MINI-REVIEW

Function, distribution, and annotation of characterized cellulases, xylanases, and chitinases from CAZy

Stanley T. C. Nguyen¹ • Hannah L. Freund¹ • Joshua Kasanjian¹ • Renaud Berlemont¹

Received: 27 November 2017 / Revised: 6 January 2018 /Accepted: 9 January 2018 /Published online: 22 January 2018 \oslash Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract

The enzymatic deconstruction of structural polysaccharides, which relies on the production of specific glycoside hydrolases (GHs), is an essential process across environments. Over the past few decades, researchers studying the diversity and evolution of these enzymes have isolated and biochemically characterized thousands of these proteins. The carbohydrate-active enzymes database (CAZy) lists these proteins and provides some metadata. Here, the sequences and metadata of characterized sequences derived from GH families associated with the deconstruction of cellulose, xylan, and chitin were collected and discussed. First, although few polyspecific enzymes are identified, characterized GH families are mostly monospecific. Next, the taxonomic distribution of characterized GH mirrors the distribution of identified sequences in sequenced genomes. This provides a rationale for connecting the identification of GH sequences to specific reactions or lineages. Finally, we tested the annotation of the characterized GHs using HMM scan and the protein families database (Pfam). The vast majority of GHs targeting cellulose, xylan, and chitin can be identified using this publicly accessible approach.

Keywords Cellulase Chitinase . Xylanase . CAZy . Glycoside hydrolase

Introduction

Cellulose and xylan, from the plant cell wall, represent the largest pool of organic carbon in land ecosystems whereas chitin, although abundant in terrestrial ecosystems, dominates in marine ecosystems. The deconstruction of these polysaccharides, outside the cell, by specific enzymes releases short oligo-/disaccharides which then can be translocated into the cell and further processed to release energy (e.g., glycolysis, fermentation). Glycoside hydrolases (GHs) are essential enzymes required for the breakdown of these polysaccharides. These proteins together with other carbohydrate-active enzymes (e.g., carbohydrate esterases (CE), polysaccharide lyases (PL), and lytic polysaccharide monooxigenases (LPMO))

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-018-8778-y>) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

 \boxtimes Renaud Berlemont Renaud.berlemont@csulb.edu (Lombard et al. [2014\)](#page-7-0) support key processes across ecosystems. However, although abundant across environments (Berlemont and Martiny [2016](#page-6-0)), GHs are not randomly distributed (Berlemont and Martiny [2013\)](#page-6-0). Most identified GHs are from microbes (e.g., Medie et al. [2012;](#page-7-0) Berlemont and Martiny [2013;](#page-6-0) Lombard et al. [2014](#page-7-0); Berlemont and Martiny [2015;](#page-6-0) Berlemont [2017\)](#page-6-0) and invertebrates (see Guo et al. [2008;](#page-6-0) Rahman et al. [2014](#page-7-0)).

Large-scale comparisons of sequenced bacterial genomes reveal that not all the lineages have genes for GHs potentially involved in polysaccharide deconstruction, whereas most microbes have genes for the processing of polysaccharide deconstruction products (i.e., oligosaccharides) (e.g., Berlemont and Martiny [2013\)](#page-6-0). Microorganisms targeting short deconstruction products, sometime referred to as the opportunists (Berlemont et al. [2014\)](#page-6-0), contribute indirectly to the process of polysaccharide deconstruction by keeping local oligosaccharide concentrations low and, thus, prevent the product from inhibiting the enzyme (see Rignall et al. [2002](#page-7-0); Gefen et al. [2012;](#page-6-0) Xu et al. [2013](#page-8-0); Bailey et al. [2013\)](#page-6-0). In contrast, organisms targeting larger substrates have evolved several strategies to degrade polysaccharides (Wilson [2011;](#page-8-0) Talamantes et al. [2016\)](#page-8-0). Briefly, microbes produce many single-domain enzymes consisting of one unique GH-

¹ Department of Biological Sciences, California State University—Long Beach, 1250 Bellflower Blvd., Long Beach, CA 90840-9502, USA

catalytic domain sometime associated with accessory noncatalytic domain(s) such as carbohydrate-binding modules (CBMs). These CBMs direct their associated catalytic domains to specific substrates, increase the local concentration of enzymes, reduce the enzyme diffusion, and help relax the crystalline structure of the substrate. In so doing, they improve the overall catalytic efficiency of the hydrolytic systems (Din et al. [1991](#page-6-0); Hervé et al. [2010](#page-6-0)). Interestingly, still other degraders produce proteins with multiple catalytic domains (Gibbs et al. [1992](#page-6-0); Brunecky et al. [2013](#page-6-0); Talamantes et al. [2016\)](#page-8-0). Finally, some bacteria and fungi evolved noncovalent modular multi-protein complexes consisting of several GHs called cellulosomes (Artzi et al. [2016;](#page-6-0) Haitjema et al. [2017\)](#page-6-0).

Large-scale comparisons of sequenced genomes highlight the phylogenetic conservatism of enzymes involved in polysaccharide deconstruction and variability of the domain organization in GHs from closely related strains (e.g., Talamantes et al. [2016;](#page-8-0) Berlemont [2017](#page-6-0)). In bacteria, most members of the same genus share similar abilities for cellulose, xylan, and chitin deconstruction. Identified degrader lineages frequently display redundant enzymes from the same GH family and assumed to target the same substrate (Berlemont and Martiny [2015](#page-6-0); Berlemont [2017](#page-6-0)). However, the extensive biochemical characterization of the "CAZome" of isolated microbes highlighted subtle variations in the substrate specificity, enzymology, and regulation of these apparently redundant enzymes (e.g., Ravachol et al. [2014](#page-7-0)).

Detailed information about characterized GHs is centralized on the carbohydrate-active enzymes database (CAZy, <http://www.cazy.org>) (Lombard et al. [2014\)](#page-7-0). The CAZy is an essential resource for scientists studying the processing of carbohydrates. Amongst others, CAZy provides a framework for the sequence-based classification of GHs (and other carbohydrate-active enzymes; CAZymes), a listing of the characterized enzymes, and some taxonomic information. CAZy also lists and classifies the many identified yet uncharacterized GHs from sequenced genomes. Basically, the classification of CAZymes reflects their structural and/or sequence similarity (Henrissat and Davies [1997](#page-6-0)). According to CAZy most GHs families are polyspecific and target various substrates (Henrissat and Davies [1997](#page-6-0); Aspeborg et al. [2012\)](#page-6-0). For example, at least 20 distinct enzymatic activities are listed for members of the GH family 5, whereas monospecific families display narrow substrate specificity. Specificity can also be investigated at the protein level. The vast majority of characterized GHs are monospecific, whereas few proteins or catalytic domains, able to accommodate multiple substrates, are polyspecific (e.g., Berger et al. [1989\)](#page-6-0). Sometime, multi-domain proteins (e.g., Brunecky et al. [2013\)](#page-6-0) artificially inflate the polyspecificity of identified GH families on CAZy. For example, the unique xylanase in GH family 62 is a multidomain xylanase/arabinofuranosidase from Streptomyces chattanoogensis UAH23, whose xylanolytic activity likely results from a GH10 domain (acc. num. AAD32559.2) (Hernández et al. [2001\)](#page-6-0).

