## **Relationship Between Proline and Hg<sup>2+</sup>-Induced Oxidative Stress** in a Tolerant Rice Mutant

Feijuan Wang · Bin Zeng · Zongxiu Sun · Cheng Zhu

Received: 29 February 2008 / Accepted: 18 August 2008 / Published online: 12 September 2008 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2008

**Abstract** There has been little agreement regarding the mechanism by which proline reduces heavy metal stress. The present work examines the relationship between  $Hg^{2+}$ induced oxidative stress and proline accumulation in rice and explores the possible mechanisms through which proline protects against Hg<sup>2+</sup> stress. The effect of proline on alleviation of Hg<sup>2+</sup> toxicity was studied by spectrophotography and enzymatic methods.  $Hg^{2+}$  induced oxidative stress in rice by increasing lipid peroxidation. Pretreatment of the rice with 2 mM proline for 12 h profoundly alleviated Hg<sup>2+</sup>-induced lipid peroxidation and minimized H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> accumulation. Proline pretreatment significantly reduced (p < 0.01) the Hg<sup>2+</sup> content in rice leaves. A comparison of the effects of proline pretreatment on  $H_2O_2$  accumulation by  $Hg^{2+}$  and aminotrazole suggested that proline protected cells from Hg<sup>2+</sup>-induced oxidative stress by scavenging reactive oxygen species. The present work demonstrates a protective effect of proline on Hg<sup>2+</sup> toxicity through detoxifying reactive oxygen species, rather than chelating metal ions or maintaining the water balance under  $Hg^{2+}$  stress.

#### Abbreviations

AT	Aminotriazole		
GSH	Glutathione		

F. Wang · B. Zeng · C. Zhu (⊠) State Key Laboratory of Plant Physiology & Biochemistry, College of Life Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, China e-mail: pzhch@zju.edu.cn

Z. Sun

State Key Laboratory of Rice Biology, China National Rice Research Institute, Hangzhou 310006, China

GSSG	Oxidative glutathione
MDA	Malonaldehyde
ROS	Reactive oxygen species
TBA	2-Thiobarbituric acid

Mercury, being one of the major heavy metal pollutants, has highly poisonous effects on living organisms. Untreated industrial wastes, gold and silver mining, and industrial process have been recognized as the major sources of mercury (Hg) in agricultural lands (Cabrera et al. 1999; Lin et al. 2006). The main forms of Hg in plant-soil systems include electrochemically uncharged or volatile Hg, the mercuric ion that predominates in many mercury-contaminated soils. Like other heavy metals, such as cadmium, copper, lead, and zinc,  $Hg^{2+}$ , which is highly water soluble and reactive, accumulates readily in plants including rice, with significant potential to endanger human health and ecological integrity (Patra and Sharma 2000; Rubén et al. 2006).

Plants exposed to toxic concentrations of heavy metals show visible injuries such as chlorosis, necrosis, and root growth inhibition (Carrier et al. 2003; Sandalio et al. 2001). At the molecular level, underlying mechanisms such as metals bind to sulfydryl groups of proteins, leading to inhibition of activity or disruption of structure, or replace an essential element, resulting in deficiency effects (Van Assche and Clijsters 1990). In addition, heavy metal excess may stimulate the formation of free radicals and reactive oxygen species (ROS), resulting in oxidative stress (Dietz et al. 1999). Although Hg as a transition metal is well known to encourage oxidative stress via Fenton-type reaction, the molecular mechanisms of Hg-induced oxidative damage and tolerance in plants have not been fully understood.

Proline accumulation often occurs in a variety of plants in the presence of elevated levels of heavy metals, but a consensus has not emerged on its role in combating metal stress (Schat et al. 1997; Shah and Dubey 1998; Mehta and Gaur 1999; Zengin and Munzuroglu 2005). Some researchers assume that proline accumulation is a symptom of injury which does not confer protection against metal stress (Lutts et al. 1996). On the contrary, it has been suggested that proline might protect plants from heavy metal toxicity (Mehta and Gaur 1999; Siripornadulsil et al. 2002). There appears to be a relationship between lipid peroxidation and proline accumulation in plants subjected to diverse kinds of stress (Molinari et al. 2007). If such a relationship exists, proline accumulation might play an important role in inhibiting heavy metal-induced lipid peroxidation.

Rice is an important crop worldwide. It is also considered to be a model plant for monocots because of its relatively small genome size. To contribute to our understanding of the mechanisms by which proline offers protection against heavy metal-induced oxidative stress, in the present work we investigated the role of proline in facilitating  $Hg^{2+}$  detoxification in rice by comparing the response of the wild type with a mutant of rice, as the free proline level is higher in the mutant than in the wild type. We demonstrated that the higher free proline level provided effective protection against  $Hg^{2+}$ -induced stress, by reducing free radical damage in the cells.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Plant Material and Treatment

Immature embryos of *japonica* rice (*Oryza sativa* cv. "Zhonghua 11") were used to initiate embryogenic calli. After calli were cocultured with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain EHA105 harboring binary vector pSMR-J18R, the  $Hg^{2+}$ -tolerant rice mutant was obtained form the T-DNA (Ac/Ds) mutant population, and our present results showed that the  $Hg^{2+}$ -tolerant rice mutant was a somaclonal mutant. After exposure to 0.2 mM  $Hg^{2+}$  for 10 days, remarkable phenotypic differences were observed between wild-type and mutant plants:, chlorosis appeared in the seedlings of the wild type, while the mutant seedlings remained green after an exposure of 5 days. Wild-type seedlings appeared to be dead, while mutant seedlings were growing well on day 10.

