

Distribution, Sources, and Potential Ecotoxicological Risk of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Surface Sediments from Bahía Blanca Estuary, Argentina

Ana L. Oliva¹ · Pamela Y. Quintas¹ · Noelia S. La Colla¹ · Andrés H. Arias¹ · Jorge E. Marcovecchio^{1,2,3}

Received: 16 February 2015/Accepted: 19 May 2015/Published online: 5 June 2015 © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2015

Abstract Thirty-four surface sediment samples were collected from Bahía Blanca Estuary, Argentina, to evaluate polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) contamination and ecotoxicity risk by applying sediment-quality guidelines (SQGs) and toxic equivalent factors (TEQ). Total concentrations of 17 parent PAHs, including the 16 United States Environmental Protection Agency priority PAHs, were measured using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry, and their levels ranged from 19.7 to 30,054.5 ng/g dry weight. The greatest values were found near the urban/industrial core and decreasing as the distance from that site increased. Molecular ratios determined mixed sources of PAHs with a slight imposition of pyrolitic over the petrogenic inputs. The ecotoxicological evaluation, based on the SQG model, showed that some of the individual PAHs were in excess of the effects range low (ERL) and the effects range median's threshold; then, predicted occasional (ERL) and frequent adverse effects over the surrounding biota at the area of study were determined. Total PAH levels were expressed as benzo-apyrene TEQ and compared with literature data.

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are a large group of compounds composed of multiple aromatic rings fused together. They are ubiquitous persistent environmental contaminants (Neff 1979), and due to their toxic, carcinogenic, and mutagenic characteristics, 16 PAHs have been included as priority pollutants by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). Furthermore, the USEPA has classified as Group B2, i.e., probable human carcinogens, the following seven PAHs: benzo[a]anthracene, chrysene, benzo[b]-fluoranthene, benzo[k]fluoranthene, benzo[a]pyrene, indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene, and dibenzo[a,h]anthracene (USEPA 2002).

Anthropogenic activities are generally considered the major source of PAH release into the environment, even though they may have natural origins. PAHs can be introduced into marine environments by different ways; for example, atmospheric deposition, urban runoff, wastewater discharge, emissions from watercraft and vehicles, industrial processes, and spillage of fossil fuels. Once in the aquatic environment, they tend to be associated with organic matter and finally are deposited in sediments, which represent the most important reservoir of PAHs in the marine environment (Culotta et al. 2006).

The study of hydrocarbons in estuarine systems is important because these areas are highly productive and receive large amounts of pollutants from terrestrial drainage. Despite this, the Argentinian coast has not received much attention regarding PAH contamination; in fact, only a few studies have been performed over >6000 km of marine coast (Colombo et al. 1989, 2005, 2006; Esteves et al. 2006; Commendatore and Esteves 2007; Commendatore et al. 2012; Massara Paletto et al. 2008; Arias et al. 2009, 2010a, b; Amin et al. 2011). In particular, the estuary of Bahía Blanca (BBE) is a critical area that should be included in pollution-monitoring surveys because is

Ana L. Oliva anaoliva@criba.edu.ar

¹ Instituto Argentino de Oceanografía (IADO), CCT-CONICET, Bahía Blanca, C.C.N° 804, Florida 8000 (Camino La Carrindanga km 7,5), B8000FWB Bahía Blanca, Argentina

² Universidad Tecnológica Nacional (UTN)-FRBB, Bahía Blanca, Argentina

³ Universidad FASTA, Mar del Plata, Argentina

affected by an intensive and increasing anthropogenic activity such as petrochemical industries, oil refineries, two commercial harbors, and a large city with >350,000 inhabitants, and without adequate treatment and purification, its effluents are directly introduced into the estuarine waters. Moreover, the area of study has been recently included into the International Union for Conservation of Nature World Conservation Congress recommendation priorities to be included into the Ramsar list for protection and conservation of water-producing ecosystems (World Conservation Congress 2012).

The objectives of this study were as follows: (1) to investigate PAH levels in surface sediments of the BBE; (2) to identify their possible sources; and to (3) determinate the potential ecotoxicological impact of PAHs due to the anthropogenic activity in this area.

Study Area

The BBE is a mesotidal coastal plain located between $38^{\circ}45'-39^{\circ}40'S$ and $61^{\circ}45'-62^{\circ}30'W$ on the southeastern coast of Buenos Aires province in Argentina (Fig. 1). It has an elongated form, directed northwest to southeast, approximately 80-km long within the main channel, with numerous streams that separate islands and tidal flats (Perillo and Piccolo 1991). It has a semidiurnal tidal regime, and the water surface at low tide is 400 km², whereas at high tide this area increases to nearly 1300 km².



Fig. 1 Location of samples sites in the Bahía Blanca Estuary, Argentina

Two commercial harbors, towns (>350,000 inhabitants) and a large industrial park are located at the northern boundaries of the estuary. In addition, several streams discharge into the area; most of them are affected by anthropogenic activities (Fernández Severini et al. 2009). The main navigation channel is extensively used by fishing boats, oil tankers, and cargo vessels and thus requires regular dredging. As a consequence, this coastal marine system receives contaminant inputs from municipal wastewater; direct industrial discharges; harbor-related operations; runoff water, which carries contaminants from land-development areas; and aerial fallout from atmospheric pollutants (Marcovecchio and Ferrer 2005).

Materials and Methods

Sampling

Considering the high environmental variability described in the previous paragraph and to set an actual PAH level at the area, a periodical sampling was scheduled. Sediment samples were collected every 3 months between October 2011 and February 2013 from six sampling stations with different anthropogenic influence (Fig. 1). Sampling station 1 (S1) is located in the proximity of a coastal town (Villa del Mar) at the middle-outer reach of the Main Navigation Chanel of the estuary, which sustains a marina with a small fishing fleet. S2 is located in the proximity of the Luis Piedra Buena thermoelectric facilities, which generates electric power by gas and/or fuel oil combustion. S3 and S4 are located at the highly industrialized area of the BEE within the Galvan Harbor. Although S3 is situated in an abandoned dock, S4 is located in the proximity of a petroleum and oil derivative-loading buoy. Next to them, S5 is located in a small recreational/fishing harbor (Cuatreros Harbor) in the inner part of the estuary and close to the town of General Cerri. Finally, S6 is close to the head of the estuary in the vicinity of rural lands (Villarino Viejo).

Thirty-four sediment samples were obtained on board the IADO VI research vessel. Approximately 500 g of surface sediments (0–5 cm) were manually collected with a stainless steel spoon in solvent-cleaned glass container, refrigerated on board, and immediately transported to the laboratory and stored at -20 °C before analysis.