Although listing GHs, CAZy provides no analysis tools to annotate or analyze new sequences, the removal of the links to the Pfam and InterPro databases (in 2008) resulted in the development of alternative annotation systems for GHs and related enzymes (Park et al. [2010;](#page-7-0) Yin et al. [2012](#page-8-0); Berlemont and Martiny [2013](#page-6-0); Talamantes et al. [2016\)](#page-8-0). In this context, three important questions remain. First, can the polyspecificity of GH families associated with the deconstruction of abundant polysaccharides be estimated? Knowing the targeted substrates and the activities in each family will provide an easy way to connect specific sequences to environmental process (e.g., carbon cycling) (Treseder and Lennon [2015\)](#page-8-0). Next, what are the taxonomic distribution and the substrate specificity of characterized GHs? Identifying clustered distribution of enzymes with particular substrate specificity could highlight their recent evolution and provide a comprehensive framework to isolate new enzymes with specific activities (Aspeborg et al. [2012\)](#page-6-0). Finally, as the classification of CAZymes was first intended to be "more friendly to the needs" of bioinformatics^ (Henrissat and Davies [1997\)](#page-6-0), we asked the question: can the annotation of GHs for cellulose, xylan, and chitin be achieved using publicly accessible tools? The rapid and reliable annotation of GHs in the growing number of sequenced genomes and microbiomes is essential because GH enzymes support key functions in cells and ecosystems (Knight et al. [2012\)](#page-7-0). In order to answer these questions, we reviewed the functional and taxonomic distribution of characterized enzymes listed on the CAZy database, as of summer 2017. Sequences from characterized proteins from the GH families of interest were analyzed using Pfam-scan against the entire PFam A database (Eddy [2011;](#page-6-0) Punta et al. [2012;](#page-7-0) Finn et al. [2014\)](#page-6-0).

Cellulases

The enzymatic deconstruction of cellulose requires the interaction between some endo-acting GHs (i.e., endocellulase EC.3.2.1.4) and some exo-acting GHs (i.e., exocellulase, EC.3.2.1.91/176) (Wilson [2011](#page-8-0)). These activities release cellooligosaccharides and cellobiose that are further degraded to glucose by β-glucosidases (EC3.2.1.21, not discussed here). Besides these enzymes, 4-β-D-glucan glucohydrolases (EC.3.2.1.74) are exocellulases active on cellulose and releasing glucose directly. Both endo- and exocellulases are listed in the GH families 5, 6, 7, 9, and 48. In addition, according to CAZy, endocellulases have also been identified in GH families 8, 10, 12, 26, 44, 45, 51, 74, and 124 (Table [1](#page-2-0), S1).

Most characterized cellulolytic enzymes are from the polyspecific GH family 5 ($n = 547$ listed proteins) (Aspeborg et al. [2012](#page-6-0)). Respectively, 61 and 1% of the characterized

Table 1 Distribution of substrate specificity and activities of characterized enzymes from GH families associated with the deconstruction of cellulose, xylan, and chitin according to the CAZy database (in August 2017)

GH family (listed proteins) (# unique Polyspecificity-activity (%) sequences retrieved from CAZYdb)		PFam annotation
GH5 (537)	Cellulose EC.3.2.1.4 (61%); mannan EC.3.2.1.78 (20%); lichenan EC.3.2.1.73 (2%); xylan EC.3.2.1.8 (1.6%); xyloglucan EC.3.2.1.151 (1%); <i>unspecified EC.3.2.1.- (8%)</i>	PF00150 (98.7%)
GH ₆ (65)	Cellulose EC.3.2.1.91 (64%); cellulose EC.3.2.1.4 (37%); cellulose EC.2.4.1.12 (1.5%); unspecified $EC.3.2.1 - (3%)$	PF01341 (100%)
GH7 (84)	Cellulose EC.3.2.1.176 (69%); cellulose EC.3.2.1.4 (32%)	PF00840 (98.7%)
GH8 (74)	Cellulose EC.3.2.1.4 (49%); chitosan EC.3.2.1.132 (31%); xylan EC.3.2.1.8 (11%); xylan EC.3.2.1.156 (5%); <i>unspecified EC.3.2.1.- (5%)</i>	PF01270 (100%)
GH9 (170)	Cellulose EC.3.2.1.4 (86%); lichenan EC.3.2.1.73 (3%); cellulose EC.3.2.191 (1.7%); unspecified $EC.3.2.1.$ - (7%)	PF00759 (100%)
GH10 (350)	Xylan EC.3.2.1.8 (96%); xylan EC.2.4.2- (0.5%); <i>unspecified EC.3.2.1.- (3.4%)</i>	PF00331 (96.7%)
GH11 (271)	Xylan EC.3.2.1.8 (100%); xylan EC.3.2.1.32 (0.3%)	PF00457 (95.8%)
GH12 (68)	Cellulose EC.3.2.1.4 (76%); xyloglucan EC.3.2.1.151 (19%); lichenan EC.3.2.1.73 (3%); PF01670 (100%) xylan EC.2.4.1.2 (1.5)	
GH18 (477)	Chitin EC.3.2.1.14 (91%); chitin EC.3.2.1.96 (4%); <i>unspecified EC.3.2.1.- (5%)</i>	PF00704 (93%)
GH19 (176)	Chitin EC.3.2.1.14 (98%); peptidoglycan EC.3.2.1.17 (1.7%)	PF00182 (99%)
GH30 (38)	Xylan EC.3.2.1.8 (37%); β-1,6-glucan EC.3.2.1.75 (18%); xylan EC.3.2.1.37 (11%);	PF17189 (79%)
GH44 (16)	Cellulose EC.3.2.1.4 (69%); cellulose EC.3.2.1.151 (37%); <i>unspecified EC.3.2.1.</i> - (6%)	PF12891 (100%)
GH45 (53)	Cellulose EC.3.2.1.4 (100%)	PF02015 (83.02%)
GH48 (19)	Cellulose EC.3.2.1.176 (58%); cellulose EC.3.2.1.4 (16%); chitin EC.3.2.1.14 (5%); unspecified EC.3.2.1.- $(26%)$	PF02011 (100%)
GH85 (11)	Chitin EC.3.2.1.96 (100%)	PF03644 (100%)

