APPLIED GENETICS AND MOLECULAR BIOTECHNOLOGY

# Transcriptional regulation of *Corynebacterium glutamicum* methionine biosynthesis genes in response to methionine supplementation under oxygen deprivation

Masako Suda • Haruhiko Teramoto • Takashi Imamiya • Masayuki Inui • Hideaki Yukawa

Received: 16 July 2008 / Revised: 27 August 2008 / Accepted: 1 September 2008 / Published online: 18 September 2008 © Springer-Verlag 2008

**Abstract** Expression at the mRNA level of six methionine biosynthesis genes in *Corynebacterium glutamicum* cells under oxygen-deprived conditions was repressed by supplementation of medium with methionine. The repression was not observed in a mutant deficient in the TetR-type transcriptional repressor McbR. Analysis of transcriptional start sites of the methionine biosynthesis genes confirmed that McbR binding motifs exist in the promoter regions of all genes repressed by methionine supplementation. Furthermore, electrophoretic mobility shift assays revealed that not only *S*-adenosylhomocysteine but also *S*-adenosylmethionine affects binding of McbR to the promoter region of *metY*, suggesting that both of these methionine biosynthesis genes.

**Keywords** *Corynebacterium glutamicum* · Methionine biosynthesis genes · *S*-adenosylmethionine · McbR

# Introduction

Corynebacterium glutamicum, a gram-positive soil bacterium widely used for the industrial production of amino acids (Kumagai 2000), excretes significant amounts of organic acids in oxygen-deprived conditions under which cellular growth is arrested (Inui et al. 2004b). These characteristics have enabled development of a high-productivity bioprocess for lactate or succinate and its further tailoring for ethanol production from recombinant *C. glutamicum* (Inui et al.

2004a; Okino et al. 2005, 2008). However, the mechanism of maintaining metabolic activity in the growth-arrested cells under oxygen-deprived conditions is still unknown. The elucidation of this mechanism of metabolic control is important for further development of the high-productivity bioprocess.

Using global DNA microarrays based on the *C. glutamicum* R genome (Yukawa et al. 2007), transcriptional profiles of densely packed cells under oxygen-deprived conditions in minimal medium compared to those under normal aeration conditions in nutrient medium at physiological cell densities revealed a total of 161 genes that showed a greater than twofold increase in expression and 221 that were transcribed at levels less than half those observed under aerobic conditions (Inui et al. 2007). The National Center for Biotechnology Information Cluster of Orthologous Groups database groups the upregulated and downregulated genes as genes involved in transcription, translation, DNA replication, transport, or metabolism. Of these, expression of several genes involved in methionine biosynthesis was upregulated under oxygen-deprived conditions.

There are two major pathways for methionine biosynthesis in microorganisms. In *Escherichia coli*, it occurs through the transsulfuration pathway, whereas *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* utilize the direct sulfhydrylation pathway. The transsulfuration pathway involves cystathionine as an intermediate and utilizes cysteine as the sulfur source, but the direct sulfhydrylation pathway bypasses cystathionine and uses inorganic sulfur instead of cysteine. While most microorganisms synthesize methionine via either one of these pathways, *C. glutamicum* utilizes both (Hwang et al. 2002). The set of genes involved in L-methionine biosynthesis in *C. glutamicum* is found on the genome sequence. The *hom* gene is repressed at the transcriptional level and the enzymatic activities of *metY* and *metB* gene

<sup>M. Suda · H. Teramoto · T. Imamiya · M. Inui · H. Yukawa (⊠)
Research Institute of Innovative Technology for the Earth,
9-2 Kizugawadai,
Kizugawa, Kyoto 619-0292, Japan
e-mail: mmg-lab@rite.or.jp</sup> 

products are repressed in cells grown in the presence of methionine (Follettie et al. 1988; Hwang et al. 2002). Proteomic analysis have also revealed that the levels of MetY. MetK. and Hom protein are reduced by the addition of L-methionine to the growth medium (Rey et al. 2003). However, regulation mechanisms of the methionine biosynthesis genes in response to methionine in C. glutamicum remain unclear. In E. coli and Bacillus subtilis, S-adenosylmethionine (SAM), a derivative of methionine, is the major effector controlling regulation of methionine biosynthesis genes at the level of transcription initiation (Belfaiza et al. 1987; Kromer et al. 2006b; Rodionov et al. 2004; Saint-Girons et al. 1986; Smith et al. 1985; Usuda and Kurahashi 2005). Since SAM is used as a methyl donor in many transmethylation reactions of biomolecules such as proteins, DNA, RNA, and phospholipids, biosynthesis of SAM via methionine must be important for coordination of the relevant cell functions.

