

Patterns of Historical Balancing Selection on the Salmonid Major Histocompatibility Complex Class II β Gene

Andres Aguilar,^{1,2} John Carlos Garza¹

¹ NOAA Southwest Fisheries Science Center and Department of Ocean Sciences, University of California, 110 Shaffer Road, Santa Cruz, CA 95060, USA

² School of Natural Sciences, University of California, P.O. Box 2039, Merced, CA 95344, USA

Received: 5 October 2006 / Accepted: 17 April 2007 [Reviewing Editor: Dr. Willie Swanson]

Abstract. Allelic variation in the major histocompatibility class (MHC) IIB gene of salmonids is analyzed for patterns indicative of natural selection acting at the molecular level. Sequence data for the second exon of this MHC gene were generated for 11 species in three salmonid genera: Oncorhynchus, Salmo, and Salvelinus. Phylogenetic analysis of nucleotide sequences revealed: (1) monophyletic grouping of alleles from each genus, (2) transspecies evolution of alleles within Salmo and Salvelinus, and (3) differential patterns of transspecies evolution within the genus Oncorhynchus. Within Oncorhynchus, five of seven species had alleles that were species-specific or nearly so, while the remaining two, O. mykiss and O. clarkii, retained ancestral polymorphisms. The different patterns in Oncorhynchus and the other two genera could be due to historical demographic effects or functional differences in MHC molecules in the three genera, but the two hypotheses could not be distinguished with the current dataset. An analysis of recombination/ gene conversion identified numerous recombinant alleles, which is consistent with what has been found in other vertebrate taxa. However, these gene conversion events could not account for the species-specific allelic lineages observed in five of the Oncorhynchus species. Analyses of the relative rates of nonsynonymous and synonymous substitutions revealed the signature of selection on the class IIB gene in all 11 of the salmonid species for both the ABS and the non-ABS codons. Codon-based analyses of selection identified seven codons that have experienced selection in the majority of the species. More than half of these sites were mammalian ABS codons, but several were not, suggesting subtle functional differences in the mammalian and teleost fish MHC molecules.

Key words: Ancestral polymorphism — Gene conversion — Oncorhynchus — Recombination — Salmo — Salvelinus

Introduction

The major histocompatibility complex (MHC) is a multigene family that contains genes for processing and presentation of antigens to cells of the immune system (Klein 1986). The two primary classes of MHC genes differ in structure and function, with class I genes presenting endogenous antigens and class II genes presenting exogenous antigens (Klein 1986). High levels of genetic variation (compared to presumably neutral genes) are observed at functional MHC genes, and this has been attributed to a number of forces, including pathogen-mediated selection, kin selection, mate choice, and maternal/fetal interactions (Apanius et al. 1997; Edwards and Hedrick 1998; Hedrick 1994).

GenBank accession numbers: EF432115-EF432188

Correspondence to: Andres Aguilar; email: aaguilar2@ucmerced. edu

MHC genes have become the classic example for balancing selection (Garrigan and Hedrick 2003; Hedrick 1994) and analysis of variation in MHC genes responsible for the presentation of antigens to the immune system has revealed many patterns that are attributed to the effects of long-term balancing selection. This includes the retention of ancestral polymorphisms (Klein et al. 1998) and an increase in nonsynonymous substitutions, a classic signal of positive selection (Hughes and Nei 1989; Hughes et al. 1990). The retention of ancestral polymorphisms is due to balancing selection countering the effects of drift (lineage sorting) over evolutionary time periods (Edwards et al. 1997; Klein et al. 1998), whereas the increase in amino acid-altering substitutions is attributed to direct selection for allelic diversity. While the long-term evolutionary effects of balancing selection on MHC variation are not in doubt, attributing contemporary selective forces to MHC genes has been more difficult (Aguilar and Garza 2006; Landry and Bernatchez 2001).

Recombination and gene conversion have also been shown to be important factors in the generation of allelic diversity at MHC loci. They affect the phylogenetic patterns of MHC genes at different evolutionary scales in different vertebrate groups. Interlocus gene conversion generates distinct phylogenetic patterns in the MHC genes of birds (Edwards et al. 1995) and has also been shown to influence genetic variation at class II genes in sticklebacks (Reusch et al. 2004). These forces may act at very localized physical scales, even within a single exon (Bergstrom et al. 1998), and generate functionally important genetic variation much more quickly than mutation alone.

Early work on the molecular evolution of MHC class IIB genes in salmonids revealed somewhat different patterns of variation than observed in other vertebrate taxa (Miller and Withler 1996). This included genus- and species-specific allelic lineages (Miller and Withler 1996; Shum et al. 2001) and a low amount of allelic variation, with low divergence observed among alleles (Kim et al. 1999; Miller and Withler 1996; Miller et al. 1997). These observations were attributed to possible reductions in effective population size (recent or historical) for the species of Oncorhynchus examined (Miller and Withler 1996) or a completely different mode of evolution at the salmonid MHC (Shum et al. 2001). However, population-level studies of MHC allelic variation in salmonids have also found the classical pattern of increased nonsynonymous substitutions (Aguilar and Garza 2006; Dorschner et al. 2000; Kim et al. 1999; Miller et al. 1997, 2001), indicating that positive selection still does operate on MHC genes in these fish. Interestingly, transspecific evolution of alleles has been observed for MHC class I genes, both between genera (*Oncorhynchus* and *Salmo* [Shum et al. 2001]) and among some species of *Oncorhynchus* (Garrigan and Hedrick 2001).

Here, we use patterns of allelic variation in the second exon of the MHC class IIB gene of salmonids to test a number of hypotheses regarding the evolution of this gene. We use data from 11 salmonid species to evaluate the extent of transspecific evolution among three closely related salmonid genera (*Oncorhynchus, Salmo,* and *Salvelinus*), as well as among species of *Oncorhynchus*. We also investigate the role that gene conversion/recombination plays in the observed phylogenetic patterns. Finally, we employ a codon-based model of selection to identify sites that have a pattern indicative of recent natural selection.

Methods

Sequences of exon 2 from the MHC class II β chain were obtained from 11 salmonid species. The dataset included newly generated data, as well as previously published sequences (Table 1). The identification and isolation of unique alleles were done via PCR of the exon 2 fragment with the primers B1AF and B1AR (Miller et al. 1997), followed by single-strand conformational polymorphism (SSCP) analysis. Four microliters of diluted DNA was used as a template in a 15-µl PCR. The reaction contained 1× PCR buffer (Applied Biosystems, Inc.), 0.5 units of Taq DNA polymerase, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.67 mM of each primer, and 100 nM of each dNTP. The cycling conditions consisted of an initial denaturation of 2 min at 95°C, followed by 30 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 56°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s. The reaction was followed by a 5min extension at 72°C. PCR products were then diluted (3:5) in SSCP loading buffer (95% formamide, 3.2 mM EDTA, 0.025% bromophenol blue, 0.025% xylene cyanol). This mixture was then denatured at 100°C for 3 min and immediately cooled in an ice bath. Three microliters of the PCR:dye mixture was then loaded on a nondenaturing 6% polyacrylamide gel (0.5 TBE and 5% glycerol [v/v]). Products were run for 6-8 h at room temperature (20 W). Gels were stained with 1× SYBR Gold (Molecular Probes Inc.) and visualized on a BioRad FX molecular imager. Unique SSCP bands were then excised from the gel and placed in 50 µl deionized H₂O overnight. Two microliters of this solution was then used in a PCR (same conditions except 30-µl volume) and products were precipitated with an equal volume of 20% polyethylene glycol (PEG). Precipitates were spun at 3250 rpm for 30min and the supernatant was removed. The DNA was washed once with 75 µl of 80% ethanol, dried in a vacuum centrifuge, and then resuspended in 30 µl of H₂O. These products were directly sequenced with the forward and reverse primers using the ABI BigDye (v3.1) chemistry on an ABI 377 automated DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Inc.). Sequences were imported into Sequencher (Genecodes Corp.) and aligned manually.

