n not provid	quality assessment scor NR, not or inadequately e hip numbers had unil	re (NOS for cohort stu y reported ateral hip involvement.	lies and IHE for case However, failure, AV	series) N, and RD rate	s are ba	sed on confirmed figures

2340

Patients were provided with individual follow-up plans. Each follow-up involved completing the baby hip clinic follow-up assessment and ultrasound examination to monitor progress. The Pavlik harness treatment was considered successful and stopped when the hip became normal (Graf type I). Treatment failure was considered when there is worsening, or no improvement, and the PH was abandoned, and surgical treatment was offered. When a hip is subluxed or not centered (B angle is above 77°), a maximum of two weeks of Pavlik harness treatment is allowed for the hip to reduce. If not, the harness will be abandoned. This is to prevent the Pavlik harness syndrome which is the damage to the posterior rim of the acetabulum. The latter can pose a difficulty not only to future treatment but also to the Pavlik harness treatment itself. In contrast to a well-centered but dysplastic hip (A-angle is  $< 60^{\circ}$ ), treatment is continued until the hip is normalized.

The main objective of this research was to identify the success and failure rates and prognostic factors for failure and establish the strength of association with failure (or success). Our null hypothesis is that there are no prognostic factors that could predict the failure of PH treatment in DDH. Data was collected using the baby hip clinic database. Most data were collected retrospectively but some data were collected prospectively using the initial assessment form and follow-up assessment form of the baby hip clinic (see Appendices 1 and 2). Variables obtained from the data are quantitative and qualitative. Quantitative data includes continuous variables such as age and duration of treatment. Qualitative data includes nominal variables such gender, first born status, family history, foot deformity, plagiocephaly, breech presentation, Galeazzi sign, hip abduction, and femoral nerve palsy. Ordinal variables include hip ultrasound Graf classification and hip stability.

The latter was classified into five grades: stable, dislocatable, dislocated but reducible, dislocated but irreducible, and unable to assess. However, for the purpose of this study analysis, hip stability was recoded as not dislocatable and dislocatable to maximize the power of the statistical test while keeping a meaningful clinical message [33].

Hip abduction was coded as full or limited as used clinically. Graf hip types are classified as listed in Table 1. This variable was not condensed to a binary outcome because it is a radiological finding. However, if a child has bilateral hip dysplasia, we used the worse side as a predictor for treatment failure. In our experience, this is almost always correct.

Data were formally checked for normality using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (KST) and visually. The methods used for descriptive statistics of continuous variables are measures of tendency and dispersion, while categorical variables are described by calculating proportion and frequency. The categorical dichotomous independent variables were cross tabulated with the outcomes tested using chi-square or Fisher exact test based on sample size. Categorical independent variables with more than two independent variables were compared visually through a bar chart in adherence to chi-square conditions. Continuous independent variables were all nonparametric and thus were analyzed using the Mann-Whitney test for significance. Independent variables that proved significance in the univariate analysis were then entered in the multivariate analysis to identify prognostic factors for failure. Multiple logistic regression was used to identify the interplay between the significant variables. The *P* value of  $\leq 0.05$  was chosen to determine statistical significance. Missing data were excluded from the analysis.

## Results

#### Success rate of Pavlik harness

Two hundred and sixty-five patients out of 3885 patients, who were referred to the baby hip clinic, were treated with PH in the five year period of analysis. All patients completed their treatment and there was no loss to follow-up during the treatment phase; however, two patients lost to followup after treatment was finished and were excluded from the study. Two hundred and twenty-one patients (83.4%) were successfully treated, while 44 (16.6%) failed the treatment.

#### Prognostic factors for failure of Pavlik harness univariate analysis

Thirteen factors of interest were assessed to identify the ones that could predict failure of PH treatment (Table 3). Age, plagiocephaly, positive Galeazzi sign, hip instability, higher grades on Graf hip classification, and the development of femoral nerve palsy were shown to be significant on univariate analysis ( $P \le 0.05$ ). The mean age of successful treatment was 6.73 weeks in comparison to 8.84 weeks for failure of treatment.

