Sediment Toxicity and Bioaccumulation Assessment in Abandoned Copper and Mercury Mining Areas of the Nalón River Basin (Spain)

L. Méndez-Fernández · P. Rodríguez · M. Martínez-Madrid

Received: 14 May 2014/Accepted: 14 October 2014/Published online: 6 November 2014 © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2014

Abstract Sediment toxicity and metal bioaccumulation were assessed at sites affected by historical copper (Cu) and mercury (Hg) mining activities in the Nalón River basin, Asturias, Spain. Toxicity assessment of stream sediments was based on a 28-day oligochaete Tubifex tubifex sediment bioassay, which allowed the classification of sites into three levels of toxicity: 11 sites were classified as nontoxic (including Cu mine sites), three sites as potentially toxic, and seven sites as toxic (all located in Hg mine districts). The greatest levels of arsenic (As), chromium, Hg, lead (Pb), and zinc (Zn) in T. tubifex were measured at sites affected by Hg mining and the highest Cu levels in tissues at Cu mining sites. Chronic toxicity responses were best explained by As and Hg sediment concentrations and by As, Pb, and Zn tissue residues. Residue levels of As, Hg, Zn, and Pb were successfully used to predict sediment chronic toxicity and estimate effective tissue residues.

Assessing river sediment quality requires an integrated approach based on several lines of evidence including sediment chemistry, chronic toxicity, and field benthic communities. Several investigators have included additional or

L. Méndez-Fernández (🖂) · P. Rodríguez Department of Zoology and Animal Cellular Biology, University of the Basque Country, Box 644, 48080 Bilbao, Spain e-mail: leire.mendez@ehu.es

P. Rodríguez e-mail: pilar.rodriguez@ehu.es

M. Martínez-Madrid

Department of Genetics, Physical Anthropology and Animal Physiology, University of the Basque Country, Box 644, 48080 Bilbao, Spain e-mail: maite.martinez@ehu.es alternative measures such as bioaccumulation (Burton et al. 2002; Chapman and McDonald 2005; Grapentine et al. 2002), critical body residues (CBRs; Gust and Fleeger 2005; Rosen and Lotufo 2005), biomarkers (Hollert et al. 2002; Riba et al. 2004), or habitat alterations (Maestre et al. 2009). Incorporating data on tissue residues provides evidence not only on the bioavailability of chemicals but also on their potential for biomagnification (Krantzberg et al. 2000). Most current sediment-quality assessment procedures compare conditions at the study sites with the expected conditions derived from reference sites. This procedure is known as the "reference condition approach" (Reynoldson et al. 1997), which is in agreement with the European Water Framework Directive (WFD; EC 2000) for quality assessment of water bodies. Sediment and biota have been recently recognized as suitable matrices to monitor long-term changes in water quality of European water bodies (EC 2010; Carère et al. 2012); however, in practice environmental quality standards for these compartments have been developed only by some State Members.

Metal-mining activities represent an environmental problem for freshwater ecosystems (Luoma et al. 2010; Solá et al. 2004). Once the mining activity has stopped, the abandoned mine sites usually continue to be sources of pollution to water bodies. Asturias (northern Spain) has historically been a rich metal-mining area. After the Law of Mines from 1825, >800 sites with mining activity were registered in Asturias, and the period between 1950 and 1975 represented the highest level of mining activity (Rodríguez-Terente et al. 2006). In the Nalón River basin (Asturias), two main mining industries were active until the early 1970s: Texeo copper (Cu) mines (Riosa district) and mercury (Hg) mines (Mieres, Pola de Lena,and Somiedo districts). Texeo mines were the most important source of Cu in NW Spain since Roman times, and were exploited from Bronze Age (3810–4090 BP: De Blas Cortina 1996; De Blas Cortina and Suárez Fernández 2009) until the last century. Hg mining also has a long history in Asturias, and extraction of cinnabar dates back to the Roman period (approximately 2000 BP) (Rodríguez-Terente et al. 2006). Since the closure of the mines, spoil heaps, except for the El Terronal site (Mieres) where most of the wastes were isolated in an in situ security landfill in 2002, have not received any type of treatment to avoid mobilization of pollutants. However, no maintenance has been performed since then (Loredo et al. 2010).

Aquatic oligochaetes have been used in metal sediment toxicity and/or bioaccumulation assessment in both laboratory (e.g., Bouché et al. 2000; De Jonge et al. 2012; Maestre et al. 2007; Steen-Redeker et al. 2004) and field exposures (De Jonge et al. 2010; Protano et al. 2014). The study of sediment toxicity and bioaccumulation in environmental risk assessment (ERA) using aquatic oligochaete worms was highlighted by Chapman (2001) and more recently reviewed by Rodriguez and Reynoldson (2011). In the present study, we assessed sediment toxicity and metal bioaccumulation at several sites affected by historical mining activities in the Nalón River basin (Asturias, Spain) using the aquatic oligochaete Tubifex tubifex (Müller). The present study also evaluated the utility of metal tissue residues in T. tubifex to predict chronic toxicity effects due to exposure to metal-polluted sediments.

Materials and Methods

Study Area

Twenty-five sites were studied in the Nalón River basin during September 2010 and 2011 [three sites were sampled twice (N6, N11, and N15); Table 1]. Four reference sites were located in the study area (N1r, N2r, N18r, and N22r) that belong to the Water Surveillance networks in Spain [(Cantabrian Hydrographical Confederation, CHC)]. Among the study sites, 15 were located in mining districts: 6 in a Cu mine area [Riosa = sites N3 to N8 (Fig. 4a, b in Appendix)] and 9 in Hg mine areas [Pola de Lena = sites N9 to N13; Mieres = N14 to N17 (Fig. 4b, c in Appendix)]. Two of these sites were located upstream any mining or industrial areas (sites N7 and N12) to complete information provided by reference sites on background metal levels in the study area.

Sediment Sampling and Characterization

Sediment sampling was performed under a low-flow regime in September of 2010 to 2011 when most of the fine-grained suspended sediments become deposited on the river bed (Mudroch and Azcue 1995) and when worst

conditions for toxicity and bioaccumulation for biota are expected to occur (AQEM Consortium 2002). At each site, a composite sample of sediment was taken with a stainless steel spade from the upper 5 to 10 cm layer of fine sediment settled along an approximately 25-m reach of the river bank. The sediment was sieved in the field through 500-µm mesh to eliminate coarse particles and indigenous fauna (Reynoldson et al. 1995). Samples were taken to the laboratory on ice and stored at 4 °C in the dark during a maximum period of 6 months (as recommended by Reynoldson et al. 1991). Sediment subsamples for metal concentration analyses were air-dried and sieved through a 63-µm mesh. Particle size distribution of unsieved sediment was expressed as dry-weight (dw) percentage according to Udden-Wenworth scale (Teruggi 1982). Sediment total organic carbon (TOC) % was determined through loss-on-ignition method after calcination at 450 °C for 6 h in a muffle furnace (Bryan et al. 1985; USEPA 1990). Several water variables also were measured in situ using a conductivity portable device (Orion 3-Star, Thermo Scientific) and dissolved oxygen, pH, and temperature using a multiparameter portable device (Orion 5-Star, ThermoScientific) (Table 5 in Appendix).

Chronic Toxicity and Metal Bioaccumulation

The 28-day T. tubifex sediment bioassay was developed as a standardized chronic bioassay by Reynoldson et al. (1991) and later published by American Society for Testing and Materials (2005) for sediment toxicity assessment and by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (2008) for testing of chemicals for bioaccumulation. In the present study, chronic bioassays included survival (%), reproduction [number of total cocoons (TCC), number of empty cocoons (ECC), and number of total young (TYG), and growth end points (total growth rate (TGR); Maestre et al. 2007)]. Twice per week, we measured dissolved oxygen (Orion 5-Star), pH (pH-meter; Crison 2001), and total ammonia (Nessler method; Hach model DR2000 spectrophotometer) in the overlying water, whereas aeration was visually checked daily (Monday through Friday). For a detailed description of T. tubifex culture, see Méndez-Fernández et al. (2013).

Adult worms surviving by the end of the 28-day sediment bioassays were used for metal tissue residue analysis. A total of 21 samples were analyzed for metal tissue residues because exposure at four sites (N9, N10, N11b, and N15b) resulted in 100 % mortality. Five laboratory replicates were examined per site, except for N11a and N15a, where a pool of surviving worms was used to obtain enough biomass for metal tissue analyses. Worms were purged in dechlorinated tap water for 5 h. To measure egestion rates in *T. tubifex*, a gut-clearing period of 4 h is