Phylogenetic Analysis

The hierarchical likelihood ratio test (hLRT) implemented in ModelTest (version 3.4; Posada and Crandall 1998) was used to assess the appropriate model of sequence evolution for the aligned salmonid class IIB sequences. Models were assessed at two phylogenetic levels: the entire dataset and within each genus (*Oncorhynchus, Salmo*, and *Salvelinus*). PhyML (Guindon and Gascuel 2003) was used to construct a maximum likelihood (ML) tree using

Common nameSpeciesSites(s)NCoastal cuthroat trout $0. clarki clarkiNorthern California, USA47Lahontan cuthroat trout0. clarki hershawiNorthern California, USA47Lahontan cuthroat trout0. clarki hershawiNovalia, USA20Pink salmon0. clarki hershawiTotala23Pink salmon0. clarki hershawiTotala23Chum salmon0. clarki and Washington and Alaska, USA (E+O)b5Chum salmon0. keuaMaska and Washington, USA32Chum salmon0. keuaNatious North Pacific locations5Colo salmon0. kisucchCotal20Colo salmon0. kisucchCotal23Colo salmon0. kisucchCotal243MictCloud River redband trout0. mykiss trideusCotal243MecCloud River redband trout0. mykiss trideusCotal Could River, CA, USA243MecCloud River redband trout0. mykiss trideusCotal Could River, CA, USA243MecCloud River redband trout0. mykiss trideusCotal Could River, CA, USA243MecCloud River redband trout0. mykiss trideusCotal Could River, CA, USA243MecCloud River redband trout0. mykiss trideusCotal Could River, CA, USA243MecCloud River redband trout0. mykiss trideusTotal243MecCloud River redband trout0. mykiss trideusCotal Could River, CA, USA243MecCloud River redband trout0.$						
Constal cutthroat trout0. clarki henslawiNorthern California, USA47Lahontan cutthroat trout0. clarki henslawiTotalaTotala20Pink salmon0. clarki henslawiTotalaTotala20Pink salmon0. gorbuschaWashington and Alaska, USA $(E+O)^b$ 5Chum salmon0. gorbuschaWashington, USA32Chum salmon0. ketaMinto Creek, YK, CAN25Chum salmon0. kisutchCalifornia to Alaska, USA34Colo salmon0. kisutchCalifornia to Alaska, USA34Sockeye salmon0. nykiss strideusCoastal California, USA34Sockeye salmon0. nykiss strideusCoastal California, USA34Sockeye salmon0. nerkaFraser River, BC, CAN36Chinook salmon0. nerkaTotalTotal30Chinook salmon0. tishanyischaTotal20Chinook salmon0. tishanyischaCalifornia, USA36Chinook salmon0. tishanyischaCalifornia, USA36Chinook salmon0. tishanyischaCalifornia, USA30Chinook salmon0. tishanyischaTotal23Brown troutSalmoCanada23Brown troutS. trattaCalifornia, USA36Do	Species	Sites(s)	Ν	Κ	Reference	GenBank accession no.(s.)
Lahontan cuthroat trout 0. clorkii henshowi Nevada, USA 20 Pink salmon 0. gorbuscha Washington and Alaska, USA (E+O) ^b 5 Chum salmon 0. gorbuscha Washington, USA 32 Chum salmon 0. kena Mashington, USA 32 Chum salmon 0. kena Mashington, USA 32 Chum salmon 0. kena Alaska and Washington, USA 32 Colo salmon 0. kisuuch Catial Alaska, USA 34 Colo salmon 0. kisuuch California to Alaska, USA 94 Costal steelhead trout 0. mykiss stonei North Pacific locations 5 McCloud River redband trout 0. mykiss stonei Notcloud River, CA, USA 24 Sockeye salmon 0. mykiss stonei Notcloud River, CA, USA 24 Sockeye salmon 0. nerka Fraser River, BC, CAN 1000s Sockeye salmon 0. nerka Fraser River, BC, CAN 10 Sockeye salmon 0. nerka Castal california, Oregon, and Alaska, USA 24 McCloud River, FO, USA 104 104 104 Sockeye salmon 0. nerka Castal California, Oregon, and Alaska, USA 204 Book extended 0. nerka Castal California, Oregon, and	trout <i>O. clarki clarki</i>	Northern California, USA	47	17	This study	EF432177–EF432185
Pink salmon0. gorbuschaWashington and Alaska, USA (E+O) ^b 5Chum salmon0. ketaWarious North Pacific locations5Chum salmon0. ketaAlaska and Washington, USA32Chum salmon0. ketaAlaska and Washington, USA32Colo salmon0. kisatchAlaska and Washington, USA32Colo salmon0. kisatchCalifornia to Alaska, USA94Colo salmon0. kisatchCalifornia to Alaska, USA94Colo salmon0. mykiss irideusCalifornia to Alaska, USA24Coastal steelhead trout0. mykiss stoneiTotal24Sockeye salmon0. nerkaFraser River, BC, CAN1000sSockeye salmon0. nerkaFraser River, BC, CAN1000sChinook salmon0. terkaCentral Valley, CA, USA20Atlantic salmon0. tshawytschaCentral Valley, CA, USA20Atlantic salmon0. tshawytschaCentral Valley, CA, USA23Atlantic salmon0. tshawytschaCentral Quebec, Canada623Subro salmonSalmo salarSalmo salar5StatutSalmo salarSalveins623Subro troutS. truttaCanada623Subro troutS. truttaCanada623Subro troutS. truttaCanada623Subro troutS. truttaCanada623Subro troutS. truttaCanada63Subro troutS. truttaCanada63Solo tet	at trout O. <i>clarkii henshaw</i>	Nevada, USA Totala	20	7 17	This study	EF432186-EF432188
Chum salmon 0. keta Various North Pacific locations 5 Total 0. keta Alaska and Washington, USA 32 Coho salmon 0. kisutch Alaska and Washington, USA 32 Coho salmon 0. kisutch Various North Pacific locations 5 Coho salmon 0. kisutch California to Alaska, USA 94 Coastal steelhead trout 0. mykiss irideus Coastal California, USA 94 McCloud River redband trout 0. mykiss irideus Coastal California, USA 23 McCloud River redband trout 0. mykiss stonei Total 423 Sockeye salmon 0. nerka Total 423 Chinook salmon 0. nerka Fraser River, BC, CAN 1000s Atlantic salmon 0. tshawytscha Total 200+ Atlantic salmon 0. tshawytscha Total 23 Atlantic salmon 5. trutta Colorad 23 Solmo salmon 5. trutta 23 <	O. gorbuscha	Washington and Alaska, $USA (E+O)^b$	52	4	This study	EF432125-EF432130
Chum salmonO. ketaTotal Alaska and Washington, USA32 25 25 701Coho salmonO. ketaAlaska and Washington, USA32 5 701Coho salmonO. kisutchVarious North Pacific locations5 		Various North Pacific locations	5	7	Miller & Withler (1996)	U34716–U34717
Chum salmonO. ketaAlaska and Washington, USA32Chum salmonO. kisutchVarious North Pacific locations5Coho salmonO. kisutchCatifornia to Alaska, USA94Coho salmonO. kisutchCatifornia to Alaska, USA94Coastal steelhead troutO. mykiss irideusVarious North Pacific locations5Coastal steelhead troutO. mykiss irideusCatifornia, USA423McCloud River redband troutO. mykiss stoneiMcCloud River, CA, USA24Sockeye salmonO. merkaFraser River, BC, CAN1000sSockeye salmonO. nerkaFraser River, BC, CAN1000sChinook salmonO. tshanytschaCentral Quebe, Canada40+Alaska, USACatifornia, Oregon, and Alaska, USA200+Alantic salmonSathro salarCentral Quebec, Canada66Atlantic salmonS. truttaCentral Quebec, Canada623Brown troutS. truttaContral Quebec, Canada63Brown troutS. truttaContral Quebec, Canada63Sodoliy VardenS. truttaContral Quebec, Canada63ShuetStattaContal River, CO, USA10Dolly VardenS. truttaContal Quebec, Canada24Sathro troutS. truttaContal River, CO, USA24Dolly VardenS. truttaContal Quebec, Canada63State troutS. truttaContal River, CO, USA24Dolly VardenS. truttaS. trutta24 </td <td></td> <td>Total</td> <td></td> <td>9</td> <td></td> <td></td>		Total		9		
Coho salmonO. kisutchMinto Creek, YK, CAN25Coho salmonO. kisutchVarious North Pacific locations5TotalCalifornia to Alaska, USA94Coastal steelhead troutO. mykiss irideusVarious North Pacific locations5McCloud River redband troutO. mykiss irideusCoastal California, USA423McCloud River redband troutO. mykiss stoneiTotal24Sockeye salmonO. merkaFraser River, BC, CAN1000sSockeye salmonO. nerkaFraser River, BC, CAN1000sChinook salmonO. tshawytschaCentral Valley, CA, USA56Alaska, USATotalCentral Valley, CA, USA56Atlantic salmonO. tshawytschaCentral Valley, CA, USA623Atlantic salmonSalmo salarCentral Quebec, Canada623Brown troutS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA24Dolly VardenS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA24Dolly VardenS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA24S. namaycushLake Michigan, MI, USA74	O. keta	Alaska and Washington, USA	32	7	This study	EF432131 - EF432132
Coho salmonO. kisutchVarious North Pacific locations5Coho salmonO. kisutchCalifornia to Alaska, USA94Coastal steelhead troutO. mykiss irideusVarious North Pacific locations5Coastal steelhead troutO. mykiss irideusCoastal California, USA94McCloud River redband troutO. mykiss irideusCoastal California, USA423McCloud River redband troutO. mykiss stoneiMcCloud River, CA, USA24Sockeye salmonO. nerkaFraser River, BC, CAN1000sSockeye salmonO. tshawytschaFraser River, BC, CAN1000sChinook salmonO. tshawytschaCentral Valley, CA, USA200+Alaska, USATotalFraser River, BC, Canada40+Chinook salmonO. tshawytschaCentral Quebec, Canada65Atlantic salmonSalmo salarCentral Quebec, Canada623Brown troutS. truttaSalmo salarColorado River, CO, USA24Dolly VardenSalnefinus malmaAlaska, USA24Salnefinus malmaAlaska, USA2424Lake troutS. truttaS. trutta200+Salnefinus malmaColorado River, CO, USA24Dolly VardenS. truttaS. trutta24Salnefinus malmaColorado River, CO, USA24Dolly VardenS. truttaS. trutta24S. truttaS. truttaS. trutta24Dolly VardenS. truttaS. trutta24S. t		Minto Creek, YK, CAN	25	1	This study	EF432131
Coho salmonCotalTotalCoho salmonO. kisutchCalifornia to Alaska, USA94Coastal steelhead troutO. mykiss irideusVarious North Pacific locations5Coastal steelhead troutO. mykiss stoneiTotal423McCloud River redband troutO. mykiss stoneiMcCloud River, CA, USA423Sockeye salmonO. nerkaFraser River, BC, CAN423Sockeye salmonO. nerkaFraser River, BC, CAN1000sSockeye salmonO. tshawytschaFraser River, BC, CAN1000sAlaska, USATotalFraser River, BC, Canada40+Chinook salmonO. tshawytschaCentral Valley, CA, USA200+Atlantic salmonO. tshawytschaCentral Valley, CA, USA200+Atlantic salmonSalmo salarCentral Quebec, Canada623Brown troutS. truttaContral Quebec, Canada623Brown troutS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA24Dolly VardenS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA24S. namaycushLake Michigan, MI, USA74		Various North Pacific locations	5	5	Miller & Withler (1996)	U34702–U34706