The influence of the grades of the Graf classification on failure rates is illustrated in Table 4. The radiological type of hip as per Graf classification was a significant factor in predicting failure PH treatment. The higher the grade, the more likely it was that PH treatment failed (FET=0.027).

#### Multivariate analysis

The significant variables were entered into a multiple logistic regression analysis in order to identify the confounder adjusted odds ratios of the prognostic factors. Table 5 illustrates our findings. This yielded significantly independent predictors of failed PH treatment by 4.43 folds due to a positive Galeazzi, 5.27 folds due to hip instability, 1.3 folds due to a higher Graf grade, and 4.724 folds due to the development of femoral nerve palsy.

**Table 3** Univariate analysisof predictors of Pavlik harnesstreatment failure

Predictors	Categories	Total	Success	Failure	MD/OR (95% CI lower-upper)	P value
Age (week)		253	6.73	8.84	-2.11 (-0.41-3.81)	0.014
Gender	F	214	181	33	0.63 (0.284–1.323)	0.149
	М	48	37	11		
First born	Yes	92	78	14	0.601 (0.282-1.282)	0.128
	No	87	67	20		
Family history	Yes	68	54	14	1.395 (0.686–2.83)	0.229
	No	185	156	29		
Foot deformity	Yes	14	12	2	0.798 (0.172-3.696)	0.559
	No	243	201	42		
Plagiocephaly	Yes	8	4	4	5.225 (1.255-21.759)	0.031
	No	249	209	40		
Breech	Yes	75	62	13	1.077 (0.525-2.209)	0.486
	No	178	149	29		
Hip abduction	Full	126	107	19	1.345 (0.47–2.796)	0.271
	Limited	83	67	16		
Galeazzi sign	Yes	32	20	12	3.641 (1.61-8.238)	0.003
	No	205	176	29		
Hip stability	Not dislocatable	209	181	28	3.935 (1.814-8.536)	0.001
	Dislocatable	37	23	14		
Bilateralism	Unilateral	157	128	29	0.832 (0.306-1.703)	0.614
	Bilateral	82	69	13		
Femoral nerve palsy	Yes	20	9	11	7.496 (2.865–19.612)	< 0.001
	No	214	184	30		

Plagiocephaly, Galleazzi sign, hip stability and femoral nerve palsy were significant in predicting Pavlik harness treatment failure

## Discussion

Pavlik harness is a commonly used treatment device for developmental dysplasia of the hip in patients younger than six months of age [1, 34]. Crude failure rate has been reported to be 11.6% (3.3–40%) [14]; however, there exists a lack of comprehensive assessment of possible prognostic factors that could lead to failure of treatment. Of the patients in the study, 83.4% (n=221/265) were successfully treated, while 16.6% (n=44/265) failed which is within the published range of successful treatment.

Our study identified several predictors for PH treatment failure. However, a positive Galeazzi sign, hip instability (on

 
 Table 4
 Pavlik harness treatment success and failure associated with the grades of the Graf infant hip classification

Graf classification	Success	Failure	Total
2a	90.6% (87)	9.4% (9)	96
2b	96.2% (25)	3.8% (1)	26
2c	81.8% (36)	18.2% (8)	44
D	85.7% (12)	14.3% (2)	14
3	69.6% (32)	30.4% (14)	46
4	57.9% (11)	42.1% (8)	19

clinical examination), Graf classification, and the development of femoral nerve palsy were identified as independent prognostic factors for failed PH treatment.

The age at initiation of treatment was significant in the univariate analysis; however, upon running multivariate analysis, the adjusted OR, CI, and P value were no longer significant. This could be because most of the older patients had more severe findings in hip instability, limited hip abduction, and Graf type hip. Therefore, the regression model associated age as a confounding factor. The association between the two variables reported that failure increased with infants above three to six months of age [11, 35], whereas in our study, the mean age of patient population who failed treatment was 6.7 weeks. This is consistent with some published studies that reported a higher failure rate in children above seven weeks [36, 37].