Laboratory Methods and Sample Processing

Ten g of wet sediment were dried using Na_2SO_4 and then Soxhlet-extracted with an acetone–hexane mixture (1:1 ratio) for 12 h (USEPA method 3540C). Before extraction, 100 µl of the mixture of four perdeuterated PAHs (napthalene-d8, acepnapthene-d10, phenanthene-d10, crysene d-12) was added as surrogate standards. The extracts were concentrated close to 5 mL in a rotary evaporator with a low-temperature thermostatic bath and further reduced to 1.5 mL under a gentle high-purity nitrogen flow. A silica–alumina (2:1 ratio) gel column was implemented to clean up the extracts. PAHs were eluted with 70 mL of hexane and dichloromethane (9:1 ratio) and then concentrated up to 5 mL by rotary evaporator and further to 1.5 mL under nitrogen flow. Finally, just before the gas chromatography (GC)–mass spectrometry (MS) injection, 100 μ L of deuterated internal standard were added to extract vials to recovery asses.

PAHs were quantified on an Agilent 7890 B (Santa Clara, USA) gas chromatograph coupled with an Agilent 5977A mass spectrometer (Santa Clara, USA). The GC column was an HP-5MS fused silica column (30 m; 0.25-mm i.d.; 0.25- μ m film thickness), and helium was used as a carrier gas. The samples were injected in the splitless mode at 250 °C, and the temperature program used was as follows: initial temperature 70 °C for 2 min; heated to 150 °C at 30 °C min⁻¹ then to 310 °C at 4 °C min⁻¹; and held for 10 min. The mass spectrometer was operated in selected ion–monitoring mode and electron-impact mode (70 eV).

Seventeen primary PAHs were analyzed: naphthalene (NA), 2-methyl-naphthalene (2-M-NA), acenaphthylene (ACY), acenaphthene (ACE), fluorene (FL), phenanthrene (PHE), anthracene (AN), fluoranthene (FLU), pyrene (PY), benzo[a]anthracene (BaA), chrysene (CHR), benzo[b]- fluoranthene (BbF), benzo[k]fluoranthene (BkF), benzo[a]pyrene (BaP), indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene (IP), dibenzo[a,h]anthracene (DBA), and benzo[ghi]perylene (BPE). Each individual PAH compound was confirmed by the retention time and the abundance of quantification/confirmation ions with respect to authentic PAHs standards. Quantification of individual compounds was based on the ratios of analyte peak areas/surrogate standards areas (naphthalene-d8, acenaphthene-d10, phenanthrene-d10, chrysene-d12, internal standard method) using the corresponding calibration curves. Quality control for the PAHs analyses was performed by monitoring the recovery of the internal standard (benzo-[a]-anthracene-d12) spiked just before GC injection; recoveries ranged from 74 to 105 %.

Blanks were prepared according to the same procedure without adding a sediment sample. The protocol was validated by the use of reference material (SRM-NIST 1944). All of the results were expressed on a dry-weight basis. The laboratory detection limits (LDs) of the method for individual PAH ranged from 0.4 to 1.1 ng/g dw. The LD was set at five times the detected amount in the procedural blank.

A PAH standard mixture of 17 PAHs, deuterated internal standard solutions, and benzoanthacene-d12 was obtained from Supelco (Bellefonte, Pennsylvania, USA). All solvents used for sample processing and analyses (hexane, acetone, and dichloromethane) were of analytical and chromatographic grade from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). A certified reference material sample was obtained from National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST-SRM 1944). Merck silica gel 60 [70–230 mesh (American Society for Testing and Materials)] and aluminum oxide activated at 450 °C were heated at 120 °C for 12 h before use. Glassware was washed with nonionic detergent, rinsed with ultrapure water and a mixture of acetone and hexane, and dried at 120 °C before use.

Water content was determined by the sediment subsample weight loss at 105 ± 2 °C.

Total organic carbon (TOC) in sediment was measured by a carbon analyzer (LECO CR-12, USA) after the carbonates were removed with concentrated HCl. The grain size of the sediment was analyzed using a laser particlesize analyzer (model, Malvern Mastersizer 2000, Worcestershire, UK). Before analysis samples were treated with hydrogen peroxide to eliminate organic materials.

Data Processing and Statistical Analyses

PAH ratios of FLU/FLU + PY, IP/IP + BPE, and abundance ratio of 2- to 3-ring PAHs to 4- to 6-rings PAHs (LMW/HMW) were used to identify PAH sources (Budzinski et al. 1997; Yunker et al. 1999, 2002). In addition, to deepen the analysis, principal component analysis (PCA) was performed using STATISTICA 7.0 (StatSoft, Tulsa, USA). Data submitted for the analysis were arranged in a matrix where each column corresponded to one PAH compound, and each row represented a sampling-site case. Before statistical analysis, data values less than the LD were assumed to be equal to half of the LD.

PAH carcinogenic potential was evaluated using the toxic equivalent of benz[a]pyrene (TEQ-BaP). TEQ-BaPs are generated by comparing the carcinogenic effects of the measured concentrations of various representatives of PAHs to that of BAP, one of the most toxic and well-investigated carcinogenic PAHs, and were calculated as TEQ-BaP = $\sum c_i \times \text{TEF}$, where c_i is the concentration of individual PAHs (ng/g), and TEF is the toxic equivalency factor of PAHs relative to BaP (USEPA 1993; Nisbet and Lagoy 1992).

Results and Discussion

Sediment Physicochemical Characteristics and Distribution of PAHs

The grain size (clay, silt, and sand) distribution and TOC content in sediment samples are listed in Table 1.

Regarding the grain size fractions, the totality of the sampling stations were classified as silty/clay sediments.

Several studies have found that concentration and distribution of PAHs in sediments are influenced by a number of physicochemical parameters including TOC content and particle-size distribution (Viguri et al. 2002; Culotta et al. 2006; Chen et al. 2013, He et al. 2014). Nevertheless, in this study, results did not show a significant correlation between TOC and PAH concentration (r = 0.13)p < 0.050). A probable rationale is that the high productivity of the BBE (Freije et al. 2008), which includes a plenty of benthic communities, contributed to the TOC levels, thus masking any relationship that may exist between PAHs and organic carbon (Guinan et al. 2001; Guzzella et al. 2005; Ünlü and Alpar 2006). In a similar manner, correlations for total PAH concentration and particle size were not significant [r = 0.20 for silt, r = 0.54for clay, and r = -0.54 for sand (p < 0.050)]. This is probably due to a great variability in deposition dynamics of sediments caused by high sediment-transportation rates (Perillo and Piccolo 1991) and the periodic remobilization of sediments by artificial dredging.

