ORIGINAL PAPER



# Differences in type II collagen turnover of osteoarthritic human knee and ankle joints

Matthias Aurich<sup>1,2,3</sup>  $\bullet$  Gunther O. Hofmann<sup>2</sup>  $\cdot$  Bernd Rolauffs<sup>4,5</sup>

Received: 30 September 2016 /Accepted: 23 January 2017 /Published online: 6 February 2017  $©$  SICOT aisbl 2017

### Abstract

Purpose We analysed hyaline cartilage of human knee and ankle joints for collagen and proteoglycan turnover in order to find differences in the metabolism and biochemical content of the extracellular matrix that could explain the higher prevalence of osteoarthritis (OA) in the knee joint, compared to the ankle joint. Methods Cartilage tissue from ankle and knee joints of OA patients were assessed for total collagen and proteoglycan content. For turnover, the aggrecan 846-epitope (CS 846), the type II collagen C-propeptide (CP2) and the collagenasegenerated intrahelical cleavage neoepitope (C2C) were quantified.

Results Molecular analyses showed that type II collagen turnover (CP2 and C2C) was significantly elevated in the ankle, whereas aggrecan turnover (CS 846), total proteoglycan and total collagen were comparable between both joints. Analysis of the inter-relationships in the components of cartilage matrix turnover showed a significant positive correlation of C2C vs CP2.

 $\boxtimes$  Matthias Aurich kontakt@matthias-aurich.de

- <sup>1</sup> Center of Orthopaedic and Trauma Surgery, Ingolstadt Hospital, Krumenauerstrasse 25, 85049 Ingolstadt, Germany
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Trauma, Hand and Reconstructive Surgery, Universitätsklinikum Jena, Erlanger Allee 101, 07747 Jena, Germany
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Biochemistry, Rush Medical College, 1735 W. Harrison St., Chicago, IL 60612, USA
- Laboratory for Cell and Tissue Engineering, Department of Orthopaedics and Trauma Surgery, Medical Center, Albert Ludwigs University of Freiburg, Faculty of Medicine, Hugstetter Straße 55, 79106 Freiburg, Germany
- <sup>5</sup> Center for Biomedical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 500 Technology Sq, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

Conclusions The data suggest an increased type II collagen turnover in ankle vs knee OA cartilage but a comparable aggrecan turnover and comparable contents of type II collagen and proteoglycan. These findings point towards a focused attempt in advanced OA cartilage to structurally repair the collagen network that was more pronounced in the ankle joint and may explain in part the higher prevalence of OA in the knee as compared to the ankle joint.

Keywords Ankle . Cartilage . Human . Knee . Metabolism . **Osteoarthritis** 

# Introduction

Symptomatic osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee affects approximately 6% of the adult population [\[1\]](#page-5-0). This increases to almost 10% in peopleagedover65years [\[2\]](#page-5-0).SymptomaticankleOAisseeninless than 1% of the population and occurs only infrequently with advanced age [[3](#page-5-0)].The underlying reasons are not entirely understood.

In articular cartilage, early OA is characterized by the gradual loss of matrix, an increased biosynthesis of matrix molecules and degradative enzymes [\[4\]](#page-5-0). This increase in metabolic activity reflects the response of the chondrocyte to changes in the extracellular matrix and/or the synovial fluid and indicates a repair attempt [\[5](#page-5-0)].

More specifically, Aigner and Dudhia (1997) [\[6](#page-5-0)] proposed the following steps in the pathogenesis of  $OA: 1$ . the synthesis of type II collagen (Col 2) and the proteoglycan (PG) aggrecan increases; 2. the chondrocyte phenotype changes, perhaps due to contact with Col 2 fibrils [[7](#page-5-0)] and atypical matrix molecules such as type III collagen are produced, resultingin a fibrogenic phenotype [[8\]](#page-5-0); 3.the synthesis of aggrecanandCol 2 significantly decreases. Itis assumed that there is no general shift in the cellular phenotype during OA, but rather a cyclic sequence of events in different areas of the diseased tissue [\[9\]](#page-5-0).

