

Changping Wang

Moebius geometry of submanifolds in \mathbb{S}^n

Received: 18 November 1997

Abstract. In this paper we define a Moebius invariant metric and a Moebius invariant second fundamental form for submanifolds in \mathbb{S}^n and show that in case of a hypersurface with $n \geq 4$ they determine the hypersurface up to Moebius transformations. Using these Moebius invariants we calculate the first variation of the moebius volume functional. We show that any minimal surface in \mathbb{S}^n is also Moebius minimal and that the image in \mathbb{S}^n of any minimal surface in \mathbb{R}^n under the inverse of a stereographic projection is also Moebius minimal. Finally we use the relations between Moebius invariants to classify all surfaces in \mathbb{S}^3 with vanishing Moebius form.

0. Introduction

In this paper we study submanifolds in \mathbb{S}^n under the Moebius group. We define a Moebius invariant metric g and a Moebius invariant 2-form \mathbb{B} called the Moebius second fundamental form. We show that in case of hypersurface with $n \geq 4$ $\{g, \mathbb{B}\}$ form a complete invariant system which determines the hypersurface up to Moebius transformations (cf. Theorem 3.1). Using these Moebius invariants we calculate the Euler–Lagrange equations for the volume functional with respect to g (cf. Theorem 4.1). In case of surfaces in \mathbb{S}^3 a critical surface to this functional is exactly a Willmore surface, which is well-studied (cf. [2], [5], [8]). We show that any minimal surface in \mathbb{S}^n is also Moebius minimal and that the image in \mathbb{S}^n of any minimal surface in \mathbb{R}^n under the inverse of a stereographic projection is also Moebius minimal, known for $n = 3$ (cf. [2], pp24). Finally we use the relations between Moebius invariants to classify all surfaces in \mathbb{S}^3 with vanishing Moebius form. We show that the image in \mathbb{R}^3 of such surface under a stereographic projection is Moebius equivalent to a circular cylinder, or a torus of revolution, or a circular cone (cf. Theorem 5.1).

C.P. Wang: Department of Mathematics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, People's Republic of China. e-mail: wangcp@pku.edu.cn

Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 53A30, 53B25

1. The Moebius metric for submanifold in \mathbb{S}^n

Let \mathbb{R}_1^{n+2} be the Lorentz space \mathbb{R}^{n+2} with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ given by

$$\langle X, Y \rangle := -x_0y_0 + x_1y_1 + \cdots + x_{n+1}y_{n+1}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $X = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}), Y = (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$. We denote by \mathbf{C}_+^{n+1} the half cone in \mathbb{R}_1^{n+2} and by \mathbb{Q}^n the quadric in $\mathbb{R}P^{n+1}$:

$$\mathbf{C}_+^{n+1} := \{X \in \mathbb{R}_1^{n+2} \mid \langle X, X \rangle = 0, x_0 = p(X) > 0\}, \tag{1.2}$$

$$\mathbb{Q}^n := \{[X] \in \mathbb{R}P^{n+1} \mid \langle X, X \rangle = 0\}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $p : \mathbb{R}_1^{n+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the projection of X to its first coordinate x_0 . Let $O(n + 1, 1)$ be the Lorentz group of \mathbb{R}_1^{n+2} keeping the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ invariant. Then $O(n + 1, 1)$ is a transformation group on \mathbb{Q}^n defined by

$$\mathbb{T}([X]) := [X\mathbb{T}], \quad X \in \mathbf{C}_+^{n+1}, \mathbb{T} \in O(n + 1, 1).$$

A classical theorem states that

Theorem 1.1. *Two submanifolds $x, \tilde{x} : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ are Moebius equivalent if and only if there exists $\mathbb{T} \in O(n + 1, 1)$ such that $[1, \tilde{x}] = \mathbb{T}([1, x]) : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^n$.*

Let $x : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ be a m -dimensional submanifold in \mathbb{S}^n . We define $X = [1, x] : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^n$. For any local lift Z of the standard projection $\pi : \mathbf{C}_+^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^n$ we get a local lift $y := Z \circ X$ of $X : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^n$. Such lift y exists around each point of \mathbf{M} .

