# **A comparison of geochemical information obtained from two fluvial bed sediment fractions**

R.A. Sutherland

**Abstract** A total of 121 bed sediment samples were collected from a 5.8-km stretch of Manoa Stream, Hawaii. Samples were physically partitioned into two grain-size fractions,  $<$  63  $\mu$ m and 63-125  $\mu$ m, acid digested and analyzed by ICP-AES and FAAS. Non-parametric matched-pair statistical testing and correlation analysis were used to assess differences and strengths of association between the two fractions for Al, Ba, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, Ti and Zn. Results indicated statistically significant differences between fractions for all elements except Mn. Concentrations were significantly greater in the  $<$  63  $\mu$ m fraction for Al, Cu, Pb, Ti and Zn, while Ba, Fe and Ni were higher in the  $63-125 \mu m$  fraction. Though some elements had statistically significant differences between fractions (Al, Ba, Fe and Zn) percentage differences were in the range of analytical precision of the instrument and thus differences were not practically significant. Correlation analysis indicated strong positive associations for all elements between the two fractions ( $p < 0.0001$ ). Three contamination indices indicated similar degrees of pollution for each size fraction for four elements having an anthropogenic signal (Ba, Cu, Pb and Zn). The environmental information obtained from the  $63-125 \mu m$  fraction was essentially equivalent to that from the  $<63 \mu m$  fraction. In this system it is clear that both bed sediment fractions indicate anthropogenic enrichment of trace metals, especially Pb, and further supports previous research that has found that aquatic sediments are critical median for tracing sources of pollution.

**Key words** Fluvial bed sediments  $\cdot$  Contamination indices  $\cdot$  Grain-size partitioning  $\cdot$  Non-parametric statistics · Manoa Stream

### **Introduction**

The environmental and geochemical importance of trace element accumulations in bed sediments of rivers is unquestionable. Support for this statement comes from an extensive examination of publications between 1971–1998 dealing with "heavy metals" in active fluvial bed sediments. Comparable bodies of literature exist for lake, reservoir, estuary and oceanic systems. From the fluvial literature there has been a significant increase in the number of publications over the last 30 years, and the frequency of publication has increased from less than one per year during the early 1970s to approximately six per year during the most recent time period, 1996–1998 (Fig. 1). This increase primarily stems from the linkages between metal concentrations and ecosystem health, and includes issues such as contamination, nonpoint source pollution, biological magnification and toxicity. Bed sediments are widely known as a significant and important archive of geochemical information. Analysis of bed sediments provides investigators with data to characterize the degree of anthropogenic influence and may allow for the identification of particular pollution sources.



#### **Fig. 1**

Temporal variation in the annual number of scientific articles published over the period from 1971 to 1998. Note that the numeric values attached to individual bars represent the total number of publications in a given five-year period except for the 2.5 year period from 1996 to mid-1998. A complete list of the source material consulted can be found in the Appendix

Received: 17 August 1998 · Accepted: 30 October 1998

Ross. A. Sutherland University of Hawaii, Geomorphology Laboratory, Department of Geography, 2424 Maile Way, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822, USA e-mail: Sutherla@Hawaii.edu

However, a number of methodological problems exist in examining and comparing trace metal concentrations in bed sediments (Barbanti and Bothner 1993). An influential physical property controlling element concentration is sediment grain-size. As Horowitz (1991) states, one of the most significant factors controlling both suspendedand bottom-sediment capacity for concentrating and retaining trace elements is grain-size. As noted widely in the geochemical literature, there is a very strong positive association between decreasing particle size and a concomitant increase in trace element concentration.

# **Literature review – the issue of grain-size**

Presently, there is no widely accepted standardized approach to bed sediment collection and grain-size separation for elemental analysis. This, combined with a variety of different dissolution procedures and chemometric issues, complicates attempts to compare data from a range of systems. Shelton and Capel (1994) advocate reporting trace element data for the  $<63 \mu m$  fraction ( $>4 \Phi$ ), which includes both the silt (2–63  $\mu$ m, 4–9  $\Phi$ ) and clay ( $<$ 2  $\mu$ m,  $>$ 9  $\Phi$ ) fractions, after wet sieving. Note the descriptive grain-size categories used in this paper follow the Wentworth size classes tabulated in Folk (1974). An examination of the literature indicated that the most widely analyzed sediment fraction was  $<$  63  $\mu$ m, with 30 of the 82 publications examined reporting elemental analyses for this fraction. However, in total there were 78 different fractions examined, including the granule fraction  $>$  2000  $\mu$ m (<–1.0  $\Phi$ , Moriarty and Hanson 1988), sand 63-2000  $\mu$ m (4.0 to -1.0  $\Phi$ , Crawford and Lenat 1989), silt (Padmalal and Seralathan 1995) and clay (Horowitz and Elrick 1987). A variety of techniques including sieving (wet and dry), settling and decanting, centrifuging, and the use of cyclosizers and air elutriators have allowed for an almost limitless range of sediment fractions to be isolated and analyzed. In fact, 29 studies present concentration data for more than one fraction, with Wilber and Hunter (1979) reporting analyses for nine separate fractions ranging from  $>$  2000  $\mu$ m to <0.15  $\mu$ m. Rarely are there any statistical comparisons between concentrations from the different fractions. Furthermore, there is a total absence of information comparing the degree of pollution, as estimated with "pollution indices", for different grain-size fractions.

# **Objectives**

Based on significant gaps noted in the fluvial bed-sediment literature the following objectives have been defined for this study:

1. To statistically compare, with non-parametric procedures, selected element concentrations for two commonly reported bed sediment fractions from an urban stream. 2. To assess the degree of anthropogenic pollution as estimated by various contamination indices for the two fractions.

### **Study area**

Manoa basin is located in southeast Oahu, Hawaii and is drained by a third-order perennial stream with a planimetric area of about 15  $km^2$  (Fig. 2). Two headwater subbasins, here termed Waihi and Waiakeakua, drain areas of 2.75 and 2.90 km<sup>2</sup>, respectively. A distinct rainfall gradient exists in the basin and, over a distance of less than 8 km, the annual rainfall increases from about 100 cm (at 24 m above sea level, ASL) to about 400 cm (at 152 m ASL) in the Waihi sub-basin. Manoa Stream is designated Inland Waters-Class 2 in the Hawaii Administration Rules, Title 11, as waters that are to be protected for recreational purposes, propagation of fish, shellfish and aquatic life, agricultural and industrial water supplies, shipping, and navigation (Hawaii Department of Health 1990).

Conservation and undeveloped lands account for about 55–60% of Manoa basin, commercial developments about 2–3%, education and parks about 10%, and the remainder is residential area. Based on land use, traffic intensity, frequency of storm sewer outlets draining directly into the stream and anthropogenic activities Manoa was divided into four separate stream zones. The upper undisturbed headwater zone, residential zone, commercial-institutional zone, and the lower zone; with the latter zone being the most influenced by anthropogenic contaminants.