Gender was not significantly associated with PH failure upon univariate analysis. Males constituted 18.3% of our study (n = 48/262) with a failure of 4.2% (n = 11/48), while females comprised of 81.7% of the study population (n = 214/262) with a failure of 12.6% (n = 33/214). Many studies show no association between gender and failure [11, 38–40]. One study, however, indicates that males whom are Graf type IV and Ortolani positive (dislocated

 Table 5
 Multivariate analysis of predictors of Pavlik harness treatment failure

Predictors	OR	P (95% CI)		P values
		Lower bound	Upper bound	
Age in weeks	1.027	0.931	1.133	0.589
Plagiocephaly	2.501	0.354	17.691	0.358
Galeazzi sign	4.436	1.468	13.408	0.008
Hip abduction	1.326	0.421	4.18	0.630
Hip stability	5.279	1.606	17.691	0.006
Graf classification	1.312	1.219	1.542	0.001
Femoral nerve palsy	4.724	1.219	18.298	0.025

Galleazzi sign, hip stability, Graf classification and femoral nerve palsy were significant predictors for Pavlik harness treatment failure

reducible) are more likely to fail [41]. Another study claims that males past seven weeks in the PH will require alternative therapy [42]. The significance in these studies could be attributed to the severity of displacement as well as the prolonged duration of treatment rather than gender. A multivariate analysis would have been a better statistical analysis to uncover the play of confounding factors.

First born status, family history, and breech presentation had been hypothesized to be a predictor of treatment failure; however, our study shows no association between these three factors and PH failure. This is consistent with another published study by Omeroglu and colleagues [11]. There are studies that reported the presence of a foot deformity is a prognostic factor for failure [11, 43]; however, no significant association was established in our study. This could be a genuine finding; however, the small sample size regarding failed patients with foot deformities may preclude strong recommendation. In our series, patients with foot deformities compromise only 4.6% of the population (n = 12/257) and 4.5% of the total failure (n = 2/44).

Intra uterine packaging disorders such as plagiocephaly have been described as risk factors for developing DDH but not to treatment failure. In the univariate analysis that we conducted, there was a significant association between plagiocephaly and treatment of failure; however, upon adjusting for confounding factors, the significance and CI subsided. There is no literature on plagiocephaly and its prognostic value on PH failure; thus, more studies with larger sample sizes are required.

Galeazzi sign is a clinical finding that signifies the presence of a truly dislocated hip. Univariate and multivariate analyses indicated a strong association between Galeazzi sign and PH treatment failure. To the best of our knowledge, there are no studies that evaluated the presence of Galeazzi sign as a prognostic factor for PH treatment failure.

Like Galeazzi sign, clinical hip instability and Graf types III and IV are signs of actual dislocated hips and not a mere dysplasia. Both were significantly associated as independent prognostic factors with failure of PH treatment. Several studies reveal that 60% of the clinically dislocated and irreducible hips fail PH treatment, while the failure rate of dislocated but reducible hips is 40% [15, 37, 38, 44, 45]. The greatest proportion of patients that failed PH treatment in our study was found to be patients with hips that were assessed as dislocated irreducible 71% (n=5/7) which is quite similar to the results of another study in which all cases with dislocated irreducible hips failed the Pavlik harness treatment (n=6/6) [38]. Graf hip classification has been widely accepted as a radiological prognostic factor for PH treatment failure in which Graf hip 2a has the highest success rate, while Graf type hips III and IV have the highest failure rate [8, 38, 41, 44]. This is consistent with our findings. However, one intriguing finding is that nine patients (9.4%) with Graf type IIa failed PH treatment. This is more than expected if we compare it to treatment failure in type IIb. Therefore, we studied these nine patients individually. The median age was eight weeks (1, 2, 5, 8, 8, 9, 10, 10, 11) with six of them above the median. Seven were females. Seven were born by caesarian section and two developed femoral nerve palsy. We cautioned against any conclusion from these nine patients as the number is small and these may be a chance finding. Moreover, we do not recommend treating type IIa hips because most would resolve naturally.

Femoral nerve palsy is a complication of PH treatment and an early sign that can predict treatment failure [25]. The relationship between femoral nerve palsy is complicated as most clinicians abandon treatment when it occurs. This area will be the focus of ongoing research which we aim to publish separately.