Let $y : U \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_+^{n+1}$ be a lift of $X = [1, x] : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^n$ defined in an open subset U of \mathbf{M} . Then we have $y = \lambda(1, x)$ for some smooth positive function $\lambda : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Thus $\langle dy, dy \rangle = \lambda^2 dx \cdot dx$ is a metric conformal to the induced metric $dx \cdot dx$ of $x : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$. We denote by ∇, Δ and κ the gradient, the Laplacian operator and the normalized scalar curvature of the metric $\langle dy, dy \rangle$. Then we have

Theorem 1.2. *The 2-form*

$$g := (\langle \Delta y, \Delta y \rangle - m^2 \kappa) \langle dy, dy \rangle \tag{1.4}$$

is a globally defined Moebius invariant of $x : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$. Moreover, g is positive definite at any non-umbilic point of \mathbf{M} .

Proof. Let \tilde{y} be another lift of $[1, x]$ defined on an open set V of \mathbf{M} with $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$. We denote by $\tilde{\Delta}$ and $\tilde{\kappa}$ the Laplacian operator and the normalized scalar curvature of $\langle d\tilde{y}, d\tilde{y} \rangle$. Then we can find a smooth function $\tau : U \cap V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{y} = e^\tau y$ on $U \cap V$. Since $\langle y, y \rangle = 0$ and $\langle dy, y \rangle = 0$ we have

$$\langle d\tilde{y}, d\tilde{y} \rangle = e^{2\tau} \langle dy, dy \rangle. \tag{1.5}$$

Let $\{u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n\}$ be a local coordinate system in $U \cap V$. For any function $F : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we denote by F_i the derivative $\frac{\partial F}{\partial u^i}$. Then we have

$$\langle dy, dy \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^m \langle y_i, y_j \rangle du^i \otimes du^j := \sum_{i,j=1}^m g_{ij} du^i \otimes du^j. \tag{1.6}$$

We denote by (g^{ij}) the inverse matrix of (g_{ij}) , by $\{\Gamma_{ij}^k\}$, $\{\tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k\}$ the Levi-Civita connection of $\langle dy, dy \rangle$ and $\langle d\tilde{y}, d\tilde{y} \rangle$ respectively. By a direct calculation we have

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k = \Gamma_{ij}^k + \tau_i \delta_j^k + \tau_j \delta_i^k - \sum_{\lambda=1}^m \tau_\lambda g^{k\lambda} g_{ij}; \tag{1.7}$$

$$\tilde{\kappa} = e^{-2\tau} \left(\kappa - \frac{2}{m} \Delta \tau - \frac{m-2}{m} \|\nabla \tau\|^2 \right); \tag{1.8}$$

$$\tilde{\Delta} \tilde{y} = e^{-\tau} \left\{ (\Delta \tau + (m-1) \|\nabla \tau\|^2) y + \Delta y + m \sum_{i,k=1}^m \tau_i g^{ki} y_k \right\}. \tag{1.9}$$

Since $g_{ij} = \langle y_i, y_j \rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{Hess}(y)_{ij}, y_k \rangle &:= \left\langle y_{ij} - \sum_{\lambda=1}^m \Gamma_{ij}^\lambda y_\lambda, y_k \right\rangle \\ &= \langle y_{ij}, y_k \rangle - \frac{1}{2} ((g_{kj})_i + (g_{ki})_j - (g_{ij})_k) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In particular we get

$$\langle \Delta y, y_k \rangle = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq m. \tag{1.10}$$

Using (1.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Delta y, y \rangle &= \sum_{i,j=1}^m g^{ij} \left\langle y_{ij} - \sum_{\lambda=1}^m \Gamma_{ij}^\lambda y_\lambda, y \right\rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^m g^{ij} \langle y_{ij}, y \rangle \\ &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^m g^{ij} \langle y_i, y_j \rangle = -m. \end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

It follows from (1.9) and (1.10) that

$$\langle \tilde{\Delta} \tilde{y}, \tilde{\Delta} \tilde{y} \rangle = e^{-2\tau} \{ \langle \Delta y, \Delta y \rangle - 2m \Delta \tau - m(m-2) \|\nabla \tau\|^2 \}. \tag{1.12}$$

Thus (1.5), (1.8) and (1.12) yield

$$\left(\langle \tilde{\Delta} \tilde{y}, \tilde{\Delta} \tilde{y} \rangle - m^2 \tilde{\kappa} \right) \langle d\tilde{y}, d\tilde{y} \rangle = (\langle \Delta y, \Delta y \rangle - m^2 \kappa) \langle dy, dy \rangle. \tag{1.13}$$

