ENVIRONMENTAL BIOTECHNOLOGY

Kinetics of nitrate and sulfate removal using a mixed microbial culture with or without limited-oxygen fed

Xi-jun Xu · Chuan Chen · Ai-jie Wang · Hong-liang Guo · Ye Yuan · Duu-Jong Lee · Nan-qi Ren

Received: 22 January 2014 /Accepted: 22 February 2014 /Published online: 27 March 2014 \oslash Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2014

Abstract The biological degradation of nitrate and sulfate was investigated using a mixed microbial culture and lactate as the carbon source, with or without limited-oxygen fed. It was found that sulfate reduction was slightly inhibited by nitrate, since after nitrate depletion the sulfate reduction rate increased from 0.37 mg SO_4^2 ⁻⁻/mg VSS d to 0.71 mg SO_4^2 ^{--/}mg VSS d, and the maximum rate of sulfate reduction in the presence of nitrate corresponded to 56 % of the non-inhibited sulfate reduction rate determined after nitrate depleted. However, simultaneous but not sequential reduction of both oxy-anions was observed in this study, unlike some literature reports in which sulfate reduction starts only after depletion of nitrate, and this case might be due to the fact that lactate was always kept above the limiting conditions. At limited oxygen, the inhibited effect on sulfate reduction by nitrate was relieved, and the sulfate reduction rate seemed relatively higher than that obtained without limited-oxygen fed, whereas kept almost constant $(0.86 - 0.89 \text{ mg } SO_4^{2-7} / \text{mg } VSS \text{ d})$ cross the six R_{OS} states. In contrast, nitrate reduction rates decreased substantially with the increase in the initial limitedoxygen fed, showing an inhibited effect on nitrate reduction by oxygen. Kinetic parameters determined for the mixed microbial culture showed that the maximum specific sulfate utilization rate obtained (0.098±0.022 mg $SO_4^{2-}/($ mg VSS h)) was similar to the reported typical value (0.1 mg $SO_4^{2-}/($ mg VSS h)), also indicating a moderate inhibited effect by nitrate.

State Key Laboratory of Urban Water Resource and Environment, School of Municipal and Environmental Engineering, Harbin Institute of Technology, P.O. Box 2650, 73 Huanghe Road, Nangang District, Harbin 150090, Heilongjiang, China e-mail: waj0578@hit.edu.cn e-mail: rnq@hit.edu.cn

D_{-L}Lee

Department of Chemical Engineering, National Taiwan University, Taipei 106, Taiwan

Keywords Nitrate and sulfate \cdot Kinetics \cdot Limited oxygen \cdot Competitive inhibition . Mixed microbial culture

Introduction

Nitrate $(NO₃⁻)$ contamination of surface and groundwater is a relevant problem due to its negative impact on human health, particularly for methemologlobinemia in infants. The main source of contamination is agricultural runoff, wastewater discharges, and leaching from septic tanks (Valencia et al. [2012\)](#page-8-0). The US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) recommends a maximum contaminant level of 45 mg/L for NO_3 ⁻ (USEPA [2010](#page-8-0)) and 50 mg/L by the European Union (European Community EC [1980](#page-7-0)).

Biological degradation of $NO₃⁻$ is a promising treatment for remediating water contaminated with the compounds, since NO_3^- can easily be metabolized to nitrogen gas (N_2) via denitrification by nitrate-reducing bacteria (NRB). These organisms, in anoxic conditions, use NO_3^- or nitrite NO_2^-) as electron acceptors in a reductive pathway with four specialized enzymes: nitrate reductase, nitrite reductase, nitric oxide (NO) reductase, and nitrous oxide (N_2O) reductase. The suggested sequence pathway for denitrification is NO_3^{\rightarrow} NO_2^- → NO → N_2O → N_2 (Payne [1973](#page-8-0); Knowles [1982;](#page-8-0) Rittmann and McCarty [2001](#page-8-0)).

Sulfate (SO_4^2) is often found in water as a co-contaminant of nitrate since sulfur is the major element in the production of pesticide and pharmaceutical and imposes a health risk for diarrhea in humans when SO_4^2 ⁻ is at concentrations higher than 250 mg/L (USEPA [2012](#page-8-0)). Even more important is that SO4 ²[−] reduction produces hydrogen sulfide (H2S), a corrosive, odorous, and toxic substance (Reyes-Avila et al. [2004\)](#page-8-0). Due to relatively high organic input in most waste streams, SO4 ²[−] reduction is inevitable, although it usually is an unwanted process. The use of hybrid technologies has shown

X.-j. Xu \cdot C. Chen \cdot A.-j. Wang (\boxtimes) \cdot H.-l. Guo \cdot Y. Yuan \cdot D.-J. Lee \cdot N.-q. Ren (\boxtimes)

benefits, especially if biological sulfate reduction and sulfide oxidation are combined within one stage (Xu et al. [2012](#page-8-0); Celis-Garcia et al. [2008](#page-7-0); Okabe et al. [2005;](#page-8-0) van den Ende et al. [1997\)](#page-8-0). In these technologies, a syntrophic interaction between sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB) and sulfideoxidizing bacteria (SOB) was a well developed subject to limited-oxygen fed. In particular, at limited-oxygen levels, sulfide oxidation process is believed to proceed via a limited-oxygen route (LOR), that is the SOB reduce NAD^+ without transfer electron directly to oxygen and favor the elemental sulfur (S^0) production (Klok et al. [2012\)](#page-8-0). Moreover, the resulting water produces no secondary contamination with metabolic by-product (H_2S) by exploring the sulfide and oxygen balance and determining the predominate routes for the biological desulfurization process at S^0 -forming process.

