

Jorge Lauret

## Homogeneous nilmanifolds attached to representations of compact Lie groups

Received: 16 September 1998 / Revised version: 24 February 1999

**Abstract.** For each compact Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and each real representation  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  we consider a two-step nilpotent Lie group  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ , endowed with a natural left-invariant riemannian metric. The homogeneous nilmanifolds so obtained are precisely those which are naturally reductive. We study some geometric aspects of these manifolds, finding many parallels with  $H$ -type groups. We also obtain, within the class of manifolds  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ , the first examples of non-weakly symmetric, naturally reductive spaces and new examples of non-commutative naturally reductive spaces.

### 1. Introduction

Two-step nilpotent Lie groups endowed with a left-invariant riemannian metric, often called *two-step homogeneous nilmanifolds*, have attracted considerable attention in the last twenty years, specially in riemannian geometry [31, 54, 17, 18], harmonic analysis [33, 12, 4] and spectral geometry [24, 15, 16, 44, 48].  $H$ -type groups (or generalized Heisenberg groups), introduced by A. Kaplan around 1980 [30], are a very special subclass of two-step homogeneous nilmanifolds. These spaces have provided examples and counterexamples to many questions and conjectures [32, 47, 45, 23, 36, 11, 9, 7, 10].

Starting from a real representation  $(J, V)$  of a Clifford algebra  $\text{Cl}(\mathfrak{z})$ , Kaplan constructs a two-step nilpotent Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus V$  with center  $\mathfrak{z}$  and Lie bracket defined on  $V$  by  $\langle [v, w], z \rangle = \langle J_z v, w \rangle$  for all  $v, w \in V, z \in \mathfrak{z}$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is a natural inner product on  $\mathfrak{n}$ . The corresponding  $H$ -type group is denoted by  $(N, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ , where  $N$  is the simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{n}$ , endowed with the left-invariant metric determined by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

We study in this work another subclass of two-step homogeneous nilmanifolds, with a construction analogous to that of  $H$ -type groups, but starting from a real representation  $(\pi, V)$  of a compact Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Indeed, let  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V$  be the two-step nilpotent Lie algebra with center  $\mathfrak{g}$  and Lie bracket defined on  $V$  by  $\langle [v, w], x \rangle = \langle \pi(x)v, w \rangle$  for all  $v, w \in V, x \in \mathfrak{g}$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is a fixed  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant inner product on  $\mathfrak{n}$  (see 3.1(iii)). We denote by  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  the simply connected Lie

---

J. Lauret: FaMAF, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, 5000 Córdoba, Argentina.

e-mail: lauret@mate.uncor.edu

*Mathematics Subject Classification (1991):* Primary 22E25, 43A20; Secondary 22E30, 53C30

group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V$  and we endow it with the left-invariant metric determined by  $\langle, \rangle$ , obtaining a two-step homogeneous nilmanifold  $(N(\mathfrak{g}, V), \langle, \rangle)$ .

We shall prove, using a result due to C. Gordon [22], that the spaces  $(N(\mathfrak{g}, V), \langle, \rangle)$  have a neat geometric characterization within the class of homogeneous nilmanifolds: they are precisely the naturally reductive ones (see Sect. 2). In particular, they also are riemannian g.o. spaces and D'Atri spaces [13, 14, 7].

We prove in Sect. 3 some partial results on the isometry classes of these two-step homogeneous nilmanifolds and on the isomorphism classes of the underlying two-step nilpotent Lie groups. Further, we compute the isotropy subgroup  $K$  of the isometry group of  $(N(\mathfrak{g}, V), \langle, \rangle)$ , which is given essentially by  $K = G \times U$ , where  $G$  is the simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $U$  is the group of orthogonal intertwining operators of  $V$ . The group  $U$  acts trivially on the center  $\mathfrak{g}$  and each  $g \in G$  acts on  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V$  by  $(\text{Ad}(g), \pi(g))$ , where we also denote by  $\pi$  the corresponding representation of  $G$  on  $V$ . This is very similar to the  $H$ -type case, where essentially  $K = \text{Spin}(\mathfrak{3}) \times U$  (see [46]). We note that the isometry group of any simply connected homogeneous nilmanifold  $(N, \langle, \rangle)$  is given by  $I(N, \langle, \rangle) = K \times N$ , where  $K = \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{n}) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{n}, \langle, \rangle)$  is the isotropy subgroup of the identity element of  $N$  (see [54]).

The other goal of this paper is to study the notions of commutativity and weak symmetry within the class of naturally reductive manifolds  $(N(\mathfrak{g}, V), \langle, \rangle)$ . A *commutative space* is a connected riemannian homogeneous space  $M$  whose algebra of all  $I(M)^0$ -invariant differential operators is commutative, where  $I(M)^0$  denotes the connected component of the full isometry group  $I(M)$ . The notion of commutativity is strongly related to that of Gelfand pair, and it has been studied in several articles, see for instance [7, 37–39, 33, 45, 4, 34, 3, 5, 1].

Let  $T$  be any maximal torus of  $G$  and let  $\tilde{V}$  denote a  $T$ -invariant complement in  $V$  of the zero weight space  $V_0$ , regarded naturally as a complex vector space. We prove in Sect. 4 the following characterization:

*$N(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  is a commutative space if and only if the action of  $T \times U$  on  $\tilde{V}$  is multiplicity-free.*

The action of a compact group on a complex vector space  $W$  is said to be *multiplicity free* if and only if all the isotypic components of the natural representation on the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{C}[W]$  are irreducible.

Using this characterization, we shall prove that if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple,  $V$  is irreducible of real type (i.e. the complexification  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$  is also irreducible) and  $\dim V > 3 \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g})$ , then  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  is not a commutative space. This gives a large class of non-commutative, naturally reductive spaces; the first examples of this kind were given in [27, 28]. We also obtain some new examples of commutative spaces.

Finally, we exhibit in Sect. 6 some applications to weakly symmetric spaces. A connected riemannian manifold  $M$  is said to be *weakly symmetric* if for any two points  $p, q \in M$  there exists an isometry of  $M$  mapping  $p$  to  $q$  and  $q$  to  $p$ . These spaces, introduced by A. Selberg in [49], have been studied for instance in [6–8, 38, 55, 1]. It is proved in [49] that any weakly symmetric space is a commutative space (with respect to  $I(M)$ -invariance, but this coincides with  $I(M)^0$ -invariance for homogeneous nilmanifolds [5]). Thus, the non-commutative manifolds  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V)$

described above are the first examples of non-weakly symmetric naturally reductive spaces.

We wish to note that we have recently become aware that certain one-dimensional solvable extensions of the two-step nilpotent Lie groups  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ , with  $V$  irreducible, have been previously introduced by P. Eberlein and J. Heber in [19] for the purpose of constructing new Einstein solvmanifolds. Also, the curvature of these solvmanifolds has been studied in the thesis work of Sven Leukert (see [43]).

## 2. Description of naturally reductive homogeneous nilmanifolds via representations

Let  $M$  be a connected homogeneous riemannian manifold. Furthermore, let  $G$  be a Lie group acting transitively and effectively from the left by isometries on  $M$  and denote by  $K$  the isotropy subgroup of  $p \in M$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{k}$  denote the Lie algebras of  $G$  and  $K$  respectively. Suppose  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a vector space complement to  $\mathfrak{k}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\text{Ad}(K)\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{m}$  (i.e.  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$  is a reductive decomposition). Thus we may identify  $\mathfrak{m}$  with  $T_p M$  via the map  $x \rightarrow \dot{\gamma}_x(0)$ , where  $\gamma_x(t) = \exp tx.p$ . We denote by  $\langle, \rangle$  the inner product on  $\mathfrak{m}$  induced by the riemannian metric of  $M$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A manifold  $M$  is said to be *naturally reductive* if there exists a Lie group  $G$  and a subspace  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with the properties described above such that

$$\langle [x, y]_{\mathfrak{m}}, z \rangle + \langle y, [x, z]_{\mathfrak{m}} \rangle = 0 \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{m}, \quad (1)$$

where  $[x, y]_{\mathfrak{m}}$  denotes the projection of  $[x, y]$  on  $\mathfrak{m}$  with respect to the decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ .

Condition (1) can be replaced by the following (see [35], p.192,196,201): any geodesic  $\gamma$  of  $M$  with  $\gamma(0) = p$  is of the form  $\gamma(t) = \exp tx.p$  for some  $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ . Clearly, any symmetric space is naturally reductive.

We consider a simply connected real nilpotent Lie group  $N$  endowed with a left-invariant riemannian metric, denoted by  $(N, \langle, \rangle)$ , where  $\langle, \rangle$  is the inner product on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{n}$  of  $N$  determined by the metric. The riemannian manifold  $(N, \langle, \rangle)$  is said to be a (simply connected) *homogeneous nilmanifold*.

The full group of isometries of  $(N, \langle, \rangle)$  is given by

$$I(N, \langle, \rangle) = K \times N \quad (\text{semidirect product}), \quad (2)$$

where  $K = \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{n}) \cap \text{O}(\mathfrak{n}, \langle, \rangle)$  is the isotropy subgroup of the identity and  $N$  acts by left translations (see [54]). Thus, the structure of  $I(N, \langle, \rangle)$  is completely determined by  $K$ . Note that, since we always assume that  $N$  is simply connected, we make no distinction between automorphisms of  $N$  and  $\mathfrak{n}$ .

The following result follows from the proof of Theorem 3 in [54].

**Theorem 2.2** ([54]). *Let  $N_1, N_2$  be nilpotent Lie groups. Then  $(N_1, \langle, \rangle_1)$  is isometric to  $(N_2, \langle, \rangle_2)$  if and only if there exists an isomorphism of Lie algebras  $A : \mathfrak{n}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}_2$  such that  $\langle Ax, Ay \rangle_2 = \langle x, y \rangle_1$  for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{n}_1$ .*

Let  $N$  be a two-step nilpotent Lie group and let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be an inner product on  $\mathfrak{n}$ . We call the corresponding  $(N, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  a (simply connected) *two-step homogeneous nilmanifold*. Denote by  $\mathfrak{z}$  the center of  $\mathfrak{n}$  and let  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus V$  be the orthogonal decomposition. For each  $x \in \mathfrak{z}$  we define  $J_x : V \rightarrow V$  by

$$\langle J_x v, w \rangle = \langle x, [v, w] \rangle, \quad v, w \in V. \tag{3}$$

Note that  $J_x$  is skew-symmetric for all  $x \in \mathfrak{z}$  and  $J : \mathfrak{z} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$  is a linear map. The maps  $\{J_x\}_{x \in \mathfrak{z}}$  give the relationship between the Lie bracket of  $\mathfrak{n}$  and the metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , thus they carry a lot of geometric information on the riemannian manifold  $(N, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  (see for example [31, 17, 18]). It is easy to prove that the isotropy subgroup  $K$  of  $I(N, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  (see (2)) is given by

$$K = \{(\phi, T) \in \mathbf{O}(\mathfrak{z}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \times \mathbf{O}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) : T J_x T^{-1} = J_{\phi x}, \quad x \in \mathfrak{z}\}. \tag{4}$$

Let  $\mathfrak{k}$  be the Lie algebra of  $K$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{k} = \text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}) \cap \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{n}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ , i.e. the skew symmetric derivations of  $(\mathfrak{n}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ , and

$$\mathfrak{k} = \{(A, B) \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{z}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \times \mathfrak{so}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) : B J_x - J_x B = J_{Ax}, \quad x \in \mathfrak{z}\}. \tag{5}$$

*Remark 2.3.* If  $[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] \neq \mathfrak{z}$  then  $N \simeq \mathbb{R}^k \times N_1$ , where  $N_1 = \exp([\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] \oplus V)$  and  $\mathbb{R}^k = \exp(\mathfrak{z} \cap [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}]^\perp)$  ( $\exp : \mathfrak{n} \rightarrow N$  is the usual Lie exponential map). In this case, we will say that  $(N, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  has *euclidean factor*, since the direct product is also a product of riemannian manifolds. We have that  $(N, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  has euclidean factor if and only if there exists a nonzero  $x \in \mathfrak{z}$  such that  $J_x = 0$  (see [17, Proposition 2.7]).