McbR, a TetR-type transcriptional regulator, is involved in regulation of the metabolic network directing the synthesis of L-methionine in *C. glutamicum* (Rey et al. 2003, 2005). A systematic search for the potential effector substance modulating the function of McbR revealed that only *S*-adenosylhomocysteine, which is produced from SAM in the SAM-dependent methyltransferase reactions, prevented the binding of McbR to its target sequence (Rey et al. 2005). However, involvement of SAH and McbR in downregulation of methionine biosynthesis genes in response to methionine in culture medium is not fully understood, although repression of the MetY and Hom protein levels by methionine was not detected in a *mcbR* mutant (Rey et al. 2003, 2005).

In this study, we show that McbR is involved in regulation of methionine biosynthesis genes in response to

methionine supplementation in *C. glutamicum* growtharrested cells under oxygen-deprived conditions. Furthermore, DNA binding of McbR is shown to be affected by not only SAH but also SAM.

# Materials and methods

#### Bacterial strains and plasmids

The bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1.

# Media and growth conditions

For genetic manipulations, *E. coli* strains were grown at 37°C in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium and *C. glutamicum* strains were grown at 33°C in A medium (2 g  $\Gamma^1$  yeast extract, 7 g  $\Gamma^1$  casamino acid, 2 g  $\Gamma^1$  urea, 7 g  $\Gamma^1$  (NH<sub>4</sub>) <sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 0.5 g  $\Gamma^1$  KH <sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 0.5 g  $\Gamma^1$  K<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 0.5 g  $\Gamma^1$  MgSO<sub>4</sub>–7H<sub>2</sub>O, 6 mg  $\Gamma^1$  Fe<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>–7H<sub>2</sub>O, 4.2 mg  $\Gamma^1$  Mn<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>–H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.2 mg  $\Gamma^1$  biotin, 0.2 mg  $\Gamma^1$  thiamine) with 4% glucose on a rotary shaker at 200 rpm. When appropriate, media were supplemented with antibiotics. The final antibiotic concentrations for *E. coli* were 50 µg ampicillin per milliliter and 50 µg chloramphenicol per milliliter.

For analysis of gene expression *C. glutamicum* R cells were cultivated at 33°C, pH 7.6, for 13 h in 500 ml A medium containing 4% glucose in a 1-1 jar fermenter (BMJ01PI, Biott). The aeration was set at 1 l min<sup>-1</sup> and the agitation speed was 1,000 rpm. The culture was harvested by centrifugation at  $6,500 \times g$  and 4°C for 15 min. The cell pellet was subsequently washed once with minimal medium (BT medium), which differs from the A medium by the

Table 1 I	Bacterial	strains	and	plasmids	used	in	this	study	
-----------	-----------	---------	-----	----------	------	----	------	-------	--

	· ·			
Strain or plasmid	Relevant characteristics			
Strains				
E. coli				
JM109	recA1 endA1 gyrA96 thi hsdR17 supE44 relA1 $\Delta$ (lac-proAB)/F'[traD36 proAB <sup>+</sup> lacI <sup>q</sup> lacZ $\Delta$ M15]	Takara		
SCS110	dam dcm endA1 supE44 hsdR17 thi leu rpsL lacY galK galT ara tonA thr $\Delta$ (lac-proAB)/F'[traD36 proAB <sup>+</sup> lacI <sup>q</sup> lacZ $\Delta$ M15]	ТОУОВО		
C. glutamic	um			
R	Wild type	Yukawa et al. 2007		
$\Delta mcbR$	<i>mcbR</i> ::Km <sup>r</sup>	This work		
Plasmids				
pCold I	Ap <sup>r</sup> ; Cold-shock expressing vector	Takara		
pUC4K	Ap <sup>r</sup> Km <sup>r</sup> ; source of Km <sup>r</sup> cartridge	Pharmacia		
pHSG398	Cm <sup>r</sup> ; α-lac multicloning site, M13 ori	Takara		
pCRB205	Cm <sup>r</sup> ; pHSG398 with a 1.4-kb SalI fragment containing the C. glutamicum R mcbR gene	This work		
pCRB206	Cm <sup>r</sup> Km <sup>r</sup> ; pCRB205 with a blunt end treated 1.0-kb fragment of Km <sup>r</sup> cartridge inserted into the SacII site.	This work		
pCRB207	Ap <sup>r</sup> ; pCold I with a 0.6-kb PCR fragment containing the C. glutamicum R mcbR gene	This work		

absence of yeast extract and casamino acids. For incubation under oxygen-deprived conditions, the washed cells were resuspended at a final cell concentration of 50 g wet cell weight per liter with 80 ml BT medium containing 200 mM glucose and 400 mM bicarbonate. When indicated, methionine was added at a final concentration of 0.75 mM. The cell suspension was incubated at 33°C with constant agitation without aeration in a lidded 100-ml medium bottle. The pH of the cell reaction was maintained at 8 using a pH controller (DT-1023, Biott) to supplement the medium with NH<sub>3</sub>.

# Quantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA was isolated from cells using the RNeasy Kit (QIAGEN). Total RNA of 20 ng was used as template for analysis of the methionine biosynthesis genes and 0.4 ng was used for analysis of the 16S rRNA to generate cDNA and for the subsequent polymerase chain reaction (PCR) reaction. Each PCR reaction consisted of the amount of total RNA 12.5- $\mu$ l Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix, 0.5- $\mu$ M forward primer, 0.5- $\mu$ M reverse primer, 8-U RNase inhibitor, and 5-U MuLV reverse transcriptase in a total volume of 20  $\mu$ l. PCR parameters were 50°C for 30 min, 95°C for 10 min, and 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 60 s. The result for 16S rRNA was used as an internal control.

#### Primer extension

Total RNA (100 µg) and 1.5-pmol primer were mixed and annealed at 80°C for 90 min, at 60°C for 90 min and 30°C for 90 min, using a GeneAmp PCR System 9700 instrument (Applied Biosystems). cDNA was synthesized at 42°C for 1 h using AMV Reverse Transcriptase (Promega). The reaction was terminated by adding EDTA (pH 8.0) at a final concentration of 250 mM and DNasefree RNase A at a final concentration of 3 ng  $\mu l^{-1}$  to trigger degradation of the RNA templates. The resulting cDNA was treated by phenol-chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. Upon centrifugation, the precipitated DNA pellet was resuspended in IR2 Stop Solution (LI-COR). The primer extension products were treated at 95°C for 2 min and placed on ice for 5 min and separated on 3.7% KB<sup>Plus</sup> Gel Matrix (LI-COR) using a LI-COR 4300 DNA analyzer. The migration position of each primer extension product was determined by comparing a sequencing ladder generated from a DNA fragment corresponding to the same chromosomal region, using the same primers and DYEnamic Direct Cycle Sequencing kit with 7-deaza-dGTP (Amersham Biosciences).

# Purification of His-tagged McbR protein in E. coli

Overexpression of McbR with an amino-terminal six-His tag was achieved by using pCold I. *E. coli* cells harboring

pCRB207 were grown at 37°C in 100 ml of LB medium to  $OD_{610}$  of 0.5. Cultures were incubated at 15°C for 30 min and then IPTG was added at a final concentration of 0.1 mM and shaken for 24 h. Cells were harvested by centrifugation and suspended in 900 µl of His-binding buffer (0.5 M NaCl, 20 mM Tris–HCl, 5 mM imidazole, pH 7.9; Novagen), 100 µl of Fast Break Cell Lysis Reagent (Promega), and 0.2 mg of lysozyme. The mixture was incubated for 15 min at room temperature and centrifuged for 5 min at 12,000×g and the supernatant was pooled. His<sub>6</sub>-McbR was purified using His Bind Resin and Buffer Kit (Novagen) according to the procedure specified by the manufacturer.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) were carried out in a total volume of 20  $\mu$ l of binding buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.9, 100 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM DTT, 5% (*w*/*v*) glycerol, and 50  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> bovine serum albumin). The *metY* promoter DNA fragment was amplified by PCR using primers listed in Table 2. The DNA fragments containing nucleotide substitutions at putative McbR binding sites were prepared as follows.

The DNA fragments were incubated with the purified McbR at 33°C for 10 min. The mixture was fractionated by electrophoresis on a native 5% (w/v) polyacrylamide gel containing 5% (w/v) glycerol in 1/2 TBE (45 mM Trisborate, pH 8.3, 1 mM EDTA) at room temperature. The DNA bands were stained with ethidium bromide and visualized by UV irradiation.

# Results

Methionine biosynthesis genes are upregulated under oxygen-deprived conditions

Expression levels of methionine biosynthesis genes in *C. glutamicum* cells under oxygen-deprived conditions were compared to those under aerobic conditions using quantitative reverse transcription (RT)-PCR. *C. glutamicum* cells cultivated in a nutrient-rich A medium under aerobic conditions were collected and resuspended to a high density in BT minimal medium. The resuspended cells were incubated under oxygen-deprived conditions where they produced lactate and succinate from glucose at a high yield even though cellular growth was arrested. Total RNA was prepared from the cells grown under aerobic conditions (exponentially growing cells) and after 2-h incubation under oxygen deprivation. The expression levels of *metX*, *metB*, *metY*, *metE*, *metH*, and *metK* genes under oxygen-deprived conditions were significantly higher than those