Manoa Valley is carved into the remnants of the Koolau Shield Volcano that forms the eastern spine of Oahu. Koolau Volcano is composed primarily of shield-type tholeiite basalts (Koolau Basalt) with the subaerial part constructed between 1.8 and 2.7 Ma ago (Lanphere and Dalrymple 1980; Frey and others 1994). The Honolulu Volcanic Series represents  $\langle 1\%$  of the Koolau Shield Volcano, and comprises the post-erosional volcanism on the shield, with the lavas and tuffs  $<$  1 Ma (Clague and Frey 1982). The Honolulu Volcanics broke from about 37 separate vents or groups of vents at different times after the Koolau Shield was "dead" and much dissected (Jackson and Wright 1970). These more recent volcanics are characterized by a nephelinitic suite of rocks (Clague and Frey 1982). The Sugarloaf vent (nr. 37) is the one that is most relevant to this study since lava flows spread out on the floor of Manoa Valley to form a broad, nearly level surface from the basin outlet to about 2.8 km upstream. The most widely cited age for the Sugarloaf flow is 67 ka based on potassium-argon (K-Ar) dating by Gramlich and others (1971). The soils that have developed in Manoa Valley have formed on rocks of very different ages.



#### **Fig. 2**

Study area location map, Manoa drainage basin, Oahu, Hawaii. Note every tenth sample site is indicated by a solid "dot"; this represents a distance of approximately 500 m

Six soil orders have been identified from maps of Manoa Valley published by Foote and others (1972). The soils classified as "rocky mountain land, rocky land, and cinder lavas" have been designated in this study as Entisols. The areal abundance of soil orders in Manoa basin is as follows: Entisol  $(45%)$  > Inceptisol  $(28%)$  > Ultisol  $(20%)$  $>$ Oxisol (5%)  $>$ Mollisol (1%)  $>$ Vertisol (<1%).

# **Materials and methods**

#### **Sample collection and processing**

Systematic sampling was used to collect bed sediment samples at 50-m intervals from the outlet of Manoa Stream during baseflow on three successive days. A total of 117 sites were included in the sampling array, covering a distance of 5.8 km. Samples from depositional zones in the main third-order channel and second-order segment of Waihi Stream were collected. Samples from three locations could not be collected due to cement (box-type) channelization. In addition to the main channel sites, samples from two minor ephemeral tributaries were collected as they entered the main channel, and samples were also collected from the mouth of seven storm drains entering the main channel.

A clear 10-cm internal-diameter Plexiglas tube sampler was used to collect three separate 5-cm cores at each sampling site. Cores were composited, double bagged and mixed thoroughly prior to transport to the laboratory, where they were cold stored at about  $5^{\circ}$ C prior to processing. Several splits were made using a Teflon spatula in Teflon pans from each sample, with the split for element analyses placed in acid washed beakers and dried for 48 h at 40 7C. Samples were lightly crumbled with latex gloves to break up weak aggregates and dry-sieved through acid washed nylon sieves. Samples were physically partitioned to isolate the two grain-size fractions,  $<63 \mu m$  and  $63-125 \mu m$ .

#### **Analytical techniques**

Bed sediment fractions (mass 0.5 g) were ground using a mixer-mill with tungsten carbide bowl and balls for 2 min. Ground samples were digested using trace metal grade nitric (HNO<sub>3</sub>), perchloric (HClO<sub>4</sub>), and hydrofluoric (HF) acids to dryness overnight on a hot plate. The residue was solubilized with hydrochloric (HCl) acid and diluted to volume. Metals (except Pb) were determined by inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES) and Pb by flame atomic absorption spectrometry (FAAS).

Quality control procedures for elements in bed sediment included analyses of two standard reference materials (SRMs), National Institute of Standards (NIST) SRM 2704 collected from Buffalo River, NY (Epstein and others 1989) and the Canadian Certified Reference Materials Project (CCRMP) STSD-2 collected from Hirok Stream, BC (Lynch 1990). Accuracy and precision for the nine elements examined in this study are shown in Table 1, and these are based on 18 duplicate samples of SRM 2704 and 17 duplicates of STSD-2. Additionally, 24 field duplicate

samples were interspersed randomly within sample batches. The elements reported in Table 1 reflect a mix of major "conservative" elements (Al, Fe and Ti) commonly used for geochemical normalization; those as hydrous oxides that may significantly influence sorption processes (Al, Fe and Mn); minor elements which have shown no anthropogenic signal in Manoa Stream (Ni); and those minor elements with varying degrees of anthropogenic contamination (Ba, Cu, Pb and Zn).

Precision for all elements from the SRM samples was excellent with a range from 2–6% for STSD-2, and 3–7% for SRM 2704. Instrumental accuracy was very good for most elements with a range from  $-11\%$  (Ba) to  $+14\%$  (Ni) for STSD-2, and from  $-10\%$  (Ti) to  $+3\%$  (Ni) for SRM 2704.

#### **Statistical differences in grain-size element concentrations**

Several approaches were used to compare element concentrations for the two grain-size fractions. The non-parametric Wilcoxon (matched-pair) signed rank test was used to compare population differences in 121 samples. The Spearman rank order correlation statistic  $(r_s)$  was calculated to assess the strength of association, or lack of, between the fractions. Scattergrams are shown for selected elements so the reader gets a better visual assessment of the relationships. For individual elements, statistical results are presented for pair-wise subtractions of VFS concentrations from SiCl concentrations. Positive concentration differences indicate SiCl fraction values exceeded those in the VFS fraction. Differences are statistically summarized and selected histograms are presented.

#### **Indicators of sediment contamination**

A number of indices have been developed to characterize the degree of sediment contamination in aquatic sedi-

**Table 1**

Elemental analyses, precision and accuracy for geochemical standard reference samples measured in this study