Concentration of PAHs

The results of PAH concentrations in sediments are listed in Table 2. All of the samples contained detectable amount of PAHs. Total PAHs (summary of the 17 PAHs analyzed) varied from 19.7 to 30,054.5 ng/g dw with an overall mean of 1798.5 ng/g. The maximum levels of PAHs were found at S3, located within the Galvan harbor area, which has the most industrial activity and is surrounded by wastewater discharge pipelines, industrial vents, docks, shipsides, petroleum-transfer buoys, etc. In particular, this station showed the greatest range of total PAHs, i.e., from 190 to >30,000 ng/g. In contrast, the concentration of total PAHs at the other five stations were lower and appeared to decrease as the distance from the urban/industrial core (centered at S3) increased. This trend follows the globally observed increase in total PAHs as a function of anthropogenic impact (Lipiatou and Saliot 1991) and is in agreement with a previous baseline for the area (Arias et al. 2010a).

Regarding the PAH sediment levels in a global context, the concentrations found at the BBE were within the range of the most important harbors around the world such as Kaohsiung (Taiwan), Imam Khomeini (Iran), Barcelona (Spain), and Montevideo (Uruguay) (Table 3). According to Baumard et al. (1998), levels of sediment contamination by PAHs can be classified as low (10–100 ng/g), moderate (100–1000 ng/g), high (1000–5000 ng/g), and very high (>5000 ng/g). According to these criteria, the levels of PAH in sediments samples could be classified from moderate (S1, S2, and S4 through S6) to very high (S3).

Composition and Sources of PAHs

The majority of PAHs in marine/estuarine sediments originate from pyrogenic or petrogenic sources (Zakaria et al. 2002; Chen et al. 2013). Pyrogenic PAHs are dominated by compounds with high molecular mass (4-6 rings) and are produced during incomplete combustion of carbon, fossil fuels, and wood. In contrast, petrogenic PAHs are dominated by compounds with 2-3 rings (Sanders et al. 2002; Dahle et al. 2003). Considering this, the ring percentage of PAHs at each sampling station is shown in Fig. 2. In general, the composition and relative abundance of individual PAH were homogeneous throughout the sampling stations suggesting a common PAH input pattern. The exception was marked by S3 where the abundance of 4 rings had the highest proportion, i.e., 50.1 % on average, which indicated a pyrogenic PAHs input at this location. In contrast, 3- and 4-ring PAHs were predominant at other stations with a general percentage mean of 36.8 and 30.2 %, respectively. The major compounds in terms of abundance were FLU, PY, and PHE (accounting for 16.52, 15.98, and 15.2 % of total PAHs, respectively), which are typical indicators of diesel combustion (Wang et al. 2009). In summary, these findings suggested a mixed sources origin (petrogenic and pyrogenic).

PAH ratios have been traditionally used to identify PAH sources (Budzinski et al. 1997; Yunker et al. 1999, 2002) based on the difference in thermodynamic stability observed in PAHs (Readman et al. 1987). The usual index of combustion and/or anthropogenic input is an increase in the proportion of the less stable and/or kinetically produced

Table 1 Average grain size
(clay, silt, and sand) and TOC of
sediments at each sampling
station

Sample	Latitude	Longitude	% Clay	% Silt	% Sand	% TOC
S 1	38°51′22.7″	62°7′20.6′	18.67	60.14	21.19	1.21
S2	38°47′80.2″	62°15′ 48.8″	12.07	56.76	31.17	1.10
S 3	38°47′13′.3″	62°18′ 00.0″	29.56	58.06	12.38	1.73
S4	38° 47'10.8"	62° 18′22.1″	18.68	55.58	25.74	0.96
S5	38° 45′07.6″	62° 22′80.3″	30.59	52.4	17.01	1.02
S6	38° 45′54.5″	62° 26′18.5″	27.64	49.43	22.93	1.05