In order to optimize the process of simultaneous reduction of these oxy-anions, it is essential to elucidate the effect of nitrate on sulfate reduction kinetics. Simultaneous as well as sequential reduction, in which sulfate reduction starts only after depletion of nitrate, has been reported for mixed microbial cultures (Tang et al. [2010;](#page-8-0) Ziv-El and Rittmann [2009\)](#page-9-0). In systems operated with mixed microbial cultures, the identification of the kinetic mechanism is more difficult (Ricardo et al. [2012\)](#page-8-0), since multiple members of the microbial community can perform denitrification and/or sulfate reduction and sulfide oxidation. The first objective of this study is to elucidate the kinetics of nitrate and sulfate reduction and sulfide oxidation by a mixed microbial culture. Since the majority of nitrate-reducing bacteria can respire O_2 and denitrification process proceeds in anoxic condition, simultaneous reduction of these oxy-anions in a limited-oxygen fed bioreactor is a promising alternative, and the selectivity of S^0 formation from SO_4^2 ⁻ substrate could be improved. Thus, the second objective is to investigate the kinetics of nitrate and sulfate co-reduction at limited-oxygen fed condition with varying pure oxygen input amount in biomedium. The second objective focuses especially on how limited-oxygen fed affects nitrate and sulfate reduction kinetics with a mixed microbial culture. The possibility of mathematical modeling of nitrate and sulfate co-reduction is an important step toward understanding the wastewater treatment systems, while at yet scarce effort has been dedicated to model the coreduction dynamics, and thus the third objective of this study is to simulate the co-reduction process.

Materials and methods

Culture and medium

A mixed microbial biomass, taken from a simultaneous reduction of these oxy-anions bioreactor, was cultured in argonsparged medium containing 500 mg/L nitrate, 1,000 mg/L sulfate, and 2,500 mg/L lactate, and an overview of the microbial communities present in the inoculums is given elsewhere in the literature (Chen et al. [2008\)](#page-7-0). Cultures were grown in 250-mL serum bottles sealed with butyl rubber stoppers containing 150 mL of the prepared medium and were incubated at 30 °C with shaking. Furthermore, the medium contained 50 mg/L NH₄Cl, 50 mg/L K₂HPO₄, 1.5 g/L NaHCO₃, 50 mg/L MgSO₄, and 50 mg/L CaCl₂·2H₂O (all in demineralized water). Trace element solution was added as described by Xu et al. ([2012\)](#page-8-0). After addition of all compounds, the pH of the medium was 8.0–8.1.

Nitrate and sulfate co-bioreduction of enriched mixed microbial cultures

Five batch tests were performed using the enriched cultures as described in "Culture and medium". The batch tests were carried out in 250-mL serum bottles and inoculated with 200-mL inoculums with 8,000 mg L^{-1} volatile suspended solids (VSS). The initial sulfate and lactate concentrations were kept at 1,000 mg/L and 2.5 g/L, respectively, in all tests, whereas the initial nitrate concentration was varied from 0 to 1,000 mg/L. Before each batch test, the culture was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 20 min, and the pellets anaerobically collected were washed twice with demineralized water to remove residual substrates eliminating the disturbance of background. The biomass was then inoculated into serum bottles after which the medium was added. The bottles were flushed with argon gas for 5 min to remove oxygen from both the aqueous phase and headspace and sealed with butyl rubber stoppers and aluminum crimps. Anoxic conditions were maintained by argon in the serum bottle headspace. Samples were taken periodically for nitrate, nitrite, sulfate, sulfide, lactate, and biomass measurements. The concentration of biomass was determined according to standard methods (APHA [1995](#page-7-0)). In order to assess the steady state of the system, successive batch experiments under each tested condition were carried out until the residual substrate concentrations in serum bottle varied less than 10 %, and then the averaged results of the steady state were recorded and reported herein.

Effect of limited oxygen on nitrate, sulfate reduction, and sulfide oxidation

Six batch tests were performed using the enriched culture as described in "Culture and medium". The batch tests were carried out in 250-mL serum bottles and inoculated with 200-mL inoculums with 8,000 mg L^{-1} volatile suspended solids (VSS). The initial nitrate and sulfate concentrations were kept at 500 and 1,000 mg/L, respectively, in all tests, whereas the initial limited-oxygen addition was varied from 0 to 120 mL to generate the molar ratio of oxygen to sulfide (R_{OS}) to be 0.39, 0.77, 1.16, 1.55, 1.93, and 2.32. All other

operations were the same as that described in "[Nitrate and](#page-1-0) [sulfate co-bioreduction of enriched mixed microbial cultures](#page-1-0)". The oxygen was added according to Xu et al. ([2013](#page-8-0)). Pure oxygen was added into the headspace of each bottle immediately after the bio-medium added. Using 42.1 mM as the concentration of gaseous oxygen at 23 °C and 1 atm, the volume (V) of pure oxygen to add was calculated as

$$
V = (mM O2 wanted in solution 50-mL headspace)/41.2 mM
$$

 $= (R_{OS} mM SO₄^{2–}–S in initial bio–medium 50–mL headspace)$ =41:2 mM

Bottles were regularly sampled anaerobically. This involved syringe injection of argon to maintain pressure, prior to removing a liquid or gaseous sample (Johnston and Voordouw [2012\)](#page-8-0).

