ENVIRONMENTAL BIOTECHNOLOGY



# Ozone and hydrogen peroxide as strategies to control biomass in a trickling filter to treat methanol and hydrogen sulfide under acidic conditions

Teresa García-Pérez<sup>1</sup> · Sylvie Le Borgne<sup>2</sup> · Sergio Revah<sup>2</sup>

Received: 23 April 2016 / Revised: 1 September 2016 / Accepted: 15 September 2016 / Published online: 8 October 2016 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2016

Abstract The operation and performance of a biotrickling filter for methanol (MeOH) and hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S) removal at acid pH was studied. Excess biomass in the filter bed, causing performance loss and high pressure drop, was controlled by intermittent addition, of ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) and hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>). The results showed that after adaptation to acid pH, the maximum elimination capacity (EC) reached for MeOH was 565 g m<sup>-3</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> (97 % RE). High MeOH loads resulted in increased biomass concentration within the support, triggering reductions in the removal efficiency (RE) for both compounds close to 50 %, and high pressure drop. At this stage, an inlet load of  $150.2 \pm 16.7$  g m<sup>-3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> of O<sub>3</sub> was fed by 38 days favoring biomass detachment, and EC recovery and lower pressure dropped with a maximum elimination capacity of 587 g m<sup>-3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> (81 % RE) and 15.8 g m<sup>-3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> (97 % RE) for MeOH and H<sub>2</sub>S, respectively. After O<sub>3</sub> addition, a rapid increase in biomass content and higher fluctuations in pressure drop were observed reducing the system performance. A second treatment with oxidants was implemented feeding a O<sub>3</sub> load of 4.8  $\pm$  0.1 g m<sup>-3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> for 7 days, followed by H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s00253-016-7861-5) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Sergio Revah srevah@correo.cua.uam.mx addition for 23 days, registering 607.5 g<sub>biomass</sub>  $L^{-1}_{packing}$  before and 367.5 g<sub>biomass</sub>  $L^{-1}_{packing}$  after the oxidant addition. PCR-DGGE analysis of different operating stages showed a clear change in the bacterial populations when O<sub>3</sub> was present while the fungal population was less affected.

Keywords  $pH \cdot Pressure \, drop \cdot Ozone \cdot H_2O_2 \cdot Biotrickling filter \cdot Biomass$ 

# Introduction

Gaseous pollutants from various industrial activities are frequently released to the atmosphere, causing health and environmental problems. Increased concern has driven the quest to improve and find new alternatives to treat these industrial emissions. Gaseous pollutants can be removed by different technologies including physical and chemical methods, such as adsorption, absorption, condensation, and combustion, and by biological-based technologies (Revah and Morgan-Sagastume 2005; Mudliar et al. 2010). Biological methods are considered cost-effective and reliable technologies for the control of waste gases contaminated with different chemical compounds. They are environmentally friendly as they operate at normal conditions and do not require or generate toxic products. These systems include, among others, conventional biofilters (BF); biotrickling filters (BTFs), bioscrubbers, and hollow fiber and membrane bioreactors (Revah and Morgan-Sagastume 2005, Yang et al. 2010). These systems allow treating airstreams at various temperatures (Hu et al. 2015; Kong et al. 2013) and mixtures of pollutants with different chemical properties where diverse microbial populations (i.e., autotrophic and heterotrophic bacteria, yeast, and molds) can develop (Chung 2007; Ding et al. 2006; González-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Posgrado en Ciencias Naturales e Ingeniería, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana Unidad Cuajimalpa, Avenida Vasco de Quiroga 4871. Col. Santa Fé. Delegación Cuajimalpa de Morelos, C.P, 05348 Mexico City, Mexico

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Departamento de Procesos y Tecnología, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana Unidad Cuajimalpa, Avenida Vasco de Quiroga 4871. Col. Santa Fé. Delegación Cuajimalpa de Morelos, C.P, 05348 Mexico City, Mexico

Sanchez et al. 2008; Mudliar et al. 2010; Prenafeta-Boldú et al. 2012).

Although biofiltration has many advantages, it also presents some problems affecting its long-term performance. Excessive biomass accumulation, causing fluid clogging and channeling, is considered among the major problems in BTF as it induces excessive head loss and low performance and increased the operational costs (Sempere et al. 2008; Yang et al. 2010). There are different alternatives for removing excess biomass to improve the performance in biofiltration systems including physical, chemical, and biological methods. Although they are generally effective, some of them could affect the system performance or present implementation problems in real systems (Xi et al. 2014; Yang et al. 2010; Zehraoui et al. 2013). In this sense, chemical compounds such as NaOH and NaClO have been effective to remove or control the excessive biomass; however, the use of chemical solutions often leads to considerable drops in biofilter performance, requiring a period of up to several days to reacclimatize.

Methods based on the use of oxidants, such as ozone, have shown to be effective in biofiltration systems (Moussavi and Mohseni 2007; Wang et al. 2009). Hydrogen peroxide has also been used to remove or prevent biofilm accumulation by removing exopolysaccharides (EPS) by the disruption of the polymeric backbone. Examples include the detachment and removal of biofilms in reactors with low (<0.1 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) concentrations (Christensen et al. 1990) and the reduction of head loss in granular activated carbon/sand filters for drinking water (Lauderdale et al. 2012). Furthermore, the combination of both oxidants was studied for removal of biofilms formed by Pseudomonas fluorescens. The results suggest that the hydroxyl radicals ('OH) generated in the biofilm by the sequential treatment ( $O_3$  followed by  $H_2O_2$ ) might react with biofilm constituents, such as EPS rather than with intracellular components (Tachikawa and Yamanaka 2014). The use of oxidants for biomass control has mainly been demonstrated in BF system treating of individual volatile organic compounds, such as toluene, xylene, and formaldehyde, at neutral pH. Nonetheless, clogging or excessive biomass accumulation can also be present with mixed pollutants in BTF operating at different pH conditions. The treatment of methanol and hydrogen sulfide released by the pulp and paper industry induces both a decrease in the pH of the system due to the oxidation of H<sub>2</sub>S to sulfate and excessive growth of fungal biomass on MeOH causing clogging and operational problems (Jin et al. 2007; Rene et al. 2010; Sologar et al. 2003).