Col 2 and aggrecan are two of the components of articular cartilage with major functional relevance. The content of the C-terminal propeptide of Col 2 (CP2) in cartilage matrix directly reflects synthesis of this molecule [[10](#page-5-0)], whereas the 846 epitope  $(CS 846)$  is a marker of aggrecan turnover [[11,](#page-5-0) [12](#page-5-0)]. Degeneration of Col 2 involves cleavage of the triple helix by collagenases which produces a larger  $\frac{3}{4}$  (TCA) and a smaller  $\frac{1}{4}$  (TCB) fragment. This cleavage creates a neoepitope  $(C2C)$  that is located on the carboxy-terminus of the TCA  $(\frac{3}{4})$  fragment [[13](#page-5-0)].

In previous studies, the synthesis and degradation of Col 2 and aggrecan in human articular cartilage has been investigated [\[14\]](#page-5-0). Comparing knee and ankle joints, similar turnover rates in normal, healthy cartilages were found. Interestingly, an upregulation of matrix synthesis in the anklevs. an upregulated matrix degradation in the knee was detected in non-OA joints in early low grade focal cartilage lesions [[15](#page-5-0), [16](#page-5-0)]. This difference between the two joints was also present upon stimulation of healthy, intact cartilage with the catabolic cytokine interleukin-1 [\[17](#page-5-0)]. These important molecular differences between the knee vs. ankle joint may contribute to the known difference in their OA frequency. However, it is not known whether these differences between the two joints are typical for intact and non-OA lesional cartilage tissue, or whether these differences are also present in OA. Thus, this study investigated whether differences in Col 2 and aggrecan metabolism of knee and ankle joints are present in end stage OA. Such knowledge is important, as it would help us to understand whether compositional and metabolic differences between large human joints are maintained or lost in advanced stages of this disease.

# Materials and methods

## Human articular cartilage

Articular cartilage from the talar dome of ten OA ankle joints (five male, five female; age  $68$  years  $\pm$  5.52, range 59 to 76) and the femoral condyles of ten OA knee joints (five male, five female; age 71.7 years  $\pm 4.62$ , range 63 to 78) were obtained during surgery with institutional approval and written informed consent. All patients suffered end stage OA based on the classification criteria developed by the American College of Rheumatology [\[18\]](#page-5-0). All knee OA patients and four ankle OA patients were treated with total joint replacement. In six ankle OA patients, joint fusion was performed. Immediately after surgery, the tissue was prepared as follows: Full thickness cartilage plugs  $(5 \times 5 \text{ mm}$  each) of the remaining hyaline cartilage of the lateral posterior femoral condyle of the knee and the talar dome of the ankle were manually dissected down to the calcified zone and prepared for histology, biochemical and immunoassays.

All procedures performed in this study were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments.

Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

## Histology

The cartilage plugs were frozen in embedding compound (Tissue Freezing Medium, Triangle Biomedical Sciences, Durham, NC) and cryo-sectioned with 8 μm thickness. The staining was performed as a double stain with safranin-O and fast green as described previously [[19\]](#page-6-0). The sections were then graded according to the scale developed by Mankin et al. [[20\]](#page-6-0).

