### SYMPOSIUM IN WRITING

# **Michael R. Shurin** Dendritic cells presenting tumor antigen

Received: 6 August 1996 / Accepted: 20 September 1996

**Abstract** Since the first identification of dendritic cells by Steinman and Cohn in 1973, progress in understanding their biology has included the development of novel methods of cell culture, recognition of critical aspects of migration and maturation, and appreciation of their major role as antigenpresenting cells (APC), and how this activity is regulated by cytokines and expression of accessory molecules. Dendritic cells are the major APC involved in the initiation of the immune response and the development of tolerance. There is considerable evidence that they can acquire antigen in the peripheral tissues and process, transport, and present it to T cells in secondary lymphoid tissue. A number of studies show that, in vitro or in vivo, antigen-pulsed dendritic cells can directly sensitize T cells and stimulate the development of antigen-specific immune responses, including both protective and therapeutic antitumor responses. In this paper, several important aspects of dendritic cell biology are discussed and a number of studies confirming the role of these professional APC in antitumor immunity are reviewed.

**Key words** Dendritic cells  $\cdot$  Langerhans cells  $\cdot$  Anticancer immunity  $\cdot$  Biological therapy  $\cdot$  Tumor immunology immunity  $\cdot$  Biological therapy  $\cdot$  Tumor immunology

### Introduction

The absence of curative therapy for many forms of cancer and the grave prognosis of patients who fail conventional cancer treatment justify the application of novel, experimental therapies. One alternative to widely used chemo-

M. R. Shurin

Phone: 412-692-4995/Fax: 412 692 2520

therapy and radiation treatments is to utilize the ability of the immune system specifically to target and eliminate tumor cells on the basis of expression of specific markers on their surface (TAA, tumor-associated antigens). The first step in developing effective antitumor therapies is to identify the means by which an immune response against tumor may be induced. Theoretically, after exposure to immunogenic peptides presented in the context of the proper MHC molecule, T cells are activated and expand clonally. During the past few years, several TAA have been identified, and other possible candidate molecules that may serve as target determinants have been proposed [54, 69]. Early attempts to use lymphokine-activated killer cells and tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes to transfer antitumor immunity in cancer patients revealed the existence of both theoretical and methodological obstacles and they have been of only marginal therapeutic efficacy [35].

Active immunotherapy using irradiated autologous or allogeneic tumor cells, or tumor cell products admixed with immunological adjuvants, has also been attempted for several decades. Although occasional evidence of the stimulation of antitumor activity of the immune system has been reported, vaccination using these approaches has not generally been very successful [6, 54]. Tumor cells could be modified as described in preclinical animal models to facilitate adhesion, antigen recognition, and co-stimulation and to improve the capacity of the malignant cells to serve as immunogens [23], but it is still unclear whether autologous tumor cells themselves need to be used as vaccines. Interestingly, the co-stimulatory signals delivered by the B7 family of molecules, which regulate the T cell response, have been recently demonstrated to have a crucial role in the initiation of antitumor response [12, 68]. Alternatively, tumor-specific peptide antigen could be presented to T cells by professional antigen-presenting (APC) cells bearing such co-stimulatory molecules, which might generate a more efficient and effective antitumor response or break an operational state of tumor tolerance. Thus, a critical target of vaccines is the specialized APC, the most immunologically potent of which are dendritic cells (DC) [3, 62, 25]. In fact, it has been recently demonstrated

This article forms part of the Symposium in Writing on therapeutic cancer vaccines published in this issue (Vol. 42, No. 3) of the journal

University of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, Division of Biological Therapeutics, 300 Kaufmann Bldg., 3471 Fifth Ave., Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA

that tumor antigens are presented to T cells not by the tumor cells themselves but by host bone-marrow-derived APC [26], suggesting a potential approach for designing vaccination and therapy protocols.

## Dendritic cells

DC are the heterogeneous professional APC that are critical for the initiation of T cell responses in vivo including sensitization of MHC-restricted T cells, development of T-cell-dependent antibody production and induction of immunological tolerance [61, 63]. The heterogeneity of these cells was emphasized by the identification of several different types, including Langerhans cells, interstitial DC (in heart, kidney, gut, lung), interdigitating DC, follicular DC, lymphoid DC (murine CD8+ DC), and veiled DC from blood and lymph nodes. Morphologically, mature DC are large cells with elongated, stellated processes found in low numbers in lymphoid and non-lymphoid organs, as well as in the circulation. They typically lack cell-surface markers for B, T (TCR/CD3), natural killer (NK), or monocyte/ macrophage cell lineages [19, 61], but express high levels of MHC class I and II, B7-1 (CD80), B7-2 (CD86), CD11a,b,c, CD40, ICAM-1 (CD54), and LFA-3 (CD58) molecules [1, 64]. Significantly, murine DC, including LC, splenic DC and cultured DC, express a functional common cytokine receptor γ chain, which may mediate cytokinedependent regulation of their function [44].