Increased knowledge on BF performance and associated microbial populations can be obtained by using molecular techniques to evidence the dynamics of microbial communities in response to changes in operating conditions such as the addition of a new substrate or changes in temperature or pH (Ding et al. 2006). Biochemical methods such as respirometry have also been used to evaluate the microbial activity in the reactors under different conditions (Arellano-Garcia et al. 2010).

As further research is necessary to evaluate the use of oxidants in the biofiltration system, the main objective of this work was to investigate the use of  $O_3$  and  $H_2O_2$  to remove the excess of biomass in a BTF treating MeOH and  $H_2S$  under different loads and the impact of these oxidants on the performance of the system and structure and activity of the microbial community.

## Materials and methods

## Inoculum preparation and mineral medium composition

A mixture of aerobic and anaerobic sludges obtained from two different wastewater treatment plants located in the municipality of Iztapalapa in Mexico City and an acid mine drainage sludge from the state of San Luis Potosi, Mexico, were used as the inoculum source. The mixed culture was acclimatized for 3 months at acid pH (2.5–3) in the mineral medium (MM) described below supplemented with MeOH at 0.5 % (*v*/*v*) and 8 g L<sup>-1</sup> of Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.5H<sub>2</sub>O as the source of sulfur. The MM used in the reactor was modified from Jin et al. (2007) and contained (g L<sup>-1</sup>) KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (4.0), K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (4.0), NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (0.8), MgCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (0.4), FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O (0.02), adjusting the pH to 2.5 with a solution of HCl.

## **Experimental setup**

The BTF system, shown in Fig. 1, comprises an acrylic rectangular reactor  $(12 \times 12 \times 52 \text{ cm})$  packed with polyurethane foam (PUF) cubes  $(4 \times 4 \times 4 \text{ cm}, \text{Fig. S1})$  similar to the one previously described by González-Sánchez et al. (2008). The reactor was divided into two sections with a total packing volume of 2.3 L and several ports for biomass sampling. An air stream was bubbled through a vessel containing MeOH and then mixed with a stream containing H<sub>2</sub>S. The resulting waste gas was introduced through the bottom of the column for countercurrent flow. The pH was maintained by feeding a solution of 0.5 M of NaOH with a metering pump (Barnant-HD-MA-Pump). The nutrient solution was renewed twice a week. Pressure drop measurements were made using a U-tube manometer and are expressed as millimeters of H2O per meter of support. Experiments were conducted at room temperature. The operational conditions in the BTF are described in Table 1.

For the  $O_3$  experiments, a corona discharge ozone generator (A2Z Ozone, Inc., USA: A2ZS-1GLAB) with a maximum production of 1000 mg h<sup>-1</sup> was used. Ambient air was passed through the generator (800 mL min<sup>-1</sup> for the first period and 200 mL min<sup>-1</sup> for the second one) and then mixed with the regular air stream before feeding the biofilter. Table 1 shows the  $O_3$  inlet loads. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was added in the mineral medium

**Fig. 1** Diagram of the biotrickling filter. *A–D* Biomass sampling ports



during recirculation once a day and determined as reported by Tachikawa and Yamanaka (2014).  $H_2O_2$  was fed at concentrations of 1 mg L<sup>-1</sup> in two time intervals: 437–438 and 442–451 days and then at concentration of 5 mg L<sup>-1</sup> from day 453 to day 459.

## **Biomass content and activity**

The total biomass within the reactor was measured at different stages to evaluate the effect of oxidants. The liquid flow was stopped for 12 h to allow the retained liquid to trickle, and the packing was removed and weighed. The biomass content was calculated by subtracting the packing weight.

The microbial activity was studied by respirometry. A biomass sample was taken from the packing, centrifuged, and resuspended twice in fresh MM. To evaluate the oxygen uptake rate (OUR), 200  $\mu$ L of biomass and different MeOH concentrations from 35 to ~400 mM were analyzed at 25 °C in the respirometry chamber previously described by Arellano-García et al. (2010).

## Biofilm analysis by magnetic resonance imaging

Analysis of MRI was made in one colonized cube of packing material removed from the reactor. The cube was extracted from the filter bed after draining the retained liquid; then, it was analyzed in a magnetic scanner (Varian, VNMR 7 T, USA). Gradient echo multislice (GEMS) sequences were acquired in a 50 per 50 mm field with a  $512 \times 512$  pixel matrix giving a resolution of 97.5  $\mu$ m. Sequences of 80 images were acquired from each cube taking a slice image every 0.5 mm registering the biofilm structure impregnated using gadolinium as contrast medium. The volume of the biofilm was calculated from 3D reconstructions made with the OsiriX imaging software (Arellano-García et al. 2015).

## Microbial community analysis

Samples of biomass were taken from the BTF at different sections, before, during, and after  $O_3$  addition. The biomass samples were washed threefold in a saline solution centrifuging at 4000 rpm for 10 min, and finally the pellets were stored frozen at -80 °C until analysis. Total DNA was extracted with the PowerSoil® DNA Isolation Kit following the manufacturer's instructions. The GC-338F and 907R primers were used to amplify the V3-V5 region of the bacterial 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene. The PCR reactions were performed with 50 ng DNA (final volume 25  $\mu$ L) using Hot Start DNA Polymerase (Qiagen) as described by the manufacturer except that bovine serum albumin was added at a final concentration