Rice seeds (offered by China National Rice Research Institute) were surface-sterilized with sodium hypochlorite (0.5%, w/v) for 25 min, rinsed extensively with distilled water, and soaked in deionized water overnight. The seeds were dark-incubated in petri dishes covered with moist

filter paper for 1 day at 30°C. After germination, the seeds were transferred to a plastic screen floating on distilled water at 28°C for 7 days, then seedlings of uniform size were cultivated in black polyethylene barrels (16 seedlings per pot) containing 6 L normal rice nutrient solution (IRRI, pH 5.1). The nutrient solution was renewed every 7 days. A 14-h photoperiod at 65% relative humidity, a photon flux density of 170  $\mu$ mol quanta m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, and day/night temperatures of 32/27°C were used to cultivate the rice seedlings. At the six-leaf stage, 0.2 mM Hg was added to the nutrient solution. Seedlings were uprooted at 12-h intervals up to 48 h. Samples were used with the second fully expanded upper leaves (physiological characteristics of stability numerate representative), which were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C for biochemical analysis or dried at 80°C for elemental analysis. In order to clarify the relationship between proline and  $Hg^{2+}$ -induced oxidative stress in this experiment, we used three treatments: control, exposure to  $Hg^{2+}$ , and proline pretreatment. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

#### Proline Determination

Proline was determined according to the method of Bates et al. (1975). Plant leaf tissues (0.5 g) were extracted with 5 mL 3% sulfosalicylic acid and centrifuged at 5,000 rpm min<sup>-1</sup> for 20 min. A 2-mL sample of the supernatant was reacted with 2 mL of ninhydrin and 2 ml of glacial acetic acid in a test tube for 1 h at 100°C, and the reaction terminated in an ice bath. The reaction mixture was extracted with 4 mL toluene and mixed vigorously with a test tube stirrer for 15–20 s. The chromophore containing toluene was extracted from the aqueous phase, then warmed to room temperature, and the absorbance was read at 520 nm. The standard curve for proline was prepared by dissolving proline in 3% (w/v) sulfosalicylic acid and covering the concentration range 1–10  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>.

#### Determination of Leaf Water Potential

Leaf total water potential was measured with water potential instrument (Model PSYPRO, Wescor Corp., USA) on the second fully expanded upper leaf (three leaflets per plot) at 12 h interval.

#### Determination of ROS Production

Lipid peroxidation was estimated by measuring the formation of malondialdehyde (MDA) with 2-thiobarbituric acid (TBA) according to Zhao et al. (1994). Fresh leaf samples (1.0 g) were ground with 5 mL 0.6% TBA in 10% trichloroacetic acid (TCA), using a mortar and pestle. The mixture was heated at 100°C for 15 min. After cooling in ice, the mixture was centrifuged at  $5,000 \text{ rpm min}^{-1}$  for 10 min. The absorbances of the supernatant at 450, 532 and 600 nm were measured. The MDA content was calculated on a fresh weight basis as follows: (umol MDA  $g^{-1}FW$  = 6.45 (OD<sub>532</sub>-OD<sub>600</sub>) -0.56 OD<sub>450</sub>. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content was colorimetrically measured as described by Jana and Choudhuri (1981). H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was extracted by homogenizing 0.5 g leaf tissues with 5 mL acetone. The homogenate was centrifuged at 6,000 rpm min<sup>-1</sup> for 25 min. To determine H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content, 3 mL extracted solution was mixed with 1 mL 0.1% titanium chloride in 20% (v/v) H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and the mixture centrifuged at  $6,000 \text{ rpm min}^{-1}$  for 15 min. The absorbance of the supernatant at 410 nm was used to calculated H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content using the extinction coefficient 0.28  $\mu$ mol cm<sup>-1</sup>. O<sub>2</sub><sup>-•</sup> generation rate was measured by the spectrophotometric method of Wang and Luo (1990).

#### Glutathione (GSH) Determination

The content of reduced and oxidized glutathione was determined with an enzyme-recycling assay spectrophotometrically at 412 nm according to Li et al.(2003). The assay was based on sequential oxidation of glutathione by DTNB and reduction by NADPH in the presence of a known amount of GR. To quantify GSSG content, 2-vinylpyridine was added to the extract. Standard curves were generated with reduced and oxidized glutathione.

#### Chlorophyll Determination

Chlorophyll content was determined according to Arnon (1949) with UV-2550 UV–Visible spectrophotometer.

To determine the concentration of absorbed  $Hg^{2+}$  in leaves, fresh samples were thoroughly rinsed with distilled water to remove excess surface bound  $Hg^{2+}$  and then dried in oven at 80°C for 1 day. Dried leaf samples were ground to a fine powder by pulverator, then transported to a digestion mixture containing  $H_2SO_4$ –HNO<sub>3</sub> at 1:1 ratio. Digestion was performed on a hotplate at 80°C until the solution became colourless. The residue was dissolved in 2% (v/v) HNO<sub>3</sub> and the final volume was adjusted to 5 mL. The digested samples were analyzed for metal content with an atomic fluorescence spectrophotometer (AFS-930).

#### Statistical Analysis

Variances among different treatments were analyzed using the multi-way ANOVA. All the data reported in this paper are means of three replicates. Significant difference among means were determined by Tukey, at p = 0.05 and p = 0.01. DPS software (Tang and Feng 2002) was used in all analyses.

#### Results

Analysis of the Hg<sup>2+</sup>-Tolerant Rice Mutant

The PCR amplification result indicated that the mutation was not caused by T-DNA insertion, but most probably caused by tissue culture since the mutant was derived from a transgenic rice line.

Effect of Hg<sup>2+</sup> and Proline Pretreatment on Proline Accumulation in Leaves

Although the constitutive content of proline was almost same in both the wild type and the mutant, proline content in the mutant was 4-fold higher than that of the control after exposed to  $Hg^{2+}$  for 12 h. After reaching the peak at 12 h, proline content in the mutant decreased rapidly in the next 12 h. After then the proline content in the mutant kept increased until the end of the experiment. A increase in the proline content was observed in the wild type at 12 and 36 h after the exposure to  $Hg^{2+}$ . The proline content in the mutant.