DC originate from CD34+ pluripotent hematopoietic progenitor cells in the bone marrow and migrate as immature cells to nonlymphoid tissue such as the skin, mucosa, and tumor [5, 26, 31]. Activation and subsequent migration of DC from non-lymphoid tissue to regional lymph nodes have been demonstrated to be early steps occurring during inflammatory reactions [38] and an important step in the development of a cell-mediated immune response against a number of pathogens [29, 45]. During antigen-induced immune responses, DC take up antigen, migrate through the afferent lymphatic system or the bloodstream to the lymphoid organs, and present the antigen to T cells. Thus, DC function involves three components that occur in sequence: a presentation step during which antigen is acquired, a processing step within which antigen undergoes proteolytic cleavage, and a sensitization step during which DC acquire the capacity to induce a response in T lymphocytes.

#### Dendritic cells and antigen presentation

#### Acquisition of antigen

Although early studies have mentioned that DC appear totally incapable of binding and internalizing endocytic markers, to date a large body of evidence suggests that the capacity to internalize and process antigen is a constitutive property of DC present in non-lymphoid organs [53] and that DC are as endocytically active as other APC [33]. It has been shown that, after skin painting with fluorescein isothiocyanate or s.c. in injection of antigen, DC in the draining lymph nodes express antigen and can stimulate sensitized T cells [8, 36]. In addition, following i. v. injection of antigen, DC are the only cells in the spleen to contain immunogenic fragments [14]. Similarly, oral antigen has been shown to be acquired efficiently by intestinal DC and such DC after migration to the lymph nodes can prime naive T cells in vivo [34].

Several distinct mechanisms for antigen capture have been described for DC. The first is a high level of fluidphase uptake via constitutive macropinocytosis, allowing uptake of a high level of soluble antigens. The second is an uptake of antigens via mannose receptors, which are expressed on DC at high levels [56]. In particular, uptake of the yeast cell wall derivative, zymosan, is mediated by a mannose/β-glycan receptor [52]. Phagocytosis can be considered as a third mechanism of antigen capture by DC, since it has been reported that cultured bone-marrowderived DC possess a high phagocytic potential with antigen non-covalently conjugated to polystyrene beads [57] as well as with BCG (Calmette-Guérin bacillus) [29], intact *Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Staphylococcus aureus, Corynebacterium parvum* [3], and *Salmonella* [42]. Furthermore, antigen-presentation experiments, in which soluble antigen and particle-absorbed antigen were given to DC, showed a more efficient presentation of antigen by DC that had taken protein conjugated with microbeads than by DC given soluble protein. In addition, antigen encountered by DC via the phagocytic route not only significantly increased the antigen-presenting activity, but also appeared to affect the development of the immune reaction directly by up-regulating interleukin-1 $\alpha$  and interleukin-12 p40/p35 mRNA in DC [57].

#### Processing of antigen

After having been internalized, antigen is transported to an acidic endosomal compartment and degraded, and the derived peptides bind to the newly synthesized MHC class II molecules in the MHC-class-II-enriched compartments. Cultured DC are characterized by the presence of a large intracellular compartment containing class II molecules, cathepsin D, and lysosome-associated membrane protein 1, and are rapidly accessible to endocytic markers [56]. Furthermore, both mouse and human DC have been shown to produce and express significant amounts of MHC class II molecules. For instance, mouse splenic DC, when compared to B cells, express eight times the amount of MHC class II [48]. Interestingly, cultured human peripheral blood DC display a denser MHC class II expression on the plasma membrane and intracellularly than do freshly isolated DC [48]. It has also been shown that DC bearing antigenic peptide are able to prime MHC-class-I-restricted CD8+ T cells in vivo [65]. Thus, the peptide-MHC complex may be expressed in high density on the cell surface as the DC differentiate.