From (1.13) and Theorem 1.1 we know that the 2-form g defined by (1.4) is a globally defined Moebius invariant of $x : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$. To show that g is positive definite at any non-umbilical point we take $y := (1, x)$. Let $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $T\mathbf{M}$ with dual basis $\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_m\}$ and let $\{e_{m+1}, \dots, e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis to the normal bundle of x in \mathbb{S}^n . We write the second fundamental form II of x as

$$II = \sum_{\alpha=m+1}^n \sum_{i,j=1}^m h_{ij}^\alpha \theta_i \otimes \theta_j e_\alpha.$$

Then from the structure equations for $x : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ we have

$$\Delta x = \sum_{\alpha=m+1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^m h_{ii}^\alpha \right) e_\alpha - mx. \tag{1.14}$$

From (1.13) and the Gauss equation we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \Delta y, \Delta y \rangle - m^2 \kappa \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=m+1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^m h_{ii}^\alpha \right)^2 + m^2 \\ & \quad - m^2 \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{m(m-1)} \left(\sum_{\alpha=m+1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^m h_{ii}^\alpha \right)^2 - \sum_{\alpha=m+1}^n \sum_{i,j=1}^m (h_{ij}^\alpha)^2 \right) \right\} \tag{1.15} \\ &= \frac{m}{m-1} \left(\sum_{\alpha=m+1}^n \sum_{i,j=1}^m (h_{ij}^\alpha)^2 - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\alpha=m+1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^m h_{ii}^\alpha \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{m}{m-1} \left\| II - \frac{1}{m} \text{tr}(II)I \right\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $I := dx \cdot dx$ is the first fundamental form of x . This shows that g is positive definite at any non-umbilical point and that $g = 0$ at umbilical points. \square

Definition 1.3. *The metric g defined by (1.4) is called the Moebius metric for $x : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$.*

2. Moebius invariants for submanifolds in \mathbb{S}^n

In this section we assume that $x : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ is a connected submanifold without umbilical point. Since in this case the Moebius metric g is positive definite, there exist a unique lift $Y : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_+^{n+1}$ of $[1, x] : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^n$ such

that $g = \langle dY, dY \rangle$. We call Y the canonical lift of $[1, x]$. By taking $y := Y$ in (1.4) we get

$$\langle \Delta Y, \Delta Y \rangle = 1 + m^2 \kappa. \tag{2.1}$$

Let $\{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_m\}$ be a local orthonormal basis for g with dual basis $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_m\}$. For any function $F : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we denote by F_i the partial derivative $E_i(F)$. Then from $g = \langle dY, dY \rangle$ we get

$$\langle Y_i, Y_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq m. \tag{2.2}$$

We define

$$N = -\frac{1}{m} \Delta Y - \frac{1}{2m^2} \langle \Delta Y, \Delta Y \rangle Y. \tag{2.3}$$

From the facts (cf. (1.10), (1.11)) that

$$\langle Y, Y \rangle = 0, \quad \langle Y, dY \rangle = 0, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\langle \Delta Y, Y \rangle = -m, \quad \langle \Delta Y, Y_k \rangle = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq m, \tag{2.5}$$

one can easily verify that

$$\langle N, Y \rangle = 1, \quad \langle N, N \rangle = \langle N, Y_k \rangle = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq m. \tag{2.6}$$

Thus $\text{span}\{N, Y\} \perp \text{span}\{Y_1, \dots, Y_m\}$. We define

$$\mathbb{V} = \{\text{span}\{N, Y\} \oplus \text{span}\{Y_1, \dots, Y_m\}\}^\perp. \tag{2.7}$$

Then \mathbb{V} is a positive definite subspace of \mathbb{R}_1^{n+2} such that

$$\mathbb{R}_1^{n+2} = \text{span}\{N, Y\} \oplus \text{span}\{Y_1, \dots, Y_m\} \oplus \mathbb{V}. \tag{2.8}$$

We call \mathbb{V} the Moebius normal bundle for $x : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$.