Determination of kinetic parameters for nitrate and sulfate reduction

The reported reduction rates are the maximal reduction rates, determined by linear regression through the points with the maximal rate observed. The linear regression was estimated with a minimal of 5–6 experimental values. The inclusion of experimental values stopped when the reduction rate declined, i.e., when the slope of the regression curve of substrate concentration versus time decreased. Kinetic parameters for the mixed culture were calculated assuming a competitive inhibition model for nitrate and sulfate according to that proposed by Rittmann and McCarty [\(2001](#page-8-0)). The simple Monod expressions do not predict nitrate and sulfate's effect on specific reduction rates. Competitive inhibition can be incorporated through a modifier on the K term (half-maximum rate constant), which increases the effective K value for the substrate when the inhibitor concentrations are high relative to the inhibitor's K. For estimation of kinetic parameters for bioreduction of both oxy-anions, all experimental values were considered. A non-linear regression analysis by least squares was used to estimate the following parameters: maximum nitrate and sulfate reduction rates and the half-saturation constants for nitrate and sulfate. These parameters were estimated by fitting the results of five experiments performed at different initial nitrate concentrations while keeping constant the other substrate concentrations. Computation was performed on Matlab [\(2006](#page-8-0)) (The Mathworks, Inc., USA) using a nonlinear least-squares function. The confidence intervals (95 % confidence level) for the estimated parameters were calculated assuming that the modeling errors are Gaussian distributed and that the variance is unknown.

Analytical methods

Prior to analysis, all samples were filtered through a 0.22-μm filter to remove cell debris. Using a Waters high-performance

liquid chromatography (HPLC), lactate and volatile fatty acid (e.g. acetate and propionate) concentrations were determined with a Bio-RAD Carbohydrate analysis column (Aminex, HPX-87P, 300×7.8 mm) with deionized water eluent flowing at 0.6 mL/min and a Waters 2489 UV/Visible detector at 220 nm. Nitrate, nitrite, sulfate, and thiosulfate concentrations were determined by ion chromatography (ICS-3000, Dionex, Bannockbum, IL, USA). Aqueous sulfide was determined spectrophotometrically (UV759S, Shanghai, China) with N,N-dimethyl-p-phenylene diamine (Johnston and Voordouw [2012](#page-8-0)). Concentrations of nitrogenous species (NO, N_2O , N_2) were determined by gas chromatography (GC-6890, Agilent, Foster City, CA, USA).

Results

Influence of nitrate on sulfate reduction in mixed microbial culture

The influence of nitrate on the reduction rate of sulfate in mixed microbial culture was evaluated in batch experiments, and lactate was always kept above the limiting conditions. These experiments aimed at analyzing the effect of nitrate on sulfate reduction, when nitrate and sulfate were present in concentrations of the same order of magnitude. The initial concentration of nitrate varied from 0 to 1,000 mg/L, while sulfate was kept constant (at 1,000 mg/L) in all tests. A similar reduction pattern was observed in all the experiments: a slightly low sulfate reduction rate while nitrate was being reduced, followed by an increase in sulfate reduction after nitrate depletion. As an example, for an initial nitrate concentration of 500 mg/L a maximal nitrate reduction rate of 0.95 mg NO_3^-/mg VSS d was observed immediately after inoculation, whereas, during this phase, sulfate was reduced at 0.37 mg SO₄²⁻/mg VSS d, as illustrated in Fig. [1.](#page-3-0) After depletion of nitrate, the sulfate reduction rate increased to 0.71 mg SO_4^2 ²⁻/mg VSS d. Inhibition of the sulfate reduction rate by nitrate was observed in all of the tests. After exhaustion of nitrate, the sulfate reduction rate increased from 0.18 to 0.49 mg SO_4^2 ⁻⁻/mg VSS d to values between 0.29 and 0.87 mg SO_4^2 /mg VSS d, depending on the initial concentration of nitrate tested (Fig. [2\)](#page-4-0). In the presence of nitrate, the maximum rate of sulfate reduction was 0.49 mg SO_4^2 ⁻¹/mg VSS d, which corresponded only to 56 % of its maximal reduction rate (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)). The noninhibited sulfate reduction rate (i.e., determined after nitrate was depleted) increased with the decrease in the initial nitrate concentration, reaching a maximal value of 0.87 mg SO_4^2 ²⁻/mg VSS d (Fig. [2\)](#page-4-0).

Fig. 1 Profiles of nitrate, sulfate, nitrite, sulfide, thiosulfate, and lactate concentrations in the batch tests with 1,000 mg/L sulfate and various initial nitrate concentrations: a 0, b 200, c 500, d 700, and e 1,000 mg/L

The increase in the initial nitrate concentration led to a longer phase in sulfate reduction. With 200, 500, and 700 mg/L nitrate (Fig. 1), the sulfate concentration reached to an undetectable value in less than 10 h, and reduction of sulfate led to production of sulfide. However, with 1,000 mg/ L nitrate, only 40 % of sulfate was reduced, indicating a significant inhibition effect by nitrate. Interestingly, during nitrate reduction period, a gap between sulfide concentration we measured and theoretical production from sulfate reduction was observed, indicating a potential of sulfide oxidation by nitrate-reducing, sulfide-oxidizing bacteria (NR-SOB). And this observance was in agreement with those reported by Kelly and Wood ([2000](#page-8-0)), Gevertz et al. ([2000](#page-8-0)), Greene et al. [\(2003\)](#page-8-0), Okabe et al. [\(2005](#page-8-0)), and Garcia de Lomas et al. [\(2006,](#page-7-0)

[2007\)](#page-8-0). The increase in initial nitrate concentration led to a higher level in sulfide oxidation (except for 1,000 mg/L nitrate).

