ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Multiple Ecological Axes Drive Molecular Evolution of Cone Opsins in Beloniform Fishes

Katherine D. Chau^{1,4,7} · Frances E. Hauser⁴ · Alexander Van Nynatten^{4,8} · Jacob M. Daane² · Matthew P. Harris³ · Belinda S. W. Chang^{5,6} · Nathan R. Lovejoy^{1,4,5,6}

Received: 10 June 2023 / Accepted: 12 January 2024 / Published online: 28 February 2024 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2024

Abstract

Ecological and evolutionary transitions offer an excellent opportunity to examine the molecular basis of adaptation. Fishes of the order Beloniformes include needlefishes, flyingfishes, halfbeaks, and allies, and comprise over 200 species occupying a wide array of habitats—from the marine epipelagic zone to tropical rainforest rivers. These fishes also exhibit a diversity of diets, including piscivory, herbivory, and zooplanktivory. We investigated how diet and habitat affected the molecular evolution of cone opsins, which play a key role in bright light and colour vision and are tightly linked to ecology and life history. We analyzed a targeted-capture dataset to reconstruct the evolutionary history of beloniforms and assemble cone opsin sequences. We implemented codon-based clade models of evolution to examine how molecular evolution was affected by habitat and diet. We found high levels of positive selection in medium- and long-wavelength beloniform opsins, with piscivores showing increased positive selection in medium-wavelength opsins and zooplanktivores showing increased positive selection in long-wavelength opsins. In contrast, short-wavelength opsins showed purifying selection. While marine/ freshwater habitat transitions have an effect on opsin molecular evolution, we found that diet plays a more important role. Our study suggests that evolutionary transitions along ecological axes produce complex adaptive interactions that affect patterns of selection on genes that underlie vision.

Keywords Ecological transitions · Opsins · Molecular evolution · Codon-based likelihood models · Colour vision

Handling editor: Dorothee Huchon.

Nathan R. Lovejoy nathan.lovejoy@utoronto.ca

- ¹ Department of Physical & Environmental Sciences, University of Toronto Scarborough, Toronto, ON, Canada
- ² Department of Biology and Biochemistry, University of Houston, Houston, TX, USA
- ³ Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA
- ⁴ Department of Biological Sciences, University of Toronto Scarborough, Toronto, ON, Canada
- ⁵ Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada
- ⁶ Department of Cell and Systems Biology, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada
- ⁷ Present Address: Department of Biology, York University, Toronto, ON, Canada
- ⁸ Present Address: Department of Biology, University of Victoria, Victoria, Canada

Introduction

Linking genetic changes in adaptively relevant genes to evolutionary transitions in ecology and life history is a central pursuit in evolutionary biology (Baldwin et al., 2014; Foote et al. 2015; Partha et al. 2017). Clades that include lineages with considerable ecological variability represent good candidates for such investigations. The actinopterygian fish order Beloniformes is a group of over 200 species that includes the ricefishes, needlefishes, sauries, flyingfishes, and halfbeaks, and exhibits impressive diversity across several ecological axes (Nelson et al. 2016). Many beloniforms, including the epipelagic flyingfishes and most needlefishes and halfbeaks, occupy marine habitats, but several beloniform lineages have independently invaded freshwater habitats in the Neotopics, Asia, and Australasia (Lovejoy and Collette 2001; Bloom and Lovejoy 2017). In addition, beloniforms have evolved a wide array of dietary specializations, and include generalists, zooplanktivores, herbivores, and piscivores (Lovejoy et al. 2004; Manjakasy et al. 2009; Day

et al. 2011; Van Noord et al. 2013). These characteristics make Beloniformes an interesting and informative model system for understanding how different aspects of ecology influence molecular evolutionary changes.

Adaptation in the visual system has a clear link to changes in environmental illumination (e.g., ambient lighting) and may also be associated with life history traits such as diet and inter- or intraspecific communication (O'Quin et al. 2010; Gutierrez et al. 2018; Stieb et al. 2016a). Opsin genes, which code for proteins that initiate visual transduction, are ideal for testing hypotheses about the various parameters influencing visual system evolution. Opsins may be specialized for dim light detection (rhodopsin), or bright light vision and colour detection (cone opsins). Gene duplications early in vertebrate evolution, combined with neofunctionalization and divergence of sensitivities to different wavelengths of light, resulted in a cone opsin repertoire sensitive to ultraviolet (SWS1), blue (SWS2), green (RH2), and green/ yellow to red (LWS) light, with additional expansions and contractions of these subtypes occurring throughout vertebrate evolution (Bowmaker 2008). Adaptation to environmental lighting conditions is strongly correlated with the number, expression, and evolution of different opsin genes providing an interface of ecology, evolution, and genetics (Hauser and Chang 2017; Musilova et al. 2019, 2021). For example, fishes inhabiting dim light environments (e.g., nocturnal niches, turbid waters, or the deep sea) exhibit reduced expression, pseudogenization, and loss of opsins sensitive to shorter wavelength light (Stieb et al. 2016b; Lin et al. 2017; Luehrmann et al. 2019; Hauser et al. 2021). The physicochemical properties of water can also dramatically alter the ambient light environment. Notably, freshwater habitats are often red-shifted in their spectral content relative to comparatively blue-tinted marine habitats (Sioli 1984). Aquatic species often exhibit mutations in opsin genes that optimize their visual systems to these disparate photic conditions (Van Nynatten et al. 2015; 2021). Furthermore, fishes that underwent drastic shifts in habitat such as transitions from marine to freshwater habitats experience a transition from a blue-shifted to a red-shifted photic environment, imposing new selective forces on the sensitivity and expression of their opsins (Lin et al. 2017).

Opsin expression and evolution can also be linked to trophic specializations in fishes. Larval fishes typically forage on small, suspended particles in the water column, a behaviour likely aided by UV sensitivity (mediated by SWS1) that provides enhanced contrast of prey items (Novales Flamarique 2016; Yoshimatsu et al. 2020). In cichlid fishes, SWS1 opsin expression has been found to be higher in both larval and adult fishes that feed on zooplankton and phytoplankton (Hofmann et al. 2009). Sensitivity in the medium range of the spectrum via both expression, and gene duplication of RH2 opsins may enhance foraging in piscivorous fishes (Levine and MacNichol 1982; Nakamura et al. 2013). Recent work on shallow-water dwelling reef fishes has also demonstrated a strong link between long wavelength-sensitive (LWS) opsin expression and herbivory (i.e., algal foraging; Stieb et al. 2016a).

