

Relationships Among Stop Codon Usage Bias, Its Context, Isochores, and Gene Expression Level in Various Eukaryotes

Jingchun Sun, Ming Chen, Jinlin Xu, Jianhua Luo

School of Life Sciences & Technology, Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai 200240, China

Received: 9 September 2004 / Accepted: 25 January 2005 [Reviewing Editor: Dr. Manyuan Long]

Abstract. It is well known that stop codons play a critical role in the process of protein synthesis. However, little effort has been made to investigate whether stop codon usage exhibits biases, such as widely seen for synonymous codon usage. Here we systematically investigate stop codon usage bias in various eukaryotes as well as its relationships with its context, GC3 content, gene expression level, and secondary structure. The results show that there is a strong bias for stop codon usage in different eukaryotes, i.e., UAA is overrepresented in the lower eukaryotes, UGA is overrepresented in the higher eukaryotes, and UAG is least used in all eukaryotes. Different conserved patterns for each stop codon in different eukaryotic classes are found based on information content and logo analysis. GC3 contents increase with increasing complexity of organisms. Secondary structure prediction revealed that UAA is generally associated with loop structures, whereas UGA is more uniformly present in loop and stem structures, i.e., UGA is less biased toward having a particular structure. The stop codon usage bias, however, shows no significant relationship with GC3 content and gene expression level in individual eukaryotes. The results indicate that genomic complexity and GC3 content might contribute to stop codon usage bias in different eukaryotes. Our results indicate that stop codons, like synonymous codons, exhibit biases in usage.

Correspondence to: Jianhua Luo, 800 Dong-chuan Road, Shanghai 200240, China; email: jhluo@sjtu.edu.cn

Additional work will be needed to understand the causes of these biases and their relationship to the mechanism of protein termination.

Key words: Stop codon — CAI value — Secondary structure — Gene expression level — Isochores

Introduction

Termination of protein synthesis, one of the most important steps in gene expression, mainly involves stop codons, release factors, and the ribosome (Kisselev et al. 2003). In general, when one of the there stop codons is translocated into the ribosomal A-site and decoded by the release factor, the termination process is triggered. But the mechanism for the coexistence of three alternative stop codons in the same organism still remains unclear. In 1988, Sharp and Bulmer (1988) investigated the frequency of stop codons in several bacteria and yeast using the limited sequence data available at the time. With many eukaryotic sequences now available, it is possible to examine stop codon usage bias across a much broader phylogenetic scale.

Though stop codons play a key role in the process of protein synthesis, it has been shown that trinucleotides (stop codons) alone are insufficient for efficient translation termination (Tate et al. 1996). Nucleotides in both the upstream region (i.e., the coding region) and the downstream region (i.e., 3' uncoding region) around stop codons are significantly biased and affect gene translation efficiency in

Table 1. The numbers and groups of the analyzed sequences from 3UTRdb-15

Species	UAA	UGA	UAG	Gene Number	Gene Number in 3UTRdb 1946	
Fungi	1032	425	458	1915		
Invertebrate	5467	3022	2685	11174	11413	
Plant	6232	6933	3516	16681	16882	
Other vertebrate	2520	2693	1332	6545	6695	
Other mammal	1334	2169	1067	4570	4805	
Rodent	3541	6406	2976	12923	13391	
Human	5563	9811	4438	19812	20196	
Total	25689	31459	16472	73620	75328	

many eukaryotes (Cassan and Rousset 2001; McCaughan et al. 1995; Mottagui-Tabar and Isaksson 1997; Namy et al. 2001). Therefore correlation of characteristics of sequence patterns around stop codons with stop codon usage would provide clues for understanding the stop codon usage bias.

In fact, three stop codons have the same function in the termination process so they can be regarded as synonymous codons too. Previous research on synonymous codon usage often analyzed GC3 content (the GC level at their third codon positions) and gene expression level to reveal the correlation between codon bias and gene expression level or GC content and, further, to investigate the effectiveness of natural selection (Duan and Antezana 2003; Duret and Mouchiroud 1999; Gillespie 2000; Herbeck et al. 2003; Kliman et al. 2003; Vinogradov 2003). In addition, when a stop codon enters the ribosome and triggers the termination process, it must interact with proteins or/and rRNA, thus it might be informative to know the structural features of the three nucleotides within a stop codon.