GH5s act as endocellulases (EC.3.2.1.4) or exocellulases (EC.3.2.1.74/91). Non-cellulolytic GH5s target other plant cell wall polysaccharides such as 109 endo-β-1,4-mannosidases (EC.3.2.1.78), few licheninases (EC.3.2.1.73, 2%) and some xylanases (EC.3.2.1.8, 1.6%), and some xyloglucanases (EC.3.2.1.151, 1%). Besides these well-characterized enzymes, 46 of the listed GH5s have unspecified substrates (i.e., EC.3.2.1.-). Interestingly, 19 cellulolytic GH5s are polyspecific enzymes. Some of these proteins are multi-activity GHs (Talamantes et al. [2016\)](#page-8-0), such as a GH5-CBM3-CBM3-GH44 protein from Caldicellulosiruptor saccharolyticus (acc. num. AAA71887.1) (Gibbs et al. [1992\)](#page-6-0) and the GH5-GH26 protein from an uncultured bacterium (acc. num. ABB46200.1) (Palackal et al. [2007](#page-7-0)). Conversely, some enzymes with only one catalytic domain, such as the GH5-CBM2 protein identified in Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens H17c (acc. num. CAA35574.1) (Berger et al. [1989](#page-6-0)), also target multiple substrates, sometime marginally, and are thus considered polyspecific enzymes. Similarly, although most GH5 endo-β-1,4-mannosidases target mannans only, few enzymes such as cel5B mannanase from Thermotoga maritima MSB8 target multiple substrates (acc. num. AAD36817.1) (Nelson et al. [1999](#page-7-0)). The GH family 8 $(n = 74$ characterized enzymes) is also polyspecific (Table 1). Endocellulases ($n = 36$), chitosanases (EC.3.2.1.132, $n = 23$), and few xylanases (EC.3.2.1.8, $n = 12$) are listed. Few proteins from *Bacillus* $(n = 1)$, *Paenibacillus* $(n = 3)$, and *Lysobacter* $(n = 1)$ are polyspecific and target lichenan and cellulose or chitosan (e.g., Ogura et al. [2006\)](#page-7-0). Licheninase activity is never observed alone, however, suggesting that lichenan is not the primary target of characterized GH8s. The GH family 12 ($n =$ 68), also polyspecific, lists enzymes targeting cellulose or xyloglucan (EC.3.2.151) (Table 1). TrCel12A from Trichoderma reesei is the only polyspecific GH12 listed (EC.3.2.14/151, acc. num. AAE59774.1) (US patent #6187732).

Proteins in GH families 9, 44, and 45 are mostly monospecific endocellulases (> 95%) with only few characterized enzymes from each family targeting a different substrate (Table 1). Non-cellulolytic GH9s target other plant polysaccharides (e.g., Cel9X xyloglucanase from Clostridium cellulolyticum H10, acc. num. ACL76949.1 (Ravachol et al. [2014\)](#page-7-0)) and oligosaccharides (e.g., exo-β-D-glucosaminidase from Photobacterium profundum SS9, acc. num. CAG18943.1 (Honda et al. [2011](#page-7-0))). Similarly, GH families 6, 7, and 48 are mostly monospecific exocellulases (Table 1). Finally, few cellulolytic enzymes are listed in GH families 10, 26, 51, and 74. Amongst others, 3 GH5 endocellulases were associated with catalytic domains from GH family 10 (xylanase) in Caldicellulosiruptor (Talamantes et al. [2016](#page-8-0)) and an uncultured bacterium (Saul et al. [1989](#page-7-0)). Conversely, in GH26, amongst the 72 characterized proteins listed, all four cellulolytic enzymes are polyspecific, suggesting that cellulose is not the primary target of GH26 (von Freiesleben et al. [2016\)](#page-8-0). Finally, in GH families 51 and 74, only six and two

proteins are cellulases, out of 78 and 27 characterized proteins, respectively. Most characterized GH51 are α-Larabinofuranosidases (EC.3.2.1.55), whereas most GH74 are xyloglucanases (EC.3.21.151).

Few characterized GHs targeting cellulose have been identified in animals, including cellulases from termites (e.g., Coptotermes), crustaceans (e.g., Limnoria), and mollusks (e.g., Ampularia and Aplysia) (e.g., Byrne et al. [1999](#page-6-0); Guo et al. [2008](#page-6-0); King et al. [2010\)](#page-7-0). Most of these enzymes are likely involved in digestive functions (Watanabe and Tokuda [2010](#page-8-0)), whereas GH5 and GH9 cellulases from plants (e.g., Arabidopsis, Nicotiana) are likely involved in plant cell wall synthesis and remodeling (Vain et al. [2014](#page-8-0)). Few hydrolytic cellulases from archaea (e.g., GH5 and 12 from Crenarchaeota) (Huang et al. [2005](#page-7-0); Graham et al. [2011](#page-6-0)) and algae (e.g., GH9 from Eisenia fetida, acc. num. BAM14716.1) have been characterized. However, besides these enzymes, the overwhelming majority of characterized cellulases are from bacteria or fungi. Characterized GH5, 6, and 12 from fungi account for 28, 34, and 36% of the characterized proteins, whereas bacterial enzymes account for 53, 62, and 55%, respectively (excluding the enzymes of unknown origin). Next, 86% of characterized GH7s derived from fungi (mostly from Ascomycota), whereas some are from termites (e.g., Coptotermes) and their symbiotic protozoa Holomastigotoides. Similarly, in GH family 45, besides two bacterial enzymes from Cellvibrio (acc. num. ACE82688.1) and Fibrobacter (acc. num. ACX75523.1), 49% of characterized enzymes are derived from fungi (mostly from *Ascomycota* and *Mucoromycota* and few from Basidiomycota and Neocallimastigomycota) and 47% from animals (mostly arthropods and mollusks). The systematic investigation of sequenced bacterial and fungal genomes supports the skewed distribution of characterized GH7 and 45 in fungi (Berlemont and Martiny [2013;](#page-6-0) Berlemont [2017\)](#page-6-0). Thus, due to their abundance in sequenced genomes, identifying sequences for GH7 can be used to estimate the contribution of fungi to plant cell wall deconstruction in the environment (Berlemont et al. [2014](#page-6-0); Treseder and Lennon [2015\)](#page-8-0). Conversely, no characterized enzymes from GH families 8, 9, and 44 are from fungi. More precisely, 49 and 35% of the characterized GH8s are from Firmicutes and Proteobacteria, respectively but few are from Fibrobacters and Actinobacteria. In GH9 family, 19, 20, and 48% of characterized enzymes derive from plants, animals, and bacteria (mostly Firmicutes and Proteobacteria), respectively. Similarly, most characterized GH44s and GH48s are from bacteria (mostly Firmicutes), whereas one GH44 is from the mollusk *Bankia gouldi* (acc. num. CAH68691.1) and one GH48 is from the insect Gastrophysa atrocyanea (acc. num. BAE94320.1). However, despite a skewed distribution in characterized enzymes, identified sequences for GH9 and 44 are abundant in bacteria and fungi (Berlemont [2017\)](#page-6-0). Thus, amongst the cellulase families, only GH8 and GH48 can be predominantly associated with bacterial lineages. However, unlike GH7, many GH8s are not

hydrolytic cellulases. Indeed, many GH8s are chitosanases in Firmicutes or non-cellulolytic cellulases associated with the bacterial cellulose synthesis operon in Proteobacteria (Berlemont and Martiny [2013](#page-6-0); Römling and Galperin [2015](#page-7-0)), whereas GH48s are relatively rare in sequenced genomes.

