## BRIEF COMMUNICATION

## Congenic mice reveal genetic epistasis and overlapping disease loci for autoimmune diabetes and listeriosis

Nancy Wang • Colleen M. Elso • Leanne Mackin • Stuart I. Mannering · Richard A. Strugnell · Odilia L. Wijburg & Thomas C. Brodnicki

Received: 17 April 2014 /Accepted: 21 May 2014 / Published online: 7 June 2014  $\oslash$  Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2014

Abstract The nonobese diabetic (NOD) mouse strain serves as a genomic standard for assessing how allelic variation for insulin-dependent diabetes (Idd) loci affects the development of autoimmune diabetes. We previously demonstrated that C57BL/6 (B6) mice harbor a more diabetogenic allele than NOD mice for the Idd14 locus when introduced onto the NOD genetic background. New congenic NOD mouse strains, harboring smaller B6-derived intervals on chromosome 13, now localize *Idd14* to an  $\sim$ 18-Mb interval and reveal a new locus, Idd31. Notably, the B6 allele for Idd31 confers protection against diabetes, but only in the absence of the diabetogenic B6 allele for *Idd14*, indicating genetic epistasis between these two loci. Moreover, congenic mice that are more susceptible to diabetes are more resistant to Listeria monocytogenes infection. This result co-localizes Idd14 and Listr2, a resistance locus for listeriosis, to the same genomic interval and indicates that congenic NOD mice may also be useful for localizing resistance loci for infectious disease.

Odilia L. Wijburg and Thomas C. Brodnicki contributed equally to this manuscript.

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi[:10.1007/s00251-014-0782-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00251-014-0782-5)) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

N. Wang · C. M. Elso · L. Mackin · S. I. Mannering · T. C. Brodnicki  $(\boxtimes)$ 

Immunology and Diabetes Unit, St Vincent's Institute of Medical Research, 9 Princes Street, Fitzroy, Victoria 3065, Australia e-mail: tbrodnicki@svi.edu.au

## N. Wang

Department of Medicine, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia

N. Wang : R. A. Strugnell : O. L. Wijburg Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia

Keywords Type 1 diabetes · Listeria monocytogenes · Idd14 · Idd31 . Listr2 . Congenic NOD mice

Human studies have identified >50 loci that affect the risk for developing type 1 diabetes (T1D), but further investigation of the underlying causative alleles and gene interactions are often hindered by genetic heterogeneity, modest gene effect sizes, and environmental factors (Barrett et al. [2009](#page-5-0); Howson et al. [2012;](#page-5-0) Morahan et al. [2011;](#page-5-0) Pociot et al. [2010](#page-5-0)). The use of inbred mouse strains provides a more tractable approach for investigating disease loci. Genetic outcross studies using the nonobese diabetic (NOD) mouse strain have identified >30 loci (termed insulin-dependent diabetes (Idd) loci) that affect susceptibility to autoimmune diabetes in mice (Bult et al. [2013;](#page-5-0) Driver et al. [2011](#page-5-0)). Moreover, introduction of specific genomic intervals from nondiabetes-prone strains onto the NOD genetic background (i.e., congenic NOD mice) have confirmed and localized individual Idd loci to small regions containing relatively few candidate genes (Driver et al. [2011\)](#page-5-0). Evidence now supports both MHC and non-MHC genes (e.g., B2m, Il2, Il21, Ctla4, Slc11a1, Trpv1, AK005651) for which NOD mice harbor diabetes susceptibility alleles (Araki et al. [2009](#page-5-0); Driver et al. [2011](#page-5-0); Hamilton-Williams et al. [2001;](#page-5-0) Kissler et al. [2006](#page-5-0); McGuire et al. [2009;](#page-5-0) Razavi et al. [2006;](#page-5-0) Tan et al. [2010;](#page-5-0) Yamanouchi et al. [2007\)](#page-5-0). Congenic NOD mice have also identified genetic interactions between Idd loci; different combinations of alleles for Idd loci confer different degrees of diabetes protection or susceptibility when introduced onto the NOD genetic background (Fraser et al. [2010;](#page-5-0) Hamilton-Williams et al. [2013](#page-5-0); Hollis-Moffatt et al. [2005;](#page-5-0) Hunter et al. [2007;](#page-5-0) Lin et al. [2013](#page-5-0); Morin et al. [2006](#page-5-0)).