Stage	Time Days	pН	МеОН			$H_2S$			Oxidants	
			$\overline{\text{IL g m}^{-3} h^{-1}}$	$EC \ g \ m^{-3} \ h^{-1}$	RE (%)	$\overline{\text{IL g m}^{-3} h^{-1}}$	$EC \ g \ m^{-3} \ h^{-1}$	RE (%)	$O_3 \text{ gm}^{-3} \text{ h}^{-1}$	$H_2O_2 \text{ mg } L^{-1}$
Ia	1–15	6.4–1.2	13.4 ± 1.6	0.1 ± 0.3	1.0 ± 2.3	4.6 ± 0.3	4.6 ± 0.3	100		
	16-27	0.8-4.3	$21.9\pm2.2$	$0.02\pm0.07$	$0.15\pm0.4$	$4.9\pm0.2$	$4.9\pm0.2$	100		
Ι	28-43	4.3-2.9	$20.4\pm2.4$	$18.2\pm1.0$	$90.6 \pm 11.6$	$6.3\pm1.2$	$6.3 \pm 1.2$	100		
	44-68	2.2–3	$30.5\pm1.2$	$24.6\pm2.3$	$80.5\pm 6.4$	$8.8\pm0.8$	$8.8\pm0.8$	100		
	69–95	2.5	$47.2\pm7.8$	$32.8\pm4.0$	$70.3\pm8.0$	$9.9 \pm 1.0$	$9.9 \pm 1.0$	100		
	96-129	2.5	$270.6\pm83.8$	$192.5\pm56.4$	$71.7\pm13.1$	$11.0\pm0.8$	$11.0\pm0.8$	100		
	130-241	2.5	$331.3\pm42.6$	$306.0\pm36.0$	$92.7\pm5.1$	$11.5\pm0.5$	$11.0\pm1.2$	$95.9\pm9.9$		
	242-259	2.5	$569.9 \pm 45.2$	$406.8\pm85.1$	$71.2\pm15.2$	$12.8\pm0.2$	$11.5 \pm 1.5$	$89.5\pm11.7$		
II	260-298	2.5	$646.1\pm41.5$	$476.2\pm59.1$	$73.5\pm 6.0$	$13.2 \pm 1.1$	$11.1 \pm 2.4$	$83.8 \pm 15.1$	$150.2\pm16.7^a$	
III	299–395	2.5	$613.6\pm17.6$	$388.8\pm 64.8$	$63.5\pm11.0$	$13.4\pm0.6$	$11.0 \pm 2.1$	$82.4\pm15.0$		
	396-412	2.5	$318.5\pm14.3$	$198.8\pm82.9$	$62 \pm 25.1$	$13.7\pm0.2$	$11.9 \pm 1.7$	$86.4 \pm 12.3$		
IV	413-429	2.5	$261.2\pm3.0$	$132.8\pm15.8$	$50.8\pm 6.0$	$13.6\pm0.4$	$10.7\pm2.1$	$78.4 \pm 15.0$		
	430-436	2.5	$259.3\pm5.3$	$79.7\pm28.2$	$31.3\pm11.3$	$13.7\pm0.2$	$7.4 \pm 2.1$	$54.1 \pm 14.6$	$4.8\pm0.1^{a}$	
V	437-451	2.5	$261.8\pm3.3$	$134.3\pm52$	$51.7\pm19.8$	$13.7\pm0.3$	$8.2\pm3.0$	$59.7\pm21.6$		1 <sup>b</sup>
	452-460	2.5	$264.6\pm3.8$	$151.7\pm8.5$	$57.1\pm2.9$	$13.9\pm0.4$	$9\pm1.5$	$64.8\pm12.2$		5 <sup>b</sup>

Table 1 Operational conditions and results from different operating stages

IL inlet load, EC elimination capacity, RE removal efficiency

<sup>a</sup> The same doses in all the periods

<sup>b</sup> Intermittent doses between the periods

of 400 µg/mL. The PCR program consisted of initial denaturation at 95 °C for 15 min, 10 touchdown cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at 56 °C (-0.5 °C per cycle) for 30 s, and extension at 72 °C for 60 s, followed by 25 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 51 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 1 min, and a final extension step of 72 °C for 10 min. The V1-V2 region of the fungal 18S rRNA gene was amplified with the NS1 and GCFung primers. PCR reactions were performed with 25 ng DNA (final volume 25 µL) using Hot Start DNA Polymerase (Qiagen) as described by the manufacturer except that bovine serum albumin was added at a final concentration of 400  $\mu$ g/ mL and MgCl<sub>2</sub> was used at a final concentration of 2.5 mM. The PCR program consisted of initial denaturation at 95 °C for 15 min, 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 56 °C for 45 s, and 72 °C for 30 s, and a final extension step of 72 °C for 10 min. The acrylamide concentration in the denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DDGE) for bacteria was 6 % and the denaturing gradient was 40 and 60 %. An aliquot of 5 µL of the PCR product was run at 50 V for 16 h. For fungal DGGE gels, the acrylamide concentration was 6 % and the denaturing gradient was 30 and 60 %. An aliquot of 3 µL of the PCR product was run at 65 V for 19 h (DCode Bio-Rad equipment). The bands were visualized by staining the DGGE gels with silver nitrate. Selected bands were excised from the gel, reamplified, purified with the Kit Wizard® SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System (Promega), and sequenced at the UAM-Iztapalapa Molecular Biology Laboratory (Mexico). The DNA sequences were analyzed using the biocomputing tools provided online by the National Center for Biotechnology Information (http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). The comparison was made using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST). The nucleotide sequences in this study have been deposited in GenBank, and the corresponding accession numbers are shown Table 2.

# Analytical methods

Gaseous MeOH concentrations were measured with a gas chromatograph Agilent Technologies 6890 with a capillary column (Alltech AT-FAME, 30 m × 250  $\mu$ m × 0.25  $\mu$ m), equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID), and helium was used as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 0.4 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. H<sub>2</sub>S was monitored with an OdaLog analyzer LL-H2S-1000. O<sub>3</sub> detection in gas phase was made by the iodometric method (Rakness et al. 1996). Proteins were measured by the Lowry method, and carbohydrates were measured according to Dubois et al. (1956). Volatile solids (VS) were quantified in triplicate using standard methods (APHA 1998).

