

# Domesticated P Elements in the Drosophila montium Species Subgroup Have a New Function Related to a DNA Binding Property

Daphné Reiss, Danielle Nouaud, Stéphane Ronsseray, Dominique Anxolabéhère

Laboratoire "Dynamique du Génome et Evolution," Institut Jacques Monod, UMR7592, CNRS-Universités Paris 6 et 7, 2 place Jussieu, 75251 Paris Cedex 05, France

Received: 13 November 2004 / Accepted: 5 May 2005 [Reviewing Editor: Dr. Dmitri Petrov]

Abstract. Molecular domestication of a transposable element is defined as its functional recruitment by the host genome. To date, two independent events of molecular domestication of the P transposable element have been described: in the Drosophila obscura species group and in the Drosophila montium species subgroup. These  $P$  neogenes consist of stationary, nonrepeated sequences, potentially encoding 66-kDa repressor-like (RL) proteins. Here we investigate the function of the montium P neogenes. We provide evidence for the presence of RL proteins in two montium species (D. tsacasi and D. bocqueti) specifically expressed in adult and larval brain and gonads. We tested the hypothesis that the  *neogenes' function is related to the* repression of the transposition of distantly related mobile P elements which coexist in the genome. Our results strongly suggest that the montium P neogenes are not recruited to downregulate the  $P$  element transposition. Given that all the proteins encoded by mobile or stationary P homologous sequences show a strong conservation of the DNA binding domain, we tested the capacity of the RL proteins to bind DNA in vivo. Immunostaining of polytene chromosomes in D. melanogaster transgenic lines strongly suggests that montium P neogenes encode proteins that bind DNA in vivo. RL proteins show multiple binding to the chromosomes. We suggest that the property recruited

in the case of the montium P neoproteins is their DNA binding property. The possible functions of these neogenes are discussed.

**Key words:** DNA-binding domain  $-P$  element  $-$ Transposable element — Molecular domestication — Neogene — Drosophila

# Introduction

Coding sequences of transposable elements are subject to purifying selection at the molecular level that preserves efficient transposition. However, after the dynamic phase of invasion, transposable elements are tamed by mechanisms that repress their transposition. This means that, in the long term, immobilized mobile sequences are doomed to extinction (for review, see Pinsker et al. 2001; Kidwell and Lisch 2001). One possible escape from this dead-end scenario is via horizontal transfer, which opens a new cycle of invasion of a naïve genome, followed by repression of the transposable element. Another possible escape route is the recruitment of the transposable element, or a part of it, by the host for its own benefit; this is known as molecular domestication (Miller et al. 1992). One example of such a domestication event in Drosophila is the recruitment and exploitation of the transposition property of two LINE retrotransposons, HeT-A (Biessman et al. 1992) and TART (Levis et al. 1993), for telomere maintenance. This is the

Correspondence to: Dominique Anxolabéhère; email: anxo@ccr. jussieu.fr



Fig. 1. Structure of the P neogenes found in  $D$ . tsacasi ( $P$ -tsa) and  $D$ . bocqueti  $(P-boc)$ . Gray boxes indicate the P homologous exons; the darkest corresponds to the exon derived from a K-type P insertion, and the white box to the exon of genomic origin. The oval corresponds to the promoter.

only molecular domestication event in this taxon for which the host advantage has been elucidated. In mammals, examples of co-opted transposable elements are well documented and have been shown to involve the recruitment of either regulatory properties (Hambor et al. 1993; Samuelson et al. 1996; van de Lagemaat et al. 2003) or protein products (Agrawal et al.1998; Tudor et al. 1992; Best et al. 1996). In these cases, domesticated transposable elements are subjected to selective pressure at the host level, and this allows them to be conserved independently of their transposition activity.

Our study concerns a case of molecular domestication of the P transposable element in Drosophila. The P element is a transposable element that transposes via a DNA intermediate (Engels 1989). Initially discovered in D. melanogaster (Rubin et al. 1982), the  $P$  element in this species is usually referred to as the canonical P element. It has four exons (numbered 0 to 3) and 31-nt terminal inverted repeats (TIR) (O'Hare and Rubin 1983). The four exons are required to encode an 87-kDa transposase, which is produced only in the germline (Laski et al. 1986). This tissue specificity is due to the lack of splicing of the last intron in somatic cells, resulting in a truncated 66-kDa transposase (Rio et al. 1986; Karess and Rubin 1984). This truncated protein is not able to act as a transposase, but it is also produced in germline cells, binds DNA, and exhibits repression properties (Misra and Rio 1990).

The first case of molecular domestication of the P element was reported by Miller et al. (1992) in three species belonging to the Drosophila obscura group of species. These species carry clusters (10 to 50 copies) of immobile P homologous sequences that conserve their ability to encode only 66-kDa repressor-like (RL) proteins (Miller et al. 1995). A similar situation is encountered in the Drosophila montium subgroup of species (Nouaud and Anxolabéhère 1997). The domesticated *montium P* homologous sequence is not flanked by TIRs and has lost the last exon characteristic of the transposase. However, it is able to encode a 66-kDa RL protein. Interestingly, no other  $P$  sequences related to this domesticated sequence have been found in these genomes. It is present at the orthologous genomic site in all the species of the *montium* subgroup tested so far, and solely in species belonging to this taxon, suggesting that it must have been immobilized in the ancestor species of this subgroup, about 20 million years ago. Its conservation suggests that it must confer some selective advantage on its host, and it is therefore referred to as the *montium*  $P$  neogene. The *montium* and the obscura P neogenes result from distinct immobilization events, since they are not located in orthologous genomic sites (Nouaud and Anxolabéhère 1997).

The promoter of the *montium P* neogene, as well as a new, noncoding exons –1, derives from the genomic sequence flanking the original  $P$  element insertion site (Fig. 1). This new exon results from an intron formed by composite sequences with  $P$  homologous and genomic origins (Nouaud et al. 1999). The internal structure of the *montium P* neogene is not the same in different montium species. Several montium species

including Drosophila tsacasi have a simple structure, with exons  $-1$ , 0, 1, and 2 (Fig. 1) but more complex structures are observed in other species as in Drosophila bocqueti: an additional exon, named exon  $0'$ , is located between exon 0 and exon 1 (Fig. 1). This exon results from the insertion of a deleted P element inside the intron  $(0-1)$  of the original neogene sequence (Nouaud et al. 2003).This deleted copy, which conserves only its exon 0, belongs to a subfamily distantly related to the P neogene: the K-type subfamily (Nouaud et al. 2003). This insertion is also stationary, because its TIRs are damaged, and it therefore forms part of the P neogene of D. bocqueti. In three other species (as Drosophila vulcana), another deleted K-type copy, also retaining exon 0, is inserted between the –1 and the 0 exons (Nouaud et al. 2003). Altogether, the obscura neogene and the various forms of the montium P neogene correspond to four distinct immobilization events of  $P$  homologous sequences.