Element	Units	Minimum reporting limit	$STSD-2a$ $\bar{X} \pm 1$ SD <sup>c</sup> this study	STSD-2 $\bar{X} \pm 1$ SD <sup>d</sup> certified	STSD-2 Precision <sup>e</sup> CV(%)	STSD-2 Accuracy <sup>1</sup> (%)	SRM 2704 <sup>b</sup> $\bar{X} \pm 1$ SD <sup>g</sup> this study	<b>SRM 2704</b> $\bar{X} \pm 95\% \text{ CI}^{\text{h}}$ certified	<b>SRM 2704</b> Precision <sup>e</sup> CV(%)	<b>SRM 2704</b> Accuracy <sup>r</sup> (%)
Al	$\%$	0.01	$8.69 \pm 0.19$	$8.52 \pm 0.21$	2.2	$+2.0$	$6.16 \pm 0.19$	$6.11 \pm 0.16$	3.2	$+ 0.8$
Ba	mg kg $^{-1}$	10	$480 \pm 30$	$540 \pm 43$	5.5	$-10.7$	$380 \pm 30$	$414 \pm 12$	7.1	$-8.0$
Cu	$mg$ kg <sup><math>-1</math></sup>		$49 \pm 3$	$47 \pm 5$	5.1	$+3.2$	$96 \pm 4$	$98.6 \pm 5.0$	4.1	$-2.5$
Fe	$\%$	0.01	$4.97 \pm 0.16$	$5.2 \pm 0.3$	3.2	$-4.4$	$3.96 \pm 0.15$	$4.11 \pm 0.10$	3.8	$-3.6$
Mn	mg kg $^{-1}$		$970 \pm 30$	$1060 \pm 60$	3.1	$-8.9$	$530 \pm 21$	$555 \pm 19$	4.0	$-4.7$
Ni	$mg$ kg <sup><math>-1</math></sup>		$60 \pm 3$	$53 \pm 6$	4.2	$+14.0$	$45 \pm 3$	$44.1 \pm 3.0$	5.9	$+2.7$
Pb	mg kg $^{-1}$	$\mathfrak{D}$	$63 \pm 2$	$66 \pm 4$	3.7	$-5.3$	$149 \pm 7.1$	$161 \pm 17$	4.8	$-7.5$
Ti	$\%$	0.01	$0.45 \pm 0.02$	$0.49 \pm 0.04$	4.1	$-7.6$	$0.41 \pm 0.02$	$0.457 \pm 0.018$	4.9	$-10.3$
Zn	mg kg $^{-1}$	2	$240 \pm 8$	$246 \pm 21$	3.3	$-2.4$	$421 \pm 14$	$438 \pm 12$	3.3	$-3.9$

<sup>a</sup> STSD-2 is a geochemical stream sediment reference sample from the CCRMP

<sup>b</sup> SRM 2704 is a standard reference material (Buffalo River sediment) issued by the NIST

 $\bar{x}$   $\pm$  1 SD represents the arithmetic mean plus/minus one standard deviation for 17 duplicates

<sup>d</sup> Certified values as reported by Lynch (1990)

<sup>e</sup> Precision is estimated by the coefficient of variation  $CV = [SD/\bar{X}] \cdot 100$ 

 $f$  Accuracy (bias) is estimated using the equation of Gill and Ramsey (1997):

$$
\left[\frac{\bar{X}_{This\, study} - \bar{X}_{\text{Certified}}}{\bar{X}_{\text{certified}}}\right]\cdot 100
$$

<sup>g</sup> Based on 18 duplicates

 $h \bar{X}$  ± 95% CI represents the arithmetic mean plus/minus 95% confidence intervals as tabulated by Epstein and others (1989) ments, but only limited data are available comparing the information extracted from these indices for different sediment size fractions. One of the simplest approaches was developed by Håkanson (1984) to assess the degree of pollution of various elements in lake sediment from Sweden. It is based on the concentrations associated with specific percentiles of the cumulative distribution curve for a given element. The index of pollution (PI) is defined as:

$$
PI = \left[\frac{P_{90} - P_{10}}{P_{10}}\right]
$$
 (1)

where  $P_{90}$  is the 90th percentile of the concentration distribution for a given element, and  $P_{10}$  is the 10th percentile. The  $P_{10}$  values can be considered as a rough approximation, when other data are not available, for the background concentration of the element.

Håkanson (1984) states that unpolluted lake sediments will have comparatively low PI-values, but no guidelines were presented. In the present study PI values were computed for the SiCl ( $PI<sub>SiCl</sub>$ ) and VFS ( $PI<sub>VFS</sub>$ ) fractions for the minor elements exhibiting at least a minor anthropogenic signal (Ba, Cu, Pb and Zn). Additionally, PI-values were computed for the three major elements that have previously been used as conservative elements to normalize geochemical sediment data, Al (Schropp and others 1990), Fe (White and Tittlebaum 1985), and Ti (Horowitz and others 1988). Normalized PI values (Norm PI) for both sediment fractions were determined in this study to overcome the shortcomings of the original PI value, and this is defined as follows:

$$
\text{Norm PI} = \left[ \frac{P_{n,f}}{MPI_{CE,f}} \right] \tag{2}
$$

where **n** represents the element with an anthropogenic signal, f the grain-size fraction (SiCl or VFS), and  $MPI_{CE}$ is the median pollution index for three conservative elements for a given grain-size fraction. The advantages of Norm PI over the original PI equation are that both granulometric and mineralogical normalization procedures are included in the same index and the degree of contamination is defined relative to 1.0. Values of Norm  $PI \leq 1$  reflect no contamination, while values  $>1$  reflect increasing pollution.

The original index of geoaccumulation  $(I_{\text{geo}}; cf.$  Förstner and others 1990) has been adapted to produce a modified index of geoaccumulation (MI<sub>geo</sub>) and this is defined as follows:

$$
MI_{\rm geo} = \log_2 \left[ \frac{C_{n,f}}{1.5 \cdot BE_n} \right] \tag{3}
$$

where  $C_n$  is the concentration for element **n** in grain-size fraction  $f$ ,  $BE_n$  is the best estimate of background element concentrations for the two geologic outcrops in Manoa basin (Table 2). The factor 1.5 in Eq. (3) is used because of possible variations in the baseline  $(BE_n)$  data due to lithogenic effects (cf. Salomons and Förstner 1984). The original index used the  $\langle 2-\mu m \rangle$  bed sediment fraction;

#### **Table 2**

Best estimates of baseline metal concentrations for the Koolau Basalts and the Honolulu Volcanics (Sugarloaf flow) in Manoa drainage basin



and the geochemical background values were derived from fossil argillaceous sediment (average shale). These background values would not be appropriate for a basin dominated by igneous extrusive rocks. The following classification is used for  $MI<sub>geo</sub>$  and follows that of I<sub>geo</sub> reported by Förstner and others (1990):  $<$  0 = practically unpolluted;  $0-1$  = unpolluted to moderately polluted;  $1-2$  = moderately polluted;  $2-3$  = moderately to strongly polluted;  $3-4$  = strongly polluted;  $4-5$  = strong to very strongly polluted; and  $>5$  very strongly polluted. A normalized enrichment ratio (ER) equation was developed for a given element following the work of Lee and others (1994) and is defined as:

$$
ER = \frac{\left[\frac{C_{n,f} \text{ Sample}}{C_{A1,f} \text{ Sample}}\right]}{\left[\frac{BE_{n} \text{ Background}}{BE_{A1} \text{ Background}}\right]}
$$
(4)

where  $C_{n,f}$  is as before;  $C_{A1,f}$  is the aluminum concentration in the  $<$  63  $\mu$ m (SiCl) bed sediment fraction or the 63–125  $\mu$ m fraction (VFS); BE<sub>n</sub> Background is as before; and  $BE<sub>Al</sub>$  Background is the best estimate of the concentration of Al in the Koolau Basalts or Honolulu Volcanics. Best estimate values are shown in Table 2. Aluminum was used as a conservative element in this study since it was the most accurately and the most precisely measured major element, and also it is the most commonly used normalizing element in the geochemical literature. There is no accepted pollution ranking system or categorization of degree of pollution based on the enrichment ratio methodology. Thus, a five-category system is proposed here:  $ER < 2$  = depletion to minimal enrichment suggestive of no or minimal pollution; ER  $2-5$  = moderate enrichment, suggestive of moderate pollution; ER  $5-20$  = significant enrichment, suggestive of a significant pollution signal; ER  $20-40$  = very highly enriched, indicating a very strong pollution signal; and  $ER>40=extreme$ ly enriched, indicating an extreme pollution signal.