Pretreatment of wild type and mutant with proline significantly enhanced the level of endogenous proline (Fig. 1). As the exposure to 0.2 mM  $Hg^{2+}$  enlonged, there was a concomitant decrease in endogenous proline content.

Fig. 1 Effect of proline pretreatment (2 mM, 12 h) on endogenous proline content in leaves of the wide-type and the mutant. Seedlings were exposed to a nutrient solution containing  $0.2 \text{ mM Hg}^{2+}$  at the time intervals shown in the figure



In 0.2 mM  $Hg^{2+}$ -enriched media, proline accumulation was significantly higher in the mutant than in the wild type. Through the multi-way ANOVA, we found that different cultivators ( $F_{1.29} = 78.89$ , p < 0.01), treatments ( $F_{2.29} =$ 927.09, p < 0.01) and exposing time ( $F_{4,29} = 11.76$ , p < 0.01) would all have a significantly different effect on the proline content, and the interact of different cultivators and treatments ( $F_{2,29} = 6.77, p < 0.05$ ), and the interact of treatments and exposing time ( $F_{8,29} = 17.479$ , p < 0.01) would both affect the proline content significantly. From the different exposure time, we found that the wild type has a significant difference only during the pretreatment of proline. But for the mutant, the two treatments both induced significant difference in different time. all the results present here indicate that the mercury-tolerant mutant has a much more effective proline synthesis.

### Effect of Hg<sup>2+</sup> on Leaf Water Potential

The present work demonstrated that water status in mutant seedlings did not undergo a significant decrease (p < 0.05) within 48 h exposure to 0.2 mM Hg<sup>2+</sup>. Difference in leaf water potential between Hg<sup>2+</sup>-treated wild type and control was significant only after 48 h. About 12, 24, and 36 h-exposure of mutant seedlings to the metal did not result in any significant reduction in their leaf water potential when compared to control (Table 1). Through the multi-way ANOVA, we can found that Hg<sup>2+</sup> different exposed time ( $F_{4,59} = 66.22, p < 0.01$ ), united factors between different types and treatments ( $F_{1,59} = 24.67, p < 0.01$ ), different types and exposed time ( $F_{4,59} = 22.99, p < 0.01$ ), and the three factors ( $F_{4,59} = 8.44, p < 0.01$ ) would induce a significant difference.

# Effect of Proline on Alleviating Hg<sup>2+</sup>-Induced Oxidative Damage

Figure 2 showed that a time-dependent increasement of MDA content was generated during the exposure of the seedlings to  $Hg^{2+}$ . However, the increased MDA level was

lower in the mutant than the wild type. A lower MDA level was also observed in the pretreatment of proline than in the Hg treatments. Among the three factors, expect the united factors of cultivars and treatments ( $F_{2,29} = 1.02$ , p > 0.05), most of them induced a significant difference. Also we can found some interesting things in the two cultivars of different exposure time in detail: the MDA content of mutant only have significant difference with Hg<sup>2+</sup> treatment, but almost none with the pretreatment of proline; the MDA content of wild type is similar to mutant. These data indicates that high concentration of proline synthesized endogenously in mutant provides a means to reducing the level of free radicals generated during heavy metal stress.

The effect of proline on suppressing  $O_2^{-\bullet}$  - generation in rice seedlings is shown in Fig. 3. Pretreated with proline can mitigate the  $O_2^{-\bullet}$  - production of both the wild type and the mutant. Evidently, a higher level of  $O_2^{-\bullet}$  - production was observe only in the seedlings treated with  $Hg^{2+}$ . Fig. 4 also indicated that H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> level increased significantly in  $Hg^{2+}$ -treated rice leaves. However,  $H_2O_2$  level in the seedlings pretreated with proline was greatly diminished throughout the entire duration of the incubation. It is similar to the alternation of  $O_2^{-\bullet}$  - production. The difference between them is: the single factors and the union effect all can induced a significant difference to  $O_2^{-\bullet}$  production in wild type; in the mutant, the union effect of cultivars and treatments ( $F_{2,29} = 4.37$ , p > 0.05), union effect of cultivators and exposure time ( $F_{4,29} = 2.53$ , p > 0.05) can not induce a significant difference to the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> level. These observations indicate that the supply of exogenous proline significantly reduced damage from oxidative stress generated by  $Hg^{2+}$ .

### Effect of Hg<sup>2+</sup> Stress on GSH and GSSG Content

As shown in Table 2, the GSH content in leaves of the wild type dropped down synchronously in time-dependent manner. On the other hand, there was a nearly 3-fold increase in GSSG level in the wild type after treated for

Table 1 Effect of  $Hg^{2+}$  on water potential in leaves of the wild type and the mutant