In the present work, we systematically studied stop codon usage bias in various eukaryotes as well as its relationships with its context, secondary structure features, GC3 isochores, and gene expression level. The results show that UAA is overrepresented in eukaryotes with lower complexity, while UGA is overrepresented in eukaryotes with higher complexity. Different conserved patterns around each stop codon in different eukaryotic classes were found. GC3 contents increase with increasing complexity of organisms. Secondary structure prediction revealed that UAA is generally associated with loop structures, whereas UGA is more uniformly present in loop and stem structures, i.e., UGA is less biased toward having a particular structure. Stop codon usage bias, however, shows no significant relationship with GC3 contents and gene expression level in individual eukaryotes.

The results indicate that the genomic complexity and GC3 content might contribute to the stop codon usage bias in different eukaryotes. They also indicate that stop codons, like synonymous codons, exhibit biases in usage. Additional work will be needed to understand the causes of these biases and their relationship to the mechanism of protein termination.

Materials and Methods

Sequence Retrieval

In order to accurately determine the position of the stop codon in a sequence, we first downloaded 3' untranslated sequences from the 3UTRdb-15 database (Pesole et al. 2002). The database is specialized for 3' untranslated sequences of genes in eukaryotic organisms and eukaryotic viruses. In order to determine the upstream context of stop codons, we abstracted the accession numbers from the above data and downloaded the corresponding CDSs (complete coding sequences) from EMBL (Stoesser et al. 2003) through the sequence retrieval system (SRS) (entries without counterparts in EMBL were deleted). All sequences were sorted the same as the UTRdb databank classes (viral sequences discarded). Sequences of each class were sorted into UAA group genes, UAG group genes, and UGA group genes, respectively, according to the stop codon types. The numbers and classes of all sequences analyzed are listed in Table 1.

Because each organism has its own codon usage table, it is necessary to select a representative for each class when measuring the gene expression level. Therefore we selected five model organisms, i.e., fission yeast, fruit fly, thale cress, zebrafish, and mouse, and human for each class except for the "other mammal" class. The gene sequences of these six organisms were downloaded from the corresponding databases (Table 2).

Calculation of Synonymous Codon Usage Value

The relative synonymous codon usage (RSCU) value is often used to compare synonymous codon usage bias among different genes or organisms (Sharp et al. 1986). In fact, three stop codons share the same function during the process of protein synthesis so they can be regarded as synonymous codons. So we used the modified RSCU formula to study the stop codon bias in seven eukaryotes classes, which is represented as:

$$\operatorname{RSCU}_{i} = \frac{X_{i}}{\frac{1}{3}\sum_{i=1}^{3} X_{i}}$$

where i = UAA, UAG or UGA and X_i is the number of occurrences of stop codon *i*.

Table 2. Analyzed CD numbers and gene expression level, GC3 content, and resources of five model organisms and human

	Gene Number			Gene expression level		GC3 content (mean %)					
Species	Total	UAA	UAG	UGA	UAA	UAG	UGA	UAA	UAG	UGA	Data resource
Fission yeast	4,853	2,836	1,043	974	0.7026	0.6995	0.6983	0.3386	0.3389	0.4289	Sanger Center
Thale Cress	28,577	1,0168	6,041	12,368	0.7716	0.7704	0.7719	0.4287	0.4305	0.4289	Arabidopsis thaliana Columbia
Fruit fly	7,030	2,818	2,272	1,940	0.7290	0.7309	0.7266	0.5820	0.5886	0.5879	Genepredictions
Zebrafish	3,265	1,190	596	1,479	0.7900	0.7900	0.7898	0.5807	0.5871	0.5866	ZGS in GenBank
Mouse (44 tissues)	5,084	1,317	1,153	2,614	0.4022	0.3939	0.4040	0.5735	0.6181	0.6213	GPL81 in GEO
Human (30 tissues)	6,024	1,703	1,356	2,965	0.3929	0.4034	0.3976	0.5516	0.6389	0.6408	GPL91 in GEO