## Table 2 Primers used in this study

Primer function or corresponding gene	Sequence <sup>a</sup> (5'-3')				
Primers for gene deletion					
mcbR	ATGTCGACTTGCTGAACATAGTCGTCGGATGTCGACATACCCACTTACCGACGATT				
Primers for qRT-PCR analysis					
metX	CAAGCGATCGGCGATGTCCGGATTGAATGCCGATTTG				
metY	AGGATGAGGCTTGGGCATTCGCCGATGTTTGCAAGGT				
metE	CGGCTGGGTCCAAAGCTACGCTGGTCGGGAAACGT				
metH	TCTGTGTTCCTCAATGAGTGCATCAATGCGGTTCATCGGCA				
metK	GCTCATCGAAATCGGATTCAACGGACTGCTCACCGATAGATA				
metF	TCAATTGCCGAGCCAACTAGACTCGTCTTTGTTCGCTTCTTCA				
aecD	CAGAAGACGTGCTTCCTTTGTGTCTGCCATTGCTTCCTTC				
metB	GTGCAGAGTATGGTCGTGCATTGAACGCGGAAGAGGATGTCA				
16S rRNA	ACGAAGGCCGCAAGCAGGCGCTCGACTGGGAAA				
Primers for primer extension analysis					
metX	ATCGCCGATCGCTTGGATTT				
metY	GCGGGTTTCAAAGCCCCACT				
metE	CGCTCCGATGCGAGGAAGAC				
metH	GAGCTGGGTGCCCATGGCGC				
metK	CTCAGTTACAGATTCACTGG				
metF	GATGAAAGTGTTTTGTTGGG				
aecD	TTTCAAGGTCCGGCGATTCT				
metB	AGCGTGAATGGATGCGGTGG				
Primers for purification of His-tagged mcb	R protein				
mcbR	GGAATTCCATATGGCTGCTAGCGCTTCAGGCCCAAGCTTCTAAATTGAGTAGTCCGCAG				
Primers for EMSA					
Y1 fragment	TTCTTCTCCCATGCACCCTAACCCTAGCTGTATGCAATCG				
Y2 fragment	TGCAATAGACTGTGCGGTATACCCTAGCTGTATGCAATCG				
M fragment	TGCAACGTGATGTGCGTCACGAATTTATTCTCGACCCTAGCTGTATGCAATCG				
N fragment	CTGCACTGCAGTCCGTGGATGCACGGTGTCCTTCGGTGTT				

<sup>a</sup> Upper and lower sequences correspond to primer pairs

Fig. 1 Schematic representation of methionine biosynthesis pathway exhibiting, relative to aerobic conditions, the mRNA levels of various genes in these pathways in cells incubated under oxygen-deprived conditions. Relative mRNA levels determined by quantitative RT-PCR are shown in *boxes* 



under aerobic conditions (Fig. 1). This result is consistent with our previous microarray analyses (Inui et al. 2007).

Characteristics of the promoter regions in methionine biosynthesis genes

The transcriptional start sites of eight *C. glutamicum* methionine biosynthesis genes, *metX*, *metY*, *metE*, *metH*, *metK*, *metF*, *aecD*, and *metB*, were determined by primer extension. Two transcriptional start sites of *metY* were found, while a single transcriptional start site each was detected for the other seven genes (Fig. 2). Based on the findings on promoter regions of *C. glutamicum* (Patek et al. 2003), the characteristics of the -10 box and -35 box regions located upstream of the methionine biosynthesis genes (Fig. 3), the consensus McbR binding sequence was located in the vicinity of the -10 and -35 boxes of *metX*, *metY*, *metH*, *metK*, and *metB* genes. A putative McbR

binding site is located 217 bases upstream of the transcriptional start site of *metE*. However, another binding site in less agreement with the consensus sequence site occurs 16 bases downstream of the transcriptional start site. No probable McbR binding site was found in the promoter regions of *metF* and *aecD* genes. It is noteworthy that the putative McbR binding sites are found in the promoter regions of *metX*, *metY*, *metH*, *metK*, *metB*, and *metE*, whose expression was enhanced under oxygen-deprived conditions. The expression of *metF* and *aecD*, which have no McbR binding motifs in their promoter regions, was not enhanced under the conditions (Fig. 1).

Methionine biosynthesis genes in *mcbR*-deficient strain are bit subject to methionine repression

One of the major advantages of bioprocesses for organic acid production based on growth-arrested *C. glutamicum* 



Fig. 2 Primer extension analyses of methionine biosynthesis genes under oxygen-deprived conditions. As for each sequencing gel analysis of primer extension, high-resolution electrophoresis of 5'labeled cDNA products are shown aligned with a sequencing ladder generated from DNA from the same region, using the same primer.