Idd14 is a member of a class of Idd loci for which nondiabetes-prone mouse strains harbor alleles that are more diabetogenic than NOD alleles (Brodnicki et al. [2003](#page-5-0); Ghosh et al. [1993](#page-5-0); McAleer et al. [1995](#page-5-0)). Idd14 was originally located <span id="page-1-0"></span>to an ~40-Mb interval on chromosome (Chr) 13 between D13Mit61 and D13Mit9 (McAleer et al. [1995\)](#page-5-0). In a separate study, diabetic backcross progeny, generated from NOD and nondiabetes-prone B6 mice, had increased heterozygosity for polymorphic markers within this interval, suggesting that B6 mice harbor a more diabetogenic allele than NOD mice for Idd14 (Brodnicki et al. [2003](#page-5-0)). We therefore generated a congenic NOD mouse strain (NOD.B6Idd14R0) that was homozygous for a B6-derived interval encompassing the majority of Chr13, including the linked interval defining *Idd14*. These congenic NOD mice demonstrated a significant increase in diabetes incidence compared to NOD mice (Brodnicki et al. [2003](#page-5-0)). This confirmed that B6 mice harbor a more diabetogenic allele than NOD mice for Idd14, but this diabetogenic effect is only observed when this B6 allele is introduced onto the NOD genetic background.

To further localize Idd14, we generated new congenic NOD strains that dissected the congenic interval in NOD.B6Idd14R0 mice (Table 1; henceforth, names are abbreviated, e.g., NOD.B6Idd14R0=Idd14R0). Briefly, heterozygous Idd14R0 mice were intercrossed to generate  $F_2$  progeny that were screened for recombination events using DNA from tail biopsies and polymorphic markers as described (Brodnicki et al. [2003\)](#page-5-0). Three  $F<sub>2</sub>$  progenies were selected to establish new homozygous congenic strains that have smaller congenic intervals, which either encompass (Idd14R3) or dissect (Idd14R6, Idd14R8) the originally linked interval for Idd14 (Brodnicki et al. [2003;](#page-5-0) McAleer et al. [1995](#page-5-0)). Female cohorts for these congenic strains, as well as the Idd14R0 strain, were monitored for diabetes onset compared to NOD females. Idd14R0, Idd14R3, and Idd14R8 mice exhibited a significant increase in diabetes incidence compared to NOD mice (Fig. [1a, b](#page-2-0)), indicating that Idd14 is located within the shared congenic interval (Table 1). In contrast, Idd14R6 mice had a significant decrease in diabetes incidence compared to NOD mice (Fig. [1c\)](#page-2-0) and excluded this distal region on Chr13 from containing the Idd14 locus (Table 1). These results indicate that *Idd14* localizes to an  $\sim$ 18-Mb interval between *D13Svi14* and D13Mit11 (Table 1). The reduced diabetes incidence observed for Idd14R6 mice was unexpected (Fig. [1c\)](#page-2-0) and points to a new Idd locus, termed Idd31, that is located within an  $~48$ -Mb interval on the distal end of Chr13 (Table 1).

Furthermore, our new congenic NOD strains revealed genetic epistasis between Idd14 and Idd31. Notably, the B6 allele for *Idd31* provides substantial protection against diabetes onset, but only in the absence of the diabetogenic B6 allele for *Idd14* (i.e., Idd14R6, Fig. [1c](#page-2-0)). The ability of the B6 allele for Idd14 to mask this protective effect in Idd14R0 and Idd14R8 mice was unexpected (Fig. [1a, b](#page-2-0)), but such genetic epistasis between Idd loci is not without precedence; the diabetogenic B10 allele for Idd5.4 suppressed the protective effect of the B10 alleles for *Idd5.2* and *Idd5.3* in congenic NOD mice for Chr1 (Hunter et al. [2007\)](#page-5-0), the diabetogenic Table 1 Genetic intervals for congenic mouse strains



