

Lessons from the genomes of extremely acidophilic bacteria and archaea with special emphasis on bioleaching microorganisms

Juan Pablo Cárdenas · Jorge Valdés · Raquel Quatrini · Francisco Duarte · David S. Holmes

Received: 30 June 2010 / Revised: 22 July 2010 / Accepted: 22 July 2010 / Published online: 10 August 2010
© Springer-Verlag 2010

Abstract This mini-review describes the current status of recent genome sequencing projects of extremely acidophilic microorganisms and highlights the most current scientific advances emerging from their analysis. There are now at least 56 draft or completely sequenced genomes of acidophiles including 30 bacteria and 26 archaea. There are also complete sequences for 38 plasmids, 29 viruses, and additional DNA sequence information of acidic environments is available from eight metagenomic projects. A special focus is provided on the genomics of acidophiles from industrial bioleaching operations. It is shown how this initial information provides a rich intellectual resource for microbiologists that has potential to open innovative and efficient research avenues. Examples presented illustrate the use of genomic information to construct preliminary models of metabolism of individual microorganisms. Most importantly, access to multiple genomes allows the prediction of metabolic and genetic interactions between members of the bioleaching microbial community (ecophysiology) and the

investigation of major evolutionary trends that shape genome architecture and evolution. Despite these promising beginnings, a major conclusion is that the genome projects help focus attention on the tremendous effort still required to understand the biological principles that support life in extremely acidic environments, including those that might allow engineers to take appropriate action designed to improve the efficiency and rate of bioleaching and to protect the environment.

Keywords Acidophiles · Genomics · Bioinformatics · Metabolic reconstruction · Ecophysiology

Initial considerations

We define “extreme acidophiles” as those organisms whose growth optimum is $< \text{pH}3$. At present, genomic information is available only for bacteria and archaea, although eukaryotic microorganisms are abundant in some acidophilic environments (Johnson 2008; Baker et al. 2009; Cid et al. 2010).

Bioleaching (or biomining) refers to the use of microorganisms to solubilize metals, principally copper, from ores (Rawlings and Johnson 2007). Bioleaching occurs in heaps of crushed ore. A related process, termed biooxidation, takes place in stirred reactors and is used principally for the recovery of gold (Rawlings and Johnson 2007). Both bioleaching and biooxidation use many similar microorganisms, and both can potentially result in the production of acid mine drainage (AMD). Acid rock drainage (ARD) is similar to AMD, but results from natural processes (e.g., thermal acid springs). The genomics of bacteria and archaea from both man-made and natural acidic environments will be considered in this review,

J. P. Cárdenas · J. Valdés · R. Quatrini · F. Duarte · D. S. Holmes (✉)
Center for Bioinformatics and Genome Biology,
Fundación Ciencia para la Vida,
Avda. Zañartu 1482,
Santiago, Chile
e-mail: dsholmes2000@yahoo.com

J. P. Cárdenas · J. Valdés · F. Duarte · D. S. Holmes
Depto. de Ciencias Biológicas, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas,
Universidad Andrés Bello,
Santiago, Chile

Present Address:

J. Valdés
Computational Genomics Laboratory, Center for Bioinformatics
and Molecular Simulations, Universidad de Talca,
Santiago, Chile

although the principle thrust will be on bioleaching environments. Principles that emerge from this focus on bioleaching might be applicable, at least in part, to an understanding of the biology of biooxidation, AMD and ARD (and vice versa).

This mini-review focuses on work that uses genomics, bioinformatics, and “omics” derivatives (transcriptomics, proteomics, metagenomics, etc.) as the principal sources of information. Information has also been included that is derived from a fusion of omics approaches with experimentally oriented research. However, papers that describe almost exclusively experimental results have not been evaluated. Many important literature citations are not reported in this mini-review, and attention is drawn to other reviews where the missing information can be found (Valenzuela et al. 2006; Holmes and Bonnefoy 2007; Quatrini et al. 2007c; Jerez 2008; Siezen and Wilson 2009; Bonnefoy 2010).

Lots of genomes, but are they sufficient?

The first sequenced genome of an extreme acidophile was the bioleaching γ -proteobacterium *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* ATCC 23270 published in draft form over a decade ago (Selkov et al. 2000). There are now at least 56 genomes of extreme acidophiles completed or in progress with representatives of bacteria (30 genomes, Tables 1 and 3) and archaea (26 genomes, Tables 2 and 3). There are also eight metagenome projects of extremely acidic environments, four of which are associated with the AMD of Iron Mountain. The latter provide sufficient metagenomic sequence coverage to describe draft genomes of four bacterial and nine archaeal species (Table 3). In addition, complete sequences have been determined for 38 plasmids (Table 4) and 29 viruses (Table 5) from acidic environments.

Representatives of psychrotolerant, mesophilic, moderately thermophilic and thermophilic microorganisms Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria and archaea have been or are being sequenced, providing a first glimpse of the genomics of acidophilic life over a range of environmental conditions. An important question is whether this genome information is sufficient to provide a reasonably complete description of the genomic complexity and, by inference, of the full metabolic potential present in bioleaching operations. It is suggested that the answer to this question is “no” for two major reasons.

First, it is clear that the currently available genomic information has been significantly underexploited as a resource for information, and there is much more that can be squeezed from the existing data. For example, Table 1 shows the presence or absence of nine metabolic features or

characteristics (Fe(II) oxidation, sulfur oxidation, Fe(III) reduction, N₂ fixation, nitrate reduction, presence of flagellum, type of tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA) cycle, -trophly and CO₂ fixation) for 26 bacterial genomes, predicted from an analysis of the respective genomes. This sums to a total of 234 descriptive features (26 genomes \times nine properties). However, inspection of Table 1 shows that 91 or 39% of these features remain to be evaluated (shown by “?” in Table 1). These lacunae need to be filled. Obviously, there are many additional metabolic properties not presented in the Tables that can be predicted from the existing genome data but, as yet, have not been determined.

Second, considerably more microbial diversity is now recognized than was apparent in initial surveys of bioleaching heaps and other acidic environments (Demergasso et al. 2010; González-Toril et al. 2010). For example, a recent study of the variation of 16S rRNA gene sequences of *Acidimicrobium* spp. revealed extensive strain variation that was so substantial that it might include new species or even new genera (Schippers et al. 2010). In addition, classical techniques of microbial identification can significantly underestimate the true genetic diversity even within a species. For example, although 100% identical at the 16S rRNA gene sequence level, two strains of *A. ferrooxidans* have 16% difference in their gene content (Valdés et al. 2010). Clearly, a metagenomic and/or metatranscriptomic approach would help assess the genetic variability present during bioleaching. Although several such cultivation-independent projects have been carried out on AMD, none have evaluated the composition and/or dynamics of bioleaching consortia.

It is also unlikely that the full range of bioleaching habitats has been sampled for microbial diversity, especially if one considers the spatial and temporal variations known to occur in bioleaching heaps and the significant variety of mineral substrates being bioleached in different parts of the world, all of which contribute to the diversification of habitat. There are likely to be many discoveries in the future of novel microorganisms that contribute to bioleaching.

Another issue of concern is that many genome sequencing projects were carried out on strains that had been maintained in the laboratory, some for decades, allowing the potential accumulation of genetic modifications such as genome rearrangements, mutations and gene loss. For example, *A. ferrooxidans* ATCC 19859 is known to possess transposable elements in which mobilization in laboratory growth conditions affect genotype and phenotype (Cabrejos et al. 1999). However, several of the new genome projects, for example those currently underway at the BHPB-FCV-UCN, Biosigma and others listed as “personal communication” (see Tables 1 and 2), describe microorganisms that have been isolated directly from bioleaching heaps with