Dideoxy sequencing marker *lanes A*, *C*, *T*, and *G* are indicated and cDNA synthesis from the RNA samples is shown in the next lane (*PE*). The migration position of the primer extension product is indicated with an *arrow*. A portion of the DNA sequence is shown on the *left*, and the *asterisk* indicates the putative transcriptional start site

	-3	5 region -1	0 region	TS
metE :	TGCCACCCGGTGATTTCGCGAACCTTGAAACA	TCGTCAGAAGATTGCCGTGCG	тсстас	CGG <b>G</b> ATCCGCAC
metH :	TTGCT <u>TAGGCGGCGTTGAAAA</u> T-N14-AAGT <mark>T</mark>	<u>CGGGA</u> ATTGTCTAATC <u>CGTAC</u>	TAAGCTG	<u>tcta</u> ca <b>c</b> a <b>atg</b> t
metB :	GGGGCGTTTCTGCATTTAAAGGTGATAGAT	GGGCAAAAA <u>TGGACAGCTTGG</u>	<u>тста</u> тса	TTGC <b>G</b> GAA <u>AAGA</u>
$\operatorname{metY}$ :	CCCGAGAATAAATT <u>TATACCGCACAGTCTA</u> TI	IGCAA <mark>TAGACC<b>A</b>AGCTGTTCA</mark> G	TAGGGTG	CATGG <b>G</b> AGAAGA
metY2:	TTGGAAAAAGTCAAGAAAATTACCCGAGAA <u>TA</u>	AATTTATACCGCACAGTCTAT	tgcaa <u>ta</u>	GACC <b>A</b> AGCTGTT
metK :	GTC <u>TAGAAAAATCCAGCTA</u> GACCACTGACA <mark>TT</mark>	<u>'GCAG</u> TTT <u>TAGACAGCTTGGTC</u>	<u>τα</u> ταττς	GTTTT <b>T</b> TGTATT
metX :	TTTCTTTTTCAAACTCGCGAGAATGCAAAC <u>TA</u>	AGACTAGACAGAGCTGTCCATA	ТАСАСТС	TACG <b>A</b> AGTTTTA
metF :	CGACCTCCGGAAATTTGTCGAGGTCAAGGTCA	TGGGCA TCGAAACTGCTCAAG	GAGACGI	CCTTC <b>A</b> ATCGAA
aecD :	CCTCAACTTCACTTCGGGCTCCTTCTTAGTAA	TAGGTTCGTAGAAAAGTTTAC	TAGCCTA	.GAGA <b>G</b> T <b>ATG</b> CGA

metE	:	GTTCGGC <u>TCAAGCAGAAAGTCTT</u> TAACTCAC <b>ATG</b> A
metH	:	CTACTTCAGTTACTTCACCAGCCCACAACAACGCA
metB	:	CCTGTTTATACAGGTGTC-N19-TGATCTACC <b>TTG</b>
metY	:	ATTTCCTAATAAAAAACTCTTAAGGACCTCCAA ATG
metY2	:	$\underline{CA} \texttt{GTAGGGTGCATGG} \\ \textbf{GAG} \\ -\texttt{N27} \\ -\texttt{GACCTCCAA} \\ \textbf{ATG}$
metK	:	$\texttt{TAAGACTATTTATTCTCA-N14-AGGGTATTT} \textbf{\textit{GTG}}$
metX	:	GTCTTGTCCACCCAGAACAGGCGGTTATTTTC ATG
metF	:	$\texttt{TAGGGGGATGCGGGCTGAATT} \textbf{\textit{TTG}} \texttt{GTGGGGGTGAA}$
aecD	:	TTTCCTGAACTCGAAGAATTGAAGAATCGCCGGAC

Fig. 3 Sequence alignment of promoter regions with potential McbR binding site of methionine biosynthesis genes. The ATG start codon of each gene is indicated in *bold italics letters*. The experimentally

cells under oxygen-deprived conditions is the high productivity in the absence of complex natural nutrients such as yeast extract conventionally used to optimize cell growth. Therefore, the induction of expression of the *C. glutamicum* methionine biosynthesis genes under oxygendeprived conditions may be ascribed to the absence of methionine in the culture medium. To confirm this, effects of supplementation of medium with methionine on expression of the genes was examined by quantitative RT-PCR. The results revealed mRNA levels of *metE*, *metH*, *metB*, *metY metK*, and *metX* that were significantly lower in the presence of methionine than in its absence (Table 3). It should be noted that these genes are regulated in response to methionine even in the growth-arrested cells under oxygen-deprived conditions.