 $B = C57BL/6$  allele, N NOD allele

\*\*\*Denotes boundaries for the Idd14/Listr2 and Idd31 genetic intervals <sup>a</sup> D13Svi markers are available in the NCBI Probe Database [\(http://www.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/probe) [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/probe\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/probe)

<sup>b</sup> Genomic coordinates are from NCBI build 37 assembly, mm9

 $c$  Strain names have been abbreviated (e.g., R0=Idd14R0= NOD.B6Idd14R0)

C3H allele for Idd19 suppressed the protective effect of the C3H allele for *Idd6* in congenic NOD mice for Chr6 (Morin et al. [2006\)](#page-5-0), and the diabetogenic ABH allele for Idd21.2 suppressed the protective effect of the ABH allele for Idd21.1 in congenic NOD mice for Chr18 (Hollis-Moffatt

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

et al. [2005](#page-5-0)). In each of these cases, however, the diabetes incidence for the respective congenic NOD strains was no greater than NOD mice (Hollis-Moffatt et al. [2005;](#page-5-0) Hunter

Fig. 1 Congenic NOD mouse strains bisect the original Idd14 locus and reveal genetic epistasis. The cumulative incidence of diabetes was determined in age-matched female cohorts: **a** NOD  $(n=72)$  and Idd14R0 ( $n=64$ ); **b** NOD ( $n=58$ ), Idd14R3 ( $n=57$ ), and Idd14R8 ( $n=$ 61); and c NOD ( $n=60$ ) and Idd14R6 ( $n=54$ ). Congenic mouse strains were homozygous for their respective B6-derived chromosome 13 intervals (Table [1\)](#page-1-0). Mice were tested once a week for elevated urinary glucose (>110 mmol/L). Three consecutive elevated urinary readings, confirmed by elevated blood glucose (>10 mmol/L), indicated the onset of diabetes. Pairwise comparisons of diabetes incidence curves were performed using the log-rank test  $(P<0.003$  for NOD vs Idd14R3; P<0.003 for NOD vs Idd14R8). Diabetes incidence curves for NOD and Idd14R0 mice in a represent independent cohorts that replicate the previous finding (Brodnicki et al. [2003\)](#page-5-0)

et al. [2007;](#page-5-0) Morin et al. [2006\)](#page-5-0). In contrast, the diabetogenic B6 allele for Idd14 not only completely masks the protective effect of the B6 resistance allele for Idd31, but also confers a higher diabetes incidence in Idd14R0 and Idd14R8 mice compared to NOD mice (Fig. 1a, b).

Given that B6 mice harbor a highly diabetogenic allele for Idd14, we speculated that this allele might be maintained within Mus species because it confers some advantage. The Idd14 interval intriguingly overlaps Listr2, a resistance locus for the infectious disease listeriosis, caused by Listeria monocytogenes. Listr1 and Listr2 were originally identified in an outcross between B6 and BALB/c mice, with Listr2 mapping to an ~40-Mb interval between D13Mit21 and D13Mit147 on Chr13 (Boyartchuk et al. [2001\)](#page-5-0). In particular,  $F_2$  progeny harboring B6 alleles within the interval for Listr2 were more likely to survive a L. monocytogenes dose that was lethal to BALB/c mice. While the *Listr1* locus on Chr5 has recently been confirmed by congenic mice (Qi et al. [2014\)](#page-5-0), the protective effect of the B6 allele for the Listr2 locus has not been confirmed. There is a relatively broad range of sensitivities amongst inbred mouse strains for L. monocytogenes infection, with BALB/c and NOD mice exhibiting increased susceptibility compared to B6 mice (Boyartchuk et al. [2001](#page-5-0); Cheers and McKenzie [1978](#page-5-0); Usami et al. [1995\)](#page-5-0). Our congenic NOD mouse strains thus enabled us to test if the B6-derived interval for Idd14, which increases diabetes risk, harbors the B6 resistance allele for Listr2.