Sile ID Reer basin Municipality UTM-X UTM-X TM-X T			a siuwil ili nuua minataa													
	Site ID	River basin	Municipality	UTM-X	UTM-Y	Alt	Year	Pressure	As	Cd	Cu	C	Hg	ïZ	Pb	Zn
$ N3^{C1CC} V liable V canas and Taneza Value V canas and Taneza Value V canas and Taneza Value V canas Value V canas$	N1r CHC	Genestaza	Tineo	227060	4795590	286	2011	Reference	7.13	0.81	14.9	23.6	0.10	18.7	9.81	27.6
	N2r CHC	Villabre	Yermes and Tameza	246779	4794814	562	2011	Reference	16.8	0.67	16.1	42.4	0.09	31.5	15.0	55.4
	N3	Llamo	Riosa	265801	4785959	471	2011	Cu mines	64.0	1.14	115	96.4	0.14	63.1	18.1	84.6
N5 Lamo Rios 26589 478702 411 201 Cumies 114 201 64 015 555 410 613 304 013 113 901 113 901 103 113 901 103 305 413 201 N6 Llamo Rios 26907 478906 53 201 Cumies 465 112 667 555 011 303 394 N9 Riguero de Code Rios 26740 478906 51 201 Cumies 465 173 94 103 913 944 103 913 944 201 913 944 201 913 944 201 913 944 201 913 944 201 913 944 201 913 944 201 913 944 911 913 914 913 913 914 913 913 914 913 911 913	N4	La Reguera	Riosa	265613	4785980	531	2011	Cu mines	33.7	1.39	46.2	96.7	0.13	52.3	31.0	110
	N5	Llamo	Riosa	265839	4787692	411	2011	Cu mines	15.7	1.14	20.1	68.4	0.15	36.5	14.0	60.5
	$N6^{a}$	Llamo	Riosa	265907	4789095	353	2010	Cu mines	10.3	1.10	16.2	13.9	<d.l.< td=""><td>10.3</td><td>11.3</td><td>9.90</td></d.l.<>	10.3	11.3	9.90
	$N6^{b}$	Llamo	Riosa	265907	4789095	339	2011	Cu mines	23.6	1.12	60.7	65.8	0.13	39.4	14.8	70.0
	N7	Reguero de Code	Riosa	263985	4788906	617	2011	Cu mines	46.9	1.64	47.5	102	0.14	62.4	23.3	56.6
N9 Reguero de La Soternáa Pola de Lena 26900 478(1)8 593 201 Hgmines 201 10 334 871 213 013 023 013 023 </td <td>N8 CHC</td> <td>Llamo-Riosa</td> <td>Morcin</td> <td>267410</td> <td>4794605</td> <td>214</td> <td>2011</td> <td>Cu mines</td> <td>20.5</td> <td>1.76</td> <td>43.5</td> <td>75.5</td> <td>0.11</td> <td>39.8</td> <td>30.9</td> <td>99.4</td>	N8 CHC	Llamo-Riosa	Morcin	267410	4794605	214	2011	Cu mines	20.5	1.76	43.5	75.5	0.11	39.8	30.9	99.4
	6N	Reguero de La Soterraña	Pola de Lena	269000	4786198	593	2011	Hg mines	3091	1.00	33.4	87.1	213	60.1	19.7	98.4
N11 ⁴ Muňon Pola de Lena 26906 473333 343 210 Hg mines 10 10 10 21 15 16 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 16	N10	Muñón	Pola de Lena	269032	4783932	385	2011	Hg mines	521	1.12	25.3	91.3	15.5	42.4	20.3	90.2
N11 ^b MuñonPola de Lena 269135 478336 251 2011 Hg mines 479 106 238 900 868 444 201 851 N12RubialPola de Lena 268269 478540 454 2011 Hg mines 296 1.57 23.6 82.8 0.58 39.3 216 753 N13BañalemosaPola de Lena 268269 478549 474535 569 2011 Hg mines 100 4.72 529 446 239 100 N14San TirsoMicres 27444 479435 569 2011 Hg mines 103 1.44 201 87 1.00 N15San TirsoMicres 27444 479432 203 2011 Hg mines 103 1.44 201 89 96 219 110 N17Micres 27444 479332 2010 Hg mines 5321 1.28 4.27 4.07 201 4.07 201 4.07 201 4.07 201 4.07 201 4.07 202 201	N11 ^a	Muñón	Pola de Lena	269096	4783838	343	2010	Hg mines	110	1.60	<d.l.< td=""><td>19.0</td><td>25.1</td><td>15.1</td><td>16.5</td><td>11.6</td></d.l.<>	19.0	25.1	15.1	16.5	11.6
N12RubialPola de Lena 268269 4785640 454 2011 Hg mines 296 157 23.6 82.8 658 9.3 21 07 73.7 N13BrañalemosaPola de Lena 267349 478560 478 201 Hg mines 296 1.74 100 4.72 52.9 40.9 23.1 100 N14San TirsoMieres 274350 4793350 569 2011 Hg mines 103 1.74 100 472 52.9 40.9 23.9 110 N15 ^b San TirsoMieres 274343 4793352 207 2010 Hg mines 157 1.20 23.9 100 472 52.9 40.9 23.9 N15 ^b San TirsoMieres 274343 4793352 207 2011 Hg mines 157 1.20 23.4 417 100 27.2 44.9 100 N15 ^b San TirsoMieres 274343 4793311 277 201 Hg mines 123 43.4 410 100 27.2 44.9 100 N17 ^{CHC} San JuanMieres 277434 4793311 275 201 100 176 44.9 100 27.2 44.9 100 27.2 44.9 100 27.2 204 101 220 40.9 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201	$N11^{b}$	Muñon	Pola de Lena	269135	4783836	251	2011	Hg mines	479	1.05	23.8	90.06	8.68	44.4	20.1	85.1
N13BrañalemosaPola de Lena 267849 478359 478359 478359 478359 478359 478359 478359 478359 478359 478359 4785 201 Hg mines 100 4.72 52.4 23.1 100 N14San TirsoMieres 274516 4794530 569 2011 Hg mines 157 120 230 181 312 165 238 365 N15*San TirsoMieres 274434 4793952 207 2011 Hg mines 151 120 230 460 237 449 180 N15*San TirsoMieres 274434 4793952 207 2011 Hg mines 151 120 234 419 180 N15*San JuanMieres 274863 4793411 221 2011 Hg mines 5321 128 420 201 449 180 N16MorgaoMieres 2774863 4793411 275 2010 $Undetermined$ 930 070 411 112 112 206 202 N17<	N12	Rubial	Pola de Lena	268269	4785640	454	2011	Hg mines	29.6	1.57	23.6	82.8	0.58	39.3	21.6	75.3
	N13	Brañalemosa	Pola de Lena	267849	4783599	485	2011	Hg mines	38.0	1.06	34.4	100	4.72	52.4	23.1	109
N15 ⁴ San Tirso Mieres 274516 4794073 203 2010 Hg mines 151 120 230 181 312 165 233 36.5 N15 ^b San Tirso Mieres 274434 4793952 207 2011 Hg mines 1519 1.72 439 93.7 103 67.7 44.9 180 N16 Morgao Mieres 274434 4793952 207 2011 Hg mines 5321 1.28 45.6 63.4 417 180 132 266 N17 cHC San Juan Mieres 277082 47933111 275 2010 Undetermined 9.30 0.70 411 180 132 266 131 200 0.70 611 212 2419 131 275 240 211 240 211 240 211 240 211 240 211 240 211 240 212 241 212 212 212 212 212 </td <td>N14</td> <td>San Tirso</td> <td>Mieres</td> <td>274859</td> <td>4794530</td> <td>569</td> <td>2011</td> <td>Hg mines</td> <td>103</td> <td>1.34</td> <td>30.7</td> <td>83.7</td> <td>5.99</td> <td>46.9</td> <td>23.9</td> <td>110</td>	N14	San Tirso	Mieres	274859	4794530	569	2011	Hg mines	103	1.34	30.7	83.7	5.99	46.9	23.9	110
N15 ^b San Tirso Mieres 274434 4793952 201 Hg mines 159 1.7 430 93.7 103 67.7 449 180 N16 Morgao Mieres 274363 4793401 221 2011 Hg mines 5321 1.2 63.4 4.17 186 151 266 N17 <chc< td=""> San Juan Mieres 277082 4793401 271 2010 Undetermined 9.30 0.70 4.12 186 151 266 N19 CHC Turón Mieres 282671 4793401 210 Undetermined 9.30 0.70 4.11 186 151 266 241 2010 Undetermined 9.30 1.70 4.13 2012 241 2012 2010 1.06 4.13 1.93 2012 244 2012 1.12 1.12 1.12 1.12 1.12 1.12 1.12 1.12 <td< td=""><td>N15^a</td><td>San Tirso</td><td>Mieres</td><td>274516</td><td>4794073</td><td>203</td><td>2010</td><td>Hg mines</td><td>157</td><td>1.20</td><td>2.30</td><td>18.1</td><td>312</td><td>16.5</td><td>23.8</td><td>36.5</td></td<></chc<>	N15 ^a	San Tirso	Mieres	274516	4794073	203	2010	Hg mines	157	1.20	2.30	18.1	312	16.5	23.8	36.5
N16 Morgao Mieres 274863 4793401 221 201 Hg mines 5321 1.28 4.17 186 5.1 266 N17<	N15 ^b	San Tirso	Mieres	274434	4793952	207	2011	Hg mines	1519	1.72	43.9	93.7	103	67.7	44.9	180
	N16	Morgao	Mieres	274863	4793401	221	2011	Hg mines	5321	1.28	45.2	63.4	4.17	186	15.1	266
	N17 CHC	San Juan	Mieres	277082	47933111	275	2010	Undetermined	9.30	0.70	<d.l.< td=""><td>8.40</td><td><d.l.< td=""><td>9.00</td><td>10.1</td><td>23.4</td></d.l.<></td></d.l.<>	8.40	<d.l.< td=""><td>9.00</td><td>10.1</td><td>23.4</td></d.l.<>	9.00	10.1	23.4
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	N18r ^{CHC}	Turón	Mieres	282671	4788130	436	2010	Reference	7.43	0.94	<d.l.< td=""><td>10.9</td><td>4.13</td><td>11.3</td><td>19.3</td><td>20.5</td></d.l.<>	10.9	4.13	11.3	19.3	20.5
N20 ^{CHC} Villoria Laviana 292218 4789475 317 2010 Undetermined 8.90 1.10 2.20 18.3 $<$ JL. 16.0 23.5 36.6 N21 ^{CHC} Raigoso Ribota 294192 4789307 239 2010 Undetermined 6.90 1.00 $<$ JL. 14.8 $<$ JL. 11.6 16.8 24.8 N22 ^{CHC} Alba Sobrescobio 298990 4784776 474 2010 Reference 12.9 1.24 11.4 15.8 5.29 25.1 17.5 16.5 SQG SQG SGG SQG SOB SOB	N19 CHC	Nalón	Laviana	291654	4792027	281	2010	Undetermined	9.30	1.70	<d.l.< td=""><td>15.4</td><td><d.l.< td=""><td>20.6</td><td>24.6</td><td>27.9</td></d.l.<></td></d.l.<>	15.4	<d.l.< td=""><td>20.6</td><td>24.6</td><td>27.9</td></d.l.<>	20.6	24.6	27.9
N21 ^{CHC} Raigoso Ribota 294192 4789307 239 2010 Undetermined 6.90 1.00 \triangleleft D.L. 14.8 \triangleleft D.L. 11.6 16.8 24.8 N22r ^{CHC} Alba Sobrescobio 298990 4784776 474 2010 Reference 12.9 1.24 11.4 15.8 5.29 25.1 17.5 16.5 SQG SQG TEC T2 Alba Sobrescobio 298990 $4784776 474 2010 Reference 12.9 1.24 11.4 15.8 2.29 25.1 17.5 16.5 Reference SQG SQG SQG SQG SQG SQG SQG SQG SQG SQG$	N20 CHC	Villoria	Laviana	292218	4789475	317	2010	Undetermined	8.90	1.10	2.20	18.3	<d.l.< td=""><td>16.0</td><td>23.5</td><td>36.6</td></d.l.<>	16.0	23.5	36.6
N2r ^{CHC} Alba Sobrescobio 298990 4784776 474 2010 Reference 12.9 1.24 11.4 15.8 <u>5.29</u> 25.1 17.5 16.5 SQG 9.79 0.99 31.6 43.4 0.18 22.7 35.8 121 TEC 33 4.98 149 111 1.06 48.6 128 459	N21 ^{CHC}	Raigoso	Ribota	294192	4789307	239	2010	Undetermined	6.90	1.00	<d.l.< td=""><td>14.8</td><td><d.l.< td=""><td>11.6</td><td>16.8</td><td>24.8</td></d.l.<></td></d.l.<>	14.8	<d.l.< td=""><td>11.6</td><td>16.8</td><td>24.8</td></d.l.<>	11.6	16.8	24.8
SQG TEC PEC 33 4.98 149 111 1.06 48.6 128 459	N22r ^{CHC}	Alba	Sobrescobio	298990	4784776	474	2010	Reference	12.9	1.24	11.4	15.8	5.29	25.1	17.5	16.5
TEC 9.79 0.99 31.6 43.4 0.18 22.7 35.8 121 PEC 33 4.98 149 111 1.06 48.6 128 459	sQG															
PEC 33 4.98 149 111 1.06 48.6 128 459	TEC								9.79	0.99	31.6	43.4	0.18	22.7	35.8	121
	PEC								33	4.98	149	111	1.06	48.6	128	459