Two forms of the *montium P* neogene have already been studied in greater detail: the P-tsa and the P-boc neogenes present in Drosophila tsacasi and D. bocqueti, respectively. The transcripts of the P-tsa and P-boc neogenes have been identified by Northern blot experiments and cDNA sequencing (Nouaud and Anxolabéhère 1997; Nouaud et al. 2003) (Fig. 1). The P-tsa neogene produces a transcript of 2.1 kb. The P-boc neogene produces two transcripts: a 2.5-kb transcript corresponding to all five of its exons  $(-1, 0, 0, 1, 2)$  and a 2.1-kb transcript in which exon  $0'$  has been spliced and which, therefore, corresponds to four exons  $(-1, 0, 1, 2)$ . Sequence analysis of these transcripts predicts the synthesis of two proteins, RL1 and RL2. The RL1 protein is encoded by the 2.1-kb transcript and is orthologous to the RL protein of the P-tsa neogene. The translation of the 2.5-kb transcript is predicted to start at the ATG present in exon  $0'$ , instead of that present in exon 0 because of a frame shift between the 0 and the 0' exons. RL2 differs from RL and RL1 by its amino-terminal extremity, which corresponds to exon  $0^{\circ}$  (Fig. 1).

In the present article, we provide evidence that the P-TSA and P-BOC neoproteins are present in their host species, and we determine their expression pattern. We also show that the *P-tsa* and *P-boc* neogenes are not able to regulate the expression or the transposition of distantly related elements, suggesting that their function is not in fact linked to their repressive capacities, as might be suggested by their structure. Finally, we show that the P-TSA and P-BOC neoproteins have chromatin-binding properties independent of the presence of P element sequences in the genome. We suggest that the *montium*  $P$  neogenes have acquired a new function related to their DNAbinding properties.

## Materials and Methods

#### Fly Stocks

Drosophila melanogaster strains:  $w^{1118}$  is a strain bearing a null allele of the *white* gene.  $yw^c$  is a strain bearing mutated alleles of white and *yellow* genes. Harwich-2 is a strain carrying more than 80 P elements per haploide genome. The line referred to here as hsp70 gal4 comes from the Bloomington stock center (No. 2077) and carries a heat shock-inducible GAL4 transgene. BA37 is a line harboring an insertion of a *P-lacZ* fusion gene controlled by the *P* promoter and which is expressed in the somatic cells of the ovaries (follicle cells) due to a position effect (enhancer trap) (Lemaitre et al. 1993). The  $P[SalI](89D)$  line carries a single P element with a frame shift in the last exon of the transposase gene (Karess and Rubin 1984) and, therefore, encodes only a truncated transposase.

Wild Drosophila tsacasi and Drosophila bocqueti stocks were obtained from the CNRS Laboratoire Populations, Génétique et Evolution, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.

### Plasmid Constructs

For all the constructs, the Bluescript  $KS +$  cloning vectors containing the  $P$ -tsa and  $P$ -boc neogenes as well as their flanking regions, isolated in previous studies, were used (Nouaud and Anxolabéhère 1997; Nouaud et al. 1999). These vectors are referred to as p-TSA-P and p-BOC-P.

PR Constructs. The P-tsa neogene and 1208 nt of its upstream region were isolated from the p-TSA-P vector by double digestion with EcoRI and XbaI. This fragment was inserted into the pCaSpeR-AUG-bgal (Thummel et al. 1988) transformation vector by replacement of the EcoRI–SpeI fragment containing a lacZ gene and its Fbp-1 promoter. This transformation vector bears the miniwhite gene as a transformation marker.

pCog-P-tsa Constructs. Exons 0, 1, and 2 of the P-tsa neogene were isolated from the p-TSA-P vector by double digestion with PvuII and NotI. The PvuII digestion site is located in the intron between the  $-1$  and the 0 exons of the *P-tsa* sequence. This fragment was inserted into the polylinker of the pCOG transformation vector (Robinson and Cooley 1997). The polylinker sequence is located downstream of the otu promoter, a germline-specific promoter (Comer et al. 1992). This vector carries the white gene as a transformation marker.

hsp70-P-tsa Constructs. The same digestion fragment, PvuII-NotI, was inserted into the polylinker of the pCaSpeR-hs transformation vector (Thummel and Pirrota 1991). The polylinker sequence is located downstream from the hsp70 promoter. This vector carries the white gene as a transformation marker.

P-tsa-myc and P-boc-myc Constructs. The P-tsa and P-boc coding sequences expanding from the ATG codon to the nucleotide just before the stop codon were isolated by PCR, using the p-TSA-P and p-BOC-P cloning vectors, respectively, as template. The amplified fragments were inserted into the pVP22/myc-HIS-TOPO vector (Invitrogen) by TOPO-TA cloning. This vector carries a myc tag, followed by a 6XHis tag. Double digestion with BamHI–PmeI allowed us to isolate the  $P$ -tsa and  $P$ -boc sequences tagged by the myc and 6XHis epitopes in their C-terminal extremity. This fragment was then inserted into a pP{UAS, yellow+} vector (Perrin et al. 2003) digested with XhoI, downstream of five repeats of a UAS sequence. Before ligation, the inserts and the plasmid were treated with shrimp phosphatase alkaline (Boehringer Mannheim) in order to produce blunted extremities. The  $pP\{UAS, yellow+\}$ vector contains the yellow reporter gene.

tsa-GFP and boc-GFP Constructs. Upstream regions of the Ptsa and P-boc neogenes were isolated by PCR using the p-TSA-P and p-BOC-P vectors as the template. The upstream region of P-tsa available in the vector expanded up to  $nt -1208$  (considering nucleotide A of the ATG start codon as  $+1$ ) and that of *P-boc* expanded up to nt –739. An EcoRI restriction site was introduced in the reverse primer immediately upstream from the start methionine. The PCR products were inserted in the EcoRI restriction site of the UAS-mGFP6 transformation vector (Haselhoff, Davidson, and Brand, unpublished) carrying a mini-white reporter gene. This  $EcoRI$  site is located 32 nt upstream from the start codon of the  $gfp$ reporter gene. Thus, the promoters and the transcription initiation signals are provided by the P-tsa or P-boc upstream regions and the methionine is provided by the gfp gene. The donor and acceptor sites of the intron separating exons  $-1$  and 0 of the *P-tsa* and *P-boc* were kept intact in order to conserve its splicing.