Water potential/Mp					
WT(CK)	$WT(+Hg^{2+})$	MT(CK)	$MT(+Hg^{2+})$		
$-1.72 \pm 0.14a$	$-1.72 \pm 0.14a$	$-2.20 \pm 0.23$ abcd	$-2.20 \pm 0.22$ abcd		
$-3.14\pm0.07 \rm{fgh}$	$-3.30\pm0.08 \rm{fgh}$	$-2.45 \pm 0.09$ bcde	$-2.44 \pm 0.10$ cde		
$-1.77\pm0.07ab$	$-2.06\pm0.07 \mathrm{abc}$	$-2.28\pm0.07$ def	$-2.72 \pm 0.11$ abcde		
$-3.42\pm0.10$ gh	$-3.33\pm0.07$ fgh	$-2.93\pm0.10$ fgh	$3.18\pm0.082$ efgh		
$-2.39\pm0.10$ bcde	$-3.53 \pm 0.06$ h	$-2.23\pm0.07$ defg	$-2.84 \pm 0.24$ abcd		
	Water potential/Mp           WT(CK) $-1.72 \pm 0.14a$ $-3.14 \pm 0.07$ fgh $-1.77 \pm 0.07$ ab $-3.42 \pm 0.10$ gh $-2.39 \pm 0.10$ bcde	Water potential/MpWT(CK)WT(+Hg^{2+}) $-1.72 \pm 0.14a$ $-1.72 \pm 0.14a$ $-3.14 \pm 0.07$ fgh $-3.30 \pm 0.08$ fgh $-1.77 \pm 0.07$ ab $-2.06 \pm 0.07$ abc $-3.42 \pm 0.10$ gh $-3.33 \pm 0.07$ fgh $-2.39 \pm 0.10$ bcde $-3.53 \pm 0.06$ h	Water potential/MpWT(CK)WT(+Hg^{2+})MT(CK) $-1.72 \pm 0.14a$ $-2.20 \pm 0.23abcd$ $-3.14 \pm 0.07fgh$ $-3.30 \pm 0.08fgh$ $-2.45 \pm 0.09bcde$ $-1.77 \pm 0.07ab$ $-2.06 \pm 0.07abc$ $-2.28 \pm 0.07def$ $-3.42 \pm 0.10gh$ $-3.33 \pm 0.07fgh$ $-2.93 \pm 0.10fgh$ $-2.39 \pm 0.10bcde$ $-3.53 \pm 0.06 h$ $-2.23 \pm 0.07defg$		

Seedlings were exposed to a nutrient solution containing 0.2 mM Hg<sup>2+</sup> at the time intervals shown in this table

*Note*: Values are means of three replicates  $\pm$  SE. Different letters in the same column indicate a significant difference at p < 0.05







Fig. 4 Effect of proline pretreatment (2 mM, 12 h) on  $H_2O_2$  content in leaves of rice exposed to 0.2 mM Hg<sup>2+</sup> at the time intervals shown in the figure

48 h. By contrast, there was no significant (p > 0.05) increase in GSSG level in the mutant during the experiment period. When the reduced and oxidized forms of GSH were expressed as a molar ratio (GSH/GSSG) (Table 2), it was shown that the wild type seedlings had a 4-fold reduction in their GSH/GSSG ratio than the mutant seedlings exposed to Hg<sup>2+</sup>. These results suggested that in the presence of Hg<sup>2+</sup>, the redox state of the cytoplasm of the mutant cells remain more reducing than that of the wild type cells as a higher content of endogenous proline existed in the mutant.

Effect of Proline Pretreatment on Hg<sup>2+</sup> Concentration and Chlorophyll Content in Leaves of Rice

 $Hg^{2+}$  concentration in leaves of rice seedlings exposed to 0.2 mM  $Hg^{2+}$  for 48 h was shown in Table 3. The mutant accumulated more  $Hg^{2+}$  than the wild type. After pretreatment of proline, the  $Hg^{2+}$  concentration in leaves decreased in both the rice seedlings of the wild type and the mutant.  $Hg^{2+}$  concentration in leaves decreased by 50% and by 30% in the wild type and in the mutant, respectively.

Results in Table 4 indicated that the leaf chlorophyll content decreased during exposed to  $0.2 \text{ mM Hg}^{2+}$  in both the wild type and the mutant, and the decreased extent of

the chlorophyll content without pretreatment with proline is larger than that of pretreatment with proline, showing that proline pretreatment significantly ameliorated reduction of chlorophyll by  $Hg^{2+}$ . This amelioration was more pronounced in the case of the mutant than in the wild type. we also can found it with the multi-way ANOVA: the united effect of the types and treatments ( $F_{2,89} = 3.59$ , p < 0.05) induced a difference.

# Effect of Proline Pretreatment on $H_2O_2$ Content in Rice Exposed to AT Stress

The seedlings treated with 2 mM AT in dark showed a rapid and continuous loss of catalase activities compared with the untreated seedlings (data not shown). After 48-h exposure to AT, a significant increase in the content of hydrogen peroxide was observed in both the wild type and the mutant seedlings. Proline pretreatment caused similar levels of decline (significantly) in accumulation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> by seedlings subjected to Hg<sup>2+</sup> stress (Table 5). The results indicated that the different cultivators and different treatments could affect the accumulation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> significantly (F<sub>2,12</sub> = 124.62, p < 0.01).