In general, visual system pigment evolution and adaptation in fishes at the molecular level involves a dynamic interplay among multiple factors. Visual pigments consist of a vitamin A1 (11-cis-retinal) or A2 (11-cis-3,4dehydroretinal)-based chromophore that is covalently bound to the opsin protein (Wald 1968). In response to a photon-induced isomerization of the chromophore, the opsin undergoes a conformational change that activates the phototransduction cascade. The wavelength at which the visual pigment maximally absorbs (Lmax) is determined by both the chromophore type (A1 or A2) and the opsin protein sequences. The chromophore rests within a "chromophore binding pocket" lined with amino acid residues. Different amino acid identities in this region may alter the wavelength of light at which the chromophore isomerizes-these mutations are deemed "spectral tuning mutations", with spectral tuning referring to the phenomenon of a shifting of wavelength absorbance of the visual pigment (Bowmaker 2008; Musilova et al. 2021; Hagen et al. 2023).

Fishes tune sensitivity via chromophore switching, with marine fish typically expressing an A1-based chromophore to better match the ambient blue-shifted light of marine habitats, and freshwater fish often employing an A2 (redshifting) chromophore for better visual performance in turbid or red-shifted habitats (Carleton et al. 2020; Corbo 2021). Switching from an A1 to A2 chromophore typically induces a red Lmax shift of ~20 nm in Rh1, Rh2, and LWS pigments (pigments absorbing at 500 nm or greater). In shorter wavelength-sensitive pigments (440 nm and shorter) this difference is only a few nanometres (Bowmaker 2008). Spectral tuning mutations are also highly variable in fishes. For example, many deep sea fishes have evolved mutations at spectral tuning sites that blue-shift the Lmax of their pigments to match the dominant available wavelengths (e.g., Hunt et al. 2001), while freshwater fishes often have redshifting mutations (Van Nynatten et al. 2021). Fishes also alter visual sensitivity by modulating gene expression in several different ways. Opsins may be differentially expressed through ontogeny (O'Quin et al. 2010; Härer et al. 2017), in different habitats (Hofmann et al. 2009; Luehrmann et al. 2020; Torres-Dowdall et al. 2021), or coexpressed in different regions of the retina (Dalton et al. 2017), allowing for rapid visual adaptation to different spectral environments.

For this study, we focus on patterns of molecular evolution in the cone opsin visual pigments, which encompass the evolution of spectral tuning sites as well as other mutations affecting opsin performance. Evidence of opsin interactions with both environment and trophic specialization highlights the intersection of habitat and diet in shaping patterns of opsin evolution in fishes. We use beloniform fishes as a model system to test how two important ecological variables, water type (marine or freshwater) and diet, have influenced molecular evolution of opsin genes. Most beloniforms occur in near-surface habitats, and are diurnal, visually-oriented feeders with attendant anatomical modifications for vision in shallow water (Baylor 1967; Reckel and Melzer, 2003). We focus specifically on the cone opsins since beloniforms forage during the day and under bright light conditions where RH1 (responsible for dim light vision (Yokoyama et al. 2008; Liu et al. 2011)) is not expected to play a significant role. We expected that multiple transitions from marine (blue-shifted ambient light) to freshwater (red-shifted ambient light) in Beloniformes would exert differential selective pressure on their cone opsin repertoire and predicted that positive selection would occur in opsins governing long wavelength sensitivity, and simultaneously a release of constraint in opsins associated with green and blue/violet sensitivity. We also tested whether shifts in diet (among zooplanktivory, piscivory, and herbivory) corresponded to shifts in selection in opsin genes. We analyzed a previously collected cross-species targeted exome sequence capture dataset to construct a robust beloniform phylogeny and to extract opsin sequence data for analysis using codonbased likelihood models. We reconstruct evolutionary shifts in diet and habitat and use models of molecular evolution to examine shifts in selection on opsin genes.

Materials and Methods

Taxon Sampling and Sequence Data

Our taxon sampling consisted of 38 species spanning the phylogenetic and ecological diversity of the order Beloniformes, including representatives of all six of its families: flyingfishes (Exocoetidae), marine halfbeaks (Hemiramphidae), freshwater halfbeaks (Zenarchopteridae), marine and freshwater needlefishes (Belonidae), sauries (Scomberesocidae), and ricefishes (Adrianichthyidae) (online resource 2, Table S1). Raw sequence data for all species except ricefishes was obtained from targeted exome capture as described in Daane et al. (2021). In brief, the medaka (Oryzias latipes) genome was used to design exome-wide probes, and after hybridization with these capture baits, pooled libraries of multiple individuals per species were sequenced using Illumina technology. Data for ricefishes (Oryzias latipes and O. melastigma) was obtained from publicly available genomes. Detailed descriptions of methods are provided in supplementary information (online resource 1).

Phylogenetic Analysis and Reconstruction of Habitat and Diet Transitions

We reconstructed the phylogeny of Beloniformes species based on a concatenated alignment of single-copy exons > 100 bp with at least 85% coverage across species (totalling 1,579,692 bases over 8768 exons). We used IQ-TREE (Nguyen et al. 2015) to generate a maximum likelihood (ML) tree with ultrafast bootstrap (Hoang et al. 2017), and MrBayes 3.2.6 (Ronquist et al. 2012) to generate a Bayesian tree. Both trees were identical in topology, and we used the ML tree for subsequent molecular evolutionary analyses. Species were categorized as marine or freshwater, as described in online resource 1. For ML ancestral reconstruction of habitat (freshwater or marine), we used a Beloniformes multigene species tree with more taxon sampling (N = 127 species including 6 non-beloniform outgroups)based on data generated by Bloom and Lovejoy (2017). This habitat transition tree was pruned to only include the species with opsin data analyzed in this study. We used ML to reconstruct diet transitions on the exon-based species ML phylogeny using four categories: herbivory, piscivory, zooplanktivory, and omnivory/non-specialized (referred to hereafter as generalists).