#### Biochemical analyses and immunoassays

The cartilage was stored at −80 ° C until assayed. Glycosaminoglycan (GAG) content (reflecting PG content) was measured in combined alpha-chymotrypsin and proteinase K digests using the dimethylene blue (DMB) assay [[21\]](#page-6-0). Total collagen content was measured using the OH-proline assay as described by Huszar et al. [\[22\]](#page-6-0). DNA content was measured with the Hoechst 33258 fluorescence-assay [[23\]](#page-6-0) and the Col 2 and aggrecan turnover were detected using the ELISA kits for CP2, C2C and CS 846 (IBEX, Montreal). To extract the aggrecan bearing the CS 846 epitope, CP2 and any extractable newly synthesized collagen, the explants were treated for 48 h at 4 °C with 4 M guanidinium hydrochloride (GuHCl) containing the proteinase inhibitors 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM iodoacetamide, 1 mM phenylmethyl sulfonyl fluoride and 5 ug/ml pepstatin A, in 50 mM sodium acetate, pH 5.8 and 1% 3-(3-[cholamidopropyl dimethylaminonio]-1-propanesulfonate) (CHAPS). The extract was exhaustively dialyzed against 50 mM sodium acetate, pH 6.3 [\[12\]](#page-5-0) and assayed for CP2 [[10](#page-5-0)] and the CS 846 epitope [\[12\]](#page-5-0) as described. Separate cartilages were extracted with alpha-chymotrypsin. The collagenase generated cleavage neoepitope (C2C) was measured in alphachymotrypsin extracts [\[13](#page-5-0)].

## Statistical analyses

Analyses were performed using SigmaPlot 11.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). Data is presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD), minimum (min) and maximum (max) value and the median. The Kolmogorov-Smirnoff test was performed to check for normal distribution (normality test). The Student's t-test (parametric test) or the rank-sum test (non-parametric test) was subsequently used. Correlations were assessed using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient; p values less than or equal to 0.05 were considered significant.

# **Results**

Basedon histological sections stainedwith hematoxylin/eosinand safranin O (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)), the Mankin-grades were determined for OA

<span id="page-2-0"></span>A detailed summary of the metabolic data is shown in Table [1.](#page-3-0) Most relevant is the expression on the basis of wet weight for the matrix components and on a DNA basis for synthesis and turnover, since changes in the matrix content relate to matrix mass, but synthesis and turnover relates to cellularity.

Total collagen and PG contents of knee joint cartilage  $(220.33 \pm 66.58)$ ; and  $34.76 \pm 15.15$  µg/mg wet weight (ww), respectively) was similar to the ankle  $(198.09 \pm 51.25)$ ; and  $32.31 \pm 18.64$  µg/mg ww, respectively) ( $p = 0.414$  and  $p = 0.751$ ). No significant difference could be detected for DNA content (knee:  $188.11 \pm 36.38$  ng/mg ww; ankle:  $165.16 \pm 49.62$  ng/mg ww) ( $p = 0.253$ , Fig. [2\)](#page-3-0).

### Immunoassays (ELISA)

There is a higher turnover of Col 2 in ankle cartilage compared with the knee joint, which is statistically significant. Based on DNA content, the amount of C2C in ankle cartilage is 4.2 times higher compared with the knee. For CP2, the ratio is 3.1 to 1 (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)). The ratio is 3.2 to 1 and 2.5 to 1 (normalized to wet weight), as well as 3.4 to 1 and 2.85 to 1 (normalized to total content) for C2C and CP2, respectively. No difference could be detected for CS 846. The individual values are shown in Table [1.](#page-3-0)

# Inter-relationships in components of cartilage matrix turnover

The correlation coefficients for the inter-relationships in the components of cartilage matrix turnover are

Fig. 1 Hematoxylin/eosin staining (a and b) as well as Safranin-O staining (c and d) of hyaline cartilage from a representative osteoarthritic knee (a and c) and ankle (b and d). Note the different heights of the cartilage of the knee (a and c) and ankle (b and d) at the same magnification (original magnification  $\times$ 12.5). The cartilage of the knee joint (a and c) is derived from the lateral posterior condyle. The cartilage of the ankle is from the central part of the talar dome