Xylanases

Xylan, abundant in hemicellulose from plant cell wall, consists of a linear backbone made of β-1,3/4 linked β-D-xylose "decorated" with various side groups such as acetyl-groups in O-2 and O-3 positions. Larger groups can substitute the xylan backbone (e.g., arabinofuranosyl and 4-O-methyl glucuronyl) (Grantham et al. [2017](#page-6-0)). The enzymatic deconstruction of xylan requires first the removal of the side chains and then the deconstruction of the xylan backbone (Dodd and Cann [2009](#page-6-0)). Carbohydrate Esterases (CE) are carbohydrate-active enzymes involved in the removal of the side chains from the substituted xylose units (Dodd et al. [2009](#page-6-0)), whereas specific GHs, called xylanases, are involved in the xylan backbone hydrolysis (Kulkarni et al. [1999;](#page-7-0) Dodd and Cann [2009\)](#page-6-0). The removal of cumbersome side chains by CEs expose the xylan backbone to xylanases and improve the overall deconstruction process (Vardakou et al. [2008\)](#page-8-0). Amongst others, acetyl xylan esterases (EC.3.1.1.72) are found in CE families 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 12, and 16, whereas feruloyl esterases (EC 3.1.1.73) are found in CE family 1 (Lombard et al. [2014\)](#page-7-0). CE and endo-1,4-β-xylanase domains are frequently identified in multi-domain proteins (e.g., Xyn10D-Fae1A, acc. num. ACN78954.1 (Dodd et al. [2009](#page-6-0))).

Xylanases/endo-β-1,4-xylanases (EC.3.2.1.8) are endoacting GHs targeting the backbone of xylan from plants and seaweed whereas endo-β-1,3-xylanases (EC.3.2.1.32) target β-1,3-linked xylose in seaweed (Konishi et al. [2012](#page-7-0)). Xylanases release xylobiose that is further degraded by βxylosidases (EC.3.2.1.37, not discussed here). According to CAZy, endo-β-1,4-xylanases are found in GH families 3, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 16, 26, 30, 43, 44, 51, 62, 98, and 141, whereas endo-β-1,3-xylanases are found in GH families 11 and 26 only. The vast majority of characterized xylanases are from GH families 10 and 11 (Lombard et al. [2014\)](#page-7-0). In GH10 ($n =$ 350 listed proteins) and GH11 ($n = 271$), 96.8 and 99.6% of the characterized enzymes are monospecific endo-1,4-βxylanases, respectively, whereas few characterized endo-1,3-β-xylanases are found in GH11 (0.01%). In GH10, the few non-xylanolytic enzymes are two endocellulases (EC.3.2.1.4) and eight enzymes with unspecified substrate (EC.3.2.1.-). Most characterized xylanases have one catalytic domain such as Xyn10A (acc. num. AGA16736.1) (Bai et al. [2012\)](#page-6-0); however, some proteins consist of multi-domain and multi-activity enzymes such as a Xyn10D-Fae1A (i.e., GH10- CE1, acc. num. ACN78954.1) from Prevotella ruminicola 23 (Dodd et al. [2009\)](#page-6-0).

Although polyspecific, the GH family 30 $(n = 38$ listed proteins) is dominated by endo-1,4-β-xylanases ($n = 17$). In this family, other activities include glucuronoarabinoxylan endo-1,4-β-xylanases (EC.3.2.1.136, $n = 8$) and βxylosidases (EC.3.2.1.37, $n = 5$) also involved in xylan deconstruction. GH30 also contains a few non-xylanolytic activities such as β-glucosidase (EC.3.2.1.21, $n = 2$), β-1,6-glucanase (EC.3.2.1.75, n = 7), endo-β-1,6-galactanase (EC.3.2.1.164, $n = 3$), and some unspecified activity (EC 3.2.1.-) $(n = 3)$.

Besides GH10, 11, and 30, a few xylanolytic enzymes were identified in cellulolytic GH families (see "[Cellulases](#page-1-0)" section) and in some polyspecific families including GH26, 43, 51, 62, and 98, according to CAZy (Lombard et al. [2014\)](#page-7-0). More precisely, only six characterized GH26 are bacterial β-1,3 xylanases (EC.3.2.1.32), whereas most GH26s target mannans (e.g., 74% β-mannanases (EC.3.2.1.78), 8% exo-β-1,4 mannobiohydrolase (EC.3.2.1.100)). Similarly, although most of the 151 listed GH43s target arabinans (e.g., 37% α -Larabinofuranosidases (EC.3.2.1.55), 22% arabinanase (EC.3.2.1.99)) or xylobiose (EC.3.2.1.37, 34%), six proteins with domain from GH family 43 are xylanolytic. Most of these xylanases are bifunctional multi-domain proteins with two catalytic domains (e.g., β-1,4-xylanase/α-L-arabinosidase (XynA) from Caldicellulosiruptor sp. Tok7B.1, acc. num. AAD30363.1) (Gibbs et al. [2000](#page-6-0)). Similarly, the unique "xylanase" from GH family 62 is a multi-domain xylanase/ arabinofuranosidase from Streptomyces chattanoogensis UAH23 (acc. num. AAD32559.2)(Hernández et al. [2001](#page-6-0)). Finally, the only characterized GH51, out of 78 listed proteins, endowed with xylanolytic activity is a distantly related single polyspecific catalytic domain targeting cellulose and xylan from Alicyclobacillus acidocaldarius subsp. acidocaldarius DSM 446 (acc. num. ACV57112.1) (Mavromatis et al. [2010](#page-7-0)).

Investigating the taxonomic origin of characterized proteins from GH family 10 and 11 supports their broad distribution in sequenced bacterial and fungal genomes (Berlemont and Martiny [2015;](#page-6-0) Berlemont [2017\)](#page-6-0). In addition, two GH10 xylanases are from plants (e.g., maize xylanase) (Wu et al. [2002\)](#page-8-0), and one GH11 is from the insect Phaedon cochleariae (acc. num. AGK45632.1) (Pauchet and Heckel [2013](#page-7-0)). Finally, in GH family 30, all the xylanases, except one from the nematode Meloidogyne incognita (acc. num. AAF37276.1) (Mitreva-Dautova et al. [2006](#page-7-0)) and one exo-xylanase from T. reesei RutC30 (acc. num. AAP64786.1) (US patent #6555335), are from bacteria. However, not all the bacterial GH30s are xylanases, and most non-xylanolytic GH30s target hemicellulose (e.g., glucuronoarabinoxylan-specific endo-β-1,4-xylanases (EC.3.2.1.136) and endo-β-1,6-galactanase (EC.3.2.1.164)). Most characterized eukaryotic GH30s are fungal enzymes also targeting hemicellulose (e.g., endo-β-1,6-galactanase (EC.3.2.1.164) from Trichoderma viride (acc. num. BAC84995.1) (Kotake et al. [2004](#page-7-0))).

Chitinases

Chitin is a linear polysaccharide made of β-1,4 linked Nacetylglucosamine produced by fungi and arthropods. The enzymatic deconstruction of chitin requires chitinases. These GHs release the disaccharide chitobiose that is further processed by β-N-acetylhexosaminidase (EC.3.2.1.52). Chitin can also be deacetylated by specific chitin deacetylases (EC.3.5.1.41) to produce chitosan (not discussed here). Chitinolytic enzymes are endochitinases (EC.3.2.1.14) (Lombard et al. [2014\)](#page-7-0) or exochitinases acting on either the reducing (EC.3.2.1.201) or the non-reducing end of chitin (EC.3.2.1.200) (e.g., Wang et al. [2001](#page-8-0)). Although there is no mention of exo-chitinase on CAZy, many chitinolytic enzymes are listed in the mostly monospecific GH families 18 and 19. In addition, few chitinases are listed in GH families 23 and 48.