Table 1 Draft in progress and complete acidophilic bacterial genomes

Organism	Taxonomy	Genome Status	Institute	Accession	Fe(II) oxidation	Sulfur oxidation	Fe(III) reduction	N ₂ Fixation	Nitrate reduction	Flagellum	TCA cycle	-troph	CO ₂ fix pathway	Genome Publication
<i>Acidimicrobium ferrooxidans</i> DSM 10331	Actinobacteria	Complete	DOE-JGI,DSMZ	NC_013124							C	FA	CBB	Clum et al. 2009
<i>Acidithiobaculum</i> sp. P2	Actinobacteria	In progress	-	-	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	P. Norris pers. comm
<i>Acidiphilium cryptum</i> JF-5	α-proteobacteria	Complete	DOE-JGI	NC_009484					G		C	FA	CBB	Unpublished
<i>Acidiphilium</i> sp.	α-proteobacteria	In progress	-	-	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	P. San Martín-Uriz and M. J. Gomez pers. comm.
<i>Acidithiobacillus caldus</i> ATCC-51756	γ-proteobacteria	Draft	FCV	ACVD000000000			?		G	G	H	OA	CBB	Valdes et al. 2009
<i>Acidithiobacillus ferrovarans</i>	γ-proteobacteria	In progress	-	-	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	M. Dopsos pers. comm.
<i>Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans</i> ATCC 23270	γ-proteobacteria	Complete	JCVI	NC_011761						G	H	OA	CBB	Valdes et al. 2008
<i>Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans</i> ATCC 53993	γ-proteobacteria	Complete	DOE-JGI	NC_011206						G	H	OA	CBB	Unpublished
<i>Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans</i> str. DSM 16786	γ-proteobacteria	Not publicly available	Biosigma	-	?	?	?	G	?	?	?	OA	CBB	Levicán et al. 2008
<i>Acidithiobacillus thiooxidans</i> 4L	γ-proteobacteria	In progress	BHPB-FCV-UCN	-	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Unpublished
<i>Acidithiobacillus thiooxidans</i> DSM 17318	γ-proteobacteria	Not publicly available	Biosigma	-	?	?	?	G	G	?	?	OA	CBB	Levicán et al. 2008
<i>Acidithiobacillus thiooxidans</i> ATCC 19377	γ-proteobacteria	In progress	FCV	-					G	G	H	OA	CBB	Unpublished
<i>Acidithiobaculum</i> sp. JTC04	γ-proteobacteria	In progress	BHPB-FCV-UCN	-	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Unpublished
<i>Acidobacterium capsulatum</i> ATCC 51196	Acidobacteriales	Complete	JCVI - Los Alamos	NC_012483						G	C	H	-	Ward et al. 2009
<i>Alicyclobacillus acidocaldarius</i> LAA1	Bacillales	Draft	DOE-JGI	ACCS000000000							C	H	-	Unpublished
<i>Hydrogenobaculum</i> sp. Y04AAS1	Aquificae	Complete	DOE-JGI	NC_011126		G				G	R	FA	rTCA	Reysenbach et al. 2009
<i>Leptospirillum ferriphilum</i> DSM 17947	Nitrospira	Not publicly available	Biosigma	-	?	?	?	?	?	?	R	OA	rTCA	Levicán et al. 2008
<i>Leptospirillum ferriphilum</i> SPCL	Nitrospira	In progress	BHPB-FCV-UCN	-	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Unpublished
<i>Leptospirillum ferrooxidans</i>	Nitrospira	Partial library sequence	-	-		?					R	OA	rTCA	Parro et al. 2007
<i>Methylophilum inferorum</i> V4	Verrucomicrobia	Complete	UH	NC_010794				G	?		C	Am	CBB	Hou et al. 2008
<i>Sulfobacillus acidophilus</i> DSM 10332	Clostridia	Draft	DOE-JGI	-						G	C	FA	CBB	Unpublished
<i>Sulfobacillus thermosulfidooxidans</i> CBAR 13	Clostridia	In progress	BHPB-FCV-UCN	-	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Unpublished
<i>Sulfurihydrogenibium</i> sp. YO3AOP1	Aquificae	Complete	DOE-JGI	NC_010730		G				G	R	FA	rTCA	Reysenbach et al. 2009
" <i>Thiobacillus prosperus</i> "-like strain M7	γ-proteobacteria	In progress	-	-	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	P. Norris pers. comm
<i>Thiomonas intermedia</i> K-12	β-proteobacteria	Draft	DOE-JGI	ACXV0100000						G	C	FA	CBB	Unpublished
<i>Thiomonas</i> sp. 3A	β-proteobacteria	Complete	Genoscope	FP475956		G					C	FA	CBB	Aréne-Ploetze et al. 2010

Genomes are linked to metabolic information: *black* metabolic pathway or feature present, *white* metabolic pathway or feature absent, ? no information available. The NCBI accession number is provided when available except FP475956 (EMBL accession number). *G* unpublished data from the FCV, *H* "horseshoe" incomplete TCA cycle, *R* reductive complete TCA cycle, *C* oxidative complete TCA cycle, *I* incomplete predicted TCA cycle, *trophy* life style (where *MH* mixotroph, *H* heterotroph, *FA* facultative autotroph, *Am* obligate methylotroph, and *OA* obligate autotroph); *CBB* Calvin Benson cycle, *rTCA* reverse tricarboxylic acid cycle, *m3HP* modified 3-hydroxypropionate pathway, *DSMZ* German Resource Centre for Biological Material, *JCVI* J. Craig Venter Institute, *DOE* Department of Energy, *JGI* Joint Genome Institute, *KU* University of Copenhagen, *BHPB* BHP Billiton, *FCV* Fundación Ciencia para la Vida, Chile; *UCN* Universidad Católica del Norte, Chile; *UC* University of California, *UH* University of Hawaii

Table 2 Draft in progress and complete acidophilic archaeal genomes

Organism	Taxonomy	Genome Status	Institution	Accession	Fe(II) oxidation	Sulfur oxidation	Fe(III) reduction	N ₂ Fixation	Nitrate reduction	Flagellum	TCA cycle	-tropy	CO ₂ fix pathway	Genome Publication			
<i>Acidianus brierleyi</i>	Sulfobales	In progress	KU	-			?				C	FA	m3HP	Unpublished			
<i>Ferroplasma acidarmanus fer1</i>	Thermoplasmatales	Draft	DOE-JGI	NZ_AABC000000000							I	M, H	-	Allen et al. 2007			
<i>Metallosphaera sedula</i> DSM 5348	Sulfobales	Complete	DOE-JGI	NC_009440			?				C	FA	m3HP	Auernik et al. 2008			
<i>Picrophilus torridus</i> DSM 9790	Thermoplasmatales	Complete	GenoMik CN	NC_005877							I	H	-	Fütterer et al. 2004			
<i>Sulfolobus acidocaldarius</i> DSM 639	Sulfobales	Complete	KU	NC_007181							C	FA	m3HP	Chen et al. 2005			
<i>Sulfolobus islandicus</i> (7 genomes)	Sulfobales	Complete	DOE-JGI	NC_013769													
				NC_012588													
				NC_012589													
				NC_012622													
				NC_012623													
				NC_012632	?												
				NC_012726													
<i>Sulfolobus solfataricus</i> 98/2	Sulfobales	Draft	DOE-JGI, WSU, NZ, ACUK	NC_000000000							I	FA	m3HP	Unpublished			
<i>Sulfolobus solfataricus</i> P2	Sulfobales	Complete	CBR, EU	NC_002754							C	FA	m3HP	She et al. 2001			
<i>Sulfolobus tokodaii</i> 7	Sulfobales	Complete	NITE	NC_003106							I	M, H	m3HP	Kawarabayasi et al. 2001			
<i>Thermoplasma acidophilum</i> DSM 1728	Thermoplasmatales	Complete	Medigenomix	NC_002578							I	H	-	Ruepp et al. 2000			
<i>Thermoplasma volcanium</i> GSS1	Thermoplasmatales	Complete	AIST	NC_002689							I	H	-	Kawashima et al. 2000			

WSU State University of Washington, CBR Canadian Bioinformatics Resource, EU European Union, GenoMik CN GenoMik Competence Network, Germany, AIST Advanced Industrial Science and Technology, NITE National Institute of Technology and Evaluation, Japan. Other abbreviations and table properties are described in the legend of Table 1.

Table 3 Metagenomic projects of acidophilic environments

Metagenome set	Taxonomy	Genome Status	Institute	Accession	Fe(II) oxidation	Sulfur oxidation	Fe(III) reduction	N ₂ Fixation	Nitrate reduction	Flagellum	TCA cycle	-troph	CO ₂ fix pathway	Genome Publication
Iron Mountain AMD metagenomic results described in four projects (NCBI project IDs: 29437, 13696, 36661 and 18537)														
<i>A-plasma</i> archaeon	Thermoplasmatales	Draft	UC Berkeley, JGI	ACXK000000000	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Dick et al. 2009
<i>E-plasma</i> archaeon	Thermoplasmatales	Draft	UC Berkeley, JGI	ACXM000000000	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Dick et al. 2009
<i>Ferroplasma</i> type I	Thermoplasmatales	Draft	UC Berkeley, JGI	AADL010000000	?	?	?	?	?	?	I	M, H	-	Tyson et al. 2004
<i>Ferroplasma</i> type II	Thermoplasmatales	Draft	UC Berkeley, JGI	AADL010000000	?	?	?	?	?	?	I	M, H	-	Tyson et al. 2004
<i>G-plasma</i> archaeon	Thermoplasmatales	Draft	UC Berkeley, JGI	AADL010000000	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Tyson et al. 2004
<i>I-plasma</i> archaeon	Thermoplasmatales	Draft	UC Berkeley, JGI	ACXL000000000	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Dick et al. 2009
<i>Leptospirillum ferrodiazotrophum</i>	Nitrospira	Draft	UC Berkeley, JGI	AAW000000000 ACNP000000000	?	?	?	?	?	?	R	OA	rTCA	Golsman et al. 2009
<i>Leptospirillum</i> sp. group III	Nitrospira	Draft	UC Berkeley, JGI	AADL010000000	?	?	?	?	?	?	R	OA	rTCA	Tyson et al. 2004
<i>Leptospirillum rubarum</i>	Nitrospira	Draft	UC Berkeley, JGI	AAW000000000 ACNP000000000	?	?	?	?	?	?	R	OA	rTCA	Golsman et al. 2009
<i>Leptospirillum</i> sp. '5way CG'	Nitrospira	Draft	UC Berkeley, JGI	AADL010000000	?	?	?	?	?	?	R	OA	rTCA	Tyson et al. 2004
<i>Candidatus</i> Micrarchaeum acidiphilum ARMAN-2	Thermoplasmatales	Draft	UC Berkeley, JGI	ACVJ000000000	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Baker et al. 2010
<i>Candidatus</i> Parvarchaeum acidiphilum ARMAN-4	Thermoplasmatales	Draft	UC Berkeley, JGI	ADCE000000000	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Baker et al. 2010
<i>Candidatus</i> Parvarchaeum acidophilus ARMAN-5	Thermoplasmatales	Draft	UC Berkeley, JGI	ADHF000000000	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Baker et al. 2010
Other metagenomic projects														
Carnoules (France) AMD metagenome	-	In progress	Genoscope, France	-	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	-	-	V. Bonneloy pers. comm.
Yellowstone acidic pools viral metagenome	-	In progress	DOE-JGI	-	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	-	-	Unpublished
Obsidian Hot Spring communities metagenomes	-	In progress	DOE-JGI	Gold Gm00163 ^a	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	-	-	Unpublished
Metagenomes from Five Geothermal Springs in Yellowstone National Park	-	In progress	Montana State Univ., Symbio	Genome project 41119	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	-	-	Unpublished

^a Not yet available at NCBI, equivalent to GOLD ID Gm00163 (www.genomesonline.org/cgi-bin/GOLD/bin/gold.cgi). Other abbreviations and table properties are described in the legend of Table 1