	OA knee (mean $\pm$ SD)	$Min \leftrightarrow Max$ (median)	OA ankle (mean $\pm$ SD)	Min $\leftrightarrow$ Max (median)	$p$ -value
Mankin-grade	$5.60 \pm 2.59$	$3 \leftrightarrow 10 (5.0)$	$5.50 \pm 2.95$	$2 \leftrightarrow 10 (4.5)$	0.788
$DNA$ (ng/mg ww)	$188.11 \pm 36.38$	$152.42 \leftrightarrow 253.85(247.54)$	$165.16 \pm 49.62$	$89.56 \leftrightarrow 242.94 (166.53)$	0.253
Collagen $(\mu g/mg)$ ww	$220.33 \pm 66.58$	$150.55 \leftrightarrow 364.3 (198.8)$	$198.09 \pm 51.25$	$117.45 \leftrightarrow 291.55(195.43)$	0.414
Proteoglycan (µg/mg ww)	$34.76 \pm 15.15$	$12.8 \leftrightarrow 60.9$ (38.49)	$32.31 \pm 18.64$	$6.81 \leftrightarrow 55.38(29.77)$	0.751
$CP2$ (ng/ $\mu$ g DNA)	$104.44 \pm 82.18$	$18.77 \leftrightarrow 242.32(73.52)$	$332.8 \pm 300.62$	$77.47 \leftrightarrow 949.67 (184.49)$	$0.031$ (*)
$CP2$ (ng/mg ww)	$19.37 \pm 15.04$	$4.77 \leftrightarrow 41.59$ (14.42)	$49.76 \pm 39.05$	$16.52 \leftrightarrow 115.86(28.69)$	$0.034$ (*)
$CP2$ (ng/mg Collagen)	$100.95 \pm 90.26$	$21.07 \leftrightarrow 247.74(51.94)$	$278.93 \pm 253.89$	$57.55 \leftrightarrow 782.44 (142.28)$	0.064
$C2C$ (ng/ $\mu$ g DNA)	$4.70 \pm 3.50$	$0.66 \leftrightarrow 11.09$ (3.84)	$19.9 \pm 14.73$	$2.26 \leftrightarrow 43.06(17.31)$	$0.011$ (*)
$C2C$ (ng/mg ww)	$0.91 \pm 0.75$	$0.15 \leftrightarrow 2.29(0.64)$	$2.91 \pm 1.91$	$0.37 \leftrightarrow 6.12(3.40)$	$0.014$ (*)
C2C (ng/mg Collagen)	$4.91 \pm 4.54$	$0.51 \leftrightarrow 13.75(3.58)$	$17.06 \pm 13.02$	$1.74 \leftrightarrow 35.82 (16.33)$	$0.021$ (*)
CS 846 $(\mu$ g/ $\mu$ g DNA)	$3.63 \pm 4.16$	$0.09 \leftrightarrow 11.51 (1.54)$	$3.61 \pm 4.18$	$0.09 \leftrightarrow 12.43$ (2.20)	0.970
$CS 846$ ( $\mu$ g/mg ww)	$0.62 \pm 0.70$	$0.02 \leftrightarrow 1.75 (0.29)$	$0.56 \pm 0.69$	$0.01 \leftrightarrow 2.08(0.28)$	0.910
CS 846 ( $\mu$ g/mg PG)	$21.96 \pm 28.57$	$0.25 \leftrightarrow 83.34 (6.44)$	$16.60 \pm 15.39$	$0.61 \leftrightarrow 41.95$ (12.61)	0.970

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Table 1 Summary of the data of the biochemical assays and the immunoassays for osteoarthritic knee and ankle cartilage samples

Individual values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD), minimum (min) and maximum (max) values and the median PG proteoglycan, ww wet weight

summarized in Table [2](#page-4-0). On analysis of C2C, CP2 and CS 846 epitope, proteoglycan, collagen and DNA content as well as the Mankin grades of all knee and ankle samples together  $(n = 20)$ , a direct relationship was observed for C2C with CP2 epitope  $(r = 0.537)$ ;  $P = 0.0147$ ). There was an indirect correlation between the proteoglycan content and Mankin grades  $(r = -0.493)$ ;  $P = 0.0269$ , as well as between the C2C epitope and the collagen content  $(r = -0.559; P = 0.0104)$ .