Table 2 Range of PAHconcentrations (ng/g dw) insediments from BBE

	S1 $(n = 5)$	S2 $(n = 6)$	S3 (<i>n</i> = 5)	S4 $(n = 6)$	S5 $(n = 6)$	S6 $(n = 6)$
NA	<ld<sup>1-40.3</ld<sup>	<ld-38.4< td=""><td>9.3–1451.2</td><td><ld -57.9<="" td=""><td><ld-57.3< td=""><td><ld-36.8< td=""></ld-36.8<></td></ld-57.3<></td></ld></td></ld-38.4<>	9.3–1451.2	<ld -57.9<="" td=""><td><ld-57.3< td=""><td><ld-36.8< td=""></ld-36.8<></td></ld-57.3<></td></ld>	<ld-57.3< td=""><td><ld-36.8< td=""></ld-36.8<></td></ld-57.3<>	<ld-36.8< td=""></ld-36.8<>
2-M-NA	<ld-10.8< td=""><td><ld-18.5< td=""><td><ld-848.2< td=""><td><ld-17.2< td=""><td><ld-24.6< td=""><td><ld-14.2< td=""></ld-14.2<></td></ld-24.6<></td></ld-17.2<></td></ld-848.2<></td></ld-18.5<></td></ld-10.8<>	<ld-18.5< td=""><td><ld-848.2< td=""><td><ld-17.2< td=""><td><ld-24.6< td=""><td><ld-14.2< td=""></ld-14.2<></td></ld-24.6<></td></ld-17.2<></td></ld-848.2<></td></ld-18.5<>	<ld-848.2< td=""><td><ld-17.2< td=""><td><ld-24.6< td=""><td><ld-14.2< td=""></ld-14.2<></td></ld-24.6<></td></ld-17.2<></td></ld-848.2<>	<ld-17.2< td=""><td><ld-24.6< td=""><td><ld-14.2< td=""></ld-14.2<></td></ld-24.6<></td></ld-17.2<>	<ld-24.6< td=""><td><ld-14.2< td=""></ld-14.2<></td></ld-24.6<>	<ld-14.2< td=""></ld-14.2<>
ACY	<ld-1.3< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><ld-62.0< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""></ld<></td></ld<></td></ld<></td></ld-62.0<></td></ld<></td></ld-1.3<>	<ld< td=""><td><ld-62.0< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""></ld<></td></ld<></td></ld<></td></ld-62.0<></td></ld<>	<ld-62.0< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""></ld<></td></ld<></td></ld<></td></ld-62.0<>	<ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""></ld<></td></ld<></td></ld<>	<ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""></ld<></td></ld<>	<ld< td=""></ld<>
ACE	<ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><ld-2873.4< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><ld-38.8< td=""></ld-38.8<></td></ld<></td></ld<></td></ld-2873.4<></td></ld<></td></ld<>	<ld< td=""><td><ld-2873.4< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><ld-38.8< td=""></ld-38.8<></td></ld<></td></ld<></td></ld-2873.4<></td></ld<>	<ld-2873.4< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><ld-38.8< td=""></ld-38.8<></td></ld<></td></ld<></td></ld-2873.4<>	<ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><ld-38.8< td=""></ld-38.8<></td></ld<></td></ld<>	<ld< td=""><td><ld-38.8< td=""></ld-38.8<></td></ld<>	<ld-38.8< td=""></ld-38.8<>
FL	<ld-29.5< td=""><td><ld-19.9< td=""><td><ld-1830.9< td=""><td>9.5–59.0</td><td><ld-41.2< td=""><td><ld-45.8< td=""></ld-45.8<></td></ld-41.2<></td></ld-1830.9<></td></ld-19.9<></td></ld-29.5<>	<ld-19.9< td=""><td><ld-1830.9< td=""><td>9.5–59.0</td><td><ld-41.2< td=""><td><ld-45.8< td=""></ld-45.8<></td></ld-41.2<></td></ld-1830.9<></td></ld-19.9<>	<ld-1830.9< td=""><td>9.5–59.0</td><td><ld-41.2< td=""><td><ld-45.8< td=""></ld-45.8<></td></ld-41.2<></td></ld-1830.9<>	9.5–59.0	<ld-41.2< td=""><td><ld-45.8< td=""></ld-45.8<></td></ld-41.2<>	<ld-45.8< td=""></ld-45.8<>
PHE	<ld-62.0< td=""><td>7.1–78.7</td><td>50.7-4478.4</td><td>12.4–98.1</td><td>12.3-70.8</td><td>6.3-55.1</td></ld-62.0<>	7.1–78.7	50.7-4478.4	12.4–98.1	12.3-70.8	6.3-55.1
AN	<ld-7.3< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><ld-8.3< td=""><td><ld< td=""></ld<></td></ld-8.3<></td></ld<></td></ld<></td></ld<></td></ld-7.3<>	<ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><ld-8.3< td=""><td><ld< td=""></ld<></td></ld-8.3<></td></ld<></td></ld<></td></ld<>	<ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><ld-8.3< td=""><td><ld< td=""></ld<></td></ld-8.3<></td></ld<></td></ld<>	<ld< td=""><td><ld-8.3< td=""><td><ld< td=""></ld<></td></ld-8.3<></td></ld<>	<ld-8.3< td=""><td><ld< td=""></ld<></td></ld-8.3<>	<ld< td=""></ld<>
FLU	4.7-19.5	<ld-28.8< td=""><td><ld-5164.6< td=""><td>8.4–57.2</td><td><ld-19.0< td=""><td><ld-23.4< td=""></ld-23.4<></td></ld-19.0<></td></ld-5164.6<></td></ld-28.8<>	<ld-5164.6< td=""><td>8.4–57.2</td><td><ld-19.0< td=""><td><ld-23.4< td=""></ld-23.4<></td></ld-19.0<></td></ld-5164.6<>	8.4–57.2	<ld-19.0< td=""><td><ld-23.4< td=""></ld-23.4<></td></ld-19.0<>	<ld-23.4< td=""></ld-23.4<>
PY	1.1-27.8	8.0-47.9	39.6-4776.0	13.3-98.2	12.3-55.4	<ld-44.3< td=""></ld-44.3<>
BaA	<ld-9.6< td=""><td><ld-8.4< td=""><td><ld-1726.2< td=""><td><ld-107.8< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""></ld<></td></ld<></td></ld-107.8<></td></ld-1726.2<></td></ld-8.4<></td></ld-9.6<>	<ld-8.4< td=""><td><ld-1726.2< td=""><td><ld-107.8< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""></ld<></td></ld<></td></ld-107.8<></td></ld-1726.2<></td></ld-8.4<>	<ld-1726.2< td=""><td><ld-107.8< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""></ld<></td></ld<></td></ld-107.8<></td></ld-1726.2<>	<ld-107.8< td=""><td><ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""></ld<></td></ld<></td></ld-107.8<>	<ld< td=""><td><ld< td=""></ld<></td></ld<>	<ld< td=""></ld<>
CHR	2.5-14.9	5.8-16.8	17.4-3034.8	<ld-117.6< td=""><td><ld-45.5< td=""><td>8.2-40.5</td></ld-45.5<></td></ld-117.6<>	<ld-45.5< td=""><td>8.2-40.5</td></ld-45.5<>	8.2-40.5
BbF	<ld-10.2< td=""><td><ld-11.2< td=""><td>1.8-2224.6</td><td>0.7-147.9</td><td><ld-17.6< td=""><td>1.7-8.9</td></ld-17.6<></td></ld-11.2<></td></ld-10.2<>	<ld-11.2< td=""><td>1.8-2224.6</td><td>0.7-147.9</td><td><ld-17.6< td=""><td>1.7-8.9</td></ld-17.6<></td></ld-11.2<>	1.8-2224.6	0.7-147.9	<ld-17.6< td=""><td>1.7-8.9</td></ld-17.6<>	1.7-8.9
BkF	<ld-6.0< td=""><td><ld-6.4< td=""><td>0.9-1632.8</td><td><ld-133.6< td=""><td><ld-14.4< td=""><td><ld-2.2< td=""></ld-2.2<></td></ld-14.4<></td></ld-133.6<></td></ld-6.4<></td></ld-6.0<>	<ld-6.4< td=""><td>0.9-1632.8</td><td><ld-133.6< td=""><td><ld-14.4< td=""><td><ld-2.2< td=""></ld-2.2<></td></ld-14.4<></td></ld-133.6<></td></ld-6.4<>	0.9-1632.8	<ld-133.6< td=""><td><ld-14.4< td=""><td><ld-2.2< td=""></ld-2.2<></td></ld-14.4<></td></ld-133.6<>	<ld-14.4< td=""><td><ld-2.2< td=""></ld-2.2<></td></ld-14.4<>	<ld-2.2< td=""></ld-2.2<>
BaP	<ld-11.5< td=""><td><ld-0.9< td=""><td><ld-1068.3< td=""><td><ld-193.0< td=""><td><ld-21.1< td=""><td><ld-3.5< td=""></ld-3.5<></td></ld-21.1<></td></ld-193.0<></td></ld-1068.3<></td></ld-0.9<></td></ld-11.5<>	<ld-0.9< td=""><td><ld-1068.3< td=""><td><ld-193.0< td=""><td><ld-21.1< td=""><td><ld-3.5< td=""></ld-3.5<></td></ld-21.1<></td></ld-193.0<></td></ld-1068.3<></td></ld-0.9<>	<ld-1068.3< td=""><td><ld-193.0< td=""><td><ld-21.1< td=""><td><ld-3.5< td=""></ld-3.5<></td></ld-21.1<></td></ld-193.0<></td></ld-1068.3<>	<ld-193.0< td=""><td><ld-21.1< td=""><td><ld-3.5< td=""></ld-3.5<></td></ld-21.1<></td></ld-193.0<>	<ld-21.1< td=""><td><ld-3.5< td=""></ld-3.5<></td></ld-21.1<>	<ld-3.5< td=""></ld-3.5<>
IP	1.0-17.2	<ld-17.1< td=""><td>1.7-951.3</td><td>0.5-758.6</td><td><ld-23.0< td=""><td>1.3-15.0</td></ld-23.0<></td></ld-17.1<>	1.7-951.3	0.5-758.6	<ld-23.0< td=""><td>1.3-15.0</td></ld-23.0<>	1.3-15.0
DBA	<ld-13.2< td=""><td><ld-1.8< td=""><td><ld-192.2< td=""><td><ld-801.9< td=""><td><ld-40.3< td=""><td><ld-6.3< td=""></ld-6.3<></td></ld-40.3<></td></ld-801.9<></td></ld-192.2<></td></ld-1.8<></td></ld-13.2<>	<ld-1.8< td=""><td><ld-192.2< td=""><td><ld-801.9< td=""><td><ld-40.3< td=""><td><ld-6.3< td=""></ld-6.3<></td></ld-40.3<></td></ld-801.9<></td></ld-192.2<></td></ld-1.8<>	<ld-192.2< td=""><td><ld-801.9< td=""><td><ld-40.3< td=""><td><ld-6.3< td=""></ld-6.3<></td></ld-40.3<></td></ld-801.9<></td></ld-192.2<>	<ld-801.9< td=""><td><ld-40.3< td=""><td><ld-6.3< td=""></ld-6.3<></td></ld-40.3<></td></ld-801.9<>	<ld-40.3< td=""><td><ld-6.3< td=""></ld-6.3<></td></ld-40.3<>	<ld-6.3< td=""></ld-6.3<>
BPE	1.9–17.3	<ld-32.5< td=""><td>3.1-736.8</td><td>0.8-737.5</td><td><ld-58.1< td=""><td><ld-14.7< td=""></ld-14.7<></td></ld-58.1<></td></ld-32.5<>	3.1-736.8	0.8-737.5	<ld-58.1< td=""><td><ld-14.7< td=""></ld-14.7<></td></ld-58.1<>	<ld-14.7< td=""></ld-14.7<>
Total PAHs	19.7-240.8	56.9-228.2	191.6-30,054.6	60.9-3323.3	109.1-347.2	54.1-243.0
\sum LMW ^a	3.4-142.0	27.0-138.8	109.44-10,410.18	28.38-169.98	44.6–164.6	16.0-137.5
$\sum HM^b$	16.4–141.8	25.1-130.8	82.16-19,644.45	32.51-3153.32	49.3-252.4	37.6-142.7
cPAHs ^c	5.2-82.5	8.3-50.5	21.82-10,830.14	11.00-2260.48	10.2-138.9	13.8-63.6