Fig. 1 Box-plots comparing sediment metal concentration ($\mu g g^{-1} dw$) in 25 study sites attending to anthropogenic pressure groups: Cu mines n = 6, Hg mines n = 11, reference sites n = 4, and undetermined pressures n = 4. Box is built with 25 and 75 percentiles and shows inside the median marked by a *bold line*. For each metal, their respective TEC value (dotted line) and, when necessary, the PEC value (dashed line) are indicated. Pie charts show the proportion of test sites in the study area greater than EC (dark grey), greater than TEC (grey), and lower than EC (light grey). Open circles indicate sites with extreme data values (>1.5 times the interquartile range of the data). Significant differences using Dunn's test are marked as p < 0.05, p < 0.01



Fig. 2 Spatial ordination by nMDS for the 25 study sites based on chronic toxiciy resemblance matrix. Each site is marked by a *symbol* corresponding to four categories after sediment toxicity risk classification (*REF* reference) using five endpoints from *T. tubifex* chronic bioassay (survival, TCC, ECC, TYG, and TGR)



recommended by Martinez-Madrid et al. (1999), whereas based on gut transit of cationic metals in the oligochaete *Lumbriculus variegatus*, a 6-hour period is proposed by Dawson et al. (2003). Then worms were digested for 1 week in trace element-free nitric acid (70 %; Baker Instra-Analyzed) and afterward for 24 h with H_2O_2 (30 %; R.P. Normapur Prolabo) in a ratio of 10:1 at room temperature (Clements 1994). Samples were stored at -20 °C until metal analysis was completed.

Metal Analysis

A total of seven metals [cadmium (Cd), Cu, chromium (Cr), Hg, nickel (Ni), lead (Pb), and zinc (Zn)] and one metalloid [arsenic (As)] were measured at SOSPROCAN unit (University of Cantabria, Spain). Acid digestion of sediment samples was performed according to USEPA 3052 and UNE-EN 13656:2003 procedures (9 ml of HNO₃ 65 % and 4 ml of HF were added to 0.2 g of sediment). For Hg analysis, AuCl₃ was added after acid digestion for Hg preservation (USEPA method 6020A). Digested sediment samples were measured by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) (7500c; Agilent), and detection limits (DLs) were 0.07 μ g l⁻¹ As, 0.01 μ g l⁻¹ Cd, 0.10 μ g l⁻¹ Cu, $0.02 \ \mu g \ l^{-1} Cr, 0.03 \ \mu g \ l^{-1} Hg, 0.06 \ \mu g \ l^{-1} Ni, 0.01 \ \mu g \ l^{-1}$ Pb, and .03 μ g l⁻¹ Zn. All batches included Buffalo River sediment as reference material (RM8704; USA) for quality control, and recovery rates (82.5 to 104.4 %) were within certified values.

Metal tissue residues were also measured by ICP-MS, and DLs were 0.002 μ g l⁻¹ As, 0.001 μ g l⁻¹ Cd, 0.025 μ g l⁻¹ Cu, 0.009 μ g l⁻¹ Cr, 0.001 μ g l⁻¹ Hg, 0.008 μ g l⁻¹ nickel (Ni), 0.009 μ g l⁻¹ Pb, and 0.002 μ g l⁻¹ Zn. Every batch of

tissue samples included three blanks and three replicates of a certified reference material (Mussel Tissue ERM-CE278; Belgium). Tissue reference material recovery rates (80.4 to 106.3 %) were within the certified values for Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb, and Zn except for As (140.1 %). No reference values were available for Hg and Ni, but their concentration showed small variations between different batches of reference material (Hg = 0.20 ± 0.04 and Ni = $0.94 \pm 0.17 \ \mu g/g$ dw; n = 18). All measurements are expressed in molar mass, related with worm body mass, on a dry-weight basis.

Statistical Analyses

Sites were first classified based on a priori known anthropological pressures on river systems. A total of four pressure groups were indentified: (1) absence of disturbance (CHC reference sites), (2) undetermined/unknown pressures or weak hydromorphological alterations, (3) Cu mining sites, and (4) Hg mining sites.

Metal concentration in sediment and tissue was assessed using nonparametric tests: Kruskal–Wallis followed by multiple comparisons with Dunńs test (Zar 1996). The validity of pressure groups was assessed by ANOSIM procedure (Clarke 1993). Principal component analysis (PCA) combined with varimax rotation examined dominant patterns of intercorrelation among sediment variables (previously transformed and standardised). Data analyses were performed in IBM SPSS (2011) and PRIMER 6 (Clarke and Gorley 2006) software.

Reference and test sites were included in the same data matrix, and sediment toxicity was evaluated through nMDS using Euclidean distance (PRIMER 6). Reference condition for toxicity assessment was established from a

Site	SUR	TCC	ECC	TYG	TGR (days ⁻¹)	Toxicity classification ^a
N1r	100 ± 0	38.0 ± 4.8	14.4 ± 4.3	141.0 ± 60.4	0.036 ± 0.003	Reference
N2r	95 ± 11.2	37.6 ± 3.6	17.8 ± 2.8	142.0 ± 47.6	0.035 ± 0.005	Reference
N3	90 ± 22.4	33.8 ± 6.3	19.6 ± 2.4	142.2 ± 47.6	0.016 ± 0.003	Non toxic
N4	100 ± 0	45.0 ± 1.6	16.4 ± 5.5	132.8 ± 75.2	0.050 ± 0.005	Non toxic
N5	100 ± 0	45.6 ± 2.7	21.6 ± 5.3	204.2 ± 47.8	0.040 ± 0.002	Potentially toxic
N6a	100 ± 0	38.4 ± 1.9	13.6 ± 3.4	129.2 ± 33.9	0.016 ± 0.005	Non toxic
N6b	85 ± 22.4	35.0 ± 9.7	18.0 ± 7.5	163.0 ± 67.7	0.029 ± 0.006	Non toxic
N7	100 ± 0	38.0 ± 3.6	18.8 ± 2.2	106.8 ± 17.8	0.015 ± 0.003	Non toxic
N8	95 ± 0	41.2 ± 1.9	20.6 ± 3.8	188.2 ± 38.5	0.040 ± 0.003	Non toxic
N9	0	0	0	0	ND	Toxic
N10	0	2.2 ± 1.1	0	0	ND	Toxic
N11a	45 ± 11.2	17.8 ± 4.8	12.2 ± 5.2	92.2 ± 31.0	-0.011 ± 0.007	Toxic
N11b	0	4.4 ± 2.1	2.2 ± 1.6	0.2 ± 0.4	ND	Toxic
N12	100 ± 0	35.8 ± 3.7	17.4 ± 4.0	162.6 ± 40.4	0.022 ± 0.007	Non toxic
N13	100 ± 0	37.8 ± 8.8	14.4 ± 4.3	112.8 ± 60.9	0.025 ± 0.004	Non toxic
N14	95 ± 11.2	36.4 ± 1.9	20.0 ± 1.6	161.2 ± 18.6	0.021 ± 0.006	Non toxic
N15a	20 ± 20.9	9.6 ± 2.3	1.8 ± 1.1	2.2 ± 2.9	-0.017 ± 0.006	Toxic
N15b	0	1.8 ± 1.3	1.0 ± 0.7	0.6 ± 0.5	ND	Toxic
N16	80 ± 20.9	21.0 ± 3.5	13.2 ± 4.1	30.4 ± 16.1	-0.010 ± 0.004	Toxic
N17	100 ± 0	40.4 ± 1.9	17.2 ± 3.6	181.8 ± 44.4	0.041 ± 0.004	Non toxic
N18r	95 ± 11.2	25.8 ± 8.7	15.8 ± 5.6	142.6 ± 44.6	-0.005 ± 0.006	Reference
N19	95 ± 11.2	32.0 ± 2.3	2.8 ± 1.3	17.8 ± 9.0	0.002 ± 0.005	Potentially toxic
N20	95 ± 11.2	36.6 ± 5.9	19.2 ± 5.9	84.8 ± 42.2	0.011 ± 0.009	Non toxic
N21	90 ± 22.4	39.4 ± 4.4	1.0 ± 0.7	1.0 ± 1.4	0.029 ± 0.004	Potentially toxic
N22r	95 ± 11.2	40.6 ± 3.4	26.0 ± 3.4	292.6 ± 59.1	0.018 ± 0.009	Reference
Toxicity classification	n					
Reference	96.3 ± 2.5	35.5 ± 6.6	18.5 ± 5.2	179.6 ± 75.4	0.021 ± 0.019	
Non toxic	96.4 ± 5.0	38.0 ± 3.2	17.7 ± 2.2	142.3 ± 32.5	0.026 ± 0.013	
Potentially toxic	95.0 ± 6.8	39.0 ± 6.8	8.5 ± 11.4	74.3 ± 112.8	0.024 ± 0.020	
Toxic	20.7 ± 8.3	8.1 ± 8.3	4.3 ± 5.8	17.9 ± 34.6	-0.047 ± 0.042	

Table 2 Endpoint values from the 28-day sediment toxicity test with *T. tubifex* for the 25 study sites. Mean values \pm SD of the end points for each group of study sites is included

For multivariate analyses, TGR values where 100 % mortality occurred were estimated by a logarithmic regression model between total cocoon biomass and TGR data from bioassay control batches ($R^2 = 0.73$, p < 0.001, n = 40): N9 = -0.013 days⁻¹, N10 = -0.038 days⁻¹, N11b = -0.056 days⁻¹, N15b = -0.070 days⁻¹

SUR survival %, ND not determined, TCC no. of total cocoons, ECC no. of empty cocoons, TYG no. of total young, TGR total growth rate $(days^{-1})$

^a Toxicity classification of test sediments using 80 and 95 % probability ellipses in a reference condition multivariate space (see text)

database of 58 reference sites in Northern Spain (Rodriguez et al. 2011; Méndez-Fernández 2013) including four additional sites from the present study area (N1r, N2r, N18r, and N22r). Sediment toxicity assessment in the Nalón River basin was performed site by site in the multivariate space of reference sites using probability ellipses of 80 and 95 % according to the procedure described in detail by Rodriguez et al. (2011). Test sites were assessed as nontoxic (NT) when placed within the 80 % probability ellipse and thus considered similar to the reference condition; sites were assessed as potentially toxic (PT) when placed within 95 and 80 % probability ellipses; and, finally, those sites placed outside the 95 % probability ellipse were assessed as toxic (T) and thus were interpreted as different from the reference condition.