## **Discussion**

Previously, important differences in the metabolic characteristics between the knee and ankle joints of human donors have been uncovered in early focal degenerative cartilage lesions of the knee and ankle joints. It was assumed that cartilage of the ankle joint can react significantly better to an injury or damage than the knee and that this is due to a more efficient synthetic



Fig. 2 Biochemical parameter for DNA content, total proteoglycan content and total collagen content of hyaline cartilage of osteoarthritic knee and ankle joints. The data is presented as BOX plots. Each box represents the values between the 25th and the 75th percentile. Lines outside the boxes represent the 10th and the 90th percentile. The points represent values below the 10th or above the 90th percentile. Solid lines within the boxes represent the median, *dotted lines* the mean value. \*statistical significance with  $p < 0.05$ 



Fig. 3 Epitopes of collagen and proteoglycan metabolism of hyaline cartilage of osteoarthritic knee and ankle joints. Values are based on the DNA content for type II collagen synthesis (CP2), type II collagen cleavage (C2C) and the epitope CS 846, which represents the turnover of the proteoglycan aggrecan. The data is presented as BOX plots. Each box represents the values between the 25th and the 75th percentile. Lines outside the boxes represent the 10th and the 90th percentile. The points represent values below the 10th or above the 90th percentile. Solid lines within the boxes represent the Median, dotted lines the mean value. \*statistical significance with  $p < 0.05$ 

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Table 2 Spearman's rank correlation analysis for the inter-relationships in the components of cartilage matrix turnover

	Correlation coefficient (r)	$P$ value	$\boldsymbol{n}$
PG vs Mankin-grade	$-0.493$	0.0269	20
Col vs Mankin-grade	NS	<b>NS</b>	20
DNA vs Mankin-grade	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	20
<b>PG</b> vs DNA-content	NS	<b>NS</b>	20
Col vs DNA-content	NS	<b>NS</b>	20
PG vs Col	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	20
C <sub>2</sub> C vs C <sub>P2</sub>	0.537	0.0147	20
C <sub>2</sub> C vs C <sub>S</sub> 846	NS	<b>NS</b>	20
CS 846 vs CP2	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	20
C <sub>2</sub> C vs DNA-content	NS	<b>NS</b>	20
CP2 vs DNA-content	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	20
CS 846 vs DNA-content	NS	<b>NS</b>	20
C <sub>2</sub> C vs Col	$-0.559$	0.0104	20
CP <sub>2</sub> vs Col	NS	NS	20
CS 846 vs Col	NS	NS	20
$C2C$ vs $PG$	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	20
CP <sub>2</sub> vs P <sub>G</sub>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	20
$CS$ 846 vs $PG$	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	20

The data is expressed on the basis of wet weight for the matrix components (PG, Col, DNA) and on a DNA basis for synthesis and turnover (C2C, CP2, CS 846). The data of knee and ankle were combined  $(n = 20)$ . The individual analysis of the knee  $(n = 10)$  and the ankle  $(n = 10)$  did not show any correlation. The pairs of variables with positive correlation coefficients and P values below 0.05 tend to increase together (direct correlation). For the pairs with negative correlation coefficients and P values below 0.05, one variable tends to decrease while the other increases (indirect correlation). For pairs with P values greater than 0.05, there is no significant relationship between the two variables

NS not significant, n number of knees/ankles

capacity of the ankle cartilage [[15](#page-5-0), [16\]](#page-5-0). In the present study, the question was whether comparable molecular differences between the two joints may be present in advanced OA. In particular, we investigated whether differences in Col 2 metabolism, aggrecan synthesis and/or PG content between knee and ankle joints were present in the remaining hyaline cartilage of knee and ankle joints from patients with advanced OA undergoing arthroplasty or joint fusion. A direct comparison of cartilage of osteoarthritic knee and ankle joints in terms of collagen and PG turnover has not been published.