LD lower than the laboratory detection limit

^a \sum LMW = sum of NA, 2-M-NA, ACY, ACE, FL, PHE, and AN

^b Σ HMW = sum of FLU, PY, BaA, CHR, BbF, BkF, BaP, IP, DBA, and BPE

^c cPAHs = sum of carcinogenic PAHs: BaA, CHR, BbF, BkF, BaP, IP, and DBA

parent PAH isomers relative to the thermodynamically stable isomers (Yunker et al. 2002). The literature reference values for the molecular ratios are listed in Table 4, whereas the cross-plots for sediment ratios are presented in Fig. 3a (IP/IP + BPE vs. FLU/FLU + PY). On the one side, sediment samples near the petrochemical industrial park wastewater-discharge zone (S3) showed mean FLU/ FLU + PY and IP/IP + BPE ratios of 0.46 ± 0.1 (n = 4) and 0.82 ± 0.03 (n = 5), respectively, which outlined a mix of different combustion process at the area. On the other side, the rest of the stations (S1, S2, and S4 through S6) showed a wide range of PAH ratios and pointed to a mix of petroleum and combustion as PAH sources. Finally, LMW/HMW ratios were <1 for most of the samples (65 %), thus pointing to a slight imposition of pyrolytic inputs along the estuary (Fig. 3b). In summary, different PAHs origins where identified: Whereas combustion processes were the most important contribution at the estuary, a petrogenic footprint was also found. Fossil fuel-combustion sources could be possibly attributed to the intense anthropogenic activity at the industrial core zone and harbours, whereas the coal-combustion imprint could have both local and remote sources. In fact, as recent evidence supports, the "coal sign" could be attributed to industrial vents (local sources) and city traffic emissions plus agriculture land fires [remote source (Arias et al. 2010c)].

PCA

PCA identified two principal components (PC1 and PC2) accounting for 61.32 and 9.60 % of the total variance, respectively. PCA loading scores >0.60 were considered meaningful. Figure 4a shows the loadings for the individual PAHs at the PC plot. PC1 had strong correlations with PHE, FLU, PY, BaA, CHR, BbF, BkF, BaP, IP, DBA, and BPE. With the exception of PHE, all of these compounds include \geq 4 rings in their structure and are strongly correlated with pyrolitic sources. Thus, PC1 could be interpreted as a pyrolitic source component. PC2 had significant positive loadings for LMW PAHs, namely, 2-M-NA, ACY, and ACE. As stated before, such PAHs are the result of crude oil or refined product inputs. Consequently, PC2 was defined as a petrogenic source component.

Figure 4b illustrates the score plot of the first two components, which allows for the characterization of the sampling stations according to the first and the second

Location	Range (ng/g dw)	No. of PAHs	Reference
Zhanjiang Bay, China	41.96–933.90	16	Huang et al. (2012)
Yangtze Estuary, China	84.6-620	16	Hiu et al. (2009)
Daya Bay, China	42.5-158.2	16	Yan et al. (2009)
Kaohsiung Harbor, Taiwan	34.0-16,700	17	Chen et al. (2013)
Imam Khomeini Port, Iran	2885.8-5482.23	16	Abdollahi et al. (2013)
Estuary of Chao Phraya River, Thailand	30–724	17	Boonyatumanond et al. (2006)
Mersey Estuary, UK	626–3766	15	Vane et al. (2007)
Cienfuegos Bay, Cuba	450-10,500	41	Tolosa et al. (2009)
Narragansett Bay, USA	569-216,000	44	Hartmann et al. (2004)
Barcelona Harbor, Spain	300-10,320	16	Martínez-Lladó et al. (2007)
Veracruz, centre of Gulf of Mexico	200-12,400	16	Ponce-Vélez et al. (2006)
Montevideo Harbour, Uruguay	1560-90,440	20	Muniz et al. (2004)
Jobos Bay, Puerto Rico	40.4–1912	16	Aldarondo-Torres et al. (2010)
Patos Lagoon Estuary, Brazil	37.7-11779.9	23	Medeiros et al. (2005)
Bahía Ushuaia, Patagonia, Argentina	ND-360	16	Commendatore et al. (2012)
Rio de la Plata Estuary, Argentina	3-2120		Colombo et al. (2006)
Bahía Blanca Estuary, Argentina	15-10,260	17	Arias et al. (Arias et al. 2010a)
Bahía Blanca Estuary, Argentina	19.7–30054.5	17	This study