Table 4 Completely sequenced plasmids from acidophilic environments

Plasmid	Organism	Accession
pTcM1	<i>Acidithiobacillus caldus</i> MNG1	NC_010600
pTC-F14	<i>Acidithiobacillus caldus</i> F	NC_004734
pACRY01-08 (8 plasmids)	<i>Acidiphilium cryptum</i> JF-5	NC_009467-NC_009474
pTF5	<i>Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans</i> ATCC 33020	NC_005023
pTF4.1	<i>Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans</i> MAL4-1	NC_005120
pAACI01	<i>Alicyclobacillus acidocaldarius</i> DMS446	NC_013206
pAACI03	<i>Alicyclobacillus acidocaldarius</i> DMS446	NC_013208
p49879.1	<i>Leptospirillum ferrooxidans</i> ATCC 49879	NC_006907
p49879.2	<i>Leptospirillum ferrooxidans</i> ATCC 49879	NC_006909
pNOB8	<i>Sulfolobus</i> sp. NOB8H2	NC_006493
pYN01	<i>Sulfolobus islandicus</i> Y.N.15.51	NC_012624
pLD8501	<i>Sulfolobus islandicus</i> L.D.8.5	NC_013770
pXZ1	<i>Sulfolobus islandicus</i> ARN3/6	NC_010365
pSOG1	<i>Sulfolobus islandicus</i> SOG2/4	NC_010597
pSOG2	<i>Sulfolobus islandicus</i> SOG2/4	NC_010598
pSSVx	<i>Sulfolobus islandicus</i> Rey 15/4	NC_010011
pHVE14	<i>Sulfolobus islandicus</i> , strains from Iceland	NC_006425
pARN3	<i>Sulfolobus islandicus</i> , strains from Iceland	NC_006423
pARN4	<i>Sulfolobus islandicus</i> , strains from Iceland	AJ748323
pKEF9	<i>Sulfolobus islandicus</i> , strains from Iceland	NC_006422
pING1	<i>Sulfolobus islandicus</i> HEN2P2	NC_004852
pRN1	<i>Sulfolobus islandicus</i> REN1H1	NC_001771
pRN2	<i>Sulfolobus islandicus</i> REN1H1	NC_002101
pHEN7	<i>Sulfolobus islandicus</i> HEN7H2	NC_004853
pORA1	<i>Sulfolobus neozealandicus</i>	NC_006906
pSSVi	<i>Sulfolobus solfataricus</i> P2	NC_013777
pIT3	<i>Sulfolobus solfataricus</i> IT3	NC_005907
pTC	<i>Sulfolobus tengchongensis</i>	NC_005969
pTA1	<i>Thermoplasma acidophilum</i> H0-122	NC_008318
(to be named)	<i>Sulfobacillus thermotolerans</i> Y0017	D. Rawlings, pers. comm
(to be named)	<i>Sulfobacillus thermotolerans</i> L15	D. Rawlings, pers. comm

minimal culturing and therefore have had less time to accumulate genetic changes post-isolation.

In spite of these caveats, a deeper understanding of the microbial assemblages, their gene pools, and metabolic potential in bioleaching operations is beginning to emerge from genomics. Future work in this area is likely to be accelerated as the cost of DNA sequencing continues to decline and new high throughput technologies are developed (Eid et al. 2009; Ozsolak et al. 2009).

Metabolic models

Metabolic models have been derived from bioinformatic interpretation of the genome sequences for Fe(II) oxidation, sulfur oxidation, Fe(III) reduction, N₂ fixation, nitrate reduction, flagellum formation and the presence/absence

of a complete TCA cycle and type of CO₂ fixation pathway (Tables 1, 2 and 3). Information regarding these models can be found in the principal publication describing the respective genome (Tables 1, 2 and 3) and references therein. Some additional unpublished information is also provided in Tables 1, 2 and 3. Some of the models listed have received experimental support, but clearly, additional experimentation is needed in many cases to validate the bioinformatic predictions.

Unlocking the secrets of acidophilic proteins

Mechanisms of pH homeostasis used by acidophiles to maintain their intracellular pH around neutral have been recently reviewed (Dopson 2010). However, little information is available concerning the mechanisms that proteins

Table 5 Completely sequenced viruses from acidophilic environments

Virus	Host	Accession
Bottle-shaped virus	<i>Acidianus</i> sp.	NC_009452
Filamentous virus 1	<i>Acidianus</i> sp.	NC_005830
Filamentous virus 2	<i>Acidianus</i> sp.	NC_009884
Filamentous virus 3	<i>Acidianus</i> sp.	NC_010155
Filamentous virus 6	<i>Acidianus</i> sp.	NC_010152
Filamentous virus 7	<i>Acidianus</i> sp.	NC_010153
Filamentous virus 8	<i>Acidianus</i> sp.	NC_010154
Filamentous virus 9	<i>Acidianus</i> sp.	NC_010537
Rod-shaped virus 1	<i>Acidianus</i> sp.	NC_009965
Spindle-shaped virus 1	<i>Acidianus</i> sp.	NC_013585
Two-tailed virus	<i>Acidianus</i> sp.	NC_007409
AMDV1	<i>Leptospirillum</i> groups II and III	Dick et al. (2009)
AMDV2	E-plasma (Thermoplasmatales)	Dick et al. (2009)
AMDV3	A-/E-/G-plasma (Thermoplasmatales)	Dick et al. (2009)
AMDV4	E-plasma (Thermoplasmatales)	Dick et al. (2009)
AMDV5	I-plasma (Thermoplasmatales)	Dick et al. (2009)
Virus 1	<i>Sulfolobus</i> sp.	NC_001338
Virus 2	<i>Sulfolobus</i> sp.	NC_005265
Filamentous virus	<i>Sulfolobus islandicus</i>	NC_003214
Rod-shaped virus 1	<i>Sulfolobus islandicus</i>	NC_004087
Rod-shaped virus 2	<i>Sulfolobus islandicus</i>	NC_004086
Spindle-shaped virus 4	<i>Sulfolobus</i> sp.	NC_009986
Spindle-shaped virus 5	<i>Sulfolobus</i> sp.	NC_011217
Spindle-shaped virus 6	<i>Sulfolobus</i> sp.	NC_013587
Spindle-shaped virus 7	<i>Sulfolobus</i> sp.	NC_013588
Turreted icosahedral virus	<i>Sulfolobus</i> sp.	NC_005892
Kamchatka 1 virus	<i>Sulfolobus</i> sp.	NC_005361
Ragged Hills virus	<i>Sulfolobus</i> sp.	NC_005360
STSV1 virus	<i>Sulfolobus tengchongensis</i>	NC_006268

use to correctly fold, make protein–protein contact and maintain function at very low extracellular pH values. This would include proteins located in the periplasm, outer membrane and those that are excreted outside the cell or are embedded in the cytoplasmic membrane but have folds that extrude into the periplasm. These issues are beginning to be addressed using genome sequence data to evaluate the proteomes of acidophiles (Bouchal et al. 2006) to predict subcellular locations (Chi et al. 2007) and to assess protein folding in acidic conditions (Kanao et al. 2010). Also, molecular modeling and simulation processes can predict single protein physicochemical and folding differences between acidophilic and neutrophilic orthologs and can suggest how membrane transporters of acidophiles function when confronted by a Δ pH of about 6 or 7 orders of magnitude across the periplasmic membrane (pH6.5 inside to < pH1 outside) (Duarte et al. 2009).

Many acidophiles are also thermophiles and their virtual proteomes could suggest useful thermo–acido stable pro-

teins. However, only a few enzymes (extremozymes) (Dopson 2010) and one electron transfer protein (Yamada et al. 2004) from extreme acidophiles have been used or have been proposed for use in biotechnological applications.

The predicted proteomes of extreme acidophiles provide a rich, but largely unexploited, hunting ground for proteins that might have useful functions in biotechnological applications.

Comparative genomics can generate models of the ecophysiology of acidic environments

Over a decade ago, investigations began to reveal the complex interactions between microbes inhabiting natural and man-made acidic environments (Johnson 1998; Baker and Banfield 2003). Recent genomic-based analyses of acidophilic microbes have revealed further insight into the metabolic capabilities and the potential interactions that

shape these microbial communities, in particular, those related to bioleaching (Barreto et al. 2003; Osorio et al. 2008a; Valdés et al. 2008a, b, 2010). Also, a number of studies on the composition, structure and function of extreme acidic aerial (Gonzalez-Toril et al. 2003; Garrido et al. 2008) and subaerial streams (Tyson et al. 2004; Allen and Banfield 2005; Ram et al. 2005; Whitaker and Banfield 2006; Allen et al. 2007; Lo et al. 2007; Andersson and Banfield 2008; Simmons et al. 2008; Goltsman et al. 2009; VerBerkmoes et al. 2009; Deneff et al. 2010a) have contributed to our understanding of the ecophysiology of biofilm-based AMD communities. The use of genomics-enabled methods to study communities with reduced levels of species richness, such as those found in the Iron Mountain AMD, has resulted in a better understanding of the metabolic networks and evolutionary processes that operate within them. In such defined model systems, the molecular and evolutionary base for ecological patterns have begun to emerge, not only facilitating the construction of predictive ecosystem models but also uncovering principles that may explain behavior in more complex systems (Deneff et al. 2010b; Mueller et al. 2010)

During bioleaching, the composition of the microbial consortia changes over time as the bioleaching heap undergoes, among other changes, a temperature increase from ambient temperature to about 70–80 °C due to exothermic oxidation reactions. Initially, mesophilic (20–40 °C) consortia rich in bacteria dominate, but as bioleaching proceeds, these microbial communities are replaced first by moderately thermophilic (40–55 °C) consortia, and finally by extremely thermophilic (55–80 °C) consortia dominated by Archaea (Rawlings and Johnson 2007). It is important to know the composition and activity of these evolving consortia in order to develop a better understanding of the biology of bioleaching. Predictions of metabolic potential from genomic data allow preliminary models of the ecophysiology of such consortia to be built that begin to address questions such as who is capable of doing what, to whom, where, when and under what circumstances. For example, genome sequence information provides a catalog of the diverse pathways used by bioleaching autotrophs to obtain fixed carbon and suggests which autotrophs are providing fixed carbon to the heterotrophs at the different stages of bioleaching (Valdés et al. 2010).