Let $\{E_{m+1}, \dots, E_n\}$ be a local orthonormal basis for the bundle \mathbb{V} over \mathbf{M} . Then $\{Y, N, Y_1, \dots, Y_m, E_{m+1}, \dots, E_n\}$ forms a moving frame in \mathbb{R}_1^{n+2} along \mathbf{M} . By using the range of indices:

$$1 \leq i, j, k, \lambda \leq m; \quad m + 1 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma \leq n;$$

and (2.4), (2.6) we can write the structure equations as follows:

$$dY = \sum_i \omega_i Y_i; \tag{2.9}$$

$$dN = \sum_i \psi_i Y_i + \sum_\alpha \phi_\alpha E_\alpha; \tag{2.10}$$

$$dY_i = -\psi_i Y - \omega_i N + \sum_j \omega_{ij} Y_j + \sum_\alpha \omega_{i\alpha} E_\alpha; \tag{2.11}$$

$$dE_\alpha = -\phi_\alpha Y - \sum_i \omega_{i\alpha} Y_i + \sum_\beta \omega_{\alpha\beta} E_\beta; \tag{2.12}$$

where $\{\psi_i, \omega_{ij}, \omega_{i\alpha}, \phi_\alpha, \omega_{\alpha\beta}\}$ are 1-forms on \mathbf{M} with $\omega_{ij} = -\omega_{ji}$ and $\omega_{\alpha\beta} = -\omega_{\beta\alpha}$. By exterior differentiation of these equations we get

$$\sum_i \omega_i \wedge \psi_i = 0; \quad \sum_i \omega_{i\alpha} \wedge \omega_i = 0; \quad (2.13)$$

$$d\omega_i = \sum_j \omega_{ij} \wedge \omega_j; \quad (2.14)$$

$$d\psi_i - \sum_j \omega_{ij} \wedge \psi_j = \sum_\alpha \omega_{i\alpha} \wedge \phi_\alpha; \quad (2.15)$$

$$d\phi_\alpha - \sum_\beta \omega_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \phi_\beta = -\sum_i \omega_{i\alpha} \wedge \psi_i; \quad (2.16)$$

$$d\omega_{ij} - \sum_k \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} = -\sum_\alpha \omega_{i\alpha} \wedge \omega_{j\alpha} - \omega_i \wedge \psi_j - \psi_i \wedge \omega_j; \quad (2.17)$$

$$d\omega_{i\alpha} - \sum_\beta \omega_{i\beta} \wedge \omega_{\beta\alpha} - \sum_j \omega_{ij} \wedge \omega_{j\alpha} + \omega_i \wedge \phi_\alpha = 0; \quad (2.18)$$

$$d\omega_{\alpha\beta} - \sum_\gamma \omega_{\alpha\gamma} \wedge \omega_{\gamma\beta} = -\sum_i \omega_{i\alpha} \wedge \omega_{i\beta}. \quad (2.19)$$

By (2.13) and the Cartan's Lemma we can write

$$\psi_i = \sum_j A_{ij} \omega_j, \quad A_{ij} = A_{ji}; \quad \omega_{i\alpha} = \sum_j B_{ij}^\alpha \omega_j, \quad B_{ij}^\alpha = B_{ji}^\alpha; \quad (2.20)$$

where $\{A_{ij}\}$ and $\{B_{ij}^\alpha\}$ are locally defined functions. It is clear that

$$\mathbb{A} := \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} \omega_i \otimes \omega_j; \quad \mathbb{B} := \sum_\alpha \sum_{ij} B_{ij}^\alpha \omega_i \otimes \omega_j E_\alpha; \quad (2.21)$$

$$\Phi := \sum_\alpha \phi_\alpha E_\alpha = \sum_{i\alpha} C_i^\alpha \omega_i E_\alpha \quad (2.22)$$

are Moebius invariants. We will call \mathbb{B} the Moebius second fundamental form of x and Φ the Moebius form of x . We define

$$dC_i^\alpha + \sum_j C_j^\alpha \omega_{ji} + \sum_\beta C_i^\beta \omega_{\beta\alpha} = \sum_j C_{i,j}^\alpha \omega_j. \quad (2.23)$$

$$dA_{ij} + \sum_k A_{ik} \omega_{kj} + \sum_k A_{kj} \omega_{ki} = \sum_k A_{i,j,k} \omega_k. \quad (2.24)$$