Linking multivariate biotic with abiotic matrices was performed through BEST procedure and the correlation between the two matrices was evaluated through Spearman's rank correlation for 999 permutations and 10 restarts. The "best" match between a subset of selected environmental variables and the biotic matrix was examined with RELATE test (PRIMER 6).

Table 3 Tubifex tubifex metal tissue residues (mean \pm SD) after 28-day exposure to Nalón River sediments

ID	As	Cd	Cu	Cr	Hg	Ni	Pb	Zn
N1r	0.25 ± 0.01	0.21 ± 0.06	0.27 ± 0.01	0.01 ± 0.001	0.86 ± 0.13	0.02 ± 0.03	3.76 ± 0.95	3.10 ± 0.38
N2r	0.30 ± 0.09	0.34 ± 0.14	0.17 ± 0.04	0.01 ± 0.003	1.58 ± 0.90	0.04 ± 0.01	5.04 ± 1.11	3.61 ± 0.23
N3	0.78 ± 0.11	0.56 ± 0.12	0.36 ± 0.07	0.002 ± 0.001	3.67 ± 0.64	0.01 ± 0.0004	5.13 ± 0.42	1.79 ± 0.33
N4	0.51 ± 0.04	0.47 ± 0.11	0.23 ± 0.03	0.01 ± 0.005	8.38 ± 1.37	0.03 ± 0.01	9.13 ± 1.37	2.70 ± 0.11
N5	0.40 ± 0.07	0.78 ± 0.15	0.34 ± 0.06	0.01 ± 0.005	6.12 ± 1.31	0.05 ± 0.05	7.77 ± 2.38	3.31 ± 0.37
N6a	0.36 ± 0.07	19.7 ± 5.02	3.75 ± 0.51	0.02 ± 0.003	4.04 ± 0.46	0.03 ± 0.03	9.36 ± 1.29	7.17 ± 0.40
N6b	0.54 ± 0.09	0.83 ± 0.20	0.40 ± 0.08	0.01 ± 0.004	5.82 ± 0.72	0.07 ± 0.04	10.35 ± 2.18	3.63 ± 0.16
N7	0.32 ± 0.05	25.5 ± 8.38	0.75 ± 0.19	0.01 ± 0.005	14.2 ± 2.28	0.10 ± 0.03	21.37 ± 5.66	4.66 ± 0.62
N8	0.24 ± 0.04	0.33 ± 0.10	0.53 ± 0.47	0.01 ± 0.005	5.22 ± 0.82	0.08 ± 0.04	18.12 ± 6.25	3.51 ± 0.47
N11a	28.9	31.2	1.08	0.28	104	0.08	46.4	38.2
N12	0.35 ± 0.03	1.70 ± 0.18	0.45 ± 0.19	0.004 ± 0.002	16.2 ± 6.81	0.12 ± 0.03	7.85 ± 3.38	4.22 ± 0.41
N13	0.44 ± 0.04	1.09 ± 0.51	0.21 ± 0.04	0.003 ± 0.002	16.2 ± 12.0	0.12 ± 0.02	5.94 ± 1.93	3.87 ± 0.17
N14	0.93 ± 0.16	0.73 ± 0.56	0.26 ± 0.09	0.01 ± 0.002	3.71 ± 1.28	0.07 ± 0.03	4.88 ± 3.24	5.15 ± 0.71
N15a	23.1	10.6	0.42	0.03	102	0.03	33.8	15.5
N16	8.24 ± 1.05	0.95 ± 0.23	0.23 ± 0.06	0.02 ± 0.01	2.93 ± 1.69	0.09 ± 0.07	3.57 ± 2.91	7.39 ± 0.69
N17	0.31 ± 0.05	0.11 ± 0.08	0.04 ± 0.01	0.01 ± 0.001	0.30 ± 0.12	0.03 ± 0.01	3.69 ± 1.80	4.02 ± 0.43
N18r	0.40 ± 0.11	36.1 ± 8.47	1.20 ± 0.28	0.09 ± 0.04	17.0 ± 1.98	0.09 ± 0.05	33.6 ± 33.6	23.3 ± 2.45
N19	0.26 ± 0.02	17.6 ± 4.52	0.88 ± 0.13	0.04 ± 0.03	89.6 ± 24.5	0.06 ± 0.02	<dl< td=""><td>8.58 ± 1.35</td></dl<>	8.58 ± 1.35
N20	0.22 ± 0.02	6.31 ± 3.29	0.88 ± 0.07	0.04 ± 0.05	63.5 ± 11.5	0.04 ± 0.01	<dl< td=""><td>8.32 ± 0.50</td></dl<>	8.32 ± 0.50
N21	0.15 ± 0.01	4.46 ± 4.79	0.19 ± 0.08	0.01 ± 0.01	1.82 ± 0.61	0.02 ± 0.01	3.05 ± 0.87	6.42 ± 0.29
N22r	0.51 ± 0.11	32.6 ± 16.7	1.37 ± 0.30	0.17 ± 0.11	16.2 ± 2.06	0.26 ± 0.13	26.9 ± 4.77	21.4 ± 2.29
Minimum	0.15	0.11	0.04	0.002	0.30	0.01	3.05	1.79
Maximum	28.9	36.1	3.75	0.28	104	0.26	46.43	38.2

Data are reported in μ mol g⁻¹ dw tissue for As, Cu, Cr, Ni, and Zn and in nmol g⁻¹ dw tissue for Cd, Hg, and Pb *DL* detection limit

Nonlinear dose–response regression models were applied to toxicity and tissue residues, and median lethal and effective residues (LRs and ERs [Meador et al. 2011]) were estimated using R software and the extension package *drc* (Ritz and Streibeig 2005). Model selection was performed using custom made R script based on Akaike's information criterion, and model validation was based on graphical assessment. Potential outliers in the regression models were identified and excluded through the analysis of standardized and Studentised residuals (Zuur et al. 2007). Goodness-of-fit was assessed by R^2 and the Neill's lack-of-fit test for no-replicates included in the *drc* package (Ritz and Streibeig 2005).

Results

Sediment Metal Concentration

Metals showed maximum concentration at sites N16 (5,320.9 μ g As g⁻¹, 186.9 μ g Ni g⁻¹, 265.6 μ g Zn g⁻¹), N15 (312.5 μ g Hg g⁻¹, 44.9 μ g Pb g⁻¹), N8 (1.76 μ g Cd g⁻¹), N3 (115.2 μ g Cu g⁻¹), and N7 (102.0 μ g Cr g⁻¹)

(Table 1). In the absence of sediment-quality guidelines (SOGs) in Spain (den Besten et al. 2003), sediment metal concentrations were evaluated using threshold effect concentration (TEC) and probable effect concentration (PEC) values proposed by MacDonald et al. (2000) for North American freshwater sediments. Sediment metal concentration in the mine districts of Asturias showed moderate to high levels. PEC value was exceeded in 28-48 % of study sites for Ni, Hg, and As; TEC value was exceeded for Cd at 84 % of study sites followed by Cr (56 %), Cu (40 %), Ni (36 %), and As (28 %) (Fig. 1). Pb and Zn never exceeded PEC values and only at one or two sites, respectively, had values higher than TEC. Interestingly, some reference sites from the Nalón River basin (N2r, N18r, and N22r), as well as other sites from tributaries not altered by mining works (N7 and N12), showed As and/or Hg sediment concentrations greater than TEC and, occasionally, even PEC values. This fact shows that background geological levels in the study area may naturally contribute to greater metal levels in sediments.

Significant differences in As, Cu, Cr, Hg, and Ni sediment concentration (Dunn's test p < 0.05) were obtained by comparing site groups subject to different anthropogenic

50

50



Deringer



◄ Fig. 3 LR and ER values estimated from the best-fitted models, on a tissue-residue basis, after 28-day chronic bioassays for As, Zn, Pb, and Hg (µmol g⁻¹ dw). *Dashed line* represents LR₅₀ or ER₅₀, and *dotted line* represents LR₂₀ or ER₂₀. For model descriptions: LL.2 = two-parameter log-logistic models; W1.3, W1.4 = Weibull type 1 model with three and four parameters; W2.3 = Weibull type 2 model with three parameters [*dcr* package (Ritz and Streibeig 2005)]. Goodness-of-fit was assessed by *R*² and Neill's lack-of-fit test for no replicates (*p* value) included in the *drc* package (Ritz and Streibeig 2005). Outliers are represented by a *grey square*

pressure types (Fig. 2). As, Cr, Hg, and Ni concentration measured in Hg mines were significantly greater than those in other pressure types; Cu concentration in Cu mines was also significantly greater than in undetermined pressure sites. In contrast, no differences were detected for Cd, Pb, and Zn sediment concentration regarding pressure types (Dunn's test p > 0.05).

Sediment characteristics [As, Cd, Cu, Cr, Hg, Ni, Pb, Zn, TOC, and silt–clay (SC) fraction] were analyzed through multivariate analysis, and confirmed that site clustering (Euclidean distance) due to metal sediment concentration was at least in part related to mining activity (ANOSIM Global R = 0.411, p = 0.001). ANOSIM analysis indicated that reference sites were significantly different from Cu mines (R = 0.433, p = 0.043) and Hg mines (R = 0.548, p = 0.001) and that undetermined pressure sites showed significant differences with Hg mines (R = 0.700, p = 0.001). No differences were found between other pressure groups.