Exposing time/h	GSH/nmol·mg <sup>-1</sup> protein					
	WT(CK)	MT(CK)	$WT(+Hg^{2+})$	$MT(+Hg^{2+})$		
0	$131.25 \pm 0.99 \text{fg}$	$146.13 \pm 0.39$ cdef	$133.62 \pm 1.95 efg$	$150.03 \pm 1.36$ cd		
12	$130.24 \pm 0.99$ g	$150.17\pm0.88cd$	$123.54 \pm 4.90$ g	$203.64 \pm 2.22a$		
24	$127.51 \pm 1.70$ g	$149.76 \pm 1.26$ cd	$122.72 \pm 2.11g$	$160.96 \pm 9.37 bc$		
36	$128.21 \pm 1.94$ g	$148.89 \pm 2.04$ cd	$100.54 \pm 1.73 h$	$165.16 \pm 1.69b$		
48	$126.39 \pm 1.95 g$	$147.75 \pm 1.07$ cde	$88.07\pm1.74h$	$137.48 \pm 1.30 defg$		
Exposing time/h	GSSG/nmol·mg <sup>-1</sup> protein					
	WT(CK)	MT(CK)	$WT(+Hg^{2+})$	$MT(+Hg^{2+})$		
0	$36.81\pm0.65 \mathrm{fg}$	$37.41 \pm 0.86 \mathrm{fg}$	$36.62\pm0.69 \mathrm{fg}$	$37.54 \pm 0.96$ fg		
12	$39.03 \pm 0.61$ efg	$35.93\pm0.95 \mathrm{g}$	$48.50 \pm 1.14$ d	$40.92 \pm 1.40 \mathrm{efg}$		
24	$40.68\pm0.90 efg$	$36.88\pm0.97 \mathrm{fg}$	$59.25 \pm 1.13c$	$42.27 \pm 1.16 ef$		
36	$39.11 \pm 1.18 efg$	$39.14 \pm 1.12 efg$	$72.08 \pm 1.26 \mathrm{b}$	$44.39\pm0.67\mathrm{de}$		
48	$40.52\pm0.78efg$	$39.49 \pm 1.31$ efg	$100.26 \pm 1.25a$ 49.22 =			
Exposing time/h	GSH/GSSG					
	WT(CK)	MT(CK)	$WT(+Hg^{2+})$	$MT(+Hg^{2+})$		
0	$4.00 \pm 0.09$ cdef	$4.37 \pm 0.09$ bcd	$4.09 \pm 0.11$ bcdef	$4.47\pm0.08\mathrm{bc}$		
12	$3.74 \pm 0.08 defg$	$4.69 \pm 0.12$ hdefg	$2.86\pm0.20\mathrm{hi}$	$5.58\pm0.15a$		
24	$3.51 \pm 0.10$ fg	$4.55\pm0.10\mathrm{bc}$	$2.32\pm0.08i$	$4.27 \pm 0.33$ bcde		
36	$3.68 \pm 0.11$ efg	$4.27 \pm 0.11$ bcde	$1.56\pm0.00\mathrm{j}$	$4.17 \pm 0.10$ bcde		
48	$3.50\pm0.07$ fgh	$4.23 \pm 0.12$ bcde	$0.98\pm0.03\mathrm{j}$	$3.12\pm0.10{ m gh}$		

Table 2 Effect of  $Hg^{2+}$  on GSH and GSSG content in leaves of the wild type and the mutant. Seedlings were exposed to 0.2 mM  $Hg^{2+}$  at the time intervals shown in this table

*Note*: Values are means of three replicates  $\pm$  SE. Different letters in the same column indicate a significant difference at p < 0.05

Table 3 Effect of proline pretreatment (2 mM, 12 h) on  $\rm Hg^{2+}$  concentration in leaves of the wild type and the mutant exposed to 0.2 mM  $\rm Hg^{2+}$  for 48 h

Treatment	$Hg^{2+}$ concentration/mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> DW			
	WT	MT		
СК	$8.66 \pm 0.04$ d	$9.86 \pm 0.24$ d		
Hg <sup>2+</sup>	$94.17\pm1.31b$	$126.12\pm0.69a$		
Proline +Hg <sup>2+</sup>	$47.96\pm0.95c$	$93.85\pm0.93b$		

*Note*: Values are means of three replicates  $\pm$  SE. Different letters in the same column indicate a significant difference at p < 0.05

#### Discussion

In plants, the most general symptom of  $Hg^{2+}$  toxicity is chlorosis. In previous work, we have shown that rice seedlings treated with  $Hg^{2+}$  show chlorosis and biomass reduction, and rice accumulated large amount of  $Hg^{2+}$ when incubated in  $Hg^{2+}$ -enriched culture medium (Zeng et al. 2008). Chlorophyll is a major pigment participating in photosynthesis process which is one of the main sites of heavy metal injury in plants (Shakya et al. 2008). Results in this study indicated that  $Hg^{2+}$  caused reduction of chlorophyll in both the wild type and the mutant, and proline pretreatment significantly ameliorated reduction of chlorophyll by  $Hg^{2+}$ .

Hg<sup>2+</sup> can inactivate the cellular antioxidant pool and disrupt the metabolic balance, eventually enhancing the load of ROS, such as  $O_2^{-\bullet}$ ,  $\cdot OH$ ,  $H_2O_2$  and  ${}^1O_2$  (Briat 2002). ROS in turn causes damage to the biomolecules such as membrane lipids, proteins, chloroplast pigments, enzymes, nucleic acids, etc. Lipid peroxidation is a biochemical marker for the free radical mediated injuries. Measurement of the level of MDA in the tissues is widely used as an index of lipid peroxidation. Our results showed a time-dependent increase in the level of MDA in seedlings exposed to  $Hg^{2+}$ , indicating that  $Hg^{2+}$  induces oxidative stress in rice plants. These results are in conformity with the observations of many other authors (Xu et al. 2000; Patra and Sharma 2000). Also in our early studies, we found that Hg<sup>2+</sup> Stress led to a large number of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> accumulation in the wild-type and the mutant, and speeded up the rate of the  $O_2^{-\bullet}$ , and MDA formation (Zeng et al. 2008).

Most heavy metals cause oxidative stress via generation of ROS (Dietz et al. 1999). It has been proposed that

Table 4 Effect of proline pretreatment (2 mM, 12 h) on the chlorophyll contents in leaves of the wild type and the mutant exposed to 0.2 mM  $Hg^{2+}$ 

Exposing time/h	Chlorophyll-a + -b/mg·g <sup>-1</sup> FW					
	WT(CK)	MT(CK)	WT(Hg <sup>2+</sup> )	MT(Hg <sup>2+</sup> )	WT(Pro)	MT(Pro)
0	$6.70 \pm 0.66$ abcd	$7.55\pm0.41$ ab	$6.70 \pm 0.66$ abcd	$7.55 \pm 0.41$ abcd	$7.74\pm0.03d$	$6.34 \pm 0.11$ abcd
12	$6.71 \pm 0.22 abcd$	$5.84\pm0.17ab$	$6.70 \pm 0.39 \mathrm{abcd}$	$6.96 \pm 0.53 abcd$	$6.40\pm0.14cd$	$6.23 \pm 0.72$ abcd
24	$7.11\pm0.28a$	$6.45 \pm 0.34 abcd$	$6.13 \pm 0.40 abcd$	$6.79 \pm 0.17 \mathrm{abcd}$	$5.72 \pm 0.24$ abcd	$7.25 \pm 0.16$ abcd
36	$6.17 \pm 0.06 \mathrm{abcd}$	$5.35 \pm 0.41$ abcd	$5.26 \pm 0.03 \text{bcd}$	$5.89\pm0.03 \mathrm{abc}$	$5.31 \pm 0.28$ bcd	$6.22 \pm 0.35$ bcd
48	$6.77 \pm 0.24$ abcd	$6.64 \pm 0.19$ abcd	$5.21 \pm 0.38 \text{bcd}$	$5.76\pm0.39cd$	$5.66 \pm 0.33$ bcd	$6.18 \pm 0.26$ abcd