Cone Opsin Sequence Assembly and Alignment Pipeline

We developed a custom pipeline that used Python scripts to generate consensus sequences for each species and multiple sequence alignments (MSAs) for each cone opsin. According to studies of the freshwater medaka (Oryzias latipes), beloniform species are expected to have an opsin repertoire consisting of one rod opsin (RH1), and eight cone opsins (SWS1, SWS2B, SWS2A, RH2A, RH2B, RH2C, LWSA, LWSB) (Matsumoto et al. 2006). Sequences were assembled in an iterative manner from trimmed, raw reads using opsin sequences from related fishes (medaka, zebrafish, and guppy) as references. Sequences from two ricefish species, obtained from published genomes, were included in MSAs. We generated MSAs for eight cone opsins with average coverage ranging between 90.53-99.48% (online resource 2, Table S2). We found high sequence similarity between paralogous opsins LWSA and LWSB, and RH2B and RH2C, hence we selected a single paralogue from each pair (LWSA and RH2C) for downstream molecular evolutionary analysis (Escobar-Camacho et al. 2017). To verify the identity of our assembled opsin sequences, we combined our sequences with published opsin sequences from Danio rerio and Poecilia reticulata and conducted phylogenetic analyses of the entire opsin dataset (online resource 1, Fig. S2 and S3). Sequences analyzed for this study are deposited in GenBank (accession numbers PP372607 - PP372642; PP378736 - PP378878; PP386397 - PP386433).

Analyses of Molecular Evolution

To test for selection along sites in each opsin gene, we used the random-sites models from the CODEML package from PAML 4.0 (Yang 2007) and the HyPhy FUBAR model (Kosakovsky Pond et al. 2005; Murrell et al. 2013). Both PAML random-sites models and FUBAR analyses estimate overall rates of selection (nonsynonymous to synonymous substitutions; denoted as dN/dS or ω) and identify individual codons showing evidence of positive selection. We ran both analyses using datasets pruned to include only lineages from particular habitats (marine or freshwater) or having specialized diets (zooplanktivorous, piscivorous, or herbivorous). We also implemented PAML clade model C (CmC) (Bielawski & Yang 2004) on the full beloniform dataset to test for different patterns of selection among habitat and diet partitions. CmC allows ω values to vary among site classes and among clades/partitions set as the foreground compared to clades/partitions set as the background. The comparisons included (1) freshwater vs. marine, (2) herbivory vs. all other diets (3) piscivory vs. all other diets, (4) zooplanktivory vs. all other diets, and (5) all three specialized diets (herbivory, piscivory, and zooplanktivory) as separate foreground partitions vs. generalist diet as the background (3-diet partition) (see Fig. 2A for partitions). Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) (Akaike 1974) values were used to determine which partitioning schemes best explained the observed patterns of selection for each cone opsin. In partitions best supported by AIC values and showing evidence for strong positive selection, codon sites showing significant positive selection were identified using Bayes' Empirical Bayes (BEB) in PAML for CmC and M8 (sites with posterior probabilities $(PP) \ge 80\%$), and BEB in FUBAR $(PP \ge 80\%)$. CMC analyses were repeated on individual opsin ML gene trees reconstructed using IQTREE with ultrafast bootstrap (Nguyen et al. 2015). Opsin amino acid numbering corresponds to bovine rhodopsin (Okada et al. 2004).

Results

Phylogeny, Habitat and Diet Evolution

The Beloniformes species trees produced with maximum likelihood and Bayesian methods were identical with high node support (Fig. 1; online resource 1, Fig. S1). The species tree is congruent with previous studies (Lovejoy & Collette 2001; Bloom & Lovejoy 2017; Daane et al. 2021) and provides the following general phylogenetic findings: the freshwater zenarchopterid "halfbeaks" are the sister group

of needlefishes and sauries; sauries are nested within needlefishes; halfbeaks are non-monophyletic, with some species more closely related to flyingfishes; and flyingfishes are a monophyletic group. Likelihood reconstructions of habitat and diet supported multiple habitat and diet transitions (Fig. 1; Fig. 2; online resource 1, Fig. S4).

Overall Patterns of Molecular Evolution

Figure 2B summarizes results of CmC-based analyses of molecular evolution performed on the ML species tree (online resource 2, Tables S3–S7). These results were generally congruent with analyses conducted on individual opsin gene trees. Best-fitting models are highlighted, and it is evident across genes that models that incorporate diet as a factor explaining differences in patterns of molecular evolution are generally a better fit than models that incorporate habitat. In addition, best fitting models partitioned by diet are more often statistically significant. Below, we focus on interpreting ω values for the divergent site class (ω_D) for models that are statistically significant.

Purifying Selection in Beloniformes SWS Opsins Affected by Diet and Habitat

Overall, we found evidence of purifying selection across all habitat and diet categories for SWS1, SWS2A, and SWS2B. SWS opsins are evolving much more conservatively when compared to RH2 and LWS opsins (Fig. 2B).

We anticipated a shift to positive selection in SWS1 in zooplanktivores, because UV-sensitive vision aids in foraging for small, suspended particles (Utne-Palm 2010; Novales Flamarique 2013). Instead, we found purifying selection in all analyses of this gene, but detected comparatively elevated ω_D values in zooplanktivores. This trend was also observed in SWS2B and SWS2A.

When considering the effect of habitat, we found that in contrast to the pattern observed in zooplanktivores, freshwater beloniforms showed evidence of greatly constrained SWS opsins compared to marine beloniforms, with $\omega_D < 0.2$ for all three genes.

Positive Selection in RH2 Opsins in Piscivores and Freshwater Lineages

All our analyses of RH2A and RH2C showed ω_D values greater than 1, providing strong evidence for positive selection in these genes (Fig. 2B). The best-fitting models for these genes all indicated particularly elevated ω_D value in piscivores. In contrast, zooplanktivores and herbivores showed ω_D values that were very similar to background Fig. 1 Phylogenetic tree for beloniform fishes showing evolutionary transitions in habitat (left) and diet (right) based on maximum likelihood reconstructions. All branch support values were > 100 (ML bootstrap) and/or equal to 1 (Bayesian posterior probability); see online resource 1, Fig. S1. Scale bars represent number of substitutions per codon



levels, suggesting that these diet categories did not markedly alter patterns of evolution in these genes.