To examine the role of McbR on methionine response of methionine biosynthesis genes under oxygen-deprived conditions, expression of the genes in an *mcbR*-deficient mutant was analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR. Aerobic growth was significantly delayed by deletion of *mcbR*, as reported previously (Kromer et al. 2006a). Under aerobic

determined sites of transcription initiation are given in *bold letters*. The deduced extended -10 and -35 boxes for each promoter are *boxed*. McbR binding motifs (TAGAC-N6-GTCTA) were *underlined* 

conditions, *metE*, *metH*, *metB*, *metY*, *metK*, and *metX* mRNA levels were higher in the *mcbR* mutant than in the wild type (data not shown). The repression of the six genes by methionine observed in the wild-type strain was not observed in the *mcbR*-deficient mutant strain (Table 3). It should be noted that the mRNA levels of *metF* and *aecD* genes which do not possess an McbR binding motif in their promoter regions remained unchanged irrespective of changes in culture conditions.

McbR repressor binding to the *metY* promoter region is promoted by SAM, inhibited by SAH, but not affected by methionine

The mRNA level of *metY* was most markedly increased by disruption of *mcbR* (data not shown). Although two McbR binding motifs were identified in its promoter region, binding of McbR to the motifs was not verified experimentally yet. EMSA using McbR-His tag fusion protein and each of four DNA probes (Y1, Y2, N, and M) derived from the promoter region of *metY* (Fig. 4a) was therefore

Table 3 Effect of the presence of methionine in the medium on the expression of methionine biosynthetic genes

	Relative mRNA level (methionine ±) <sup>a</sup>								
	metE	metH	metB	metY	metK	metX	metF	aecD	
WT $\Delta mcbR$	$17.9\pm2.93$ $0.79\pm0.17$	$9.86 \pm 3.10$ $0.96 \pm 0.18$	$7.53 \pm 1.42$ $0.90 \pm 0.16$	$3.59 \pm 0.52$ $0.99 \pm 0.24$	$2.70\pm0.19$ $0.79\pm0.24$	$2.19 \pm 0.49$ $0.96 \pm 0.09$	$1.36 \pm 0.37$ $1.02 \pm 0.04$	$1.07 \pm 0.11$ $0.93 \pm 0.09$	

<sup>a</sup> These values represent the means ± standard errors of three independent experiments

а



Fig. 4 Electrophoretic mobility shift assays using the *metY* promoter region and a purified His-tagged McbR protein. **a** The promoter region of the *metY* gene. *metY* is indicated with a *white arrow*. DNA fragments (Y1, 127 bp; Y2, 89 bp; M, 89 bp; and N, 235 bp) used in the EMSAs are indicated with *bars*. A magnification shows the location of the putative McbR site with *arrows* (TAGAC-N6-GTCTA). Mutations introduced in the putative McbR binding motif, which are indicated with *bold letters*, are shown. **b** Binding of the Histagged McbR protein to the *metY* promoter region. Y1, Y2, N, and M

performed. Each DNA probe was incubated with different amounts of the purified McbR-His tag fusion protein and the mixture subjected to gel electrophoresis. The presence of McbR protein caused the band to shift and separate with DNA fragment Y1 containing two sets of the McbR binding motif. One band shift was observed with the Y2 fragment containing only one McbR binding motif. On the other hand, no band shift was observed with an arbitrary fragment N containing no McbR binding motif. Furthermore, mutation of the McbR binding motif in the Y2 fragment (M) lost the binding to McbR (Fig. 4b). These

at the indicated concentration were incubated with different concentrations of His-tagged McbR protein. **c** Effect of the binding of the McbR protein to the *metY* promoter region in the presence of methionine, *S*-adenosylmethionine (SAM) and *S*-adenosylhomocysteine (SAH). Y2 DNA fragment at 100 nM was incubated with the His-tagged McbR protein at 680 nM in the presence of methionine, SAM, and SAH at concentrations indicated in each lane. The DNA– protein complex is indicated by an *arrow* 

results indicated that both motifs were involved in the McbR binding.

It is likely that McbR binds to the promoter region and represses the expression of the methionine biosynthesis genes in cells grown in the presence of methionine. Therefore, methionine or its metabolites may act as a positive effector for McbR binding although a methionine metabolite SAH is rather reported to act as a negative effector for the binding of McbR to the promoter region of *hom* (Rey et al. 2005). The effects of methionine and its metabolites, SAM and SAH, on McbR binding to the promoter region of *metY* was examined by EMSAs. While addition of methionine showed minimal effects on the McbR binding to its target DNA, the binding was promoted by addition of SAM. In contrast, the binding was inhibited by SAH, which is consistent with the analyses using the promoter region of *hom* (Rey et al. 2005; Fig. 4c).