The present study demonstrated the presence of an increased Col 2 turnover in OA cartilages of ankle vs knee joints, which is in accordance with previous studies on human donor cartilage [\[16\]](#page-5-0). Interestingly, the present study also demonstrated that aggrecan turnover and Col 2 and PG contents were not significantly different in cartilage from human knee and ankle joints with advanced OA. These results point towards the presence of a reparative attempt in OA ankle cartilage with the focus on repairing the collagen network rather than maintaining the aggrecan pool, as

aggrecan turnover and proteoglycan content were not different between the two joints. Thus, differences in the metabolic and compositional characteristics between the two joints were in advanced OA largely based on collagen metabolism but not aggrecan turnover or proteoglycan content. Taken together, these findings may explain, in part, the higher prevalence of knee joint OA compared to ankle joint OA.

Comparing our data to the literature, the turnover of Col 2 and aggrecanwasinvestigated.The values forC2C (0.91 ng/mg wet weight for knee and 2.91 ng/mg wet weight for ankle) were only slightly lower than that described by Billinghurst et al. [\[13](#page-5-0)], who determined values of 4.86 and 10.94 ng/mg wet weight for normal and OA knee cartilages. The values measured forCS 846 (0.62 and 0.56 ng/mg wet weight for knee and ankle) are within the range measured by Rizkalla et al. [\[12\]](#page-5-0) (0.052 ng/ mg wet weight for normal knee joints, or 0.255 ng/mg wet weight for OA knee cartilage at a Mankin-grade of 7 to 13). However, our values for CP2 (19.37 and 49.76 ng/mg wet weight for knee and ankle) are significantly higher than those determined by Nelson et al. [[10\]](#page-5-0) (0.45 and 3.43 ng/mg wet weight for normal and OA knee cartilage). The authors report an inhomogeneous distribution of CP2 within the cartilage tissue. According to their observation, CP2 is scarcely present in the upperlayers but accumulatesin deeperlayers ofthe cartilage near the tide mark. This is an indication that in our study of OA cartilage the deeper layers are relatively overrepresented. In a previous study on low grade lesional cartilage [\[16\]](#page-5-0), the CS 846 in the ankle is significantly higher than in the knee. In the present study on OA cartilage there are no significant differences between knee and ankle with regards to CS 846. The upregulation of aggrecan turnover, which was detected in lesional ankle cartilage, is obviously absent in the state of OA. In contrast, there is a statistically significant increase in the turnover of Col 2 in OA ankle, compared to OA knee cartilage for both the anabolic  $(CP2)$  and catabolic  $(C2C)$  site. One possible explanation is that inlow grade damaged cartilage with focallesions, proteoglycan turnover is increased in the ankle, while there is a shift of the repair mechanisms towards an increase of Col 2 in OA cartilage with high grade cartilage lesions and advanced OA.

Another interesting observation is the increased DNA content of knee cartilage compared to the ankle, which indicates an increased number of cells in knee cartilage. This is supported by our histological observation that in OA knee cartilage, the upper layers showed deep lacerations and cell clustering. In contrast, the surface of OA ankle cartilages appeared mostly smooth and without cell clustering (data not shown). This could partially explain a higher cell number in osteoarthritic knee cartilage compared to the ankle. However, these histological observations could also be an indication of fundamental differences in cartilage degradation mechanisms between the knee and ankle joint.

One approach would be to quantify hydroxypyridinium crosslinks between the collagen fibres and the comparison of these

<span id="page-5-0"></span>values between knee and ankle cartilage. Poole et al. [\[24\]](#page-6-0) found that due to the crosslinks, cleaved Col 2 fibres remain within the extracellular matrix (ECM). If the number of crosslinks in ankle cartilage is significantly higher than in the knee, this would be a possible explanation for the increase of the C2C epitope found in ourstudy.Possibledifferencesinthedegradationofkneeandankle cartilage could also explain the difference between the knee and ankle joint with respect to the CP2. Nelson et al. [10] report on the accumulation of CP2 in the deeper layers of cartilage. Differential structural degradation may lead to a relative over-representation of the deeper layers in ankle cartilage tissue. This could be an explanation for the increase of CP2 in ankle compared to knee cartilage.