# Results

# **Biotrickling filter performance**

The BTF operated for 460 days; Table 1 shows the main operational conditions (inlet loads, pH, and addition of

Band <sup>a</sup>	GenBank accession number	Closest match in GenBank and corresponding accession number	Similarity (%)	Affiliation
Fungi				
A <sub>I</sub> 1	KX097001	<i>Ophiostoma</i> sp. LLC 18s ribosomal RNA gene. KM652632.	93	Dikarya; Ascomycota; Pezizomycotina; Sordariomycetes; Sordariomycetidae; Ophiostomatales; Ophiostomataceae
D <sub>III</sub> 6	KX097002	Uncultured eukaryote gene for 18S rRNA, partial sequence clone: AOan H 2012Dec 49 AB901584.	94	
$A_{II}10$	KX097003	Uncultured fungus isolate DGGE gel band 15 18S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence JO007331.	97	
Bacteria		1		
$A_I 2$	KX097005	Acidithiobacillus sp. Dg-E11 LN864666.	98	Proteobacteria; Acidithiobacillia; Acidithiobacillales; Acidithiobacillaceae
$A_{II}5$	KX097004	Acidiphilium sp. BER EU711075.	98	Proteobacteria; Alphaproteobacteria; Rhodospirillales; Acetobacteraceae

Table 2 Bands sequenced from DGGE-PCR analysis for fungi and bacteria

<sup>a</sup> The name of each band is related with the lanes of the gels shown in Fig. S7a, b of the supplementary material

oxidants) and the resulting performance.  $H_2S$  and MeOH were introduced simultaneously with initial inlet loads of  $4.7 \pm 0.2$  and  $13.4 \pm 1.6$  g m<sup>-3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. While  $H_2S$  was eliminated from the onset of the experiment, no detectable MeOH removal was observed during the first 26 days probably due to the low pH values (<1.0) induced by  $H_2S$  oxidation to  $SO_4^=$ . At day 24, the system was reinoculated and the pH was controlled in the 3.8–4.3 range. These conditions allowed increased MeOH uptake, and RE values close to 100 % were observed at day 29. The pH control was then gradually decreased to 2.5 and maintained thereof.

## **Biomass accumulation**

MeOH consumption fostered biomass accumulation, and consequently, pressure drop increased as shown in Fig. 2. For almost 180 days of operation, the pressure drop was below 25 mmH<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-1</sup> maintaining steady H<sub>2</sub>S and MeOH removals. Accumulated biomass reduced mainly H<sub>2</sub>S removal, reaching RE values down to 50 % on day 236 where the packing material was extracted showing a wet biomass content of 236  $g_{\text{biomass}} L^{-1}_{\text{packing}}$  (Figs. 3 and S2). The colonized support cubes were reshuffled and packed again. Pressure drop values decreased down to 6.3 mmH<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-1</sup>, and the system performance improved, but only for a few days, returning to the above conditions (Fig. 2, stage I). Increased growth and water accumulation was observed on some days over the filter bed by the presence of a biomass layer causing an obstruction in the system and fluctuations in the pressure drop (Fig. S5), and lower methanol removals followed down to 50 % RE on day 250.

# Effect of the addition of oxidants on system performance

During the period of the first O<sub>3</sub> addition with loads of  $150.2 \pm 16.7$  g m<sup>-3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 2, stage II), the pressure drop decreased and the performance recovered, obtaining the maximum EC found throughout this study of 587 g m<sup>-3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> (81 % RE) and 15.8 g m<sup>-3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> (97 % RE) for MeOH and H<sub>2</sub>S, respectively. Biomass content in this period was 333  $g_{\text{biomass}} L^{-1}_{\text{packing}}$  (Fig. 3). The stability in the RE for both pollutants was maintained for almost 30 days. A lower performance was observed thereafter, possibly by a negative effect of continuous O<sub>3</sub> feed over microbial activity. Therefore, oxidant delivery was suspended observing a recovery in the RE in the subsequent 10 days coupled to fast biomass growth and increased pressure drop. The system operated under these conditions for more 100 days showed a notable decrease on MeOH removal (Fig. 2, stage III) at around day 370. To evaluate possible MeOH toxicity, the inlet loads were decreased on day 396 improving the global performance and reaching a 92 % RE (EC of 293  $g_{MeOH}$  m<sup>-3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) but continued diminishing thereafter. During stage III on days 373 and 423, the filter bed was removed, weighed, and reintroduced in the reactor; the biomass on these days was 549 and 607 g<sub>biomass</sub>  $L^{-1}_{packing}$ , respectively (Fig. 3). MRI images of the support during this period (Fig. S3) showed a dense biofilm forming patches that almost completely obstructed sections of the packing, allowing only a small void volume for air and liquid distribution.

The pressure drop reached a maximum value of 344 mmH<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-1</sup> before O<sub>3</sub> addition, decreasing down to less than 7 mmH<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-1</sup> during O<sub>3</sub> treatment (MeOH inlet load was  $622 \pm 55.3$  g m<sup>-3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>). After suspending the O<sub>3</sub> feed, the pressure drop fluctuations increased up to a maximum value

Fig. 2 Pressure drop and removal efficiency (RE) of MeOH and  $H_2S$ . *Ia*: without pH control, *I* and *III* were operated without ozone, *II* and *IV* with ozone feed, and stage *V* was operated with  $H_2O_2$  addition



of 718 mmH<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-1</sup> on day 324 with similar MeOH loads. The mat of biomass was observed again on top of the lower section of the filter bed (Fig. S6).

A second  $O_3$  addition for 7 days from day 430 to 437 (pressure drop 156 and 231 mmH<sub>2</sub>Om<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) and with a lower load than the previous test (4.8 ± 0.1 g m<sup>-3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) was performed to reduce the impact on activity. The biofilter did not improve the performance, and the RE continued to decrease reaching a value of 14 % of RE for MeOH and 44 % of RE for H<sub>2</sub>S. Hydrogen peroxide addition thereafter



Fig. 3 Biomass content in biofilter packing material at different moments during the biofilter operation

allowed a rapid response, and 88 % of RE and 70 % of RE for  $H_2S$  and MeOH, respectively, were obtained in few days along with a reduction in the biomass content in the packing of around 40 % with a decrease of pressure drop of about 98 %, as shown in Fig. 3. A temporary spike in pressure drop was observed at around day 450 when 5 mg L<sup>-1</sup>  $H_2O_2$  addition provoked excess biomass detachment and transient clogging (Fig. 2 stage V). Interestingly,  $H_2O_2$  addition reduced the density of the detached biomass which floated, in contrast to O<sub>3</sub> addition where biomass debris settled, probably due to oxygen microbubbles formed from bacteria having catalase activity.

### Content of substances in the liquid phase

Volatile solids in the recirculation liquid related to biomass detachment from the support increased during the addition of oxidants as shown in Fig. 4a. The values were around 80 % increase for the first O<sub>3</sub> addition and 40 % for the second O<sub>3</sub> addition. Soluble solids increased further (170 % with respect to the concentration before O<sub>3</sub> addition) with the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> treatment. Figure 4b presents the results of the protein and carbohydrate content in the recirculation liquid showing a global increase in the molecules when oxidants were added, which is consistent with biofilm detachment. Interestingly, the relative concentration varied with the oxidant use and higher protein values were found when the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> treatment was applied.