Influence of limited oxygen on nitrate, sulfate reduction, and sulfide oxidation

The influence of limited oxygen on the reduction of nitrate and sulfate and oxidation of sulfide in mixed microbial cultures was investigated in batch tests. The initial concentration of limited-oxygen fed varied from 20 to 120 mL, while nitrate, sulfate, and lactate were kept constant in all tests. The results of bio-reduction of nitrate and sulfate and biooxidation of sulfide at initial limited-oxygen fed were shown in Fig. [3.](#page-4-0)

Fig. 2 Specific sulfate reduction rate when nitrate is present (full circles) and after nitrate exhaustion (open triangles), determined in batch tests with the mixed microbial culture

Complete reduction of nitrate and sulfate was achieved in all tests except 120 mL of initial oxygen fed (the sulfate rebound

may be due to sulfide oxidation). Different from the observed reduction pattern in 3.1, the inhibition of sulfate reduction by nitrate was relieved due to the presence of oxygen, and no sequential reduction of nitrate followed by sulfate was found. A high sulfate reduction rate was observed at all tests even while nitrate was being reduced. And with various initial oxygen fed, the sulfate reduction rate almost kept constant (0.86– 0.89 mg SO_4^2 ⁻¹/mg VSS d, Fig. [4\)](#page-5-0) but higher than that (0.71 mg SO_4^2 /mg VSS d) in anaerobic condition, suggesting that the sulfate reduction rate was not interfered by the oxygen addition amount. However, a decline in nitrate reduction rate was observed in all of the tests. For an initial oxygen fed of 20 mL a maximal nitrate reduction rate of 0.95 mg $NO₃⁻/mg$ VSS d was observed immediately after inoculation similar to the value obtained at anaerobic condition; whereas for an initial oxygen fed of 100 mL the maximal rate of nitrate reduction was 0.59 mg $NO₃⁻/mg$ VSS d, which

corresponded only to 62 % of its maximal reduction

Fig. 3 Profiles of nitrate, sulfate, and sulfide concentrations in the batch tests with 500 mg/L, 1,000 mg/L sulfate, and various initial oxygen concentrations: a 20, b 40, c 60, d 80, e 100, and f 120 mL

Fig. 4 Specific nitrate (open triangles) and sulfate (full circles) reduction rate determined in mixed-culture batch tests with the limited-oxygen fed

rate (Fig. 4). We would address this interpretation in the discussion section.

More importantly, the initial oxygen concentration held a strong impact on sulfide oxidation (Fig. [3](#page-4-0)). The level of sulfide oxidation increased with the increase in the initial oxygen concentration, reaching a maximal level of \sim 100 % with 100 mL of oxygen. During the sulfide oxidation phase, turbidity of the medium increased, and suspended particles were observed in the liquid. The concentration of thiosulfate, however, did not change significantly, an indication that sulfur $(S⁰)$ was the main metabolic product. The syntrophic relationship between SRB and SOB subject to limited oxygen observed in this study was in accordance with the findings reported by Xu et al. ([2012](#page-8-0)), Celis-Garcia et al. [\(2008](#page-7-0)), Okabe et al. [\(2005](#page-8-0)), and van den Ende et al. ([1997](#page-8-0)). The equation $2H_2S+O_2 \rightarrow 2S^0+2H_2O$ indicated that all sulfide could be converted to sulfur at oxygen fed of 20 mL or higher. The fact that only complete sulfide conversion to sulfur was observed at 100-mL oxygen suggested that besides sulfide oxidation, part of oxygen consumption was spent on lactate oxidation (Xu et al. [2013](#page-8-0); Johnston and Voordouw [2012](#page-8-0)).

Kinetic parameters for nitrate and sulfate bio-reduction

Kinetic parameters for nitrate and sulfate bio-reduction were estimated based on Monod kinetic expressions incorporated with a competitive inhibition modifier to predict nitrate and sulfate's effect on specific reduction rates. It was assumed that the majority of the microbial population was responsible for nitrate and sulfate reduction and sulfide-oxidizing bacterial was few as described in "[Influence of nitrate on sulfate reduc](#page-2-0)[tion in mixed microbial culture](#page-2-0)". Since a mixed microbial culture was used, the estimated parameters represent average values, lumping the intrinsic kinetic constants for the individual pure strains composing the microbial community. The

kinetic equations for nitrate, sulfate, and biomass, considering the inhibition model, can be defined by:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}S_{\mathrm{s}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = -q_{\mathrm{s,max}} \frac{S_{\mathrm{s}}}{S_{\mathrm{s}} + K_{\mathrm{s}}(1 + (S_{\mathrm{n}}/K_{\mathrm{n}}))} X \tag{1}
$$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}S_{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = -q_{\mathrm{n,max}} \frac{S_{\mathrm{n}}}{S_{\mathrm{n}} + K_{\mathrm{n}}(1 + (S_{\mathrm{s}}/K_{\mathrm{s}}))} X \tag{2}
$$

$$
\frac{dX}{dt} = Y_s q_{s,\text{max}} \frac{S_s}{S_s + K_s (1 + (S_n/K_n))} X + Y_n q_{n,\text{max}} \frac{S_n}{S_n + K_n (1 + (S_s/K_s))} X - bX
$$
(3)

where S is the substrate concentration (mg/L), t is the time (h), q_{max} is the maximum specific substrate utilization rate (mg S/ mg VSS h), K is the half-saturation constant (mg/L), and X is the biomass concentration measured as mg VSS/L. Y is the yield coefficient (mg VSS/mg S), and b is the endogenous biomass decay rate (d^{-1}) . The subscripts represent nitrate (n) and sulfate (s), respectively. In the present work, the cultures were well developed and adapted granular sludge, and the whole experiments lasted for a short period, and thus the biomass concentration was specially assumed to keep constant, proven to be reasonable by our measurements (Fig. [5\)](#page-6-0).