Among the two-step homogeneous nilmanifolds the  $H$ -type groups are of particular significance. They were introduced by A. Kaplan in [30]. We say that  $(N, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is an  $H$ -type group if  $J_x^2 = -\langle x, x \rangle I$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{z}$ . We next recall some general properties of  $H$ -type groups, following essentially [6] (see also [7]). Let  $m = \dim \mathfrak{z}$  and let  $\text{Cl}(m)$  denote the Clifford algebra  $\text{Cl}(\mathfrak{z}, -|\cdot|^2)$ . When  $(N, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is  $H$ -type the action  $J$  of  $\mathfrak{z}$  on  $V$  extends to a real representation of  $\text{Cl}(m)$ . So  $V$  is a real  $\text{Cl}(m)$ -module, and every real Clifford module arises in this way ([30]). The classification of  $H$ -type algebras up to isomorphism is given as follows:

- (i) If  $m \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then  $\text{Cl}(m)$  has a unique irreducible module  $V_0$ . The general  $H$ -type algebra with a  $m$ -dimensional center is then obtained by taking  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus (V_0)^p$  with  $p \geq 1$ .
- (ii) If  $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then  $\text{Cl}(m)$  has two non-equivalent irreducible modules  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . The general  $H$ -type algebra with an  $m$ -dimensional center is obtained by taking  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus (V_1)^p \oplus (V_2)^q$  with  $p \geq q \geq 0$ ,  $p + q \geq 1$ , and only  $V = (V_1)^p \oplus (V_2)^q$  and  $V = (V_1)^q \oplus (V_2)^p$  lead to isomorphic  $H$ -type algebras.

In both cases  $\mathfrak{n}$  can be endowed with a unique inner product (up to isometry) for which the  $H$ -type condition holds. If  $x \in \mathfrak{z}$  is a unit vector, the map  $J_x$  defined in (3) extends to an element in  $K$  by setting it equal minus the reflection with respect to the hyperplane  $x^\perp$  in  $\mathfrak{z}$ . The subgroup of  $K$  generated by the automorphisms  $\{J_x\}_{x \in \mathfrak{z}}$  is

isomorphic to the group  $\text{Pin}(m)$ . If  $U$  denotes the group of orthogonal intertwining operators for the representation of  $\text{Cl}(m)$  on  $V$ , then  $K^0 = \text{Spin}(m) \times U$ .

Natural reductivity on homogeneous nilmanifolds has been studied by C. Gordon in [22] (see also [32, 52]). It is proved in [22] that if  $(N, \langle, \rangle)$  is naturally reductive then  $N$  must be at most two-step nilpotent and the following characterization for naturally reductive two-step homogeneous nilmanifolds is given (see also [42] for an alternative proof of the following theorem using the theory of homogeneous structures developed in [51, 52]).

**Theorem 2.4.** [22] *Let  $(N, \langle, \rangle)$  be a two-step homogeneous nilmanifold without euclidean factor.  $(N, \langle, \rangle)$  is naturally reductive if and only if*

- (i)  $J_{\mathfrak{z}} = \{J_x\}_{x \in \mathfrak{z}}$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{so}(V, \langle, \rangle)$ .
- (ii)  $\tau_x \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{z}, \langle, \rangle)$  for any  $x \in \mathfrak{z}$ , where  $\tau_x : \mathfrak{z} \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}$  is given by  $J_x J_y - J_y J_x = J_{\tau_x y}$  for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{z}$ .

Note that (ii) is equivalent to  $(\tau_x, J_x) \in \mathfrak{k}$ , the skew symmetric derivations of  $\mathfrak{n}$  (see (5)).

**Definition 2.5.** *If  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a Lie subalgebra (or just a subspace) of  $\text{End}(V)$  such that  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(V, \langle, \rangle)$ , then we call  $\langle, \rangle$  an  $\mathfrak{h}$ -invariant inner product.*

It follows from Theorem 2.4 that if  $(N, \langle, \rangle)$  is naturally reductive, then the bilinear form  $\tau$  given in (ii) defines a Lie algebra structure on  $\mathfrak{z}$  and the map  $J : \mathfrak{z} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$  becomes a real representation of the Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{z}, \tau)$  on  $V$ . Moreover,  $\langle, \rangle|_{V \times V}$  is a  $J_{\mathfrak{z}}$ -invariant inner product and since  $\tau_x \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{z}, \langle, \rangle)$  we have that  $\langle, \rangle|_{\mathfrak{z} \times \mathfrak{z}}$  is  $\text{ad } \mathfrak{z}$ -invariant, where  $\text{ad}$  denotes the adjoint representation of  $(\mathfrak{z}, \tau)$ .

Conversely, let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a real Lie algebra endowed with an  $\text{ad } \mathfrak{g}$ -invariant inner product  $\langle, \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$ , and let  $(\pi, V)$  be a real faithful representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  endowed with a  $\pi(\mathfrak{g})$ -invariant inner product  $\langle, \rangle_V$  and without trivial subrepresentations, that is,  $\bigcap_{x \in \mathfrak{g}} \text{Ker } \pi(x) = 0$ . We define a two-step nilpotent Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V$  with Lie bracket given by

$$\begin{cases} [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]_{\mathfrak{n}} = [\mathfrak{g}, V]_{\mathfrak{n}} = 0, & [V, V]_{\mathfrak{n}} \subset \mathfrak{g}, \\ \langle [v, w]_{\mathfrak{n}}, x \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \langle \pi(x)v, w \rangle_V & \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}, v, w \in V, \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

and we endow  $\mathfrak{n}$  with the inner product  $\langle, \rangle$  defined by

$$\langle, \rangle|_{\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}} = \langle, \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \langle, \rangle|_{V \times V} = \langle, \rangle_V, \quad \langle \mathfrak{g}, V \rangle = 0. \tag{7}$$

Finally, we take  $N$  the simply connected Lie group having Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{n}$  and we endow  $N$  with the left-invariant metric determined by  $\langle, \rangle$ , obtaining a two-step homogeneous nilmanifold  $(N, \langle, \rangle)$ .

Since  $(\pi, V)$  has no trivial subrepresentations, we have that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the center of  $\mathfrak{n}$ . Moreover,  $V$  is the orthogonal complement of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and the transformations defined in (3) for  $(N, \langle, \rangle)$  are precisely  $\{\pi(x)\}_{x \in \mathfrak{g}}$ . Thus  $(N, \langle, \rangle)$  has no euclidean factor, since  $(\pi, V)$  is faithful (see Remark 2.3). It then follows from Theorem 2.4 that

$(N, \langle, \rangle)$  is naturally reductive. In fact,  $\pi(\mathfrak{g})$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{so}(V, \langle, \rangle_V)$  and since  $\pi(x)\pi(y) - \pi(y)\pi(x) = \pi([x, y])$  for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ , we have that  $\tau_x = \text{ad } x \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{g}, \langle, \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

*Remark 2.6.* If a real Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  admits an  $\text{ad } \mathfrak{g}$ -invariant inner product then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a compact Lie algebra, i.e. any of the following equivalent conditions hold (see [53]):

- (i)  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the Lie algebra of a compact Lie group.
- (ii) The Killing form  $B(x, y) = \text{tr}(\text{ad } x \text{ ad } y)$  is negatively semidefinite.
- (iii)  $\mathfrak{g} = \bar{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathfrak{c}$  with  $\mathfrak{c}$  the center of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$  a compact semisimple Lie algebra (i.e. the Killing form of  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$  is negative definite).

We have proved the following result.

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a compact Lie algebra endowed with an  $\text{ad } \mathfrak{g}$ -invariant inner product  $\langle, \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$  and let  $(\pi, V)$  be a real faithful representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  without trivial subrepresentations and endowed with a  $\pi(\mathfrak{g})$ -invariant inner product  $\langle, \rangle_V$ . Then the two-step homogeneous nilmanifold  $(N, \langle, \rangle)$  having Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V$  defined as in (6), with  $\langle, \rangle$  defined in (7), is a naturally reductive space without euclidean factor. Moreover, any homogeneous nilmanifold  $(N, \langle, \rangle)$  without euclidean factor which is naturally reductive can be constructed in this way.*

Clearly, this theorem states essentially the same as Theorem 2.4. However, we shall see in the next sections that the representation approach is very useful to study the naturally reductive two-step homogeneous nilmanifolds. We obtain a kind of classification of such spaces and we compute explicitly their isometry groups. Also, conditions for the commutativity of invariant integrable functions on  $N$  (or equivalently invariant differential operators) on these groups will be given in terms of representation theory, and this is the key to our study of commutative naturally reductive two-step homogeneous nilmanifolds in Sect. 4.

*Remark 2.8.* Suppose that the representation  $(\pi, V)$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is not faithful or it has some nonzero trivial subrepresentation. We take the orthogonal decompositions

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \text{Ker } \pi, \quad V = V_1 \oplus \bigcap_{x \in \mathfrak{g}} \text{Ker } \pi(x).$$

It is easy to see that  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $V_1$  is a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant subspace of  $V$ , thus  $(\pi_1 = \pi|_{\mathfrak{g}_1}, V_1)$  is a real faithful representation of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  without trivial subrepresentations. Moreover  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}^k$ , where  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V$ ,  $\mathfrak{n}_1 = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus V_1$  and  $\mathbb{R}^k$  is a central subspace of  $\mathfrak{n}$  orthogonal to  $[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}]$ . Henceforth,  $(N, \langle, \rangle) = (N_1, \langle, \rangle)|_{\mathfrak{n}_1 \times \mathfrak{n}_1} \times \mathbb{R}^k$ , where  $\mathbb{R}^k$  becomes the euclidean factor of  $(N, \langle, \rangle)$  (see Remark 2.3).

### 3. Two-step nilpotent Lie groups attached to representations of compact Lie algebras

In this section, we shall study in detail some properties of the two-step homogeneous nilmanifolds constructed as follows. In view of Theorem 2.7, these two-step homogeneous nilmanifolds are precisely the naturally reductive ones.