$$dB_{ij}^\alpha + \sum_k B_{ik}^\alpha \omega_{kj} + \sum_k B_{kj}^\alpha \omega_{ki} + \sum_\beta B_{ij}^\beta \omega_{\beta\alpha} = \sum_k B_{i,j,k}^\alpha \omega_k. \quad (2.25)$$

$$d\omega_{ij} - \sum_k \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k\lambda} R_{ijk\lambda} \omega_k \wedge \omega_\lambda, \quad R_{ijk\lambda} = -R_{ij\lambda k}; \quad (2.26)$$

$$d\omega_{\alpha\beta} - \sum_{\gamma} \omega_{\alpha\gamma} \wedge \omega_{\gamma\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} R_{\alpha\beta ij} \omega_i \wedge \omega_j, \quad R_{\alpha\beta ij} = -R_{\alpha\beta ji}. \quad (2.27)$$

Then (2.15)–(2.19) are equivalent to the following equations:

$$A_{ij,k} - A_{ik,j} = \sum_{\alpha} (B_{ik}^{\alpha} C_j^{\alpha} - B_{ij}^{\alpha} C_k^{\alpha}); \quad (2.28)$$

$$C_{i,j}^{\alpha} - C_{j,i}^{\alpha} = \sum_k (B_{ik}^{\alpha} A_{kj} - B_{kj}^{\alpha} A_{ki}); \quad (2.29)$$

$$B_{ij,k}^{\alpha} - B_{ik,j}^{\alpha} = \delta_{ij} C_k^{\alpha} - \delta_{ik} C_j^{\alpha}; \quad (2.30)$$

$$R_{ij k\lambda} = \sum_{\alpha} (B_{ik}^{\alpha} B_{j\lambda}^{\alpha} - B_{i\lambda}^{\alpha} B_{jk}^{\alpha}) + (\delta_{ik} A_{j\lambda} + \delta_{j\lambda} A_{ik} - \delta_{i\lambda} A_{jk} - \delta_{jk} A_{i\lambda}); \quad (2.31)$$

$$R_{\alpha\beta ij} = \sum_k (B_{ik}^{\alpha} B_{kj}^{\beta} - B_{ik}^{\beta} B_{kj}^{\alpha}). \quad (2.32)$$

By (2.11) we have

$$\Delta Y = -tr(\mathbb{A})Y - mN + \sum_{\alpha} \sum_i B_{ii}^{\alpha} E_{\alpha}.$$

It follows from (2.1) and (2.3) that

$$tr(\mathbb{A}) = \frac{1}{2m}(1 + m^2\kappa); \quad \sum_i B_{ii}^{\alpha} = 0. \quad (2.33)$$

By taking trace in (2.30) and (2.31) we get

$$-\sum_j B_{ij,j}^{\alpha} = (m - 1)C_i^{\alpha}; \quad (2.34)$$

$$R_{ij} = -\sum_{\alpha} \sum_k B_{ik}^{\alpha} B_{kj}^{\alpha} + tr(\mathbb{A})\delta_{ij} + (m - 2)A_{ij}. \quad (2.35)$$

Taking trace in (2.35) and using (2.33) we get

$$\sum_{\alpha} \sum_{ij} (B_{ij}^{\alpha})^2 = \frac{m - 1}{m}. \quad (2.36)$$

From (2.34) and (2.35) we know that in case $m \geq 3$ all coefficients in the PDE system (2.9)–(2.12) are determined by the Moebius metric g , the Moebius second fundamental form \mathbb{B} and the normal connection $\nabla^* := \{\omega_{\alpha\beta}\}$ in the Moebius normal bundle \mathbb{V} .

3. Fundamental theorem for hypersurfaces in \mathbb{S}^n

In this section we give the relations between the Moebius invariants introduced in §2 and $SO(n + 1)$ -invariants of $x : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$. We give also a Moebius fundamental theorem for hypersurfaces in \mathbb{S}^n .