In contrast to the pattern observed for SWS genes, the RH2 opsins showed evidence of elevated ω_D values (> 3.5) in freshwater compared to marine lineages, indicating increased levels of positive selection in freshwater, although these differences were not statistically significant.

Positive Selection in the LWSA Opsin in Zooplanktivores

The long wave sensitive opsin LWSA showed evidence for positive selection that was generally correlated with diet, as the three partition diet model was the best supported (Fig. 2B). Zooplanktivores showed the highest ω_D values (> 3) when compared against background or other diet partitions for both genes. In contrast, when habitat is considered, freshwater lineages showed a significant decrease in ω_D to near 1.

Positively Selected Sites in Beloniformes Opsins

Individual sites experiencing significant positive selection have been linked to visual tuning (Hofmann et al. 2012; Schott et al. 2014; Van Nynatten et al. 2015; 2021; Carleton et al. 2020). In diet partitions that showed evidence of significant positive selection, positively selected sites were determined using FUBAR and PAML BEB analysis, identifying several key sites that may be influencing spectral sensitivity for the RH2 opsin. Site 205 was identified as a positively selected site in both medium wavelength opsins in piscivores and supported by all three BEB models (online resource 1, Fig. S5; online resource 2, Table S7). This site is located in transmembrane region 5 (Schott et al. 2014) and is adjacent to known spectral tuning site 207 (Nakamura et al. 2013; Stieb et al. 2016a). For LWSA, site 49 was estimated to be under significant positive selection for piscivores, site 42 for herbivores, and sites 172 and 201 for zooplanktivores (online resource 1, Fig. S6; online resource 2, Table S7).



Fig. 2 A Habitat and diet partitions used for codon-based likelihood analyses of selection in opsins of beloniform fishes. For habitat, freshwater occupancy was used as foreground partition. For diet, nongrey colours show foreground partitions for different models. In addition, a 3-diet partition (3P) scheme was implemented where each diet specialization was set as a different foreground and compared to the generalist diet as background. All branch support values were > 100 (ML bootstrap) and/or equal to 1 (Bayesian posterior probability); see online resource 1, Fig. S1. **B** Clade model C (CmC) divergent site class dN/dS values (ωD) for models including habitat and diet, showing differences between foreground (black bar) and background

(grey bar) partitions for each gene. Opsins are ordered from shortest to longest wavelength with maximal absorbance in nanometers shown above the opsin according to Oryzias latipes (see Matsumoto et al. 2006). Lime highlights indicate the best fitting model(s), with Δ AIC < 2. Asterisks indicate significance of the CmC model, with *indicating partitions that were significant (p < 0.05) against M2aRel, and **indicating significance (p < 0.05) for both CmC versus M2aRel and CmC versus CmCNULL (when ωD is significantly positively selected) or in the case of 3P, the 3P CmC model versus CmC for each individual diet (Color figure online)

Discussion

Drivers of opsin evolution are typically investigated by focusing on single ecological axes (for example, depth in the water column) and their effect on individual genes. Here, we explored the effects of both diet and habitat on the cone opsin repertoire. Our results indicate that SWS opsins are selectively constrained, while RH2 and LWS opsins have experienced positive selection, with levels varying in response to ecological parameters. Surprisingly, overall consideration of best-fitting models of molecular evolution for our data suggests that diet appears to play a more prominent role in opsin evolution than habitat in this ecologically diverse clade of fishes.

Short Wavelength-Sensitive Opsins are Highly Constrained in Beloniformes

All three short wavelength-sensitive opsins (SWS1, SWS2A, and SWS2B) experienced strong purifying selection in beloniforms, but the UV sensitive opsin SWS1 generally had the lowest ω values, indicating that this opsin was under the strongest purifying selection. SWS1 is often retained and expressed in shallow-water fishes where UV light is abundant (e.g., Marshall et al. 2003; Cortesi et al. 2020). Beloniformes occupy shallow water habitats in both marine and freshwater habitats, and our results point to the idea that SWS1 is important for their visual ecology. However, our data suggest the function of this opsin may be relatively conserved across taxa and ecologies, because we did not observe dramatic shifts in selection associated with diet or habitat. While we anticipated a possible shift to positive selection in zooplanktivores because SWS1 plays an important role in zooplankton foraging in both juvenile fishes and adult zooplanktivores (Novales Flamarique 2005, 2013, 2016), instead we found that a zooplanktivores experienced relaxed selective constraint in all three SWS opsins. Positive selection at a few sites in SWS1 in primarily zooplanktivorous species to improve prey detection might elevate ω values by slightly increasing the rate of nonsynonymous substitutions. An important consideration for future work investigating SWS opsins in Beloniformes is the fact that SWS opsins are often differentially expressed during ontogeny in fishes (Hofmann et al. 2010; Härer et al. 2017). SWS1 is typically highly expressed in early larval stages when fish feed primarily on small suspended particles, and SWS2 is more highly expressed in juveniles or adults (O'Quin et al. 2011). It would be valuable to trace SWS expression through ontogeny in different beloniform species, to investigate whether expression patterns differ depending on trophic specialization. Such studies would need to consider the fact that opsin expression can be highly localized and variable within the retina and coexpressed in photoreceptors (Dalton et al. 2014, 2017; Stieb et al. 2019), and these effects could be pronounced in fishes that live near the surface. Lastly, UV vision mediated by SWS1 is also important for other aspects of fish behavior, including predator detection and communication, and these factors could be considered in future analyses.