#### Effect of the O<sub>3</sub> on microbial activity

To evaluate the metabolic activity under  $O_3$  addition, the endogenous respiration was initially assessed yielding values of  $61 \pm 8$  and  $35.8 \pm 2.4 \ \mu\text{mol} \ O_2 \ g^{-1}_{\text{protein}} \ \text{min}^{-1}$  for the biomass under normal operation and with  $O_3$  addition, respectively. The values for the activity at different MeOH concentrations depicted in Fig. 5 and corrected for the endogenous respiration show that  $O_3$  treatment reduced approximately by half the maximum OUR from 97 to 43  $\ \mu\text{mol} \ O_2 \ g^{-1}_{\text{protein}} \ \text{min}^{-1}$ . The Ks obtained by fitting a Monod-type model was 67 mmol  $L^{-1}$  without  $O_3$  exposure and was strongly reduced with  $O_3$  addition. The endogenous respiration was also reduced by around 40 % when ozone was supplied reflecting the viability reduction of the cells.

## **Microbial dynamics**

The profile along the column reactor showed a decrease in the number of bands in the lanes corresponding to the samples taken in the lower section of the BTF ( $D_{II}$  and  $D_{III}$ ) after  $O_3$  addition, which can be attributed to the effect of this oxidant on the microbial populations in this section for both bacteria and fungi (Fig. S7 and Table 2). The fungal population profiles showed some bands before  $O_3$  addition in the lanes  $A_I$ ,  $B_I$ ,  $C_I$ , and  $D_I$  that could not be found in the other sections (Fig. S7b), i.e., bands  $A_11$ ,  $B_11$ ,  $C_11$ , and  $D_11$  clearly disappeared after supplying the oxidant. Band  $A_I$ -1 was identified as *Ophiostoma* sp. (Table 2) which did not seem to show resistance to  $O_3$ . In general, the number and/or intensity of



Fig. 5 Oxygen uptake rate of the biofilter population at different MeOH concentrations before and during ozone addition

bands decreased after O<sub>3</sub> treatment both for bacteria and fungi, which is consistent with the negative effect of O<sub>3</sub> microbial activity previously presented. Concerning bacteria, O<sub>3</sub> addition led to the appearance of new bands (Fig. S7a: A<sub>II</sub>, B<sub>II</sub>, C<sub>II</sub>, and D<sub>II</sub>) that disappeared later as O<sub>3</sub> addition was stopped (bands A<sub>II</sub>-5, A<sub>II</sub>-6, B<sub>II</sub>-2, B<sub>II</sub>-3, C<sub>II</sub>-2, C<sub>II</sub>-3, D<sub>II</sub>-2, D<sub>II-</sub>3, D<sub>II</sub>-4, and D<sub>II</sub>-5). One strong band (A<sub>II</sub>-5, B<sub>II</sub>-2, C<sub>II</sub>-2, D<sub>II</sub>-2), corresponding to Acidiphilium sp. was found only during O<sub>3</sub> treatment. After O<sub>3</sub> treatment, one band (A<sub>III</sub>-1, B<sub>III</sub>-1, C<sub>III</sub>-1, D<sub>III</sub>-1), initially present in the consortium (AI-2, BI-2, CI-2, DI-2), was enriched. It corresponded to Acidithiobacillus sp. Dg-E11; the other Blast matches indicated that this isolate was affiliated to the A. thiooxidans genus. Concerning fungi, after O<sub>3</sub> treatment, two bands were more intense (A<sub>III</sub>-7, B<sub>III</sub>-8, C<sub>III</sub>-6, D<sub>III</sub>-6 and A<sub>III</sub>-10, B<sub>III</sub>-11, C<sub>III</sub>-9, D<sub>III</sub>-8). Band D<sub>III</sub>-6, A<sub>II</sub>-10, and A<sub>I</sub>-14 matched with uncultured fungi related to Pezizomvcotina (See Table 2 and Fig. S7).

## Discussion

Reactor startup showed that acidification due to  $H_2S$  oxidation to  $SO_4^=$  impeded initial MeOH removal which was detected only after pH was controlled and the system reinoculated (Fig. 2, stage I). The maximum ECs for MeOH and  $H_2S$  for this experimental period were 565 g m<sup>-3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> (97 % RE) and 13 g m<sup>-3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> (100 % RE), respectively. Microscopic observation showed an abundant yeast presence favored by the acidic conditions. These results are consistent with those reported in the literature where a higher eukaryote population was found in the presence of MeOH in a system without pH control (Barcón et al. 2012). Regarding the performance, the results are comparable with those at acid pH previously reporting EC<sub>max</sub> of 236 g<sub>CH3OH</sub> m<sup>-3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> (inlet load of ~250 g m<sup>-3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) and 6.4 gH<sub>2</sub>S m<sup>-3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> (RE = 100 %) to pH = 2.0 (Jin et al. (2007).

Biomass increased in the BTF was mainly due to heterotrophic growth on MeOH which allowed higher biomass vields for fungi (Egli and Fiechter 1981) compared to autotrophic bacteria (Alcántara et al. 2004). Excessive growth impaired H<sub>2</sub>S and MeOH removals. Similar problems in the treatment of H<sub>2</sub>S and MeOH were reported by Jin et al. (2007), where accumulation of biomass and elemental sulfur, from partial H<sub>2</sub>S oxidation, caused a pressure drop increase and RE declined to 15 % compared with the value when only H<sub>2</sub>S was treated. In our study, elemental sulfur accumulation was not observed in the filter bed and sulfate concentrations were close to the stoichiometric values (results not shown); these findings are consistent with those generally observed with low sulfide loads (Alcántara et al. 2004). Reshuffling the packing material on day 236 decreased pressure drop and allowed an increase in RE (Fig. 2, stage I). This step allowed reducing the macroscopic heterogeneity in the bed by limiting channeling and the zones where excess growth limited both air and liquid flow, thus recovering the microbial activity (Auria et al. 2000). Furthermore, channeling forms pockets of biomass that may be subject to drying with the concomitant activity reduction (Cercado et al. 2012). Several methods to remove excess biomass, and hence decrease the pressure drop, such as increasing the liquid flow and using alkaline chemical solutions, may not be sufficient as they affect biomass activity or may have short-term effectiveness (Flores-Valle et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2014) so other control strategies may be necessary.