#### Presentation of antigen

There is a general belief that DC undergo two stages of maturation [61]. According to this scheme, immature DC in non-lymphoid tissues take up antigen, process it and migrate to the regional lymph nodes. Tumor necrosis factor  $\alpha$ is the principal cytokine implicated in the regulation of the migratory capacity of DC [15] as well as the efficient presentation of antigen by the DC [56]. Upon arrival, mature DC lose antigen-capturing and -processing properties and increase their T-cell-stimulatory capacity. It is important to note here that DC express high levels of adhesion molecules and counter-receptors, including CD11a (LFA-1), CD29 ( $\beta_1$ -integrin), CD54 (ICAM-1), CD58 (LFA-3), VCAM-1, VLA-1,4,5,6 (CD49a,d,c,f), and CD44 [1]. The presence of adhesins explains the capacity of DC to form clusters with a large number of T lymphocytes for prolonged periods [18]. Presumably, expression of the adhesion molecules permits mature DC to facilitate T cell recognition of specific complexes between peptides and MHC class I or class II molecules expressed on their surface. In fact, the high efficiency of DC in T cell activation has been found to correlate well with up-regulation of adhesion and co-stimulatory molecules as well as with enhanced expression of MHC class II molecules [22]. Furthermore, DC significantly augment production of interferon γ by cultured concanavalin-Astimulated naive lymph node cells [24]. Because DC stimulate T lymphocytes isolated from non-immunized animals significantly more efficiently than do macrophages or B cells [9, 22], the hypothesis that DC are the initiating APC in the development of the immune response has been widely accepted [61, 67].

Thus, DC are as active as other APC with regard to the capture, processing and presentation of different antigens and can be considered as key cells in presenting allo-, viral or synthetic antigens to class-I-restricted CTL as well as to class-II-restricted CD4+ T cells. A number of observations have confirmed this conclusion. Both a primary anti-viral proliferative T-cell response and virus-specific CTL can be induced by stimulating unprimed splenocytes with DC infected with influenza virus [37]. Likewise, lymph-nodederived DC, either pulsed with antigen in vitro or obtained from skin-painted mice, can present chemical allergens to naive lymphocytes in vitro and induce their proliferation [32, 36]. Similarly, MHC-class-II-restricted T lymphocytes can be elicited by footpad immunization with antigenpulsed DC capable of presenting processed antigen for several days [28]. Interestingly, DC pulsed with haptenated monoclonal antibody to MHC class II have been shown to induce a rapid primary humoral anti-hapten response, whereas DC pulsed with control conjugates, i. e. haptenated non-binding monoclonal antibody, give only weak responses [43].

In summary, data discussed above allow us to conclude that DC can be used as a physiological adjuvant in vivo to activate MHC-restricted, antigen-specific T cells as well as to induce T-cell-dependent humoral responses. In fact, DC, pulsed with peptide [65] or protein [47] and inoculated into mice, induce strong CTL responses in vivo. Similarly, it has been shown that DC are superior to other cells in the presentation of Sendai virus to cytotoxic T lymphocyte precursors [30]. Of particular interest is the finding that bone marrow DC pulsed with ovalbumin peptide are potent inducers of ovalbumin-specific CTL responses in vivo, compared with splenocytes pulsed with this peptide, or compared with immunization with free peptide mixed with adjuvant [50]. In addition, the important role of bonemarrow-derived APC in presenting MHC-class-I-restricted tumor antigens has recently been demonstrated [26]. Likewise, CTL activation in vivo has also been observed after vaccination with bone marrow DC loaded with soluble TAA [49]. These results provide the basis for exploring the role of DC in the MHC-class-I-restricted immune response and suggest that presentation of TAA by DC may be a promising new strategy for using DC in cytotoxic-T-lymphocyte-mediated immunotherapy of cancer.

### Dendritic cells and antitumor immunity

Since DC have been shown to present tumor antigen, and to initiate an effective immune response, the question arises whether DC can be used to induce antitumor immunity in vivo. Clinical studies have demonstrated changes in Langerhans cell number and morphology in the vicinity of epithelial malignancies [66] and suggest a correlation between the amount of tumor-associated DC and clinical prognosis for a number of tumor types [4]. Thus, it appears that DC may play an important role in cancer development and that they can be used as a tool to stimulate antitumor immunity in vivo.