Table 3 A comparison of PAH concentrations (ng/g dw) in sediments from worldwide locations and from this study

ND = not detected





Table 4 Literature values of selected molecular ratios

Source	FLU/(FLU + PY) ^a	IP/(IP + BPE) ^a	LMW/HMW ^b
Pyrolitic	>0.4	>0.2	<1
Petrogenic	<0.4	<0.2	>1

^a Yunker et al. (2002)

^b Yuam et al. (2001)

component. As shown in Fig. 4b, the PCA pooled samples into two major groups. The first group was composed by samples located at the petrochemical industrial park wastewater-discharge zone (S3), which was the area with the highest values of total PAHs. These samples were well

differentiated by the significant scores on the first component. The other group contained the remaining samples and showed significant scores coordinating with the two components, thus indicating concomitant inputs from petrogenic/pyrolitic PAHs.

In conclusion, taking into account PAH levels, ratios, and PCA classification, the industrial core at S3 could be identified as point source of pyrogenic PAHs, whereas the rest of the stations appeared to function as receptors of multiple inputs (pyrolytic/petrogenic) from both local and remote sources.

Sediment Ecotoxicity and Potential Carcinogenicity

In recent years, data on chemical analyses on the contaminants and their effects on aquatic organisms and environments have been used to develop SQGs. SQGs have been shown to be useful tools for assessing the quality of estuarine and marine sediments (Long et al. 2006), thus becoming important for the protection and management of marine ecosystems.

Two guideline values, effects range-low (ERL) and effects range-median (ERM) (Long et al. 1995) have been extensively used (Qiao et al. 2006; Chen and Chen 2011; He et al. 2014). ERL and ERM were developed as nonregulatory guidelines and correspond to the 10th and 50th percentile, respectively, in a database of increasing

a 1.0

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0.0

-0.2

-0.4

PC2 (Petrogenic sources)



Fig. 4 a The PCA loading plot for individual PAHs. b PCA (PC1 and PC2) loading plots for the sampled areas

Table 5Mean PAHconcentrations in sedimentsfrom BBE expressed in TEQ-BaP (ng TEQ-BaP/g dw)

Compound	TEF	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6
NA	0.001	0.014	0.014	0.340	0.025	0.024	0.017
ACY	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.023	0.000	0.000	0.000
ACE	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.581	0.000	0.000	0.006
FL	0.001	0.008	0.008	0.388	0.022	0.017	0.015
PHE	0.001	0.020	0.038	1.639	0.058	0.041	0.034
AN	0.01	0.015	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.016	0.000
FLU	0.001	0.012	0.012	1.943	0.024	0.007	0.012
PY	0.001	0.015	0.034	1.777	0.041	0.036	0.024
BaA	0.1	0.193	0.213	64.717	1.992	0.000	0.000
CHR	0.01	0.092	0.125	10.414	0.297	0.175	0.160
BbF	0.1	0.371	0.408	59.068	2.861	0.627	0.393
BkF	0.1	0.212	0.228	44.557	2.423	0.409	0.110
BaP	1	2.722	0.257	348.565	35.289	6.353	0.585
IP	0.1	0.485	0.458	36.306	13.226	0.459	0.594
DBA	1	3.196	0.302	62.813	137.081	9.857	2.081
BPE	0.01	0.056	0.074	2.924	1.302	0.157	0.072
∑PAHS		7.412	2.169	636.055	194.642	18.180	4.103
cPAHs		7.272	1.990	626.440	193.169	17.881	3.923

concentrations associated with adverse biological effects (He et al. 2014). ERL and ERM delineate three concentration ranges for a particular chemical in where biological effects are rare (less than the ERL), occasional (between ERL and ERM), and frequent (greater than the ERM).

Under the above-mentioned criteria, total PAHs were lower than the ERL in 32 samples (94.1 %) and between ERL and ERM in two samples (5.9 %). Samples that exceeded the total PAHs ERL value were from S3. Considering the individual PAHs guidelines, 12 sediment samples (35.3 %) exceeded the ERL value, which indicates that biological effects would occasionally occur. FL exceeded the ERL value with the highest frequency; in fact, at least one sample exceeded the FL guideline value in every sampling site, indicating that adverse toxicological effects caused by this compound might occasionally occur.

Considering ERM values, guidelines for individual PAHs were exceeded on 10 occasions at S3 and once at S4 station. Compounds exceeding the ERM were 2-M-NA, ACY, FL, PHE, FLU, PY, BaA, CHR, and DBA suggesting that biological impairments could be frequently expected due to those compounds at those stations.

In terms of human health, the \sum of the seven carcinogenic PAHs (cPAHs) varied from 5.2 to 10,830.1 ng/g, with an average of 608.9 ng/g dw, and accounted for 6.8–68 % of total PAHs in sediments of the BBE. Table 5 lists PAH levels in sediments expressed in TEQ-BaP in the six sites under evaluation. Total TEQ-BaP calculated for samples varied from 0.221 to 1969.3 ng/g dw TEQ-BaP. The results obtained for the 16 PAHs and the 7 carcinogenic PAHs were similar, and that could be explained because the TEFs for carcinogenic PAHs are high. The greatest levels of TEQ-BaP were found at S3 and S4 (industrial area). To make comparisons with other studies, we used the TEQ-BaP values obtained for the 7 cPAHs. Concentrations found in sediments of the BBE were similar to those in sediments from Kaoh-siung Harbor (Chen et al. 2013), lower than those from Naples Harbor (Sprovieri et al. 2007), and greater than those from Meiliang Bay (Qiao et al. 2006).

Conclusion

Analyses of the 34 surface sediment samples from BBE showed PAH concentrations ranging from 19.7 to 30,054.5 ng/g. Concentrations of Total PAHs appeared to decrease as the distance from the urban/industrial core increased. This trend is in agreement with the globally observed increase of Total PAHs as a function of the anthropogenic impact level found at many coastal zones around the world.