## **Results**

Concentration data and statistical test results for the nine elements compared between the two size fractions are shown in Table 3. The pair-wise Wilcoxon test indicated that concentrations for eight of the elements (Al, Ba, Cu, Fe, Ni, Pb, Ti and Zn) differed significantly between fractions. Thus the population median concentration difference between SiCl and VFS was not equal to zero. Only Mn exhibited a population median concentration equal to zero, and thus SiCl and VFS Mn concentrations came from the same parent population. Median element concentrations were significantly greater in the VFS fraction for Ba, Fe and Ni; while concentrations were significantly greater in the SiCl fraction for Al, Cu, Pb, Ti and Zn (Table 3). Barium, Cu and Zn are considered to have a minor anthropogenic signal in the  $\lt 63$ - $\mu$ m bed sediment fraction, while Pb has a major signal many times above background levels.

Histograms of concentration differences are shown for Ni and Pb in Fig. 2. Nickel (Fig. 3a) is an element that reflects only mineralogical control throughout the basin, and most samples had higher Ni values in the VFS fraction, with a maximum difference of 181 mg  $kg^{-1}$ . The histogram for Pb (Fig. 3b) indicates that the SiCl fraction typically had higher values, usually differences were  $<$  20 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> but one sample had a concentration difference of 530 mg  $kg^{-1}$  (not shown in Fig. 3b). This sample was collected from a minor tributary 5 km from the basin outlet and was directly influenced by illegal dumping of metal pipes on the stream bed.

The spatial variation in concentration ratios for Fe and Pb in the two size fractions are shown in Fig. 4. Iron is typical of those metals with a SiCl to VFS ratio  $\lt 1.0$ (Fig. 4a); with only 14% of the sites examined having higher concentrations in the SiCl fraction (Table 3). Note the stippled areas shown in Fig. 4 represent the 95% confidence bands about the mean ratio and the solid lines



**Fig. 3**

**a** Histogram of bed sediment sample sites with Ni concentration differences greater in the very fine sand (VFS) fraction or in the silt  $+$  clay (SiCl) fraction from Manoa Stream; and (**b**) Pb concentration differences. Note two Pb "outliers" are not plotted, these are  $-160$  and  $+530$  mg kg<sup>-1</sup>

represent equivalence in metal concentration between the two fractions. No strong linear associations were observed in the SiCl/VFS ratios for the nine elements. However, some minor cyclicity can be noted in the Pb data (Fig. 4b).

#### **Table 3**

Concentrations of trace elements in two grain size fractions and statistical associations for Manoa Stream bed sediments

Statistics-tests	Al	Ba	Cu	Fe	Mn	Ni	Pb	Ti	Zn
Median SiCl <sup>a</sup>	9.36	210	191	13.48	1750	321	52	2.92	242
Range SiCl <sup>a</sup>	$8.05 - 10.90$	$121 - 430$	133-267	$11.31 - 15.83$	1130-3060	278-439	$5 - 1080$	$2.07 - 3.50$	$162 - 510$
Median VFS <sup>a</sup>	8.96	221	162	14.07	1710	356	45	2.54	236
Range VFS <sup>a</sup>	$6.25 - 11.15$	132-596	130–263	11.83-19.13	1210–2680	$222 - 493$	$5 - 545$	$2.18 - 3.28$	164-512
Wilcoxon p-value <sup>b</sup>	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.54	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Spearman $r_s$ <sup>c</sup>	0.64	0.85	0.67	0.71	0.71	0.47	0.91	0.66	0.81
Spearman p-value <sup>c</sup>	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Sites SiCl>VFS $(\%)^d$	73.6	14.9	97.5	14.0	48.8	5.8	76.0	94.2	70.2
Median concentration difference <sup>a, e</sup>	$+0.42$	$-11$	$+27$	$-0.65$	$-5$	$-38$	$+7$	$+0.36$	$+8$

<sup>a</sup> Concentrations for Al, Fe and Ti are in %, concentrations for all other elements are mg  $kg^{-1}$ 

<sup>b</sup> The Wilcoxon probability-value indicates that the ranked (matched pair) differences for the silt  $+$  clay (SiCl) fraction and the very fine sand (VFS) fraction populations are significant when the value is less than 0.05

<sup>c</sup> The Spearman rank order correlation coefficient indicates a significant monotonic association between pair-wise element concentrations when the p-value is less than 0.05 <sup>d</sup> The number of sample sites with a greater concentration in

the SiCl grain size fraction

<sup>e</sup> The median concentration difference is positive when concentrations in the SiCl fraction exceed the VFS fraction



**Fig. 4**

**a** Spatial variation of Fe in the silt  $+$  clay (SiCl) fraction relative to the very fine sand (VFS) fraction in Manoa Stream bed sediment; and (**b**) Pb. Note *stippled area* in both plots represents the 95% confidence band about the mean ratio of SiCl to VFS for Fe and Pb

The magnitude of the Spearman correlation coefficients (Table 3) indicate that there were strong positive associations between element concentrations in the two fractions, with all  $r_s$ -values significant at p-levels  $< 0.0001$ . This suggests that the probability of getting monotonic associations of such a magnitude simply by chance are less than 1 in 10000. Scattergrams for two elements Fe and Pb (Figs. 5a, b) visually reinforce the strong associations between the size fractions.