Coho salmonO. kisutchCalifornia to Alaska, USA94Constal steelhead troutO. mykiss irideusVarious North Pacific locations5TotalTotalTotal24McCloud River, CA, USA24McCloud River, CA, USA24Sockeye salmonO. nerkaFraser River, BC, CAN1000sSockeye salmonO. nerkaFraser River, BC, CAN1000sSockeye salmonO. nerkaFraser River, BC, CAN1000sSockeye salmonO. nerkaFraser River, BC, Canada200+Chinook salmonO. tshawytschaCentral Valley, CA, USA200+Atlantic salmonO. tshawytschaCentral Valley, CA, USA56Atlantic salmonSalmo salarCentral Quebec, Canada623Brown troutS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA10Dolly VardenS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA24Lake troutS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA24Dolly VardenS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA24Dolly VardenS. truttaS. trutta24Dolly VardenS. truttaS. trutta23SudenLake troutS. truttaS. trutta24Dolly VardenS. truttaS. trutta24Dolly VardenS. truttaS. trutta23Dolly VardenS. truttaS. trutta24Dolly VardenS. truttaS. trutta24Dolly VardenS. truttaS. trutta24S		Total		8		
Coastal steelhead troutO. mykiss irideusVarious North Pacific locations5Coastal steelhead troutO. mykiss irideusCoastal California, USA423McCloud River redband troutO. mykiss stoneiMcCloud River, CA, USA423Sockeye salmonO. nerkaTotal24Sockeye salmonO. nerkaFraser River, BC, CAN1000sChinook salmonO. nerkaTotal1000sChinook salmonO. tshawytschaCentral Valley, CA, USA56Alaska, USATotalCalifornia, Oregon, and Alaska, USA56Atlantic salmonSalmo salarCentral Quebee, Canada623Brown troutS. truttaColorado River, USA56Dolly VardenS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA10Lake troutS. truttaColorado River, USA234Lake troutS. namaycushLake Michigan, MI, USA74	O. kisutch	California to Alaska, USA	94	19	This study	EF432145-EF432163
Coastal steelhead troutTotalCoastal steelhead trout0. mykiss strideusCoastal California, USA423McCloud River redband trout0. mykiss stoneiMcCloud River, CA, USA24Sockeye salmon0. nerkaTotalTotal1000sSockeye salmon0. nerkaRaser River, BC, CAN1000sChinook salmon0. nerkaTotalTotal1000sChinook salmon0. tshawytschaCentral Valley, CA, USA200+TotalTotalCentral Valley, CA, USA200+Atlantic salmon0. tshawytschaCentral Quebec, Canada603Atlantic salmonSalmo salarCentral Quebec, Canada623Brown troutS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA10Dolly VardenS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA24Lake troutS. namaycushLake Michigan, MI, USA24Alaska, USAS. namaycushLake Michigan, MI, USA24		Various North Pacific locations	5	5	Miller & Withler (1996)	U34692–U34696
Coastal steelhead troutO. mykiss irideusCoastal California, USA423McCloud River redband troutO. mykiss stoneiMcCloud River, CA, USA24Sockeye salmonO. merkaFraser River, BC, CAN1000sSockeye salmonO. nerkaFraser River, BC, CAN1000sSockeye salmonO. nerkaFraser River, BC, CAN15Chinook salmonO. nerkaFraser River, BC, Canada200+TotalO. tshawytschaCentral Valley, CA, USA200+Allantic salmonO. tshawytschaCentral Valley, CA, USA200+Atlantic salmonSalmo salarCentral Quebec, Canada623Brown troutS. truttaSalmo salarColorado River, CO, USA623Brown troutS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA10Dolly VardenS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA24Lake troutS. namaycushLake Michigan, MI, USA24Take troutS. namaycushLake Michigan, MI, USA24		Total		24		
McCloud River redband troutO. mykiss stoneiMcCloud River, CA, USA24Sockeye salmonO. nerkaFraser River, BC, CAN1000sSockeye salmonO. nerkaFraser River, BC, CAN1000sChinook salmonO. tshawytschaCentral Valley, CA, USA200+TotalO. tshawytschaCentral Valley, CA, USA200+Allantic salmonO. tshawytschaCentral Valley, CA, USA200+Atlantic salmonO. tshawytschaCentral Valley, CA, USA200+Atlantic salmonSalmo salarCentral Quebec, Canada623Brown troutS. truttaCentral Quebec, Canada623Brown troutS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA10Dolly VardenS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA24Lake troutS. namaycushLake Michigan, MI, USA24	trout O. mykiss irideus	Coastal California, USA	423	88	Aguilar & Garza (2006)	
Sockeye salmonO. nerkaTotalChinook salmonO. nerkaFraser River, BC, CAN1000sChinook salmonO. tshawytschaFraser River, BC, Canada15Chinook salmonO. tshawytschaCentral Valley, CA, USA200+Alaska, USACentral Valley, CA, USA200+Atlantic salmonO. tshawytschaCentral Valley, CA, USA200+Atlantic salmonSalmo salarCentral Quebec, Canada623Brown troutS. truttaCentral Quebec, Canada623Brown troutS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA10Dolly VardenS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA10Dolly VardenS. namaycushLake Michigan, MI, USA24Lake troutS. namaycushLake Michigan, MI, USA74	dband trout O. mykiss stonei	McCloud River, CA, USA	24	7	This study	EF432115-EF432116
Sockeye salmonO. nerkaFraser River, BC, CAN1000sChinook salmonO. nerkaFraser River, BC, CAN1000sChinook salmonO. tshawytschaCentral Valley, CA, USA200+TotalCentral Valley, CA, USA200+Fraser River, BC, Canada40+California, Oregon, and Alaska, USA56TotalSalmo salarCentral Quebec, Canada623Salmo salarCentral Quebec, Canada623Brown troutS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA10Dolly VardenS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA10Dolly VardenS. namaycushLake Michigan, MI, USA24Lake troutS. namaycushLake Michigan, MI, USA74		Total		90		
Chinook salmonO. tshawytschaAlaska, USA15TotalTotalTotal200+Allantic salmonO. tshawytschaCentral Valley, CA, USA200+Atlantic salmonO. tshawytschaCentral Valley, CA, USA200+Atlantic salmonSalmo salarCentral Quebec, Canada623Salmo salarCentral Quebec, Canada62356Brown troutS. truttaCentral Quebec, Canada623Brown troutS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA10Dolly VardenS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA10Lake troutS. namaycushLake Michigan, MI, USA74	O. nerka	Fraser River, BC, CAN	1000s	6	Miller et al. (1997)	AY038051 - AY038060
Chinook salmonO. tshawytschaTotalChinook salmonO. tshawytschaCentral Valley, CA, USA200+Fraser River, BC, Canada40+Atlantic salmonSalmo salarCalifornia, Oregon, and Alaska, USA56Atlantic salmonSalmo salarCentral Quebec, Canada623Brown troutS. truttaCentral Quebec, Canada623Brown troutS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA10Dolly VardenS. truttaAlaska, USA24Lake troutS. namaycushLake Michigan, MI, USA74		Alaska, USA	15	16	This study	EF432133-EF432144
Chinook salmonO. tshawytschaCentral Valley, CA, USA200+Atlantic salmonO. tshawytschaEraser River, BC, Canada40+Atlantic salmonSalmo salarCalifornia, Oregon, and Alaska, USA56Atlantic salmonSalmo salarCentral Quebec, Canada623SubonSalmo salarCentral Quebec, Canada623Brown troutS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA10Dolly VardenS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA10Dolly VardenS. namaycushLake Michigan, MI, USA74		Total		21		
Atlantic salmonSalmo salarFraser River, BC, Canada40+Atlantic salmonSalmo salarCalifornia, Oregon, and Alaska, USA56TotalTotalTotal623Brown troutS. truttaCentral Quebec, Canada623Brown troutS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA10Dolly VardenS. truttaAlaska, USA24Lake troutS. namaycushLake Michigan, MI, USA74	O. tshawytscha	Central Valley, CA, USA	200 +	ŝ	Kim et al. (1999)	AF041009 - AF041011
Atlantic salmonSalmo salarCalifornia, Oregon, and Alaska, USA56Atlantic salmonSalmo salarTotal623Salmo salarCentral Quebec, Canada623SwedenContral Quebec, Canada623Brown troutS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA10Dolly VardenS. truttaAlaska, USA24Lake troutS. namaycushLake Michigan, MI, USA74		Fraser River, BC, Canada	40 +	б	Miller and Withler (1996)	U80299–U80301
Atlantic salmonTotalTotalAtlantic salmonSalmo salarCentral Quebec, Canada623SwedenCentral Quebec, Canada0.0Brown troutS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA10Brown troutS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA10Dolly VardenS. namaycushAlaska, USA24Lake troutS. namaycushLake Michigan, MI, USA74		California, Oregon, and Alaska, USA	56	10	This study	EF432117 - EF432124
Atlantic salmonSalmo salarCentral Quebec, Canada623SuedenSwedenUnknownStruttaSwedenUnknownBrown troutS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA10Dolly VardenSalvetinus malmaAlaska, USA24Lake troutS. namaycushLake Michigan, MI, USA74		Total		13		
SwedenSwedenUnknownBrown troutS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA10Dolly VardenSalvelinus malmaAlaska, USA24Lake troutS. namaycushLake Michigan, MI, USA74	Salmo salar	Central Quebec, Canada	623	18	Landry & Bernatchez (2001)	AF373692 - AF373709
Brown troutTotalTotalBrown troutS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA10Dolly VardenSalvelinus malmaAlaska, USA24Lake troutS. namaycushLake Michigan, MI, USA74		Sweden	Unknown	17	Langefors et al. (1998)	AF104363 - AF104379
Brown troutS. truttaColorado River, CO, USA10Dolly VardenSalvelinus malmaAlaska, USA24Dale troutS. namaycushLake Michigan, MI, USA74		Total		31		
Dolly VardenSalvelinus malmaAlaska, USA24Lake troutS. namaycushLake Michigan, MI, USA74	S. trutta	Colorado River, CO, USA	10	12	Shum et al. (2001)	AF296398 - AF296409
Lake trout S. namaycush Lake Michigan, MI, USA 74	Salvelinus malma	Alaska, USA	24	13	This study	EF432164-EF432176
	S. namaycush	Lake Michigan, MI, USA	74	52	Dorschner et al. (2000)	AF129977–AF130016,
						AF130018-AF1310026,
						AF130028-AF130030