# Discussion

In this study, it was shown that the mRNA level of *metX*, metY, metE, metH, metK, and metB was repressed by methionine in C. glutamicum cells under oxygen-deprived conditions. Although it has been reported that expression of some methionine biosynthesis genes is repressed in the presence of methionine in C. glutamicum, changes in the transcript level were shown only for hom, and changes in the protein level were shown for metY, metK, cysK, and metB (Follettie et al. 1988; Rey et al. 2003). Methionine is not only required for protein synthesis but is also metabolized to SAM, which is a universal methyl donor for various cellular methylation reactions (Kramer et al. 1987; Macintyre et al. 2001). Thus, the intracellular SAM concentration seems to be strictly regulated in response to the intracellular and/or extracellular conditions. SAM also plays an important role in regulation of cell division. A mutant with low levels of SAM exhibited defects in cell division (Newman et al. 1998; Wang et al. 2005; Wei and Newman 2002). The gene encoding SAM-dependent methyltransferase is located in the cell-division-related gene cluster on the E. coli chromosome, and therefore methyltransferase might be involved in cell division. Similarly, the cluster of cell division related genes in the C. glutamicum genome contains a putative SAM-dependent methyltransferase-encoding gene. C. glutamicum cells remain able to excrete, in significant amounts, several metabolites, despite the cessation of cellular growth under oxygen-deprived conditions. The induction of methionine biosynthesis genes under these conditions may suggest the important role of SAM in the high metabolic activity of growth-arrested cells.

In this study, the transcriptional start site of eight genes involved in methionine biosynthesis was determined, indicating that the consensus sequence of the McbR binding site (Rey et al. 2005) is located in the vicinity of the -10 and -35 boxes of six of these genes (*metX*, *metY*, *metE*, *metH*, *metK*, and *metB*), which is consistent with the earlier finding that this type of regulator binds to its operator region to repress transcription (Ramos et al. 2005). In the *mcbR* mutant, no repression of the six genes expression by methionine was observed. EMSAs confirmed that McbR binds to the McbR binding motif in the promoter region of *metY*, as well as the corresponding site derived from the upstream region of hom (Rey et al. 2005). These results suggest that McbR acts as a repressor of the methionine biosynthesis genes in the presence of methionine. The binding of the McbR protein was inhibited by SAH, which is a derivative of the methylation agent SAM, as reported for the hom promoter (Rey et al. 2005). It was suggested that the McbR protein senses the cellular SAH level that directly reflects the consumption of SAM, as both metabolites are physiologically linked by the rate of transmethylation reactions (Rey et al. 2005). However, the effect of SAH alone cannot explain the repression of gene expression by addition of methionine. EMSAs in this study suggested that SAM functions as a corepressor, enhancing the binding of McbR to the operator sequence. Therefore, the repression of the McbR regulon by addition of methionine may be due to an increase of the intracellular SAM concentration. The mechanism of regulation of methionine biosynthesis genes at the transcriptional level has been well studied in E. coli and B. subtilis (Auger et al. 2002; Belfaiza et al. 1987; Saint-Girons et al. 1986; Usuda and Kurahashi 2005). In these bacteria, transcription of methionine biosynthesis genes is regulated through a different feedback mechanism by SAM (Rodionov et al. 2004; Usuda and Kurahashi 2005). Distinctively, in C. glutamicum, the regulation of methionine biosynthesis genes via McbR may be modulated by the ratio of SAM to SAH in the cell. The regulatory system of gene expression in response to methionine under oxygen-deprived conditions demonstrated in this study will be applied to control gene expression for improvement of high-productivity bioprocesses under these conditions.

Acknowledgements We thank Crispinus A. Omumasaba (RITE) for critical reading of the manuscript. This work was financially supported in part by the New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization, Japan.

# References

- Auger S, Danchin A, Martin-Verstraete I (2002) Global expression profile of *Bacillus subtilis* grown in the presence of sulfate or methionine. J Bacteriol 184:5179–5186
- Belfaiza J, Guillou Y, Margarita D, Perrin D, Saint Girons I (1987) Operator-constitutive mutations of the *Escherichia coli* metF gene. J Bacteriol 169:670–674
- Follettie MT, Shin HK, Sinskey AJ (1988) Organization and regulation of the *Corynebacterium glutamicum* hom-thrB and thrC loci. Mol Microbiol 2:53–62
- Hwang BJ, Yeom HJ, Kim Y, Lee HS (2002) Corynebacterium glutamicum utilizes both transsulfuration and direct sulfhydrylation pathways for methionine biosynthesis. J Bacteriol 184:1277–1286
- Inui M, Kawaguchi H, Murakami S, Vertès AA, Yukawa H (2004a) Metabolic engineering of *Corynebacterium glutamicum* for fuel ethanol production under oxygen-deprivation conditions. J Mol Microbiol Biotechnol 8:243–254