**Definition 3.1.** We say that a triple  $(\mathfrak{g}, V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is a **data set** if,

- (i)  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a compact Lie algebra (see Remark 2.6),
- (ii)  $(\pi, V)$  is a real faithful representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  without trivial subrepresentations, i.e.  $\bigcap_{x \in \mathfrak{g}} \text{Ker } \pi(x) = 0$ ,
- (iii)  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is a  **$\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant inner product** on  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V$ , i.e.  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} := \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_{\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}}$  is ad  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V := \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_{V \times V}$  is  $\pi(\mathfrak{g})$ -invariant and  $\langle \mathfrak{g}, V \rangle = 0$ .

A data set  $(\mathfrak{g}, V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  determines a two-step nilpotent Lie group denoted by  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  having Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V$ , with Lie bracket defined by (6). Finally, we endow  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  with the left-invariant metric determined by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , obtaining a two-step homogeneous nilmanifold  $(N(\mathfrak{g}, V), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ .

Note that the construction of the group  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  could depend on the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , but as we shall prove in the following proposition, this does not happen.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $N$  and  $N'$  denote the two-step nilpotent Lie groups corresponding to the data sets  $(\mathfrak{g}, V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}, V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle')$  respectively. Then  $N$  is isomorphic to  $N'$ .

*Proof.* Since  $N$  and  $N'$  are simply connected by definition, it suffices to prove that their respective Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{n}$  and  $\mathfrak{n}'$  are isomorphic. The Lie brackets  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{n}}$  and  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{n}'}$  are defined by (6) using  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle' = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'_{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'_V$  respectively. Suppose that

$$\langle x, y \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \langle Px, y \rangle'_{\mathfrak{g}} \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad \langle v, w \rangle_V = \langle Qv, w \rangle'_V \quad \forall v, w \in V,$$

with  $P$  and  $Q$  positive definite symmetric transformations on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $V$  with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$ ,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'_{\mathfrak{g}}$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V$ ,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'_V$  respectively.

If  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $v, w \in V$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Q\pi(x)v, w \rangle'_V &= \langle \pi(x)v, w \rangle_V = -\langle v, \pi(x)w \rangle_V \\ &= -\langle Qv, \pi(x)w \rangle'_V = \langle \pi(x)Qv, w \rangle'_V, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

and this implies that  $Q\pi(x) = \pi(x)Q$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ , i.e.  $Q \in \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V)$ , the intertwining operators of the representation  $(\pi, V)$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Thus  $Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \in \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V)$ , where  $Q^{\frac{1}{2}}$  denotes the only symmetric square root of  $Q$ . We then have that  $(P, Q^{\frac{1}{2}}) : \mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}' = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V$  is an isomorphism of Lie algebras, i.e.  $[Q^{\frac{1}{2}}v, Q^{\frac{1}{2}}w]_{\mathfrak{n}'} = P[v, w]_{\mathfrak{n}}$  for all  $v, w \in V$ . Indeed, if  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $v, w \in V$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [Q^{\frac{1}{2}}v, Q^{\frac{1}{2}}w]_{\mathfrak{n}'}, x \rangle'_{\mathfrak{g}} &= \langle \pi(x)Q^{\frac{1}{2}}v, Q^{\frac{1}{2}}w \rangle'_V = \langle Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\pi(x)Q^{\frac{1}{2}}v, w \rangle'_V \\ &= \langle Q\pi(x)v, w \rangle'_V = \langle \pi(x)v, w \rangle_V \\ &= \langle [v, w]_{\mathfrak{n}}, x \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \langle P[v, w]_{\mathfrak{n}}, x \rangle'_{\mathfrak{g}}, \end{aligned}$$

concluding the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 3.3.* (i) The construction of an  $H$ -type group is very similar to 3.1 (see Sect. 2). If  $(J, V)$  is a real representation of a Clifford algebra  $\text{Cl}(\mathfrak{z})$ , then the corresponding  $H$ -type Lie algebra is given by  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus V$ , with Lie bracket defined as in (6) putting  $\pi = J$ . Moreover, any  $H$ -type algebra can be constructed in this way (see [30] or [31]).

(ii) It follows from the classification of naturally reductive  $H$ -type groups given in [32] (see also [52] for an alternative proof using homogeneous structures) that a group  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  is of  $H$ -type if and only if  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}$  and  $V = \mathbb{R}^2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}^2$  is any representation of  $\mathbb{R}$  as in 3.1(ii), or  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(2)$  and  $V = \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$ , where  $\mathbb{C}^2$  denotes the standard representation of  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  regarded as a real representation. Note that these groups are respectively the Heisenberg groups and its quaternionic analogues, which are the Iwasawa  $N$ -groups associated to the simple Lie groups of real rank one  $\text{SU}(n, 1)$  and  $\text{Sp}(n, 1)$  respectively (see [9]).

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, V, \langle, \rangle)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}', V', \langle, \rangle')$  be two data sets as in 3.1. The corresponding two-step homogeneous nilmanifolds  $(N(\mathfrak{g}, V), \langle, \rangle)$  and  $(N(\mathfrak{g}', V'), \langle, \rangle')$  are isometric if and only if there exist an isometric isomorphism  $\phi : (\mathfrak{g}, \langle, \rangle) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}', \langle, \rangle')$  and an isometry  $T : (V, \langle, \rangle) \rightarrow (V', \langle, \rangle')$  such that*

$$T\pi(x)T^{-1} = \pi'(\phi x) \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{9}$$

*Proof.* Suppose first that these groups are isometric. By Theorem 2.2 we have that there exists a Lie algebra isomorphism  $A : \mathfrak{n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}'$  such that

$$\langle Ax, Ay \rangle' = \langle x, y \rangle \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{n}, \tag{10}$$

where  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V$  and  $\mathfrak{n}' = \mathfrak{g}' \oplus V'$  are the Lie algebras of  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  and  $N(\mathfrak{g}', V')$  respectively. Since  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}'$  are the centers of  $\mathfrak{n}$  and  $\mathfrak{n}'$ , then  $A\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}'$ , and it follows from (10) that  $AV = V'$ . Thus  $A$  is of the form  $A = (\phi, T)$  with  $\phi : (\mathfrak{g}, \langle, \rangle) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}', \langle, \rangle')$  and  $T : (V, \langle, \rangle) \rightarrow (V', \langle, \rangle')$  isometries. Since  $A$  is an isomorphism, we have that  $[Tv, Tw] = \phi[v, w]$  for all  $v, w \in V$ , and thus it is easy to see that (9) holds. Furthermore, (9) implies that  $\phi = (\pi')^{-1} \circ \text{Ad}(T) \circ \pi$ , and since  $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \pi(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \text{End}(V)$ ,  $\text{Ad}(T) : \text{End}(V) \rightarrow \text{End}(V')$  and  $\pi' : \mathfrak{g}' \rightarrow \pi'(\mathfrak{g}') \subset \text{End}(V')$  are Lie algebra isomorphisms we obtain that  $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'$  is a Lie algebra isomorphism.

Conversely, if there exist  $\phi$  and  $T$  satisfying the properties stated in the theorem, it is easy to prove using (9) that

$$A := (\phi, T) : \mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}' = \mathfrak{g}' \oplus V'$$

is a Lie algebra isomorphism satisfying (10), since  $\phi$  and  $T$  are isometries. Thus  $(N(\mathfrak{g}, V), \langle, \rangle)$  and  $(N(\mathfrak{g}', V'), \langle, \rangle')$  are isometric by Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

We deduce from Theorem 3.4 that if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{g}'$ , then a two-step homogeneous nilmanifold of the form  $(N(\mathfrak{g}, V), \langle, \rangle)$  can never be isometric to another one of the form  $(N(\mathfrak{g}', V'), \langle, \rangle')$ . We then fix a compact Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and we study the isomorphism classes of nilpotent Lie groups  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  which can be constructed by using different representations  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .



**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a compact Lie algebra and let  $V$  and  $V'$  be representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$  as in 3.1(ii). Let  $\text{Inn}(\mathfrak{g})$  denote the group of inner automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .*

- (i) *If there exist  $\phi \in \text{Inn}(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $T : V \rightarrow V'$  such that  $T\pi(x)T^{-1} = \pi'(\phi x)$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ , then  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V) \simeq N(\mathfrak{g}, V')$ . When  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple,  $\text{Inn}(\mathfrak{g})$  can be replaced by  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ .*
- (ii) *In particular, if  $V \simeq V'$  (equivalent to) then  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V) \simeq N(\mathfrak{g}, V')$ .*
- (iii) *Suppose that  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{Inn}(\mathfrak{g})$ . If  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$  are  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant inner products on  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V$  and  $\mathfrak{n}' = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V'$  respectively such that  $(N(\mathfrak{g}, V), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is isometric to  $(N(\mathfrak{g}, V'), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle')$ , then  $V \simeq V'$ .*

*Proof.* (i) We fix on  $\mathfrak{g}$  an ad  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Also, we take a  $\pi(\mathfrak{g})$ -invariant inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V$  on  $V$  and we consider the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{V'} = (T^{-1})^* \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V$  on  $V'$ . The inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{V'}$  is  $\pi'(\mathfrak{g})$ -invariant, since for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $v', w' \in V'$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi'(x)v', w' \rangle' &= \langle T\pi(\phi^{-1}x)T^{-1}v', w' \rangle' = \langle \pi(\phi^{-1}x)T^{-1}v', T^{-1}w' \rangle \\ &= -\langle T^{-1}v', \pi(\phi^{-1}x)T^{-1}w' \rangle = -\langle T^{-1}v', T^{-1}\pi'(x)w' \rangle \\ &= -\langle v', \pi'(x)w' \rangle'. \end{aligned}$$

We construct the groups  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  and  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V')$  using the inner products  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle' = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{V'}$  respectively. By Proposition 3.2, these constructions do not depend on the invariant inner products chosen.

For all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $v, w \in V$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [Tv, Tw]_{\mathfrak{n}'}, x \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} &= \langle \pi'(x)Tv, Tw \rangle_{V'} = \langle T\pi(\phi^{-1}x)v, Tw \rangle_{V'} \\ &= \langle \pi(\phi^{-1}x)v, w \rangle_V = \langle [v, w]_{\mathfrak{n}}, \phi^{-1}x \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \langle \phi[v, w]_{\mathfrak{n}}, x \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}, \end{aligned}$$

thus  $[Tv, Tw]_{\mathfrak{n}'} = \phi[v, w]_{\mathfrak{n}}$  for all  $v, w \in V$  and hence  $(\phi, T) : \mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}' = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V'$  is a Lie algebra isomorphism. This implies that  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V) \simeq N(\mathfrak{g}, V')$ , since both groups are simply connected. Note that we have used  $\text{Inn}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \text{O}(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$ , and if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple then  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \text{O}(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$ .

(ii) It follows from (i) putting  $\phi = I$ .