PCA analysis was run with As, Cu, Cr, Hg, Ni, Zn, TOC %, and SC fraction. PCA after varimax rotation defined two first PCs with eigenvalues >1 (Kaiser criterion) that explained the 80.5 % of the accumulated variance (PC1 = 51.0 % and PC2 = 29.5 %). PC1 was strongly correlated with Cu, Cr, Ni, and Zn concentrations and SC fraction (loadings >0.80), and PC2 was strongly correlated with As and Hg (loadings >0.80) (Table 6 in Appendix). Thus, PC1 defined a gradient from unpolluted reference sites toward polluted mining sites, and PC2 readily distinguished Hg mining sites, with greater As and Hg metal concentration, from other sites (Fig. 5 in Appendix).

Toxicity Assessment

Results from chronic bioassays are listed in Table 2. Site toxicity classification using probability ellipses in the nMDS multivariate space of the reference sites database (n = 58) resulted in 11 sites classified as NT (including Cu mine sites), three sites as PT (N5, N19, and N21), and seven sites as T (N9, N10, N11a, N11b, N15a, N15b, and N16). All T sites were located at Hg mine districts with high levels of As, Hg, and Cd in sediments. Grouping of study sites in the Nalón River basin based on toxicity classification produced, as expected, a high global R value of 0.843 (p = 0.001).

However, site grouping based on general anthropogenic pressures did not explain the observed toxicity (ANOSIM Global R = 0.037, p = 0.272) suggesting that toxicity responses were not always attributable to pressures related to mining activities. nMDS analysis based on toxicity data (Fig. 2) showed accurately the dissimilarities between study sites (stress = 0.02) with T sites (all from Hg mines) placed opposite to reference sites. Reference and NT sites showed high values in all bioassay endpoints, whereas T sites showed marked decreases in all studied endpoints (Table 2). Significant differences in sediment toxicity was found between T sites from Hg mining areas and reference sites (ANOSIM R = 0.775, p = 0.003), between T and NT sites (R = 0.923, p = 0.001), as well as between PT and NT sites (R = 0.847, p = 0.003) and PT and T sites (R = 0.659, p = 0.008). Results in the Nalón River basin also indicated nonsignificant differences between reference and NT sites (R = 0.175, p = 0.173) or PT sites (R = 0.278, p = 0.143).

At sites N19 and N21, both assessed as PT, impairment in both ECC and TYG was observed (Table 2), which may be an indication of embryogenesis alterations and/or young mortality after hatching. However, it is noteworthy that the classification of site N5 (from Cu Mines district) as PT is due to high reproduction values (Table 2), i.e., much greater than those found in most reference sites in our database of Northern Spain.

Metal Tissue Residues

The highest Cu $(3.75 \ \mu\text{mol g}^{-1} \ dw)$ tissue residues in bioassay worms were measured at a Cu mining site (N6a), whereas the highest As $(28.92 \ \mu\text{mol g}^{-1} \ dw)$, Cr $(0.28 \ \mu\text{mol g}^{-1} \ dw)$, Hg $(104.29 \ \text{nmol g}^{-1} \ dw)$, Pb $(46.43 \ \text{nmol g}^{-1} \ dw)$, and Zn $(38.21 \ \mu\text{mol g}^{-1} \ dw)$ tissue residues were measured at an Hg mining site (N11a) (Table 3). Interestingly, two reference sites showed the highest Cd and Ni tissue residues (36.15 nmol Cd g⁻¹ dw at site N18r and 0.26 μ mol Ni g⁻¹ dw at site N22r), and none of the reference sites in the Nalón River basin exhibited the lowest metal tissue residues.

Comparison of *T. tubifex* metal tissue residues between T, PT, NT, and reference sites showed significant differences only for As when comparing PT with T sites (Dunn's test p < 0.05). Multivariate analysis of metal bioaccumulation data showed low differences between those four toxicity groups (ANOSIM Global R = 0.335 p = 0.011). Significant differences were only found between NT and T sites (R = 0.662, p = 0.011), whereas differences between reference and T sites were not significant (R = 0.148, p = 0.257) probably due to relatively high metal tissue residues found at two reference sites [N18r and N22r (see Table 3)].

Spearman correlation values showed that As and Hg in sediment were moderately correlated [$\rho = 0.57$ -0.73 (absolute values)] with nMDS site ordination based on

field-collected o	r from sediment	bioassays (exposi	ure days within	parenthesis)	0				0
Organisms	As	Cd	Cu	Cr	Hg	Ņ	Pb	Zn	References (exposure days)
A. marina	0.17-1.63		0.05-1.97					0.66–2.16	Casado-Martinez et al. (2010) (field)
	1.04		0.73					1.71	Casado-Martinez et al. (2010) (10 days)
	1.86		1.54					1.70	Casado-Martinez et al. (2010) (30 days)
	1.32								Casado-Martinez et al. (2012) (60 days)
	1.63								Casado-Martinez et al. (2012) (8 days)
L. hoffmeistieri		3-15							Klerks and Bartolomew (1991) (28 days)
L. variegatus	4.83		0.82						Lyytikäinen et al. (2001) (28 d)
L. variegatus	5.60-26.7	0.002 - 0.004	0.28 - 1.25	0.00 - 0.06	0.05 - 0.35	0.03	0.016-0.032	6.58-14.62	Winger et al. (2000) (28 days)
L. variegatus	0.23	0.013	0.87	0.60			0.23	11.30	De Jonge et al. (2012) (54 days)
L. variegatus	0.003-0.37	0.015 - 0.166	0.10 - 2.36			0.04 - 0.36	0.012	0.092	Camusso et al. (2012) (field)
Oligochaetes		0.002 - 0.32	0.31 - 1.19			0.10 - 0.53	0.05 - 0.10	3.78-35.59	Gillis et al. (2006) (field)
Oligochaetes						0.55			Eisler (2000) (field)
Tubificids							0.077-1.771		Eisler (2000) (field)
Tubificids			0.50 - 0.85				0.086 - 0.103		Hernández et al. (1988) (field)
Tubificids		0.003 - 0.006			1.00-5.98		0.039-0.111		Kaiser et al. (1989) (field)
Tubificids		0.009	3.11					0.10	Say and Giani (1981) (field)
Tubifex sp.		0.021 - 0.064	0.46 - 1.71				0.072-0.162	0.92-2.55	Singh et al. (2007) (field)
Tubifex sp.	0.27-4.22	0.0006-1.23	0.14-3.18	0.06 - 0.24		0.01 - 0.17	0.015-0.718	2.60-9.02	De Jonge et al. (2010) (field)
T. tubifex			1.57 - 3.40				0.09 - 0.91	3.52-7.87	Gillis et al. (2006) (28 days)
T. tubifex		0.001 - 60.4	0.24-6.17	0.01 - 0.50					Méndez-Fernández et al. (2013) (28 days)
T. tubifex	0.15–28.9	0.0001–0.036	0.04-3.75	0.002-0.28	0.30 - 104	0.01-0.26	0.003–0.046	1.79–38.2	Present study
	0.37 ± 0.12	0.017 ± 0.020	0.75 ± 0.62	0.07 ± 0.08	5.26 ± 7.85	0.10 ± 0.11	0.017 ± 0.015	12.8 ± 11.0	Reference sites $(n = 4)$
	0.45 ± 0.22	0.005 ± 0.009	0.72 ± 1.07	0.01 ± 0.01	12.8 ± 17.7	0.06 ± 0.04	0.009 ± 0.006	4.46 ± 1.87	Non Toxic sites $(n = 11)$
	0.27 ± 0.12	0.008 ± 0.009	0.47 ± 0.36	0.02 ± 0.02	32.5 ± 49.5	0.04 ± 0.02	0.004 ± 0.004	6.10 ± 2.65	Potentially Toxic sites $(n = 3)$
	20.1 ± 10.7	0.014 ± 0.015	0.58 ± 0.45	0.11 ± 0.15	69.8 ± 557	0.07 ± 0.03	0.028 ± 0.002	20.3 ± 16.0	Toxic sites $(n = 3)$
Italic values cor	respond to the r	ange values measu	ured in the press	ent study					

Table 4 Tissue residue data (in μ mol g⁻¹ dw except for Hg in mmol mg⁻¹ dw) for the eight elements (As, Cd, Cu, Cr, Hg, Ni, Pb, and Zn) in marine and freshwater sediment-dwelling annelids

D Springer

toxicity (TOX-SED) and tissue residues (TR-SED) (Table 7 in Appendix). Correlations between metal tissue residues and nMDS site ordination based on toxicity (TOX-TR) showed moderate values for As, Hg, Pb, and Zn $[\rho = 0.58-0.74$ (absolute values)]. Metals identified by this approach (As, Hg, Pb, and Zn) were tested using RELATE procedure resulting in significant pairwise correlations of metal sediment concentration, chronic toxicity, and tissue residue resemblance matrices ($\rho = 0.001$) (Table 7 in Appendix). Toxicity data matrix was best explained by As and Hg sediment concentration (BEST $\rho = 0.614$), whereas the subset of As, Pb, and Zn tissue residues accounted for toxicity (BEST $\rho = 0.739$). Tissue residues data matrix was best explained by As, Cu, Hg, and Zn sediment metal concentrations (BEST $\rho = 0.588$).

Toxicity end points values and As, Hg, Pb, and Zn tissue residues were fitted against several nonlinear dose–response regression models and $LR_{50/20}$ or $ER_{50/20}$ estimated for each combination of metal residue and toxicity endpoint (Fig. 3). LR_{20} and LR_{50} were estimated from a log-logistic model and were 3.41 and 15.90 µmol g⁻¹ dw for As and 14.79 and 42.10 µmol g⁻¹ dw for Zn. Reproduction ER_{20} and ER_{50} values were estimated from Weibull models of TCC for As 2.48 and 10.79 µmol g⁻¹ dw, Zn 9.56 and 32.31 µmol g⁻¹ dw, and Pb 0.031 and 0.032 µmol g⁻¹ dw, respectively, as well as TYG for Hg 0.034 and 0.067 µmol g⁻¹ dw. Other metal tissue residue versus toxicity endpoint relationships were not significantly fitted by either model.

Discussion

Forty years after mining activities have ceased, sediment metal concentrations of the Nalón River basin remain high to very high in the Cu and Hg mining districts, respectively. These results are in agreement with those of several studies on soil and surface water contamination reported by Loredo et al. (2003, 2006, 2010). But it is noteworthy that we usually found lower metal levels in the river sediments than those measured several years ago in the same areas (N9, N10, N11, N13, and N16), with the exception of N16, where As was approximately 250 times greater than values reported by Loredo et al. (2005). Studies performed in Hg and Cu mine districts in Asturias suggest that variations in sediment metal concentrations may be severely influenced by climate of the region, e.g., precipitation as a key factor for As leaching (Loredo et al. 2007, 2010).