The degree of contamination for four elements in two bed-sediment fractions was assessed using three indices (Norm PI,  $MI_{\text{geo}}$  and ER) and data are summarized in Table 4. The normalized index of pollution indicates that of the four elements examined Pb is by far the most serious contaminant. The Norm PI values of the two sediment fractions differ minimally in magnitude and exhibit the same sequence of elemental pollution, i.e.  $Pb$  >  $\geq$   $\geq$ fractions (Table 4) differ minimally and the range of values are similar. The main point to be made is that  $MI_{geo}$ for Pb is an order of magnitude greater ( $log<sub>2</sub>$  scale) than for Ba, Cu and Zn as assessed by both fractions. A detailed breakdown of the number of sample sites within each of the MIgeo categories for each element and fraction appears in Table 5. Few significant differences exist in the number of sites falling into the seven categories for Ba, Cu and Zn. The only significant discrepancy appears in MIgeo category 3–4 (strongly polluted) for Pb; using the



**Fig. 5**

**a** Scattergram of Fe in the silt  $+$  clay (SiCl) fraction relative to the very fine sand (VFS) fraction in Manoa Stream bed sediment, the  $r_s$  value is the Spearman rank order correlation coefficient; and (b) Pb

SiCl fraction 19 of 121 sites (15.7%) fall within this category but only 7 or 5.8% of all sites in the VFS fraction. Despite this difference an investigator would draw the same overall conclusion about the 'pollution status' of the sediments with respect to Pb. Enrichment ratio data (Tables 4 and 6) indicate that Pb is by far the most significant contaminant in the Manoa system regardless of fraction examined and supports the results from the other contamination indices.

### **Discussion and conclusion**

Two approaches were used to examine differences in element concentrations in two grain-size fractions of bed sediments from Manoa Stream, Hawaii. The first being a statistical hypothesis testing approach, and the second an examination of contamination indices. The statistical approach was used because it is the standard in environmental studies. However, some have argued that many statistically significant differences reported in the literature are of absolutely no significance (Lachman 1993). This stems from the confusion over statistically significant and practically significant. McBride and others



Contamination indices for the silt  $+$  clay (SiCl) and very fine sand (VFS) fraction of Manoa Stream bed sediments

<sup>a</sup> Index of pollution calculated from Eq. (1)

<sup>b</sup> Normalized index of pollution calculated from Eq. (2)

**Table 5**

**Table 4**

Number of bed sediment sample sites in seven categories of the modified index of geoaccumulation  $(MI_{\text{geo}})$  for four elements and two bed sediment fractions from Manoa Stream, Oahu, Hawaii. MI<sub>geo</sub> values were determined using Eq. (3), with values ~0 practically unpolluted; 0–1 unpolluted to moderately polluted; 1–2 moderately polluted; 2–3 moderately or strongly

 $c$  Modified index of geoaccumulation calculated from Eq.  $(3)$ <sup>d</sup> Normalized enrichment ratio calculated from Eq. (4)

polluted; 3–4 strongly polluted; 4–5 strong to very strongly polluted; >5 very strongly polluted (cf. Förstner and others 1990). SiCl represents the silt  $+$  clay bed sediment fraction  $(<$  63  $\mu$ m) and VFS is the very fine sand bed sediment fraction  $(63-125 \mu m)$ . Values in parentheses represent the percentage of sites in each category, with a total number of sites sampled =  $121$ 



(1993) discuss nuances of these terms, and we need to ask whether the differences we achieve, though "statistically significant" are of practical or theoretical significance. This is a difficult question to address and in many environmental situations adequate information are not available. This discussion is important but commonly overlooked in the field of environmental analysis, especially when issues of analytical precision and accuracy are considered.

Data in Table 3 indicate that only Mn concentration was not statistically different between the two grain-size fractions, i.e. a median difference of only 5 mg  $kg^{-1}$  for 121 paired analyses. This represents a difference in median concentrations of only 2.3% between the SiCl and VFS fractions for Mn, a value that is less than the level of precision for this element (Table 1). Results indicated that there were statistical differences in concentrations between the fractions for some elements but these differences were in the order of precision for the ICP-AES technique, i.e. Al (median difference between fractions

 $p=4.5\%$ ), Ba (5.0%), Fe (4.1%) and Zn (2.5%). Therefore it is doubtful whether these differences are meaningful because of the confounding factor of analytical precision. Median differences between the two size fractions for Cu (15%), Ni (9.8%), Pb (13.5%) and Ti (13.0%) were statistically significant and they exceeded instrument precision by two to three times. But whether the median Pb concentration difference of 7 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> (Pb<sub>SiCl</sub> = 52 vs. Pb<sub>VFS</sub>  $p = 45$  mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) between the fractions has geochemical meaning or ecotoxicological significance is unclear. Correlation analysis was used to examine the strength of association between metal concentrations in the two size fractions. Data showed strong positive monotonic associations, thus as the concentration of a given element in one fraction increased at a specific sample site there was a concomitant increase in the element in the other fraction. Lead showed the strongest association between fractions, this was important because Pb was the element most influenced by human activities in the basin.

#### **Table 6**

Number of bed sediment sample sites in five categories of the normalized enrichment ratio (ER) for four elements and two bed sediment fractions from Manoa Stream, Oahu, Hawaii. ER values were determined using Eq.  $(4)$ , with  $\lt 2$  representing sites with no or minimal pollution; 2–5 represents sites moderately polluted; 5–20 represents sites with significant pollution; 20–40 represents sites with very strong pollution; and >40 represents those sites that are extremely polluted. SiCl represents the silt + clay bed sediment fraction ( $<$  63  $\mu$ m) and VFS represents the very fine sand bed sediment fraction ( $63-125 \mu m$ ). Values in parentheses represent the percentage of sites in each category, with a total number of sites sampled  $=121$ 



Contamination indices were used to assess the amount of environmental information that could be extracted from each of the two different grain-size fractions with regards to degree of pollution. Such indices are a critical part of environmental management and allows authorities to make informed decisions on whether sites require further toxicological investigation, or whether remediation is required. The three indices differ in their computation, but all point to Pb as the element of concern in both fractions of Manoa bed sediments. For  $MI_{\text{geo}}$  SiCl 92, of 121 sample sites were classified as being moderately/strongly to very strongly polluted for Pb, and 83 of 121 sites using the VFS fraction. From a management perspective these differences are trivial. For Ba, Cu and Zn the median MI<sub>geo</sub> differences between SiCl and VFS fractions were small, and would not on their own constitute any environment hazard accept if there was some form of synergistic relationship with Pb. The same conclusions drawn from an examination of the  $MI_{\text{geo}}$  data are applicable to the enrichment ratio data presented in Table 6. To conclude, statistical and possibly geochemically significant concentration differences were observed for four of the nine elements analyzed (Cu, Ni, Pb and Ti) when values in the  $\lt 63$ - $\mu$ m (silt + clay) and the 63–125- $\mu$ m (very fine sand) bed sediment fractions of Manoa Stream were compared. However, statistical associations between the two fractions were strong for all elements and from a management perspective the conclusions drawn concerning degree of contamination would be similar regardless of fraction examined. Therefore, for Manoa bed sediments the choice of grain-size fraction to be analyzed should be based on issues such as ease of sample collection, ease of preparation and quantity required for a range of analytical procedures. The quantity issue may be particularly relevant in sand bed or coarser stream seg-

ments. In such streams the silt and clay contribution to the entire grain-size distribution is typically small  $<< 1\%$ ; this may not pose a sampling problem if inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) is used, where  $\langle 200 \rangle$  mg of material will suffice for analysis. However, if major element oxide determinations are required the technique of choice is X-ray fluorescence (XRF), which commonly requires 5–10 g for a meta-borate fusion. This sample mass may not be available for the  $\lt 63$ -µm fraction unless large kilogram-size samples are collected, making field sampling rather onerous. In selected environments the quantity problem could be obviated if fine sands were substituted. Another key issue of environmental concern is the relationship between bed sediment size fractions and those processed by benthic feeders. Contamination of aquatic species in coarse sand or gravel bed streams may only be weakly linked to the clays or silts since they would be expected to have small mass and thus small metal loads. On the other hand the more abundant fine sand fractions would have higher mass loadings and would in these situations be more closely linked to biotic contamination and thus worthy of detailed examination.