Calculation of Information Content and Logo Analysis

In order to analyze nucleotide patterns around stop codons, we extracted neighboring sequences (50 nucleotides upstream and 50 nucleotides downstream) around stop codons. To locate the position of the highly conserved pattern around each translation termination site, we calculated the information content (Schneider et al. 1986) for each position with respect to the stop codons.

Information content is calculated as follows:

$$H(L) = \sum_{i=A,T,C,G} P_{(i,L)} \log_2 \frac{P_{(i,L)}}{P_i}$$

where L is the position with respect to the stop codon, $P_{(i,L)}$ is the average probability of nucleotide *i* in the genes being analyzed, and P_i is the random average probability of each of the four nucleotides appearing.

Based on the conserved regions derived from information content analyses, logo analyses were performed on the 20 nucleotides around stop codons using the Web site tool, WebLogo (Crooks et al. 2004), which can generate graphical representations of the patterns within a multiple sequence alignment.

Calculation of Gene Expression Level and GC3 Content

When measuring gene expression level, we used the codon adaptation index (CAI) as the indicator for less complex organisms such as fission yeast, fruit fly, thale cress, and zebrafish (Sharp and Li 1987). We applied the program Cai in the EMBOSS package (version 2.8.0) (Rice et al. 2000) to create an individual codon usage table for each organism and calculated the corresponding CAI value of each gene.

However, it is not suitable to use the CAI to estimate the gene expression level for more complex organisms such as mouse and human since it has been shown that highly expressed vertebrates genes do not display high codon usage bias (Duret 2002). Thus for mouse and human we used the tissue number relative probability (Arhondakis et al. 2004; Konu and D.Li 2002) calculated from microarray data to estimate the gene expression level. Affimatrix GPL91 of human and Affimetrix GPL81 of mouse were downloaded from Gene Expression Omnibus in GenBank. A gene was regarded as expressed if its signal level exceeded a conservative threshold of 200 arbitrary units (Su et al. 2002). The tissue number relative probability was calculated as the number of tissues that a gene expressed / the total number of tissues. The data on characterized genes expressed in at least one normal tissue were used (Table 2). The samples and replicates representing the same tissue were averaged.

Prediction of Secondary Structure

We used 50 nucleotides, including the termination site (23 upstream nucleotides and 24 downstream nucleotides) of all CDSs, to predict the secondary structures using the RNA secondary structure prediction program, Vienna RNA Package 2.0, with the default parameters (including the temperature parameter 37°C for all species) (Hofacker 2003). Then we statistically analyzed the states of the three nucleotides of the stop codon on the predicted secondary structures. Each nucleotide is either within the loop (abbreviated L) or within the stem (abbreviated S), so there may be eight states for three nucleotides, abbreviated LLL, LLS, LSL, LSS, SLL, SSL, SLS, and SSS, respectively.

The statistical analyses of all data were analyzed using the software Matlab 6.5.

Results

Stop Codon Usage Bias in Eukaryotes

The usage of three stop codons in seven eukaryotic organism classes varies as revealed by the RSCU values in Fig. 1. Variance analysis showed that the three stop codon usages are significantly different among seven eukaryotic classes (p < 0.01) and coefficient correlation analysis showed that there is a highly negative correlation between UAA usage and UGA usage (R = -0.9925). Statistically, codon UAA is overrepresented in less complex eukaryotes such as yeast and invertebrate; stop codon UGA is overrepresented in more complex eukaryotes, especially in vertebrates; and stop codon UAG is less used in all organisms compared with UAA and UGA.