More precisely in GH18, amongst 477 listed proteins, 91% display endochitinase activity, whereas three proteins are lysozymes targeting bacterial peptidoglycan (EC.3.2.1.17), 21 are endo-β-N-acetylglucosaminidases (EC.3.2.1.96) possibly involved in the deconstruction of chito-oligosaccharides (Gooday [1990;](#page-6-0) Jhaveri et al. [2015\)](#page-7-0) and 25 target unspecified substrates (EC.3.2.1.-). Although most enzymes are monospecific, three polyspecific chitinases-lysozymes from Bacillus cf. pumilus SG2 (acc. num. ABI15082.1), Hevea brasiliensis (acc. num. CAA07608.1), and Nicotinia tabacum (acc. num. CAA55128.1) are identified (e.g., Bokma et al. [2000](#page-6-0)). Next, 172 out of 176 proteins from GH family 19 are monospecific endochitinases, three are lysozymes, and one targets an unspecified substrate (acc. num. BAE86996.1). Finally, GH families 23 and 48, described mostly as lysozyme (type G) (Wohlkönig et al. [2010\)](#page-8-0) and exocellulase families, respectively, contain one chitinolytic enzyme each. Interestingly, the GH48 chitinase from the beetle *G. atrocyanea* is the only characterized member of the GH family 48 derived from an eukaryote (acc. num. BAE94320.1) (Fujita et al. [2006\)](#page-6-0).

When excluding the enzymes of unknown origin, 51% of characterized GH18 are derived from eukaryotes. More precisely, 20.4, 11.9, 8.4, and 4.5% are from Ascomycota, plants, arthropods, and chordates. Conversely, 46% of characterized GH18 are bacterial enzymes: 20.7, 16.2, 7.4, and 1.7% derive from Proteobaceria, Actinobacteria, Firmicutes, and Bacteroidetes, respectively. Finally 2.4% derive from Euryarchaeota. Most characterized GH19 are derived from plants (88.8%), whereas few originate from bacteria (mostly Acinobacteria and some Proteobacteria) and none from fungi. The taxonomic origin of characterized chitinases from GH18 and GH19 reflects the broad distribution of identified chitinases in sequenced genomes (Suzuki et al. [2001;](#page-7-0) Kawase et al. [2006;](#page-7-0) Bussink et al. [2007](#page-6-0); Berlemont [2017](#page-6-0)). The skewed distribution of GH19 provides some rationale to link the

identification of GH19 in sequenced microbiomes to the environmental deconstruction of chitin by bacteria.

Glycoside hydrolase identification

The identification of GHs is a prerequisite for understanding the polysaccharide deconstruction by isolated (Youssef et al. [2013\)](#page-8-0) and complex communities of microorganisms (Hess et al. [2011\)](#page-6-0) and for the identification of new enzymes for biotechnological applications (Brunecky et al. [2013](#page-6-0)). As the CAZy database provides no tool for sequence annotation and is not designed to ease the extraction and the analysis of information at large, we created a custom bioinformatic program to link (i) the functional annotation as listed on CAZy, (ii) the sequences retrieved from the NCBI database, and (iii)—if possible—the taxonomic information for all characterized proteins from GH families involved in the deconstruction of cellulose, xylan, and chitin (Supplementary data). Next, sequences were analyzed using HMMscan (Eddy [2011](#page-6-0)) against the Pfam A database (Finn et al. [2014\)](#page-6-0) as described by Talamantes and referred to as the Pfam-based annotation here after (Talamantes et al. [2016\)](#page-8-0).

We focused on GH families predominantly involved in cellulose, xylan, and chitin deconstruction. The Pfam-based annotation of characterized proteins (Table [1](#page-2-0)) correctly identified 2326 proteins out of 2409 tested sequences (96.6%). More precisely, HMMscan identified 514 PF00150-cellulase domains out 537 sequences retrieved from the GH family 5 (96%). Similarly, more than 90% of the domains from analyzed GH families were categorized as expected with the exception of proteins from GH families 30 and 45. Noteworthy, a detailed analysis of sequences from GH family 30 highlighted its polyspecificity and its structural complexity (St John et al. [2010](#page-7-0)). Eventually this resulted in the creation of up to eight subfamilies and all the xylanolytic GH30s fall into the subfamily GH30_8. In GH family 45, nine of the 55 characterized proteins were miss-annotated. However, these cellulases are derived from invertebrates (e.g., *Aplysia*, acc. num. BAP19116.1) and are known to be distantly related to the other GH45s (Rahman et al. [2014](#page-7-0)). Finally, the identification of GH families marginally associated with cellulose, xylan, and chitin deconstruction was also supported by Pfam-based annotation (Table S1).

Future directions

The vast majority of sequences from the GH families involved in cellulose, xylan, and chitin deconstruction can be identified using Pfam-based annotation, a documented and publicly accessible system (Eddy [2011](#page-6-0); Finn et al. [2014](#page-6-0)). Although similar annotation systems exist (e.g., Yin et al. [2012\)](#page-8-0), using the Pfam A database allows for the identification of accessory domains not listed in the CAZy database (Talamantes et al. [2016\)](#page-8-0). However, some recently created GH families containing a reduced number of sequences (e.g., six identified and one characterized sequences in GH124, acc. num. ABN51673.1) cannot yet be identified using this approach.

The conserved substrate specificity in monospecific GH families provides a way to link the occurrence of specific GH domains to environmental processes (Stursová et al. [2012](#page-7-0); Berlemont and Martiny [2016\)](#page-6-0). Moreover, based on the skewed taxomonic distribution of domains from GH families, the studied processes can be attributed to specific lineages (Berlemont et al. [2014;](#page-6-0) Treseder and Lennon [2015;](#page-8-0) Lladó et al. [2017\)](#page-7-0). In polyspecific families (GH5, 8, and 30), the predictive power is reduced. However, characterized GH5s target mostly cellulose or hemicellulosic substrates, GH8 target mostly cellulose or chitosan (i.e., deacetylated chitin), and GH30 target mostly xylan or hemicellulosic substrates. In these polyspecific families, the substrate specificity cannot be identified using the HMM-based annotation. Detailed characterization of these families eventually led to the creation of monospecific GH subfamilies (St John et al. [2010;](#page-7-0) Aspeborg et al. [2012\)](#page-6-0). In the future, the growing number of sequences in poorly represented GH families (e.g., GH124) and in each subfamilies (e.g., GH30_8) will allow the creation of specific HMM profile to further identify proteins from these groups. In the meantime, the compiled sequence dataset (Supplementary data) will be more friendly to the needs of bioinformatics. This dataset can be used for the creation of a custom database to be used with the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) in order to perform detailed sequence comparison, identify close relatives, and help predict the mode of action or the substrate specificity of proteins from polyspecific GH families.

Acknowledgements We thank all investigators who contributed to the characterization of listed sequences. We thank M.B. Harris for comments on earlier versions of the manuscript.