PAH distribution showed that 3- and 4-ring PAHs were predominant in almost all of the samples. These facts point to mixed PAH sources (pyrogenic + petrogenic). Different PAH origins were identified: Whereas the combustion process appears to be the most important source in the BBE, a petrogenic footprint was also found. Fossil fuel– combustion sources could be attributed to the high anthropogenic activity in the industrial zone, whereas the coal-combustion imprint can have both local and remote sources. The industrial core at S3 could be considered a point source of pyrogenic PAHs, whereas the rest of the stations were classified as receptors of mixed inputs (pyrolytic/petrogenic) from local and remote sources.

The result of the ecotoxicological impact showed that some of the individual PAHs exceeded the ERL as well as the ERM. Thus, adverse toxicological effects caused by PAHs would occasionally and frequently occur in the study area. In addition, levels of sediment TEQ-BaP were greater near the industrial area.

Acknowledgments The authors thanks the staff of the IADO IV research vessel for logistics and cooperation on board. This research was supported by a doctoral grant funded by the National Council of Scientific and Technological Research (CONICET-Argentina) and was part of the doctoral thesis of A. L. Oliva. This study was funded through research grants by CONICET (Grant No. PIP D-738 2011) and National Agency for Promotion of Science and Technology-ANCPCyT (Grant No. PICT 2010-1302). Finally, we thank two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments, which contributed greatly to the improvement of the paper.

References

- Abdollahi S, Raoufi Z, Faghiri I, Savari A, Nikpour Y, Mansouri A (2013) Contamination levels and spatial distributions of heavy metals and PAHs in surface sediment of Imam Khomeini Port, Persian Gulf, Iran. Mar Pollut Bull 71:336–345
- Aldarondo-Torres JX, Samara F, Mansilla-Rivera I, Aga DS, Rodríguez Sierra CJ (2010) Trace metals, PAHs, and PCBs in sediments from the Jobos Bay area in Puerto Rico. Mar Pollut Bull 60:1350–1358
- Amin OA, Comoglio LI, Sericano JL (2011) Polynuclear aromatic and chlorinated hydrocarbons in mussels from the coastal zone of Ushuaia, Tierra del Fuego, Argentina. Environ Toxicol Chem 30:521–529
- Arias AH, Spetter CV, Freije RH, Marcovecchio JE (2009) Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in water, mussels (*Brachidontes* sp., *Tagelus* sp.) and fish (*Odontesthes* sp.) from Bahía Blanca Estuary, Argentina. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 85:67–81
- Arias AH, Vazquez-Botello A, Tombesi N, Ponce-Velez G, Freije H, Marcovecchio J (2010a) Presence, distribution, and origins of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in sediments from Bahía Blanca estuary, Argentina. Environ Monit Assess 160:301–314
- Arias AH, Marcovecchio JE, Freije RH, Ponce-Velez G, Vazquez-Botello A (2010b). Análisis de fuentes y toxicidad equivalente de sedimentos contaminados con PAHs en el Estuario de Bahía Blanca, Argentina. Hidrobiológica 20:41–56
- Arias AH, Marcovecchio JE, Vazquez-Botello A, Diaz G (2010c) Accumulation of indicators polychlorinated biphenyls (iPCBs) in sediments of the navigation channel, harbours and industrial area of the Bahía Blanca Estuary, Argentina. III Congreso Argentino de la Sociedad de Toxicologia y Química Ambiental SETAC
- Baumard P, Budzinski H, Michon Q, Garrigues T, Burgeot T, Bellocq J (1998) Origin and bioavailability of PAHs in the Mediterranean Sea from mussel and sediment records. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 47:77–90
- Boonyatumanond R, Wattayakorn G, Togo A, Takada H (2006) Distribution and origins of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in riverine, estuarine, and marine sediments in Thailand. Mar Pollut Bull 52:942–956

- Budzinski H, Jones I, Bellocq J, Pie'rard C, Garrigues P (1997) Evaluation of sediment contamination by polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon in the Gironde estuary. Mar Chem 58:85–97
- Chen CW, Chen CF (2011) Distribution, origin, and potential toxicological significance of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in sediments of Kaohsiung Harbor, Taiwan. Mar Pollut Bull 63:417–423
- Chen CF, Chen CW, Dong CD, Kao CM (2013) Assessment of toxicity of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in sediments of Kaohsiung Harbor, Taiwan. Sci Total Environ 463–464: 1174–1181
- Colombo JC, Pelletier E, Brochu C, Khalil M, Cattogio JA (1989) Determination of hydrocarbon sources using n-alkane and polyaromatic hydrocarbon distribution indexes. Case study: Rio de la Plata estuary, Argentina. Environ Sci Technol 23:888–894
- Colombo JC, Barreda A, Bilos C, Cappelletti N, Migoya MC, Demichelis S et al (2005) Oil spill in the Río de la Plata estuary, Argentina: 1. Biogeochemical assessment of waters, sediments, soils and biota. Environ Pollut 134:277–289
- Colombo JC, Cappelletti N, Lasci J, Migoya M, Speranza E, Skorupka C (2006) Sources, vertical fluxes, and equivalent toxicity of aromatic hydrocarbons in coastal sediments of the Río de la Plata Estuary, Argentina. Environ Sci Technol 40:734–740
- Commendatore MG, Esteves JL (2007) An assessment of oil pollution in the coastal zone of Patagonia, Argentina. Environ Manage 40:814–821
- Commendatore MG, Nievas ML, Amin O, Esteves JL (2012) Sources and distribution of aliphatic and polyaromatic hydrocarbons in coastal sediments from the Ushuaia Bay (Tierra del Fuego, Patagonia, Argentina). Mar Environ Res 74:20–31
- Culotta L, De Stefano C, Gianguzza A, Mannino MR, Orecchio S (2006) The PAH composition of surface sediments from Stagnone coastal lagoon, Marsala (Italy). Mar Chem 99:117–127
- Dahle S, Savinov VM, Matishov GG, Evenset A, Næs K (2003) Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in bottom sediments of the Kara Sea shelf, Gulf of Ob and Yenisei Bay. Sci Total Environ 306:57–71
- Esteves JL, Commendatore MG, Nievas ML, Massara Paletto V, Amín O (2006) Hydrocarbon pollution in coastal sediments of Tierra del Fuego islands, Patagonia Argentina. Mar Pollut Bull 52:582–590
- Fernández Severini MD, Botté SE, Hoffmeyer MS, Marcovecchio JE (2009) Spatial and temporal distribution of cadmium and copper in water and zooplankton in the Bahía Blanca estuary, Argentina. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 85:57–66
- Freije RH, Spetter CV, Marcovecchio JE, Popovich CA, Botte SE, Negrin V, Arias A, Delucchi F, Asteasuain RO (2008) Water chemistry and nutrients in the Bahia Blanca Estuary. In: Neves R, Baretta J y Mateus M (eds) Perspectives on integrated coastal zone management in South America. IST Press, Scientific Publishers, Lisboa, pp. 243–256
- Guinan J, Charlesworth M, Service M, Oliver T (2001) Sources and geochemical constituents of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in sediments and mussels of two Northern Irish Sealoughs. Mar Pollut Bull 42:1073–1081
- Guzzella L, Roscioli C, Vigano L, Saha M, Sarkar SK, Bhattacharya A (2005) Evaluation of the concentration of HCH, DDT, HCB, PCB and PAH in the sediments along the lower stretch of Hugli estuary, West Bengal, northeast India. Environ Int 31:523–534
- Hartmann PC, Quinn JG, Cairns RW, King JW (2004) The distribution and sources of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in Narragansett Bay surface sediments. Mar Pollut Bull 48:351–358
- He X, Pang Y, Song X, Chen B, Feng Z, Ma Y (2014) Distribution, sources and ecological risk assessment of PAHs in surface