Inspection of Tables 1, 2 and 3 permits similar predictions to be made regarding who are the primary fixers of atmospheric N₂ in the bioleaching consortia, as has been done for the Iron Mountain AMD community (Tyson et al. 2004). It is envisioned that a more detailed understanding of the ecophysiology could indicate if the relationships between microorganisms, for example, between autotroph and heterotroph, are beneficial or detrimental to the bioleaching process.

The ability to develop predictive models of interactions in bioleaching communities, albeit in its infancy, is arguably the most important contribution that can result from an analysis of the genetic and metabolic potential of multiple genomes.

Genomics predicts multiple pathways for CO₂ fixation in bioleaching microorganisms

Having so many genome sequences available has changed our perspective of the complexity of pathways that bioleaching microorganisms use to fix CO₂. Although the Calvin cycle still appears to be the principal CO₂ fixation process at ambient temperatures, it is now clear that other CO₂ fixation pathways such as the reverse TCA cycle and the modified 3-hydroxypropionate pathway come into play (Tables 1 and 2) and eventually dominate as bioleaching proceeds and temperatures rise in the heaps (Valdés et al. 2010). It is important to deepen our knowledge of these additional routes and evaluate the role that they play in permitting thermophilic microbial consortia to fix CO₂ in bioleaching dumps. A fourth pathway for CO₂ fixation has recently been described in members of the anaerobic Archaeal Desulfurococcales and Thermoproteales families (Berg et al. 2010b). Genomes of acidophiles can now be searched for genes potentially encoding this novel pathway.

Increasing knowledge of pathways for fixing CO₂ is helping to build models for how carbon fixation might have evolved in early life (Berg et al. 2010a). The study of chemolithoautotrophs has played a particularly important role in the development of such models, for example, the iron–sulfur theory of the origin of life is based on the structural and catalytic similarity of their mineral substrates (e.g., pyrite, FeS₂) with the catalytic Fe–S centers of many enzymes and cofactors of chemolithoautotrophs (Wächtershäuser 1988, 2007).

The incomplete TCA cycle is a hallmark of obligate autotrophy in acidophiles

It has been suggested that the absence of genes encoding the irreversible oxidative α -ketoglutarate dehydrogenase complex in the TCA cycle is a hallmark of obligate autotrophy (Wood et al. 2004). The lack of this complex results in an incomplete TCA cycle or a so-called TCA “horseshoe” in which pyruvate can be used as a source to reoxidize NADH (oxidative branch) and for the formation of the biosynthetic precursor molecules citrate and α -ketoglutarate. Published information derived from genome projects supplemented with unpublished data indicates that the horseshoe TCA cycle is found in obligate autotrophic

acidophilic bacteria that use the Calvin cycle to fix CO₂ (labeled “H” in the TCA cycle column of Table 1). Obligate autotrophic bacteria that use the reverse TCA cycle to fix CO₂ also lack the α -ketoglutarate dehydrogenase complex in their TCA cycle. However, they are predicted to contain genes encoding a reversible 2-oxoacid: ferredoxin oxidoreductase complex that could assume the responsibility of the missing α -ketoglutarate dehydrogenase complex (labeled “R” in the TCA cycle column of Tables 1, 2 and 3). All sequenced acidophilic Archaea also use a reversible 2-oxoacid: ferredoxin oxidoreductase complex, but other steps in their TCA cycle are also thought to be absent (labeled “I” in the TCA cycle column of Tables 1, 2 and 3). The presence or absence of genes for specific steps in the TCA cycle/horseshoe could help predict obligate autotrophy in novel microbial genomes.

Genomics proposes models for anaerobic respiration

Industrial bioleaching operations pump air into the bioleaching heap, providing oxygen and CO₂ to support microbial growth. However, anaerobic conditions are known to occur in zones in bioleaching heaps where the air has not permeated or where intense microbial activity has resulted in the production of microaerophilic conditions. Whereas considerable information is available describing the oxidation reactions that support microbial growth in bioleaching heaps, less is known about the enzymes and electron transport pathways involved in anaerobic or microaerophilic growth. Metagenomic and genomic data are beginning to be exploited to predict novel candidate genes and inferred enzymes and electron transport pathways that might be used in anaerobiosis. For example, potential anaerobic pathways have been identified in microorganisms that have been demonstrated experimentally to grow anaerobically using Fe(III) or nitrate as final electron acceptors (Tables 1, 2, and 3). Genomics also permits the prediction of anaerobic growth for new genomes and metagenomes, for example: *Leptospirillum ferrodiazotrophum*, *L. ferrooxidans*, *L. rubarum*, and *Leptospirillum sp.* “5way CG” (using Fe(III)) (Goltsman et al. 2009) and *Thiomonas intermedia* K-12 (unpublished) and *Thiomonas sp.* 3A (using nitrate) (Arsène-Ploetze et al. 2010) (see Tables 1, 2, and 3).

Genomic predictions for motility, chemotaxis and biofilm formation

Knowledge of the fundamental physical and biological interactions between a microorganism and a mineral surface is central to understanding the intricacies of interfacial

phenomena, such as bacterial recognition and attachment to specific mineral surfaces and biofilm formation. These areas are crucial for understanding the bioleaching process. Whereas advances in understanding motility, chemotaxis and biofilm formation in bioleaching microorganisms have been made through experimental approaches, little has been done to data mine the genome sequences for novel information. Bioinformatic models with supporting experimental evidence have been developed for biofilm formation (Barreto et al. 2005a, b) and quorum sensing (Farah et al. 2005; Rivas et al. 2005, 2007; Soullère et al. 2008; Castro et al. 2009) in a few bioleaching microorganisms. Also, predictions have been made for the presence of flagella genes (Tables 1, 2 and 3). However, it is clear that current genome sequence information is underexploited as a resource for advancing our understanding in this important area.

Metalomics

The study of metal resistance in biomining bacteria using genome data and bioinformatics is another area that is relatively under-exploited. It is known that acidophiles are extremely resistant to a number of metals and metalloids compared to their neutrophilic counterparts, and mechanisms that potentially account for this resistance have recently been reviewed (Dopson 2010). However, no large-scale genomic comparison of metal resistance has been undertaken in acidophiles. Such a study might reveal the presence of global mechanisms employed by acidophiles, as well as supplement our knowledge of genes and pathways involved in resistance to high levels of mercury, arsenic, copper, iron, etc.

Nearly a decade ago, genome information was exploited to predict metal resistance genes in *A. ferrooxidans* (Holmes et al. 2001). A bioinformatic and experimental analysis of iron homeostasis and its potential regulation has been conducted for *A. ferrooxidans* (Quatrini et al. 2004, 2005a, b, 2007b), including predictions and experimental validation of binding sites for the master iron regulator Fur and the prediction of the gene clusters that it might regulate (Quatrini et al. 2007a). These data permit the elaboration of integrated regulatory mechanisms and provide a wider overview of how these specific functions could be connected in a major regulatory plan. A bioinformatic analysis of iron uptake and homeostasis has recently been extended to include other bioleaching microorganisms (Osorio et al. 2008a, b). Recently, work has begun to elucidate mechanisms of copper resistance in *A. ferrooxidans* (Navarro et al. 2009) and *Ferroplasma acidarmanus* Fer1 using combined genomic and experimental approaches (Baker-Austin et al. 2005).

Metabolic regulation

The study of gene regulation, including transcription factor characterization and promoter structure elucidation, has been significantly improved by the availability of whole genome DNA sequences and the use of high throughput methods to evaluate gene expression. However, current discoveries are concentrated in model organisms like *Bacillus subtilis*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Escherichia coli* K-12, where large amounts of experimental data have been generated. The scenario is dramatically different for many newly sequenced microorganisms, where limited amounts of experimental data are available or, in some cases, where they are difficult to manipulate in the laboratory, as is the case for many extreme acidophiles.

Bioinformatic analysis of the genome data of *A. ferrooxidans* has been used to predict the regulation of nitrogen metabolism (Barreto et al. 2003; Levican et al. 2008), sulfur assimilation and its regulatory interplay with nitrogen fixation, hydrogen oxidation and energy metabolism (Valdés et al. 2003), iron homeostasis (references in preceding paragraph), CO₂ fixation (Esparza et al. 2009, 2010) and other aspects of central carbon metabolism (Appia-Ayme et al. 2006). Some of these models have been supported with experimental evidence.

Genome data of bioleaching microorganisms is beginning to be mined to identify and predict the role of small regulatory RNAs (srRNAs) in gene regulation (Shmaryahu and Holmes 2007). Also, preliminary investigations are beginning to reveal mechanisms involved in the regulation of Fe(II) and S oxidation in *A. ferrooxidans* (Amouric et al. 2009) including the possible use of a srRNA (Shmaryahu et al. 2009).

The examples of regulatory models described provide some initial insights into the regulatory mechanisms and dynamics operating in bioleaching and provide rudimentary models that help to explain some of the specific adaptations that promote and sustain life in extreme acidic environments.

Metabolic engineering

Metabolic engineering—the practice of manipulating the genetic and regulatory processes within a cell in order to increase the production of a substance or to improve the activity of the organism for some process—has not been exploited in any extreme acidophile. Metabolic engineering requires at least a rough understanding of the metabolic fluxes within the cell in order to identify potential bottlenecks in the reactions that can be manipulated by genetic engineering. Only one such analysis has been published for an extreme acidophile (Hold et al. 2009). Unfortunately,

this analysis incorporates a complete TCA cycle into the proposed flux model, whereas it has been shown that *A. ferrooxidans* is more likely to have an incomplete TCA cycle (Valdés et al. 2008b). The effect of this possible error on the overall interpretation of the flux analysis has not been determined.