There are some limitations of this study. The protocol of treatment for DDH was changed over time as more evidence had become available that most type IIa hips normalize naturally. Initially, type IIa was treated with PH; however, this was changed. Treatment was advocated for type IIb and worse. The lack of long-term follow-up precludes quantifying some of the long-term PH treatment complication such as avascular necrosis of the femoral head and residual dysplasia. However, there are several strengths of the study. It is one of the largest published series with 265 patients that were extracted from a larger population of 3800 patients who were referred to our baby hip clinics. A thorough analysis of various variables have been conducted including ones that have not been or rarely assessed before such as plagiocephaly, Galeazzi sign, foot deformities, and femoral nerve palsy.

#### Conclusions

Pavlik harness treatment is a successful treatment method with an average success of 85%. Several independent predictors for failure of PH treatment have been identified. These include a positive Galeazzi sign, a frankly dislocated hip, Graf types III and IV, and the development of femoral nerve palsy. Acknowledgements We would like to thank our librarians at the above centers for obtaining some of the references that are used in the manuscript.

Author contribution All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation and data collection were performed by Nicholas Heinz, Vasileios Alexopoulos, Ibrar Majid, and Sattar Alshryda. Data cleaning and analysis were performed by Nada Abdulla, Maryam Ashoor, Sattar Alshryda, and Amar Hassan Khamis. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Nada Abdulla and Sattar Alshryda and refined by Ibrar Majid and Emmanouil Morakis, and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Data availability Anonymous data is available on request.

Code availability SPSS output data is available on request.

#### Declarations

**Informed consent** Not applicable, as only no identifiable data have been used.

Consent for publication All authors have agreed to publication.

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

#### References

- Alshryda S, Wright J (2013) A harness for treatment of congenital hip dislocation in infants. In: Classic papers in orthopaedics. Springer Science + Business Media. pp 555–557
- Alshryda S, Jones S, Banaszkiewicz PA (2014) Postgraduate paediatric orthopaedics: the candidate's guide to the FRCS (Tr and Orth) examination. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Thaler M, Biedermann R, Lair J, Krismer M, Landauer F (2011) Cost-effectiveness of universal ultrasound screening compared with clinical examination alone in the diagnosis and treatment of neonatal hip dysplasia in Austria. J Bone Joint Surg British 93-B:1126–1130. https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620x.93b8.25935
- Shorter D, Hong T, Osborn DA (2013) Cochrane review: screening programmes for developmental dysplasia of the hip in newborn infants. Evid Based Child Health 8:11–54. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/ebch.1891
- Paton RW (2005) Screening for hip abnormality in the neonate. Early Hum Dev 81:803-806. S0378-3782(05)00184-2 [pii] https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2005.09.001
- de Hundt M, Vlemmix F, Bais JM, Hutton EK, de Groot CJ, Mol BW, Kok M (2012) Risk factors for developmental dysplasia of the hip: a meta-analysis. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 165:8-17. S0301-2115(12)00297-7 [pii] https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ejogrb.2012.06.030
- Ortiz-Neira CL, Paolucci EO, Donnon T (2011) A meta-analysis of common risk factors associated with the diagnosis of developmental dysplasia of the hip in newborns. Eur J Radiol 81:e344-351. S0720-048X(11)00771-6 [pii] https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ejrad.2011.11.003
- Ömeroglu H (2018) Treatment of developmental dysplasia of the hip with the Pavlik harness in children under six months of age: indications, results and failures. J Children's Orthop 12:308–316. https://doi.org/10.1302/1863-2548.12.180055