#### Generation of Transgenic Flies

Drosophila transformations were carried out following standard procedures (Rubin and Spradling 1982). Transposase DNA was used at a concentration of 0.1 mg/ml, and sample DNA at 0.2 mg/ ml.  $yw^c$  embryos were injected with the P-tsa-myc and the P-bocmyc constructs carrying the yellow gene as a transformation marker.  $w^{1118}$  embryos were injected with all the other constructs carrying the white gene as a transformation marker. At least three independent transgenic lines were generated for the noninducible systems (PR, tsa-GFP and boc-GFP lines) to avoid bias due to insertion-site effects.

### Gonadal Dysgenesis Assay

The ability of lines to repress gonadal dysgenic sterility (GD sterility) was measured by the ''A\* assay'' (Kidwell et al. 1977). Females of the line under test were crossed with Harwich-2 males. When *Harwich-2* males are crossed with females devoid of P elements at a high temperature ( $29^{\circ}$ C), this strain induces 100% GD sterility, and is usually used as a reference strain for gonadal dysgenesis assays. For each test cross, three to five pairs were mated en *masse* and immediately left to develop at  $29^{\circ}$ C. Twenty-five to 50 female progenies were then taken at random for dissection. Dissected ovaries were scored as atrophic or normal. The frequency of gonadal dysgenesis was calculated, and is referred to below as the percentage of GD A\*. Lines with strong repression capacities displayed a low percentage of GD  $A^*$  (<5%). An intermediate percentage indicates incomplete repression.

#### Repression of P-lacZ Expression in Somatic Tissues

G1 females derived from a cross between females from a tested line and BA37 males were examined by staining for their ability to repress P-lacZ expression in follicle cells, which are somatic cells surrounding egg chambers. Before being stained as described by Lemaitre et al. (1993), the G1 larvae were heat shocked at  $37^{\circ}$ C for 1 h and dissected 4 h later. High lacZ activity indicates low or null P repression, and low  $lacZ$  activity indicates strong P repression (Lemaitre et al. 1993).

#### Primary Antibodies

Anti-myc (9E10) antibody is a mouse monoclonal antibody kindly provided by Wolfgang Miller. The anti-TSA antibody is a rabbit polyclonal antibody raised against a peptide corresponding to the last 15amino acids of the P-TSA protein (EKIRKYLEGMIKLDK) (Neosystem). The specificity of the anti-TSA antibody was checked by Western blot in bacteria producing the P-TSA protein (data not shown). Anti-TSA antibody was used at a 1:5000 dilution for P-TSA

protein detection. The last 15 amino acids of the P-BOC proteins differ by only three residues  $(DKIRKY/EGMIKLDQ)$  from the peptide chosen to produce the anti-TSA antibody. The capacity of the anti-TSA antibodies to specifically recognize the P-BOC protein was tested by Western blots on bacteria that produce RL1 or RL2 P-BOC proteins. The anti-TSA antibody is able to reveal the presence of both P-BOC proteins, but less efficiently than P-TSA (data not shown). Thus, a fivefold higher concentration (1:1000 dilution) of anti-TSA antibody is required for P-BOC protein detection; in some cases this gives rise to background noise.

#### Western Blot

Protein extraction was performed as described by Gdula and Corces (1997). Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG antibody (Sigma) was used at a 1:40,0000 dilution. ECL (Amersham) detection was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions.

#### Immunostaining of Polytene Chromosomes

The P-tsa-myc, P-boc-myc, and ywc lines were crossed with the inducer hsp70-gal4 line and the L3 instar larvae progeny were heat shocked at  $37^{\circ}$ C for 1 h. After 4 h at room temperature, the salivary glands were dissected out, squashed, and immunostained. The immunostaining protocol elaborated by G. Cavalli (http:// www.igh.cnrs.fr/equip/cavalli/link.labgoodies.html) was adapted from Zink and Paro (1995). Anti-myc primary antibody was used at a 1:30 dilution. Secondary antibody Alexa Fluor 488 goat antimouse IgG  $(H + L)$  conjugate "highly cross adsorb" (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) was used at a 1:360 dilution in blocking reagent plus 2% normal goat serum (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). Slides were mounted in 0.13 g/ml Mowiol (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA) and 30% glycerol in Tris–HCl, pH 8.5. Chromosomes were analyzed under a fluorescent microscope (Leica) and pictures were taken using a camera (Princeton Instruments) and the Metaview software.

#### Results

# Detection of P-TSA and P-BOC Proteins in the Host Species

In previous studies, the transcripts of the P-tsa and P-boc neogenes were detected in D. tsacasi and D. bocqueti, respectively (Nouaud and Anxolabéhère 1997; Nouaud et al. 2003). To check for the presence of P-TSA and P-BOC proteins in these species, a Western blot was performed using protein extracts of D. tsacasi and D. bocqueti adults with antibody specifically directed against the P-TSA protein (see Materials and Methods). A specific band of about 66 kDa was revealed in both species (Fig. 2), which corresponded to the predicted weight of the P-TSA and P-BOC proteins, indicating that the montium P neogenes produce amino acid products. As expected, a single band was detected in the D. bocqueti protein extracts, since RL1 and RL2, the proteins corresponding to the two transcripts of the *P-boc* neogene, differ only by about 0.5 kDa (Fig. 1).



Fig. 2. Presence of the P-TSA and P-BOC neoproteins in their host species. Western blot on adult protein extracts of D. melanogaster (negative control), D. tsacasi, and D. bocqueti. A and **B** are two distinct experiments with two concentrations of the primary antibody: in B, the concentration of anti-TSA used was five times higher than in A.