(iii) By Theorem 3.4 there exist  $\phi \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $T : V \rightarrow V'$  such that  $T\pi(x)T^{-1} = \pi'(\phi x)$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Since  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{Inn}(\mathfrak{g})$ , we have that there exist  $x_1, \dots, x_r \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\phi = e^{\text{ad } x_1} \dots e^{\text{ad } x_r}$ . If we put  $T_i = e^{\pi'(x_i)}$ , it is easy to see that  $\pi' \circ e^{\text{ad } x_i} = \text{Ad}(T_i) \circ \pi'$ , then

$$\pi'(\phi x) = T_r \dots T_1 \pi'(x) T_1^{-1} \dots T_r^{-1} \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Henceforth

$$\pi(x) = T^{-1} T_r \dots T_1 \pi'(x) T_1^{-1} \dots T_r^{-1} T \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g},$$

and this implies that  $V \simeq V'$ .  $\square$

The following example shows that the converse of Proposition 3.5,(ii) is not valid, and that the condition  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{Inn}(\mathfrak{g})$  in (iii) can not be removed.

*Example 3.6.* We consider the real simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(8)$ . Its complexification  $\mathfrak{so}(8, \mathbb{C})$  is of type  $D_4$ , and the fundamental representations of  $\mathfrak{so}(8)$  are

$$\mathbb{C}^8, \Lambda^2\mathbb{C}^8, \Delta_+^4, \Delta_-^4,$$

where  $(\pi, \mathbb{C}^8)$  is the standard representation and  $(\pi_+, \Delta_+^4), (\pi_-, \Delta_-^4)$  denote the spin representations (see [2]). The spin representations are also 8-dimensional and of real type, i.e. they are complexifications of certain real representations  $(\Delta_+^4)_{\mathbb{R}}, (\Delta_-^4)_{\mathbb{R}}$  of  $\mathfrak{so}(8)$ . It is well known that  $(\pi_+, \Delta_+^4)$  and  $(\pi_-, \Delta_-^4)$  can be obtained from  $\mathbb{C}^8$  in the following way: there exists an outer automorphism  $\phi$  of  $\mathfrak{so}(8)$  such that

$$(\pi \circ \phi, \mathbb{C}^8) \simeq (\pi_+, \Delta_+^4).$$

This implies that the corresponding real representations  $(\pi \circ \phi, \mathbb{R}^8)$  and  $(\pi_+, (\Delta_+^4)_{\mathbb{R}})$  are also equivalent, and hence there exist  $T : (\Delta_+^4)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^8$  satisfying

$$T\pi_+(x)T^{-1} = \pi(\phi x) \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{so}(8).$$

Using Proposition 3.5,(i) we obtain that  $N(\mathfrak{so}(8), \mathbb{R}^8) \simeq N(\mathfrak{so}(8), (\Delta_+^4)_{\mathbb{R}})$ , and analogously we have the same for  $N(\mathfrak{so}(8), (\Delta_-^4)_{\mathbb{R}})$ . However, the representations  $\mathbb{R}^8, (\Delta_+^4)_{\mathbb{R}}, (\Delta_-^4)_{\mathbb{R}}$  are pairwise non-equivalent, since their respective complexifications are pairwise non-equivalent. We then obtain counterexamples to the converse of Proposition 3.5,(ii). Furthermore, if  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is an  $\mathfrak{so}(8)$ -invariant inner product on  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{so}(8) \oplus \mathbb{R}^8$ , then it is easy to check that the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle' = (\phi, T)^*\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is also  $\mathfrak{so}(8)$ -invariant on  $\mathfrak{n}' = \mathfrak{so}(8) \oplus (\Delta_+^4)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . By Theorem 3.4 we obtain that  $(N(\mathfrak{so}(8), \mathbb{R}^8), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is isometric to  $(N(\mathfrak{so}(8), (\Delta_+^4)_{\mathbb{R}}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle')$ , and thus this provides a counterexample to Proposition 3.5,(iii), if we remove the condition  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{Inn}(\mathfrak{g})$ .

*Remark 3.7.* The situation in the example above is very similar to the  $H$ -type case. In fact, if  $\dim \mathfrak{g} \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then the algebra  $\text{Cl}(\mathfrak{g})$  has two non-equivalent irreducible modules  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . However, the corresponding  $H$ -type algebras  $\mathfrak{n}_1 = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V_1$  and  $\mathfrak{n}_2 = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V_2$  are isomorphic (see [30] and Sect. 2).

In the following theorem, we shall give some partial results about isometry classes of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant metrics on a fixed group  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ . Let  $B$  denote the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple then  $B$  is negative definite on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , since  $\mathfrak{g}$  is compact. Thus  $-B$  is an inner product on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and any  $\phi \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$  satisfies  $\phi \in \text{O}(\mathfrak{g}, -B)$ . A left-invariant metric on  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  is said to be  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant if it is determined by a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant inner product on  $\mathfrak{n}$  (see 3.1(iii)).

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$  be two  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant inner products on  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V$ .*

- (i) *If  $\langle x, y \rangle'_{\mathfrak{g}} = \langle \phi x, \phi y \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$  for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ , then  $(N(\mathfrak{g}, V), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is isometric to  $(N(\mathfrak{g}, V), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle')$ .*
- (ii) *If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simple, then  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  can be endowed with a unique  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant metric, up to isometry and scaling.*

(iii) Suppose that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple and

$$\langle x, y \rangle = -B(Px, y), \quad \langle x, y \rangle' = -B(P'x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

If  $(N(\mathfrak{g}, V), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is isometric to  $(N(\mathfrak{g}, V), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle')$  then there exists  $\phi \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$  such that  $\phi P \phi^{-1} = P'$  and  $\langle x, y \rangle'_{\mathfrak{g}} = \langle \phi^{-1}x, \phi^{-1}y \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$  for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

- (iv) Suppose that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple and  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k$  is the decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  into simple ideals and that  $\mathfrak{g}_i \not\cong \mathfrak{g}_j$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Then  $(N(\mathfrak{g}, V), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is isometric to  $(N(\mathfrak{g}, V), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle')$  if and only if  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'_{\mathfrak{g}}$ .
- (v) Under the hypothesis of (iv), the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant metrics on  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ , up to isometry, are parametrized by

$$\{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) : \lambda_i > 0\}.$$

*Proof.* (i) If  $\langle v, w \rangle'_V = \langle Pv, w \rangle_V$  for all  $v, w \in V$  then  $P$  is a positive definite symmetric transformation on  $V$  with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{V'}$ . Since  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{V'}$  are  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant we have that  $P \in \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V)$  (see (8)), thus we also have  $P^{\frac{1}{2}} \in \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V)$ . As in the proof of Proposition 3.5, (iii), if  $\phi = e^{\text{ad}_{x_1}} \dots e^{\text{ad}_{x_r}}$  we take  $T = e^{\pi(x_r)} \dots e^{\pi(x_1)} \in \mathcal{O}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V)$  and thus we have that  $T\pi(x)T^{-1} = \pi(\phi x)$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ . This implies that  $(\phi, P^{\frac{1}{2}}T) : \mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V \rightarrow \mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V$  determines an isometry between  $(N(\mathfrak{g}, V), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle')$  and  $(N(\mathfrak{g}, V), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  (see Theorem 3.4).

(ii) Since  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simple there is a unique  $\text{ad } \mathfrak{g}$ -invariant inner product on  $\mathfrak{g}$  up to scaling, thus the result follows from part (i), using  $\phi = I$ .

(iii) By Theorem 3.4 there exists an isometry  $(\phi, T) : (\mathfrak{g} \oplus V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g} \oplus V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle')$  satisfying  $T\pi(x)T^{-1} = \pi(\phi x)$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Thus  $\phi = \pi^{-1} \circ \text{Ad}(T) \circ \pi \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g}, -B)$  and for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} -B(\phi Px, y) &= -B(Px, \phi^{-1}y) = \langle x, \phi^{-1}y \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi x, y \rangle' = -B(P'\phi x, y). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $\phi P = P'\phi$ , concluding the proof of (iii).

(iv) It is easy to see that for all  $i \neq j$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_i \perp \mathfrak{g}_j$  with respect to any  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant inner product. Thus  $P$  and  $P'$  must be of the form

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 I_{\mathfrak{g}_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_k I_{\mathfrak{g}_k} \end{bmatrix}, \quad P' = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda'_1 I_{\mathfrak{g}_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda'_k I_{\mathfrak{g}_k} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \lambda_i, \lambda'_i > 0. \quad (11)$$

It follows from (iii) that there exists  $\phi \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$  such that  $\phi P \phi^{-1} = P'$ . The automorphism  $\phi$  must preserve the ideals  $\mathfrak{g}_i$ , since they are pairwise non-isomorphic, thus  $\lambda_i = \lambda'_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . This implies that  $P = P'$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'_{\mathfrak{g}}$ .

Conversely, if  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'_{\mathfrak{g}}$  then the corresponding groups are isometric by part (i).

(v) It follows clearly from (iv) and (11).  $\square$

*Remark 3.9.* The property in (v) is essentially different to the analogous in the  $H$ -type case. In fact, an  $H$ -type group can be endowed with a unique  $H$ -type metric, up to isometry. However, this is still satisfied by the groups  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  with  $\mathfrak{g}$  simple (see (ii)).

We shall now compute the isometry group of a two-step homogeneous nilmanifold  $(N(\mathfrak{g}, V), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ , where  $(\mathfrak{g}, V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is a data set (see 3.1). Note that by (2), it suffices to compute the isotropy subgroup  $K$  of the isometry group.

We first consider the group  $U := \{T \in K : T|_{\mathfrak{g}} = I\}$ . It follows from (4) that  $T \in U$  if and only if  $T$  is orthogonal and  $T\pi(x)T^{-1} = \pi(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ , thus  $U = \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V) \cap \text{O}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ , where  $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V)$  denotes the set of intertwining operators of the representation  $(\pi, V)$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Suppose that

$$V = V_1^{r_1} \oplus \dots \oplus V_k^{r_k}, \quad V_i \text{ irreducible}, \quad V_i \not\cong V_j \quad \forall i \neq j,$$

i.e. the subspaces  $V_i^{r_i} = V_i \oplus \dots \oplus V_i$  ( $r_i$  copies) are the *isotypic components* of  $V$ . Since  $V_i$  is a real irreducible representation, we have that  $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_i)$  is a real division associative algebra, and thus  $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_i) = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{H}$ , the real and complex numbers and the quaternions respectively.

**Definition 3.10.** *An irreducible real representation  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is said to be of real type, complex type or quaternionic type if  $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V) = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{H}$  respectively (see [2] for further information).*

We then obtain

$$\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V) = \mathfrak{gl}(r_1, \mathbb{F}_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(r_k, \mathbb{F}_k),$$

where  $\mathbb{F}_i = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$  depending on the type of  $V_i$ , and  $\mathfrak{gl}(r, \mathbb{F})$  denotes the Lie algebra of  $(r \times r)$ -matrixes with coefficients in the ring  $\mathbb{F}$ . Each  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{gl}(r_i, \mathbb{F}_i)$  acts on  $V_i^{r_i}$  by

$$A(v_1, \dots, v_{r_i}) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{r_i} a_{1i} v_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{r_i} a_{r_i i} v_i \right), \tag{12}$$

where  $v_i \in V_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r_i$ . This implies that

$$U = U_1 \times \dots \times U_k,$$

where  $U_i = \text{O}(r_i), \text{U}(r_i), \text{Sp}(r_i)$  depending on the type of  $V_i$ .