*Note*: Values are means of three replicates  $\pm$  SE. Different letters in the same column indicate a significant difference at p < 0.05

Table 5 Effect of proline pretreatment (2 mM, 12 h) on  $H_2O_2$  content in the wild type and the mutant exposed to 2 mM AT for 48 h

Treatment	$H_2O_2 \text{ (mmol } g^{-1}FW)$			
	WT	MT		
СК	$1.77\pm0.44$ d	$1.65 \pm 0.06a$		
AT	$3.34\pm0.08d$	$3.29 \pm 0.10c$		
Proline +AT	$2.24\pm0.00a$	$2.86\pm0.1\mathrm{b}$		

*Note*: Values are means of three replicates  $\pm$  SE. Different letters in the same column indicate a significant difference at p < 0.05

proline act as a free radical scavenger to protect plants away from damage by oxidative stress (Alia and Matysik 2001). The present study indicated that proline was involved in the Hg<sup>2+</sup> tolerance of rice. Some researchers showed that proline involved in abating heavy metal stress stems from its enhanced accumulation in the plants exposed to metals (Wu et al. 1998; Backor et al. 2004; Tripathi and Gaur 2004). Taking advantage of mutant that produced high level of endogenous proline, we carried out measurements on MDA and free radical level in wild type and mutant seedlings during  $Hg^{2+}$  stress with and without the addition of exogenous proline. This hypothesis has been examined in those study. Although the scavenging reaction of ROS with other amino acids, such as tryptophan, tyrosine, histidine, etc. are more effective compared with proline (Michaeli and Feitelson 1994), proline is of special interest because of its extensive accumulation in plants during environmental stress (Chai et al. 1998). As depicted in Fig. 3, both the test plant species showed enhancement in the content of proline within 12 h of the metal treatment, which was in agreement with reports on other higher plants (Schat et al. 1997; Tripathi and Gaur 2004). Nevertheless, they differed in the content in their tissues and proline accumulation increased drastically in the mutant under longer  $Hg^{2+}$  treatment. After proline pretreatments, the proline content also increased within 12 h in the mutant while the wild type showed a timedependent decrease. These results suggest that the regulation of endogenous proline biosynthesis under  $Hg^{2+}$  stress is correlated with the tolerance of mutant rice. There are three possible reasons of the free proline accumulation under stress: first, stimulation of proline synthesis from glutamic acid (Girousse et al. 1996), which has been found to be dependent on the abscisic acid concentration; second, inhibition of proline oxidation to other soluble compounds; and third, inhibition of protein synthesis. In contrast to its metabolism, the physiological significance of proline accumulation has been less studied recently (Sharma and Dietz 2006).

Many researchers suggested that proline plays a pivotal role in imparting plants tolerance to stress that lower the water potential of ambient environment (Colmer et al. 1996; Schat et al. 1997). Sharma et al. (1998) maintained that water deficit is the primary reason of proline accumulation because such accumulation is not induced in plants exposed to elevated concentrations of metals at high humidity levels. But in the present study, we found that a significant difference in water stress is simultaneous with a lower proline content of wild type at 48 h exposed to  $Hg^{2+}$ which is not existing in mutant, the possible reason is the high endogenous proline content in the mutant. On the other hand, the levels of proline in plants have been observed to be enhanced even by UV light (Tripathi and Gaur 2004) which does not lead to osmotic stress or create low water potential.

It has been suggested that free proline chelates with heavy metal ions in cells, therefore decreasing their availability and toxicity to sensitive cellular sites (Farago and Mullen 1979). However, the present work demonstrated that proline helps rice to tolerate metal stress primarily by scavenging free radicals rather than by chelating with heavy metal ions. This point is upheld by the fact that reduction of AT-induced lipid peroxidation was as much as that of the  $Hg^{2+}$ -induced lipid peroxidation resulting from proline pretreatment. There is at least one similarity in the mode of action of heavy metals and herbicide: both of them induce oxidative stress (Vangronsveld and Clijsters 1994). AT is an herbicide which irreversibly inactivates catalase, which cannot chelate with proline. In present study, 2 mM AT treatment resulted in approximately 2-fold increase in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content both in the wild type and the mutant seedlings. Proline pretreatment caused similar level of decline in accumulation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> by seedlings subjected to AT and Hg<sup>2+</sup> stress. Proline pretreatment provided protection by reducing Hg<sup>2+</sup>induced lipid peroxidation. This suggests a protective role of proline against Hg<sup>2+</sup>-induced oxidative damage. Furthermore, proline pretreatment resulted in less Hg<sup>2+</sup> accumulation and reduction of chlorophyll in leaves of the wild type and the mutant, which also endorsed the role of proline as an antioxidant rather than  $Hg^{2+}$  chelator (Matysik et al. 2002). It has been reported that heavy metal can affect the photosynthetic apparatus (Clemens 2006), also the generated ROS such as ·OH radicals are known to damage biological membranes (Halliwell and Gutteridge 1989), and proline has been found to protect cell membranes of onion against salt injury (Mansour 1998), so we presume that proline pretreatment mitigated the membrane damage (Shakya et al. 2008; Tatar and Gevrek 2008).