#### In vivo protection studies

Epidermal Langerhans cells, pulsed in vitro with tumor fragments derived from S1509a fibrosarcoma and innoculated subcutaneously, conferred tumor-specific protective immunity in naive animals [21]. It has been reported that immunization with ovalbumin-peptide-pulsed epidermisderived DC or Langerhans cells induces protective immunity to the MO5 ovalbumin-peptide-transfected melanoma [10]. Yan et al. [70] have shown that dermal APC are also capable of presenting TAA and initiating a strong protective antitumor immune response in the S1509a spindle-cell tumor system. Similar protective immunity has been demonstrated using tumor-pulsed splenic APC [58] and bone marrow DC in the murine KLN205 carcinoma and B16F10 melanoma [39, 40]. For instance, immunization with bone marrow DC loaded with soluble TAA protected 60% of mice challenged with live tumor cells, while mice receiving in addition a soluble TAA boost 5 days after the priming with pulsed DC were completely protected against tumor challenge [49]. It has also been shown, using a murine B cell lymphoma model, that a tumor-specific (anti-idiotype)

**Fig. 1** Four different sources of tumor antigens that can be used for the creation of dendritic-cell- (DC)-based vaccines. These include: (i) RNA and/or DNA encoding a specific sequence of a tumor-associated antigen, (ii) peptides, natural (stripped) or synthetic, restricted for MHC class I and specific for the malignant cells, (iii) protein extract or protein, needed to be acquired and precessed by antigen-presenting cells, and (iv) fusion with tumor cells



response can be induced by immunization with DC loaded in vitro with idiotype protein, which protects the mice against a subsequent challenge with a lethal dose of tumor cells [17].

DC are also important in inducing secondary antitumor T-cell responses, as they efficiently present antigen to previously primed (memory) T cells [27]. Cohen et al. [13] have demonstrated that DC have the capacity to present TAA, derived from MCA-induced mouse fibrosarcomas, to primed T cells. The mechanism of protective immunity may involve both the cellular and humoral pathways. In fact, injection of antigen-pulsed DC also induced a rapid primary humoral anti-hapten response [43], including a response to TAA [60], which suggests that dendritic APC may potentiate antibody-dependent cytotoxicity against tumor cells in vivo [46]. Porgador et al. [51] have shown that CD4+ T cells are required for the induction of an antitumor, CD8+ T-cell-dependent immunity by DC, but do not participate in the effector phase. This conclusion is in agreement with a recent report showing that the antitumor effect of peptide-pulsed DC is also mediated by their ability to provide co-stimulation, since inoculation of the chimeric fusion protein CTLA4-Ig virtually abrogated the effect of DC [71]. Interestingly, Chaux et al. [11] have reported that CTLA4-Ig significantly delays and occasionally suppresses REGb (colon adenocarcinoma) rejection in rats, and that the immune response leading to REGb tumor rejection is initiated by B7+ APC interacting with T lymphocytes.

#### In vivo tumor-regression studies

There are only a few studies that have evaluated the therapeutic efficacy of TAA-loaded DC in animals or patients with established tumors. In two recent publications it has been shown, using MCA205, TS/A, C3, and 3LL mouse tumor models, that multiple administration of bone marrow DC pulsed with unfractionated or synthetic TAA results in rejection or marked suppression of the growth of established tumors [41, 71]. Similarly, Gabrilovich et al. [20] have reported that immunization with p53-peptidepulsed bone-marrow DC prolongs the survival of mice with established tumors expressing a mutant human *p53* gene. These data support the potential clinical application of TAA-pulsed DC as a novel human cancer therapy. Since a number of TAA have been identified for human tumors, such as melanoma and breast cancer, pulsed DC can represent a promising cell-based vaccine for cancer clinics, especially when a large number of DC can be generated from human CD34+ precursor from the peripheral blood. In fact, Siena et al. [59] have described an efficient ex vivo generation of DC from blood cell transplantants in cancer patient. These DC were utilizable for tumor vaccination, since they were powerful stimulators of (i) allogeneic T cell proliferation in mixed lymphocyte reactions, (ii) autologous HLA-DR-restricted CD4+ T cell proliferation in response to presentation of antigens, and, what is most important, (iii) HLA-A2-restricted CD8+ CTL activation in response to presentation of Melan-A/MART-1 melanoma synthetic peptide [59]. It is important to mention a pilot study of Hsu et al. [25] concerning vaccination of patients with follicular B cell lymphoma, using autologous antigen-pulsed DC. All patients developed measurable antitumor cellular immune responses, and clinical response was seen in several patients. Thus, the stimulation of antitumor immunity by the administration of DC with TAA coding for signals important for the induction of a potent immune response appears to be a promising new addition to the wide spectrum of cancer-specific immunotherapies or vaccinations.

Together, these data demonstrate that DC are capable of inducing sensitization against TAA, as well as presenting TAA to primed tumor-specific T lymphocytes and inducing an effective CTL response.