^aTotal indicates the total number of unique alleles from each species. ^bE denotes even year; O denotes odd year. the appropriate model and parameters. Node support was evaluated with 1000 bootstrap replicates. A neighbor-joining (NJ) tree was also constructed using PAUP*4 (Swofford 2003) and node support was evaluated with 1000 bootstrap replicates.

Estimation of Recombination

Tests for recombination or gene conversion were performed with the method of Sawyer (1989) and the program GENCONV (v1.81; Swayer 1989). The global test for recombinant events was used with 10,000 permutations of the data to assess significance. Zero mismatches were allowed and *p*-values were corrected for multiple comparisons. The minimum number of recombinant events was also evaluated with the four-gamete method of Hudson and Kaplan (1985) as implemented in DNAsp (v4; Rozas et al. 2003).

Estimation of Selection

Per site rates of nonsynonymous (d_N) and synonymous (d_S) substitutions were estimated with the modified Gojobori and Nei method in MEGA3 (Kumar et al. 2004) with a Jukes-Cantor correction. Both rates were estimated for the entire available exon 2 sequence, and separately for codons thought to be involved in antigen-binding and non-antigen-binding codons (based on the human molecule [Brown et al. 1993]). Standard errors were estimated with 500 bootstrap replicates.

The method of Yang (1997), PAML, was used to identify codons potentially subject to diversifying selection. This analysis was performed separately for all species. Each dataset was evaluated under two different models of codon evolution (M7- β ; M8- β and ω) and models were compared with a likelihood ratio test (LRT). The M7 and M8 models were used due to their robustness in the face of recombination (Anisimova et al. 2003). Multiple Markov chain searches were performed for each analysis with different initial values of ω (0.5, 1.0, and 2.0) to ensure convergence.

Results

The hLRT indicated that the best model of sequence evolution for the entire dataset was the F81 + I + Γ model (I = 0.37, Γ = 0.60). The ML and distance analyses revealed monophyletic groupings of MHC class IIB exon 2 alleles for all three salmonid genera, though bootstrap support was low (Fig. 1). The grouping of the *Oncorhynchus* alleles was supported by only 63% of bootstrap replicates for the ML analysis and 66% of replicates for the NJ analysis. The alleles from *Salvelinus* and *Salmo* clustered with < 50% bootstrap support.

Model evaluation for the *Oncorhynchus* sequences indicated that the JC + I + Γ (I = 0.55, Γ = 0.55) model was most appropriate. The ML and NJ trees had similar overall topologies. Both trees had elevated bootstrap support for monophyletic groupings of alleles from *O. gorbuscha*, *O. keta*, and *O. nerka* (Fig. 2), though support for the monophyletic grouping of *O. nerka* alleles was relatively low. In contrast, alleles from *O. clarki* and *O. mykiss* were scattered throughout the tree, and there was not elevated bootstrap support for clusters of alleles from



Fig. 1. Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogeny of salmonid MHC IIB alleles generated using the $F81+\Gamma+I$ model of evolution (see text for details). Numbers next to nodes indicates support >50% from 1000 bootstrap replicates (ML/NJ).