GSH is a scavenger of many reactive oxygen radicals (May et al. 1998). During oxidative stress, GSH is utilized by the cells, thereby leading to its depletion. Furthermore, GSH is the precursor of phytochelatins (PCs) which are synthesized enzymatically (Grill et al. 1989). PC, believed to be the major heavy metal chelator in plants, could serve to shuttling poisonous ions from the cytosol to the vacuole (Sanita and Gabbrielli 1999; Cobbett 2000). Proline may physically quench singlet oxygen or react directly with hydrogen peroxide. These reactions result in reducing free radical damage and a more reducing cellular environment (higher GSH/GSSG value). The high GSH levels in turn facilitate PC synthesis and sequestration of Hg<sup>2+</sup>-PC compound into the vacuole.

According to our experimental results, a positive relationship between  $Hg^{2+}$  toxicity and proline accumulation suggests a protective role of this amino acid against heavy metal toxicity. The present work clearly shows the protective role of proline against metal toxicity, although, it is difficult to elaborate the manner in which this role was executed. It might be that proline reduces the production of harmful ROS, or sequestrates them.

In conclusion, the mutant rice can be well adapted to the stress of  $Hg^{2+}$ , which was due to increased level of proline that minimized the damage caused by toxic oxygen species and protected the cell membranes by stabilizing plasmalemma permeability, so that less  $Hg^{2+}$  is taken up by the cells. Furthermore, the results confirmed the conclusion drawn in previous publication (Siripornadulsil et al. 2002): proline reduces heavy metal stress by detoxification of free radicals produced as a result of heavy metal poisoning. Acknowledgments This work was supported by the National Key Technologies R&D Program of China during the 11th Five-Year Plan Period (NO. 2006BAK02A18), the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (NO. Z306300), and the National Key Basic Research and Development Program (NO. 2002CB410804).

#### References

- Alia MP, Matysik J (2001) Effect of proline on the production of singlet oxygen. Amino Acids 21:195–200. doi:10.1007/s007260 170026
- Arnon DI (1949) Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplast: polyphenoloxidase in *Beta vulgaris*. Plant Physiol 24:1–15
- Backor M, Fahselt D, Wu CT (2004) Free proline content is positively correlated with copper tolerance of the lichen photobiont *Trebouxia erici* (Chlorophyta). Plant Sci 167:151–157. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2004.03.012
- Bates LS, Waldren RP, Tear ID (1975) Rapid determination of free proline for water stress studies. Plant Soil 39:205–207. doi: 10.1007/BF00018060
- Briat JF (2002) Metal ion-activated oxidative stress and its control. Taylor and Francis, New York, pp 171–179
- Cabrera F, Clemente L, Diaz BE, Lopez R, Murillo JM (1999) Heavy metal pollution of soils affected by the Guadiamar toxic flood. Sci Total Environ 242:117–129. doi:10.1016/S0048-9697(99) 00379-4
- Carrier P, Baryla A, Havaux M (2003) Cadmium distribution and microlocalization in oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*) after longterm growth on cadmium-contaminated soil. Planta 216:939–950
- Chai T, Didierjean L, Burkard G, Genot G (1998) Expression of a green tissue-specific 11 kDa proline-rich protein gene in bean in response to heavy metals. Plant Sci 133:47–56. doi:10.1016/ S0168-9452(98)00028-4
- Clemens S (2006) Toxic metal accumulation, responses to exposure and mechanisms of tolerance in plants. Biochimie 88:1707– 1719. doi:10.1016/j.biochi.2006.07.003
- Cobbett CS (2000) Phytochelatins and their roles in heavy metal detoxification. Plant Physiol 123:825–832. doi:10.1104/pp. 123.3.825
- Colmer TD, Fan TWM, Läuchli A, Higashi RM (1996) Interactive effects of salinity, nitrogen and sulphur on the organic solutes in *Spartina alterniflora* leaf blades. J Exp Bot 47:369–375. doi: 10.1093/jxb/47.3.369
- Dietz KJ, Baier M, Kramer U (1999) Free radicals and reactive oxygen species as mediators of heavy metal toxicity in plants. In: Hagemeyer J (ed) Prasad MNY. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp 73– 97
- Farago ME, Mullen WA (1979) Plants which accumulate metals. Part IV. A possible copper-proline complex from the roots of Armeria maritime. Inorg Chim Acta 32:93–94. doi:10.1016/ S0020-1693(00)91627-X
- Girousse C, Bournoville R, Bonnemain JL (1996) Water deficitinduced changes in concentrations in proline and some other amino acids in the phloem sap of alfalfa. Plant Physiol 111:109– 113
- Grill E, Loffler S, Winnacker EL, Zenk MH (1989) Phytochelatins, the heavy-metal-binding peptides of plants, are synthesized from glutathione by a specific  $\gamma$ -glutamylcysteine dipeptidyl transpeptidase (phytochelatin synthase). Proc Natl Acad Sci 86:6838– 6842. doi:10.1073/pnas.86.18.6838
- Halliwell B, Gutteridge JMC (1989) Protection against oxdiants in biological systems: the super oxide theory of oxygen toxicity. In: Halliwell B, Gutteridge JMC (eds) Free Radicals in Biology and Medicine. Clarendon Press, Oxford, pp 86–123