Piscivory and Positive Selection of RH2 Opsins

Throughout teleost history, the medium wavelength RH2 opsins (Lmax range for teleosts ranging from ~450-540 nm; Carleton et al. 2020) have undergone a dynamic series of gene losses, duplications, and gene conversion events (Musilova and Cortesi 2021). We found that these genes are generally evolving under positive selection in beloniforms, with

the highest levels of positive selection in piscivores. For highly visual predatory teleosts, expression of opsins sensitive to the blue/green region of the visual spectrum may enhance prey fish detection against dark backgrounds (Levine and MacNichol 1982). Levine and MacNichol (1982) emphasized that possession of blue-sensitive photoreceptors matching the blue background of water aids in distinguishing dark prey, whereas green-sensitive photoreceptors improve the detection of lighter prey against a blue background. Thus, piscivore RH2 opsins may evolve to improve detection of prey fishes in the blue-green water column. Positively selected sites in beloniform RH2 opsins, although not directly spectral tuning, may impact nearby spectral tuning residues such as 163 or 207 (Spady et al. 2006; Nakamura et al. 2013; Stieb et al. 2016a). Future studies could seek to determine the specific effects of selected RH2 sites on opsin function or wavelength sensitivity.

LWS Evolving under Positive Selection in Zooplanktivorous Sauries and Flyingfishes

Zooplanktivores were inferred to be experiencing positive selection in LWSA (Fig. 2B). In contrast, past work on other fishes found herbivory to be more strongly associated with positive selection on LWS (e.g., Stieb et al. 2016a; Carleton and Kocher 2001). Although red light sensitivity likely does not contribute to detection of translucent zooplankton, surface-dwelling zooplankton often have higher levels of pigment molecules such as melanin or carotenoids as a form of UV protection, making them appear more pigmented than translucent (Hansson 2000; Hansson and Hylander 2009). Thus, in the case of the zooplanktivorous beloniforms, the particular ecological niche occupied (epipelagic surface waters) may interact with the visual requirements for detecting prey to drive evolution in LWS.

Additionally, epipelagic zooplanktivorous fishes, such as the sauries and flyingfishes studied here, have many predators, including other fishes, dolphins, squids, and seabirds (Kutschera 2005; Lewallen et al. 2018). Epipelagic prey fishes have evolved several mechanisms for predator avoidance. For example, flyingfishes have evolved enlarged pectoral fins that provide them with the ability to quickly leap out of the water and glide for long distances across the water surface (Davenport 1994). Flyingfishes have also evolved a three-plane cornea (i.e., pyramid shaped) to help identify predators in one plane, distance to the surface in another plane, as well as safe landing zones (Baylor 1967). It is no surprise that use of abundant, available light wavelengths at the surface is essential for the detection of threats and would be important for fishes that occur in environments with large, active predators. Long wavelength light is abundant at the surface (in addition to ultraviolet light) before quickly diminishing below the water surface and generally disappearing below 40 m (Lythgoe 1998). Thus, changes in selection in LWS in zooplanktivorous beloniforms could be related to the detection of approaching predators. We also found that multiple sites were under positive selection in LWS opsins in zooplanktivores compared to only a single site in piscivores and herbivores (Table S7). These sites have not been tested for effects on opsin spectral tuning but are adjacent to sites with minor spectral tuning or structural properties, such as site 173 which is found in transmembrane 4 and may mediate opsin dimerization (Guo et al. 2005; Fotiadis et al. 2006; Schott et al. 2014). Future studies on the functional effects of substitutions at these sites could help to resolve whether diet or other ecological factors, such as trophic position and behaviour, are key drivers of positive selection in zooplanktivore long wavelength-sensitive opsins.

Effects of Marine to Freshwater Transitions on Opsin Evolution

Beloniforms are ancestrally marine but have given rise to multiple freshwater lineages, most notably in the needlefishes and zenarchopterid halfbeaks (Fig. 1). For this study, we distinguished between freshwater species and lineages thought to have experienced an extended period of evolution in freshwater and marine species that may occasionally enter fresh or brackish waters. For example, the Potamorrhaphis/Belonion needlefish clade invaded freshwater more than 22 million years ago (Bloom and Lovejoy 2017). Here we show the effects of evolutionary transitions to freshwater on cone opsins, while previous studies have documented the impact of freshwater invasions on rhodopsin evolution in other taxa (Van Nynatten et al. 2015, 2021). We detected that freshwater lineages exhibit shifts in patterns of selection, with decreasing ω_D values in opsins at either end of the sensitivity spectrum (SWS1, SWS2B, SWS2A, and LWSA) but increasing ω_D in opsins in the mid-spectral range (RH2A and RH2C) (Fig. 2B), although this was not significant for SWS1. Freshwater habitats are generally redshifted compared to marine waters due to abundant sediment that selectively attenuates shorter wavelengths of light, thus displacing the spectral range towards green and red light (Bowmaker et al. 1994). It is therefore likely that freshwater surface-dwelling species would benefit from selection on opsins detecting medium wavelengths of light which are more available than shorter wavelengths in freshwater habitats, and offers more avenues for spectral tuning (e.g., towards longer or shorter wavelengths). Further investigation of beloniform opsins could compare RH1 across marine and freshwater lineages, since dim-light vision is important for behavior of some taxa.

Overall, our study is the first to explore the effects of both habitat and diet transitions on the molecular evolution of opsins in a highly vision-oriented and diverse group of fishes. Several studies have considered shifts in habitat to be key factors that drive opsin evolution in fishes. However, even though beloniform lineages have transitioned between oceans and freshwaters, we found that habitat transitions do not play the largest role in driving opsin evolution in Beloniformes. This may be because most beloniform species are diurnal and occupy the upper subsurface layers in both marine and freshwater systems-in these specific zones, light availability is similar between the two systems, limiting the need for changes in spectral sensitivity. Instead, we found that shifts among specialized diets impacted selection patterns on opsins, with a piscivorous diet resulting in strong positive selection in medium wavelength-sensitive opsins and a zooplanktivorous diet resulting in strong positive selection in long wavelengthsensitive opsins. Future investigations of differential opsin expression in this group would shed additional light on visual system adaptation in Beloniformes and may reveal shifts in which cone opsins are expressed in response to different environments, trophic specializations, or through ontogeny. Nevertheless, this study is an important first step in demonstrating that opsin molecular evolution in fishes dwelling in environments with abundant available light is more highly correlated with diet than habitat. These findings highlight the benefit of considering multiple ecological dimensions in investigations of visual pigment molecular evolution.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00239-024-10156-1.

Acknowledgements We acknowledge the assistance provided by members of the Lovejoy and Chang lab at the University of Toronto and the Harris Lab at Harvard Medical School. We are indebted to those who assisted with collections in the field or provided museum samples, notably RL Pitman, BB Collette, and HH Tan. MPH and JMD thank the Central Caribbean Marine Institute. Finally, this work would not have been possible without help from Erik Spence (SciNet) who assisted with Python scripts and optimizing performance on the Niagara SciNet cluster.