Before stating the main theorem, we need to describe the action of the center of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $V$ .

**Lemma 3.11.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be a data set and let  $\mathfrak{g} = \bar{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathfrak{c}$ , with  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$  and  $\mathfrak{c}$  the center of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . If  $V = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_k$  is an orthogonal decomposition of  $V$  into  $\mathfrak{g}$ -irreducible subrepresentations, then for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$  there exists a skew-symmetric transformation  $J_i : V_i \rightarrow V_i$  satisfying  $J_i^2 = -I$  such that*

$$\pi(h) = \lambda_i(h)J_i \quad \text{for some } \lambda_i(h) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall h \in \mathfrak{c}.$$

*Proof.* We have that  $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_i) = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$ , thus the dimension of any abelian subspace of  $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_i)$  acting by skew-symmetric transformations must be at most one. Therefore, since  $\pi(\mathfrak{c})|_{V_i} \subset \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_i)$  is abelian, there exists a skew-symmetric transformation  $J_i \in \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_i)$  such that  $\pi(\mathfrak{c})|_{V_i} \subset \mathbb{R}J_i$ . Furthermore, the irreducibility of  $\pi(\mathfrak{g})|_{V_i}$  implies that  $J_i^2 = -\lambda^2 I$ . We then may take a suitable multiple of  $J_i$ , concluding the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.12.** *Let  $(N(\mathfrak{g}, V), \langle, \rangle)$  be the two-step homogeneous nilmanifold corresponding to the data set  $(\mathfrak{g}, V, \langle, \rangle)$  (see 3.1). We put  $\mathfrak{n} = \bar{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathfrak{c}$  with  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$  and  $\mathfrak{c}$  the center of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .*

(i) *The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{k} = \text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}) \cap \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{n}, \langle, \rangle)$  of the isotropy subgroup  $K$  of the isometry group of  $(N(\mathfrak{g}, V), \langle, \rangle)$  is given by*

$$\mathfrak{k} = \bar{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathfrak{u}, \quad [\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{u}] = 0,$$

where  $\mathfrak{u} = \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V) \cap \mathfrak{so}(V, \langle, \rangle)$  and  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$  acts on  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V$  by  $(\text{ad } x, \pi(x))$  for all  $x \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ .

(ii) *The connected component of the identity of  $K$  is*

$$K^0 = G \times U^0,$$

where  $U = \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V) \cap \mathcal{O}(V, \langle, \rangle)$ ,  $G = \bar{G} / \text{Ker } \pi$  and  $\bar{G}$  is the simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ . The group  $U$  acts trivially on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and if we also denote by  $\pi$  the corresponding representation of  $G$  on  $V$ , then each  $g \in G$  acts on  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V$  by  $(\text{Ad}(g), \pi(g))$ .

(iii) *If  $V = V_1^{r_1} \oplus \dots \oplus V_k^{r_k}$  with  $V_i$  irreducible and  $V_i \not\cong V_j$  for all  $i \neq j$ , then*

$$U = U_1 \times \dots \times U_k,$$

where  $U_i = \mathcal{O}(r_i), \mathcal{U}(r_i), \text{Sp}(r_i)$  depending on the type of  $V_i$ , and  $U_i$  acts on  $V_i^{r_i}$  as in (12).

(iv) *If  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{Inn}(\mathfrak{g})$ , then  $K = G \times U$ .*

*Proof.* (i) If  $D$  is an element of  $\mathfrak{k}$  then  $D$  preserves the center  $\mathfrak{c}$  of  $\mathfrak{n}$ , since  $D$  is a derivation of  $\mathfrak{n}$ , and it follows from the skew-symmetry of  $D$  that  $D$  also preserves the orthogonal complement  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{c}$ . We then suppose that  $D = (A, B) \in \mathfrak{k}$  with  $A : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  and  $B : V \rightarrow V$ . Using (5) we obtain

$$B\pi(x) - \pi(x)B = \pi(Ax) \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

We will denote by  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{n}}$  the Lie bracket of  $\mathfrak{n}$  and by  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  the Lie brackets of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\text{End}(V)$ . If  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(A[x, y]) &= B\pi([x, y]) - \pi([x, y])B = B[\pi(x), \pi(y)] - [\pi(x), \pi(y)]B \\ &= [B, [\pi(x), \pi(y)]] = [[B, \pi(x)], \pi(y)] + [\pi(x), [B, \pi(y)]] \\ &= [\pi(Ax), \pi(y)] + [\pi(x), \pi(Ay)] = \pi([Ax, y] + [x, Ay]). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\pi$  is faithful, then

$$A[x, y] = [Ax, y] + [x, Ay] \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g},$$

obtaining that  $A \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g})$ . Hence  $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$  and  $\mathfrak{c}$  are  $A$ -invariant subspaces, and thus there exists  $x_1 \in \overline{\mathfrak{g}}$  such that  $A|_{\overline{\mathfrak{g}}} = \text{ad } x_1$  (note that  $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$  is semisimple).

We also have that  $(\text{ad } x_1, \pi(x_1)) : \mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V \rightarrow \mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V$  is a skew-symmetric derivation of  $\mathfrak{n}$ . Indeed,  $\langle, \rangle$  is a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant inner product and

$$\pi(x_1)\pi(x) - \pi(x)\pi(x_1) = \pi([x_1, x]) \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g},$$

(see (5)). We then consider the element of  $\mathfrak{k}$  given by

$$(A', B') = (A - \text{ad } x_1, B - \pi(x_1)),$$

which satisfies  $A'|_{\overline{\mathfrak{g}}} \equiv 0$  and  $A'\mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{c}$ .

We next prove that  $A' = 0$ . Let  $h \in \mathfrak{c} - \{0\}$ , thus  $B'\pi(h) - \pi(h)B' = \pi(A'h)$ . If  $V = V_h \oplus \text{Ker } \pi(h)$  is an orthogonal decomposition then  $\pi(\mathfrak{g})$ , and in particular  $\pi(A'h)$  preserves the subspaces  $V_h$  and  $\text{Ker } \pi(h)$ , since it commutes with  $\pi(h)$ .

For  $v, w \in \text{Ker } \pi(h)$  we have

$$\langle \pi(A'h)v, w \rangle_V = \langle B'\pi(h)v - \pi(h)B'v, w \rangle_V = \langle B'v, \pi(h)w \rangle_V = 0,$$

thus  $\pi(A'h)|_{\text{Ker } \pi(h)} \equiv 0$ . Let  $V_h = V_h^1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_h^r$  be an orthogonal decomposition of  $V_h$  into  $\mathfrak{g}$ -irreducible subspaces. Fix an  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ . By Lemma 3.11 we have that  $\pi(h)|_{V_h^i} = J_i$  (taking a suitable multiple of  $h$ ) and  $\pi(A'h)|_{V_h^i} = \lambda_i J_i$ , for some  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . If we set  $B'_i = p_i \circ B'|_{V_h^i} : V_h^i \rightarrow V_h^i$ , where  $p_i : V \rightarrow V_h^i$  is the orthogonal projection, then  $B'_i J_i - J_i B'_i = \lambda_i J_i$ . We then obtain  $-J_i B'_i J_i - B'_i = \lambda_i I$ , and thus  $J_i^{-1} B'_i J_i = B'_i + \lambda_i I$ . It follows from the fact that  $B'_i$  is skew-symmetric that  $\lambda_i = 0$ , and this happens for all  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Thus  $\pi(A'h) = 0$  and, since  $\pi$  is faithful, we obtain that  $A'h = 0$ . This implies that  $A' = 0$ .

Henceforth, the element  $D = (A, B) \in \mathfrak{k}$  is of the form

$$(A, B) = (\text{ad } x_1, \pi(x_1)) + (0, B')$$

with  $B' = B - \pi(x_1) \in \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V) \cap \mathfrak{so}(V, \langle, \rangle_V) = \mathfrak{u}$ . Since  $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$  and  $\mathfrak{u}$  commute, then  $\mathfrak{k} = \overline{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$  is a direct sum of Lie algebras. Note that we are identifying  $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$  with  $\{(\text{ad } x, \pi(x)) : x \in \overline{\mathfrak{g}}\} \subset \mathfrak{k}$ .

(ii) We have that  $\overline{G}$  is a compact semisimple Lie group. Each  $g \in \overline{G}$  defines an element of  $K$  acting on  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V$  by  $(\text{Ad}(g), \pi(g))$ , where  $\text{Ad}$  denotes the adjoint representation of  $\overline{G}$ . In fact, it is easy to see that  $\pi(g)\pi(x)\pi(g)^{-1} = \pi(\text{Ad}(g)x)$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $g \in \overline{G}$  (see (4)). Since  $\text{Ker } \pi \subset \text{center}(\overline{G})$ , the kernel of the morphism  $\overline{G} \rightarrow K$ ,  $g \rightarrow (\text{Ad}(g), \pi(g))$  is given precisely by  $\text{Ker } \pi$ , which is a finite group. Thus, there is a connected subgroup of  $K$  isomorphic to  $\overline{G}/\text{Ker } \pi$ , having Lie algebra  $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ . It follows from (i) that  $K^0 = G \times U^0$ .

(iii) The group  $U$  was obtained after Definition 3.10.

(iv) By (4) we have that

$$K = \{(\phi, T) \in \mathbf{O}(\mathfrak{g}, \langle, \rangle) \times \mathbf{O}(V, \langle, \rangle) : T\pi(x)T^{-1} = \pi(\phi x), \quad x \in \mathfrak{g}\}.$$

Hence, if  $(\phi, T) \in K$  then  $\phi = \pi^{-1} \circ \text{Ad}(T) \circ \pi \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ , and since  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{Inn}(\mathfrak{g})$  there must exist  $g \in G$  such that  $\phi = \text{Ad}(g)$ . By (ii) we have that  $(\text{Ad}(g), \pi(g)) \in K$  and thus  $\pi(g)^{-1}T \in U$ . We then obtain that  $(\phi, T)$  can be written as

$$(\phi, T) = (\text{Ad}(g), \pi(g)) \cdot (I, \pi(g)^{-1}T),$$

proving that  $K = G \times U$  (note that both subgroups commute).  $\square$

*Remark 3.13.* If  $\mathfrak{n}$  is an  $H$ -type algebra then  $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{z}) \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ , where each element of  $\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{z})$  acts naturally on  $\mathfrak{z}$  and it can be extended to  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus V$  using the representation of  $\text{Cl}(\mathfrak{z})$  on  $V$  (see [47]). Moreover, we have that  $K^0 = \text{Spin}(\mathfrak{z}) \times U^0$ , where the group  $U$  can be computed as described after Remark 3.9 (see [46] and Sect. 2).