The competitive inhibition model describes accurately both the nitrate and sulfate concentration decay profiles in all experiments (performed at five different initial nitrate concentrations). As an example, Fig. [5](#page-6-0) illustrates the estimated concentration profiles by the model (full lines), with squared correlation coefficients (R^2) of 0.99 for both nitrate and sulfate for an experiment with initial concentrations of 1,000 mg/L of sulfate and 500 mg/L of nitrate.

The q_{max} value obtained for the mixed microbial cultures was 0.042 ± 0.002 mg NO₃⁻/(mg VSS h) for nitrate and 0.098 ± 0.022 mg SO₄²⁻/(mg VSS h) for sulfate due to a higher concentration of sulfate and non-limiting lactate in the biomedium both at all tests and microbial enrichment period. Furthermore, the $q_{s, max}$ values obtained were similar to values reported in the literature, which are typically of 0.1 mg SO_4^2 (mg VSS h) (Moosa et al. [2002](#page-8-0); Xu et al. [2013\)](#page-8-0). This finding further proved that no obvious inhibition effect on sulfate reduction by nitrate was observed when lactate was always kept above the limiting conditions. The K value obtained was tenfold lower for nitrate (76.3±4.65 mg $NO₃⁻/L$) than for sulfate (939.1±75.07 mg SO_4^{2-}/L), and the lower value of K_n compared to that of K_s indicated a higher affinity of the culture for nitrate. In a hydrogen-fed biofilms, Valencia et al.

Fig. 5 A mixed-culture batch test for reduction of 500 nitrate and 1,000 mg/L sulfate. Solid lines represent estimated values using the competitive inhibition model

[\(2012](#page-8-0)) and Zhao et al. ([2013\)](#page-9-0) also observed that nitratereducing bacteria outcompeted for the electrons than sulfate reducing bacteria, suggesting a slight preference for this electron acceptor. This was explained by higher energy yield of denitrification over sulfate reduction and moreover slower grow rate by SRB. The value obtained for K_n by the mixed culture, 76.3 mg NO_3^-/L , is within the values reported in the literature: 1.12–155.43 mg $NO₃⁻/L$ (von Schulthess and Gujer [1996;](#page-8-0) An et al. [2011\)](#page-7-0), and this deviation was probably caused by the different microbial communities and cultivation conditions, such as substrate type, nutrient concentration, operating conditions, and many factors. The estimated value of K_s (939.1 mg SO_4^2 ⁻⁻/L) was relatively above the reported value in the literature. Studying the production of methane with livestock waste as the carbon source, Chen and Hashimoto [\(1980\)](#page-7-0) found K_s value for bioreduction of sulfate to be varied between 18 and 33 mg $SO_4^2^-/L$. Studying the reduction of sulfate by a mixed SRB culture in a continuous UASB, Visser [\(1995\)](#page-8-0) found K_s to be 33 mg SO₄²⁻/L for a granular biomass system and 18 mg SO_4^2 ⁻⁻/L for a freely suspended cell system. Studying a pure culture of Desulfobacter postgatei in a continuous stirred tank reactor under sulfate limitation, Ingvorsen et al. ([1984](#page-8-0)) found K_s to be 24 mg SO_4^{2-}/L . Studying a mixed culture of anaerobic bacteria containing acidogenic bacteria, methanogenic bacteria, and SRB, Moosa et al. ([2002](#page-8-0)) found K_s to be 27–125 mg SO₄^{2–}/L. The obtained K_s value here indicated a lower sulfate affinity and a suppressed SRB activity by nitrate. The estimated $q_{n,\text{max}}$ value of 0.042 mg NO₃⁻/ (mg VSS h) is lower than the values obtained by Ni et al. [\(2011](#page-8-0)), An et al. [\(2011](#page-7-0)), and Vasiliadou et al. [\(2006\)](#page-8-0), and this average value suggested that the VSS measured comprised not only nitrate-reducing bacteria but also other bacteria present in the mixed population. During the period of both oxy-anions reduction, a strong deviation from the nitrate and sulfate/ lactate stoichiometric ratio was observed (Fig. 5). This suggested that other organisms, such as, e.g., fermentative bacteria, may be consuming lactate. Under anoxic conditions,

fermentative bacteria may use lactate as a carbon source and electron acceptor to produce acetic acid via homoacetogenic reactions. In fact, acetic acid could be detected during the batch experiments, and a pH decrease could also be observed. As a consequence, the value of $q_{n,\text{max}}$ was underestimated.