**Acknowledgments** I would like to thank Mr. D. Ko and Dr. C-T. Lee for providing invaluable assistance during the field collection phase of this project. Without the facilitation of chemical analyses by Mr. G. Marshall this project would not have been possible. Financial support for this work was provided by the Department of Geography, University of Hawaii and from University of Hawaii Research Council Grant #3–89947

# **Appendix**

- The following literature was used to generate the information displayed in Fig. 1.
- Ajmal M, Khan MA, Nomani AA (1985) Distribution of heavy metals in water and sediments of selected sites of Yamuna River (India). Environ Monit Assess 5 : 205–214
- Ajmal M, Khan MA, Nomani AA (1987) Monitoring of heavy metals in the water and sediments of the Ganga River, India. Water Sci Technol 19:107-117
- ANDREWS ED (1987) Longitudinal dispersion of trace metals in the Clark Fork River, Montana. In: Averett RC, McKnight DM (eds) Chemical quality of water and the hydrologic cycle. Lewis Publishers, Michigan, pp 179–191
- Aston SR, THORNTON I (1977) Regional geochemical data in relation to seasonal variations in water quality. Sci Total Environ 7 : 247–260
- Axtmann EV, Luoma SN (1991) Large-scale distribution of metal contamination in the fine-grained sediments of the Clark Fork River, Montana, U.S.A. Appl Geochem 6 : 75–88
- Bellehumeur C, Marcotte D, Jebrak M (1994) Multi-element relationships and spatial structures of regional geochemical data from stream sediments, southwestern Quebec, Canada. J Geochem Explor 51 : 11–35
- BIRCH G, SHOTTER N, STEETSEL P (1998) The environmental status of Hawkesbury River sediments. Aust Geog Studies 36 : 37–57
- Borovec Z (1993) Partitioning of silver, beryllium and molybdenum among chemical fractions in the sediments from the Labe (Elbe) River in central Bohemia, Czech Rep. GeoJ 29 : 359–364
- BREAULT RF, HARRIS SL (1997) Geographical distribution and potential for adverse biological effects of selected trace elements and organic compounds in streambed sediment in the Connecticut, Housatonic, and Thames River Basins, 1992–94. US Geol Surv Water-Resour Invest Rep 97–4169, Marlborough, MA, USA
- Brigham ME, Goldstein RM, Tornes LH (1998) Trace elements and organic chemicals in stream-bottom sediments and fish tissues, Red River of the North Basin, Minnesota, North Dakota, and South Dakota, 1992–95. US Geol Surv Water-Resour Invest Rep 97–4043, Mounds View, Minn, USA
- Brook EJ, Moore JN (1988) Particle-size and chemical control of As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, and Zn in bed sediment from the Clark Fork River, Montana (U.S.A.). Sci Total Environ 76 : 247–266
- BUBB JM, DUDD T, LESTER JN (1991) Distribution of heavy metals in the River Yare and its associated broads III. Lead and zinc. Sci Total Environ 102 : 189–208
- Chakrapani GJ, Subramanian V (1993) Heavy metals distribution and fractionation in sediments of the Mahanadi River basin, India. Environ Geol 22 : 80–87
- Colman JA, Sanzolone RF (1992) Geochemical characterization of streambed sediment in the Upper Illinois River basin. Water Resour Bull 28 : 933–950
- COMBEST KB (1991) Trace metals in sediment: Spatial trends and sorption processes. Water Resour Bull 27 : 19–28
- Daus B, Zwanziger HW (1995) Heavy metals in river sediments – A chemometrical evaluation. Fresenius J Anal Chem 352 : 444–450
- Deely JM, Tunnicliff JC, Orange CJ, Edgerley WHL (1992) Heavy metals in surface sediments of Waiwhetu Stream, Lower Hutt, New Zealand. NZ J Mar Freshwater Res 26 : 417–427
- Dekov VM, Komy Z, Araujo F, Van Put A, Van Grieken R (1997) Chemical composition of sediments, suspended matter, river water and ground water of the Nile (Aswan-Sohag traverse). Sci Total Environ 201 : 195–210
- ELDER JF, MATTRAW HC (1984) Accumulation of trace elements, pesticides, and polychlorinated biphenyls in sediments and the clam *Corbicula manilensis* of the Apalachicola River, Florida. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 13 : 453–469
- ELLAWAY M, HART BT, BECKETT R (1982) Trace metals in sediments from the Yarra River. Aust J Mar Freshwater Res 33 : 761–778
- Fernandez H-M, Conti LFC, Patchineelam SR (1994) An assessment of the pollution of heavy metals in Jacarepagua basin, Rio De Janeiro, Brazil: a statistical approach. Environ Technol 15 : 87–94
- Förstner U, Müller G (1973) Heavy metal accumulation in river sediments: a response to environmental pollution. Geoforum 17 : 53–61
- FUHRER GJ, HOROWITZ AJ (1989) The vertical distribution of selected trace metals and organic compounds in bottom materials of the proposed Lower Columbia River export channel, Oregon, 1984. US Geol Surv Water-Resourc Invest Rep 88–4099, Portland, Ore
- Fuller CC, Davis JA, Cain DJ, Lamothe PJ, Fries TL, Fernandez G, Vargas JA, Murillo MM (1990) Distribution and transport of sediment-bound metal contaminants in the Rio Grande De Tarcoles, Costa Rica (Central America). Water Res 24 : 805–812
- Gaiero DM, Ross GR, Depertris PJ, Kempe S (1997) Spatial and temporal variability of total non-residual heavy metals content in stream sediments from the Suquia River system, Cordoba, Argentina. Water Air Soil Pollut 93 : 303–319
- Garban B, Ollivon D, Carru AM, Chesterikoff A (1996) Origin, retention and release of trace metals from sediments of the River Seine. Water Air Soil Pollut 87 : 363–381
- Goncalves EPR, Soares HMVM, Boaventura RAR, Machado AASC, Esteves da Silva JCG (1994) Seasonal variations of heavy metals in sediments and aquatic mosses from the Cavado River basin (Portugal). Sci Total Environ 142 : 143–156
- Graf WL, Clark SL, Kammerer MT, Lehman T, Randall K, SCHROEDER R (1991) Geomorphology of heavy metals in the sediments of Queen Creek, Arizona, USA. Catena 18:567-582
- Heiny JS, Tate CM (1997) Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platter River Basin, USA, 1992–1993. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 32 : 246–259
- HELSEL DR, KOLTUN GF (1986) Evaluation of size-distribution effects and laboratory precision in the analysis of bottom materials. U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2310, US Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, pp 1–11
- Houba C, Remacle J (1982) Distribution of heavy metals in sediments of the River Vesdre (Belgium). Environ Technol Lett 3: 237–240
- Jha PK, Subramanian V, Sitasawad R, Van Grieken R (1990) Heavy metals in sediments of the Yamuna River, India. Sci Total Environ 95, 7–27
- Karlsson S, Allard B, Hakansson K (1988) Chemical characterization of stream-bed sediments receiving high loadings of acid mine effluents. Chem Geol 67 : 1–15
- KINNIBURGH DG, BECKETT PHT (1983) Geochemical mapping in Oxfordshire: A comparison of stream sediment and soil sampling. J Soil Sci 34 : 183–203
- Konhauser KO, Powell MA, Fyfe WS, Longstaffe FJ, Tri-PATHY S (1997) Trace element geochemistry of river sediment, Orissa State, India. J Hydrol 193 : 258–269
- MANTEI EJ, COONROD DD (1989) Heavy metal content in the stream sediments adjacent to a sanitary landfill. Environ Geol Water Sci 13 : 51–58
- Mantei EJ, Foster MV (1991) Heavy metals in stream sediments: Effects of human activities. Environ Geol Water Sci 18 : 95–104
- Marcus WA (1987) Copper dispersion in ephemeral stream sediments. Earth Surf Proc Landforms 12 : 217–228
- Marcus WA (1996) Sediment-scale patterns and hydraulics of trace metal concentrations in fine grain sediments of a cobble and boulder bed mountain stream, southeast Alaska. Mt Res Develop 16 : 211–220
- Mogollon JL, Bifano C (1994) Topography, weather and human activity effects on the behavior of metallic elements in a tropical catchment. Chem Geol 114 : 69–82
- Mogollon JL, Ramirez AJ, Guillen RB, Bifano C (1990) Heavy metals and organic carbon in sediments from the Tuy River basin, Venezuela. Environ Geochem Health 12 : 277–287
- MUDROCH A (1985) Geochemistry of the Detroit River sediments. J Great Lakes Res 11 : 193–200
- MUDROCH A (1991) Metal concentration in sediments (bottom and suspended) of St. Marys River, Ontario/Michigan. Water Pollut Res J Can 26 : 119–143
- Mudroch A, Duncan GA (1986) Distribution of metals in different size fractions of sediment from the Niagara River. J Great Lakes Res 12 : 117–126
- Murray KS (1996) statistical comparisons of heavy-metal concentrations in river sediments. Environ Geol 27 : 54–58