#### **Conclusion** Conclusion

In summary, the data discussed above, clearly suggest that DC pulsed with tumor antigen in vitro and administered to animals initiate an efficient antitumor immune response. Such immunity protects animals against inoculation with tumor cells and, in some cases, causes suppression of growth or regression of established tumor. The increasing success of this approach will be dependent on a full understanding of antigen handling. Pulsing of DC with TAA is a common strategy for the loading of tumor antigen into DC (see Fig. 1). This includes presentation of natural stripped tumor-cell-surface peptides, synthetic tumor peptides or proteins, or extract (lysate) of tumor cells followed by different steps of purification and separation. Another strategy for the presentation of TAA by DC is the establishment of immunogenic DC/tumor-cell chimeras by fusion with tumor cells. Among promising strategies of DC loading with the correct antigen is the transduction of genes encoding a relevant protein into DC. Interestingly, Alijagic et al. [2] have reported that the transfection of human DC, obtained from blood precursors in granulocyte/macrophage-colony-stimulating factor/interleukin-4 cultures, with human tyrosinase leads to protein synthesis and presentation of antigenic peptide in the context of MHC molecules on the cell surface of DC, as demonstrated by clustering and release of tumor necrosis factor by a specific CTL clone. In addition, it is possible that so-called genetic immunization, which has been shown to be able to induce protective tumor immunity [16] is mediated, at least in part, by DC. In fact, Bouloc et al. [7] reported that Langerhans cells effectively present antigen after i. d. injection of DNA encoding this antigen and initiate primary as well as secondary T cell responses. Clinical trials are already in progress to test many of the notions discussed here and the efficacy of these approaches.