Fig. 2. Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogeny of *Oncorhynchus* MHC IIB alleles generated using the JC+ Γ +I model of evolution (see text for details). Numbers next to nodes indicates bootstrap support > 50% from 1000 replicates (ML/NJ). Only elevated bootstrap support values are shown for branches leading to species-specific groups. Unlabeled branch tips are MHC IIB alleles from *O. mykiss.* Filled diamonds indicate alleles that are shared between *O. clarki* and *O. mykiss*, while open diamonds indicate alleles isolated from *O. clarki*.

either of these species (Fig. 2). Alleles from *O. tshawytscha* and *O. kisutch* formed a monophyletic group with elevated bootstrap support (Fig. 2). Closer examination of the ML and NJ subtrees that contain the *O. tshawytscha* and *O. kisutch* alleles revealed that they have qualitatively different topologies (not shown). The ML tree found that the alleles from the two species do not group together and are paraphyletic, whereas the NJ tree possesses mono-



Table 2. Results of the analysis for recombination/gene conversion for each of the 11 species of salmonids: statistical significance for global internal (GENECONV-I) and global outer (GENECONV-O) recombination events, as well as the minimum number of recombinant events (Rm) based on the Hudson four-gamete test

	GENECONV-I	GENECONV-O	Rm
O. clarki	***	*	10
O. gorbuscha	ns	ns	0
O. keta	ns	*	2
O. kisutch	**	ns	6
O. mykiss	***	***	13
O. nerka	*	*	4
O. tshawytscha	ns	ns	3
S. salar	***	ns	7
S. trutta	**	*	10
S. malma	ns	ns	13
S. namaycush	***	ns	14

Note. ns, not statistically significant. *Significant at p < 0.05. **Significant at p < 0.01. ***Significant at p < 0.001.

phyletic clusters of alleles from both species. Elevated bootstrap support was not observed for any of the internal nodes in either tree (not shown). A Shimo-daira-Hasegawa (SH; 1999) test was used to evaluate if the ML topology was more likely than the NJ topology to represent the true relationship of *O. kisutch* and *O. tshawytscha* alleles. The SH test was performed using the Jukes-Cantor model of evolution with gamma shape parameter and proportion of invariant sites empirically estimated in PAUP*. To test significance, 10,000 bootstrap replicates were performed in PAUP*. The SH test indicated that the ML subtree was not significantly more likely than the NJ subtree (δ -lnL = 20.64, p = 0.135) to represent the true relationship.

Phylogenetic analysis of alleles from *S. salar* and *S. trutta* using the HKY + I + Γ (I = 0.63, Γ = 0.78) model of sequence evolution did not find species-specific groupings of alleles (Fig. 3A). The ML and NJ trees for alleles from the two *Salvelinus* species, *S. malma* and *S. namaycush* (model of sequence evolution: TrN + I + Γ [I = 0.51,

Fig. 3. Maximum likelihood phylogeny of (A) Salmo and (B) Salvelinus MHC IIB alleles generated using the HKY + Γ + I (Salmo) and TrN + Γ + I (Salvelinus) models of evolution (see text for details). Elevated bootstrap support was not found for any internal nodes in both analyses. Filled circles represent alleles from *S. trutta* and unlabeled tips from *S. salar* (A) and filled diamonds represent alleles from *S. malma* and unlabeled tips from *S. nalma* (B).

 $\Gamma = 0.58$]), revealed a pattern similar to that observed in *Salmo*; alleles clustered irrespective of species (Fig. 3B).

The GENECONV analysis revealed statistical significance for gene conversion events in all species except for *O. gorbuscha*, *O. tshawytscha*, and *S. malma* (Table 2). The Hudson four-gamete test revealed recombinant events in all species except *O. gorbuscha* (Table 2). Within five *Oncorhynchus* species, relatively large tracts (10 codons or 30 nucleotides) with no variable sites were found (Fig. 4). Such tracts were not found in other salmonid species.

Nonsynonymous substitutions exceeded synonymous substitutions in all species (Table 3), although the differences were not significant in two cases. When the comparisons were performed separately for only those codons involved in antigen binding (ABS codons, based on the human molecule) and for those not involved in antigen binding, nonsynonymous substitutions still exceeded or equaled synonymous substitutions in all species for both classes of codon, although the differences were not significant in four and seven species for ABS and non-ABS codons, respectively. All members of the genus Oncorhynchus, except O. gorbuscha and O. nerka, possessed similar levels of within-species synonymous divergence (Table 2). Mean within-genus synonymous divergence was 0.024 (SE = 0.012) for Oncorhynchus, 0.034 (0.012) for Salmo, and 0.047 (0.016) for Salvelinus.

The site-specific analysis for selection revealed that the $\beta + \omega$ (M8) model had a higher likelihood than the β model (M7) for all but one species, *O. gorbuscha* (Table 3). All other analyses were significant at the p < 0.01 level, except for the *O. keta* analysis, which was significant at the p < 0.05 level. This analysis identified a number of putatively selected codon sites in each of the salmonid species analyzed and seven sites with such a signal in the majority of species analyzed (Fig. 4). Four of the sites that showed evidence of positive selection in at least half of the species corresponded to ABS codons in the human molecule (β 37, β 78, β 81, and β 85; Fig. 4). Three sites

	23	33	43	53	63	73	83	
Onmy 01	GIEFIDSYVF	NKVEYIRFNS	TVGRFVGYTE	HGVKNAEAWN	SDAGILGQEQ	AQLESYCKPN	ADIDYSAILD	KΊ
Onke_21	GIEYIDSYVF	NKVEQIRFNS	TVGRFVGYTE	LGLINAEAWN	SDAGILGQEQ	AQLERFCKTN	DAIDYSAILD	KI
Oncl_11	GMEYIDSYVF	NKAEYIRFNS	TVGRFVGYTE	HGVKNAEAWN	SDAGFLGQVQ	AQLESYCKHN	ADIDYSAILD	KΊ
ONGO 11	GIEFIDSYVF	NOVEYIRFNS	TVGRYVGYTE	HGVKNAEAWN	SDAGILGQEQ	AELERYCKHN	NANYYSAILD	KT
Onki-la	GIEFIDSYVF	NRVEHIRFNS	TVGRYVGYTE	LGLKNAEAWN	KGPQ-LGQEQ	GELERFCKHN	ADLHYRAILD	KΊ
Onts-299	GIEFIDSYVF	NKAEYIRFNS	TVGRYVGYTE	LGVKNAEAWN	KGPQ-LGQEQ	AELERFCKPN	AALHYRAILD	KI
Onne-2	GIEFIDSYVF	NKVEHVRENS	TVGRYVGYTE	YGVKNAKAWN	SDAGILGQEQ	AELERICKTH	AAIYYSNILD	KΊ
Sasa*09	GIEFIDSYVF	NQAEYIRFNS	TVGKFVGYTE	HGVKNAEAWN	SDAAGLAGEL	GELERFCKHN	ADLHYS????	??
SatrD0101	GAEFIDSYYF	NQVEHIRFNS	TVGKYVGYTE	HGVYNAEAWN	SDAGILAQER	GELERYCKQH	APIAYSAILD	KI
Sama 201	GIELIDSYVF	NQAEYVRFNS	TVGKFVGYTE	HGVYNAEAWN	KDSGILAREL	GELERYCKPN	AAIDYSVVLD	KΊ
Sana-564D	GIEYIDSYVF	NKVEDIRFNS	TVGKYVGYTE	HGVKNAEAWN	KDSGILAQEL	GALERYCKHN	AANHYSAVLD	KT
	+ + +	++	+	+ ++	+ + ++	+ + ++	++ ++	

Fig. 4. Sequence alignment of representative MHC class IIB genes analyzed for codon-specific positive selection from seven species of salmonid. Codons are numbered based on the HLA-DRB*0101 allele, and take into account the amino acid insertion observed in teleosts. A + indicates sites involved in antigen binding

in the human model. Positions in boldface have a p > 0.99 and underlined positions have a p > 0.05 of being under positive selection (see Results). Shaded areas indicate monomorphic tracts (>10 amino acid sites).