Ntekim EEU, Ekwere SJ, Ukpong EE (1993) Heavy metal distribution in sediments from Calabar River, southeastern Nigeria. Environ Geol 21 : 237–241

- PAGENKOPF GK, CAMERON D (1979) Deposition of trace metals in stream sediments. Water Air Soil Pollut 11 : 429–435
- PAUL AC, PILLAI KC (1983) Trace metals in a tropical river environment-distribution. Water Air Soil Pollut 19 : 63–73

Perez M, Usero J, Gracia I, Cabrera F (1991) Trace metals in sediments from the "Ria De Huelva". Toxicol Environ Chem 31–32 : 275–283

Ramelow GJ, Biven SL, Zhang Y, Beck JN, Young JC, Cal-LAHAN JD, MARCON MF (1992) The identification of point sources of heavy metals in an industrially impacted waterway by periphyton and surface sediment monitoring. Water Air Soil Pollut 65 : 175–190

Reece DE, Felkey JR, Wai CM (1978) Heavy metal pollution in the sediments of the Coeur d'Alene River, Idaho. Environ Geol 2:289-293

Rickert DA, Kennedy VC, McKenzie SW, Hines WG (1977) A synoptic survey of trace metals in bottom sediments of the Willamette River, Oregon. US Geol Surv Circular 715-F, Arlington, Va

RIDGWAY J, DUNKLEY PN (1988) Temporal variations in the trace element content of stream sediments: Examples from Zimbabwe. Appl Geochem 3 : 609–621

RIDGWAY J, MIDOBATU C (1991) Temporal variations in the trace element content of stream sediments: An example from a tropical rain forest regime, Solomon Islands. Appl Geochem 6: 185–193

Rosales-Hoz L, Carranza-Edwards A (1998) Heavy metals in sediments from Coatzacoalcos River, Mexico. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol 60:553-561

Sabri AW, Rasheed KA, Kassim TI (1993) Heavy metals in the water, suspended solids and sediment of the River Tigris impoundment at Samarra. Water Res 27 : 1099–1103

Sahu KC, Bhosale U (1991) Heavy metal pollution around the island city of Bombay, India. Part I: Quantification of heavy metal pollution of aquatic sediments and recognition of environmental discriminants. Chem Geol 91 : 263–283

Sakai H, Kojima Y, Saito K (1986) Distribution of heavy metals in water and sieved sediments in the Toyohira River. Water Res 20 : 559–567

Sarmani SB (1989) The determination of heavy metals in water, suspended materials and sediments from Langat River, Malaysia. Hydrobiologia 176/177 : 233–238

Sarmani SB, Abdullah MP, Baba I, Majid AA (1992) Inventory of heavy metals and organic micropollutants in an urban water catchment drainage basin. Hydrobiologia 235/ 236 : 669–674

Stamer JK, Yorke TH, Pederson GL (1985) Distribution and transport of trace substances in the Schuylkill River basin from Berne to Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. US Geol Surv Water-Supply Paper 2256-A. US Government Printing Office, Washington

Stone M, Droppo IG (1996) Distribution of lead, copper and zinc in size-fractionated river bed sediment in two agricultural catchments of southern Ontario, Canada. Environ Pollut 93 : 353–362

Subramanian V, Van Grieken R, Van't Dack L (1987) Heavy metals distribution in the sediments of Ganges and Brahmaputra Rivers. Environ Geol 9:93-103

Tada F, Nishida H, Miyai M, Suzuki S (1983) Classification of Japanese rivers by heavy metals in bottom mud. Environ Geol 4:217-222

TRUCKENBRODT D, EINAX J (1995) Sampling representativity and homogeneity of river sediments. Fresenius' J Anal Chem 352 : 437–443

Tsai F-C, Buchanan EB Jr, Drake L (1978) The analysis of sediments from the Iowa River. Sci Total Environ 9:277-285