Relative to the preferred stop codon, the two other stop codons, UGA and UAG in yeast and invertebrates and UAA and UAG in other mammals, basically have no difference in their usages. Interestingly, in plants and other vertebrates, although UAG is least used, UGA and UAA are essentially equally used. All the observations indicate that different organisms select their own preferred stop codon. Moreover, this kind of selection gradually changes from fungi to human, namely, the usage of stop codon UAA gradually decreases from less complex organisms to more complex organisms, while the



Fig. 1. RSCU values of three stop codons in various eukaryotes. The vertical axis represents the RSCU value of the

Fig. 2. Information content values of 100 nucleotides around the stop codons in seven classes of eukaryotes. Information content values of the three stop codons were 2, but for mapping convenience, the information contents of the stop codon is designated 0. The vertical axis represents the information content values. The horizontal axis represents the nucleotide position, that is, -1 means

usage of stop codon UGA gradually increases from less complex to more complex organisms.

Conserved Patterns Around Stop Codons

Since each class of eukaryotes selects its individual preferred stop codons, it is natural to ask if there exist

immediately before the stop codon, while +1 means immediately after the stop codon; 0 indicates the position of the second nucleotide of the stop codon. The blue curve represents the UAA group genes, the green curve represents the UAG group genes, and the red curve represents the UGA group genes.

different conserved patterns around different stop codons and, if so, where they are and what they are. To answer these questions, we analyzed the information content of each position around stop codons in seven eukaryotic classes (Figure 2). Variance analysis of the information content values of 100 positions showed that not only are the information content values of the three stop codon groups in each





Fig. 3. Logo analyses of 20 nucleotides around the stop codon (including the stop codon) in seven classes of eukaryotes. The vertical axis represents the conservation at a certain position (measured in bits) (Crooks et al. 2004). The highest bit is 2 for the stop codon position. For mapping convenience, the stop codons were removed from the resultant map. The horizontal axis represents the nucleotide position around the stop codon. Here the

positions of the three nucleotides of the stop codon are indicated as -1, 0, and 1; the last two nucleotides upstream are -2 and -3; the first nucleotide downstream is 2; and so on. In the text, however, for consistency with the description of the information content, the last two nucleotides upstream are indicated as -2 and -1, the first nucleotide downstream is +1, and so on.

class significantly different (p < 0.01), but also the information content values of the same stop codon groups in seven eukaryotic classes are significantly different (p < 0.01). Further analyses revealed that these differences are mainly caused by the first position in the downstream region (+1 position) and the last two positions in the upstream region (-1 position and -2 position), as their information content values are much higher than those of other positions. Therefore, these positions have more conserved sequence patterns than other positions do.

In order to determine which nucleotide is the main contributor to the high information content value, we conducted logo analysis on only 20 nucleotides around the stop codon (including the stop codon) (Fig. 3). Figure 3 shows that the bits which indicate the sequence conservation for the four nucleotides A, T, G, and C at the last two positions in the upstream region (referred to as the -1 and -2

positions hereafter, but corresponding to the -2 and -3 positions in Fig. 3) and the first position in the downstream region (+1 position, but corresponding to the +2 position in Fig. 3) around preferred stop codons in various classes are significantly different. In fungus, invertebrate, and plant classes, the bits of nucleotide A at both the -1 position and the +1position around the preferred stop codon UAA are highest. In other mammal, rodent, and human classes, the bit of nucleotide C at the -1 position and the bit of nucleotide G at the +1 position around the preferred stop codon UGA are highest. However, in other vertebrates, the bits of nucleotide A at the -2 position and the bits of nucleotide C at the -1 position are highest, and the bit of nucleotide G at the +1 position is highest. Thus different conserved patterns for each stop codon in different eukaryotic classes can be deduced from the above observations.



Fig. 4. The secondary structure types of the three nucleotides of the stop codon in various species. The horizontal axis represents the types of secondary structures. The vertical axis represents the frequencies of the secondary structure types.