Table 3. Non synonymous $(d_{\rm N})$ and synonymous $(d_{\rm S})$ substitutions (per site) based on the modified Nei and Gojobori method with a Jukes-Cantor correction for multiple comparisons; standard errors are given in parentheses

	All			ABS			Non-ABS		
	d _N	$d_{\rm S}$	Z/p	$d_{\mathbf{N}}$	$d_{\rm S}$	Z/p	$d_{\mathbf{N}}$	$d_{\rm S}$	Z/p
O. clarki	0.079 (0.014)	0.017 (0.009)	3.057/0.001	0.118 (0.033)	0.007 (0.007)	3.901/0.000	0.064 (0.017)	0.021 (0.013)	n.s.
O. gorbuscha	0.014 (0.007)	0	2.292/0.024	0.012 (0.011)	0	n.s.	0.015 (0.008)	0	2.040/0.044
O. keta	0.019 (0.009)	0.014 (0.011)	n.s.	0.032 (0.016)	0.016 (0.017)	n.s.	0.013 (0.012)	0.013 (0.013)	n.s.
O. kisutch	0.049 (0.015)	0.011 (0.006)	3.147/0.001	0.069 (0.028)	0.004 (0.003)	2.487/0.014	0.040 (0.018)	0.014 (0.009)	2.082/0.039
O. mykiss	0.067 (0.014)	0.015 (0.009)	3.031/0.003	0.096 (0.030)	0.006 (0.007)	3.375/0.001	0.055 (0.018)	0.018 (0.013)	n.s.
O. nerka	0.048 (0.014)	0.001 (0.001)	3.462/0.001	0.083 (0.034)	0	2.349/0.020	0.034 (0.015)	0.001 (0.001)	2.327/0.022
O. tshawytscha	0.030 (0.012)	0.014 (0.011)	n.s.	0.027 (0.016)	0	n.s.	0.030 (0.015)	0.021 (0.016)	n.s.
S. salar	0.072 (0.018)	0.024 (0.013)	2.412/0.017	0.153 (0.046)	0.008 (0.006)	3.248/0.002	0.037 (0.016)	0.032 (0.019)	n.s.
S. trutta	0.131 (0.023)	0.043 (0.016)	3.195/0.002	0.243 (0.057)	0.045 (0.029)	3.018/0.003	0.090 (0.022)	0.043 (0.020)	n.s.
S. malma	0.114 (0.024)	0.047 (0.017)	2.736/0.007	0.209 (0.045)	0.070 (0.038)	2.467/0.015	0.076 (0.024)	0.038 (0.019)	n.s.
S. namaycush	0.104 (0.021)	0.046 (0.016)	2.598/0.011	0.150 (0.037)	0.076 (0.055)	n.s.	0.088 (0.025)	0.035 (0.015)	2.136/0.035

Note. Results of the Z-test (H₀: $d_N = d_S$) and corresponding *p*-value are given for each significant comparison. n.s., nonsignificant test results (p > 0.05).

that showed evidence of positive selection (β 24, six species; β 53, five species; and β 86, nine species) in a majority of the species examined did not correspond to ABS codons in humans.

Discussion

This analysis of sequences from the second exon of the salmonid class IIB gene revealed two major hallmarks of MHC evolution: transspecific evolution of alleles and increased nonsynonymous/synonymous $(d_N:d_S)$ substitution. Transspecific evolution was observed between species in all three genera examined: *Oncorhynchus, Salmo*, and *Salvelinus*. Recombinant alleles were found in most species, with the notable exception of *O. gorbuscha*. The pattern of increased $d_N:d_S$ was found for all species and the site-specific method for identifying positive selection found a number of codons under selection in most salmonid species. Some of these sites under selection coincided with mammalian antigen binding sites, whereas others did not.

A previous study (Shum et al. 2001) found a lack of retained ancestral polymorphism among salmonid genera, though only two genera were examined (*On*- corhynchus and Salmo). Here a lack of ancestral polymorphism was observed among three salmonid genera, including the more closely related Oncorhynchus and Salvelinus (Crespi and Fulton 2004; McKay et al. 1996; Oakley and Phillips 1999). However, there was a lack of elevated bootstrap support for internal branches that cluster genus-specific alleles. This may be due to the short length of the gene segment examined (Cummings et al. 1995), which is an inherent problem in examining the second exon of MHC class II genes, as it is only 90 amino acids long. This apparent lack of retained ancestral polymorphism among closely related genera is contrary to what has been observed in other vertebrate groups (Fan et al. 1989; Figueroa et al. 1988; Yuhki and OBrien 1997). Retention of MHC class IIB allelic lineages has also been observed between genera in other teleost groups, including the Cyprinidae (Graser et al. 1996; Ottova et al. 2005) and Cichlidae (Figueroa et al. 2000; Ono et al. 1993). The retention of ancestral polymorphisms within the Cyprinidae was even observed between the two subfamilies Cyprininae and Leuciscinae, whose divergence has been dated to approximately 27.7 million years ago (MYA) (Ottova et al. 2005). In the Cichlidae, ancestral polymorphism was observed between Tila-

Table 4. Results of the PAML analysis on salmonid class II β PBR sequences

Species	Model	L	$d_{N}:d_{S}$	Parameter estimates
O. clarki				
	Μ7: β	-839.84	0.40	p = 0.005, q = 0.007
	M8: β & ω	-812.60	3.41	$p_0 = 0.685 (p_1 = 0.314), p = 0.005, q = 0.013, \omega = 10.193$
O. gorbuscha				
	Μ7: β	-322.52	1.0	p = 0.616, q = 0.005
	M8: β & ω	-320.49	241	$p_0 = 0.759 \ (p_1 = 0.241), p = 99.0, q = 0.005, \omega = 999$
O. keta				
	Μ7: β	-379.07	0.300	p = 0.005, q = 0.012
	M8: β & ω	-375.69	0.600	$p_0 = 0.982 \ (p_1 = 0.018), \ p = 0.005, \ q = 0.011, \ \omega = 16.99$
O. kisutch				
	Μ7: β	-592.70	0.300	p = 0.005, q = 0.012
	M8: β & ω	-542.83	83.5	$p_0 = 0.841 \ (p_1 = 0.159), p = 4.75, q = 0.005, \omega = 519$
O. mykiss				
	Μ7: β	-2212.58	0.336	p = 0.009, q = 0.016
	M8: β & ω	-2092.65	0.98	$p_0 = 0.776 \ (p_1 = 0.224), p = 0.009, q = 0.031, \omega = 7.994$
O. nerka				
	Μ7: β	-595.27	0.200	p = 0.005, q = 0.019
	M8: β & ω	-547.47	16.5	$p_0 = 0.864 \ (p_1 = 0.136), p = 0.020, q = 0.005, \omega = 115$
O. tshawytscha				
	Μ7: β	-417.57	0.20	p = 0.005, q = 0.020
	M8: β & ω	-401.06	5.469	$p_0 = 0.892 \ (p_1 = 0.108), p = 0.005, q = 3.73, \omega = 50.5$
S. salar				
	Μ7: β	-923.00	0.222	p = 0.0179, q = 0.058
	M8: β & ω	-876.65	2.434	$p_0 = 0.830 \ (p_1 = 0.170), p = 0.005, q = 0.006, \omega = 12.384$
S. trutta				
	Μ7: β	-920.88	0.411	p = 0.015, q = 0.019
	M8: β & ω	-890.99	1.971	$p_0 = 0.764 \ (p_1 = 0.236), \ p = 0.006, \ q = 0.009, \ \omega = 7.060$
S. malma				
	Μ7: β	-818.10	0.500	p = 0.005, q = 0.005
	M8: β & ω	-847.28	2.94	$p_0 = 0.641 \ (p_1 = 0.309), p = 0.005, q = 0.012, \omega = 8.83$
S. namaycush				
	Μ7: β	-1640.22	0.463	p = 0.160, q = 0.186
	M8: β & ω	-1577.25	2.154	$p_0 = 0.726 (p_1 = 0.274), p = 0.447, q = 0.560, \omega = 6.69$

pines and Haplochromines, groups that diverged approximately 8.4 MYA (Figueroa et al. 2000). Divergence times among *Oncorhynchus*, *Salmo*, and *Salvelinus* are within this range—*Oncorhynchus–Salmo* divergence, \sim 15–20 MYA (McKay et al. 1996)—yet retention of ancestral polymorphism between genera was not observed. This suggests that evolutionary pressures on salmonid MHC genes may differ from those in other vertebrate groups, which could explain this disparity in phylogenetic patterns (Shum et al. 2001).