Attached biomass content was controlled during the period of O<sub>3</sub> addition. This oxidant affects the biofilm structure by attacking the EPS, thus inducing biomass detachment, improving gas flow distribution, lowering the pressure drop, and improving performance. The oxidizing potential of  $O_3$ increases at low pH (pH <4) reacting primarily with double bonds and aromatic groups and slightly with carbohydrates (Adams and Gorg 2002; Meng et al. 2016; Roncero et al. 2003), which may explain the sustained activity despite the high loads used. The results are consistent with previous studies (Xi et al. 2014) where the bed pressure drop remained below to 5.7 mmH<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-1</sup> (superficial velocity 17.7 m h<sup>-1</sup>) throughout the operating period with O<sub>3</sub> inlet load in a range from 10.5 to 18.8 g m<sup>-3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> in a conventional biofilter. After O<sub>3</sub> addition was halted, the system operated for 100 days showing strong biomass growth (up to 607  $g_{\text{biomass}} L^{-1}_{\text{packing}}$  with high variations in the pressure drop and in the RE for MeOH and H<sub>2</sub>S (Fig. 2, stage III). The strong fluctuations in pressure drop before and after the O<sub>3</sub> addition resulted from heterogeneous biomass growth which was visible by the formation of gelatinous pockets in the support and mats over the parts exposed to air. MRI showed a total volume compaction of around 20 %; this value is higher than expected and was probably due to a reduction in the actual volume of the cubes caused by the weight from cubes positioned above and possibly to a decrease in the resilience of the PUF caused by  $O_3$ . Numerical estimation of the occupied volume yields a value of 85 % (Fig. S3). The compaction was also observed in both sections of the BTF where the bed height reduced to almost 13 %.

The second  $O_3$  addition reduced slightly the pressure drop but without improving the performance as compared with the first addition which may be attributed to the lower  $O_3$  load used in this period. Furthermore, biomass growth was extensive and may have hindered  $O_3$  distribution, biofilm diffusion, and oxidative activity. The subsequent  $H_2O_2$  addition that, in contrast to  $O_3$ , oxidizes some polysaccharides at low pH (Christensen et al. 1990; Miller 1986) allowed further cell detachment, lower pressure drop, and improved system performance. The effectiveness of  $H_2O_2$  can be partly attributed to a synergistic effect with  $O_3$ , (Tachikawa and Yamanaka 2014) and also to the  $H_2O_2$  interaction with the Fe<sup>2+</sup> present in the mineral medium producing 'OH radicals that could react with the biofilm and favor biomass detachment (Gosselin et al. 2013).

Biofilm detachment by the action of the oxidants increased solids in the recirculation liquid which was consistent with those reported in the literature where the thickness of biofilm was reduced along to an increase of the microbial activity (García-Pérez et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2009). The action of the oxidants on the detached biofilms may as well favor depolymerization and uptake by the suspended and attached cells which may explain the variations in soluble and suspended protein and carbohydrates (Meng et al. 2016). The addition of O<sub>3</sub> also affected the microbial activity as shown by the change in endogenous respiration and the activity. This alteration in the metabolic activity reflects the structural changes of the population caused by the variable sensitivities of the different species (Wei et al. 2013). The negative effect of  $O_3$  was also reported by Xi et al. (2014), where the deterioration of toluene removal was attributed to a decrease in activity by microorganisms susceptible to higher O<sub>3</sub> concentration.

An unexpected consequence of the addition of  $O_3$  for an extended period was the damage observed in the packing material, particularly in the bottom section (Fig. 1), where there was a direct contact with the incoming feed. This corresponded to the reduction of the number of bands of the DGGE analyses described before. Small pieces of the material were also observed in the liquid phase, which explain the degradation shown in the Fig. S4. The double bonds present in the polyurethane chemical structure could be the main reason of its deterioration due to higher reactivity of  $O_3$  toward unsaturated compounds (Adams and Gorg 2002). It has been reported that  $O_3$  can change the chemical and physical properties of polyurethane foam increasing the porosity and reducing its hydrophobicity (Bertoldi et al. 2015).

The relevant effect of the addition of oxidants was evident as the changes on microbial populations were more noticeable through the different operating conditions implemented than throughout the different sections of the filter bed. The bands sequenced from fungal DGGE profiles were all related to the Pezizomycotina, a subphylum of the Ascomycota. Comparative phylogenetic analyses have shown that MeOH utilization genes are conserved in several Pezizomycotina lineages, indicating their potential capability to use MeOH as a carbon and energy source (Ravin et al. 2013). Ophiostoma sp. was apparently eliminated after O<sub>3</sub> addition; this fungus had already been reported in a BF used for the degradation of gasphase mixtures of MeOH, α-pinene, and H<sub>2</sub>S (López et al. 2013). The bands remaining after  $O_3$  treatment corresponded to uncultured fungi. The higher number of bands in the fungal DGGE profiles compared to bacteria (Fig. S7b) could be explained as follows. Firstly, fungal biomass is more abundant in the BTF due to growth on MeOH, compared to bacteria which only grow autotrophically with H<sub>2</sub>S which was fed in lower concentrations. Thus, MeOH consumption by fungi may promote the formation of a dense biofilm. In addition, the faster growth of MeOH consumers could limit the growth of autotrophic microorganisms, which would explain the lower number of bands for bacteria in all stages (Fig. S7a). Secondly, the resistance of fungi to adverse conditions such as O<sub>3</sub> presence and acidic pH exposure could help to preserve their abundance (Dong et al. 2015; Mara and Horan 2003; Prenafeta-Boldú et al. 2012). Furthermore, suspended and soluble material from the biofilm may also promote the development of heterotrophic microorganisms which are not necessarily H<sub>2</sub>S consumers.