Funding This work was supported by the CSU Program for Research and Education in Biotechnology (CSUPERB) under award number GF00631142 by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under Award number 8UL1GM118979- 02. The content is solely the responsibility of the author and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

References

- Artzi L, Bayer EA, Moraïs S (2016) Cellulosomes: bacterial nanomachines for dismantling plant polysaccharides. Nat Rev Microbiol 15(2):83–95. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2016.164>
- Aspeborg H, Coutinho PM, Wang Y, Brumer H, Henrissat B (2012) Evolution, substrate specificity and subfamily classification of glycoside hydrolase family 5 (GH5). BMC Evol Biol 12(1):186. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-12-186) doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-12-186
- Bai W, Xue Y, Zhou C, Ma Y (2012) Cloning, expression and characterization of a novel salt-tolerant xylanase from Bacillus sp. SN5. Biotechnol Lett 34(11):2093–2099. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-012-1011-7) [s10529-012-1011-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-012-1011-7)
- Bailey VL, Fansler SJ, Stegen JC, McCue LA (2013) Linking microbial community structure to β-glucosidic function in soil aggregates. ISME J 7(10):2044–2053. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2013.87>
- Berger E, Jones WA, Jones DT, Woods DR (1989) Cloning and sequencing of an endoglucanase (end1) gene from Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens H17c. Mol Gen Genet 219(1-2):193–198
- Berlemont R (2017) Distribution and diversity of enzymes for polysaccharide degradation in fungi. Sci Rep 7(1):222. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-00258-w) [1038/s41598-017-00258-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-00258-w)
- Berlemont R, Martiny AC (2013) Phylogenetic distribution of potential cellulases in bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol 79(5):1545–1554. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03305-12>
- Berlemont R, Martiny AC (2015) Genomic potential for polysaccharides deconstruction in bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol 81(4):1513– 1519. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03718-14>
- Berlemont R, Martiny AC (2016) Glycoside hydrolases across environmental microbial communities. PLoS Comput Biol 12(12): e1005300. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005300>
- Berlemont R, Allison SD, Weihe C, Lu Y, Brodie EL, Martiny JBH, Martiny AC (2014) Cellulolytic potential under environmental changes in microbial communities from grassland litter. Front Microbiol 5:639. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00639>
- Bokma E, Barends T, Terwissch van Scheltingab AC, Dijkstr BW, Beintema JJ (2000) Enzyme kinetics of hevamine, a chitinase from the rubber tree Hevea brasiliensis. FEBS Lett 478(1-2):119–122. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-5793\(00\)01833-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-5793(00)01833-0)
- Brunecky R, Alahuhta M, Xu Q, Donohoe BS, Crowley MF, Kataeva IA, Yang S-J, Resch MG, Adams MWW, Lunin VV, Himmel ME, Bomble YJ (2013) Revealing nature's cellulase diversity: the digestion mechanism of Caldicellulosiruptor bescii CelA. Science 342(6165):1513–1516. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1244273>
- Bussink AP, Speijer D, Aerts JMFG, Boot RG (2007) Evolution of mammalian chitinase(-like) members of family 18 glycosyl hydrolases. Genetics 177(2):959–970. [https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.107.](https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.107.075846) [075846](https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.107.075846)
- Byrne KA, Lehnert SA, Johnson SE, Moore SS (1999) Isolation of a cDNA encoding a putative cellulase in the red claw crayfish Cherax quadricarinatus. Gene 239(2):317–324. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1119(99)00396-0) [1016/S0378-1119\(99\)00396-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1119(99)00396-0)
- Din N, Gilkes NR, Tekant B, Miller RC, Warren RAJ, Kilburn DG (1991) Non-hydrolytic disruption of cellulose fibres by the binding domain of a bacterial cellulase. Bio/Technology 9(11):1096–1099. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1191-1096) doi.org/10.1038/nbt1191-1096
- Dodd D, Cann IKO (2009) Enzymatic deconstruction of xylan for biofuel production. Glob Change Biol Bioenergy 1(1):2–17. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1757-1707.2009.01004.x) [10.1111/j.1757-1707.2009.01004.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1757-1707.2009.01004.x)
- Dodd D, Kocherginskaya SA, Spies MA, Beery KE, Abbas CA, Mackie RI, Cann IKO (2009) Biochemical analysis of a β-D-xylosidase and a bifunctional xylanase-ferulic acid esterase from a xylanolytic gene cluster in Prevotella ruminicola 23. J Bacteriol 191(10):3328–3338. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01628-08>
- Eddy SR (2011) Accelerated profile HMM searches. PLoS Comput Biol 7(10):e1002195. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002195>
- Finn RD, Bateman A, Clements J, Coggill P, Eberhardt RY, Eddy SR, Heger A, Hetherington K, Holm L, Mistry J, Sonnhammer ELL, Tate J, Punta M (2014) Pfam: the protein families database. Nucleic Acids Res 42(D1):D222–D230. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1223) [nar/gkt1223](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1223)
- Fujita K, Shimomura K, Yamamoto K, Yamashita T, Suzuki K (2006) A chitinase structurally related to the glycoside hydrolase family 48 is indispensable for the hormonally induced diapause termination in a beetle. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 345(1):502–507. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2006.04.126) doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2006.04.126
- Gefen G, Anbar M, Morag E, Lamed R, Bayer EA (2012) Enhanced cellulose degradation by targeted integration of a cohesin-fused βglucosidase into the Clostridium thermocellum cellulosome. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109(26):10298–10303. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1202747109) [1073/pnas.1202747109](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1202747109)
- Gibbs MD, Saul DJ, Lüthi E, Bergquist PL (1992) The beta-mannanase from Caldocellum saccharolyticum is part of a multidomain enzyme. Appl Environ Microbiol 58(12):3864–3867
- Gibbs MD, Reeves RA, Farrington GK, Anderson P, Williams DP, Bergquist PL (2000) Multidomain and multifunctional glycosyl hydrolases from the extreme thermophile Caldicellulosiruptor isolate Tok7B.1. Curr Microbiol 40(5):333–340. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002849910066) [s002849910066](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002849910066)
- Gooday GW (1990) Physiology of microbial degradation of chitin and chitosan. Biodegradation 1(2-3):177–190. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00058835) [BF00058835](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00058835)
- Graham JE, Clark ME, Nadler DC, Huffer S, Chokhawala HA, Rowland SE, Blanch HW, Clark DS, Robb FT (2011) Identification and characterization of a multidomain hyperthermophilic cellulase from an archaeal enrichment. Nat Commun 2:375. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1373) [ncomms1373](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1373)
- Grantham NJ, Wurman-Rodrich J, Terrett OM, Lyczakowski JJ, Stott K, Iuga D, Simmons TJ, Durand-Tardif M, Brown SP, Dupree R, Busse-Wicher M, Dupree P (2017) An even pattern of xylan substitution is critical for interaction with cellulose in plant cell walls. Nat Plants 3(11):859–865. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-017-0030-8>
- Guo R, Ding M, Zhang S-L, Xu G, Zhao F (2008) Molecular cloning and characterization of two novel cellulase genes from the mollusc Ampullaria crossean. J Comp Physiol B 178(2):209–215. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00360-007-0214-z) doi.org/10.1007/s00360-007-0214-z
- Haitjema CH, Gilmore SP, Henske JK, Solomon KV, de Groot R, Kuo A, Mondo SJ, Salamov AA, LaButti K, Zhao Z, Chiniquy J, Barry K, Brewer HM, Purvine SO, Wright AT, Hainaut M, Boxma B, van Alen T, Hackstein JHP, Henrissat B, Baker SE, Grigoriev IV, O'Malley MA (2017) A parts list for fungal cellulosomes revealed by comparative genomics. Nat Microbiol 2:17087. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2017.87) [10.1038/nmicrobiol.2017.87](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2017.87)
- Henrissat B, Davies G (1997) Structural and sequence-based classification of glycoside hydrolases. Curr Opin Struct Biol 7(5):637–644. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-440X\(97\)80072-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-440X(97)80072-3)
- Hernández A, Copa-Patiño JL, Soliveri J (2001) xln23 from Streptomyces chattanoogensis UAH23 encodes a putative enzyme with separate xylanase and arabinofuranosidase catalytic domains. DNA Seq 12(3):167–177. <https://doi.org/10.3109/10425170109080771>
- Hervé C, Rogowski A, Blake AW, Marcus SE, Gilbert HJ, Knox JP, Herve C, Rogowski A, Blake AW, Marcus SE, Gilbert HJ, Knox JP (2010) Carbohydrate-binding modules promote the enzymatic deconstruction of intact plant cell walls by targeting and proximity effects. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107(34):15293–15298. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1005732107) doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1005732107
- Hess M, Sczyrba A, Egan R, Kim T-W, Chokhawala H, Schroth G, Luo S, Clark DS, Chen F, Zhang T, Mackie RI, L a P, Tringe SG, Visel A, Woyke T, Wang Z, Rubin EM (2011) Metagenomic discovery of