Let $x : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ be a submanifold without umbilical point in \mathbb{S}^n . Let $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$ be a local orthonormal basis for $T\mathbf{M}$ with respect to $dx \cdot dx$. Let $\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_m\}$ be its dual basis. Let $\{e_{m+1}, \dots, e_n\}$ be a local orthonormal basis for the normal bundle $N(\mathbf{M})$ of x in \mathbb{S}^n . We write the second fundamental form II and the mean curvature vector H of x by

$$II = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{ij} h_{ij}^{\alpha} \theta_i \otimes \theta_j e_{\alpha}, \quad H = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\alpha} \sum_i h_{ii}^{\alpha} e_{\alpha} := \sum_{\alpha} H^{\alpha} e_{\alpha}. \quad (3.1)$$

Then we have the structure equations for $x : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$:

$$dx = \sum_i \theta_i e_i(x); \quad (3.2)$$

$$d(e_i(x)) = \sum_j \theta_{ij} e_j(x) + \sum_{\alpha} \sum_j h_{ij}^{\alpha} \theta_j e_{\alpha} - \theta_i x; \quad (3.3)$$

$$de_{\alpha} = - \sum_{ij} h_{ij}^{\alpha} \theta_j e_i + \sum_{\beta} \theta_{\alpha\beta} e_{\beta}; \quad (3.4)$$

where $\{\theta_{\alpha\beta}\}$ is the normal connection of x in $N(\mathbf{M})$. Since $Y = \rho(1, x)$ for

$$\rho := \sqrt{\frac{m}{m-1}} \|II - \frac{1}{m} tr(II)I\|,$$

we have

$$e_i(Y) = e_i(\log \rho)Y + \rho(0, e_i(x)). \quad (3.5)$$

Thus $\{E_i := \rho^{-1}e_i\}$ is a local orthonormal basis for the Moebius metric g . From (3.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} dY_i &= (0, de_i) \pmod{Y, Y_k} \\ &= \sum_j \left(0, \sum_{\alpha} h_{ij}^{\alpha} e_{\alpha} - \delta_{ij} x \right) \rho^{-1} \omega_j \pmod{Y, Y_k}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Thus we have

$$\Delta Y = m\rho^{-1} \left(0, \sum_{\alpha} H^{\alpha} e_{\alpha} - x \right) \pmod{Y, Y_k}. \quad (3.7)$$

We define

$$E_{\alpha} = (H^{\alpha}, e_{\alpha} + H^{\alpha}x); \quad m + 1 \leq \alpha \leq n. \quad (3.8)$$

Then we have

$$\langle E_\alpha, Y \rangle = \langle E_\alpha, Y_k \rangle = \langle E_\alpha, \Delta Y \rangle = 0, \quad \langle E_\alpha, E_\beta \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (3.9)$$

Thus $\{E_\alpha\}$ is a local orthonormal basis for the Moebius normal bundle \mathbb{V} . From (3.6), (3.8) and (2.11) we get

$$B_{ij}^\alpha = \rho^{-1}(h_{ij}^\alpha - H^\alpha \delta_{ij}), \quad (3.10)$$

where $\{B_{ij}^\alpha\}$ are the components of the tensor \mathbb{B} with respect to $\{E_j\}$. Thus we have

$$\mathbb{B} = \sum_\alpha \sum_{ij} \rho(h_{ij}^\alpha - H^\alpha \delta_{ij}) \theta_i \otimes \theta_j (H^\alpha, e_\alpha + H^\alpha x). \quad (3.11)$$

From (3.8) we have

$$dE_\alpha = (dH^\alpha, de_\alpha + dH^\alpha x + H^\alpha dx). \quad (3.12)$$

Thus we get

$$\omega_{\alpha\beta} = \langle dE_\alpha, E_\beta \rangle = \langle de_\alpha, e_\beta \rangle = \theta_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (3.13)$$

Therefore, the bundle map $f : N(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ defined by

$$f(e_\alpha) := (H^\alpha, e_\alpha + H^\alpha x)$$

preserves the inner product and the connection. In particular, the normal connection $\{\theta_{\alpha\beta}\}$ in $N(\mathbf{M})$ is a Moebius invariant.