The bacterial band detected under  $O_3$  treatment ( $A_{II}5$ : *Acidiphilium* sp.) suggests that the growth of this microorganism may be favored by high ozone tolerance (Wei et al. 2013) and possibly to the presence of some molecules enhanced by the ozone oxidation. It has been reported that some strains of this microorganism are capable to oxidize elemental sulfur to sulfate as a final metabolite and consume a high variety of organic substrates (Arellano-García et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2013). In our study, *Acidithiobacillus* sp. could not have grown in high  $O_3$  concentration, as indicated in the DGGE analyses; however, it remained viable, possibly protected by the dense biofilms, reappearing once the conditions were again favorable.

In conclusion, both  $O_3$  and  $H_2O_2$  were effective to remove excess biomass accumulation in trickle bed biofilters and offset the reduction in performance caused by excess biomass. From a practical point of view, it has been reported that continuous ozone addition may entail significant investment and operation costs (Xi et al. 2014), but the results found in the present study suggest that the use of  $H_2O_2$  could be an interesting alternative. Oxidants altered the established population although this proved to be reversible when oxidant addition was stopped. The continuous use of the oxidants may have a deleterious effect on the support which requires further study if this strategy is adopted for the biomass control.

Acknowledgments The authors thank Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana and CONACYT for the scholarship (372404), as well as the CI3M Center by conducting analyses of MRI. Special thanks to Dra. Angélica López Moreno for their help and collaboration in the analysis of molecular biology, as well as to Dra. Alejandra Serrato Díaz and to the Laboratory of Molecular Biology of the UAM-Iztapalapa.

**Compliance with ethical standards** This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

# References

- Adams CD, Gorg S (2002) Effect of pH and gas-phase ozone concentration on the decolorization of common textile dyes. J Environ Eng 128:293–298. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9372~2002!128:3(293)
- Alcántara S, Velasco A, Revah S (2004) Sulfur formation by steady-state continuous cultures of a sulfoxidizing consortium and *Thiobacillus thioparus* ATCC 23645. Environm Technol 25:1151–1157. doi:10.1080/09593330.2004.9619409
- APHA, Standards Methods for the Examination of Water of Wastewater (1998) 20th ed. American Public Health Association-American Water Works. Association-Water Pollution Control Federation (AWWA-WPCF), Washington, DC
- Arellano-García L, González-Sánchez A, Baquerizo G, Hernández-Jimenez S, Revah S (2010) Treatment of carbon disulfide and ethanethiol vapors in alkaline biotrickling filters using an alkaliphilic sulfo-oxidizing bacterial consortium. J Chem Technol Biotechnol 85:328–335. doi:10.1002/jctb.2328
- Arellano-García L, Dorado AD, Morales-Guadarrama A, Sacristan E, Gamisans X, Revah S (2015) Modelling the effects of biomass accumulation on the performance of a biotrickling filter packed with PUF support for the alkaline biotreatment of dimethyl disulfide vapors in air. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 99:97–107. doi:10.1007/ s00253-014-5929-7
- Auria R, Frere G, Morales M, Acuña ME, Revah S (2000) Influence of mixing and water addition on the removal rate of toluene vapors in a biofilter. Biotechnol Bioeng 68:448–455. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0290(20000520)68:4<448::AID-BIT10>3.0.CO;2-2
- Barcón T, Alonso-Gutierrez J, Omil F (2012) Molecular and physiological approaches to understand the ecology of methanol degradation during the biofiltration of air streams. Chemosphere 87:1179–1185. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.12.039
- Bertoldi S, Farè S, Haugen HJ, Tanzi MC (2015) Exploiting novel sterilization techniques for porous polyurethane scaffolds. J Mater Sci Mater Med 26:182. doi:10.1007/s10856-015-5509-0
- Cercado B, Auria R, Cardenas B, Revah S (2012) Characterization of artificially dried biofilms for air biofiltration studies. J Environ Sci Health A Tox Hazard Subst Environ Eng 47:940–948. doi:10.1080/10934529.2012.667292
- Christensen BE, Naper T, Vollan K, Smidsrod O, Bake R (1990) Biofilm removal by low concentration of hydrogen peroxide. Biofouling 2: 165–175. doi:10.1080/08927019009378142

- Chung YC (2007) Evaluation of gas removal and bacterial community diversity in a biofilter developed to treat composting exhaust gases. J Hazard Mater 144:377–385. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.10.045
- Ding Y, Das KC, Whitman WB, Kastner JR (2006) Enhanced biofiltration of hydrogen sulfide in the presence of methanol and resultant bacterial diversity. Trans ASABE 49:2051–2059. doi:10.13031/2013.22266
- Dong T, Zhang Y, Islam MDS, Liu Y, El-Din MG (2015) The impact of various ozone pretreatment doses on the performance of endogenous microbial communities for the remediation of oil sands processaffected water. Int Biodeter Biodegr 100:17–28. doi:10.1016/j. ibiod.2015.01.014
- Dubois M, Gilles KA, Hamilton JK, Rebers PA, Smith F (1956) Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. Anal Chem 28:350–356. doi:10.1021/ac60111a017
- Egli T, Fiechter A (1981) Theoretical analysis of media used in the growth of yeasts on methanol. J Gen Microbiol 123:365–369. doi:10.1099/00221287-123-2-365
- Flores-Valle SO, Ríos-Bernÿ O, Chanona-Pérez J, Fregoso-Aguilar T, Morales-González JA, Prado-Rubianes OJ, Herrera-Bucio R, López-Albarán P, Morales-González A, Garibay-Febles V, Domínguez EG, Kennes C, Veiga-Barbazán MC, Mendoza-Pérez JA (2011) A comparative study of physical and chemical processes for removal of biomass in biofilters. Molecules 16:6927–6949. doi:10.3390/molecules16086927
- García-Pérez T, Aizpuru A, Arriaga S (2013) By-passing acidification limitations during the biofiltration of high formaldehyde loads via the application of ozone pulses. J Hazard Mater 262:732–740. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2013.09.053
- González-Sánchez A, Revah S, Deshusses MA (2008) Alkaline biofiltration of H<sub>2</sub>S odors. Environ Sci Technol 42:7398–7404. doi:10.1021/es800437f
- Gosselin F, Madeira LM, Juhna T, Block JC (2013) Drinking water and biofilm disinfection by Fenton-like reaction. Water Res 47:5631– 5638. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2013.06.036
- Hu QY, Wang C, Huang KX (2015) Biofiltration performance and characteristics of high-temperature gaseous benzene, hexane and toluene. Chem Eng J 27:689–695. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2015.05.019
- Jin Y, Veiga MC, Kennes C (2007) Co-treatment of hydrogen sulfide and methanol in a single-stage biotrickling filter under acidic conditions. Chemosphere 68:1186–1193. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere. 2007.01.069
- Kong X, Wang C, Ji M (2013) Analysis of microbial metabolic characteristics in mesophilic and thermophilic biofilters using Biolog plate technique. Chem Eng J 230:415–421. doi:10.1016/j. cej.2013.06.073
- Lauderdale C, Chadik P, Kirisits MJ, Brown AJ (2012) Engineered biofiltration: enhanced biofilter performance through nutrient and peroxide addition. J Am Water Works ASS 104:E298–E309. doi:10.5942/jawwa.2012.104.0073
- López ME, Rene ER, Malhautier L, Rocher J, Bayle S, Veiga MC, Kennes C (2013) One-stage biotrickling filter for the removal of a mixture of volatile pollutants from air: performance and microbial community analysis. Bioresour Technol 138:245–252. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2013.03.136
- Mara D, Horan NJ (2003) Handbook of water and wastewater microbiology. Academic press, 832. ISBN9780124701007
- Meng L, Xi J, Yeung M (2016) Degradation of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) extracted from activated sludge by low-concentration ozonation. Chemosphere 147:248–255. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.12.060
- Miller AR (1986) Oxidation of cell wall polysaccharides by hydrogen peroxide: a potential mechanism for cell wall breakdown in plants. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 141:238–244. doi:10.1016/S0006-291X(86)80359-X