# P-tsa and P-boc Promoters Are Active in the Brain and Gonads of Both Larvae and Adults

The transcription initiation site of the *P-tsa* neogene had been determined previously by 5' RACE experiments, indicating that exon –1 and the promoter driving the expression of this sequence, identified by in silico analysis and located at position  $-282$  nt, are provided by host genomic sequences. Although exon –1 is not translated, the splicing sites of the intron between exon –1 and exon 0 have been conserved in at least three other montium P neogenes tested (in D. bocqueti, D. nikananu, and D. davidi) (Nouaud et al. 1999). This conservation could be due to a role of these 5¢ UTRs in regulating the expression of the montium P neogenes. A gfp reporter gene was used to investigate the regulatory properties of the upstream regions of the P-tsa and P-boc neogenes in a heterologous system. One thousand two hundred eight nucleotides of the P-tsa and 739 nt of P-boc upstream sequences, including the promoter and the 5<sup> $\prime$ </sup> UTR sequences, were inserted upstream from the start codon of the gfp gene. The constructs were used to transform a D. melanogaster line (see Materials and Methods). The six transformed lines obtained are called the  $tsa-GFP$  and  $boc-GFP$  lines.  $gfp$  expression was determined by fluorescent microscopy, and the results are shown in Fig. 3. In the tsa-GFP and boc-GFP lines, *gfp* expression during the larval stage was limited to the larval brain (Fig. 3A) and the female and male gonads (Fig. 3B). The same expression pattern of the gfp was observed in adults of the tsa-GFP and *boc-GFP* transgenic lines: GFP staining was observed in the adult brain, ovaries, and testis. Three tsa-GFP and three boc-GFP lines harboring independent insertions were analyzed by microscopy: they displayed the same expression pattern as described previously. These findings suggest that the expression of the gfp transgene does not depend on its insertion site and reflects the regulation properties of the upstream regions of the P-tsa and P-boc sequences in the D. melanogaster heterologous system.

To test the presence of P-TSA and P-BOC proteins in brains and ovaries of larvae and adults in their species of origin, a Western blot assay was carried out using *D. tsacasi* and *D. bocqueti* protein extracts from different adult body parts and whole larvae. As expected, specific bands were observed for both species in the larvae, adult heads, and ovaries but not in the thorax (Fig. 4). These results are consistent with the *gfp* expression assays, and taken together, these data suggest that the montium P neoproteins are produced specifically in the brain and the gonads of larvae and adults of both sexes.

# The P-tsa and P-boc Neogenes Do Not Repress Either the Transposition or the Transcription of a Distant P Element

With regard to the repressive properties of the 66-kDa protein encoded by the canonical  $P$  element, the montium P neogene proteins could also have repressive properties against any P sequence, and this could account for their maintenance in the host. The expression of the *montium P* neogene represson-like proteins (RP) in the male and female gonads is consistent with this hypothesis. In a previous study, Nouaud and Anxolabéhère (1997) screened nine species including D. tsacasi and D. bocqueti and did not detect any P sequence related to the P subfamily at the origin of the P neogenes. However, other types of P elements are present in the montium subgroup: the Mtype subfamily (Hagemann et al. 1998) and the K-type subfamily (Nouaud et al. 2003). Their putative repressors present 57.1% and 55.3% identity with the P-TSA protein, respectively. Consequently, the function of the *montium P* neo-genes could be related to the repression of divergent  $P$  elements transposition in trans. To test for this property, a gonadal dysgenesis  $(GD A^*)$  repression assay was performed using the P element of D. melanogaster as the mobile sequence that could be repressed by the montium P neogenes. This assay reveals the ability of a given genome to repress GD sterility induced by the transposition of canonical  $P$  elements. Indeed, canonical  $P$  elements present 60.8% identity with the montium P neogenes at the amino acid level. D. melanogaster transgenic lines harboring one or several *P-tsa* transgenes driven by their own promoter ( $PR$  lines) or by the  $otu$  promoter, a germline-specific promoter  $(pCog-P-tsa$  lines), have been constructed (see Materials and Methods). Females of these transgenic lines were crossed with males of the Harwich-2 strain, which carries about 80 P elements. The Harwich-2 strain induces complete GD sterility (100% of atrophic ovaries) when crossed with a strain devoid of P elements. Seven PR lines and two pCog-P-tsa lines harboring independent transgene insertions have been tested. The progeny from all crosses displayed complete susceptibility to P element, resulting in 100% GD A\* sterility. Complete GD



Fig. 3. gfp expression in D. melanogaster driven by the upstream region of the P-boc neogene (boc-GFP). The same expression pattern is presented by tsa-GFP transgene (data not shown). The white arrows indicate tissues of nontransformed lines used as negative

controls. A Larval brain. B Larval male gonad. C Adult brain. D Ovaries. E boc-GFP testes are green; negative control testes have yellow background staining. The photos are not on the same scale.



Fig. 4. Presence of the P-TSA protein in the whole larvae and in adult heads and ovaries. Western blot on protein extracts of D. melanogaster whole adults (negative control), and on the larvae and adult heads, thorax, and ovaries of D. tsacasi. The P-BOC protein was detected in extracts of the same tissues of D. bocqueti (data not shown).

sterility also occurs when the recipient line of the *P-tsa* transgenes,  $w^{1118}$ , is crossed with the Harwich-2 strain. These results suggest that the *P-tsa* neogene is not able to regulate canonical P element transposition. It has been reported previously that the capacity of a single transgene, which encodes the 66-kDa repressor and prevents GD sterility, could depend on the insertion site of the transgene (Misra et al. 1993). In similar experiments using 35 lines harboring different insertion sites of a transgene encoding the 66-kDa repressor of the canonical P element, significant repression of GD sterility occurs in only 13 lines (Misra et al. 1993). Therefore, the absence of GD sterility regulation by the P-tsa transgenes could be due to position effect insertion sites.