sediments from Guan River Estuary, China. Mar Pollut Bull 80:52–58

- Hiu Y, Zheng M, Liu Z, Gao L (2009) Distribution of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in sediments from Yellow River Estuary and Yangtze River Estuary, China. J Environ Sci 21:1625–1631
- Huang WX, Wang ZY, Yan W (2012) Distribution and sources of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in sediments from Zhanjiang Bay and Leizhou Bay, South China. Mar Pollut Bull 64:1962–1969
- Lipiatou E, Saliot A (1991) Fluxes and transport of anthropogenic and natural polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the western Mediterranean Sea. Mar Chem 32:51–71
- Long ER, MacDonald DD, Smith SL, Calder FD (1995) Incidence of adverse biological effects within ranges of chemical concentrations in marine and estuarine sediments. Environ Manage 19:81–97
- Long ER, Ingersoll CG, MacDonald DD (2006) Calculation and uses of mean sediment quality guideline quotients: a critical review. Environ Sci Technol 40:1726–1736
- Marcovecchio J, Ferrer L (2005) Distribution and geochemical partitioning of heavy metals in sediments of the Bahía Blanca Estuary, Argentina. J Coastal Res 21:826–834
- Martínez-Lladó X, Gibert O, Martí V, Díez S, Romo J, Bayona JP et al (2007) Distribution of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and tributyltin (TBT) in Barcelona harbour sediments and their impact on benthic communities. Environ Pollut 149:104–113
- Massara Paletto V, Commendatore MG, Esteves JL (2008) Hydrocarbon levels in sediments and bivalve mollusks from Bahía Nueva (Patagonia, Argentina): an assessment of probable origin and bioaccumulation factors. Mar Pollut Bull 56:2082–2105
- Medeiros PM, Bicego MC, Castelao RM, Del Rosso C, Fillmann G, Zamboni AJ (2005) Natural and anthropogenic hydrocarbon inputs to sediments of Patos Lagoon Estuary, Brazil. Environ Int 31:77–87
- Muniz P, Danulat E, Yannicelli B, García-Alonso J, Medina G, Bicego MC (2004) Assessment of contamination by heavy metals and petroleum hydrocarbons in sediments of Montevideo Harbour (Uruguay). Environ Int 29:1019–1028
- Neff JM (1979) Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the aquatic environment. Applied Science Publishers, London
- Nisbet ICT, Lagoy PK (1992) Toxic equivalency factors (TEFs) for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 16:290–300
- Perillo GME, Piccolo MC (1991) Tidal response in the Bahía Blanca estuary. J Coast Res 7:437–449
- Ponce-Vélez G, Botello AV, Díaz-González G (2006) Organic and inorganic pollutants in marine sediments from northern and southern continental shelf of the Gulf of Mexico. Int J Environ Pollut 26(1 through 3):295–311
- Qiao M, Wang C, Huang S, Wang D, Wang Z (2006) Composition, sources, and potential toxicological significance of PAHs in the surface sediments of the Meiliang Bay, Taihu Lake, China. Environ Int 32:28–33

- Readman JW, Mantoura RFC, Rhead MM (1987) A record of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) pollution obtained from accreting sediments of the Tamar estuary, UK: evidence for nonequilibrium behaviour of PAH. Sci total Environ 66:73–94
- Sanders M, Sivertsen S, Scott G (2002) Origin and distribution of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in surficial sediments from the Savannah River. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 43:438–448
- Sprovieri M, Feo ML, Prevedello L, Manta D, Sammartino S, Tamburrino S et al (2007) Heavy metals, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and polychlorinated biphenyls in surface sediments of the Naples harbour (southern Italy). Chemosphere 67:998–1009
- Tolosa I, Mesa-Albernas M, Alonso-Hernandez C (2009) Inputs and sources of hydrocarbons in sediments from Cienfuegos bay, Cuba. Mar Pollut Bull 58:1624–1634
- Ünlü S, Alpar B (2006) Distribution and sources of hydrocarbons in surface sediments of Gemlik Bay (Marmara Sea, Turkey). Chemosphere 64:764–777
- USEPA (1993) Provisional guidance for quantitative risk assessment of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. EPA/600/R-93/089
- Vane CH, Harrison I, Kim AW (2007) Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in sediments from the Mersey Estuary, U.K. Sci Total Environ 374:112–126
- Viguri J, Verde J, Irabien A (2002) Environmental assessment of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in surface sediments of the Santander Bay, Northern Spain. Chemosphere 48:157–165
- Wang D, Tian F, Yang M, Liu C, Li YF (2009) Application of positive matrix factorization to identify potential sources of PAHs in soil of Dalian, China. Environ Pollut 157:1559–1564
- World Conservation Congress (2012). https://cmsdata.iucn.org/down loads/resolutions_and_recommendations_2012.pdf. Accessed June 2 2015
- Yan W, Chi JS, Wang ZY, Huang WX, Zhang G (2009) Spatial and temporal distribution of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in sediments from Daya Bay, South China. Environ Pollut 157:1823–1830
- Yuam DX, Yang DN, Terry L, Wade I, Qian YR (2001) Status of persistent organic pollutants in the sediment from several estuaries in China. Environ Pollut 114:101–111
- Yunker MB, Macdonald RW, Goyettec D, Paton DW, Fowler BR, Sullivan D et al (1999) Natural and anthropogenic inputs of hydrocarbons to the Strait of Georgia. Sci Total Environ 225:181–209
- Yunker MB, Macdonald RW, Vingarzan R, Mitchell RH, Goyette D, Sylvestre S (2002) PAHs in the Fraser River basin: a critical appraisal of PAH ratios as indicators of PAH source and composition. Organ Geochem 33:489–515
- Zakaria MP, Takada H, Tsutsumi S, Ohno K, Yamada J, Kouno E et al (2002) Distribution of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in rivers and estuaries in Malaysia: a widespread input of petrogenic PAHs. Environ Sci Technol 36:1907–1918