The EQS directive (EC 2008) was an important improvement of long-term water-quality monitoring at the European level, pointing toward the use of sediments and biota as matrices for assessment of priority substances under the WFD (EC 2000), with emphasis on Cd and Hg. Some European countries have developed independent SQGs such as in Flemish basins (De Cooman et al. 1999) or in the Netherlands (Crommentuijn et al. 1997), but the absence of SQGs in Spain limits the development of a sound ERA and water-quality protection plans. Unfortunately, the only mandatory requirement by the European directives (EC 2000, 2008) for sediment and biota quality is that contamination levels should not increase. This is clearly insufficient for the objective of attaining good ecological status in rivers subject to historical high contamination.

Maximum As, Cu, Hg, and Zn tissue residues in our laboratory study were greater than those previously reported for sediment-dwelling annelids in the field (Table 4), although most field data have not been obtained from mining sites. When comparing laboratory tissue residues with values from field-collected aquatic annelids in the literature (Table 4), As and Hg bioaccumulated at T sites showed greater values, whereas Cd had always lower values. For essential metals, such as Cu and Ni, mean concentrations were relatively constant in the present study, independent of sediment toxicity classification, and were within the range of concentrations reported in the literature. In the case of Zn, mean concentration was not only greater at T sites but also greater than most values reported for field aquatic annelids. Cr showed less variation from field to laboratory studies, and in all cases Cr tissue residues in aquatic annelids were $<1 \ \mu mol \ g^{-1} \ dw$.

Adverse effects of As on some aquatic organisms are expected to occur at tissue concentrations between 0.17 and 0.67 μ mol g⁻¹ dw (Eisler 2000). Threshold values based on field ecological effects were greater: For instance, Rainbow et al. (2012) reported 1.13 μ mol As g⁻¹ dw in *Hydropsyche* siltalai related to mayfly population impairment, a value close to the ER₂₀ for *T. tubifex* reproduction (2.48 μ mol g⁻¹ dw) in the present study. For Hg, the proposed criteria for the protection of freshwater species is approximately 0.150 μ mol g⁻¹dw (Eisler 2000). However, tissue residues as low as 0.067 μ mol Hg g⁻¹ dw were related to 50 % reduction in total young production (TYG) in the present study. Nevertheless, at T sites from Hg mines, high As and Hg tissue residues were measured suggesting that the combination of both As and Hg, as well as that of other metals, are likely responsible for the observed toxicity impairments.

Pb is a known accumulative metabolic poison, and existing data suggest that it may have adverse effects on organisms (Eisler 2000). However, no protection criteria based on tissue residues for freshwater invertebrates are known by the authors. Rainbow et al. (2012) reported benthic community alterations in metal-rich streams when the Pb tissue concentration in *H. siltalai* exceeded 1.45 μ mol g⁻¹ dw, but laboratory effective tissue residues (ER_{20/50}) in the present study were lower than that value (0.03 μ mol g⁻¹ Pb dw). Regarding Zn, ER₅₀ for reproduction (TCC) and LR₅₀ in the present study were 33.31

and 42.10 μ mol Zn g⁻¹ dw, respectively. Similar threshold values were reported for Zn tissue concentration in Simulidae (14.8–30.3 μ mol g⁻¹ dw) and *Leuctra* sp (27.5–58.6 μ mol g⁻¹ dw) (De Jonge et al. 2013) or *Hydropsyche* spp. (18.6–49.1 μ mol g⁻¹ dw) (Solá et al. 2004) related to field ecological effects on macroinvertebrate fauna. In the present study, laboratory Pb and Zn tissue residues appear to be related to sediment toxicity. However, Zn is an essential metal for all living organisms, which complicates the toxicity assessment of this element with respect to bioaccumulation (Eisler 2000), and estimated Zn-ER values should be taken with caution.

Data reported in the literature suggest that Cr, Cd, Ni, and Cu tissue residues in the present study are not likely responsible of causing the observed toxicity effects. Méndez-Fernández et al. (2013) calculated a Cr-CBR₅₀ for reproduction in T. tubifex of 0.65 μ mol Cr g⁻¹ dw, a value 2.3 times greater than the maximum tissue residues measured in the present study. Regarding Cd, metal tissue residues in T. tubifex exposed to Nalón River sediments were 3-4 orders of magnitude lower than the reproduction CBR₅₀ values reported for the same species in Cdspiked sediment bioassays (13.5–29.54 μ mol Cd g⁻¹ dw [Méndez-Fernández et al. 2013] and 30.38-32.18 µmol Cd g^{-1} dw [Gillis et al. 2002]). With respect to Ni, Borgmann et al. (2001) found that Ni-ERs for growth and survival in Hy*alella azteca* varied between 0.12 and 0.19 μ mol Ni g⁻¹ dw (4to 10-week sediment exposure), whereas worms exposed to Nalón River T sites showed a lower tissue concentration $(0.07 \pm 0.03 \ \mu\text{mol Ni g}^{-1} \text{ dw})$. Cu critical tissue concentrations reported from other studies were usually greater than maximum tissue residues measured in present study: For instance, reproduction CBR₅₀ values ranged from 3.88 to 4.47 μ mol g⁻¹ dw for *T. tubifex* in laboratory Cu-spiked sediment bioassays (Méndez-Fernández et al. 2013), and CBR50 values estimated in relation to field benthic community alterations were 5.5 μ mol Cu g⁻¹ dw in *Rhithrogena* sp. (De Jonge et al. 2013) and 2.68 μ mol Cu g⁻¹ dw in *H siltalai* (Rainbow et al. 2012). These data can explain in part the classification of sites affected by Cu mining works (N3-N7) as NT and may support the statement that historical Cu mining activity in Asturias represents a moderate and local environmental problem (<1 km from mine facilities [Loredo et al. 2007]).

Some differences between field and laboratory data can possibly be discussed in terms of metal bioavailability that may change through the processing of sediment samples (i.e., sieving). Nevertheless, unsieved sediment has been showed to cause "false positives" in sediment bioassays (Reynoldson et al. 1994), and sediment sieving was preferred over heating, freezing, or drying to remove competing or predating resident invertebrates (Day et al. 1995). One of the most important factors controlling metal availability in anoxic sediments has been the amount of acid-volatile sulfides (AVS). However, in the studied area AVS probably were not of concern because the water column was well mixed and oxygenated. Moreover, De Jonge et al. (2009, 2010, 2011) found that an excess of AVS was not an important factor in determining metal bioaccumulation in field-collected benthic invertebrates (*Chironomus* gr. *thummi* and *Tubifex* sp.). However, De Jonge et al. (2012) showed that increased oxygen concentrations in overlaying surface can directly enhance metal accumulation and toxicity in some invertebrates (namely, *Asellus aquaticus* and *Daphnia magna*), which could also explain some differences between laboratory and field data. Variability may also be expected from different populations or genetic strains of the same species (Reynoldson et al. 1996; Sturmbauer et al. 1999).

Finally, although toxicity and bioaccumulation in the field cannot be readily implied from laboratory studies, results from the present study show that polluted sediments in Hg mining areas entail a high risk that programs that ignore sediment ecotoxicity and bioaccumulation, such as the European Water Framework Directive, fail to meet their own objectives of attaining Good ecological status (Byrne et al. 2012) due to sediment pollution.

Conclusions

Sediments downstream of Hg mines showed impairment of survival and reproduction in *T. tubifex* bioassays related to sediment metal pollution and As and Hg bioaccumulation. This fact provides information on metal bioavailability and evidence of metal transfer from the sediment to the food web. Results suggest the existence of an important environmental problem in the study area, where there is a long history of mining activities, and demand effective remediation plans to decrease runoff and other sources of metal pollution that contaminate the river sediments below abandoned Hg mine facilities. Comprehensive and longterm studies on sediment toxicity, bioaccumulation, and field community alterations are necessary for a sound environmental risk assessment of water courses in the mining districts of Asturias.

Acknowledgments This work was possible thanks to the support from the Education and Science Ministry research project (MEC CGL2008-04502/BOS) and from the Basque Government (IT-405-10). L. Méndez-Fernández was assisted in this work by a doctoral grant from the Basque Government. We gratefully acknowledge Dr. Ordóñez for help identifying metal mines location in Asturias and Dr. Miranda for providing information on surveillance nets. Our thanks also go to B. Arce from Analytical Services of Sosprocan Unit (University of Cantabria) for technical and human support provided. We thank Keith Somers of the Ontario Department of Environment (Canada) for providing the Excel macro for constructing the ellipses and also P. Markaide for the valuable contribution on the custom made R script for dose-response model analysis. We also gratefully acknowledge the anonymous reviewers who helped to improve the manuscript with useful comments and suggestions.

Appendix

See Figs. 4, 5 and Tables 5, 6, and 7 in Appendix.



Fig. 4 Map of study area in Nalón River basin (a) with detailed map of mining areas in Riosa and Pola de Lena (b) and Mieres (c). N1r, N2r, N18r and N22r are reference sites from Water Authorities surveillance nets

Fig. 5 PCA ordination after Varimax rotation of 25 sites in the Nalon River basin. Each site is marked by a symbol corresponding to four different anthropogenic pressure types