Observed phylogenetic patterns within the genera *Oncorhynchus*, *Salmo*, and *Salvelinus* were consistent with transspecific evolution. In contrast, high bootstrap support was found for species-specific groupings of alleles from *O. gorbuscha*, *O. keta*, and *O. nerka*. Such clustering has been described previously for a smaller dataset and past reductions in effective population size were proposed to account for this pattern (Miller and Withler 1996). Substantial bootstrap support was also found for the branch leading to all *O. kisutch* and *O. tshawytscha* alleles, whereas alleles from *O. clarki* and *O. mykiss* (closely related sister species) were spread throughout the *On*-

corhynchus tree. This may indicate that the selective pressure to retain ancestral polymorphisms is greater in the *O. clarki-O. mykiss* lineage than in other *Oncorhynchus* lineages. In addition, there were a number of alleles that were shared between *O. clarki* and *O. mykiss*, which could be due to long-term balancing selection or hybridization (Allendorf and Leary 1988). Natural hybridization between these two species where they co-occur is quite common (Baumsteiger et al. 2005; Bettles et al. 2005; Young et al. 2001), and the latter scenario cannot be ruled out without the ascertainment of "pure" *O. clarki* or *O. mykiss* individuals.

The data were inconclusive regarding the existence of transspecific evolution of allelic lineages between the species *O. kisutch* and *O. tshawytscha*, as the two rooted phylogenies had different topologies. Paraphyly of alleles from the two species was observed in the ML tree, whereas the NJ tree had species-specific allelic lineages. In addition, the SH test indicated that the ML tree was not significantly more likely to accurately represent the data than the NJ tree. Unfortunately, the two hypotheses, transspecific evolution and species-specific lineages, cannot be sufficiently distinguished with the current dataset. Even though *O. kisutch* and *O. tshawytscha* are sister species, it is unlikely that recent hybridization contributes to this pattern, as natural hybrids between the two species have not been documented and no alleles were shared between the two species.

There does appear to be a phylogenetic component to the retention (or lack thereof) of ancestral polymorphism in Oncorhynchus. The species with monophyletic allelic lineages (O. gorbuscha, O. keta, and O nerka) are all closely related (Crespi and Fulton 2004; McKay et al. 1996; Oakley and Phillips 1999) and form a monophyletic lineage within the genus. Similarly, O. kisutch and O. tshawytscha are sister taxa and possess little or no transspecific evolution of MHC class IIB alleles. It is possible that differences in life history contribute to the observed pattern of MHC evolution in these Oncorhynchus species, as they are predominantly anadromous. The species that retain more ancestral polymorphisms (O. clarki and O. mykiss) are closely related to one another, form the earliest-branching lineage in the genus, and contain populations with both anadromous and resident life history forms (contemporarily and historically).

The transspecific evolution observed in the genera Salmo and Salvelinus indicates that species within these genera have not experienced the same historical demographic and/or selective pressures that have influenced genetic variation in most Oncorhynchus species. Transspecific evolution at MHC class IIB genes has been reported previously in a phylogenetic analysis of S. salar and S. trutta alleles (Stet et al. 2002). We have also shown that retained ancestral polymorphisms occur between two species of Salvelinus. Interestingly both species of Salmo and Salvelinus malma possess both anadromous and resident forms, much like O. clarkii and O. mykiss. While it remains unclear what attributes (demographic effects, life history variation, selective sweeps) account for the lower MHC class II B variation and species-specific allelic lineages in O. gorbuscha, O. keta, and O. *nerka*, the phylogenetic attributes of MHC diversity for this lineage contrast greatly with those of other salmonids.

While limitations appear to be present on the extent of transspecies evolution observed in the class IIB gene of teleost fish, the retention of ancestral polymorphism may occur over longer time periods for class I genes. Retention of ancestral polymorphism has been observed between *Oncorhynchus* and *Salmo* for class I MHC genes (Shum et al. 2001). Long-lived allelic lineages have also been observed in class I genes from Chinook salmon (Garrigan and Hedrick 2001). A similar pattern with regard to the evolution of MHC genes was found in Lake Tana barbels (Kruiswijk et al. 2005). These differences in evolutionary patterns between class I and class II genes of teleost fish may have been facilitated by the lack of physical linkage of the two gene clusters. Whereas they are found on the same chromosome in mammals, they are found on two different chromosomes in teleost fish (Bingulac-Popovic et al. 1997). However, without substantial data on variation of class I MHC genes, or other unlinked genetic markers, for a large sample of *Oncorhynchus* species or a greater understanding of the class II-facilitated immune response in salmonids, we cannot distinguish between the competing hypotheses of neutral demographic versus selective/functional forces differentially affecting class II MHC diversity in salmonids.

Recombination and gene conversion appear to be important mechanisms in the generation of allelic variation of MHC genes in vertebrates (Parham and Ohta 1996; Bergstrom et al. 1998). Evidence of extensive recombination and gene conversion was found in some of the salmonid species studied here (O. clarki, O. mykiss, Salmo trutta, Salvelinus namaycush) but not in others (O. gorbuscha and O. keta). However, no within-species variability was found in the 5' portion of exon 2 in O. gorbuscha (first 41 amino acids) and O. keta (first 31 amino acids). There are also smaller invariant amino acid tracts in O. kisutch, O. nerka, and O. tshawytscha. Recurrent gene conversion could lead to a homogenization of alleles and generate such homogeneous tracts. Intraand interlocus gene conversion has been described in other teleost species (Reusch and Langefors 2005; Reusch et al. 2004). In birds, gene conversion is thought to be a major factor in the generation of observed phylogenetic patterns (Edwards et al. 1995; Wittzell et al. 1999), and in humans highly localized gene conversion has been shown to contribute substantially to MHC β gene diversity (Bergstrom et al. 1998). While gene conversion is a ubiquitous evolutionary force in the MHC, the hypothesis of reductions in effective population size or selective/ functional differences as an explanation of speciesspecific allelic lineages and/or monomorphic amino acid tracts within the second exon cannot be ruled out.

The distribution of codons found to exhibit patterns consistent with natural selection differed substantially among the 11 salmonid species surveyed. Some codon sites putatively under selection correspond with antigen-binding codons in the human molecule. However, some of these salmonid sites did not correspond to antigen-binding sites in the mammalian molecule. This is not surprising given the large evolutionary divergence between fish and mammals, and a similar result was reported in a study of cyprinid fish (Ottova et al. 2005). This discord between the codon sites under selection in the fish MHC and those known to be antigen-binding sites in mammals may reflect structural/functional differences in the MHC molecules of the two groups. However, extensive study of the structure and antigen binding properties of teleost MHC molecules is necessary to evaluate this hypothesis. However, these differences do indicate that caution should be used when inferring ABS codons in nonmammalian taxa using the human molecule as a model, as some ABS codons almost certainly differ between these two major taxonomic groups.

Acknowledgments. We thank J. Candy (DWO; Canada), D. Hankin and E. Loudenslager (HSU; USA), P. Moran (NWFSC-NOAA; USA), M. Peacock (UNR; USA), C. Smith (ADFG; USA), J. Wenburg (USFWS; USA), and members of the Southwest Fisheries Science Center for samples. The manuscript benefited greatly from discussion with C. Lemaire and S. M. Blankenship. C. Gallardo provided laboratory assistance.

References

- Aguilar A, Garza JC (2006) A comparison of variability and population structure for major histocompatibility complex and microsatellite loci in California coastal steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss* Walbaum). Mol Ecol 15:923–937
- Allendort FW, Leary RF (1988) Hybridization dynamics between sympatric species of trout: loss of reproductive isolation. Conserv Biol 2:170–184
- Anisimova M, Nielsen R, Yang ZH (2003) Effect of recombination on the accuracy of the likelihood method for detecting positive selection at amino acid sites. Genetics 164:1229–1236
- Apanius V, Penn D, Slev PR, Ruff LR, Potts WK (1997) The nature of selection on the major histocompatibility complex. Crit Rev Immunol 17:179–224
- Baumsteiger J, Hankin D, Loudenslager EJ (2005) Genetic analyses of juvenile steelhead, coastal cutthroat trout, and their hybrids differ substantially from field identifications. Trans Am Fish Soc 134:829–840
- Bergstrom TF, Josefsson A, Erlich HA, Gyllensten U (1998) Recent origin of HLA-DRB1 alleles and implications for human evolution. Nature Genet 18:237–242
- Bettles CM, Docker MF, Dufour B, Heath DD (2005) Hybridization dynamics between sympatric species of trout: loss of reproductive isolation. J Evol Biol 18:1220–1233
- Bingulac-Popovic J, Figueroa F, Sato A, Talbot WS, Johnson SL, Gates M, Postlethwait JH, Klein J (1997) Mapping of MHC class I and class II regions to different linkage groups in the zebrafish, *Danio rerio*. Immunogenetics 46:129–134
- Brown JH, Jardetzky TS, Gorga JC, Stern LJ, Urban RG, Strominger JL, Wiley DC (1993) Three-dimensional structure of the human class-II histocompatibility antigen HLA-DR1. Nature 364:33–39
- Crespi BJ, Fulton MJ (2004) Molecular systematics of Salmonidae: combined nuclear data yields a robust phylogeny. Mol Phylogenet Evol 31:658–679
- Cummings MP, Otto SP, Wakeley J (1995) Sampling properties of DNA-sequence data in phylogenetic analysis. Mol Biol Evol 12:814–822
- Dorschner MO, Duris T, Bronte CR, Curtis MKB, Phillips RB (2000) High levels of MHC class II allelic diversity in lake trout from Lake Superior. J Hered 91:359–363
- Edwards SV, Chesnut K, Satta Y, Wakeland EK (1997) Ancestral polymorphism of MHC class II genes in mice: implications for