To test for Listr2, cohorts of NOD, Idd14R0, and B6 mice were infected with *L. monocytogenes*. Culturing of L. monocytogenes, infection of mice, and measurement of organ bacterial load were performed as described (Wang et al. [2011](#page-5-0)). Mice were initially infected with  $\sim$ 2,500 colony-forming units (CFU) of L. monocytogenes. This dose resulted in some infected NOD mice becoming moribund on day 3 postinfection, whereas infected B6 and Idd14R0 mice showed little to no symptoms of infection (e.g., ruffled fur, limited movement). The NOD mice were euthanized along with the B6 and Idd14R0 mice; livers and spleens were removed to measure the amount of viable L. monocytogenes. As expected from the observed symptoms, NOD mice had a significantly higher bacterial burden than infected Idd14R0

Fig. 2 Congenic NOD mice confirm Listr2. Age-matched females were intravenously injected with L. monocytogenes (EGD strain) at 7–9 weeks of age. a NOD  $(n=10)$ , Idd14R0  $(n=6)$ , and B6  $(n=5)$  females were infected with ~2,500 CFU, and bacterial load was determined in the liver and spleen at day 3 postinfection. **b** NOD  $(n=5)$ , Idd14R0 ( $n=5$ ), and B6 ( $n=5$ ) females were infected with ~900 CFU, and bacterial load was determined at day 3 postinfection. One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-tests was used for statistical analyses (adjusted P values:  $*P<0.05$ ;  $*P<0.01$ ; \*\*\*P<0.001). Horizontal lines represent the geometric mean of each group. The Y-axis stops at  $Log_{10} = 2$  because quantifying CFU per organ using the described method is less accurate below 100 CFU



and B6 mice (Fig. 2a). To reduce the potential for morbidity in NOD mice, we lowered the dose to ~900 CFU and euthanized infected mice on day 3 postinfection. Although infected NOD mice have fewer symptoms for this lower dose, they still had significantly higher bacterial loads in the liver and spleen than infected B6 and Idd14R0 mice (Fig. 2b). These combined results confirm Listr2 and demonstrated that B6 mice harbor a resistance allele for Listr2, which can confer increased resistance to L. monocytogenes infection when placed on the genetic background of a susceptible mouse strain.

Listr2 was further localized by comparing Idd14R3, Idd14R6, and Idd14R8 congenic mice for L. monocytogenes infection. In separate experiments, mice for these strains, along with NOD and B6 strains, were infected with L. monocytogenes and euthanized on days 3 and 4 postinfection. Both Idd14R3 and Idd14R8 had lower bacterial loads in the liver and spleen at day 3 postinfection compared to NOD mice when infected with intermediate doses to those described above (~1,100 and  $\sim$ 1,400 CFU, respectively; Fig. [3a, b](#page-4-0)). Idd14R3 and Idd14R8 also exhibited little to no symptoms of infection, whereas NOD mice still exhibited symptoms at these doses, suggesting that Listr2 is located within the shared congenic interval (Table [1\)](#page-1-0). In contrast, at a lower dose  $(\sim 750$  CFU) that resulted in little to no symptoms in all infected mice, Idd14R6 mice had similar bacterial loads compared to NOD mice even though a slightly later time point (i.e., day 4 postinfection) was chosen to increase the chance of detecting a difference (Fig. [3c\)](#page-4-0). This result excludes the distal region on Chr13 from containing the Listr2 locus and, in combination with the results for Idd14R3 and Idd14R8, localizes *Listr2* to the same  $\sim$ 18-Mb interval as *Idd14* (Table [1](#page-1-0)). We do note that the B6 resistance allele for Listr2 alone does not confer the same degree of protection to NOD mice as observed in B6 mice (Figs. [1b](#page-2-0) and [3a, b\)](#page-4-0). This is likely due to other loci for which B6 alleles are required to recapitulate this B6 phenotype on the NOD genetic background (Garifulin and Boyartchuk [2005\)](#page-5-0).