Figure 3 also shows that the bits of the conserved positions around stop codon UAA become smaller and smaller from fungi to human, while, on the contrary, the bits of the conserved positions around stop codon UGA become larger. In addition to the difference in conserved patterns among different classes, the conserved patterns of the three stop codon groups in the same class also differ. Except for the plant and fungus classes, the bits of conserved patterns around the preferred stop codons are higher than for the other two codon groups. These results indicate that the conserved patterns around the stop codon have a certain relationship with stop codons bias, namely, for each organism, the preferred stop codon has its own special preferred conserved pattern around itself.

Relationships Among Stop Codon Usage, GC3 Content, and Gene Expression Level

Table 2 presents the average GC3 contents and average gene expression level indicator values for different stop codon groups of the five model organisms and human. In the same organism the GC3 content does not differ significantly among the three stop codon groups (p > 0.05), whereas the GC3 content increases more and more from fungi to human. Table 2 also shows that the average gene expression level among the three stop codon groups in the five model organisms and human does not differ significantly (p > 0.05).

Predicted Secondary Structures of Stop Codons

Figure 4 presents the statistic analysis of the predicted secondary structure states of the three nucleotides of stop codons in seven eukaryotic classes. Variance analysis showed that the secondary structure states of the three stop codons are significantly different in each class (p < 0.01), while there are no significant differences among the seven eukaryotic classes(p > 0.05). The three nucleotides of stop codon UAA are mainly located at the loop; the three nucleotides of stop codon UAG appeared mainly as three states, LLL, LLS, and SSS, respectively; and the three nucleotides of stop codon UGA appeared mainly as five states, LLL, LSS, SLL, SSL, and SSS, respectively. This indicates that different propensities of the three stop codon bases exist, i.e., UAA is biased toward being located within loop structures, whereas UGA is less biased toward having a particular structure.

Discussion

It is well known that translation termination codons (stop codons) trigger the termination of protein synthesis and most organisms have three stop codons. It is natural to ask whether the three alternative termination codons are used equally in all organisms. To answer this question, Sharp and Blurmer (1988) examined the usage frequency of three stop codons in 165 *Escherichia coli* genes, 52 *Bacillus subtilis* genes, and 106 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* genes. They found that stop codon UAA was the most preferred stop codon in these genes and proposed that such selection could result from the greater availability of a UAAcognate release factor(s) or from a less complex frequency of translation readthrough at UAA.

Previous studies have revealed that both the among-gene variance in expression-associated selection and compositional bias contribute to variance in codon usage in Drosophila melanogaster and human (Duret 2002; Kliman and Hey 1994; Knight et al. 2001; Maside et al. 2004; Sueoka and Kawanishi 2000). Here we symmetrically investigated the stop codon usage in various eukaryotes and tried to determine the reason for the bias in eukaryotes. The frequencies of the three stop codon usages in various eukaryotes are indeed different as described under Results. The change tendency of the preferred stop codons and conserved patterns around the stop codons from yeast to human suggested that the selection of preferred stop codons in different organisms might be related to the complexity of the organisms. It is difficult to draw a conclusion about the relationship between stop codon usage biases and molecular evolution, as the various eukaryotes analyzed here have no strict evolutionary relationship. However, reclassifying the sequence data based on the evolutionary relationships of the organisms to which the individual sequences belong might reveal whether stop codon usage biases are driven by evolution. Our results that GC3 contents increase from yeast to human also indicate that compositional bias might contribute to the stop codon usage bias in various eukaryotes as that did to synonymous codons usage bias (Knight et al. 2001; Sueoka and Kawanishi 2000).

It has been observed that the nucleotides surrounding stop codons affect the efficiency of translation termination in *Escherichia coli, Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, and mammals (Bonetti et al. 1995; McCaughan et al. 1995; Mottagui-Tabar and Isaksson 1997; Mottagui-Tabar et al. 1998). And Brown et al. 1990 suggested that tetranucleotides signal the termination of protein synthesis in eukaryotes. Our results show that the context of stop codons has conserved sequence patterns and the termination signals may contain more than four nucleotides.