- Omeroğlu H (2014) Use of ultrasonography in developmental dysplasia of the hip. J Children's Orthop 8:105–113. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11832-014-0561-8
- Gardner ROE, Kelley SK, Alshryda S, Wedge J (2016) Chapter 4: evidence-base for the management of developmental dysplasia of the hip. In: Alshryda H, Banaszkiewicz (ed) Paediatric orthopaedics: an evidence-based approach to clinical questions. Springer. pp 51–75.
- 11 Omeroglu H, Kose N, Akceylan A (2015) Success of Pavlik harness treatment decreases in patients >/= 4 months and in ultrasonographically dislocated hips in developmental dysplasia of the hip. Clin Orthop Relat Res. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11999-015-4388-5
- Al-Essa RS, Aljahdali FH, Alkhilaiwi RM, Philip W, Jawadi AH, Khoshhal KI (2017) Diagnosis and treatment of developmental dysplasia of the hip: a current practice of paediatric orthopaedic surgeons. J Orthop Surg 25:230949901771719. https://doi.org/10.1177/2309499017717197
- Swarup I, Penny CL, Dodwell ER (2018) Developmental dysplasia of the hip. Curr Opin Pediatr 30:84–92. https://doi.org/ 10.1097/mop.00000000000574
- Ashoor M, Abdulla N, Elgabaly EA, Aldlyami E, Alshryda S (2020) Evidence based treatment for developmental dysplasia of the hip in children under 6 months of age. Syst Rev Exploratory Anal Surg. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surge.2020.02.006
- Gulati V (2013) Developmental dysplasia of the hip in the newborn: a systematic review. World J Orthop 4:32. https://doi.org/ 10.5312/wjo.v4.i2.32
- Pavlik A (1953) A harness for treatment of congenital hip dislocation in infants. Acta Chir Orthop Traumatol Cech 20:93–100
- 17. Pavlik A (1992) The functional method of treatment using a harness with stirrups as the primary method of conservative therapy for infants with congenital dislocation of the hip. 1957. Clin Orthop Relat Res (281):4–10
- van der Sluijs JA, De Gier L, Verbeke JI, Witbreuk MM, Pruys JE, van Royen BJ (2009) Prolonged treatment with the Pavlik harness in infants with developmental dysplasia of the hip. J Bone Joint Surg Br 91:1090–1093. 91-B/8/1090 [pii] https:// doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.91B8.21692
- Nakamura J, Kamegaya M, Saisu T, Someya M, Koizumi W, Moriya H (2007) Treatment for developmental dysplasia of the hip using the Pavlik harness: long-term results. J Bone Joint Surg Br 89:230-235. 89-B/2/230 [pii] https://doi.org/10.1302/ 0301-620X.89B2.18057
- Grill F, Bensahel H, Canadell J, Dungl P, Matasovic T, Vizkelety T (1988) The Pavlik harness in the treatment of congenital dislocating hip: report on a multicenter study of the European Paediatric Orthopaedic Society. J Pediatr Orthop 8:1–8
- 21. Wilkinson AG, Sherlock DA, Murray GD (2002) The efficacy of the Pavlik harness, the Craig splint and the von Rosen splint in the management of neonatal dysplasia of the hip. A comparative study. J Bone Joint Surg Br 84:716–719
- 22. Cashman JP, Round J, Taylor G, Clarke NM (2002) The natural history of developmental dysplasia of the hip after early supervised treatment in the Pavlik harness. A prospective, longitudinal follow-up. J Bone Joint Surg Br 84:418–425
- 23. Wada I, Sakuma E, Otsuka T, Wakabayashi K, Ito K, Horiuchi O, Asagai Y, Kamegaya M, Goto E, Satsuma S, Kobayashi D, Saito S, Taketa M, Takikawa K, Nakashima Y, Hattori T, Mitani S, Wada A (2013) The Pavlik harness in the treatment of developmentally dislocated hips: results of Japanese multicenter studies in 1994 and 2008. J Orthop Sci 18:749–753. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00776-013-0432-z
- Westacott DJ, Mackay ND, Waton A, Webb MS, Henman P, Cooke SJ (2013) Staged weaning versus immediate cessation of Pavlik harness treatment for developmental dysplasia of the hip. J