To clarify this point, the capacities of P-tsa and Pboc neogenes to repress the expression of a P-lacZ transgene expressed in somatic cells were investigated. P-lacZ fusion transgenes are transcriptionally repressed by canonical  $P$  regulatory products (Lemaitre and Coen 1991). Canonical P repressors bind specific sites of the canonical P nucleic sequence, located at the 5 $\prime$  (nucleotides 48–68) and the 3 $\prime$  (nucleotides 2855–2871) extremities, which are also present in the  $P$ -lacZ fusion transgenes. The 5 $\prime$  binding site overlaps the P element promoter sequence (Kaufman et al. 1989), and consequently  $P$ -lacZ transcription is repressed in the presence of P protein products. A D. *melanogaster* transgenic line known as  $[PsalI](89)$  and bearing a single transgene encoding a truncated P transposase (Karess and Rubin 1984), is able to repress P-lacZ transgenes expressed in somatic cells (Lemaitre et al. 1993). The same transgenic line is not able to repress either GD sterility or a P-lacZ expressed in the germline (Robertson and Engels 1989; current authors, unpublished results), suggesting that the somatic test would be more sensitive, since it would directly reflect the binding of the P proteins at specific sites. Females from *P-tsa* or *P-boc* expressing transgenic lines were crossed with males from the BA37 line. This line bears a P-lacZ insertion that expresses  $\beta$ -galactosidase only in the somatic tissues of the ovaries (follicle and border cells [Lemaitre et al. 1993]). The progeny was heat shocked, and the ovaries were dissected and stained. The results of this assay are shown in Fig. 5. Crosses with females of the Harwich-2 and  $[Psall](89)$  strains were performed as a control. The *Harwich-2* and [*PsalI*](89) strains repress the expression of the  $P$ -lacZ insert, unlike lines expressing P-boc and P-tsa, which exhibit the same level of staining as the negative control crosses. This implies that *montium P* neogenes are not able to repress, at the transcriptional level, the expression of a P-lacZ even in somatic cells.

## P-TSA and P-BOC Proteins Bind Chromatin In Vivo

The binding of the canonical P transposase and repressor to the specific sites located at the extremities of the P element sequence is mediated by a DNA binding domain (DBD) present at their amino-terminal region (Lee et al. 1996). The results observed above suggest that P-TSA and P-BOC do not bind to these specific sites, even though their DBD is well conserved (Nouaud et al. 2003). Roussigne et al. (2003) have characterized a new DBD, known as the THAP domain, which is present in human genes encoding transcription factors. They provide evidence that the DBD of the canonical P transposase is a THAP-type domain. The THAP domain is also found in all domesticated P neogenes (Quesneville et al. 2005). To determine the in vivo DNA binding properties of the P-TSA and



hsp70-gal4; P-boc-myc

Fig. 5. The *montium P* neogenes are not able to repress a  $P$ -lacZ expression produced in somatic tissues by the BA37 P-lacZ enhancer trap insertion in D. melanogaster. Ovaries of progeny from tested females (indicated in each photo) crossed with BA37 males.<br>The negative control,  $w^{IIB}$  M line is the recipient line of the  $hsp70-$ P-tsa and hsp70-gal4 transgenes. Harwich-2 P strain can considerably repress P-lacZ expression in somatic and germline cells. The

canonical truncated transposase produced by the [PsalI ](89D) insertion represses the expression of a P-lacZ in somatic cells (Lemaitre et al. 1993). The hsp70-gal4; P-boc-myc line bears a Pboc transgene driven by a UAS GAL4-induced enhancer and a second transgene providing the GAL4 peptide after heat shock induction. The  $hsp70-P-tsa$  line harbors a  $P-tsa$  transgene driven by a heat-shock inducible promoter.



Fig. 6. Polytene chromosomes of *D. melanogaster* stained with DAPI (black and white) and immunostained with anti-myc antibody (green). A, B *ywc; hsp70-gal4* is a negative control. C, D, G hsp-70-gal4; P-tsa-myc. E, F, H hsp70-gal4; P-boc-myc. Yellow

arrows indicate centromeres, and white arrows indicate the 56E cytogenetic site. In G, two right arms of the second chromosome of the hsp70-gal4; P-tsa-myc line display the same labeling.

P-BOC proteins, we carried out polytene chromosome immunostaining experiments on transgenic lines of D. melanogaster. The constructs used for the transformation consist of the P-tsa or P-boc sequences, tagged with a myc epitope at their C-terminal extremity, and driven by UAS-GAL4 dependent expression. This inducible system avoids possibly deleterious effects due to the constitutive expression of these proteins. The transformed lines, called the  $P$ -tsa-myc and  $P$ -boc-myc lines, as well as the  $vw^c$  recipient of the *myc*-tagged transgenes, were crossed with an hsp70-gal4 line harboring a gal4 transgene driven by an hsp70 promoter. This cross allows the myc-tagged transgenes of the G1 larvae to be expressed in the salivary glands. The G1 larvae were heat shocked or not, and their salivary glands were squashed and immunostained with anti-myc antibody. Without heat shock no labeling was observed in any case (Fig. 6B). On the contrary after the heat shock, the immunostaining of hsp70-gal4; P-tsa-myc polytene chromosomes revealed numerous labeled bands of variable intensity distributed throughout the chromosomes (Fig. 6D). No staining was observed in the centromeres. These findings show that the P-TSA protein is bound to the chromosome at multiple sites. Most of these binding sites

were recurrently observed, as in Fig. 6G, for example. Similar results were found for hsp70-gal4; Pboc-myc, with one main difference: this assay reveals an additional band of a much higher intensity than the other bands, which is located at chromosome site 56E (Fig. 6F and H). These findings strongly suggest that montium P neoproteins conserve their capacity to bind DNA, and this binding does not require the presence of P homologous sequences in the genome to provide the nucleic binding sites.