It is expected that with the increasing genomic data and bioinformatic interpretation available, metabolic flux analysis and other tools of metabolomics will assume increasingly important roles in helping to understand bioleaching.

Genome diversity

Genome diversity across species and genera is a critical issue to make a more precise interpretation of the metabolic potential of a natural microbial community. In the specific case of extreme acidophilic microbes, only a few species have been used to explore genome diversity and the potential evolutionary processes responsible for this variation.

Several high throughput approaches can be used to study population genomics and evolution in natural environments. The tools for these studies range from whole genome sequencing of isolated representatives and the subsequent elaboration of specifically designed comparative genome hybridization (CGH) microarrays to the use of metagenomic approaches for the generation of a picture of the microbial diversity and predicted functional properties of an environmental sample.

Genome sequencing followed by sequence interrogation using specifically designed CGH microarrays has been carried out across a single phylogenetic branch of eight strains of *Thiomonas* to evaluate genome variation (Arsène-Pløetze et al. 2010). The results suggest that the *Thiomonas* genome has evolved through the gain or loss of genomic islands and that this evolution is influenced by the specific environmental conditions in which the strains live.

Sequencing and analyses of three representatives of the *Acidithiobacillus* genus (*A. ferrooxidans*, *A. thiooxidans*, and *A. caldus*) have provided a snapshot of the main functional differences that help shape the ecophysiology of the extreme acidic and biomining niches. Major differences in gene content between the three species demonstrate that different branches of the *Acidithiobacillus* genus have evolved different strategies for the oxidation of reduced inorganic sulfur compounds (RISCs) and that some have specialized to carry out critical metabolic processes such as iron oxidation and nitrogen fixation (Valdés et al. 2009; Valdés and Holmes 2009). In addition, a phylogenomic approach based on gene family comparisons of the three acidithiobacilli has identified a conserved genome core inherited from their common ancestor and sets of dispensable

and exclusive genes, constituting the pangenome of the *Acidithiobacillus* genus (unpublished data).

An assessment of genome variation in extreme acidic environments has also been provided by metagenomics studies in AMD (Simmons et al. 2008). In this study, a population analysis of strain genomic variation was determined for *Leptospirillum* group II by deep metagenomic genome sequence analysis (about 20× coverage). Results show that the population is dominated by one sequence type, but relatively abundant variants (>99.5% sequence identity to the dominant type) at multiple loci, and a few rare variants are also present. Blocks of other *Leptospirillum* group II types (approximately 94% sequence identity) have recombined into one or more variants. Heterogeneity in genetic potential within the population arises from localized variation in gene content, typically focused in integrated plasmid/phage-like regions. Some laterally transferred gene blocks encode physiologically important genes, including quorum-sensing genes of the LuxIR system. This study demonstrates that significant intrapopulation sequence variation occurs due to recombination and mutation and to the acquisition or loss of unique gene features by phage, plasmid, or transposon insertion/deletion.

Extensive intraspecies genome variation has been detected in *A. ferrooxidans*. A comparison of the genome sequences of *A. ferrooxidans* ATCC 23270 and ATCC 53993 demonstrated that, whereas they are 100% identical at the ribosomal DNA level, they exhibit 16% difference in gene content. This difference is mainly accounted for by the presence of two large genome islands (indels) close to 300 kb in ATCC 23270 and 200 kb in ATCC 53993, respectively, and several smaller indels not shared between the two genomes (Valdés et al. 2010). These indels show extensive differences in gene content including in tRNA genes, EPS synthesis genes, phage immunity systems of the CRISPR/cas type, and metal resistance/tolerance genes. Expression and correct loading of some of the tRNAs have been experimentally verified (Levicán et al. 2009). The indels contain genetic elements such as terminal repeated sequences and genes potentially encoding enzymes involved in DNA recombination, suggesting that they were incorporated into the genomes via lateral gene transfer.

An analysis of the biogeography and the spatial-temporal distribution of the variable gene content of seven *Sulfolobus islandicus* genome sequences also discovered extensive genome variation explained mainly by recent strain-specific integration of mobile elements and sectors of gene loss (Reno et al. 2009). Although *S. islandicus* is not present in biomining operations, perhaps the major conclusions of this study may be extrapolated to the related *Sulfolobus* species found in bioleaching heaps. The evolutionary independence of each population allowed the

exploration of genome dynamics over very recent evolutionary time, beginning approximately 910,000 years ago. On this time scale, genome variation largely consists of recent strain-specific integration of mobile elements. Localized sectors of parallel gene loss were identified; however, the balance between the gain and loss of genetic material suggests that *S. islandicus* genomes acquire material slowly over time, primarily from closely related *Sulfolobus* species. Examination of the genome dynamics through population genomics in *S. islandicus* exposes the process of allopatric speciation in thermophilic Archaea and brings us closer to a generalized framework for understanding microbial genome evolution in a spatial context.

These investigations demonstrate that extensive genome variation can occur within species. They provoke questions about the rate and degree of genome evolutionary processes that can occur in fairly restricted environmental conditions. They also raise the possibility that current molecular techniques based on ribosomal DNA typing for detecting and characterizing microorganisms in environments such as bioleaching heaps might significantly underestimate the true genetic and metabolic diversity present.

Mobile elements are agents of genome flux

Comparative genomics provides an unprecedented opportunity to evaluate the extent to which horizontal gene transfer occurs and how genetic material is dynamically added (or lost) from prokaryotic genomes through promiscuous genetic exchanges. Diverse mobile genetic elements (MGEs), including plasmids, viruses and transposons facilitate the flow of genes between prokaryotes. In extreme acidophiles, the best studied MGEs are plasmids from bacteria of the *Acidithiobacillus* genus (Rawlings and Kusano 1994; Rawlings 2005; Lipps 2006; van Zyl et al. 2008) and from archaea of the Sulfolobales family (Prangishvili et al. 1998). Several of the above, plus other plasmids from acidophiles amounting to 38 in total have been completely sequenced (Table 4).

The availability of genome sequences from several closely related extreme acidophiles has provided the basis for analyses of the frequency, location and phylogeny of insertion sequence elements (IS) and non-autonomous miniature inverted (MITE)-like repeat elements from *Sulfolobus* spp., *Thermoplasma* spp., *Ferroplasma* spp., and *Picrophilus torridus* (Brügger et al. 2004; Filee et al. 2007). The number and diversity of IS and MITE-like elements differ greatly between species (Brügger et al. 2004) and between strains (Allen et al. 2007). Such abundance and diversity are of great relevance since significant levels of transposon-mediated genome rearrangements have been shown to occur in archaea (Redder

and Garrett 2006; Allen et al. 2007). Information on IS and transposons for other acidophiles is more scattered, although some details are known for the Acidithiobacilli (Yates and Holmes 1987; Zhao and Holmes 1993; Clennel et al. 1995; Oppon et al. 1998; Holmes et al. 2001; Kondrat'eva et al. 2005; Tuffin et al. 2005; Kotze et al. 2006; Kondrat'eva et al. 2008) and the Leptospirilli (Tuffin et al. 2006; Goltsman et al. 2009).

There are 29 completely sequenced viral genomes from acidic environments (Table 5). In the Sulfolobales, a large spectrum of viruses belonging to previously uncharacterized viral families have been sequenced and described (Prangishvili et al. 2001, 2006). Metagenomic studies have also reported the simultaneous sampling of microorganisms and co-occurring viruses (Andersson and Banfield 2008), providing the first glimpses into the dynamics of virus–host interactions and into the effect that such interactions may have on fine-scale genetic heterogeneity within communities. A study of the biogeography and the spatial–temporal distribution of the variable gene content of seven *S. islandicus* genome sequences explores genome dynamics over very recent evolutionary time (Reno et al. 2009). On this time scale, genome variation largely consists of recent strain-specific integration of MSEs and localized sectors of gene loss and gain, in which the gain was primarily from other *Sulfolobus* strains within the community. Although *S. islandicus* is not present in biomining operations, evidence from this microorganism may possibly be of relevance to the understanding of the structure and evolution of bioleaching communities that contain related Archaea.

Concluding remarks

- Bioinformatic interpretation of genome sequences has greatly enhanced our understanding of microbial metabolic potential in natural and anthropogenic acidic environments, including industrial bioleaching heaps. Most importantly, it has also allowed preliminary models to be constructed of metabolic and genetic interactions (ecophysiology) within these microbial communities and has provided a rich intellectual resource for microbiologists that has potential to open innovative and efficient research avenues. Genomic approaches have been especially valuable given the dearth of information coming from classical genetic manipulation and other areas of experimental research.
- Despite these promising beginnings, a major conclusion is that the genome projects have helped focus attention on the tremendous effort still required to understand the biological principles that support life in extremely acidic environments, especially those that might allow engineers to take appropriate action designed to improve the efficiency and rate of bioleaching and to protect the environment.

- Although deeper interpretation of existing genome data and analysis of more genomes will help, major novel insights into the metabolic potential of bioleaching communities and how these communities change in space and time during the lifetime of a bioleaching operation will probably come from metagenomics associated with high throughput metatranscriptomic and metaproteomic studies coupled with multidimensional data analysis. The ever decreasing costs of DNA sequencing will allow more researchers in the bioleaching area to use high throughput genomic techniques. This information, when linked to physicochemical studies of the bioleaching environment, might suggest operational parameters that could be manipulated to enhance bioleaching. Genomic and transcriptomic tools (e.g., microarray analysis) are likely to mature into the development of routine monitoring tools for assessing microbial presence and function during bioleaching.