- Van Hassel JH, Ney JJ, Garling DL (1980) Heavy metals in a stream ecosystem at sites near highways. Trans Am Fisheries Soc 109 : 636–643
- Vivian CMB, Massie KS (1977) Trace metals in waters and sediments of the River Tawe, South Wales, in relation to local sources. Environ Pollut 14:47-61

WARDAS M, BUDEK L, RYBICKA EH (1996) Variability of heavy metals content in bottom sediments of the Wilga River, a tributary of the Vistula River (Krakow area, Poland). Appl Geochem 11 : 197–202

Wentz DA, Waite IR, Rinella FA (1998) Comparison of streambed sediment and aquatic biota as media for characterizing trace elements and organochlorine compounds in the Willamette Basin, Oregon. Environ Monit Assess 51 : 673–693

WILBER WG, HUNTER JV (1977) Aquatic transport of heavy metals in the urban environment. Water Resources Bull 13 : 721–734

Williamson JE, Goldstein RM, Porter SD (1996) Selected trace metals in water, sediment, plants, and fish in Rapid Creek, Rapid City, South Dakota, 1993–1994. US Geol Surv Water-Resourc Invest Rep 96–4276, Rapid City, SD, USA

Wolfenden PJ, Lewin (1978) Distribution of metal pollutants in active stream sediments. Catena 5 : 67–78

Yim WW-S (1981) Geochemical investigations on fluvial sediments contaminated by tin-mine tailings, Cornwall, England. Environ Geol 3 : 245–256

YOUGER JD, MITSCH WJ (1989) Heavy metal concentrations in Ohio River sediments - longitudinal and temporal patterns. Ohio J Sci 89 : 172–175

# **References**

Barbanti A, Bothner MH (1993) A procedure for partitioning bulk sediments into distinct grain-size fractions for geochemical analysis. Environ Geol 21 : 3–13

Chester R, Kudoja WM, Thomas A, Towner J (1985) Pollution reconnaissance in stream sediments using non-residual trace metals. Environ Pollut (Ser B) 10 : 213–238

Clague DA, Frey FA (1982) Petrology and trace element geochemistry of the Honolulu Volcanics, Oahu: Implications for the mantle below Hawaii. J Petrol 23 : 447–504

Crawford JK, Lenat DR (1989) Effects of land use on the water quality and biota of three streams in the Piedmont Province of North Carolina. US Geol Survey Water-Resourc Invest Rep 89–4007, Raleigh, NC, USA

Epstein MS, Diamondstone BI, Gills TE (1989) A new river sediment standard reference material. Talanta 36 : 141–150

Folk RL (1974) Petrology of sedimentary rocks. Hemphill, Austin, TX

Foote DE, Hill EL, Nakamura S, Stephens F (1972) Soil Survey of the Islands of Kauai, Oahu, Maui, Molokai, and Lanai, State of Hawaii. USDA, Soil Conservation Service, US Government Printing Office, Washington, DC

Förstner U, Ahlf W, Calmano W, Kersten M (1990) Sediment criteria development - Contributions from environmental geochemistry to water quality management. In: Heling D, Rothe P, Forstner U, Stoffers P (eds) Sediments and environmental geochemistry: selected aspects and case histories. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 311–338

FREY FA, GARCIA MO, RODEN MF (1994) Geochemical characteristics of Koolau Volcano: Implications of intershield geochemical differences among Hawaiian volcanoes. Geochim Cosmochim 58 : 1441–1462

- GILL R, RAMSEY MH (1997) What a geochemical analysis means. In: Gill R (ed) Modern analytical geochemistry an introduction to quantitative chemical analysis techniques for Earth, environmental and materials scientists. Addison Wesley Longman Essex, England, Ltd., pp 1–11
- GRAMLICH JW, LEWIS VA, NAUGHTON JJ (1971) Potassium-argon dating of Holocene basalts of the Honolulu Volcanic Series. Geol Soc Am Bull 82 : 1399–1404
- Håkanson L (1984) Metals in fish and sediments from the River Kolbacksan water system, Sweden. Arch Hydrobiol  $101 \cdot 373 - 400$
- Hawaii Department of Health (DOH 1990). Hawaii administrative rules (Title 11) water quality standards. State of Hawaii, USA, pp Chap 54
- Horowitz AJ (1991) A primer on sediment-trace element chemistry (2nd edn). Lewis Publishers, Michigan, USA
- Horowitz AJ, Elrick KA (1987) The relation of stream sediment surface area, grain-size and composition to trace element chemistry. Appl Geochem 2 : 437–451
- Horowitz AJ, Elrick K, Callender E (1988) The effect of mining on the sediment-trace element geochemistry of cores from the Cheyenne River arm of Lake Oahe, South Dakota, USA. Chem Geol 67 : 17–33
- JACKSON ED, WRIGHT TL (1970) Xenoliths in the Honolulu Volcanic Series, Hawaii. J Petrology 11 : 405–430
- LACHMAN SJ (1993) Statistically significant difference or probable nonchance difference. Am Psychol 48 : 1093
- Lanphere MA, Dalrymple GB (1980) Age and strontium isotopic composition of the Honolulu Volcanic Series, Oahu, Hawaii. Am J Sci 280-A:736–751
- Lee DS, Garland JA, Fox AA (1994) Atmospheric concentrations of trace elements in urban areas of the United Kingdom. Atmos Environ 28 : 2691–2713
- Lynch J (1990) Provisional elemental values for eight new geochemical lake sediment and stream sediment reference materials LKSD-1, LKSD-2, LKSD-3, STSD-1, STSD-2, STSD-3 and STSD-4. Geostandards Newsl 14 : 153–167
- McBRIDE GB, LOFTIS JC, ADKINS NC (1993) What do significance tests really tell us about the environment? Environ Manage 17 : 423–432
- Moriarty F, Hanson HM (1988) Heavy metals in sediments of the River Ecclesbourne, Derbyshire. Water Res 22 : 475–480
- PADMALAL D, SERALATHAN P (1995) Geochemistry of Fe and Mn in surficial sediments of a tropical river and estuary, India - A granulometric approach. Environ Geol 25 : 270–276
- Salomons W, Förstner U (1984) Metals in the hydrocycle. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York
- Schropp SJ, Lewis FG, Windom HL, Ryan JD, Calder FD, Burney LC (1990) Interpretation of metal concentrations is estuarine sediments of Florida using aluminum as a reference element. Estuaries 13 : 227–235
- SHELTON LR, CAPEL PD (1994) Guidelines for collecting and processing samples of stream bed sediment for analysis of trace elements and organic contaminants for the National Water-Quality Assessment Program. US Geol Surv Open-File Rep 94–458, Sacramento, Ca
- WHITE KD, TITTLEBAUM ME (1985) Metal distribution and contamination in sediments. J Environ Eng 111 : 161–175
- WILBER WG, HUNTER JV (1979) The impact of urbanization on the distribution of heavy metals in bottom sediments of the Saddle River. Water Res Bull 15 : 790–800