#### References

- 1. Agger R, Crowley MT, Witmer-Pack MD (1990) The surface of dendritic cells in the mouse as studied with monoclonal antibodies. Int Rev Immunol 6: 89
- 2. Alijagic S, Möller P, Artuc M, Jurgovsky K, Czarnetzki BM, Schadendorf D (1995) Dendritic cells generated from peripheral blood transfected with human tyrosinase induce specific T cell activation. Eur J Immunol 25: 3100
- 3. Austyn JM (1993) The dendritic cell system and anti-tumour immunity. In vivo 7: 193
- 4. Becker Y (1992) Anticancer role of dendritic cells (DC) in human and experimental cancers – a review. Anticancer Res 12: 511
- 5. Bernhard H, Disis ML, Heimfeld S, Hand S, Gralow JR, Cheever MA (1995) Generation of immunostimulatory dendritic cells from human CD34+ hematopoietic progenitor cells of the bone marrow and peripheral blood. Cancer Res 55: 1099
- 6. Boon T, Cerottini JC, Eynde B, Bruggen P, Pel AV (1994) Tumor antigens recognized by T lymphocytes. Annu Rev Immunol 12: 337
- 7. Bouloc A, Walker P, Grivel JC, Vogel J, Katz SI (1996) A role for epidermal Langerhans cells in intracutaneous DNA immunization. J Invest Dermatol 106: 830
- 8. Bujdoso R, Hopkins J, Dutia BM, Young P, McConnell I (1989) Characterization of sheep afferent lymph dendritic cells and their role in antigen carriage. J Exp Med 170: 1285
- 9. Cassell DJ, Schwartz RH (1994) A quantitative analysis of antigen-presenting cell function: activated B cells stimulate naive CD4 T cells but are inferior to dendritic cells in providing costimulation. J Exp Med 180: 1829
- 10. Celluzzi C, Falo LD Jr (1996) Peptide-pulsed epidermal Langerhans cells induce a cytotoxic T lymphocyte response in mice. J Invest Dermatol 106: 881
- 11. Chaux P, Martin MS, Martin F (1996) T-cell co-stimulation by the CD28 ligand B7 is involved in the immune response leading to rejection of a spontaneously regressive tumor. Int J Cancer 66: 244
- 12. Chen L, Ashe S, Brady WA, Hellstrom I, Hellstrom KE, Ledbetter JA, McGowan P, Linsley PS (1992) Costimulation of antitumor immunity by the B7-counterreceptor for the T lymphocyte molecules CD28 and CTLA-4. Cell 71: 1093
- 13. Cohen PJ, Cohen PA, Rosenberg SA, Katz SI, Mule JJ (1994) Murine epidermal Langerhans cells and splenic dendritic cells present tumor-associated antigens to primed T cells. Eur J Immunol 24: 315
- 14. Crowley M, Inaba K, Steinmann RM (1990) Dendritic cells are the principal cell in mouse spleen bearing immunogenic fragments of foreign proteins. J Exp Med 172: 383
- 15. Cumberbatch M, Kimber I (1995) Tumour necrosis factor-α is required for accumulation of dendritic cells in draining lymph nodes and for optimal contact sensitization. Immunology 84: 31
- 16. Falo LD, Condon CA, Thompson KA (1996) Immunization by cutaneous delivery of naked DNA induces CTL mediated, protective tumor immunity. J Invest Dermatol 106: 830
- 17. Flamand V, Sornasse T, Thielemans K, Demanet C, Bakkus M, Bazin H, Tielemans F, Leo O, Urbain J, Moser M (1994) Murine dendritic cells pulsed in vitro with tumor antigen induce tumor resistance in vivo. Eur J Immunol 24: 605
- 18. Flechner E, Freudenthal P, Kaplan G, Steinman RM (1988) Antigen-specific T lymphocytes efficiently cluster with dendritic cells in the human primary mixed-leukocyte reaction. Cell Immunol 111: 183
- 19. Freudental PS, Steinman RM (1990) The distinct surface of human blood dendritic cells, as observed after an improved isolation method. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 87: 7698
- 20. Gabrilovich D, Ciernic IF, Nadaf S, Corak J, Carbone DP (1996) Dendritic cells grown from bone marrow precursors, but not mature DC from tumor-bearing mice can be effective antigen carriers in the therapy of established tumors. Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res Annu Meet 37: 475
- 21. Grabbe S, Bruvers S, Gallo RL, Knisely TL, Nazareno R, Granstein RD (1991) Tumor antigen presentation by murine epidermal cells. J Immunol 146: 3656
- 22. Guery J-C, Adorini L (1995) Dendritic cells are the most efficient in presenting endogenous naturally processed self-epitopes to class II-restricted T cells. J Immunol 154: 536
- 23. Guinan EC, Gribben JG, Boussiotis VA, Freeman GJ, Nadler LM (1994) Pivotal role of the B7: CD28 pathway in transplantation, tolerance and tumor immunity. Blood 84: 3261
- 24. Hodgson CM, Dearman RJ, Morris AG, Kimber I (1996) Accessory cell requirements for T lymphocyte activation and interferonγ production in peripheral lymph nodes. Immunol Lett 49: 49
- 25. Hsu FJ, Benike C, Fagnoni F, Liles TM, Czerwinski D, Taidi B, Engleman EG, Levy R (1996) Vaccination of patients with B-cell lymphoma using autologous antigen-pulsed dendritic cells. Nat Med 2: 52