balancing selection and the mammalian molecular clock. Genetics 146:655-668

- Edwards SV, Hedrick PW (1998) Evolution and ecology of MHC molecules: from genomics to sexual selection. Trends Ecol Evol 13:305–311
- Edwards SV, Wakeland EK, Potts WK (1995) Contrasting histories of avian and mammalian MHC genes revealed by class II B sequences from songbirds. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 92:12200– 12204
- Fan WM, Kasahara M, Gutknecht J, Klein D, Mayer WE, Jonker M, Klein J (1989) Shared class-II MHC polymorphisms between humans and chimpanzees. Hum Immunol 26:107–121
- Figueroa F, Gunther E, Klein J (1988) MHC polymorphism predating speciation. Nature 335:265–267
- Figueroa F, Mayer WE, Sultmann H, O'hUigin C, Tichy H, Satta Y, Takezaki N, Takahata N, Klein J (2000) MHC class IIB gene evolution in East African cichlid fishes. Immunogenetics 51:556–575
- Garrigan D, Hedrick PW (2001) Class I MHC polymorphism and evolution in endangered California Chinook and other Pacific salmon. Immunogenetics 53:483–489
- Garrigan D, Hedrick PW (2003) Perspective: detecting adaptive molecular polymorphism: Lessons from the MHC. Evolution 57:1707–1722
- Graser R, O'hUigin C, Vincek V, Meyer A, Klein J (1996) Transspecies polymorphism of class II MHC loci in danio fishes. Immunogenetics 44:36–48
- Guindon S, Gascuel O (2003) A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies by maximum likelihood. Syst Biol 52:696–704
- Hedrick PW (1994) Evolutionary genetics of the major histocompatibility complex. Am Nat 143:945–964
- Hudson RR, Kaplan NL (1985) Statistical properties of the number of recombination events in the history of a sample of DNAsequences. Genetics 111:147–164
- Hughes AL, Nei M (1989) Nucleotide substitution at major histocompatibility complex class II loci: evidence for overdominant selection. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 86:958–962
- Hughes AL, Ota T, Nei M (1990) Positive Darwinian selection promotes charge profile diversity in the antigen-binding cleft of class I major-histocompatibility-complex molecules. Mol Biol Evol 7:515–524
- Kim TJ, Parker KM, Hedrick PW (1999) Major histocompatibility complex differentiation in Sacramento River chinook salmon. Genetics 151:1115–1122
- Klein J (1986) Natural history of the major histocompatibility complex. John Wiley, New York
- Klein J, Sato A, Nagl S, O'hUigin C (1998) Molecular trans-species polymorphism. Annu Rev Ecol Syst 29:1–21
- Kruiswijk CP, Hermsen T, van Heerwaarden J, Dixon B, Savelkoul HFJ, Stet RJM (2005) Major histocompatibility genes in the Lake Tana African large barb species flock: evidence for complete partitioning of class IIB, but not class I, genes among different species. Immunogenetics 56:894–908
- Kumar S, Tamura K, Nei M (2004) MEGA3: integrated software for molecular evolutionary genetics analysis and sequence alignment. Brief Bioinform 5:150–163
- Landry C, Bernatchez L (2001) Comparative analysis of population structure across environments and geographical scales at major histocompatibility complex and microsatellite loci in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). Mol Ecol 10:2525–2539
- Langefors AA, Von Schantz T, Widegren B (1998) Allelic variation of Mhc class II in Atlantic salmon: a population genetic analysis. Heredity 80:568–575
- McKay SJ, Devlin RH, Smith MJ (1996) Phylogeny of Pacific salmon and trout based on growth hormone type-2 and mitochondrial NADH dehydrogenase subunit 3 DNA sequences. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 53:1165–1176

- Miller KM, Withler RE (1996) Sequence analysis of a polymorphic MHC class II gene in Pacific salmon. Immunogenetics 43:337– 351
- Miller KM, Withler RE, Beacham TD (1997) Molecular evolution at MHC genes in two populations of chinook salmon *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*. Mol Ecol 6:937–954
- Miller KM, Kaukinen KH, Beacham TD, Withler RE (2001) Geographic heterogeneity in natural selection on an MHC locus in sockeye salmon. Genetica 111:237–257
- Oakley TH, Phillips RB (1999) Phylogeny of salmonine fishes based on growth hormone introns: Atlantic (*Salmo*) and Pacific (*Oncorhynchus*) salmon are not sister taxa. Mol Phylogenet Evol 11:381–393
- Ono H, O'hUigin C, Tichy H, Klein J (1993) Major-histocompatibility-complex variation in two species of cichlid fishes form Lake Malawi. Mol Biol Evol 10:1060–1072
- Ottova E, Simkova A, Martin JF, de Bellocq JG, Gelnar M, Allienne JF, Morand S (2005) Evolution and trans-species polymorphism of MHC class II beta genes in cyprinid fish. Fish Shellfish Immunol 18:199–222
- Posada D, Crandall KA (1998) MODELTEST: testing the model of DNA substitution. Bioinformatics 14:817–818
- Reusch TBH, Langefors A (2005) Inter- and intralocus recombination drive MHC class IIB gene diversification in a teleost, the three-spined stickleback *Gasterosteus aculeatus*. J Mol Evol 61:531–545
- Reusch TBH, Schaschl H, Wegner KM (2004) Recent duplication and interlocus gene conversion in major histocompatibility class II genes in a teleost, the three-spined stickleback. Immunogenetics 56:427–437
- Rozas J, Sanchez-DelBarrio JC, Messeguer X, Rozas R (2003) DnaSP, DNA polymorphism analyses by the coalescent and other methods. Bioinformatics 19:2496–2497

- Sawyer S (1989) Statistical tests for detecting gene conversion. Mol Biol Evol 6:526–538
- Shimodaira H, Hasegawa M (1999) Multiple comparisons of loglikelihoods with applications to phylogenetic inference. Mol Biol Evol 16:1114–1116
- Shum BP, Guethlein L, Flodin LR, Adkison MA, Hedrick RP, Nehring RB, Stet RJM, Secombes C, Parham P (2001) Modes of salmonid MHC class I and II evolution differ from the primate paradigm. J Immunol 166:3297–3308
- Stet RJM, de Vries B, Mudde K, Hermsen T, van Heerwaarden J, Shum BP, Grimholt U (2002) Unique haplotypes of co-segregating major histocompatibility class II A and class II B alleles in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) give rise to diverse class II genotypes. Immunogenetics 54:320–331
- Swofford DL (2003) PAUP*. Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and other methods). Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA,
- Wittzell H, Bernot A, Auffray C, Zoorob R (1999) Concerted evolution of two MHC class II B loci in pheasants and domestic chickens. Mol Biol Evol 16:479–490
- Yang ZH (1997) PAML: a program package for phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. Comput Appl Biosci 13:555– 556
- Young WP, Ostberg CO, Keim P, Thorgaard GH (2001) Genetic characterization of hybridization and introgression between anadromous rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss irideus*) and coastal cutthroat trout (*O-clarki clarki*). Mol Ecol 10:921–930
- Yuhki N, OBrien SJ (1997) Nature and origin of polymorphism in feline MHC class II DRA and DRB genes. J Immunol 158:2822–2833