- Moussavi G, Mohseni M (2007) Using UV pretreatment to enhance biofiltration of mixtures of aromatic VOCs. J Hazard Mater 144: 59–66. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.09.086
- Mudliar S, Giri B, Padoley K, Satpute D, Dixit R, Bhatt P, Pandey R, Juwarkar A, Vaidya A (2010) Bioreactors for treatment of VOCs and odours—a review. J Environ Manag 91:1039–1054. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2010.01.006
- Prenafeta-Boldú FX, Guivernau M, Gallastegui G, Viñas M, Sybren de Hoog G, Elías A (2012) Fungal/bacterial interactions during the biodegradation of TEX hydrocarbons (toluene, ethylbenzene and p-xylene) in gas biofilters operated under xerophilic conditions. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 80:722–734. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2012.01344.x
- Rakness K, Gordon G, Langlais B, Masschelein W, Matsumoto N, Richard Y, Michael RC, Somiya I (1996) Guideline for measurement of ozone concentration in the process gas from an ozone generator. Ozone Sci Eng 18:209–229. doi:10.1080/01919519608547327
- Ravin NV, Eldarov MA, Kadnikov VV, Beletsky AV, Schneider J, Mardanova ES, Elena M, Smekalova EM, Zvereva MI, Dontsova OA, Mardanov AV, Skryabin KG (2013) Genome sequence and analysis of methylotrophic yeast *Hansenula polymorpha* DL1. BMC Genomics 14:837. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-14-837
- Rene ER, López ME, Veiga MC, Kennes C (2010) Steady- and transientstate operation of a two-stage bioreactor for the treatment of a gaseous mixture of hydrogen sulphide, methanol and  $\alpha$ -pinene. J Chem Technol Biotechnol 85:336–348. doi:10.1002/jctb.2343
- Revah S, Morgan-Sagastume JM (2005) Methods of odor and VOC control. In: Biotechnology for odor and air pollution control. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp 29–63
- Roncero MB, Queral MA, Colom JF, Vidal T (2003) Why acid pH increases the selectivity of the ozone bleaching processes. Ozone-Sci Eng 25:523–534. doi:10.1080/01919510390481838
- Sempere F, Gabaldón C, Martínez-Soria V, Marzal P, Penya-roja JM, Álvarez-Homos FJ (2008) Performance evaluation of a biotrickling filter treating

a mixture of oxygenated VOCs during intermittent loading. Chemosphere 73:1533–1539. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.08.037

- Sologar VS, Lu Z, Allen DG (2003) Biofiltration of concentrated mixtures of hydrogen sulfide and methanol. Environ Prog 22:129–136. doi:10.1002/ep.670220215
- Tachikawa M, Yamanaka K (2014) Synergistic disinfection and removal of biofilms by a sequential two-step treatment with ozone followed by hydrogen peroxide. Water Res 64:94–101. doi:10.1016/j. watres.2014.06.047
- Wang C, Xi JY, Hu HY, Yao Y (2009) Stimulative effects of ozone on biofilter treating gaseous Chlorobenzene. Environ Sci Technol 43: 9407–9412. doi:10.1021/es9019035
- Wang L, Yang C, Cheng Y, Huang J, Yang H, Zeng G, Lu L, He S (2014) Enhanced removal of ethylbenzene from gas streams in biotrickling filters by Tween-20 and Zn (II). J Environ Sci 26:2500–2507. doi:10.1016/j.jes.2014.04.011
- Wei ZS, Li HQ, He JC, Ye HQ, Huang QR, Luo YW (2013) Removal of dimethyl sulfide by the combination of non-thermal plasma and biological process. Bioresour Technol 146:451–456. doi:10.1080/10934529709376619
- Xi J, Saingam P, Gu F, Hu YH, Zhao X (2014) Effect of continuous ozone injection on performance and biomass accumulation of biofilters treating gaseous toluene. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 98:9437– 9446. doi:10.1007/s00253-014-5888-z
- Yang C, Chen H, Zeng G, Yu G, Lou S (2010) Biomass accumulation and control strategies in gas biofiltration. Biotechnol Adv 28:531–540. doi:10.1016/j.biotechadv.2010.04.002
- Zehraoui A, Hassan AA, Sorial GA (2013) Biological treatment of nhexane and methanol in trickle bed air biofilters under acidic conditions. Biochem Eng J 77:129–135. doi:10.1016/j.bej.2013.06.001
- Zhang Y, Peng A, Yang Y, Liu J, Qiu G (2013) Isolation, characterization of *Acidiphilium* sp. DX1-1 and ore bioleaching by this acidophilic mixotrophic organism. Trans Nonferrous Met Soc China 23:1774– 1782. doi:10.1016/S1003-6326(13)62660-6