Acknowledgments The authors thank Fondecyt 1090451 and 1100887, UNAB DI-15-06-I, Conicyt Basal CTE PFB16, Innova 08CM01-03, and Conicyt postgraduate studies grant 2010.

References

- Allen EE, Banfield JF (2005) Community genomics in microbial ecology and evolution. *Nat Rev Micro* 3:489–498
- Allen EE, Tyson GW, Whitaker RJ, Detter JC, Richardson PM, Banfield JF (2007) Genome dynamics in a natural archaeal population. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 104:1883–1888
- Amouric A, Appia-Ayme C, Yarzabal A, Bonnefoy V (2009) Regulation of the iron and sulfur oxidation pathways in the acidophilic *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*. *Adv Mater Res* 71–73:163–166
- Andersson AF, Banfield JF (2008) Virus population dynamics and acquired virus resistance in natural microbial communities. *Science* 320:1047–1050
- Appia-Ayme C, Quatrini R, Denis Y, Denizot F, Silver S, Roberto F, Veloso F, Valdés J, Pablo Cárdenas J, Esparza M, Orellana O, Jedlicki E, Bonnefoy V, Holmes DS (2006) Microarray and bioinformatic analyses suggest models for carbon metabolism in the autotroph *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*. *Hydrometallurgy* 83:273–280
- Arsène-Ploetze F, Koechler S, Marchal M, Coppée J-Y, Chandler M, Bonnefoy V, Brochier-Armanet C, Barakat M, Barbe V, Battaglia-Brunet F, Bruneel O, Bryan CG, Cleiss-Arnold J, Cruveiller S, Erhardt M, Heinrich-Salmeron A, Hommais F, Joulain C, Krin E, Lieutaud A, Lièvre-mont D, Michel C, Muller D, Ortet P, Proux C, Siguier P, Roche D, Rouy Z, Salvignol G, Slyemi D, Talla E, Weiss S, Weissenbach J, Médigue C, Bertin PN (2010) Structure, function, and evolution of the thiomonas spp. *Genome*. *PLoS Genet* 6:e1000859
- Auernik KS, Maezato Y, Blum PH, Kelly RM (2008) The genome sequence of the metal-mobilizing, extremely thermoacidophilic archaeon *Metallosphaera sedula* provides insights into bioleaching-associated metabolism. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 74:682–692

- Baker BJ, Banfield JF (2003) Microbial communities in acid mine drainage. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 44:139–152
- Baker BJ, Tyson GW, Goosherst L, Banfield JF (2009) Insights into the diversity of eukaryotes in acid mine drainage biofilm communities. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 75:2192–2199
- Baker BJ, Comolli LR, Dick GJ, Hauser LJ, Hyatt D, Dill BD, Land ML, VerBerkmoes NC, Hettich RL, Banfield JF (2010) Enigmatic, ultrasmall, uncultivated Archaea. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 107:8806–8811
- Baker-Austin C, Dopson M, Wexler M, Sawers RG, Bond PL (2005) Molecular insight into extreme copper resistance in the extremophilic archaeon '*Ferroplasma acidarmanus*' Fer1. *Microbiology* 151:2637–2646
- Barreto M, Quatrini R, Bueno S, Arriagada C, Valdes J, Silver S, Jedlicki E, Holmes DS (2003) Aspects of the predicted physiology of *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* deduced from an analysis of its partial genome sequence. *Hydrometallurgy* 71:97–105
- Barreto M, Gehrke T, Harnett K, Sand W, Jedlicki E, Holmes D (2005a) Unexpected insights into biofilm formation by *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* revealed by genome analysis and experimental approaches. In: Harrison S, Rawlings D, Peterson J (eds) 16th International Biohydrometallurgy Symposium. Cape Town, South Africa, pp 817–825
- Barreto M, Jedlicki E, Holmes DS (2005b) Identification of a gene cluster for the formation of extracellular polysaccharide precursors in the chemolithoautotroph *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 71:2902–2909
- Berg IA, Kockelkorn D, Ramos-Vera WH, Say RF, Zarzycki J, Hügler M, Alber BE, Fuchs G (2010a) Autotrophic carbon fixation in archaea. *Nat Rev Micro* 8:447–460
- Berg IA, Ramos-Vera WH, Petri A, Huber H, Fuchs G (2010b) Study of the distribution of autotrophic CO₂ fixation cycles in Crenarchaeota. *Microbiology* 156:256–269
- Bonnefoy V (2010) Bioinformatics and genomics of iron and sulfur oxidizing acidophiles. In: Barton L, Mandl M, Loy A (eds) *Geomicrobiology: molecular and environmental perspective*
- Bouchal P, Zdráhal Z, Helánová S, Janiczek O, Hallberg KB, Mandl M (2006) Proteomic and bioinformatic analysis of iron- and sulfur-oxidizing *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* using immobilized pH gradients and mass spectrometry. *Proteomics* 6:4278–4285
- Brügger K, Torarinsson E, Redder P, Chen L, Garrett RA (2004) Shuffling of *Sulfolobus* genomes by autonomous and non-autonomous mobile elements. *Biochem Soc Trans* 32:179–183
- Cabrejos M-E, Zhao H-L, Guacucano M, Bueno S, Levican G, Garcia E, Jedlicki E, Holmes DS (1999) IST1 insertional inactivation of the *resB* gene: implications for phenotypic switching in *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 175:223–229
- Castro M, Ruiz L, Díaz M, Mamani S, Jerez CA, Holmes DS, Guiliani N (2009) C-Di-GMP pathway in biomining bacteria. *Adv Mater Res* 71–73:223–226
- Chen L, Brugger K, Skovgaard M, Redder P, She Q, Torarinsson E, Greve B, Awayez M, Zibat A, Klenk H-P, Garrett RA (2005) The genome of *Sulfolobus acidocaldarius*, a model organism of the Crenarchaeota. *J Bacteriol* 187:4992–4999
- Chi A, Valenzuela L, Beard S, Mackey AJ, Shabanowitz J, Hunt DF, Jerez CA (2007) Periplasmic proteins of the extremophile *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 6:2239–2251
- Cid C, Garcia-Descalzo L, Casado-Lafuente V, Amils R, Aguilera A (2010) Proteomic analysis of the response of an acidophilic strain of *Chlamydomonas* sp. (Chlorophyta) to natural metal-rich water. *Proteomics* 10(10):2026–2036
- Clennel A, Johnston B, Rawlings D (1995) Structure and function of Tn5467, a Tn21-like transposon located on the *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans* broad-host-range plasmid pTF-FC2. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 61:4223–4229
- Clum A, Nolan M, Lang E, Rio TGD, Tice H, Copeland A, Cheng J-F, Lucas S, Chen F, Bruce D, Goodwin L, Pitluck S, Ivanova N, Mavromatis K, Mikhailova N, Pati A, Chen A, Palaniappan K, Göker M, Spring S, Land M, Hauser L, Chang Y-J, Jeffries CD, Chain P, Bristow J, Eisen JA, Markowitz V, Hugenholtz P, Kyrpides NC, Klenk H-P, Lapidus A (2009) Complete genome sequence of *Acidimicrobium ferrooxidans* type strain (ICPT). *Standards in Genomic Sciences*
- Demergasso CS, Galleguillos F, Soto P, Serón M, Iturriaga V (2010) Microbial succession during a heap bioleaching cycle of low grade copper sulfides. Does this knowledge mean a real input for industrial process design and control? *Hydrometallurgy*
- Denef VJ, Kalnejais LH, Mueller RS, Wilmes P, Baker BJ, Thomas BC, VerBerkmoes NC, Hettich RL, Banfield JF (2010a) Proteogenomic basis for ecological divergence of closely related bacteria in natural acidophilic microbial communities. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 107:2383–2390
- Denef VJ, Mueller RS, Banfield JF (2010b) AMD biofilms: using model communities to study microbial evolution and ecological complexity in nature. *ISME J* 4:599–610
- Dick G, Andersson A, Baker B, Simmons S, Thomas B, Yelton AP, Banfield J (2009) Community-wide analysis of microbial genome sequence signatures. *Genome Biol* 10:R85
- Dopson M (2010) Ecology, adaptations, and applications of acidophiles. In: R A (ed) *Extremophiles: microbiology and biotechnology*. Horizon Press
- Duarte F, Araya-Secchi R, González W, Perez-Acle T, González-Nilo D, Holmes DS (2009) Protein function in extremely acidic conditions: molecular simulation studies of a predicted aquaporin and a voltage gated potassium channel in *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*. *Adv Mater Res* 71–73:211–214
- Eid J, Fehr A, Gray J, Luong K, Lyle J, Otto G, Peluso P, Rank D, Baybayan P, Bettman B, Bibillo A, Bjornson K, Chaudhuri B, Christians F, Cicero R, Clark S, Dalal R, deWinter A, Dixon J, Foquet M, Gaertner A, Hardenbol P, Heiner C, Hester K, Holden D, Kearns G, Kong X, Kuse R, Lacroix Y, Lin S, Lundquist P, Ma C, Marks P, Maxham M, Murphy D, Park I, Pham T, Phillips M, Roy J, Sebra R, Shen G, Sorenson J, Tomaney A, Travers K, Trulson M, Vieceli J, Wegener J, Wu D, Yang A, Zaccarin D, Zhao P, Zhong F, Korlach J, Turner S (2009) Real-time DNA sequencing from single polymerase molecules. *Science* 323:133–138
- Esparza M, Bowien B, Jedlicki E, Holmes DS (2009) Gene organization and CO₂-responsive expression of four *Cbb* operons in *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*. *Adv Mater Res* 71–73:207–210
- Esparza M, Cardenas JP, Bowien B, Jedlicki E, Holmes DS (2010) CO₂ fixation in the obligate, chemolithoautotrophic acidophile, *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*. *BMC Microbiology*
- Farah C, Vera M, Morin D, Haras D, Jerez CA, Guiliani N (2005) Evidence for a functional quorum-sensing type AI-1 system in the extremophilic bacterium *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 71:7033–7040
- Filee J, Siguier P, Chandler M (2007) Insertion sequence diversity in archaea. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 71:121–157
- Fütterer O, Angelov A, Liesegang H, Gottschalk G, Schleper C, Schepers B, Dock C, Antranikian G, Liebl W (2004) Genome sequence of *Picrophilus torridus* and its implications for life around pH 0. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101:9091–9096
- Garrido P, González-Toril E, García-Moyano A, Moreno-Paz M, Amils R, Parro V (2008) An oligonucleotide prokaryotic acidophile microarray: its validation and its use to monitor seasonal variations in extreme acidic environments with total environmental RNA. *Environ Microbiol* 10:836–850