Taken together, this study demonstrated that a reparative attempt was present in advanced OA, and that this attempt was more pronounced in ankle vs the knee joint. Moreover, it appeared to be focused on the collagen network, whereas aggrecan pool maintenance was not significantly different between the ankle and knee joints of patients with advanced OA.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank Jana Schömburg und Christine Mollenhauer for their help in sample preparation and Dr. Juergen Mollenhauer for the useful discussions during this project. All experimental data were collected at the Orthopaedic Research Laboratories of the University of Jena. Special thank goes to the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), the Deutsche Arthrosehilfe e.V., and the Interdisciplinary Center for Clinical Research (IZKF) of the University of Jena for the financial support of this work.

#### Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Funding This work was supported in part by a grant from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft DFG 156/6-1), Deutsche Arthrosehilfe e.V. and the Interdisciplinary Center for Clinical Research (IZKF) (M.A.). The funding sources had no involvement in study design, in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data, in the writing of the report or in the decision to submit the article for publication.

Ethical approval The study has been approved by the local ethics committee (approval #0981-10/02 from the Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty at the University of Jena, Jena, Germany) and was performed in concordance with the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and according to the German Data Protection Act. Before the start of the investigation, written informed consent was obtained. The legal requirements concerning confidential medical communication were met. At any time, the patients had the right to withdraw consent without giving reasons and without disadvantages regarding further medical treatment. The medical therapy of the patients during hospitalization was performed independently of this research project. Patient data was stored exclusively in the Hospital according to current data protection laws. Third persons were not provided insight into source data. Any patient has the right to have his data deleted.