Discussion

The inhibition of sulfate reduction by nitrate observed in this study is in agreement with the findings of other studies performed with pure and mixed microbial cultures (Zhao et al. [2013](#page-9-0); Valencia et al. [2012;](#page-8-0) Tang et al. [2010;](#page-8-0) Ziv-El and Rittmann [2009](#page-9-0); Kaster et al. [2007](#page-8-0); Hubert and Voordouw [2007;](#page-8-0) Greene et al. [2003\)](#page-8-0). Studying a mixed microbial culture, Valencia et al. ([2012](#page-8-0)) observed complete inhibition of sulfate reduction by nitrate (in concentrations from 44 to 110 mg/L) since sulfate (with an initial concentration of 46 mg/L) reduction initiated only after complete nitrate reduction. Also, a few studies were conducted to investigate the onset of sulfate reduction in a membrane biofilm reactor (MBfR) for denitrification and concluded that sulfate reduction occurred when nitrate was almost completely reduced (Ziv-El and Rittmann [2009;](#page-9-0) Tang et al. [2010\)](#page-8-0) and the same reduction pattern was also observed when bio-reduction of both nitrate and perchlorate (Gal et al. [2008;](#page-7-0) Ricardo et al. [2012\)](#page-8-0); whereas in this study, we found that during the nitrate reduction phase, sulfate reduction also occurred at a relatively moderate rate which greatly differed from those reported by Ziv-El and Rittmann [\(2009\)](#page-9-0) and Tang et al. [\(2010\)](#page-8-0). No strictly sequential reduction but a slight decrease in the sulfate reduction rate due to the presence of nitrate was observed, and this case might be due to the non-limiting carbon source (lactate) and different microbial communities. The mechanism on the inhibition of sulfate reduction by nitrate was complex. Since sulfate reduction yields \sim 16 % of the energy of denitrification and SRB grow proportionally slower than do nitrate-reducing bacteria

(Thauer et al. [1977;](#page-8-0) Zumft [1992\)](#page-9-0), nitrate reduction is thermodynamically more exergonic than sulfate reduction, and nitrate inhibiting sulfate reduction might be due to electron donor competition when limited (Zhang et al. [2008](#page-8-0)); whereas other studies showed that inhibition of sulfate reduction by nitrate was attributed to accumulation of denitrification intermediates, nitrite (Greene et al. [2003\)](#page-8-0). On the other hand, Garcia-de-Lomas et al. ([2007](#page-8-0)) observed a simultaneous reduction of both nitrate and sulfate and sulfide elimination in the water phase with nitrate stimulation of the indigenous NR-SOB rather than inhibition of the sulfate reduction activity. In the mixed cultures, this relationship is even more complex, since they may be composed of specialized NRB, SRB, and NR-SOB. Thus, NRB and SRB may compete for the common electron donor (lactate); meanwhile, NRB and NR-SOB may compete for the common electron acceptor (nitrate). Following this hypothesis, in the presence of both oxyanions and non-limiting lactate, a reduced number of SRB might have been responsible for the lower initial sulfate reduction rate, while after complete nitrate reduction, the majority of SRB started to use sulfate; thus, a maximal sulfate reduction rate was achieved. Nevertheless, during the whole process, NR-SOB was always not actively responsible for low sulfide oxidation.

During the last decades extensive literature on SRB indicates that the anaerobic sulfate-reducing bacteria are able to survive or even take advantage of the presence of molecular oxygen, which can be classified into three categories (Dannenberg et al. 1992): (i) Sulfate-reducing bacteria are to some extent O_2 tolerant, and they remain viable for hours or even days when exposed to O_2 ; (ii) sulfate-reducing bacteria are able to reduce sulfate in the presence of O_2 , and sulfate reducers are found in high numbers near or within the anoxic/ oxic interfaces in sediments; and (iii) sulfate-reducing bacteria can respire with O_2 coupled with utilization H_2 , various organic compounds, and even sulfur compounds as electron donor, with rates comparable to those of aerobic bacteria, e.g., Desulfovibrio desulfuricans. Furthermore, anaerobic environment created by oxygen-mediated sulfide oxidation could favor SRB to function well in this study (Celis-Garcia et al. 2008). The inhibition of nitrate reduction by oxygen in this study was also reported by many other researchers (Plosz et al. [2003;](#page-8-0) Oh and Silverstein [1999;](#page-8-0) Kornaros and Lyberatos [1998;](#page-8-0) Stouthamer [1988](#page-8-0); Tiedje [1988](#page-8-0)). Studying the factors that influence the deterioration in open anoxic reactor, Plosz et al. [\(2003\)](#page-8-0) showed that oxygen entering an anoxic reactor through the surface may not just affect denitrification metabolically, but also kinetically, due to increased dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration exerting an inhibitory effect on the denitrification rate. In the work reported by Oh and Silverstein [\(1999\)](#page-8-0), it was shown that mixed liquor DO as low as 0.09 mg/ L was found to significantly inhibit denitrification, resulting in a rate decrease of 35 %. While some researchers have reported

that pure strains of denitrifying bacteria grow simultaneously using both oxygen and nitrate electron acceptors (Robertson and Kuenen [1984;](#page-8-0) Robertson et al. [1988;](#page-8-0) Bell et al. 1990; Hooijmans et al. [1990](#page-8-0); Patureau et al. [1994](#page-8-0)), oxygen appears to be available as an alternate and energetically preferable electron acceptor for facultative denitrifying bacteria and regulates synthesis of nitrate reductase enzyme and inhibits denitrification in pure cultures of facultative denitrifying bacteria so that substrate electrons flow to oxygen cytochromes (Stouthamer [1988;](#page-8-0) Wu et al. [1994\)](#page-8-0). Therefore, oxygen may compete with nitrate for the same enzymes, resulting in a lower nitrate reduction rate.

Acknowledgments This research was supported by the National Hightech R&D Program of China (863 Program, Grant No. 2011AA060904), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 51176037 and 51308147), Project 51121062 (National Creative Research Groups), the State Key Laboratory of Urban Water Resource and Environment (2012DX06), and the Academician Workstation Construction in Guangdong Province (2012B090500018).