### **Discussion**

### Expression of the P-tsa and P-boc Neogenes

To investigate the tissue specificity of the expression of the P-tsa and P-boc neogenes, transgenic lines of D. melanogaster have been generated and the activities of the two P neogene promoters have been determined using the gfp reporter gene. The transgenes are expressed in the brains and gonads of adults and larvae of D. melanogaster. This finding, established in an heterologous system, has been confirmed in the species of origin  $(D. t \text{ } s \text{ } a \text{ } a \text{ } a \text{ } D. b \text{ } o \text{ } c \text{ } q \text{ } u \text{ } c \text{ } i$  by Western blot. However, even if the expression pattern in the heterologous system is in accordance with those revealed in the montium species, there is no evidence that the trans-acting factors in both cases are the same. Interestingly it can be noted that the two genes flanking the montium P neogenes are conserved in *D. melanogaster* (Nouaud et al. 1999), and moreover, literature data provide evidence for their expression in adult heads. The 5' flanking gene (L gene: CG4049) present in the minus strand is expressed in adult heads but the presence of transcripts in other tissues has not been tested (Claridge-Chang et al. 2001). The expression pattern of the 3¢ flanking gene (R gene: CG3253) present in the plus strand has not been studied but its human homolog, called LARGE, is expressed in the central nervous system (Inlow and Restifo 2004). Consequently, it is reasonable to accept that the heterologous expression results obtained in *D. melanogaster* accurately portray the biological situation in the montium species. However, the in silico comparison of the D. melanogaster intergenic sequence (481 bp) with the upstream sequence of the montium P neogene used in the reporter constructs does not show any similarity except to the first 20 bp upstream of the L gene CG4049 (83% identity). Therefore, the hypothesis that the trans-acting factors in both systems are the same is not supported by any sequence data. According to the above observations we propose the following scenario: the  $P$  element at the origin of the montium P neogenes has been inserted in a regulatory region binding trans-acting factors present in one or several head tissues. The intergenic regions have diverged between montium and melanogaster subgroups during speciation and only small motifs binding the trans-acting factors have been conserved. In the absence of any information about these specific motifs, comparison of the full-length intergenic sequence between *montium* and *melanogaster* subgroup species does not show any significant conservation.

# The P-tsa and P-boc Neogenes Are Not Recruited to Repress Transposition

Evolutionarily, the deleterious effects induced by transposition can be regarded as a very transient state, because both the element and its host soon develop mechanisms to repress the activity of the former. Have the montium P neogenes resulted from this kind of coevolution? We have shown that the P-tsa and P-boc neogenes are expressed in the brain and gonads of the larvae and adults of their host species. Their sequence structure and their tissue specificity could suggest that these domesticated elements have been recruited to repress the transposition of mobile  $P$  elements. However, all the  $P$ homologous sequences coexisting in the montium species genomes belong to the M-type and the Ktype subfamilies, which are only 57.1% and 55.3% identical to the *montium P* neogenes (Hagemann et al. 1998; Nouaud et al. 2003). It has been suggested that the M-type subfamily could be an old component of the genomes of the montium species, and is not active any more, since only deleted and degenerate copies are found in these genomes (Hagemann et al. 1998). Conversely, the K-type subfamily is probably active, since a putatively active copy has been identified in the D. bocqueti genome (Nouaud et al. 2003). The distribution of this subfamily through species has only been poorly studied, but it is likely that K-type elements are not present in all montium species, since one (D. kikkawaï) of six species screened for K-type homologous sequences did not reveal any hybridization in Southern blot experiments (Nouaud et al. 2003). Its patchy distribution and its transposition activity suggest that K-type elements could be recent components of the montium species genomes introduced as a result of horizontal transfer, but we do not have enough data to confirm this possibility.

There are several indications that seem to invalidate the hypothesis that *P-tsa* and *P-boc* neogenes are recruited for their repressor properties. First, intermobilization or interregulation between elements belonging to different P subfamilies has never been reported (Haring et al. 2000; Clark et al. 1994). On the contrary, many cases of coexistence of different subfamilies in the same genome have been reported in

Drosophila species (Haring et al. 2000) and tend to suggest that interaction between families does not occur. Indeed, in most cases the coexistence of two or more subfamilies in a genome results from horizontal transfer of one of the subfamilies. If the old subfamily is able to regulate the transposition of the new subfamily, then the invasion of this non-naive genome by the new subfamily should be repressed. This is illustrated by the invasion of the genome of D. melanogaster by the canonical  $P$  element. Complete P element copies able to transpose cannot invade genomes that have deleted copies able to regulate transposition (Periquet et al. 1989). Alternatively, coexistence may result not from horizontal transfer, but from the divergence of two subfamilies from a common ancestor in the same genome. This kind of event is very improbable, because it implicates the emergence of an interaction barrier between copies of the same transposable element family, which could lead to two proteins able to bind only their own DNA sequences.

The second reason for invalidating a repressor function of the P-tsa and P-boc neogenes is the fact that they do not display repressive properties against transposition and transcription of the distant  $P$  elements, such as the canonical P element. The montium P neogenes could be recruited to defend the genome against P element horizontal transfers and invasions. If this is the case, *montium P* neogenes should have ''generalist'' repressor properties enabling them to regulate virtually every kind of P element subfamily. However, our findings show that the montium P neogenes are not able to recognize and regulate canonical P elements, which present only 60.8% identity.

The third evidence arguing against the ''repressor function'' hypothesis is the fact that the mechanisms which control the transposition of  $P$  elements as they are known in D. melanogaster species are complex and cannot be based on a unique  $P$  repressor encoding sequence. Investigation of the regulation of  $P$  element transposition shows that a single transgene encoding a 66-kDa repressor has very slight repressive effects in the germline, which depend on the genomic site of the insert (Misra et al. 1993). For example, one or two telomeric P elements have very strong repressive abilities, but this kind of repression is mediated not by a repressor protein, but by a homology-dependent transsilencing effect (Ronsseray et al. 1991; Marin et al. 2000).

Some function unrelated to the regulation of transposition of P mobile elements coexisting in the genome must therefore be assigned to montium P neogenes. However, the P-boc neogene is known to encode two proteins, RL1 and RL2. The RL2 protein has a K-type THAP domain in its N-terminal extremity, and the possibility that its function is to

repress the transposition of the K-type  $P$  elements cannot be ruled out. If this is the case, only the domestication of exon  $0'$  could be related to its repressive properties.

# The P-tsa and P-boc Neogenes Have a New Function Related to Their DNA Binding Properties

The acquisition of novel proteins via P transposable elements has occurred recurrently in both the obscura group and the montium subgroup of species. In the latter, the first domestication event creates a P neogene, which provides the RL protein (known as RL1 in D. bocqueti). In two clades of the montium subgroup, the original P neogene has undergone insertion events, each of them yielding a new protein RL2, which differs from the RL and RL1 proteins by its amino-terminal region. The DNA-binding domain that characterizes the amino-terminal region of the P transposases, the THAP domain (Quesneville et al. 2005), is conserved in the various neogene proteins. This suggests that it could be the target of P domestication. Indeed, we show that the P-TSA and the P-BOC proteins bind chromatin in vivo, and that this binding does not require the presence of P homologous sequences to provide the binding sites. Chromatin binding, together with the conservation of the THAP domain, strongly suggests that these proteins bind DNA rather than a chromatin-specific protein. In addition, preliminary results of electrophoresis migration shift assays show that at least RL1 and RL2 proteins show a strong affinity for DNA but the sequences which specifically bind these proteins are not yet identified.