Informed consent Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

#### References

- 1. Felson DT, Naimark A, Anderson J, Kazis L, Castelliand W, Meenan RF (1987) The prevalence of knee osteoarthritis in the elderly. The Framingham Osteoarthritis Study. Arthritis Rheum 30(8):914–918
- 2. Koepp H, Eger W, Muehleman C, Valdellon A, Buckwalter JA, Kuettner KE, Cole AA (1999) Prevalence of articular cartilage degeneration in the ankle and knee joints of human organ donors. J Orthop Sci 4(6):407–412
- 3. Peyron JG (1984) The epidemiology of osteoarthritis. In: Moskowitz RW (ed) Osteoarthritis: diagnosis and treatment. W. B. Saunders, Philadelphia, pp 9–27
- 4. Bush JR, Beier F (2013) TGF-beta and osteoarthritis—the good and the bad. Nat Med 19(6):667–669
- 5. Williams JM (1992) Animal models of articular cartilage repair. In: Schleyerbach R, Peyron JG, Hascall VC (eds) Articular cartilage and osteoarthritis, KE Kuettner. Raven, New York, pp 511–525
- 6. Aigner T, Dudhia J (1997) Phenotypic modulation of chondrocytes as a potential therapeutic target in osteoarthritis: a hypothesis. Ann Rheum Dis 56(5):287–291
- 7. Xu L, Servais J, Polur I, Kim D, Lee PL, Chung K, Li Y (2010) Attenuation ofosteoarthritis progression by reduction of discoidin domain receptor2in mice. Arthritis Rheum 62(9):2736–2744. doi[:10.1002/art.27582](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/art.27582)
- 8. PulsatelliL,AddimandaO,BrusiV,PavloskaandB,MeliconiR (2013) New findings in osteoarthritis pathogenesis: therapeutic implications. Ther Adv Chronic Dis 4(1):23–43. doi[:10.1177/2040622312462734](http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/2040622312462734)
- 9. Gebhard PM, Gehrsitz A, Bau B, Söder S, Eger W, Aigner T (2003) Quantification of expression levels of cellular differentiation markers does not support a general shift in the cellular phenotype of osteoarthritic chondrocytes. J Orthop Res 21(1):96–101
- 10. Nelson F, Dahlberg L, Laverty S, Reiner A, Pidoux I, Ionescu M, Fraser GL, Brooks E, Tanzer M, Rosenberg LC, Dieppe P, Poole AR (1998) Evidence for altered synthesis of type II collagen in patients with osteoarthritis. J Clin Invest 102(12):2115–2125
- Glant TT, Mikecz K, Roughley PJ, Buzás E, Poole AR (1986) Agerelated changes in protein-related epitopes of human articularcartilage proteoglycans. Biochem J 236(1):71–75
- 12. Rizkalla G, Reiner A, Bogoch E, Poole AR (1992) Studies of the articular cartilage proteoglycan aggrecan in health and osteoarthritis. Evidence for molecular heterogeneity and extensive molecular changes in disease. J Clin Invest 90(6):2268–2277
- 13. Billinghurst RC, Dahlberg L, Ionescu M, Reiner A, Bourne R, Rorabeck C, Mitchell P, Hambor J, Diekmann O, Tschesche H, Chen J, Van Wart H, Poole AR (1997) Enhanced cleavage of type II collagen by collagenases in osteoarthritic articular cartilage. J Clin Invest 99(7):1534–1545
- 14. Aurich M, Poole AR, Reiner A, Mollenhauer C, Margulis A, Kuettner KE, Cole AA (2002) Matrix homeostasis in aging normal human ankle cartilage. Arthritis Rheum 46(11):2903–2910
- 15. Aurich M, Mwale F, Reiner A, Mollenhauer JA, Anders JO, Fuhrmann RA, Kuettner KE, Poole AR, Cole AA (2006) Collagen and proteoglycan turnover in focally damaged human ankle cartilage: evidence for a generalized response and active matrix remodeling across the entire joint surface. Arthritis Rheum 54(1):244–252
- 16. Aurich M, Squires GR, Reiner A, Mollenhauer JA, Kuettner KE, Poole AR, Cole AA (2005) Differential matrix degradation and turnover in early cartilage lesions of human knee and ankle joints. Arthritis Rheum 52(1):112–119
- 17. Aurich M, Eger W, Rolauffs B, Margulis A, Kuettner KE, Mollenhauer JA, Cole AA (2006) Ankle chondrocytes are more resistant to Interleukin-1 than chondrocytes derived from the knee. Orthopade 35(7):784–790
- 18. Altman RD (1991) Classification of disease: osteoarthritis. Semin Arthritis Rheum 20(6 Suppl 2):40–47
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>19. Chubinskaya S, Kuettner KE, Cole AA (1999) Expression of matrix metalloproteinases in normal and damaged articular cartilage from human knee and ankle joints. Lab Investig 79(12):1669–1677
- 20. Mankin HJ, Dorfman H, Lippiello L, Zarins A (1971) Biochemical and metabolic abnormalities in articular cartilage from osteoarthritic human hips. II Correlation of morphology with biochemical and metabolic data. J Bone Joint Surg Am 53(3):523–537
- 21. Farndale RW, Buttle DJ, Barrett AJ (1986) Improved quantitation and discrimination of sulphated glycosaminoglycans by use of dimethylmethylene blue. Biochim Biophys Acta 883(2):173–177
- 22. Huszar G, Maiocco J, Naftolin F (1980) Monitoring of collagen and collagen fragments in chromatography of protein mixtures. Anal Biochem 105(2):424–429
- 23. Kim YJ, Sah RL, Doong JY, Grodzinsky AJ (1988) Fluorometric assay of DNA in cartilage explants using Hoechst 33258. Anal Biochem 174(1):168–176
- 24. Poole AR, Rizkalla G, Ionescu M, Reiner A, Brooks E, Rorabeck C, Bourne R, Bogoch E (1993) Osteoarthritis in the human knee: a dynamic process of cartilage matrix degradation, synthesis and reorganization. Agents Actions Suppl 39:3–13