Reference

- American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association & Water Pollution Control Federation (APHA, AWWA & WPCF) (1995) Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. In: Eaton AD, Clesceri LS, Greenberg AE (Eds.), Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. Port City, Baltimore
- An SJ, Stone H, Nemati M (2011) Biological removal of nitrate by an oil reservoir culture capable of autotrophic and heterotrophic activities: kinetic evaluation and modeling of the heterotrophic process. J Hazard Mater 190:686–693
- Bell LC, Richardson DJ, Ferguson SJ (1990) Periplasmic and membranebound respiratory nitrate reductases in Thiosphaera pantotropha. FEMS Lett 265:85–87
- Celis-Garcia LB, Gonzalez-Blanco G, Meraz M (2008) Removal of sulfur inorganic compounds by a biofilm of sulfate reducing and sulfide oxidizing bacteria in a down-flow fluidized bed reactor. J Chem Technol Biotechnol 83:260–268
- Chen YR, Hashimoto AG (1980) Substrate utilization kinetic model for biological treatment processes. Biotechnol Bioeng 22:2081–2095
- Chen C, Ren NQ, Wang AJ, Yu ZG, Lee DJ (2008) Microbial community of granules in expanded granular sludge bed reactor for simultaneous biological removal of sulfate, nitrate and lactate. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 79:1071–1077
- Dannenberg S, Kroder M, Dilling W, Cypionka H (1992) Oxidation of H2, organic compounds and inorganic sulfur compounds coupled to reduction of $O₂$ or nitrate by sulfate-reducing bacteria. Arch Microbiol 158:93–99
- European Community (EC) (1980) Council directive of 15 July 1980 relating to the quality of water intended for human consumption. Off J Eur Commun 23(L229):11
- Gal H, Ronen Z, Weisbrod N, Dahan O, Ronit N (2008) Perchlorate biodegradation in contaminated soils and the deep unsaturated zone. Soil Biol Biochem 40:1751–1757
- Garica de Lomas J, Corzo A, Gonzalez JM, Andrades JA, Iglesias E, Montero MJ (2006) Nitrate promotes biological oxidation of sulfide in wastewaters: experiment at plant-scale. Biotechnol Bioeng 93: 801–811
- Garcia de Lomas J, Corzo A, Portillo MC, Gonzalez JM, Andrades JA, Saiz-Jimenez C, Garcia-Robledo E (2007) Nitrate stimulation of indigenous nitrate-reducing, sulfide-oxidizing bacterial community in wastewater anaerobic biofilms. Water Res 41: 3121–3131
- Gevertz D, Telang AJ, Voordouw G, Jenneman GE (2000) Isolation and characterization of strains of CVO and FWKO B. Two novel nitratereducing, sulfide-oxidizing bacteria isolated from oilfield brine. Appl Environ Microbiol 67:2491–2501
- Greene EA, Hubert C, Nemati M, Jenneman GE, Voordouw G (2003) Nitrite reductase activity of sulfate-reducing bacteria prevents their inhibition by nitrate-reducing, sulfide-oxidizing bacteria. Environ Microbiol 5:607–617
- Hooijmans CM, Geraats SGM, van Neil EWJ, Robertson LA, Heijnen JJ, Luyben K (1990) Determination of growth and coupled nitrification/ denitrification by immobilized Thiosphaera pantotropha using measurement and modeling of oxygen profiles. Biotechnol Bioeng 36: 931–939
- Hubert C, Voordouw G (2007) Oil field souring control by nitratereducing Sulphurospirillum spp. that outcompete sulfate-reducing bacteria for organic electron donors. Appl Environ Microbiol 73: 2644–2652
- Ingvorsen K, Zehnder AJB, Jorgensen BB (1984) Kinetics of sulfate uptake by Desulfobacter postgatei. Appl Environ Microbiol 47: 403–408
- Johnston SL, Voordouw G (2012) Sulfate-reducing bacteria lower sulfurmediated pitting corrosion under conditions of oxygen ingress. Environ Sci Technol 46:9183–9190
- Kaster KM, Grigoriyan A, Jenneman G, Voordouw G (2007) Effect of nitrate and nitrite on sulfide production by two thermophilic, sulfatereducing enrichments from an oil field in the North Sea. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 75:195–203
- Kelly DP, Wood AP (2000) Confirmation of Thiobacillus denitrificans as a species of genus Thiobacillus, in the β-subclass of the Proteobacteria, with strain NCIMB 9548 as the type strain. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 50:547–550
- Klok JBM, van den Bosch PLF, Buisman CJN, Stams AJM, Keesman KJ, Janssen AJH (2012) Pathways of sulfide oxidation by haloalkaliphilic bacteria in limited-oxygen gas lift bioreactors. Environ Sci Technol 46:7581–7586

Knowles R (1982) Denitrification. Microbiol Rev 46:43–70

Kornaros M, Lyberatos G (1998) Kinetic modeling of Pseudomonas denitrificans growth and denitrification under aerobic, anoxic and transient operating conditions. Water Res 32:1912–1922