- Goltsman DSA, Denev VJ, Singer SW, VerBerkmoes NC, Lefsrud M, Mueller RS, Dick GJ, Sun CL, Wheeler KE, Zemla A, Baker BJ, Hauser L, Land M, Shah MB, Thelen MP, Hettich RL, Banfield JF (2009) Community genomic and proteomic analyses of chemoautotrophic iron-oxidizing “*Leptospirillum rubarum*” (Group II) and “*Leptospirillum ferrooxidans*” (Group III) bacteria in acid mine drainage biofilms. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 75:4599–4615
- Gonzalez-Toril E, Llobet-Brossa E, Casamayor EO, Amann R, Amils R (2003) Microbial ecology of an extreme acidic environment, the Tinto River. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 69:4853–4865
- González-Toril E, Aguilera A, Rodríguez N, Fernández-Remolar D, Gómez F, Díaz E, García-Moyano A, Sanz JL, Amils R (2010) Microbial ecology of Río Tinto, a natural extreme acidic environment of bihydrometallurgical interest. *Hydrometallurgy* (in press)
- Hold C, Andrews BA, Asenjo JA (2009) A stoichiometric model of *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* ATCC 23270 for metabolic flux analysis. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 102:1448–1459
- Holmes DS, Bonnefoy V (2007) Genetic and bioinformatic insights into iron and sulfur oxidation mechanisms of bioleaching organisms. In: Rawlings DE, Johnson DB (eds) *Biomining*. Springer, Berlin, pp 281–307
- Holmes DS, Barreto M, Valdes J, Dominguez C, Arriagada C, Silver S, Bueno S, Jedlicki E (2001) Genome sequence of *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*: metabolic reconstruction, heavy metal resistance and other characteristics. In: Ciminelli V, Garcia O (eds) *Biohydrometallurgy: fundamentals, technology and sustainable development*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 237–251
- Hou S, Makarova K, Saw J, Senin P, Ly B, Zhou Z, Ren Y, Wang J, Galperin M, Omelchenko M, Wolf Y, Yutin N, Koonin E, Stott M, Mountain B, Crowe M, Smirnova A, Dunfield P, Feng L, Wang L, Alam M (2008) Complete genome sequence of the extremely acidophilic methanotroph isolate V4, *Methylococcus inferorum*, a representative of the bacterial phylum Verrucomicrobia. *Biol Direct* 3:26
- Jerez CA (2008) The use of genomics, proteomics and other OMICS technologies for the global understanding of biomining microorganisms. *Hydrometallurgy* 94:162–169
- Johnson DB (1998) Biodiversity and ecology of acidophilic microorganisms. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 27:307–317
- Johnson DB (2008) Biodiversity and interactions of acidophiles: key to understanding and optimizing microbial processing of ores and concentrates. *Trans Nonferrous Met Soc China* 18:1367–1373
- Kanao T, Matsumoto C, Shiraga K, Yoshida K, Takada J, Kamimura K (2010) Recombinant tetrathionate hydrolase from *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* requires exposure to acidic conditions for proper folding. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 309:43–47
- Kawarabayasi Y, Hino Y, Horikawa H, Jin-no K, Takahashi M, Sekine M, S-i B, Ankai A, Kosugi H, Hosoyama A, Fukui S, Nagai Y, Nishijima K, Otsuka R, Nakazawa H, Takamiya M, Kato Y, Yoshizawa T, Tanaka T, Kudoh Y, Yamazaki J, Kushida N, Oguchi A, K-i A, Masuda S, Yanagii M, Nishimura M, Yamagishi A, Oshima T, Kikuchi H (2001) Complete genome sequence of an aerobic thermoacidophilic Crenarchaeon, *Sulfolobus tokodaii* strain 7. *DNA Res* 8:123–140
- Kawashima T, Amano N, Koike H, S-i M, Higuchi S, Kawashima-Ohya Y, Watanabe K, Yamazaki M, Kanehori K, Kawamoto T, Nunoshiba T, Yamamoto Y, Aramaki H, Makino K, Suzuki M (2000) Archaeal adaptation to higher temperatures revealed by genomic sequence of *Thermoplasma volcanium*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 97:14257–14262
- Kondrat’eva T, Danilevich V, Ageeva S, Karavaiko G (2005) Identification of IS elements in *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* strains grown in a medium with ferrous iron or adapted to elemental sulfur. *Arch Microbiol* 183:401–410
- Kondrat’eva T, Danilevich V, Karavaiko G (2008) The primary structure and characteristics of the ISAfe600, an insertion sequence from *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* strains. *Mikrobiologiya* 77:524–532
- Kotze AA, Tuffin IM, Deane SM, Rawlings DE (2006) Cloning and characterization of the chromosomal arsenic resistance genes from *Acidithiobacillus caldus* and enhanced arsenic resistance on conjugal transfer of ars genes located on transposon TnAtcArs. *Microbiology* 152:3551–3560
- Levican G, Ugalde JA, Ehrenfeld N, Maass A, Parada P (2008) Comparative genomic analysis of carbon and nitrogen assimilation mechanisms in three indigenous bioleaching bacteria: predictions and validations. *BMC Genomics* 9:581
- Levicán G, Katz A, Valdés J, Quatrini R, Holmes DS, Orellana O (2009) A 300 Kb genome segment, including a complete set of tRNA genes, is dispensable for *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*. *Adv Mater Res* 71–73:187–190
- Lipps G (2006) Plasmids and viruses of the thermoacidophilic crenarchaeote *Sulfolobus*. *Extremophiles* 10:17–28
- Lo I, Denev VJ, VerBerkmoes NC, Shah MB, Goltsman D, DiBartolo G, Tyson GW, Allen EE, Ram RJ, Detter JC, Richardson P, Thelen MP, Hettich RL, Banfield JF (2007) Strain-resolved community proteomics reveals recombining genomes of acidophilic bacteria. *Nature* 446:537–541
- Mueller RS, Denev VJ, Kalnejais LH, Suttle KB, Thomas BC, Wilmes P, Smith RL, Nordstrom DK, McCleskey RB, Shah MB, VerBerkmoes NC, Hettich RL, Banfield JF (2010) Ecological distribution and population physiology defined by proteomics in a natural microbial community. *Mol Syst Biol* 6
- Navarro CA, Orellana LH, Mauriaca C, Jerez CA (2009) Transcriptional and functional studies of *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* genes related to survival in the presence of copper. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 75:6102–6109
- Oppon JC, Sarnovsky RJ, Craig NL, Rawlings DE (1998) A Tn7-like transposon is present in the glmUS region of the obligately chemoautolithotrophic bacterium *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*. *J Bacteriol* 180:3007–3012
- Osorio H, Martinez V, Nieto P, Holmes D, Quatrini R (2008a) Microbial iron management mechanisms in extremely acidic environments: comparative genomics evidence for diversity and versatility. *BMC Microbiol* 8:203
- Osorio H, Martínez V, Veloso FA, Pedrosa I, Valdés J, Jedlicki E, Holmes DS, Quatrini R (2008b) Iron homeostasis strategies in acidophilic iron oxidizers: studies in *Acidithiobacillus* and *Leptospirillum*. *Hydrometallurgy* 94:175–179
- Ozsolak F, Platt AR, Jones DR, Reifemberger JG, Sass LE, McInerney P, Thompson JF, Bowers J, Jarosz M, Milos PM (2009) Direct RNA sequencing. *Nature* 461:814–818
- Parro V, Moreno-Paz M, González-Toril E (2007) Analysis of environmental transcriptomes by DNA microarrays. *Environ Microbiol* 9:453–464
- Prangishvili D, Albers S-V, Holz I, Arnold HP, Stedman K, Klein T, Singh H, Hiort J, Schweier A, Kristjansson JK, Zillig W (1998) Conjugation in archaea: frequent occurrence of conjugative plasmids in *Sulfolobus*. *Plasmid* 40:190–202
- Prangishvili D, Stedman K, Zillig W (2001) Viruses of the extremely thermophilic archaeon *Sulfolobus*. *Trends Microbiol* 9:39–43
- Prangishvili D, Forterre P, Garrett RA (2006) Viruses of the archaea: a unifying view. *Nat Rev Micro* 4:837–848
- Quatrini R, Veloso F, Jedlicki E, Holmes DS (2004) Bioinformatic analysis of iron uptake in *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*. In: Tsezos M, Hatzikioseyian A, Remoudaki E (eds) *BioHydrometallurgy: a sustainable technology in evolution*. National Technical University of Athens, Athens, pp 989–996