biomass-degrading genes and genomes from cow rumen. Science 331(6016):463–467. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1200387>

- Honda Y, Shimaya N, Ishisaki K, Ebihara M, Taniguchi H (2011) Elucidation of exo-β-d-glucosaminidase activity of a family 9 glycoside hydrolase (PBPRA0520) from Photobacterium profundum SS9. Glycobiology 21(4):503–511. [https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/](https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwq191) [cwq191](https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwq191)
- Huang Y, Krauss G, Cottaz S, Driguez H, Lipps G (2005) A highly acidstable and thermostable endo-β-glucanase from the thermoacidophilic archaeon Sulfolobus solfataricus. Biochem J 385(2):581–588. <https://doi.org/10.1042/BJ20041388>
- Jhaveri P, Papastamatiou YP, German DP (2015) Digestive enzyme activities in the guts of bonnethead sharks (Sphyrna tiburo) provide insight into their digestive strategy and evidence for microbial digestion in their hindguts. Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol 189:76–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2015.07.013>
- Kawase T, Yokokwa S, Saito A, Fujii T, Nikaidou N, Miyashita K, Watanabe T (2006) Comparison of enzymatic and antifungal properties between family 18 and 19 chitinases from S. coelicolor A3(2). Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 70(4):988–998. [https://doi.org/10.1271/](https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.70.988) [bbb.70.988](https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.70.988)
- King AJ, Cragg SM, Li Y, Dymond J, Guille MJ, Bowles DJ, Bruce NC, Graham IA, McQueen-Mason SJ (2010) Molecular insight into lignocellulose digestion by a marine isopod in the absence of gut microbes. Proc Natl Acad Sci 107(12):5345–5350. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0914228107) [1073/pnas.0914228107](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0914228107)
- Knight R, Jansson J, Field D, Fierer N, Desai N, Fuhrman JA, Hugenholtz P, van der Lelie D, Meyer F, Stevens R, Bailey MJ, Gordon JI, Kowalchuk GA, Gilbert JA (2012) Unlocking the potential of metagenomics through replicated experimental design. Nat Biotechnol 30(6):513–520. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2235>
- Konishi T, Nakata I, Miyagi Y, Tako M (2012) Extraction of β-1,3 xylan from green seaweed, Caulerpa lentillifera. J Appl Glycosci 59(4): 161–163. https://doi.org/10.5458/jag.jag.JAG-2011_025
- Kotake T, Kaneko S, Kubomoto A, Haque MA, Kobayashi H, Tsumuraya Y (2004) Molecular cloning and expression in Escherichia coli of a Trichoderma viride endo-beta-(1-6)-galactanase gene. Biochem J 377(3):749–755. <https://doi.org/10.1042/BJ20031145>
- Kulkarni N, Shendye A, Rao M (1999) Molecular and biotechnological aspects of xylanases. FEMS Microbiol Rev 23:411–456
- Lladó S, López-Mondéjar R, Baldrian P (2017) Forest soil bacteria: diversity, involvement in ecosystem processes, and response to global change. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 81(2):e00063–e00016. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00063-16) [org/10.1128/MMBR.00063-16](https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00063-16)
- Lombard V, Golaconda Ramulu H, Drula E, Coutinho PM, Henrissat B (2014) The carbohydrate-active enzymes database (CAZy) in 2013. Nucleic Acids Res 42(D1):D490–D495. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1178) [nar/gkt1178](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1178)
- Mavromatis K, Sikorski J, Lapidus A, Glavina Del Rio T, Copeland A, Tice H, Cheng J-F, Lucas S, Chen F, Nolan M, Bruce D, Goodwin L, Pitluck S, Ivanova N, Ovchinnikova G, Pati A, Chen A, Palaniappan K, Land M, Hauser L, Chang Y-J, Jeffries CD, Chain P, Meincke L, Sims D, Chertkov O, Han C, Brettin T, Detter JC, Wahrenburg C, Rohde M, Pukall R, Göker M, Bristow J, Eisen JA, Markowitz V, Hugenholtz P, Klenk H-P, Kyrpides NC (2010) Complete genome sequence of Alicyclobacillus acidocaldarius type strain (104-IAT). Stand Genomic Sci 2(1):9–18. <https://doi.org/10.4056/sigs.591104>
- Medie FM, Davies GJ, Drancourt M, Henrissat B (2012) Genome analyses highlight the different biological roles of cellulases. Nat Rev Microbiol 10(3):227–234. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2729>
- Mitreva-Dautova M, Roze E, Overmars H, de Graaff L, Schots A, Helder J, Goverse A, Bakker J, Smant G (2006) A symbiont-independent endo-1,4-β-xylanase from the plant-parasitic nematode Meloidogyne incognita. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 19(5):521– 529. <https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-19-0521>
- Nelson KE, Clayton RA, Gill SR, Gwinn ML, Dodson RJ, Haft DH, Hickey EK, Peterson JD, Nelson WC, Ketchum KA, McDonald L, Utterback TR, Malek JA, Linher KD, Garrett MM, Stewart AM, Cotton MD, Pratt MS, Phillips CA, Richardson D, Heidelberg J, Sutton GG, Fleischmann RD, Eisen JA, White O, Salzberg SL, Smith HO, Venter JC, Fraser CM (1999) Evidence for lateral gene transfer between Archaea and bacteria from genome sequence of Thermotoga maritima. Nature 399(6734):323-329. <https://doi.org/10.1038/20601>
- Ogura J, Toyoda A, Kurosawa T, Chong AL, Chohnan S, Masaki T (2006) Purification, characterization, and gene analysis of cellulase (Cel8A) from Lysobacter sp. IB-9374. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 70(10):2420–2428. <https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.60157>
- Palackal N, Lyon CS, Zaidi S, Luginbühl P, Dupree P, Goubet F, Macomber JL, Short JM, Hazlewood GP, Robertson DE, Steer BA (2007) A multifunctional hybrid glycosyl hydrolase discovered in an uncultured microbial consortium from ruminant gut. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 74(1):113–124. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-006-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-006-0645-6) [0645-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-006-0645-6)
- Park BH, Karpinets TV, Syed MH, Leuze MR, Uberbacher EC (2010) CAZymes Analysis Toolkit (CAT): web service for searching and analyzing carbohydrate-active enzymes in a newly sequenced organism using CAZy database. Glycobiology 20(12):1574–1584. <https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwq106>
- Pauchet Y, Heckel DG (2013) The genome of the mustard leaf beetle encodes two active xylanases originally acquired from bacteria through horizontal gene transfer. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 280(1763):20131021–20131021. [https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.1021) [2013.1021](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.1021)