Funding KDC, FEH, AVN, BSW, and NRL acknowledge funding support from the NSERC Discovery Grant Program. FEH supported by NSERC and UTSC postdoctoral fellowships. AVN supported by a MITACS Accelerate postdoctoral fellowship. MPH and JMD acknowledge support from John Simon Guggenheim Fellowship (MPH), F32 (DEB-1407092) and DDIG to JMD. Computations were performed on the Niagara supercomputer at the SciNet HPC Consortium, funded by the Canada Foundation for Innovation, the Government of Ontario, Ontario Research Fund – Research Excellence, and the University of Toronto.

Data Availability Raw targeted capture sequencing reads deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive BioProject PRJNA743939. MSAs and analytical pipeline available on https://gitfront.io/r/user-61438 43/KVBGgp1M4cP1/Beloniformes-Opsin/. Sequences analyzed in the study are deposited in GenBank (accession numbers PP372607 - PP372642; PP378736 - PP378878; PP386397 - PP386433).

Declarations

Conflict of interest No conflicts of interest to disclose.

Ethical Approval Fish samples were collected in accordance with Canadian Council on Animal Care (CACC) guidelines and University of Toronto University Animal Care Committee (UACC) guidelines (Protocol # 20011539).

References

- Akaike H (1974) A new look at the statistical model identification. IEEE Trans Auto Control 19:716–723. https://doi.org/10.1109/ TAC.1974.1100705
- Baldwin MW, Toda Y, Nakagita T, O'Connell MJ, Klasing KC, Misaka T, Edwards SV, Liberles SD (2014) Sensory biology. Evolution of sweet taste perception in hummingbirds by transformation of the ancestral umami receptor. Science 345:929–933. https://doi.org/ 10.1126/science.1255097
- Baylor ER (1967) Air and water vision of the Atlantic flying fish, Cypselurus heterurus. Nature 214:307–309. https://doi.org/10. 1038/214307a0
- Bielawski JP, Yang Z (2004) A maximum likelihood method for detecting functional divergence at individual codon sites, with application to gene family evolution. J Mol Evo 89:121–132. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00239-004-2597-8
- Bloom DD, Lovejoy NR (2017) On the origins of marine-derived freshwater fishes in South America. J Biogeogr 44:1927–1938. https:// doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12954
- Bowmaker JK (2008) Evolution of vertebrate visual pigments. Vision Res 48:2022–2041. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2008.03.025
- Bowmaker JK, Govardovskii VI, Shukolyukov SA, Zueva LV, Hunt DM, Sideleva VG, Smirnov OG (1994) Visual pigments and the photic environment: the cottoid fish of Lake Baikal. Vision Res 34:591–605. https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6989(94)90015-9
- Carleton KL, Kocher TD (2001) Cone opsin genes of African cichlid fishes: tuning spectral sensitivity by differential gene expression. Mol Biol Evol 18:1540–1550. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjour nals.molbev.a003940
- Carleton KL, Escobar-Camacho D, Stieb SM, Cortesi F, Marshall NJ (2020) Seeing the rainbow: mechanisms underlying spectral sensitivity in teleost fishes. J Exp Biol. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb. 193334
- Corbo JC (2021) Vitamin A1/A2 chromophore exchange: its role in spectral tuning and visual plasticity. Dev Biol 475:145–155
- Cortesi F, Mitchell LJ, Tettamanti BV, Fogg LG, de Busserolles F, Cheney KL, Marshall NJ (2020) Visual system diversity in coral reef fishes. Sem Cell Dev Bio 106:31–42. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.semcdb.2020.06.007
- Daane JM, Blum N, Lanni J, Boldt H, Iovine MK, Higdon CW, Johnson SL, Lovejoy NR, Harris MP (2021) Modulation of bioelectric cues in the evolution of flying fishes. Curr Biol 31:5052–5061. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2021.08.054
- Dalton BE, Loew ER, Cronin TW, Carleton KL (2014) Spectral tuning by opsin coexpression in retinal regions that view different parts of the visual field. Proc Roy Soc B 281:20141980–20141980
- Dalton BE, de Busserolles F, Marshall NJ, Carleton KL (2017) Retinal specialization through spatially varying cell densities and opsin coexpression in cichlid fish. J Exp Biol 220:266–277
- Davenport J (1994) How and why do flying fish fly? Rev Fish Biol Fish 4:184–214. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00044128
- Day RD, German DP, Manjakasy JM, Farr I, Hansen MJ, Tibbetts IR (2011) Enzymatic digestion in stomachless fishes: how a simple

gut accommodates both herbivory and carnivory. J Comp Physiol B 181:603–613. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00360-010-0546-y