Matlab (2006) The Mathworks, Inc., Natick, MA, USA

- Moosa S, Nemati M, Harrison STL (2002) A kinetic study on anaerobic reduction of sulfate, Part I: effect of sulfate concentration. Chem Eng Sci 57:2773–2780
- Ni BJ, Ruscalleda M, Pellicer-Nacher C, Smets BF (2011) Modeling nitrous oxide production during biological nitrogen removal via nitrification and denitrification: extensions to the general ASM models. Environ Sci Technol 45:7768–7776
- Oh J, Silverstein J (1999) Oxygen inhibition of activated sludge denitrification. Water Res 33:1925–1937
- Okabe S, Ito T, Sugita K, Satoh H (2005) Succession of internal sulfur cycles and sulfur-oxidizing bacterial communities in microaerophilic wastewater biofilms. Appl Environ Microbiol 71: 2520–2529
- Patureau D, Davison J, Bernet N, Moletta R (1994) Denitrification under various aeration conditions in Comamonas sp., strain SGLY 2. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 14:71–78
- Payne WJ (1973) Reduction of nitrogenous oxides by microorganisms. Bacteriol Rev 37:409–452
- Plosz BG, Jobbagy A, Grady CPL Jr (2003) Factors influencing deterioration of denitrification by oxygen entering an anoxic reactor through the surface. Water Res 37:853–863
- Reyes-Avila J, Razo-Flores E, Gomez J (2004) Simultaneous biological removal of nitrogen, carbon and sulfur by denitrification. Water Res 38:3313–3321
- Ricardo AR, Garvalho G, Velizarou S, Crespo JG, Reis MAM (2012) Kinetics of nitrate and perchlorate removal and biofilms stratification in an ion exchange membrane bioreactor. Water Res 46:4556– 4568
- Rittmann BE, McCarty PL (2001) Environmental biotechnology: principles and application. McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc, New York
- Robertson LA, Kuenen JG (1984) Aerobic denitrification: a controversy revived. Arch Microbiol 139:351–354
- Robertson LA, van Niel EWJ, Torremans RAM, Kuenen JG (1988) Simultaneous nitrification and denitrification in aerobic chemostat culture of Thiosphaera pantotropha. Appl Environ Microbiol 54: 2812–2818
- Stouthamer AH (1988) Dissimilatory reduction of oxidized nitrogen compounds. In: Zehnder AJB (ed) Biology of anaerobic microorganisms. John Wiley & Sons, New York
- Tang Y, Ziv-El M, Zhou C, Shin JH, Ahn CH, Meyer K, Candelaria D, Friese D, Overstreet R, Scott R, Rittmann BE (2010) Bioreduction of nitrate in groundwater using a pilot-scale hydrogen-based membrane biofilm reactor. Front Environ Sci Eng China 4:280–285
- Thauer RK, Jungermann K, Decker K (1977) Energy conservation in chemotrophic anaerobic bacteria. Bacteriol Rev 41:100–180
- Tiedje JM (1988) Ecology of denitrification and dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium. In: Zehnder AJB (ed) Biology of anaerobic microorganisms. John Wiley & Sons, New York
- US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) (2010) National primary drinking water regulations; announcement of the results of EPA's review of existing drinking water standards and request for public comment and/or information on related issues. Fed Regist 75(59): 15500–15572
- US Environmental Protection Agency. Sulfate in drinking water. [http://](http://water.epa.gov/drink/contaminats/unregulated/sulfate.cfm) [water.epa.gov/drink/contaminats/unregulated/sulfate.cfm.](http://water.epa.gov/drink/contaminats/unregulated/sulfate.cfm) Accessed 7 Jun 2012
- Valencia AO, Ziv-El M, Zhao HP, Feng L, Rittmann BE, Krajmalnik-Brown R (2012) Interactions between nitrate-reducing and sulfatereducing bacteria coexisting in a hydrogen-fed biofilm. Environ Sci Technol 46:11289–11298
- van den Ende FP, Meier J, van Gemerden H (1997) Syntrophic growth of sulfate-reducing bacteria and colorless sulfur bacteria during oxygen limitation. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 23:65–80
- Vasiliadou IA, Pavlou S, Vayenas DV (2006) A kinetic study of hydrogenotrophic denitrification. Process Biochem 41:1401–1408
- Visser A (1995) The anaerobic treatment of sulfate containing wastewater. Ph.D. thesis. Wageningen Argricultural University, Wageningen, The Netherlands
- von Schulthess R, Gujer W (1996) Release of nitrous oxide (N_2O) from denitrifying activated sludge: verification and application of a mathematical model. Water Res 30:521–530
- Wu Q, Knowles R, Niven DF (1994) O_2 regulation of denitrification in Flexibacter Canadensis. Can J Microbiol 40:916–921
- Xu XJ, Chen C, Wang AJ, Fang N, Yuan Y, Ren NQ, Lee DJ (2012) Enhanced elementary sulfur recovery in integrated sulfate-reducing, sulfur-producing reactor under micro-aerobic condition. Bioresour Technol 116:517–521
- Xu XJ, Chen C, Lee DJ, Wang AJ, Guo WQ, Zhou X, Guo HL, Yuan Y, Ren NQ, Chang JS (2013) Sulfate-reduction, sulfide-oxidation and elemental sulfur bioreduction process: modeling and experimental validation. Bioresour Technol 147:202–211
- Zhang LH, De Schryver P, De Gusseme B, De Muynck W, Boon N, Verstrate W (2008) Chemical and biological technologies for hydrogen sulfide emission control in sewer systems: a review. Water Res 42:1–12
- Zhao HP, Valencia AO, Tang YN, Kim BO, Ilhan ZE, Krajmalnik-Brown R, Rittmann BE (2013) Using a two-stage hydrogen-based membrane biofilms reactor (MBfR) to achieve complete perchlorate reduction in the present of nitrate and sulfate. Environ Sci Technol 47:1565–1572
- Ziv-El M, Rittmann BE (2009) Water-quality assessment after treatment in a membrane biofilms reactor. J Am Water Works Assoc 101(12):77–83
- Zumft WG (1992) The denitrifying prokaryotes. In: Balows AB, Truper HG, Dworkin M, Harder W, Schleifer KH (eds) The prokaryotes. Springer-Verlag, New York, pp 554–582