- Inui M, Murakami S, Okino S, Kawaguchi H, Vertès AA, Yukawa H (2004b) Metabolic analysis of *Corynebacterium glutamicum* during lactate and succinate productions under oxygen deprivation conditions. J Mol Microbiol Biotechnol 7:182–196
- Inui M, Suda M, Okino S, Nonaka H, Puskás LG, Vertès AA, Yukawa H (2007) Transcriptional profiling of *Corynebacterium glutamicum* metabolism during organic acid production under oxygen deprivation conditions. Microbiology 153:2491–2504
- Kramer DL, Sufrin JR, Porter CW (1987) Relative effects of Sadenosylmethionine depletion on nucleic acid methylation and polyamine biosynthesis. Biochem J 247:259–265
- Kromer JO, Heinzle E, Schroder H, Wittmann C (2006a) Accumulation of homolanthionine and activation of a novel pathway for isoleucine biosynthesis in *Corynebacterium glutamicum* McbR deletion strains. J Bacteriol 188:609–618
- Kromer JO, Wittmann C, Schroder H, Heinzle E (2006b) Metabolic pathway analysis for rational design of L-methionine production by *Escherichia coli* and *Corynebacterium glutamicum*. Metab Eng 8:353–369
- Kumagai H (2000) Microbial production of amino acids in Japan. Adv Biochem Eng Biotechnol 69:71–85
- Macintyre G, Atwood CV, Cupples CG (2001) Lowering *S*adenosylmethionine levels in *Escherichia coli* modulates C-to-T transition mutations. J Bacteriol 183:921–927
- Newman EB, Budman LI, Chan EC, Greene RC, Lin RT, Woldringh CL, D'Ari R (1998) Lack of S-adenosylmethionine results in a cell division defect in *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol 180:3614–3619
- Okino S, Inui M, Yukawa H (2005) Production of organic acids by *Corynebacterium glutamicum* under oxygen deprivation. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 68:475–480
- Okino S, Suda M, Fujikura K, Inui M, Yukawa H (2008) Production of D-lactic acid by *Corynebacterium glutamicum* under oxygen deprivation. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 78:449–454
- Patek M, Nesvera J, Guyonvarch A, Reyes O, Leblon G (2003) Promoters of Corynebacterium glutamicum. J Biotechnol 104:311–323
- Ramos JL, Martinez-Bueno M, Molina-Henares AJ, Teran W, Watanabe K, Zhang X, Gallegos MT, Brennan R, Tobes R

(2005) The TetR family of transcriptional repressors. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev  $69{:}326{-}356$ 

- Rey DA, Puhler A, Kalinowski J (2003) The putative transcriptional repressor McbR, member of the TetR-family, is involved in the regulation of the metabolic network directing the synthesis of sulfur containing amino acids in *Corynebacterium glutamicum*. J Biotechnol 103:51–65
- Rey DA, Nentwich SS, Koch DJ, Ruckert C, Puhler A, Tauch A, Kalinowski J (2005) The McbR repressor modulated by the effector substance S-adenosylhomocysteine controls directly the transcription of a regulon involved in sulphur metabolism of *Corynebacterium glutamicum* ATCC 13032. Mol Microbiol 56:871–887
- Rodionov DA, Vitreschak AG, Mironov AA, Gelfand MS (2004) Comparative genomics of the methionine metabolism in Grampositive bacteria: a variety of regulatory systems. Nucleic Acids Res 32:3340–3353
- Saint-Girons I, Belfaiza J, Guillou Y, Perrin D, Guiso N, Barzu O, Cohen GN (1986) Interactions of the *Escherichia coli* methionine repressor with the metF operator and with its corepressor, Sadenosylmethionine. J Biol Chem 261:10936–10940
- Smith AA, Greene RC, Kirby TW, Hindenach BR (1985) Isolation and characterization of the product of the methionine-regulatory gene metJ of *Escherichia coli* K-12. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 82:6104–6108
- Usuda Y, Kurahashi O (2005) Effects of deregulation of methionine biosynthesis on methionine excretion in *Escherichia coli*. Appl Environ Microbiol 71:3228–3234
- Wang S, Arends SJ, Weiss DS, Newman EB (2005) A deficiency in Sadenosylmethionine synthetase interrupts assembly of the septal ring in *Escherichia coli* K-12. Mol Microbiol 58:791–799
- Wei Y, Newman EB (2002) Studies on the role of the *metK* gene product of *Escherichia coli* K-12. Mol Microbiol 43:1651–1656
- Yukawa H, Omumasaba CA, Nonaka H, Kos P, Okai N, Suzuki N, Suda M, Tsuge Y, Watanabe J, Ikeda Y, Vertès AA, Inui M (2007) Comparative analysis of the *Corynebacterium glutamicum* group and complete genome sequence of strain R. Microbiology 153:1042–1058