- Jana S, Choudhuri MA (1981) Glycolate metabolism of three submerged aquatic angiosperms during aging. Aquat Bot 12:345–354. doi:10.1016/0304-3770(82)90026-2
- Lin YH, Guo MX, Gan WM (2006) Mercury pollution from small gold mines in China. Water, Air Soil Poll 9:233–239. doi: 10.1023/A:1018384822608
- Li ZG, Du CK, Gong M (2003) Simultaneous measurement of ASA/ DHA and GSH/GSSG using a single extraction system. J Yunnan Normal Univ (Natural Sci) 23:67–70
- Lutts S, Kinet JM, Bouharmont J (1996) Effects of various salts and of mannitol on ion and proline accumulation in relation to osmotic adjustment in rice (*Oryza sativa L.*) callus cultures. J Plant Physiol 149:186–195
- Mansour MMF (1998) Protection of plasma membrane of onion epidermal cells by glycinebetaine and proline against NaCl stress. Plant physiol Biochem 36:767–772. doi:10.1016/S0981-9428(98)80028-4
- Matysik JA, Bhalu B, Mohanty P (2002) Molecular mechanism of quenching of reactive oxygen species by proline under stress in plants. Curr Sci 82:525–532
- May MJ, Vernoux T, Leaver C, Montagu MV, Inzé D (1998) Glutathione homeostasis in plants: implications for environmental sensing and plant development. J Exp Bot 49:649–667. doi: 10.1093/jexbot/49.321.649
- Mehta SK, Gaur JP (1999) Heavy metal-induced proline accumulation and its role in ameliorating metal toxicity in *Chlorella vulgaris*. New Phytol 143:253–259. doi:10.1046/j.1469-8137. 1999.00447.x
- Michaeli A, Feitelson J (1994) Reactivity of singlet oxygen toward amino acids and peptides. Photochem Photobiol 59:284–289. doi:10.1111/j.1751-1097.1994.tb05035.x
- Molinari HBC, Marur CJ, Daros E, Campos MKF, Carvalho JFRP, Filh JCB, Pereira LFP, Vieira LGE (2007) Evaluation of the stress-inducible production of proline in transgenic sugarcane (Saccharum spp.): osmotic adjustment chlorophyll fluorescence and oxidative stress. Physiol Planta 130:218–229. doi:10.1111/ j.1399-3054.2007.00909.x
- Patra M, Sharma A (2000) Mercury toxicity in plants. Bot Rev 66:379–422. doi:10.1007/BF02868923
- Rubén RÁ, Cristina OV, Ana ÁF, Francisca FC, Luis EH (2006) Stress responses of zea mays to cadmium and mercury. Plant Soil 279:41–50. doi:10.1007/s11104-005-3900-1
- Sandalio LM, Dalurzo HC, Gomez M, Romero-Puertas MC, Ril LA (2001) Cadmium-induced changes in the growth and oxidative metabolism of pea plants. J Exp Bot 52:2115–2126
- Sanita TL, Gabbrielli R (1999) Response to cadmium in higher plants. Environ Exp Bot 41:105–130. doi:10.1016/S0098-8472(98) 00058-6
- Schat H, Sharma SS, Vooijs R (1997) Heavy metal-induced accumulation of free proline in a metal-tolerant and a nontolerant

ecotype of *Silene vulgaris*. Plant Physiol 101:477–482. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.1997.tb01026.x

- Shah K, Dubey RS (1998) Effect of cadmium on proline accumulation and ribonuclease activity in rice seedlings: role of proline as a possible enzyme protectant. Biol Plantarum 40:121–130. doi:10.1023/A:100095680391
- Shakya K, Chettri MK, Sawidis T (2008) Impact of heavy metals (Copper, Zinc, and Lead) on the chlorophyll content of some Mosses. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 54:412–421. doi: 10.1007/s00244-007-9060-y
- Sharma SS, Dietz KJ (2006) The significance of amino acids and amino acid-derived molecules in plant responses and adaptation to heavy metal stress. J Exp Bot 57:711–726. doi:10.1093/ jxb/erj073
- Sharma SS, Schat H, Vooijs R (1998) In vitro alleviation of heavy metal-induced enzyme inhibition by praline. Phytochem 49:1531–1535. doi:10.1016/S0031-9422(98)00282-9
- Siripornadulsil S, Train S, Verma DPS, Sayre RT (2002) Molecular mechanisms of proline-mediated tolerance to toxic heavy metals in transgenic microalgae. Plant Cell 14:2837–2847. doi:10.1105/ tpc.004853
- Tang QY, Feng MG (2002) DPS data processing system for practical statistics. Science Press, Beijing
- Tatar O, Gevrek MN (2008) Influence of water stress on proline accumulation, lipid peroxidation and water content of wheat. Asian J Plant Sci 1682–3974
- Tripathi BN, Gaur JP (2004) Relationship between copper- and zincinduced oxidative stress and proline accumulation in *Scenedesmus* sp. Planta 219:397–404. doi:10.1007/s00425-004-1237-2
- Van AF, Clijsters H (1990) Effects of metals on enzyme activity in plants. Plant Cell Physiol 34:165–168
- Vangronsveld J, Clijsters H (1994) Toxic effects of metals. VCH Verlagsgesellschaft, Weinheim, pp 50–177
- Wang AG, Luo GH (1990) Quantitative relation between the reaction of hydroxylamine and superoxide anion radicals in plants. Plant Physiol Commu 6:55–57 (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Wu JT, Hsieh MT, Kow LC (1998) Role of proline accumulation in response to toxic copper in *Chlorella* sp., (Chlorophyceae) cells. J Phycol 34:113–117. doi:10.1046/j.1529-8817.1998.340113.x
- Xu QS, Shi GX, Gu GP (2000) Study of toxic effect of Hg<sup>2+</sup> on Nymphaea tetragona Georgi. Acta Bot Boreal Occident Sin 20:784–789 (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Zeng B, Wang FJ, Zhu C, Sun ZX (2008) Effect of AsA-GSH cycle on Hg<sup>2+</sup>-Tolerance in rice mutant. Acta Agron Sin 34:823–830
- Zengin FK, Munzuroglu O (2005) Effect of some heavy metals on content of chlorophyll, proline and some antioxidant chemicals in bean seedling. Acta Biol Cracov 47:157–164
- Zhao SJ, Xu CC, Zhou Q, Meng QW (1994) Improvements of method for measurement of malondialdehyde in plant tissues. Plant Physiol Commun 30:207–210