OReference □Undetermined ▲Cu Mine ◆Hg Mine

Table 5 River water and				Water					Sedime	ent	
characteristics	ID	$O_2 \ \%$	[O ₂]	Т	pН	EC	Sal.	TOC %	G %	S %	SC %
	N1r	83.4	8.3	15	7.7	144	0.1	1.6 ± 0.1	8.1	88.0	3.9
	N2r	101.1	10.71	10.6	8.1	330	0.2	1.8 ± 0.0	8.8	88.6	2.6
	N3	94.3	9.7	11.4	8.2	288.6	0.1	2.4 ± 0.1	3.9	83.4	12.7
	N4	93.3	9.3	12.9	8.2	342	0.2	9.7 ± 0.1	0.3	83.7	16.0
	N5	89.0	8.6	14.1	8.3	331	0.2	4.1 ± 0.1	13.8	69.1	17.1
	N6a	98.0	9.4	15.6	8.5	312	0.1	0.9 ± 0.1	3.7	92.4	3.9
	N6b	84.7	8.4	14.7	8.3	310	0.1	2.6 ± 0.2	0.6	83.1	16.3
	N7	93.3	10.1	9.5	8.3	302	0.1	0.7 ± 0.7	2.4	82.5	15.1
	N8	88.7	8.8	15.1	8.2	437	0.2	1.5 ± 0.0	7.2	88.2	4.6
	N9	93.8	8.5	15.5	8.1	2,030	1.0	6.8 ± 0.4	6.9	85.9	7.2
	N10	92.6	9.4	13.8	8.2	432	0.2	2.7 ± 0.0	1.8	77.1	21.1
	N11a	94.0	9.4	14.1	8.5	383	0.2	1.4 ± 0.0	4.3	93.4	2.3
	N11b	94.1	9.3	14.4	8.3	403	0.2	2.1 ± 0.1	10.2	80.3	9.5
	N12	93.8	9.09	14.8	8.2	406	0.2	1.3 ± 0.1	0.9	87.0	12.1
	N13	94.0	9.4	14	8.2	327	0.2	2.9 ± 0.1	5.5	87.9	6.6
	N14	97.7	10.13	13.7	8.2	1,259	0.6	3.9 ± 0.1	2.3	80.7	17.0
<i>ID</i> site identification code, O ₂ % oxygen saturation percentage, $[O_2]$ oxygen concentration $(mg l^{-1})$	N15a	117.0	11.2	17.3	8.4	1,201	0.6	3.2 ± 0.1	12.3	84.2	3.5
	N15b	101.5	10.3	14.1	8.4	1,143	0.6	4.3 ± 0.3	5.6	84.9	9.5
	N16	93.6	9.6	14.3	8.3	1,373	0.7	6.3 ± 0.4	24.5	69.3	6.2
T temperature (°C), EC	N17	95.0	9.7	13.5	8.6	1,144	0.6	3.0 ± 0.2	40.6	55.5	3.9
electrical conductivity (µS	N18r	98.0	9.4	15.1	8.6	485	0.2	1.7 ± 0.0	0.6	97.6	1.8
cm^{-1}), Sal salinity (ppt),	N19	95.0	8.8	18.5	8.5	216	0.1	1.0 ± 0.0	4.6	94.5	0.9
percentage, G % gravel	N20	80.0	7.8	16.8	8.0	373	0.2	1.6 ± 0.1	2.5	96.5	1.0
percentage, S % sand	N21	100.0	9.5	16.2	8.3	353	0.2	0.8 ± 0.1	10.7	88.0	1.3
percentage, SC % silt and clay	N22r	118.0	11.3	17.5	8.4	205	0.1	0.8 ± 0.1	4.2	94.4	1.4

Variables	PC1	PC2
As	0.37	0.87
Cu	0.90	0.09
Cr	0.93	0.24
Hg	-0.02	0.92
Ni	0.84	0.41
Zn	0.82	0.41
TOC %	0.44	0.59
SC %	0.84	0.09

Bold values correspond to loadings >0.80

TOC % total organic content percentage, SC % silt and clay percentage

Table 7 Spearmańs rank correlation values (ρ) between nMDS axes (MDS1 and MDS2) and metal levels in sediment and tissue residues, used as vectors

Metals	Toxicity MDS	S (vectors: SED)	ToxicityMDS	(vectors: TRs)	Tissue residues	MDS (vectors: SED)
	MDS1	MDS2	MDS1	MDS2	MDS1	MDS2
As	0.73	-0.21	-0.74	-0.45	0.14	-0.57
Cd	0.12	0.02	-0.47	-0.25	0.42	0.19
Cu	-0.02	-0.31	-0.19	-0.31	-0.33	-0.19
Cr	0.19	-0.19	-0.41	-0.38	-0.35	-0.23
Hg	0.67	-0.37	-0.62	-0.11	0.61	-0.61
Ni	0.19	-0.18	0.12	-0.47	-0.23	-0.23
Pb	0.34	0.04	-0.05	-0.62	0.20	0.13
Zn	0.26	-0.04	-0.58	-0.43	-0.48	-0.31

	TOX-SED	TOX-TR	TR-SED
RELATE (As, Hg, Pb, Zn)	$\rho = 0.403^*$	$\rho = 0.661*$	$\rho = 0.613*$
BEST	As, Hg ($\rho = 0.614$)	As, Pb, Zn ($\rho = 0.739$)	As, Cu, Hg, Zn ($\rho = 0.588$)

RELATE and BEST procedures are also indicated for each matrix combination

TOX toxicity data matrix, SED sediment metal concentration data matrix, TR tissue residue data matrix

* Significant differences p = 0.001

References

- American Society for Testing and Materials (2005) Standard test method for measuring the toxicity of sediments-associated contaminants with freshwater invertebrates. ASTM E1706-05. ATSM, Philadelphia
- AQEM Consortium (2002) Manual for the application of the AQEM system. A comprehensive method to assess European streams using benthic macroinvertebrates. Developed for the purpose of the Water Framework Directive. Version 1.0, February 2002
- Borgmann U, Norwood WP, Reynoldson TB, Rosa F (2001) Identifying cause in sediment assessments: bioavailability and the sediment quality triad. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 58:950–960
- Bouché ML, Habets F, Biagianti-Risbourg S, Vernet G (2000) Toxic effects and bioaccumulation of cadmium in the aquatic oligo-chaete *Tubifex tubifex*. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 46(3):246–251
- Bryan GW, Langston WJ, Hummer-Stone LG, Burt GR (1985) A guide to the assessment of heavy metal contamination in

estuaries using biological indicators. J Mar Biol Ass UK Occasional Publication No. 4

- Burton GA Jr, Batley GE, Chapman PM, Forbes VE, Smith EP, Reynoldson TB et al (2002) A weight-of-evidence framework for assessing sediment (or other) contamination: improving certainty in the decision-making process. Hum Ecol Risk Assess 8:1675–1696
- Byrne P, Wood PJ, Reid I (2012) The impairment of river systems by metal mine contamination: a review including remediation options. Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol 42(19):2017–2077
- Cammuso M, Polesello S, Valsecchi S, Vignati DAL (2012) Importance of dietary uptake of trace elements in the benthic deposit-feeding *Lumbriculus variegatus*. Trends Anal Chem 36:103–112
- Carère M, Dulio V, Hanke G, Polesello S (2012) Guidance for sediment and biota monitoring under the common implementation strategy for the Water Framework Directive. Trend Anal Chem 36:15–24

- Casado-Martínez MC, Smith BD, Luoma SN, Rainbow PS (2010) Metal toxicity in sediment-dwelling polychaete: threshold body concentrations or overwhelming accumulation rates? Environ Pollut 158:3071–3076
- Casado-Martínez MC, Duncan E, Smith BD, Maher WA, Rainbow PS (2012) Arsenic toxicity in a sediment-dwelling polychaete: detoxification and arsenic metabolism. Ecotoxicology 21:576–590
- Chapman PM (2001) Utility and relevance of aquatic oligochaetes in ecological risk assessment. Hydrobiologia 463:149–169
- Chapman PM, McDonald BG (2005) Using the sediment quality triad (SQT) in ecological risk assessment. In: Blaise C, Férard JF (eds) Small-scale freshwater toxicity investigations, vol 2, 1st edn. Kluwer Academic, Norwell, pp 305–329
- Clarke KR (1993) Non-parametric multivariate analyses of changes in community structure. Aust J Ecol 18:117–143
- Clarke KR, Gorley R (2006) PRIMER v6: user manual/tutorial. PRIMER-E, Plymouth
- Clements WH (1994) Integrated laboratory and field approach for assessing impacts of heavy metals at the Arkansas River, Colorado. Environ Toxicol Chem 13:397–404
- Crommentuijn T, Polder M, van de Plassche E (1997) Maximum permissible concentrations and negligible concentrations for metals, taking background concentrations into account [RIVM Report 601501 001]. National Institute of Public Health and the Environment, Bilthoven, Netherlands. Available at: http://www. rivm.nl/bibliotheek/rapporten/601501001.html. Accessed 3 Nov 2014
- Dawson TD, Lott KG, Leonard EN, Mount D (2003) Time course of metal loss in *Lumbriculus variegatus* following sediment exposure. Environ Toxicol Chem 22:886–889
- Day KE, Kirby RS, Reynoldson TB (1995) The effect of manipulation of freshwater sediments on responses of benthic invertebrates in wholesediment toxicity tests. Environ Toxicol Chem 14:1333–1343
- De Blas Cortina MA (1996) La primera minería metálica del N. Penínsular: Las indicaciones del C-14 y la cronología prehistórica de las explotaciones cupriferas del Áramo y El Milagro. Comphaun Extra 6(I):217–226
- De Blas Cortina MA, Suárez Fernández M (2009) Investigaciones arqueológicas de 2005 y 2006 en las minas de cobre prehistóricas de la sierra del Aramo, Texéu (Riosa). Excavaciones arqueológicas en Asturias 2003–2006. Consejería de Cultura y Turismo del Gobierno del Principado de Asturias
- De Cooman W, Florus M, Vangheluwe ML, Janssen CR, Heylen S, DePauw N et al. (1999) Sediment characterisation of rivers in Flanders. The triad approach. In: Proceedings of CATS 4: Characterisation and treatment of sediments, 15–17 September, Antwerp, Netherlands, pp 351–367
- De Jonge M, Dreesen F, De Paepe J, Blust R, Bervoets L (2009) Do acid volatile sulfides (AVS) influence the accumulation of sediment bound metals to benthic invertebrates under natural field conditions? Environ Sci Technol 43:4510–4516
- De Jonge M, Blust R, Bervoets L (2010) The relation between acid volatile sulfides (AVS) and metal accumulation in aquatic invertebrates: implications of feeding behaviour and ecology. Environ Pollut 158:1381–1391
- De Jonge M, Eyckmans M, Blust R, Bervoets L (2011) Are accumulated sulfide-bound metals metabolically available in the benthic oligochaete *Tubifex tubifex*? Environ Sci Technol 45:3131–3137
- De Jonge M, Teuchies J, Meire P, Blust R, Bervoets L (2012) The impact of increased oxygen conditions on metal-contaminated sediments part I: effects on redox status, sediment geochemistry and metal bioavailability. Water Res 46(10):3387–3397
- De Jonge M, Tipping E, Lofts S, Bervoets L, Blust R (2013) The use of invertebrate body burdens to predict ecological effects of