What is the function of these DNA-binding proteins? Roussigne et al. (2003) have shown that the DBD of the canonical P protein corresponds to a novel protein motif conserved through evolution, designated the THAP domain, that defines a new family of cellular factors. This motif is shared by many animal proteins, in particular, the  $NF$ - $\kappa$ B transcription factors. Two major observations have been made using chromosome immunolocalization experiments. First, the P-TSA (RL) and P-BOC (RL1 and RL2) proteins are found at more than 100 binding sites scattered over the arms of the chromosomes. This observation is consistent with the DNA binding function of these proteins. Thus, these proteins could play an important role in two different but nonexclusive ways, first by directly regulating the expression of many different euchromatic regions and, second, by modifying the structure of chromatin. Tudor et al. (1992) provided the first example of a class II transposable element protein with a chromatin structure-related function. This was CENP-B, which is one of the centromere proteins of mammals

derived from the transposase of the pogo superfamily element. It must be noted that unlike the CENP-B protein, which is a heterochromatin protein, P-TSA and P-BOC proteins are not detected in centromeric regions (Fig. 6). The second observation concerns the accumulation of the P-BOC proteins at the 56E cytogenetic site. Since the P-TSA protein does not accumulate at this site, the P-BOC protein responsible for the 56E high-intensity band could be the RL2 protein, which has a THAP domain very divergent from those of the P-TSA (RL) and RL1 proteins. Another possibility is that the RL1 and RL2 P-BOC proteins could form heterodimers that specifically bind at the 56E site.

A survey of the 56E cytogenetic site reveals that it is a 160-kb region presenting about 30 genes. Among the genes with known functions, there are the OBP genes (Odorant Binding Protein), with six of its members located at the 56E cytogenetic site. These proteins are involved in the odorant and gustative perception of the fly and act by binding specific odorant ligands. Thus, the P-BOC proteins could modulate the expression of these genes and, thus, increase the fitness of the host. Some data in the literature are in favor of this hypothesis. First, transposable elements, or some of them, are more often recruited to interact with the expression of genes involved in the response to external stimuli than genes with more fundamental functions (van de Lagemaat et al. 2003). Second, like P-tsa and P-boc neogenes, molecular domestication of transposable elements probably recruited for their DNA binding properties occurs frequently in the brain. For example, the mammalian Mar genes derived from the gag region of Ty3/gypsy retrotransposons have a wellconserved DBD and are expressed mainly in the brain (Brandt et al. 2004). The expression of the montium P neo-genes in the gonads could be a reminiscence of the initial repressive properties of these sequences according to the following scenario. The immobilization of the original P element took place downstream of a cryptic promoter that is active in the brain and gonads. At this point, mobile P copies belonging to the subfamily of the immobilized  $P$  sequence were still present in the genome. The immobilized P sequence, encoding a repressor protein, could contribute to the repression of the transposition of closely related mobile copies in germline cells in parallel with another cellular function in the brain. Later, these mobile copies were lost, and currently only the new function in the brain is advantageous for the host. In general, it is unlikely that a neogene would have essential functions, especially if the domestication events are relatively recent, as is the case for the molecular domestication in the montium subgroup of species (20 MY). Conversely, it is more likely that neogenes enhance the fitness of the host by increasing its ability to reproduce, defend itself, or perceive food.

Acknowledgments. We thank Isabelle Gonclaves and Helène Thomassin for insightful discussions. This work was supported by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, the Universities P and M Curie, and Denis Diderot (Institut Jacques Monod, UMR 7592-GDR 2157, Dynamique du Gènome et Evolution). DR. was the recipient of a fellowship from the French Government.