- 26. Huang AYC, Golumbek P, Ahmadzadeh M, Jaffee E, Pardoll D, Levitsky H (1994) Role of bone marrow-derived cells in presenting MHC class I-restricted tumor antigens. Science 264: 961
- 27. Inaba K, Schuler G, Witmer MD, Valinsky J, Atassi B, Steinman RM (1986) Immunologic properties of purified epidermal Langerhans cells. Distinct requirements for stimulation of unprimed and sensitized T lymphocytes. J Exp Med 164: 605
- 28. Inaba K, Metlay JP, Crowley MT, Steinman RM (1990) Dendritic cells pulsed with protein antigens in vitro can prime antigenspecific, MHC-restricted T cell in situ. J Exp Med 172: 631
- 29. Inaba K, Inaba M, Deguchi M, Hagi K, Yasumizu R, Ikehara S, Muramatsu S, Steinman RM (1993) Granulocytes, macrophages, and dendritic cells arise from a common major histocompatibility complex class II-negative progenitor in mouse bone marrow. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 90: 3038
- 30. Kast WM, Boog CJP, Roep BO, Voordouw AC, Melief CJM (1988) Failure or success in the restoration of virus-specific cytotoxic T lymphocyte response defects by dendritic cells. J Immunol 140: 3186
- 31. Katz SI, Tamaki K, Sachs DH (1979) Epidermal Langerhans cells are derived from cells originating in bone marrow. Nature 282: 324
- 32. Knight SC, Krecjci J, Malkovsky M, Colizzi V, Gautam A, Asherson GL (1985) The role of the dendritic cells in the initiation of immune responses to contact sensitizers. 1. in vivo exposure to antigen. Cell Immunol 94: 427
- 33. Levine TP, Chain BM (1992) Endocytosis by antigen presenting cells: dendritic cells are as endocytically active as other antigen presenting cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 89: 8342
- 34. Liu LM, MacPherson GG (1993) Antigen acquisition by dendritic cells: intestinal dendritic cells acquire antigen administered orally and can prime naive T cells in vivo. J Exp Med 177: 1299
- 35. Lotze MT, Rubin JT, Zeh HJ (1992) New biologic agents come to bat for cancer therapy. Curr Opin Oncol 4: 1116
- 36. Macatonia SE, Knight SC, Edwards AJ, Griffiths S, Fryer PR (1987) Localization of antigen on lymph node dendritic cells after exposure to the contact sensitizer fluorescein isothiocyanate. J Exp Med 166: 1654
- 37. Macatonia SE, Taylor PM, Knight SC, Askonas BA (1989) Primary stimulation by dendritic cells induces antiviral proliferative and cytotoxic T cell responses in vitro. J Exp Med 169: 1255
- 38. MacWilliam AS, Nelson D, Thomas JA, Holt PG (1994) Rapid dendritic cell recruitment is a hallmark of the acute inflammatory response at mucosal surfaces. J Exp Med 179: 1331
- 39. Mahnke K, Bhardwai RS, Luger TA, Schwarz T, Grabbe S (1995) Induction of protective tumor immunity against B16F10 melanoma and KLN205 squamous cell carcinoma by dendritic cells. In: Abstracts of the 25th Annual Meeting of European Societies for Dermatological Research, Vienna. p 138
- 40. Mahnke K, Bhardwai RS, Luger TA, Schwarz T, Grabbe S (1996) Induction of protective tumor immunity against the murine squamous cell carcinoma KLN205 by bone marrow-derived dendritic cells is guided by their migratory capacity. J Invest Dermatol 106: 879
- 41. Mayordomo JI, Zorina T, Storkus WJ, Zitvogel L, Celluzzi C, Falo LD, Melief CJ, Ilstad ST, Kast WM, DeLeo AB, Lotze MT (1995) Bone marrow-derived dendritic cells pulsed with synthetic tumour peptides elicit protective and therapeutic antitumor immunity. Nat Med 1: 1297
- 42. Mayrhofer G, Holt PG, Papadimitriou JM (1986) Functional characteristics of the veiled cells in afferent lymph from the rat intestine. Immunology 58: 379
- 43. Mjaaland S, Fossum S (1995) Dendritic leukocytes pulsed with monoclonal antibody-hapten conjugates elicit vigorous primary humoral responses in vivo. Scand J Immunol 41: 305
- 44. Mohamadzadeh M, Ariizumi K, Sugamura K, Bergstresser PR, Takashima A (1990) Expression of the common cytokine receptor gamma chain by murine dendritic cells including epidermal Langerhans cells. Eur J Immunol 26: 156
- 45. Moll H, Fuchs H, Blank C, Roellinghoff M (1993) Langerhans cells transport Leishmania major from the infected skin to the draining lymph node for presentation to antigen-specific T-cells. Eur J Immunol 23: 1595
- 46. Munn DH, Cheung NK (1990) Phagocytosis of tumor cells by human monocytes cultured in recombinant macrophage colonystimulating factor. J Exp Med 172: 231
- 47. Nair S, Babu JS, Dunham RG, Kanda P, Burke RL, Rouse BT (1993) Induction of primary, antiviral cytotoxic, and proliferative responses with antigens administered via dendritic cells. J Virol 67: 4062