It is not yet clear whether Idd14 and Listr2 represent allelic variation affecting the same or different genes. However, there are genes for which allelic variation is associated with both autoimmune diabetes and infectious diseases. For example, studies using congenic NOD mice with RNA interference or knockout alleles indicate that allelic variation for Slc11a1 (Idd5.1), encoding NRAMP1, provides protection against Salmonella enterica but increases the risk for developing T1D (Kissler et al. [2006](#page-5-0); Lin et al. [2013](#page-5-0)). In humans, the T1D susceptibility allele for PTPN22 is associated with resistance to Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Gomez et al. [2005](#page-5-0)), but susceptibility to *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (Chapman et al. [2006\)](#page-5-0), suggesting that the effect of T1D-associated alleles upon infectious disease depends on the pathogen. At present,

<span id="page-4-0"></span>



the defined Idd14/Listr2 interval is relatively large. Publicly available annotation for this interval estimates that there are 191 protein-coding genes, 26 noncoding RNA genes, and 8 microRNA genes ([http://www.informatics.jax.org\)](http://www.informatics.jax.org/). Sequence variation was obtained from the Sanger Institute Mouse Genomes Project [\(http://www.sanger.ac.uk\)](http://www.sanger.ac.uk/). Comparison of NOD and B6 for this interval identified at least 43 proteincoding genes with nonsense, splice-site, or nonconservative missense mutations (Supplemental Table 1) and an even greater number of intronic and intergenic polymorphisms with unknown effects. Additional congenic mouse strains with smaller B6-derived intervals will need to be generated and tested to more precisely localize these loci and refine the list of candidate genes. From a practical point of view, testing mice for infection is typically much quicker than diabetes onset. Identifying Listr2 may thus accelerate the discovery of Idd14 if sequence variation for the same gene is responsible for both loci.

In summary, congenic mice enable detection of disease loci and genetic interactions that are often hidden amidst the heterogeneity present in mouse outcrosses and human associ-ation studies. Our panel of congenic NOD mouse strains uncovered a new diabetes susceptibility locus, Idd31, as well as genetic epistasis between this locus and Idd14. These congenic NOD mice also confirmed and localized Listr2, which overlaps the currently defined interval for *Idd14*. While NOD mice are not conventionally used in infection studies, our results indicate that congenic NOD mice, initially established to confirm and localize Idd loci, may prove useful for genetic studies of infectious disease.

Acknowledgments The authors thank Christina Cheers (The University of Melbourne), Anna Walduck (RMIT University), and Yifan Zhan (The Walter and Eliza Hall Institute) for advice and reagents. This work was funded by the Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation (1-2008-602), the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC: 575552, 1029231), and the Victorian Government's Operational Infrastructure Support Program. N.W. was supported by an Australian Postgraduate Award. C.M.E. was supported by a Peter Doherty Postdoctoral Fellowship from the Australian NHMRC. O.W. was supported by a R.D. Wright Fellowship from the Australian NHMRC. The nomenclature for the new Idd locus, Idd31, has been reserved with the Mouse Genome

<span id="page-5-0"></span>Informatics Database. New polymorphic genetic markers described herein have been deposited in the NCBI Probe Database [\(http://www.ncbi.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/probe) [nlm.nih.gov/probe\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/probe): D13Svi2 (Pr031829719), D13Svi3 (Pr031829723), D13Svi4 (Pr031829724), D13Svi5 (Pr031829725), D13Svi13 (Pr031829712), D13Svi14 (Pr031829713), D13Svi15 (Pr031829714), D13Svi16 (Pr031829715), D13Svi17 (Pr031829716), D13Svi18 (Pr031829717), D13Svi19 (Pr031829718), D13Svi21 (Pr031829720), D13Svi24 (Pr031829721), and D13Svi25 (Pr031829722).