### References

- Agrawal A, Eastman QM, Schatz DG (1998) Transposition mediated by RAG1 and RAG2 and its implications for the evolution of the immune system. Nature 394:744–751
- Best S, Le Tissier P, Towers G, Stoy JP (1996) Positional cloning of the mouse retrovirus restriction gene Fv1 Nature. 382:826– 829
- Biessmann H, Valgeirsdottir K, Lofsky A, Chin C, Ginther B, Levis RW, Pardue ML (1992) HeT-A, a transposable element specifically involved in ''healing'' broken chromosome ends in Drosophila. Mol Cell Biol 12:3910–3918
- Brandt J, Veith A-M, Volff J-N (2005) A family of neofunctionalized Ty3/gypsy retrotransposon genes in mammalian genomes. Cytogenet Genome Res (in press)
- Claridge-Chang A, Wijnen H, Naef F, Boothroyd C, Rajewsky N, Young MW (2001) Circadian regulation of gene expression systems in the Drosophila head. Neuron 32:657–671
- Clark JB, Maddison WP, Kidwell MG (1994) Phylogenetic analysis supports horizontal transfer of P transposable elements. Mol Biol Evol 11:40–50
- Comer A, Searles L, Kalfayan L (1992) Identification of a genomic DNA fragment containing the Drosophila melanogaster ovarian tumor gene  $(out)$  and localization of regions governing its expression. Gene 118:171–179
- Engels WR (1989) P elements in Drosophila. In: Berg DE, Howe MM (eds) Mobile DNA. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC, pp 437–484
- Gdula DA, Corces VG (1997) Characterization of functional domains of the su(Hw) protein that mediate the silencing effect of mod(mdg4) mutations. Genetics 145:153–161
- Hambor JE, Mennone J, Coon ME, Hanke JH, Kavathas P (1993) Identification and characterization of an Alu-containing, T-cellspecific enhancer located in the last intron of the human CD8 alpha gene. Mol Cell Biol 13:7056–7070
- Hagemann S, Haring E, Pinsker W (1998) Horizontal transmission versus vertical inheritance of P elements in Drosophila and Scaptomyza: Has the M-type subfamily spread from the East Asia? J. Zool Syst Evol Res 36:75–83
- Haring E, Hagemann S, Pinsker W (2000) Ancient and recent horizontal invasions of drosophilids by P elements. J Mol Evol 51(6):577–586
- Inlow JK, Restifo LL (2004) Molecular and comparative genetics of mental retardation. Genetics 166:835–881
- Karess RE, Rubin GM (1984) Analysis of P transposable element functions in Drosophila. Cell 38:135–146
- Kaufmann PD, Doll RF, Rio DC (1989) Drosophila P element transposase recognizes internal P element DNA sequences. Cell 59:359–371
- Kidwell MG, Kidwell JF, Sved JA (1977) Hybrid dysgenesis in Drosophila melanogaster: a syndrome of aberrant traits including mutation, sterility and male recombination. Genetics 86:813–833
- Kidwell MG, Lisch DR (2001) Transposable elements, parasitic DNA, and genome evolution. Evolution 55:1–24
- Laski FA, Rio DC, Rubin GM (1986) Tissue specificity of Drosophila P element transposition is regulated at the level of mRNA splicing. Cell 44:7–19
- Lee CC, Mul YM, Rio DC (1996) The Drosophila P-element KP repressor protein dimerizes and interacts with multiple sites on P-element DNA. Mol Cell Biol 16:5616–5622
- Lemaitre B, Coen D (1991)  $P$  regulatory products repress in vivo the promoter activity in P-lacZ fusion genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 88:4419–4423
- Lemaitre B, Ronsseray S, Coen D (1993) maternal repression of the P element promoter in the germline of Drosophila melanogaster: a model for the P cytotype. Genetics 135:149–160
- Levis RW, Ganesan R, Houtchens K, Tolar LA, Sheen FM (1993) Transposons in place of telomeric repeats at a Drosophila telomere. Cell 75:1083–1093
- Marin L, Lehmann M, Nouaud D, Izaabel H, Anxolabehere D, Ronsseray S (2000) P-element repression in Drosophila melanogaster by a naturally occurring defective telomeric P copy. Genetics 155:1841–1854
- Miller WJ, Hagemann S, Reiter E, Pinsker W (1992) P element homologous sequences are tandemly repeated in the genome of D. guanche. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 89:4018–4022
- Miller WJ, Paricio N, Hagemann S, Martinez-Sebastian MJ, Pinsker W, de Frutos R (1995) Structure and expression of clustered P element homologues in Drosophila subobscura and Drosophila guanche. Gene 156:167–174
- Misra S, Buratowski RM, Ohkawa T, Rio DC (1993) Cytotype control of *Drosophila melanogaster P* element transposition: genomic position determines maternal repression. Genetics 135:785–800
- Misra S, Rio DC (1990) Cytotype control of the Drosophila P element transposition: the 66 kd protein is a repressor of transposase activity. Cell 62:269–284
- Nouaud D, Anxolabéhère D (1997) P element domestication: a stationary truncated P element may encode a 66-kDa repressorlike protein in the Drosophila montium species subgroup. Mol Biol Evol 14:1132–1144
- Nouaud D, Boeda B, Levy L, Anxolabéhère D (1999) A P element has induced intron formation in Drosophila. Mol Biol Evol 16:1503–1510
- Nouaud D, Quesneville H, Anxolabéhère D (2003) Recurrent exon shuffling between distant P–element families. Mol Biol Evol 20:190–199
- O'Hare K, Rubin GM (1983) Structure of transposable elements and the site of insertion and excision in the Drosophila melanogaster genome. Cell 34:25–35
- Periquet G, Ronsseray S, Hamelin MH (1989) Are Drosophila melanogaster populations under a stable geographical differentiation due to the presence of P elements? Heredity 63: 47–58
- Perrin L, Bloyer S, Ferraz C, Agrawal N, Sinha P, Dura J-M (2003) The leucine zipper motif of the Drosophila AF10 homologue can inhibit PRE-mediated repression: implications for leuke-

mogenic activity of human MLL-AF10 fusions. Mol Cell Biol  $23 \cdot 119 - 130$ 

- Pinsker W, Haring E, Hagemann S, Miller WJ (2001) The evolutionary life history of  $P$  transposons: from horizontal invaders to domesticated neogenes. Chromosoma 110:148–158
- Quesneville H, Nouaud D, Anxolabehere D (2005) Recurrent recruitment of the THAP DNA-binding domain and molecular domestication of the P-transposable element. Mol Biol Evol 22:741–746
- Rio DC, Laski FA, Rubin GM (1986) Identification and immunochemical analysis of biologically active Drosophila P element transposase. Cell 44:21–32
- Robertson HM, Engels WR (1989) Modified P elements that mimic the P cytotype in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 123:815– 824
- Robinson DN, Cooley L (1997) Examination of the function of two kelch proteins generated by stop codon suppression. Development 124:1405–1417
- Ronsseray S, Lehmann M, Anxolabehere D (1991) The maternally inherited regulation of P elements in Drosophila melanogaster can be elicited by two P copies at cytological site 1A on the X chromosome. Genetics 129:501–512
- Roussigne M, Kossida S, Lavigne AC, Clouaire T, Ecochard V, Glories A, Amalric F, Girard JP (2003) The THAP domain: a novel protein motif with similarity to the DNA-binding domain of P element transposase. Trends Biochem Sci 28:66–69
- Rubin GM, Kidwell MG, Bingham PM (1982) The molecular basis of P-M dysgenesis: the nature of induced mutations. Cell 29:987–994
- Rubin GM, Spradling AC (1982) Genetic transformation of Drosophila with transposable element vectors. Science 218:348–353
- Samuelson LC, Phillips RS, Swanberg LJ (1996) Amylase gene structures in primates: retroposon insertions and promoter evolution. Mol Biol Evol 13:767–779
- Thummel CS, Boulet AM, Lipshitz HD (1988) Vectors for Drosophila P-element mediated transformation and tissue culture transfection. Gene 74:445–456
- Thummel CS, Pirrota V (1991) New CaspeR P-element vectors. Drosophila Info Newslett 2 71:150
- Tudor M, Lobocka M, Goodell M, Pettitt J, O'Hare K (1992) The pogo transposable element family of Drosophila melanogaster. Mol Gen Genet 232:126–134
- van de Lagemaat LN, Landry J-R, Mager DL, Medstrand P (2003) Transposable elements in mammals promote regulatory variation and diversification of genes with specialized functions. Trends Genet 19:530–536
- Zink D, Paro R (1995) Drosophila Polycomb-group regulated chromatin inhibits the accessibility of a trans-activator to its target DNA. EMBO J 14:5660–5671