metal mixtures in mining-impacted waters. Aquat Toxicol 142:294–302

- den Besten P, Deckere E, Babut MP, Power B, DelValls TA, Zago C, Oen AMP, Heise S (2003) Biological effects-based sediment quality in ecological risk assessment for European waters. J Soil Sediments 3:144–162
- Eisler R (2000) Handbook of chemical risk assessment. Health hazard to humans, plants and animals, vol 1. Lewis, Boca Raton
- EC, European Commission (2000) Directive 2000/60/CE of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for community action in the field of water policy. L327/1 (22.12.2000). Official Journal of the European Union
- EC, European Commission (2008) Directive 2008/105/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2008 on environmental quality standards in the field of water policy, amending and subsequently repealing Council Directives 82/176/EEC, 83/513/EEC, 84/156/CEE, 84/491/CEE and 86/280/CEE and amending Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council. L 348/84 (24.12.2008). Official Journal of the European Union
- EC, European Commission (2010) WFD-CIS Guidance Document No. 25. Guidance on chemical monitoring of sediment and biota under the Water Framework Directive. Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg
- Gillis PL, Diener LC, Reynoldson TB, Dixon DG (2002) Cadmium induced production of a metallothionein-like protein in *Tubifex tubifex* (Oligochaeta) and *Chironomus riparius* (Diptera): correlation with whole body (reproduction and growth) endpoints of toxicity. Environ Toxicol Chem 21:1836–1844
- Gillis PL, Reynoldson TB, Dixon DG (2006) Metallothionein-like protein and tissue metal concentrations in invertebrates (oligochaetes and chironomids) collected from reference and metal contaminated field sediments. J Great Lakes Res 32:565–577
- Grapentine L, Anderson J, Boyd D, Burton GA, DeBarros C, Johnson G et al (2002) A decision making framework for sediment assessment development for the Great Lakes. Hum Ecol Risk Assess 8:1641–1655
- Gust KA, Fleeger JW (2005) Exposure-related effects on Cd bioaccumulation explain toxicity of Cd-phenanthrene mixtures in *Hyalella azteca*. Environ Toxicol Chem 24:2918–2926
- Hernández M, Rovira JV, Antonio MT (1988) Relationship between Cu and Pb levels in sediments and dwelling tubificidae in Jarama River (Madrid, Spain). In: Austrc M, Lester JN (eds) Heavy metals in the hydrological cycle. Selper, London, pp 531–538
- Hollert H, Heise S, Pudenz S, Brüggemann R, Ahlf W, Braunbeck T (2002) Application of a sediment quality triad and different statistical approaches (Hasse diagrams and fuzzy logic) for the comparative evaluation of small streams. Ecotoxicology 11:311–321
- Kaiser M, Irmer U, Weiler K (1989) Monitoring water quality: seasonal variation of heavy metals in sediments, suspended particulate water and tubificids of the Elba River. Environ Technol Lett 10:845–855
- Klerks PL, Bartholomew PR (1991) Cadmium accumulation and detoxification in a Cd-resistant population of the oligochaete *Limnodrilus hoffmeistieri*. Aquat Toxicol 19:97–112
- Krantzberg G, Reynoldson T, Jaagumagi R, Painter S, Boyd D, Bedard D et al (2000) SEDS: setting environmental decisions for sediment management. Aquat Ecosyst Health 3:387–396
- Loredo J, Pereira A, Ordóñez A (2003) Untreated abandoned mercury mining works in a scenic area of Asturias (Spain). Environ Int 29:481–491
- Loredo J, Álvarez R, Ordóñez A (2005) Release of toxic metals and metalloids from Los Rueldos mercury mine (Asturias, Spain). Sci Total Environ 340:247–260

- Loredo J, Ordóñez A, Álvarez R (2006) Environmental impact of toxic metals and metalloids from the Muñón Cimero mercurymining area (Asturias, Spain). J Hazard Mater 136:455–467
- Loredo J, Álvarez R, Ordóñez A, Bros T (2007) Mineralogy and geochemistry of the Texeo Cu–Co mine site (NW Spain): screening tools for environmental assessment. Environ Geol 55:1299–1310
- Loredo J, Petit-Domínguez MD, Ordoñez A, Galán MP, Fernández-Martínez R, Alvarez R et al (2010) Surface water monitoring in the mercury mining district of Asturias (Spain). J Hazard Mater 176:323–332
- Luoma SN, Cain DJ, Rainbow PS (2010) Calibrating biomonitors to ecological disturbance: a new technique for explaining metal effects in natural waters. Integr Environ Assess Manag 6(2):199–209
- Lyytikäinen M, Sormunen A, Peräniemi S, Kukkonen JVK (2001) Environmental fate and bioavailability of wood preservatives in freshwater sediments near and old sawmill site. Chemosphere 44:341–350
- MacDonald DD, Ingersoll CG, Berger TA (2000) Development and evaluation of consensus-based sediment quality guidelines for freshwater ecosystems. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 39:20–31
- Maestre Z, Martinez-Madrid M, Rodriguez P, Reynoldson T (2007) Ecotoxicity assessment of river sediments and a critical evaluation of some of the procedures used in the aquatic oligochaete *Tubifex tubifex* chronic bioassay. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 53:559–570
- Maestre Z, Rodriguez P, Martinez-Madrid M (2009) Application of the sediment quality TRIAD to rivers in northern Spain. In: Santos EB (ed) Ecotoxicology research development. Nova Science, New York, pp 205–224
- Martinez-Madrid M, Rodriguez P, Pérez-Iglesias JI, Navarro E (1999) Sediment toxicity bioassays for assessment of contaminated sites in the Nervión River (Northern Spain). 2. *Tubifex tubifex* reproduction sediment bioassay. Ecotoxicology 8:111–124
- Meador JP, Adams WJ, Escher BI, McCarty LS, McElroy AE, Sappington KG (2011) The tissue residue approach for toxicity assessment: findings and critical reviews from a Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry Pellston Workshop. Integr Environ Assess Manag 7(1):2–6
- Méndez-Fernández L (2013) Metal toxicity and bioaccumulation in *Tubifex tubifex* (Müller) (Annelida) exposed to river sediments from Northern Spain. Dissertation, University of Basque Country, Bilbao, Spain
- Méndez-Fernández L, Martínez-Madrid M, Rodriguez P (2013) Toxicity and critical body residues of Cd, Cu and Cr in the aquatic oligochaete *Tubifex tubifex* (Müller) based on lethal and sublethal effects. Ecotoxicology 22(10):1445–1460
- Mudroch A, Azcue JM (1995) Manual of aquatic sediment sampling. Lewis, Boca Raton
- Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (2008) Guidelines for testing of chemicals no. 315: bioaccumulation in Sediment-dwelling benthic oligochaetes. OECD, Paris
- Protano C, Zinnà L, Giampaoli S, Romano-Spica V, Chiavarini S, Vitali M (2014) Heavy metal pollution and potential ecological risks in rivers: a case study from southern Italy. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol 92:75–80
- Rainbow PS, Hildrew AG, Smith BD, Geatches T, Luoma SN (2012) Caddisflies as biomonitors identifying thresholds of toxic metal bioavailability that affect the stream benthos. Environ Pollut 166:196–207
- Reynoldson TB, Thompson SP, Bampsey JL (1991) A sediment bioassay using the tubificid oligochaete worm *Tubifex tubifex*. Environ Toxicol Chem 10:1061–1072
- Reynoldson TB, Day KE, Clarke C, Milani D (1994) Effects of indigenous animals on chronic endpoints in freshwater sediment toxicity tests. Environ Toxicol Chem 13:973–977

- Reynoldson TB, Bailey RC, Day KE, Norris RH (1995) Biological guidelines for freshwater sediment based on BEnthic Assessment of Sediment (the BEAST) using a multivariante approach for predicting biological state. Aust J Ecol 20:198–219
- Reynoldson TB, Rodriguez P, Martinez-Madrid M (1996) A comparison of reproduction, growth and acute toxicity in two populations of *Tubifex tubifex* (Müller, 1774) from the North American Great Lakes and Northern Spain. Hydrobiologia 334(1–3):199–206
- Reynoldson TB, Norris RH, Resh VH, Day KE, Rosenberg DM (1997) The reference condition: a comparison of multimetric and multivariate approaches to assess water-quality impairment using benthic macroinvertebrates. J N Am Benthol Soc 16:833–852
- Riba I, Forja JM, Gómez-Parra A, DelValls TA (2004) Sediment quality in littoral regions of the Gulf of Cádiz: a triad approach to address the influence of mining activities. Environ Pollut 132:341–353
- Ritz C, Streibeig JC (2005) Bioassay analysis using R. J Stat Softw 12:1–22
- Rodriguez P, Reynoldson TB (2011) The pollution biology of aquatic oligochaetes. Springer, Dordrecht
- Rodriguez P, Maestre Z, Martinez-Madrid M, Reynoldson TB (2011) Evaluating the type II error rate in a sediment toxicity classification using the reference condition approach. Aquat Toxicol 101:207–213
- Rodríguez-Terente LM, Luque-Caval C, Gutiérrez-Claverol M (2006) Los resgistros mineros para sustancias metálicas en Asturias. Trabajos de Geología, Universidad de Oviedo 26:19–55
- Rosen G, Lotufo GR (2005) Toxicity and fate of two munitions constituents in spiked sediment exposures with the marine amphipod *Eohaustorius estuaries*. Environ Toxicol Chem 24:2887–2897
- Say PJ, Giani N (1981) The Riou Mort, a tributary to the river Lot polluted by heavy metals. II. Accumulation of zinc by oligochaetes and chironomids. Acta Oecol 2:339–355
- Singh RK, Chavan SL, Sapkale PH (2007) Heavy metal concentrations in water, sediments and body tissues of red worms (*Tubifex* spp.) collected from natural habitats in Mumbai, India. Environ Monit Assess 129:471–481
- Solá C, Burgos M, Plazuelo A, Toja J, Plans M, Prat N (2004) Heavy metal bioaccumulation and macroinvertebrate community changes in a Mediterranean stream affected by acid mine drainage an accidental spell (Guadiamar River, SW Spain). Sci Total Environ 333:109–126
- Steen-Redeker E, Bervoets L, Blust R (2004) Dynamic model for the accumulation of cadmium and zinc from water and sediment by the aquatic oligochaete *Tubifex tubifex*. Environ Sci Technol 38(23):6193–6200
- Sturmbauer C, Opadiya GB, Niederstätter H, Riedmann A, Dallinger R (1999) Mitochondrial DNA reveals cryptic oligochaete species differing in cadmium resistance. Mol Biol Evol 16(7):967–974
- Teruggi ME (1982) Diccionario sedimentológico. Volúmen I. Rocas Clásticas y Piroclásticas. Ed. Cient. Arg. (LIBRART). Buenos Aires
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (1990) Macroinvertebrate field and laboratory methods for evaluating the biological integrity of surface waters. Selection of sampling stations. EPA 600/4-90/030
- Winger PV, Laiser PJ, White DH, Seginal JT (2000) Effects of contaminants in dredge material from the lower Savannah River. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 38:128–136
- Zar JH (1996) Biostatistical analysis, 3rd edn. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, pp 226–227
- Zuur AF, Ieno EN, Smith GM (2007) Analyzing ecological data. Springer, New York