- de Gutierrez EA, Schott RK, Preston MW, Loureiro LO, Lim BK, Chang BSW (2018) The role of ecological factors in shaping bat cone opsin evolution. Proc Biol Sci 285:20172835. https:// doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.2835
- Escobar-Camacho D, Ramos E, Martins C, Carleton KL (2017) The opsin genes of amazonian cichlids. Mol Ecol 26:1343–1356. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13957
- Foote AD, Liu Y, Thomas GWC, Vinař T, Alföldi J, Deng J, Dugan S, van Elk CE, Hunter ME, Joshi V et al (2015) Convergent evolution of the genomes of marine mammals. Nat Genet 47:272–275
- Fotiadis D, Jastrzebska B, Philippsen A, Müller DJ, Palczewski K, Engel A (2006) Structure of the rhodopsin dimer: a working model for G protein-coupled receptors. Curr Opin Struct Biol 16:252–259. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2006.03.013
- Guo W, Shi L, Filizola M, Weinstein H, Javitch JA (2005) Crosstalk in G protein-coupled receptors: change at the transmembrane homodimer interface determine activation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102:17495–17500. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0508950102
- Hagen JF, Roberts NS, Johnston RJ (2023) The evolutionary history and spectral tuning of vertebrate visual opsins. Dev Biol 493:40–66
- Hansson L-A (2000) Induced pigmentation in zooplankton: a tradeoff between threats from predation and ultraviolet radiation. Proc R Soc B Bio Sci 267:2327–2331. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb. 2000.1287
- Hansson L-A, Hylander S (2009) Effects of ultraviolet radiation on pigmentation, photoenzymatic repair, behaviour, and community ecology of zooplankton. Photochem Photobiol Sci 8:1266–1275. https://doi.org/10.1039/B908825C
- Härer A, Torres-Dowdall J, Meyer A (2017) Rapid adaptation to a novel light environment: the importance of ontogeny and phenotypic plasticity in shaping the visual system of Nicaraguan Midas cichlid fish (*Amphilophus citrinellus* spp.). Mol Ecol 26:5582–5593
- Hauser FE, Chang BSW (2017) Insights into visual pigment adaptation and diversity from model ecological and evolutionary systems. Curr Op Genet Develop 47:110–120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. gde.2017.09.005
- Hauser FE, Ilves KL, Schott RK, Albi E, López-Fernández H, Chang BSW (2021) Evolution, inactivation and loss of short wavelengthsensitive opsin genes during the diversification of Neotropical cichlids. Mol Ecol 30:1688–1703. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec. 15838
- Hoang DT, Chernomor O, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ, Vinh LS (2017) UFBoot2: improving the ultrafast bootstrap approximation. Mol Biol Evol 35:518–522. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msx281
- Hofmann CM, O'Quin KE, Marshall NJ, Cronin TW, Seehausen O, Carleton KL (2009) The eyes have it: regulatory and structural changes both underlie cichlid visual pigment diversity. PLoS Biol 7:e1000266. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000266
- Hofmann CM, O'Quin KE, Smith AR, Carleton KL (2010) Plasticity of opsin gene expression in cichlids from Lake Malawi. Mol Ecol 19:2064–2074
- Hofmann CM, Marshall NJ, Abdilleh K, Patel Z, Siebeck UE, Carleton KL (2012) Opsin evolution in damselfish: convergence, reversal, and parallel evolution across tuning sites. J Mol Evol 75:79–91. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00239-012-9525-0
- Hunt DM, Dulai KS, Partridge JC, Cottrill P, Bowmaker JK (2001) The molecular basis for spectral tuning of rod visual pigments in deep-sea fish. J Exp Bio 204:3333–3344
- Kosakovsky Pond SL, Frost SDW, Muse SV (2005) HyPhy: hypothesis testing using phylogenies. Bioinfor 21:676–679. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/bioinformatics/bti079

- Kutschera U (2005) Predator-driven macroevolution in flyingfishes inferred from behavioural studies: historical controversies and a hypothesis. Ann Hist Phil Biol 10:59–77
- Levine JS, MacNichol EF (1982) Color vision in fishes. Sci Am 246:140–149. https://doi.org/10.1038/scientificamerican0282-140
- Lewallen EA, van Wijnen AJ, Bonin CA, Lovejoy NR (2018) Flyingfish (*Exocoetidae*) species diversity and habitats in the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean. Marine Biodivers 48:1755–1765. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s12526-017-0666-7
- Lin J-J, Wang F-Y, Li W-H, Wang T-Y (2017) The rises and falls of opsin genes in 59 ray-finned fish genomes and their implications for environmental adaptation. Sci Rep 7:15568. https://doi.org/10. 1038/s41598-017-15868-7
- Liu J, Liu MY, Nguyen JB, Bhagat A, Mooney V, Yan EC (2011) Thermal properties of rhodopsin: insight into the molecular mechanism of dim-light vision. J Biol Chem 286:27622–27629. https://doi. org/10.1074/jbc.M111.233312
- Lovejoy NR, Collette BB (2001) Phylogenetic relationships of new world needlefishes (*Teleostei: Belonidae*) and the biogeography of transitions between marine and freshwater habitats. Copeia 2001:324–338
- Lovejoy NR, Iranpour M, Collette BB (2004) Phylogeny and jaw ontogeny of beloniform fishes. Integr Comp Biol 44:366–377. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/44.5.366
- Luehrmann M, Carleton KR, Cortesi F, Cheney KL, Marshall NJ (2019) Cardinalfishes (*Apogonidae*) show visual system adaptations typical of nocturnally and diurnally active fish. Mol Ecol 28:3025–3041. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15102
- Luehrmann M, Cortesi F, Cheney KL, de Busserolles F, Marshall NJ (2020) Microhabitat partitioning correlates with opsin gene expression in coral reef cardinalfishes (*Apogonidae*). Funct Ecol 34:1041–1052
- Lythgoe JN (1998) Light and vision in the aquatic environment. In: Atema J, Fay RR, Popper AN, Tavolga WN (eds) Sensory biology of aquatic animals. Springer, New York
- Manjakasy JM, Day RD, Kemp A, Tibbetts IR (2009) Functional morphology of digestion in the stomachless, piscivorous needlefishes *Tylosurus gavialoides* and *Strongylura leiura ferox* (*Teleostei: Beloniformes*). J Morphol 270:1155–1165. https://doi.org/10. 1002/jmor.10745
- Marshall NJ, Jennings K, McFarland WN, Loew ER, Losey GS (2003) Visual biology of Haiwaiian coral reef fishes. III. Environmental light and an integrated approach to the ecology of reef fish vision. Copeia 2003:467–480. https://doi.org/10.1643/01-056
- Matsumoto Y, Fukamachi S, Mitani H, Kawamura S (2006) Functional characterization of visual opsin repertoire in Medaka (*Oryzias latipes*). Gene 371:268–278. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2005. 12.005
- Murrell B, Moola S, Mabona A, Weighill T, Sheward D, Kosakovsky Pond SL, Scheffler K (2013) FUBAR: a fast, unconstrained Bayesian approximation for inferring selection. Mol Biol Evol 30:1196– 1205. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst030
- Musilova Z, Cortesi F (2021) Multiple ancestral and a plethora of recent gene duplications during the evolution of the green sensitive opsin genes (RH2) in teleost fishes. BioRXiv. https://doi.org/ 10.1101/2021.05.11.443711
- Musilova Z, Cortesi F, Matschiner M, Davies WI, Patel JS, Stieb SM, de Busserolles F, Malmstrøm M, Tørresen OK, Brown CJ, Mountford JK (2019) Vision using multiple distinct rod opsins in deepsea fishes. Science 364:588–592
- Musilova Z, Salzburger W, Cortesi F (2021) The visual opsin repertoires of teleost fishes: evolution, ecology, and function. Ann Rev Cell Dev Biol 37:441–468. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-cellb io-120219-024915
- Nakamura Y, Mori K, Saitoh K, Oshima K, Mekuchi M, Sugaya T, Shigenobu Y, Ojima N, Muta S, Fujiwara A et al (2013)