- Punta M, Coggill PC, Eberhardt RY, Mistry J, Tate J, Boursnell C, Pang N, Forslund K, Ceric G, Clements J, Heger A, Holm L, Sonnhammer ELL, Eddy SR, Bateman A, Finn RD (2012) The Pfam protein families database. Nucleic Acids Res 40(D1):D290– D301. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr1065>
- Rahman MM, Inoue A, Ojima T (2014) Characterization of a GHF45 cellulase, AkEG21, from the common sea hare Aplysia kurodai. Front Chem 2:60. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2014.00060>
- Ravachol J, Borne R, Tardif C, de Philip P, Fierobe H-P (2014) Characterization of all family-9 glycoside hydrolases synthesized by the cellulosome-producing bacterium Clostridium cellulolyticum. J Biol Chem 289(11):7335–7348. [https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.545046) [545046](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.545046)
- Rignall TR, Baker JO, McCarter SL, Adney WS, Vinzant TB, Decker SR, Himmel ME (2002) Effect of single active-site cleft mutation on product specificity in a thermostable bacterial cellulase. Appl Biochem Biotechnol 98–100(1-9):383–394. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1385/ABAB:98-100:1-9:383) [1385/ABAB:98-100:1-9:383](https://doi.org/10.1385/ABAB:98-100:1-9:383)
- Römling U, Galperin MY (2015) Bacterial cellulose biosynthesis: diversity of operons, subunits, products, and functions. Trends Microbiol 23(9):545–557. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2015.05.005>
- Saul DJ, Williams LC, Love DR, Chamley LW, Bergquist PL (1989) Nucleotide sequence of a gene from Caldocellum saccharolyticum encoding for exocellulase and endocellulase activity. Nucleic Acids Res 17(1):439. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/17.1.439>
- St John FJ, González JM, Pozharski E (2010) Consolidation of glycosyl hydrolase family 30: a dual domain 4/7 hydrolase family consisting of two structurally distinct groups. FEBS Lett 584(21):4435–4441. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2010.09.051>
- Stursová M, Zifčáková L, Leigh MB, Burgess R, Baldrian P (2012) Cellulose utilization in forest litter and soil: identification of bacterial and fungal decomposers. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 80(3):735–746. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2012.01343.x>
- Suzuki M, Morimatsu M, Yamashita T, Iwanaga T, Syuto B (2001) A novel serum chitinase that is expressed in bovine liver. FEBS Lett 506(2):127–130. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-5793\(01\)02893-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-5793(01)02893-9)
- Talamantes D, Biabini N, Dang H, Abdoun K, Berlemont R (2016) Natural diversity of cellulases, xylanases, and chitinases in bacteria. Biotechnol Biofuels 9(1):133. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-016-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-016-0538-6) [0538-6](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-016-0538-6)
- Treseder KK, Lennon JT (2015) Fungal traits that drive ecosystem dynamics on land. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 79(2):243–262. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00001-15) [org/10.1128/MMBR.00001-15](https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00001-15)
- Vain T, Crowell EF, Timpano H, Biot E, Desprez T, Mansoori N, Trindade LM, Pagant S, Robert S, Hofte H, Gonneau M, Vernhettes S (2014) The cellulase KORRIGAN is part of the cellulose synthase complex. Plant Physiol 165(4):1521–1532. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.114.241216) [org/10.1104/pp.114.241216](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.114.241216)
- Vardakou M, Dumon C, Murray JW, Christakopoulos P, Weiner DP, Juge N, Lewis RJ, Gilbert HJ, Flint JE (2008) Understanding the structural basis for substrate and inhibitor recognition in eukaryotic GH11 xylanases. 1293–1305. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2007.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2007.11.007) [11.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2007.11.007)
- von Freiesleben P, Spodsberg N, Blicher TH, Anderson L, Jørgensen H, Stålbrand H, Meyer AS, Krogh KBRM (2016) An Aspergillus nidulans GH26 endo-β-mannanase with a novel degradation pattern on highly substituted galactomannans. Enzym Microb Technol 83: 68–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enzmictec.2015.10.011>
- Wang S-Y, Moyne A-L, Thottappilly G, Wu S-J, Locy RD, Singh NK (2001) Purification and characterization of a Bacillus cereus exochitinase. Enzym Microb Technol 28(6):492–498. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-0229(00)00362-8) [org/10.1016/S0141-0229\(00\)00362-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-0229(00)00362-8)
- Watanabe H, Tokuda G (2010) Cellulolytic systems in insects. Annu Rev Entomol 55(1):609–632. [https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-112408-085319)[112408-085319](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-112408-085319)
- Wilson DB (2011) Microbial diversity of cellulose hydrolysis. Curr Opin Microbiol 14(3):259–263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2011.04.004>
- Wohlkönig A, Huet J, Looze Y, Wintjens R (2010) Structural relationships in the lysozyme superfamily: significant evidence for glycoside hydrolase signature motifs. PLoS One 5(11):e15388. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0015388) doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0015388
- Wu SSH, Suen DF, Chang HC, Huang AHC (2002) Maize tapetum xylanase is synthesized as a precursor, processed and activated by a serine protease, and deposited on the pollen. J Biol Chem 277(50): 49055–49064. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M208804200>
- Xu Q, Ding S-Y, Brunecky R, Bomble YJ, Himmel ME, Baker JO (2013) Improving activity of minicellulosomes by integration of intra- and intermolecular synergies. Biotechnol Biofuels 6(1):126. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/1754-6834-6-126) [org/10.1186/1754-6834-6-126](https://doi.org/10.1186/1754-6834-6-126)
- Yin Y, Mao X, Yang J, Chen X, Mao F, Xu Y (2012) dbCAN: a web resource for automated carbohydrate-active enzyme annotation. Nucleic Acids Res 40(W1):W445–W451. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks479>
- Youssef NH, Couger MB, Struchtemeyer CG, Liggenstoffer AS, Prade RA, Najar FZ, Atiyeh HK, Wilkins MR, Elshahed MS (2013) The genome of the anaerobic fungus *Orpinomyces* sp. strain C1A reveals the unique evolutionary history of a remarkable plant biomass degrader. Appl Environ Microbiol 79(15):4620–4634. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.00821-13) [10.1128/aem.00821-13](https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.00821-13)