## References

- Araki M, Chung D, Liu S, Rainbow DB, Chamberlain G, Garner V, Hunter KM, Vijayakrishnan L, Peterson LB, Oukka M, Sharpe AH, Sobel R, Kuchroo VK, Wicker LS (2009) Genetic evidence that the differential expression of the ligand-independent isoform of CTLA-4 is the molecular basis of the Idd5.1 type 1 diabetes region in nonobese diabetic mice. J Immunol 183:5146–5157
- Barrett JC, Clayton DG, Concannon P, Akolkar B, Cooper JD, Erlich HA, Julier C, Morahan G, Nerup J, Nierras C, Plagnol V, Pociot F, Schuilenburg H, Smyth DJ, Stevens H, Todd JA, Walker NM, Rich SS (2009) Genome-wide association study and meta-analysis find that over 40 loci affect risk of type 1 diabetes. Nat Genet 41: 703–707
- Boyartchuk VL, Broman KW, Mosher RE, D'Orazio SE, Starnbach MN, Dietrich WF (2001) Multigenic control of Listeria monocytogenes susceptibility in mice. Nat Genet 27:259–260
- Brodnicki TC, Quirk F, Morahan G (2003) A susceptibility allele from a non-diabetes-prone mouse strain accelerates diabetes in NOD congenic mice. Diabetes 52:218–222
- Bult CJ, Eppig JT, Blake JA, Kadin JA, Richardson JE, Mouse Genome Database G (2013) The mouse genome database: genotypes, phenotypes, and models of human disease. Nucleic Acids Res 41: D885–D891
- Chapman SJ, Khor CC, Vannberg FO, Maskell NA, Davies CW, Hedley EL, Segal S, Moore CE, Knox K, Day NP, Gillespie SH, Crook DW, Davies RJ, Hill AV (2006) PTPN22 and invasive bacterial disease. Nat Genet 38:499–500
- Cheers C, McKenzie IF (1978) Resistance and susceptibility of mice to bacterial infection: genetics of listeriosis. Infect Immun 19:755–762
- Driver JP, Serreze DV, Chen YG (2011) Mouse models for the study of autoimmune type 1 diabetes: a NOD to similarities and differences to human disease. Semin Immunopathol 33:67–87
- Fraser HI, Dendrou CA, Healy B, Rainbow DB, Howlett S, Smink LJ, Gregory S, Steward CA, Todd JA, Peterson LB, Wicker LS (2010) Nonobese diabetic congenic strain analysis of autoimmune diabetes reveals genetic complexity of the Idd18 locus and identifies Vav3 as a candidate gene. J Immunol 184:5075–5084
- Garifulin O, Boyartchuk V (2005) Listeria monocytogenes as a probe of immune function. Brief Funct Genomic Proteomic 4:258–269
- Ghosh S, Palmer SM, Rodrigues NR, Cordell HJ, Hearne CM, Cornall RJ, Prins JB, McShane P, Lathrop GM, Peterson LB et al (1993) Polygenic control of autoimmune diabetes in nonobese diabetic mice. Nat Genet 4:404–409
- Gomez LM, Anaya JM, Martin J (2005) Genetic influence of PTPN22 R620W polymorphism in tuberculosis. Hum Immunol 66:1242– 1247
- Hamilton-Williams EE, Serreze DV, Charlton B, Johnson EA, Marron MP, Mullbacher A, Slattery RM (2001) Transgenic rescue implicates β2-microglobulin as a diabetes susceptibility gene in nonobese diabetic (NOD) mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98:11533–11538
- Hamilton-Williams EE, Rainbow DB, Cheung J, Christensen M, Lyons PA, Peterson LB, Steward CA, Sherman LA, Wicker LS (2013) Fine

mapping of type 1 diabetes regions Idd9.1 and Idd9.2 reveals genetic complexity. Mamm Genome 24:358–375