Additionally, our results provide a glimpse of the changes in context from less complex to more complex eukaryotes. The difference between upstream and downstream conserved nucleotides indicates that these conserved nucleotides play different roles during the process of protein synthesis in different eukaryotes.

It is well known that highly expressed genes exhibit a strong bias for particular synonymous codons in many bacteria (Karlin et al. 1998, 2001) as well as in less complex eukaryotes (Akashi 1994; Coghlan and Wolfe 2000). But few studies have been done on the relationship between stop codon usage and gene expression level in eukaryotes. There is no universal indicator for gene expression level measurement in various organisms. Here we used the codon adaptation index (CAI) as the indicator for less complex organisms, such as fission yeast, fruit fly, thale cress, and zebrafish, and the tissue number relative probability calculated from microarray-based data to estimate the gene expression level in human and mouse. Our data revealed an obvious bias in stop codon usage in different eukaryotes; the gene expression level, however, showed no obvious relationships with the stop codon bias in eukaryotes. These results might be explained if the stop codon just triggers the termination of the protein synthesis process and is not the major determinant of the accuracy and efficiency of protein synthesis, which might be determined by the context around the stop codon and interaction between the termination signal and release factors and the ribosome.

Despite considerable progress in the study of translation termination, many details are still unclear, especially the subtle difference in the three stop codons. The use of a reconstituted translation system and high-resolution crystal of ribosome in complex with releasing factor will be necessary to understand the translation termination mechanism more fully.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the 863 Hi-Tech Program of China (Grant 2002AA234021), the State Key Program of Basic Research of China (Grant 2002CB512800), and the Sci & Tech Committee of Shanghai (Grant K02D 105501).

References

- Akashi H (1994) Synonymous codon usage in *Drosophila* melanogaster: Natural selection and translational accuracy. Genetics 136:927–935
- Arhondakis S, Auletta F, Torelli G, D'Onofrio G (2004) Base composition and expression level of human genes. Gene 325:165–169
- Bonetti B, Fu L, Moon J, Bedwell DM (1995) The efficiency of translation termination is determined by a synergistic interplay between upstream and downstream sequences insaccharomyces cerevisiae. J Mol Biol 251:334–345
- Brown C, Stockwell P, Trotman C, Tate W (1990) Sequence analysis suggests that tetra-nucleotides signal the termination of protein synthesis in eukaryotes. Nucleic Acids Res 18:6339– 6345
- Cassan M, Rousset JP (2001) UAG readthrough in mammalian cells: Effect of upstream and downstream stop codon contexts reveal different signals. BMC Mol Biol 2:3

- Coghlan A, Wolfe KH (2000) Relationship of codon bias to mRNA concentration and protein length in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Yeast 16:1131–1145
- Crooks GE, Hon G, Chandonia J-M, Brenner SE (2004) WebLogo: A sequence logo Generator. Genome Res 14:1188– 1190
- Duan J, Antezana MA (2003) Mammalian mutation pressure, synonymous codon choice, and mRNA degradation. J Mol Evol 57:694–701
- Duret L (2002) Evolution of synonymous codon usage in metazoans. Curr Opin Genet Dev 12:640–649
- Duret L, Mouchiroud D (1999) Expression pattern and, surprisingly, gene length shape codon usage in Caenorhabditis, Drosophila, and Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96:4482– 4487
- Gillespie JH (2000) Genetic drift in an infinite population. The pseudohitchhiking Model. Genetics 155:909–919
- Herbeck JT, Wall DP, Wernegreen JJ (2003) Gene expression level influences amino acid usage, but not codon usage, in the tsetse fly endosymbiont Wigglesworthia. Microbiology 149:2585– 2596
- Hofacker IL (2003) Vienna RNA secondary structure server. Nucleic Acids Res 31:3429–3431
- Karlin S, Mrazek J, Campbell AM (1998) Codon usages in different gene classes of the *Escherichia coli* genome. Mol Microbiol 29:1341–1355
- Karlin S, Mrazek J, Campbell A, Kaiser D (2001) Characterizations of highly expressed genes of four fast-growing bacteria. J Bacteriol 183:5025–5040
- Kisselev L, Ehrenberg M, Frolova L (2003) New EMBO member's review: Termination of translation: Interplay of mRNA, rRNAs and release factors? EMBO J 22:175–182
- Kliman RM, Hey J (1994) The effects of mutation and natural selection on codon bias in the genes of Drosophila. Genetics 137:1049–1056
- Kliman RM, Irving N, Santiago M (2003) Selection conflicts, gene expression, and codon usage trends in yeast. J Mol Evol 57:98– 109
- Knight RD, Freeland SJ, Landweber LF (2001) A simple model based on mutation and selection explains trends in codon and amino-acid usage and GC composition within and across genomes. Genome Biol 2: research 0010.1-10.13.
- Konuo D, Li M (2002) Correlations between mRNA expression levels and GC contents of coding and untranslated regions of genes in rodents. J Mol Evol 54:35–41
- Maside X, Lee AW, Charlesworth B (2004) Selection on codon usage in Drosophila Americana. Curr Biol 20:150–154
- McCaughan K, Brown C, Dalphin M, Berry M, Tate W (1995) Translational termination efficiency in mammals is influenced