Evolutionary changes of multiple visual pigment genes in the complete genome of Pacific bluefin tuna. PNAS 110:11061–11066. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1302051110

- Nelson JS, Grande TC, Wilson MVH (2016) Fishes of the world, 5th edn. Wiley, New York
- Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ (2015) IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Mol Biol Evol 32:268–274. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300
- Novales FI (2005) Temporal shifts in visual pigment absorbance in the retina of Pacific salmon. J Comp Physiol A 191:37–49. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00359-004-0573-9
- Novales FI (2013) Opsin switch reveals function of the ultraviolet cone in fish foraging. Proc R Soc B. https://doi.org/10.1098/ rspb.2012.2490
- Novales FI (2016) Diminished foraging performance of a mutant zebrafish with reduced population of ultraviolet cones. Proc R Soc B. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2016.0058
- O'Quin KE, Hofmann CM, Hofmann HA, Carleton KL (2010) Parallel evolution of opsin gene expression in African cichlid fishes. Mol Biol Evol 27:2839–2854. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/ msq171
- Okada T, Sugihara M, Bondar AN, Elstner M, Entel P, Buss V (2004) The retinal conformation and its environment in rhodopsin in light of a new 2.2 Å crystal structure. J Mol Biol 342:571–583. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2004.07.044
- O'Quin KE, Smith AR, Sharma A, Carleton KL (2011) New evidence for the role of heterochrony in the repeated evolution of cichlid opsin expression. Evol Dev 13:193–203
- Partha R, Chauhan BK, Ferreira Z, Robinson JD, Lathrop K, Nischal KK, Chikina M, Clark NL (2017) Subterranean mammals show convergent regression in ocular genes and enhancers, along with adaptation to tunneling. Elife 6:e25884. https://doi.org/10.7554/ eLife.25884
- Reckel F, Melzer RR (2003) Regional variations in the outer retina of atherinomorpha (Beloniformes Atheriniformes Cyprinodontiformes: Teleostei): Photoreceptors, cone patterns, and cone densities. J Morph 257:270–288. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmor.10122
- Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, Larget B, Liu L, Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck JP (2012) Mr Bayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Syst Biol 61:539–542. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/sysbio/sys029
- Schott RK, Refvik SP, Hauser FE, López-Fernández H, Chang BSW (2014) Divergent positive selection in rhodopsin from lake and riverine cichlid fishes. Mol Biol Evol 31:1149–1165. https://doi. org/10.1093/molbev/msu064
- Sioli H (1984) The Amazon and its main affluents hydrography morphology of the river courses and river types. In: Sioli H (ed) The Amazon monographiae biologicae. Springer, Dordrecht
- Spady TC, Parry JWL, Robinson PR, Hunt DM, Bowmaker JK, Carleton KL (2006) Evolution of the cichlid visual palette through ontogenetic subfunctionalization of the opsin gene arrays. Mol Biol Evol 23:1538–1547. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msl014
- Stieb SM, Cortesi F, Sueess L, Carleton KL, Salzburger W, Marshall NJ (2016a) Why UV- and red-vision are important for damselfish (*Pomacentridae*): structural and expression variation in opsin genes. Mol Ecol 26:1323–1342. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec. 13968
- Stieb SM, Carleton KL, Cortesi F, Marshall NJ (2016b) Depth dependent plasticity in opsin gene expression varies between damselfish (*Pomacentridae*) species. Mol Ecol 25:3645–3661. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/mec.13712
- Stieb SM, de Busserolles F, Carleton KL et al (2019) A detailed investigation of the visual system and visual ecology of the barrier reef anemonefish, *Amphiprion akindynos*. Sci Rep 9:16459–16514

- Torres-Dowdall J, Karagis N, Härer A, Meyer A (2021) Diversity in visual sensitivity across Neotropical cichlid fishes via differential expression and intraretinal variation of opsin genes. Mol Ecol 30:1880–1891. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15855
- Utne-Palm AC (2010) Visual feeding of fish in a turbid environment: physical and behavioural aspects. Mar Fresh Behav Physiol 35:111–128. https://doi.org/10.1080/10236240290025644
- Van Noord JE, Lewallen EA, Pitman RL (2013) Flyingfish feeding ecology in the eastern Pacific: prey partitioning within a speciose epipelagic community. Fish Biol 83:326–342. https://doi.org/10. 1111/jfb.12173
- Van Nynatten A, Bloom DD, Chang BSW, Lovejoy NR (2015) Out of the blue: adaptive visual pigment evolution accompanies Amazon invasion. Biol Lett 11:20150349. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl. 2015.0349
- Van Nynatten A, Catiglione GM, de Gutierrez E, A, Lovejoy NR, Chang BSW. (2021) Recreated ancestral opsin associated with marine to freshwater croaker invasion reveals kinetic and spectral adaptation. Mol Biol Evol 38:2076–2087. https://doi.org/10.1093/ molbev/msab008
- Wald G (1968) The molecular basis of visual excitation. Nature 219:800–807

- Yang Z (2007) PAML 4: phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. Mol Biol Evol 24:1586–1591. https://doi.org/10.1093/ molbev/msm088
- Yokoyama S, Takashi T, Zhang H, Britt L (2008) Elucidation of phenotypic adaptations: molecular analyses of dim-light vision proteins in vertebrates. PNAS 105:13480–13485. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.0802426105
- Yoshimatsu T, Schröder C, Nevala NE, Berens P, Baden T (2020) Fovea-like photoreceptor specializations underlie single UV cone driven prey-capture behavior in zebrafish. Neuron 107:320–337. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2020.04.021

Publisher's Note Springer nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.