- Quatrini R, Jedlicki E, Holmes DS (2005a) Genomic insights into the iron uptake mechanisms of the biomining microorganism *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 32:606–614
- Quatrini R, Lefmimil C, Holmes DS, Jedlicki E (2005b) The ferric iron uptake regulator (Fur) from the extreme acidophile *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*. Microbiology 151:2005–2015
- Quatrini R, Lefmimil C, Veloso F, Pedroso I (2007a) Bioinformatic prediction and experimental verification of Fur-regulated genes in the extreme acidophile *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*. Nucleic Acids Res 35:2153–2166
- Quatrini R, Martinez V, Osorio H, Veloso F, Pedroso I, Valdes J, Jedlicki E, Holmes DS (2007b) Iron homeostasis strategies in acidophilic iron oxidizers: comparative genome analysis. Adv Mater Res 20–21:439–442
- Quatrini R, Valdes J, Jedlicki E, Holmes D (2007c) The use of bioinformatics and genome biology to advance our understanding of bioleaching microorganisms. In: Donati E, Sand W (eds) Microbial processing of metal sulfides. Springer, Netherlands, pp 221–239
- Ram RJ, VerBerkmoes NC, Thelen MP, Tyson GW, Baker BJ, Blake RC II, Shah M, Hettich RL, Banfield JF (2005) Community proteomics of a natural microbial biofilm. Science 308:1915–1920
- Rawlings DE (2005) The evolution of pTF-FC2 and pTC-F14, two related plasmids of the IncQ-family. Plasmid 53:137–147
- Rawlings DE, Johnson DB (2007) The microbiology of biomining: development and optimization of mineral-oxidizing microbial consortia. Microbiology 153:315–324
- Rawlings DE, Kusano T (1994) Molecular genetics of *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 58:39–55
- Redder P, Garrett RA (2006) Mutations and rearrangements in the genome of *Sulfolobus solfataricus* P2. J Bacteriol 188:4198–4206
- Reno ML, Held NL, Fields CJ, Burke PV, Whitaker RJ (2009) Biogeography of the *Sulfolobus islandicus* pan-genome. Proc Natl Acad Sci 106:8605–8610
- Reysenbach A-L, Hamamura N, Podar M, Griffiths E, Ferreira S, Hochstein R, Heidelberg J, Johnson J, Mead D, Pohorille A, Sarmiento M, Schweighofer K, Seshadri R, Voytek MA (2009) Complete and draft genome sequences of six members of the aquificales. J Bacteriol 191:1992–1993
- Rivas M, Seeger M, Holmes DS, Jedlicki E (2005) A Lux-like quorum sensing system in the extreme acidophile *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*. Biological Res 38:283–297
- Rivas M, Seeger M, Jedlicki E, Holmes DS (2007) Second acyl homoserine lactone production system in the extreme acidophile *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:3225–3231
- Ruepp A, Graml W, Santos-Martinez M-L, Koretke KK, Volker C, Mewes HW, Frishman D, Stocker S, Lupas AN, Baumeister W (2000) The genome sequence of the thermoacidophilic scavenger *Thermoplasma acidophilum*. Nature 407:508–513
- Schippers A, Breuker A, Blazejak A, Bosecker K, Kock D, Wright TL (2010) The biogeochemistry and microbiology of sulfidic mine waste and bioleaching dumps and heaps, and novel Fe(II)-oxidizing bacteria. Hydrometallurgy (in press)
- Selkov E, Overbeek R, Kogan Y, Chu L, Vonstein V, Holmes D, Silver S, Haselkorn R, Fonstein M (2000) Functional analysis of gapped microbial genomes: amino acid metabolism of *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97:3509–3514
- She Q, Singh RK, Confalonieri F, Zivanovic Y, Allard G, Awayez MJ, Chan-Weiher CC-Y, Clausen IG, Curtis BA, De Moors A, Erauso G, Fletcher C, Gordon PMK, Heikamp-de Jong I, Jeffries AC, Kozera CJ, Medina N, Peng X, Thi-Ngoc HP, Redder P, Schenk ME, Theriault C, Tolstrup N, Charlebois RL, Doolittle WF, Duguet M, Gaasterland T, Garrett RA, Ragan MA, Sensen CW, Van der Oost J (2001) The complete genome of the crenarchaeon *Sulfolobus solfataricus* P2. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 98:7835–7840
- Shmaryahu A, Holmes DS (2007) Discovery of small regulatory RNAs in the extremophile acidithiobacillus genus suggests novel genetic regulation. Adv Mater Res 20–21:535–538
- Shmaryahu A, Lefmimil C, Jedlicki E, Holmes DS (2009) Small regulatory RNA genes in *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*: case studies of 6 S RNA and fir. Adv Mater Res 71–73:191–194
- Siezen RJ, Wilson G (2009) Bioleaching genomics. Microb Biotechnol 2:297–303
- Simmons SL, DiBartolo G, Deneff VJ, Goltsman DSA, Thelen MP, Banfield JF (2008) Population genomic analysis of strain variation in *Leptospirillum* group II bacteria involved in acid mine drainage formation. PLoS Biol 6:e177
- Soulère L, Guilianani N, Queneau Y, Jerez C, Doutheau A (2008) Molecular insights into quorum sensing in *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* bacteria via molecular modelling of the transcriptional regulator AfeR and of the binding mode of long-chain acyl homoserine lactones. J Mol Model 14:599–606
- Tuffin IM, de Groot P, Deane SM, Rawlings DE (2005) An unusual Tn21-like transposon containing an ars operon is present in highly arsenic-resistant strains of the biomining bacterium *Acidithiobacillus caldus*. Microbiology 151:3027–3039
- Tuffin IM, Hector SB, Deane SM, Rawlings DE (2006) Resistance determinants of a highly arsenic-resistant strain of *Leptospirillum ferriphilum* isolated from a commercial biooxidation tank. Appl Environ Microbiol 72:2247–2253
- Tyson GW, Chapman J, Hugenholtz P, Allen EE, Ram RJ, Richardson PM, Solovyev VV, Rubin EM, Rokhsar DS, Banfield JF (2004) Community structure and metabolism through reconstruction of microbial genomes from the environment. Nature 428:37–43
- Valdés JH, Holmes DS (2009) Genomic lessons from biomining organisms: case study of the *acidithiobacillus* genus. Adv Mater Res 71–73:215–218
- Valdés J, Veloso F, Jedlicki E, Holmes D (2003) Metabolic reconstruction of sulfur assimilation in the extremophile *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* based on genome analysis. BMC Genomics 4:51
- Valdés J, Pedroso I, Quatrini R, Dodson R, Tettelin H, Blake R, Eisen J, Holmes D (2008a) *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* metabolism: from genome sequence to industrial applications. BMC Genomics 9:597
- Valdés J, Pedroso I, Quatrini R, Holmes DS (2008b) Comparative genome analysis of *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*, *A. thiooxidans* and *A. caldus*: insights into their metabolism and ecophysiology. Hydrometallurgy 94:180–184
- Valdés J, Quatrini R, Hallberg K, Mangold S, Dopson M, Valenzuela PTD, Holmes DS (2009) Draft genome sequence of the extremely acidophilic bacterium *Acidithiobacillus caldus* ATCC 51756 reveals metabolic versatility in the genus *Acidithiobacillus*. J Bacteriol 191:5877–5878
- Valdés J, Osorio H, Lefmimil C, Duarte F, Jedlicki E, Quatrini R, Holmes DS (2010) Comparative genomics begins to unravel the ecophysiology of bioleaching. Hydrometallurgy (in press)
- Valenzuela L, Chi A, Beard S, Orell A, Guilianani N, Shabanowitz J, Hunt DF, Jerez CA (2006) Genomics, metagenomics and proteomics in biomining microorganisms. Biotechnol Adv 24:197–211
- van Zyl LJ, Deane SM, Louw L-A, Rawlings DE (2008) Presence of a family of plasmids (29 to 65 Kilobases) with a 26-Kilobase common region in different strains of the sulfur-oxidizing bacterium *Acidithiobacillus caldus*. Appl Environ Microbiol 74:4300–4308

- VerBerkmoes NC, Denev VJ, Hettich RL, Banfield JF (2009) Systems biology: functional analysis of natural microbial consortia using community proteomics. *Nat Rev Micro* 7:196–205
- Wächtershäuser G (1988) Before enzymes and templates: theory of surface metabolism. *Microbiol Rev* 52:452–484
- Wächtershäuser G (2007) On the chemistry and evolution of the pioneer organism. *Chem Biodivers* 4:584–602
- Ward NL, Challacombe JF, Janssen PH, Henrissat B, Coutinho PM, Wu M, Xie G, Haft DH, Sait M, Badger J, Barabote RD, Bradley B, Brettin TS, Brinkac LM, Bruce D, Creasy T, Daugherty SC, Davidsen TM, DeBoy RT, Detter JC, Dodson RJ, Durkin AS, Ganapathy A, Gwinn-Giglio M, Han CS, Khouri H, Kiss H, Kothari SP, Madupu R, Nelson KE, Nelson WC, Paulsen I, Penn K, Ren Q, Rosovitz MJ, Selengut JD, Shrivastava S, Sullivan SA, Tapia R, Thompson LS, Watkins KL, Yang Q, Yu C, Zafar N, Zhou L, Kuske CR (2009) Three genomes from the phylum acidobacteria provide insight into the lifestyles of these microorganisms in soils. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 75:2046–2056
- Whitaker RJ, Banfield JF (2006) Population genomics in natural microbial communities. *Trends Ecol Evol* 21:508–516
- Wood AP, Aurikko JP, Kelly DP (2004) A challenge for 21st century molecular biology and biochemistry: what are the causes of obligate autotrophy and methanotrophy? *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 28:335–352
- Yamada T, Hiraoka Y, Das Gupta TK, Chakrabarty AM (2004) Rusticyanin, a bacterial electron transfer protein, causes G1 arrest in J774 and apoptosis in human cancer cells. *Cell Cycle* 3:1182–1187
- Yates JR, Holmes DS (1987) Two families of repeated DNA sequences in *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*. *J Bacteriol* 169:1861–1870
- Zhao HL, Holmes DS (1993) Insertion sequence IST1 and associated phenotypic switching in *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*. In: A. E. Torma, M. L. Apel, and C. L. Brierley (eds) *Biohydrometallurgical technologies*, TMS Press, Warrendale, PA, 2:667–671