#### 4. Commutativity on manifolds $N(\mathfrak{g}, V)$

A *commutative space* is a connected riemannian homogeneous space  $M$  such that the algebra of all  $I(M)^0$ -invariant differential operators is commutative, where  $I(M)^0$  denotes the connected component of the full isometry group  $I(M)$ . It is well known that any symmetric space is commutative (see [21]; or else [25], p.293). Commutativity in the class of homogeneous nilmanifolds is strongly related to the notion of Gelfand pair. Let  $N$  be a nilpotent Lie group and let  $K$  be a compact group of automorphisms of  $N$ . We say that  $(K, N)$  is a *Gelfand pair* if the convolution algebra  $L_K^1(N)$  of  $K$ -invariant integrable functions on  $N$  is commutative. If  $H = K \ltimes N$  then it is easy to prove that  $L_K^1(N)$  is isomorphic to  $L^1(H//K)$ , the convolution algebra of  $K$ -bi-invariant integrable functions on  $H$  (see [40]). Thus  $(K, N)$  is a Gelfand pair precisely when  $(H, K)$  is a Gelfand pair in the usual sense (see [20], p. 36).

It is shown in [4] that if  $(K, N)$  is a Gelfand pair then  $N$  must be two-step nilpotent (or abelian). Note that this is analogous to C. Gordon’s result on naturally reductive homogeneous nilmanifolds (see Sect. 2). We will thus assume that  $N$  is a two-step nilpotent Lie group.

In the following theorem we give the relationship between commutativity and Gelfand pairs. We shall first recall some preliminary facts and introduce some notation.

If  $K \subset \text{Aut}(N) \approx \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{n})$  (we always assume that  $N$  is simply connected), we endow  $\mathfrak{n}$  with a  $K$ -invariant inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and for each nonzero  $x \in \mathfrak{z}$ , we consider the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{n}_x = \mathbb{R}x \oplus V_x$ , where  $V_x = \{v \in V : [v, V] \perp x\}^\perp = (\text{Ker } J_x)^\perp$ , with defining Lie bracket  $[v, w]_x = \langle [v, w], x \rangle x$  for all  $v, w \in V_x$ . It is clear that the group  $N_x = \exp \mathfrak{n}_x$  is isomorphic to a Heisenberg group, unless  $J_x = 0$  (i.e.  $V_x = 0$ ), where  $N_x \simeq \mathbb{R}$ . We have that  $K_x \subset \text{Aut}(N_x)$ , where  $K_x = \{k \in K : kx = x\}$ .

**Definition 4.1.** *Since  $J_x : V_x \rightarrow V_x$  is invertible, there exists an orthogonal decomposition  $V_x = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_r$  such that  $\dim V_i = 2$  and*

$$J_x|_{V_i} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -c_i \\ c_i & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad c_i \neq 0, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, r.$$

If we take  $J : V_x \rightarrow V_x$  given by  $J|_{V_i} = \frac{1}{c_i} J_x|_{V_i}$ , then  $J^2 = -I$  and thus  $J$  defines a complex structure on  $V_x$ . We denote by  $\tilde{V}_x$  the corresponding complex vector space  $(V_x, J)$ .

It follows from (4) that the elements of  $K_x$  commute with  $J_x$  and hence they also commute with  $J$ , this implies that  $K_x$  acts by complex linear transformations on  $\tilde{V}_x$ .

A complex representation  $W$  of a compact Lie group  $K$  is said to be *multiplicity free* if the action of  $K$  (or equivalently of its complexification  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ ) on the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{C}[W]$  given by  $(k.p)(w) = p(k^{-1}w)$  is multiplicity free, i.e. its isotypic components are all irreducible (see [29,26] for further information).

**Theorem 4.2.** *If  $N$  is a two-step nilpotent Lie group,  $K$  is a compact subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(N)$  and  $H = K \times N$ , then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) *The algebra of  $H^0$ -invariant differential operators on  $N$  is commutative. In particular, if  $K$  is the isotropy subgroup of the isometry group of  $(N, \langle, \rangle)$ , this means that  $(N, \langle, \rangle)$  is a commutative space.*
- (ii)  *$(K^0, N)$  is a Gelfand pair.*
- (iii)  *$(K, N)$  is a Gelfand pair.*
- (iv)  *$(K_x, N_x)$  is a Gelfand pair for any nonzero  $x \in \mathfrak{z}$ .*
- (v) *The action of  $K_x$  (or  $K_x^0$ ) on the complex vector space  $\tilde{V}_x$  defined in (4.1) is multiplicity free for any nonzero  $x \in \mathfrak{z}$ .*

It is well known that (i) is equivalent to the commutativity of the algebra  $L^1(H^0//K^0)$  (see [25],p.486), thus the equivalence of (i) and (ii) follows from the isomorphism  $L^1(H^0//K^0) \simeq L^1_{K^0}(N)$ . It is proved that (ii) and (iii) are equivalent in [3] and [5]. The equivalence of (iii) and (iv) is called *localization*, and it has been proved in [34] and [5]. Finally, conditions (iv) and (v) are equivalent by [4].

In this section, we shall study the commutativity within the class of the manifolds  $(N(\mathfrak{g}, V), \langle, \rangle)$  introduced in 3.1, i.e. in the class of naturally reductive two-step homogeneous nilmanifolds (see Theorem 2.7). Equivalently, in view of Theorems 4.2, 3.12, we shall study conditions for  $(G \times U^0, N(\mathfrak{g}, V))$  to be a Gelfand pair.

As a first step, we prove that the commutativity of  $(N(\mathfrak{g}, V), \langle, \rangle)$  does not depend on the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant metric  $\langle, \rangle$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** *If  $\langle, \rangle$  and  $\langle, \rangle'$  are two  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant inner products on  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V$  then  $(N(\mathfrak{g}, V), \langle, \rangle)$  is a commutative space if and only if  $(N(\mathfrak{g}, V), \langle, \rangle')$  is so.*

*Proof.* Let  $K$  and  $K'$  denote the corresponding isotropy subgroups. By Theorem 3.12 we have that  $K^0 = G \times U^0$  and  $(K')^0 = G \times (U')^0$ , where  $U = \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V) \cap \mathcal{O}(V, \langle, \rangle)$  and  $U' = \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V) \cap \mathcal{O}(V, \langle, \rangle')$ .

If  $\langle v, w \rangle = \langle Qv, w \rangle'$  for all  $v, w \in V$  then  $Q \in \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V)$  (see (8)) and hence the map  $T \rightarrow Q^{\frac{1}{2}} T Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  is an isomorphism between  $U$  and  $U'$ . Moreover, since  $Q$  commutes with the action of  $G$  on  $V$  we have that this map is an isomorphism between  $K^0|_V$  and  $(K')^0|_V$ . Henceforth, if  $h \in \mathfrak{g}$  then the actions of  $K_h^0$  and  $(K')_h^0$



on  $\tilde{V}_h$  are conjugate via  $Q^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . This implies that one of these actions is multiplicity free if and only if the other is so, hence the result follows from Theorem 4.2.  $\square$

Thus, we shall study the commutativity of a group  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ , assuming that it is endowed with any  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant metric. We shall always use condition (v) of Theorem 4.2, thus we have to compute for  $h \in \mathfrak{g}$  the stabilizer  $K_h^0 = \{\varphi \in K^0 : \varphi h = h\}$ , where  $K^0$  is the connected component of the isotropy subgroup of  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ .

Suppose that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple. Let  $h \in \mathfrak{g}$  be a regular element and let  $\mathfrak{t}$  denote the only maximal torus of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (maximal abelian subalgebra) containing  $h$ . We note that  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$  is called a *weight* of a real representation  $(\pi, V)$  if there exist  $v, w \in V$  such that  $\pi(h')v = \lambda(h')w$  and  $\pi(h')w = -\lambda(h')v$  for all  $h' \in \mathfrak{t}$  (see [2]). We can choose  $h$  such that  $\lambda(h) \neq 0$  for all nonzero  $\lambda \in P(V)$ , where  $P(V)$  denotes the set of weights of the representation  $V$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{t}$ . This implies that  $\text{Ker } \pi(h) = V_0$ , the zero weight space of  $V$ , and thus  $V = V_h \oplus V_0$ . By Theorem 3.12 we have that  $K^0 = G \times U^0$ , where  $U$  acts trivially on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $G$  acts by the adjoint representation on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . This implies that the Lie algebra of  $K_h^0$  is  $C_{\mathfrak{g}}(h) \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ , where  $C_{\mathfrak{g}}(h) = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : [x, h] = 0\}$  is the centralizer of  $h$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Since  $h$  is regular we have that  $C_{\mathfrak{g}}(h) = \mathfrak{t}$  and thus, if  $T$  is the maximal torus of  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{t}$  then

$$K_h^0 = T \times U^0, \tag{13}$$

where each  $\exp h' \in T$  ( $h' \in \mathfrak{t}$ ) acts on  $V$  by  $e^{\pi(h')}$ . We then obtain a necessary condition for  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  to be a commutative space: the action of  $e^{\pi(\mathfrak{t})} \times U^0$  on  $\tilde{V}_h$  must be multiplicity free (see Theorem 4.2,(v)), where  $e^{\pi(\mathfrak{t})} = \{e^{\pi(h')} : h' \in \mathfrak{t}\}$ . In the following theorem we prove that the condition above is also sufficient for the commutativity of  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  when  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple.

**Theorem 4.4.** *A group  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  with  $\mathfrak{g}$  semisimple is a commutative space if and only if the action of  $e^{\pi(\mathfrak{t})} \times U^0$  on  $\tilde{V}$  is multiplicity free, where  $\mathfrak{t}$  is any maximal torus of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\tilde{V}$  is the complex vector space  $\tilde{V}_h$  defined in 4.1 for any  $h \in \mathfrak{t}$  satisfying  $\lambda(h) \neq 0$  for all nonzero weight  $\lambda$  of  $V$ . Note that  $V = \tilde{V} \oplus V_0$ , where  $V_0$  denotes the zero weights space of the representation  $V$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{t}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  is a commutative space we have proved above that this condition must be satisfied.

Conversely, suppose that the action of  $e^{\pi(\mathfrak{t})} \times U^0$  on  $\tilde{V}$  is multiplicity free. If  $h_1 \in \mathfrak{g} - \{0\}$  we take  $\mathfrak{t}_1$  any maximal torus of  $\mathfrak{g}$  containing  $h_1$ . In view of Theorem 4.2 we have to prove that the action of  $K_{h_1}$  on  $\tilde{V}_{h_1}$  is multiplicity free, where  $V = V_{h_1} \oplus \text{Ker } \pi(h_1)$ .