by the base following the stop codon. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 6:5431–5435

- Mottagui-Tabar S, Isaksson LA (1997) Only the last amino acids in the nascent peptide influence translation termination in *Escherichia coli* genes. FEBS Lett 414:165–170
- Mottagui-Tabar S, Tuite MF, Isaksson LA (1998) The influence of 5' codon context on translation termination in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Eur J Biochem 257:249–254
- Namy O, Hatin I, Rousset J-P (2001) Impact of the six nucleotides downstream of the stop codon on translation termination EMBO Rep 2:787–793
- Pesole G, Liuni S, Grillo G, Licciulli F, Mignone F, Gissi C, Saccone C (2002) UTRdb and UTRsite: specialized databases of sequences and functional elements of 5' and 3' untranslated regions of eukaryotic mRNAs. Update 2002. Nucleic Acids Res 30:335–340
- Rice P, Longden I, Bleasby A (2000) EMBOSS: The European Molecular Biology Open Software Suite. Trends Genet 16:276– 277
- Schneider TD, Stormo GD, Gold L, Ehrenfeuch A (1986) The information content of binding sites on nucleotide sequences. J Mol Biol 188:415–431
- Sharp P, Li W (1987) The codon adaptation index—a measure of directional synonymous codon usage bias, and its potential applications. Nucleic Acids Res 15:1281–1295
- Sharp P, Tuohy T, Mosurski K (1986) Codon usage in yeast: cluster analysis clearly differentiates highly and lowly expressed genes. Nucleic Acids Res 14:5125–5143
- Sharp PM, Bulmer M (1988) Selective differences among translation termination codons. Gene 63:141–145
- Stoesser G, Baker W, van den Broek A, Garcia-Pastor M, Kanz C, Kulikova T, Leinonen R, Lin Q, Lombard V, Lopez R, Mancuso R, Nardone F, Stoehr P, Tuli MA, Tzouvara K, Vaughan R (2003) The EMBL Nucleotide Sequence Database: major new developments. Nucleic Acids Res 31:17–22
- Su AI, Cooke MP, Ching KA, Hakak Y, Walker JR, Wiltshire T, Orth AP, Vega RG, Sapinoso LM, Moqrich A, Patapoutian A, Hampton GM, Schultz PG, Hogenesch JB (2002) Large-scale analysis of the human and mouse transcriptomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 99:4465–4470
- Sueoka N, Kawanishi Y (2000) DNA G+C content of the third codon position and codon usage biases of human genes. Gene 261:53–62
- Tate WP, Poole ES, Dalphin ME, Major LL, Crawford DJG, Mannering SA (1996) The translational stop signal: Codon with a context, or extended factor recognition element? Biochimie 78:945–952
- Vinogradov AE (2003) Isochores and tissue-specificity. Nucleic Acids Res 31:5212–5220