- 48. Ossevoort MA, Kleijmeer MJ, Nijman HW, Geuze HJ, Kast WM, Melief CJM (1995) Functional and ultrastructural aspects of antigen processing by dendritic cells. In: Banchereau J, Schmitt D (eds) Dendritic cells in fundamental and clinical immunology. Plenum, New York, p 227
- 49. Paglia P, Chiodoni C, Rodolfo M, Colombo MP (1996) Murine dendritic cells loaded in vitro with soluble protein prime cytotoxic T lymphocytes against tumor antigen in vivo. J Exp Med 183: 317
- 50. Porgador A, Gilboa E (1995) Bone marrow-generated dendritic cells pulsed with a class I-restricted peptide are potent inducers of cytotoxic T lymphocytes. J Exp Med 182: 255
- 51. Porgador A, Snyder D, Gilboa E (1996) Induction of antitumor immunity using bone marrow-generated dendritic cells. J Immunol 156: 2918
- 52. Reis S, Stahl PD, Austin JM (1993) Phagocytosis of antigens by Langerhans cells in vitro. J Exp Med 178: 509
- Romani N, Koide S, Crowley M, Witmer-Pack M, Livingstone A, Fayhman CG, Inaba K, Steinman RM (1989) Presentation of exogenous protein antigen by dendritic cells to T cell clones. Intact protein is presented best by immature, epidermal Langerhans cells. J Exp Med 169: 1169
- 54. Rosenthal FM, Zier KS, Gansbacher B (1994) Human tumor vaccines and genetic engineering of tumor with cytokine and histoc
- 55. Sallusto F, Lanzavecchia A (1994) Efficient presentation of soluble antigen by cultured human dendritic cells in maintained by granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor plus interleukin 4 and downregulated by tumor necrosis factor  $\alpha$ . J Exp Med 179: 1109
- 56. Sallusto F, Cella M, Danieli C, Lanzavecchia A (1995) Dendritic cells use macropinocytosis and the mannose receptor to concentrate macromolecules in the major histocompatibility complex class II compartment: downregulation by cytokines and bacterial products. J Exp Med 182: 389
- Scheicher C, Mehlig M, Dienes H-P, Reske K (1995) Uptake of microparticle-absorbed protein antigen by bone marrow-derived dendritic cells results in up-regulation of interleukin-1α and interleukin-12 p40/p35 and triggers prolonged, efficient antigen presentation. Eur J Immunol 25: 1566
- 58. Shimizu J, Suda T, Yoshioka T, Kosugi A, Fujiwara H, Hamaoka T (1989) Induction of tumor-specific in vivo protective immunity by immunization with tumor antigen-pulsed antigen-presenting cells. J Immunol 142: 1053
- 59. Siena S, Di Nicola M, Mortarini R, Anichini A, Bregni M, Parmiani G, Gianni AM (1996) Efficient ex-vivo generation of functional dendritic cells (DCs) utilizable for tumor vaccination from blood cell transplants (BCT) in cancer patients. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol Annu Meet 15: 554
- 60. Sornasse T, Flamand V, De Becker G, Bazin H, Tielemans F, Thielemans K, Urbain J, Leo O, Moser M (1992) Antigen-pulsed dendritic cells can efficiently induce an antibody response in vivo. J Exp Med 175: 15
- 61. Steinman RM (1991) The dendritic cells system and its role in immunogenicity. Annu Rev Immunol 9: 271
- 62. Steinman RM, Cohn ZA (1973) Identification of a novel cell type in peripheral lymphoid organs of mice. I. Morphology, quantitation, tissue distribution. J Exp Med 137: 1142
- 63. Stigl G, Bergstresser PR (1995) Dendritic cells: a major story unfolds. Immunol Today 16: 330
- 64. Szabolcs P, Moore MAS, Young JW (1995) Expansion of immunostimulatory dendritic cells among the myeloid progeny of human CD34+ bone marrow precursors cultured with c-*kit* ligand, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factors, and TNF-α. J Immunol 154: 5851
- 65. Takahashi H, Nakagawa Y, Yokomuro K, Berzotsky JA (1993) Induction of CD8+ cytotoxic T lymphocytes by immunization with syngeneic irradiated HIV-1 envelop derived peptide-pulsed dendritic cells. Int Immunol 5: 849
- 66. Tazi A, Bouchonnet F, Grandsaigne M, Boumsell L, Hance AJ, Soler P (1993) Evidence that granulocyte-macrophage-colonystimulating factor regulates the distribution and differentiated state of dendritic cells/Langerhans cells in human lung and lung cancers. J Clin Invest 91: 566
- 67. Thomas R, Lipsky PE (1996) Dendritic cells: origin and differentiation. Stem Cells 14: 196
- 68. Townsend SE, Allison JP (1993) Tumor rejection after direct costimulation of CD8+ T cells by B7-1-transfected melanoma cells. Science 259: 368
- 69. Urban J, Schreiber H (1992) Tumor antigens. Annu Rev Immunol 10: 617
- 70. Yan Z, Lonati A, Beisert S, Granstein RD (1996) Presentation of tumor-associated antigens by dermal antigen presenting cells. J Invest Dermatol 106: 923
- 71. Zitvogel L, Mayordomo JI, Tjandrawan T, DeLeo AB, Clarke MR, Lotze MT, Storkus WJ (1996) Therapy of murine tumors with tumor peptide-pulsed dendritic cells: dependence on T cells, B7 costimulation, and T helper cell 1-associated cytokines. J Exp Med 183: 87