- Hollis-Moffatt JE, Hook SM, Merriman TR (2005) Colocalization of mouse autoimmune diabetes loci Idd21.1 and Idd21.2 with IDDM6 (human) and Iddm3 (rat). Diabetes 54:2820–2825
- Howson JM, Cooper JD, Smyth DJ, Walker NM, Stevens H, She JX, Eisenbarth GS, Rewers M, Todd JA, Akolkar B, Concannon P, Erlich HA, Julier C, Morahan G, Nerup J, Nierras C, Pociot F, Rich SS, Type 1 Diabetes Genetics Consortium, (2012) Evidence of gene-gene interaction and age-at-diagnosis effects in type 1 diabetes. Diabetes 61:3012–3017
- Hunter K, Rainbow D, Plagnol V, Todd JA, Peterson LB, Wicker LS (2007) Interactions between *Idd5.1/Ctla4* and other type 1 diabetes genes. J Immunol 179:8341–8349
- Kissler S, Stern P, Takahashi K, Hunter K, Peterson LB, Wicker L (2006) In vivo RNA interference demonstrates a role for Nramp1 in modifying susceptibility to type 1 diabetes. Nat Genet 38:479–483
- Lin X, Hamilton-Williams EE, Rainbow DB, Hunter KM, Dai YD, Cheung J, Peterson LB, Wicker LS, Sherman LA (2013) Genetic interactions among Idd3, Idd5.1, Idd5.2, and Idd5.3 protective loci in the nonobese diabetic mouse model of type 1 diabetes. J Immunol 190:3109–3120
- McAleer MA, Reifsnyder P, Palmer SM, Prochazka M, Love JM, Copeman JB, Powell EE, Rodrigues NR, Prins JB, Serreze DV et al (1995) Crosses of NOD mice with the related NON strain. A polygenic model for IDDM. Diabetes 44:1186–1195
- McGuire HM, Vogelzang A, Hill N, Flodstrom-Tullberg M, Sprent J, King C (2009) Loss of parity between IL-2 and IL-21 in the NOD Idd3 locus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106:19438–19443
- Morahan G, Mehta M, James I, Chen WM, Akolkar B, Erlich HA, Hilner JE, Julier C, Nerup J, Nierras C, Pociot F, Todd JA, Rich SS, Type 1 Diabetes Genetics C, (2011) Tests for genetic interactions in type 1 diabetes: linkage and stratification analyses of 4,422 affected sibpairs. Diabetes 60:1030–1040
- Morin J, Boitard C, Vallois D, Avner P, Rogner UC (2006) Mapping of the murine type 1 diabetes locus *Idd20* by genetic interaction. Mamm Genome 17:1105–1112
- Pociot F, Akolkar B, Concannon P, Erlich HA, Julier C, Morahan G, Nierras CR, Todd JA, Rich SS, Nerup J (2010) Genetics of type 1 diabetes: what's next? Diabetes 59:1561–1571
- Qi Z, Wang J, Han X, Yang J, Zhao G, Cao Y (2014) Listr1 locus regulates innate immunity against Listeria monocytogenes infection in the mouse liver possibly through Cxcl11 polymorphism. Immunogenetics 66:231–242
- Razavi R, Chan Y, Afifiyan FN, Liu XJ, Wan X, Yantha J, Tsui H, Tang L, Tsai S, Santamaria P, Driver JP, Serreze D, Salter MW, Dosch MH (2006) TRPV1+ sensory neurons control beta cell stress and islet inflammation in autoimmune disease. Cell 127:1123–1135
- Tan IK, Mackin L, Wang N, Papenfuss AT, Elso CM, Ashton MP, Quirk F, Phipson B, Bahlo M, Speed TP, Smyth GK, Morahan G, Brodnicki TC (2010) A recombination hotspot leads to sequence variability within a novel gene (AK005651) and contributes to type 1 diabetes susceptibility. Genome Res 20:1629–1638
- Usami J, Hiromatsu K, Matsumoto Y, Maeda K, Inagaki H, Suzuki T, Yoshikai Y (1995) A protective role of gd T cells in primary infection with Listeria monocytogenes in autoimmune non-obese diabetic mice. Immunology 86:199–205
- Wang N, Strugnell R, Wijburg O, Brodnicki T (2011) Measuring bacterial load and immune responses in mice infected with Listeria monocytogenes. J Vis Exp. doi[:10.3791/3076](http://dx.doi.org/10.3791/3076)
- Yamanouchi J, Rainbow D, Serra P, Howlett S, Hunter K, Garner VE, Gonzalez-Munoz A, Clark J, Veijola R, Cubbon R, Chen SL, Rosa R, Cumiskey AM, Serreze DV, Gregory S, Rogers J, Lyons PA, Healy B, Smink LJ, Todd JA, Peterson LB, Wicker LS, Santamaria P (2007) Interleukin-2 gene variation impairs regulatory T cell function and causes autoimmunity. Nat Genet 39:329–337