2345

Pediatr Orthop B 23:103–106. https://doi.org/10.1097/BPB.00000 0000000025

- Murnaghan ML, Browne RH, Sucato DJ, Birch J (2011) Femoral nerve palsy in Pavlik harness treatment for developmental dysplasia of the hip. The Journal of bone and joint surgery American volume 93:493-499. 93/5/493 [pii] https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.J.01210
- Mafalda Santos M, Filipe G (1997) [Treatment of congenital hip dislocation using Pavlik's harness. Long term results].Rev Chir Orthop Reparatrice Appar Mot 83:41-50. MDOI-RCO-02-1997-83-1-0035-1040-101019-ART75 [pii]
- 27. Filipe G, Carlioz H (1982) Use of the Pavlik harness in treating congenital dislocation of the hip. J Pediatr Orthop 2:357–362
- Atar D, Lehman WB, Tenenbaum Y, Grant AD (1993) Pavlik harness versus Frejka splint in treatment of developmental dysplasia of the hip: bicenter study. J Pediatr Orthop 13:311–313
- Czubak J, Piontek T, Niciejewski K, Magnowski P, Majek M, Plonczak M (2004) Retrospective analysis of the non-surgical treatment of developmental dysplasia of the hip using Pavlik harness and Frejka pillow: comparison of both methods. OrtopTraumatol Rehabil 6:9-13. 10796 [pii]
- Johnson AH, Aadalen RJ, Eilers VE, Winter RB (1981) Treatment of congenital hip dislocation and dysplasia with the Pavlik harness. Clin Orthop Relat Res (155):25–9
- Walton MJ, Isaacson Z, McMillan D, Hawkes R, Atherton WG (2010) The success of management with the Pavlik harness for developmental dysplasia of the hip using a United Kingdom screening programme and ultrasound-guided supervision. J Bone Joint Surg Br 92:1013–1016. https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X. 92B7.23513
- 32. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gotzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP, Initiative S (2014) The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. Int J Surg 12:1495–1499. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2014.07.013
- Starnes DS, Tabor J, Yates D, Moore DS (2014) The Practice of Statistics. W. H. Freeman
- 34 Alshryda S, Howard JJ, Huntley JS, Schoenecker JG (2019) The pediatric and adolescent hip: essentials and evidence. Springer International Publishing
- Storer SK, Skaggs DL (2006) Developmental dysplasia of the hip. Am Fam Physician 74:1310–1316
- Atalar H, Sayli U, Yavuz OY, Uraş I, Dogruel H (2007) Indicators of successful use of the Pavlik harness in infants with developmental dysplasia of the hip. Int Orthop 31:145–150. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00264-006-0097-8

- Viere RG, Birch JG, Herring JA, Roach JW, Johnston CE (1990) Use of the Pavlik harness in congenital dislocation of the hip. An analysis of failures of treatment. J Bone Joint Surg Am 72:238–244
- Lerman JA, Emans JB, Millis MB, Share J, Zurakowski D, Kasser JR (2001) Early failure of Pavlik harness treatment for developmental hip dysplasia: clinical and ultrasound predictors. J Pediatr Orthop 21:348–353
- Jones GT, Schoenecker PL, Dias LS (1992) Developmental hip dysplasia potentiated by inappropriate use of the Pavlik harness. J Pediatr Orthop 12:722–726
- 40. Vadillo P, Encinas-Ullan CA, Moraleda L, Albinana J (2015) Results of the Pavlik harness when treating Ortolani-positive hips: predictors of failure and arthrographic findings. J Child Orthop 9:249–253. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11832-015-0666-8
- Novais EN, Kestel LA, Carry PM, Meyers ML (2016) Higher Pavlik harness treatment failure is seen in Graf type IV ortolanipositive hips in males. Clin Orthop Relat Res 474:1847–1854. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11999-016-4776-5
- Borges JL, Kumar SJ, Guille JT (1995) Congenital dislocation of the hip in boys. J Bone Joint Surg Am 77:975–984. https://doi. org/10.2106/00004623-199507000-00001
- 43. Lee WC, Gera SK, Mahadev A (2019) Developmental dysplasia of the hip: why are we still operating on them? A plea for institutional newborn clinical screening. Singapore Med J 60:150–153. https://doi.org/10.11622/smedj.2018064
- 44. Köse N, Omeroğlu H, Ozyurt B, Akçar N, Ozçelik A, Inan U, Seber S (2006) Our three-year experience with an ultrasonographic hip screening program conducted in infants at 3 to 4 weeks of age. Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc 40:285–290
- 45. White KK, Sucato DJ, Agrawal S, Browne R (2010) Ultrasonographic findings in hips with a positive Ortolani sign and their relationship to Pavlik harness failure. The Journal of bone and joint surgery American volume 92:113-120. 92/1/113 [pii] https:// doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.H.01880

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.