There exists  $A \in G$  such that  $A\mathfrak{t}_1 = \mathfrak{t}$ . We also denote by  $A$  the corresponding extension to  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V$  as an element of  $K$  (see Theorem 3.12). Since  $A\pi(x)A^{-1} = \pi(Ax)$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  (see (4)) and  $A$  commutes with the action of  $U$  we have that

$$Ae^{\pi(h)}TA^{-1} = Ae^{\pi(h)}A^{-1}T = e^{A\pi(h)A^{-1}}T = e^{\pi(Ah)}T \quad \forall h \in \mathfrak{t}_1, T \in U^0.$$

This implies that  $A(e^{\pi(\mathfrak{t}_1)} \times U^0)A^{-1} = e^{\pi(\mathfrak{t})} \times U^0$ . If  $V = \tilde{V}^1 \oplus V_0^1$  denotes the decomposition as in the theorem for  $\mathfrak{t}_1$ , then  $AV_0^1 = V_0$ . Indeed, for all  $v \in V_0^1$ ,

$$\pi(h)Av = A\pi(A^{-1}h)v = 0 \quad \forall h \in \mathfrak{t},$$

thus  $A\tilde{V}^1 = \tilde{V}$ , since  $A$  is orthogonal. It is clear that the action of

$$A^{-1}(e^{\pi(\mathfrak{t})} \times U^0)A = e^{\pi(\mathfrak{t}_1)} \times U^0$$

on  $A^{-1}\tilde{V} = \tilde{V}^1$  is also multiplicity free, and since  $e^{\pi(\mathfrak{t}_1)} \times U^0 \subset K_{h_1}^0$  and  $V_{h_1} \subset \tilde{V}^1$  (note that  $h_1 \in \mathfrak{t}_1$  and  $V_0^1 \subset \text{Ker } \pi(h_1)$ ) we obtain that the action of  $K_{h_1}^0$  on  $V_{h_1}$  is multiplicity free, as was to be shown.  $\square$

Using the characterization in the theorem above, we shall give now two families of examples of groups  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  which are commutative spaces. We first need a lemma about multiplicity free actions of a torus, which will be very useful.

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $\mathbb{C}^*$  denote the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ . A complex representation  $W$  of an  $n$ -dimensional torus  $T^n$  is multiplicity free if and only if the set of weights  $P(W) \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$  of  $W$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linearly independent. In particular, if  $W$  is multiplicity free then  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* The complex irreducible representations of a torus  $T^n = \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$  are all one-dimensional and of the form

$$\pi([x]) = e^{2\pi i \lambda(x)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for some  $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n k_j x_j, \quad (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \quad (14)$$

(see [2], p.107). Thus, if  $(\rho, W)$  is an  $m$ -dimensional complex representation of  $T^n$ , then there exists a basis  $\{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$  of  $W$  such that

$$\rho([x])w_j = e^{2\pi i \lambda_j(x)} w_j, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where each  $\lambda_j$  is as in (14) for some element of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{t}$  of  $T^n$  can be identified with  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and its corresponding action on  $W$  is given by

$$x.w_j = 2\pi i \lambda_j(x) w_j, \quad x \in \mathfrak{t} = \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We must study the action of  $\mathfrak{t}$  on the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{C}[W]$ . We denote by  $z_j$  the element of  $\mathbb{C}[W]$  given by  $z_j(w) = a_j$ , where  $w = a_1 w_1 + \dots + a_m w_m$ . Since the polynomial  $z_j$  is linear it is not hard to check that  $(x.z_j)(w) = z_j(-x.w)$ , thus

$$\begin{aligned} x.z_j(w) &= z_j(-x.w) = z_j(-2\pi i \lambda_1(x) a_1 w_1 - \dots - 2\pi i \lambda_m(x) a_m w_m) \\ &= -2\pi i \lambda_j(x) a_j = -2\pi i \lambda_j(x) z_j(w) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$x.z_j = -2\pi i \lambda_j(x) z_j \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, m, \quad x \in \mathfrak{t}. \quad (15)$$

Using that  $\mathfrak{t}$  acts by derivations on  $\mathbb{C}[W]$  (i.e.  $x.(pq) = (x.p)q + p(x.q)$ , where  $pq$  denotes ordinary multiplication in  $\mathbb{C}[W]$ ) and (15) it is easy to see that any  $x \in \mathfrak{t}$  acts on a monomial of  $\mathbb{C}[W]$  by

$$x.(z_1^{k_1} \dots z_m^{k_m}) = -2\pi i(k_1\lambda_1(x) + \dots + k_m\lambda_m(x))z_1^{k_1} \dots z_m^{k_m}.$$

We then obtain that  $\mathbb{C}[W]$  will be multiplicity free if and only if  $k_1\lambda_1 + \dots + k_m\lambda_m \neq k'_1\lambda_1 + \dots + k'_m\lambda_m$  for all  $(k_1, \dots, k_m) \neq (k'_1, \dots, k'_m) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^m$ . This condition is equivalent to the set  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m\} \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$  being  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linearly independent, and since the  $\lambda_i$  are integral (see (14)), we have that this is equivalent to  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m\}$  being  $\mathbb{R}$ -linearly independent, as it was to be shown.  $\square$

*Example 4.6.* Consider the group  $N(\mathfrak{su}(n), \mathbb{C}^n)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , where  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is the standard representation of  $\mathfrak{su}(n)$  regarded as a real representation. The subspace  $\mathfrak{t}$  of  $\mathfrak{su}(n)$  given by

$$\mathfrak{t} = \left\{ H = \begin{bmatrix} ih_1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & ih_n \end{bmatrix} : \sum_j h_j = 0, h_j \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

is a maximal torus of  $\mathfrak{su}(n)$ . The representation  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is of complex type, thus  $e^{\pi(\mathfrak{t})} \times U^0 = e^{\pi(\mathfrak{t})} \times \mathbb{S}^1$  (see Definition 3.10). Furthermore, since  $(\mathbb{C}^n)_0 = 0$ , we have that  $\tilde{V} = \mathbb{C}^n$ . The Lie algebra of  $e^{\pi(\mathfrak{t})} \times \mathbb{S}^1$  can be identified with  $\mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ , and thus the weights of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  are given by  $P(\mathbb{C}^n) = \{\lambda_1 + \lambda, \dots, \lambda_n + \lambda\}$ , where  $\lambda_j(H, r) = ih_j$  and  $\lambda(H, r) = ir$  for all  $H \in \mathfrak{t}, r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $P(\mathbb{C}^n)$  is a linearly independent subset of  $(\mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathbb{R})^*$ , we obtain from Lemma 4.5 that the action of  $e^{\pi(\mathfrak{t})} \times \mathbb{S}^1$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is multiplicity free and hence  $N(\mathfrak{su}(n), \mathbb{C}^n)$  is a commutative space by Theorem 4.4.

*Example 4.7.* We consider the group  $N(\mathfrak{so}(n), \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , where  $\mathbb{R}^n$  denotes the standard representation of  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ . In this case  $e^{\pi(\mathfrak{t})} \times U^0 = e^{\pi(\mathfrak{t})}$ , since  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is of real type. If  $n = 2k + 1$  we choose the maximal torus of  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$

$$\mathfrak{t} = \left\{ H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -h_1 & & & \\ h_1 & 0 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & 0 & -h_k \\ & & & h_k & 0 \\ & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix} : h_j \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

and if  $n = 2k$  we take the same  $\mathfrak{t}$  but with the last row and column deleted. It is clear that in both cases we have to analyze the action of  $e^{\pi(\mathfrak{t})}$  on  $\tilde{V} = \mathbb{C}^k$  given by  $e^{\pi(H)}.(c_1, \dots, c_k) = (ih_1c_1, \dots, ih_kc_k)$  (see 4.1). The Lie algebra of  $e^{\pi(\mathfrak{t})}$  is  $\mathfrak{t}$  and  $P(\mathbb{C}^k) = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ , where  $\lambda_j(H) = ih_j$ , thus  $P(\mathbb{C}^k)$  is a linearly independent subset of  $\mathfrak{t}^*$ . By Lemma 4.5 we have that the action of  $e^{\pi(\mathfrak{t})}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^k$  is multiplicity free and thus  $N(\mathfrak{so}(n), \mathbb{R}^n)$  is a commutative space (see Theorem 4.4).

*Remark 4.8.* It is easy to see that the group  $N(\mathfrak{so}(n), \mathbb{R}^n)$  is precisely the so called *free two-step nilpotent Lie group on  $n$  generators*. These groups have been considered by many authors, see [4,55,50] for instance, and the commutativity has been proved in [4]. Moreover, it was also proved in this work that the only Gelfand pair of the form  $(K, N(\mathfrak{so}(n), \mathbb{R}^n))$  is  $(\mathbf{SO}(n), N(\mathfrak{so}(n), \mathbb{R}^n))$ .

**Lemma 4.9.** *Let  $W$  be a complex representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W_{\lambda} = 1$  for all  $\lambda \in P(W) - \{0\}$ . Then  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W_0 \leq \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g})$ .*

*Proof.* If  $r = \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g})$  we take  $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$  the set of simple roots of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Denote by  $\lambda_1 \in P(W)$  the maximum weight of  $W$  and let  $w_1 \in W_{\lambda_1} - \{0\}$ . If  $x_{-\alpha_{i_1}} \dots x_{-\alpha_{i_t}} w_1 \in W_0$  with  $x_{-\alpha_{i_j}} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_{i_j}}$ , then  $x_{-\alpha_{i_2}} \dots x_{-\alpha_{i_t}} w_1 \in W_{\alpha_{i_1}}$ , and thus  $x_{-\alpha_{i_1}} \dots x_{-\alpha_{i_t}} w_1 \in x_{-\alpha_{i_1}} W_{\alpha_{i_1}}$ . Since  $W_0$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linearly generated by the elements of the form  $x_{-\alpha_{i_1}} \dots x_{-\alpha_{i_t}} w_1$  we have that

$$W_0 \subset \langle x_{-\alpha_1} W_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup x_{-\alpha_r} W_{\alpha_r} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} .$$

Now, using that  $\dim W_{\alpha_i} \leq 1$  for all  $i$  we obtain that  $\dim W_0 \leq r$ .  $\square$

The following theorem gives a large family of non-commutative naturally reductive spaces. The first examples of this kind were given in [27,28].

**Theorem 4.10.** *If the group  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ , with  $\mathfrak{g}$  semisimple and  $V$  irreducible of real type (see Definition 3.10) is a commutative space, then  $\dim V \leq 3 \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g})$ .*

*Proof.* We will use Theorem 4.4. In this case we have that  $e^{\pi(\mathfrak{t})} \times U^0 = e^{\pi(\mathfrak{t})}$ . If  $V = \tilde{V} \oplus V_0$  as in the theorem we take a real basis of  $\tilde{V}$

$$\tilde{V} = \{v_1, w_1, \dots, v_n, w_n\}_{\mathbb{R}}$$

such that

$$\pi(h)|_{\{v_j, w_j\}_{\mathbb{R}}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\lambda_j(h) \\ \lambda_j(h) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \forall h \in \mathfrak{t} .$$

Thus, as a complex vector space,  $\tilde{V} = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}_{\mathbb{C}}$  and the action is given by  $\pi(h)v_j = i\lambda_j(h)v_j$  for all  $h \in \mathfrak{t}$ . Suppose that  $N(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  is commutative. Since the action of  $e^{\pi(\mathfrak{t})}$  on  $\tilde{V}$  is multiplicity free, we obtain from Lemma 4.5 that  $n \leq \dim \mathfrak{t}$  and  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$  is a linearly independent subset of  $\mathfrak{t}^*$ . Since  $V$  is of real type, we have that its complexification  $W = \mathbb{C} \otimes V$ , which is naturally a complex representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , is also irreducible. Furthermore,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W_0 = \dim V - \dim V_0 = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{V} = 2n \leq 2 \dim \mathfrak{t} .$$

We thus obtain that the complex representation  $W$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W &\leq 2 \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W_0, \\ \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W_{\lambda} &= 1 \quad \forall \lambda \in P(W) - \{0\}, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where  $W_{\lambda}$  denotes the  $\lambda$ -weight space of  $W$ . Now, using (16) and Lemma 4.9, we obtain that  $\dim V = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W \leq 3 \text{rank} \mathfrak{g}$ .  $\square$

As an example, we take  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(2)$ . All the odd dimensional irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  are of real type, but in view of Theorem 4.10, we have that only  $N(\mathfrak{su}(2), V)$  with the 3-dimensional representation  $V = \mathbb{R}^3$  is a commutative space. Note that  $\mathfrak{su}(2) = \mathfrak{so}(3)$ , and  $V = \mathbb{R}^3$  is the standard representation of  $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ .

## 5. Applications to weakly symmetric spaces

A connected riemannian manifold  $M$  is said to be *weakly symmetric* if for any two points  $p, q \in M$  there exists an isometry of  $M$  mapping  $p$  to  $q$  and  $q$  to  $p$ . This notion was introduced by A. Selberg in [49]. This is not the original definition given by Selberg, but it is equivalent to it (see [8]). It is easy to see that any symmetric space is weakly symmetric.

We note that the commutativity of a space (see Sect. 4) is defined sometimes with respect to the full isometry group  $I(M)$ . The equivalence of these two notions is still an open problem. However, in the class of two-step homogeneous nilmanifolds both notions coincide (see Theorem 4.2,(ii),(iii) and [6]).

**Theorem 5.1** ([49]). *Any weakly symmetric space  $M$  is a commutative space (with respect to  $I(M)$ -invariance).*

The converse is known to be false, there are examples in [40,41] of modified H-type groups which are commutative spaces and not weakly symmetric. A motivation for the study of the commutativity and weak symmetry on manifolds  $(N(\mathfrak{g}, V), \langle, \rangle)$  has been the fact that, up to now, there were no examples of non-weakly symmetric naturally reductive spaces. The following result provides a large family of such examples, and its proof follows from Theorems 4.10, 5.1.

**Theorem 5.2.** *Any  $(N(\mathfrak{g}, V), \langle, \rangle)$  with  $\mathfrak{g}$  semisimple,  $V$  irreducible of real type and  $\dim V > 3 \operatorname{rank}(\mathfrak{g})$  is a non-weakly symmetric naturally reductive space.*

*Acknowledgements.* This paper, which is part of my thesis work, was supported by a fellowship from CONICET and research grants from CONICOR and SeCyT UNC (Argentina). I wish to thank my advisor, Isabel Dotti, for her invaluable guidance. I am also grateful to Roberto Miatello, Jorge Vargas and the referee for helpful observations.

## References

- [1] Akhiezer, D.N., Vinberg, E.B: Weakly symmetric spaces and spherical varieties. Preprint (1997)
- [2] Brocker, T., tom Dieck, T.: Representations of compact Lie groups. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1985
- [3] Benson, C., Jenkins, J., Lipsman, R., Ratcliff, G.: A geometric criterion for Gelfand pairs associated with the Heisenberg group. *Pacific J. Math.* **178**, 1–35 (1997)
- [4] Benson, C., Jenkins, J., Ratcliff, G.: On Gelfand pairs associated with solvable Lie groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **321**, 85–116 (1990)
- [5] Benson, C., Jenkins, J., Ratcliff, G.: The orbit method and Gelfand pairs associated with nilpotent Lie groups. Preprint
- [6] Berndt, J., Ricci, F., Vanhecke, L.: Weakly symmetric groups of Heisenberg type. To appear in *Rendiconti di Torino*
- [7] Berndt, J., Ricci, F., Vanhecke, L.: Generalized Heisenberg groups and Damek–Ricci harmonic spaces. *Lect. Notes in Math.* **1598**, Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 1995
- [8] Berndt, J., Vanhecke, L.: Geometry of weakly symmetric spaces. *J. Japan Math. Soc.* **48**, 745–760 (1996)

- [9] Cowling, M., Dooley, A.H., Korányi, A., Ricci, F.: H-type groups and Iwasawa decompositions. *Adv. in Math.* **87**, 1–41 (1991)
- [10] Cowling, M., Dooley, A.H., Korányi, A., Ricci, F.: An approach to symmetric spaces of rank one via groups of Heisenberg type. To appear in *J. Geom. Analysis*
- [11] Damek, E., Ricci, F.: A class of non-symmetric harmonic riemannian spaces. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **27**, 139–142 (1992)
- [12] Damek, E., Ricci, F.: Harmonic analysis on solvable extensions of  $H$ -type groups. *J. Geom. Anal.* **2**, 213–248 (1992)
- [13] D’Atri, J.: Geodesic spheres and symmetries in naturally reductive spaces. *Michigan Math. J.* **22**, 71–76 (1975)
- [14] D’Atri, J., Nickerson, H.: Geodesic symmetries in spaces with special curvature tensors. *J. Differential Geom.* **9**, 251–262 (1974)
- [15] DeTurck, D., Gordon, C.: Isospectral deformations I riemannian structures on two-step nilspaces. *Comm. on Pure and Appl. Math.* **XL**, 367–387 (1987)
- [16] DeTurck, D., Gluck, H., Gordon, C., Webb, D.: The inaudible geometry of nilmanifolds. *Invent. Math.* **111**, 271–284 (1993)
- [17] Eberlein, P.: Geometry of two-step nilpotent Lie groups with a left invariant metric. *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4)* **27**, 611–660 (1994)
- [18] Eberlein, P.: Geometry of two-step nilpotent Lie groups with a left invariant metric II. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **343**, 805–828 (1994)
- [19] Eberlein, P., Heber, J.: Quarter pinched homogeneous spaces of negative curvature. *Internat. J. Math.* **7**, 441–500 (1996)
- [20] Gangolli, R., Varadarajan, V.S.: *Harmonic Analysis of Spherical Functions on Real Reductive Groups*. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 1988
- [21] Gelfand, I.M.: Spherical functions on symmetric spaces. *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (Ser. 2)* **37**, 39–44 (1964)
- [22] Gordon, C.: Naturally reductive homogeneous riemannian manifolds. *Canad. J. Math.* **37**, 467–487 (1985)
- [23] Gordon, C.: Isospectral closed riemannian manifolds which are not locally isometric. *J. Differ. Geom.* **37**, 639–649 (1993)
- [24] Gordon, C., Wilson, E.: Isospectral deformations of compact solvmanifolds. *J. Differ. Geom.* **19**, 241–256 (1984)
- [25] Helgason, S.: *Groups and geometric analysis*. Orlando: Academic Press, 1984
- [26] Howe, R.: *Perspectives on Invariant Theory*. Israel Math. Conf. Proc., The Shur Lectures (1992), Bar-Ilan University, 1995
- [27] Jiménez, J.: Existence of Hermitian  $n$ -symmetric spaces and of non-commutative naturally reductive spaces. *Math. Z.* **196**, 133–139 (1987); Addendum: *Math. Z.* **197**, 455–456 (1988)
- [28] Jiménez, J.: Non-commutative naturally reductive spaces of odd-dimension. Preprint
- [29] Kac, V.: Some remarks on nilpotent orbits. *J. Algebra* **64**, 190–213 (1980)
- [30] Kaplan, A.: Fundamental solutions for a class of hypoelliptic PDE generated by composition of quadratic forms *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **258**, 147–153 (1980)
- [31] Kaplan, A.: Riemannian nilmanifolds attached to Clifford modules. *Geom. Dedicata* **11**, 127–136 (1981)
- [32] Kaplan, A.: On the geometry of groups of Heisenberg type. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **15**, 35–42 (1983)
- [33] Kaplan, A., Ricci, F.: *Harmonic analysis on groups of Heisenberg type*. Lect. Notes in Math. **992**, 416–435, Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 1983
- [34] Kikuchi, K.: On Gelfand pairs associated with nilpotent Lie groups. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **34-4**, 741–754 (1994)

- [35] Kobayashi, S., Nomizu, K.: *Foundations of Differential Geometry*. New York: Interscience Publishers, 1969
- [36] Korányi, A.: Geometric properties of Heisenberg-type groups. *Adv. in Math.* **56**, 28–38 (1985)
- [37] Kowalski, O., Prufer, F.: On probabilistic commutative spaces. *Monatsh. Math.* **107**, 57–68 (1989)
- [38] Kowalski, O., Prufer, F., Vanhecke, L.: *D’Atri spaces*. *Topics in Geometry: Honoring the Memory of Joseph D’Atri* (ed. S. Gindikin), Boston, Basel, Berlin: Birkhauser-Verlag, 1996
- [39] Kowalski, O., Vanhecke, L.: Opérateurs différentiels invariants et symmétries géodésiques préservant le volume. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **296**, 1001–1003 (1983)
- [40] Lauret, J.: Commutative spaces which are not weakly symmetric. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **30**, 29–36 (1998)
- [41] Lauret, J.: Modified  $H$ -type groups and symmetric-like riemannian spaces. To appear in *Diff. Geom. Appl.*
- [42] Lauret, J.: Naturally reductive homogeneous structures on two-step nilpotent Lie groups. *Revista de la UMA* **41**, 2 (1998)
- [43] Leukert, S.: *Representations and nonpositively curved solvmanifolds*. Thesis work, Univ. of North Carolina, Chapell Hill 1998
- [44] Pesce, H.: Calcul de spectre d’une nilvariété de rang deux et applications. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **339**, 433–461 (1993)
- [45] Ricci, F.: Commutative algebras of invariant functions on groups of Heisenberg type. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **32**, 265–271 (1985)
- [46] Riehm, C.: The automorphism group of a composition of quadratic forms. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **269**, 403–414 (1982)
- [47] Riehm, C.: Explicit spin representations and Lie algebras of Heisenberg type. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **29**, 49–62 (1984)
- [48] Schueth, D.: Line bundle Laplacians over isospectral nilmanifolds. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **349**, 3787–3802 (1997)
- [49] Selberg, A.: Harmonic analysis and discontinuous groups in weakly symmetric spaces with applications to Dirichlet series. *J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **20**, 47–87 (1956)
- [50] Sigg, S.: Laplacian and homology of free 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras. Preprint (1995)
- [51] Tricerri, F., Vanhecke, L.: *Homogeneous structures on riemannian manifolds*. *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.* **83**, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983
- [52] Tricerri, F., Vanhecke, L.: Naturally reductive homogeneous spaces and generalized Heisenberg groups. *Compositio Math.* **52**, 389–408 (1984)
- [53] Wallach, N.: *Real Reductive Groups I*. Boston: Academic Press, 1988
- [54] Wilson, E.: Isometry groups on homogeneous nilmanifolds. *Geom. Dedicata* **12**, 337–346 (1982)
- [55] Ziller, W.: Weakly symmetric spaces. *Topics in Geometry: Honoring the Memory of Joseph D’Atri*. (ed. S. Gindikin), Boston, Basel, Berlin: Birkhauser-Verlag, 1996