By a direct calculation we get the following expression of the Moebius invariants \mathbb{A} and $\Phi := \sum_{i\alpha} C_i^\alpha \omega_i E_\alpha$:

$$A_{ij} = -\rho^{-2} \left(\text{Hess}_{ij}(\log \rho) - e_i(\log \rho) e_j(\log \rho) - \sum_\alpha H^\alpha h_{ij}^\alpha \right) - \frac{1}{2} \rho^{-2} \left(|\nabla \log \rho|^2 - 1 + \sum_\alpha (H^\alpha)^2 \right) \delta_{ij}; \quad (3.14)$$

$$C_i^\alpha = -\rho^{-2} \left(H_{,i}^\alpha + \sum_j (h_{ij}^\alpha - H^\alpha \delta_{ij}) e_j(\log \rho) \right); \quad (3.15)$$

where $\{\text{Hess}_{ij}\}$ and $\{H_{,i}^\alpha\}$ are Hessian-Matrix of $dx \cdot dx$ and the covariant derivative of the mean curvature vector field of x in the normal bundle $N(M)$ (with respect to the basis $\{e_i\}$ and $\{e_\alpha\}$).

Now we consider the case that $x : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ is a hypersurface, i.e. $m = n - 1$. In this case we get from (3.10) that

$$\mathbb{S} := \rho^{-1}(S - Hid) = \sum_{ij} B_{ij}^n \omega_i E_j, \quad (3.16)$$

where S is the Weingarten operator for $x : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$. It follows from (3.12) that $\rho^{-1}(S - Hid)$ is a Moebius invariant which determines the tensor \mathbb{B} . We call $\rho^{-1}(S - Hid)$ the Moebius shape operator of x . Since for $m = n - 1 \geq 3$ all coefficients of the PDE system (2.9)–(2.12) are determined by $\{g, \rho^{-1}(S - Hid)\}$, we get the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. *Two hypersurface $x, \tilde{x} : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ ($n \geq 4$) are Moebius equivalent if and only if there exists a diffeomorphism $\sigma : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ which preserves the Moebius metric g and the Moebius shape operator $\mathbb{S} = \rho^{-1}(S - Hid)$.*

Remark 3.2. In case $n=3$ a complete Moebius invariant system is given in [10].

4. The first variation of the Moebius volume functional

Let $x_0 : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ be a compact submanifold with boundary $\partial\mathbf{M}$. We denote by dM_0 the volume form of x_0 with respect to the metric $dx_0 \cdot dx_0$. Then we define the generalized Willmore functional \mathbb{W} as the volume functional of the Moebius metric g :

$$\mathbb{W}(\mathbf{M}) := \left(\frac{m}{m-1} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \int_{\mathbf{M}} \|II - HI\|^m dM_0 = Vol_g(\mathbf{M}), \quad (4.1)$$

where $I = dx_0 \cdot dx_0$, II and H is the first, the second fundamental form and the mean curvature vector of x_0 in \mathbb{S}^n respectively. In this section we assume that x_0 has no umbilical point.

Let $x : \mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ be a smooth variation of x_0 such that $x(\cdot, t) = x_0$ and $dx_t(TM) = dx_0(TM)$ on $\partial\mathbf{M}$ for each (small) t . We call such variation an admissible variation of x_0 . We note that the two boundary conditions for an admissible variation disappear if $\partial\mathbf{M} = \emptyset$. For each t we denote by $\{e_i\}$ a local orthonormal basis for $T\mathbf{M}$ with respect to $dx_t \cdot dx_t$ with dual basis $\{\theta_i\}$ and by $\{e_\alpha\}$ a local orthonormal basis for the normal bundle of x_t . Let $Y = \rho(1, x) : \mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_+^{n+1}$ be the canonical lift of x_t and $g_t = \langle dY, dY \rangle$ be the Moebius metric of x_t . Let $\{E_i := \rho^{-1}e_i\}$ be a local orthonormal basis for g_t with dual basis $\{\omega_i = \rho\theta_i\}$. Using the Laplacian operator Δ of g_t and (2.3) we can define the map $N : \mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^{n+2}$. Let $\{E_\alpha\}$ be the orthonormal basis for the Moebius normal bundle \mathbb{V}_t of x_t defined by (2.7). We denote by d the differential operator on $\mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R}$. Since $\{Y, N, Y_i, E_\alpha\}$ is a moving frame along $\mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R}$, we can find 1-forms

$$\{V, V_\alpha, \Psi_i, \Phi_\alpha, \Omega_i, \Omega_{ij}, \Omega_{i\alpha}, \Omega_{\alpha\beta}\}$$

on $\mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R}$ with $\Omega_{ij} = -\Omega_{ji}$ and $\Omega_{\alpha\beta} = -\Omega_{\beta\alpha}$ such that

$$dY = VY + \sum_i \Omega_i Y_i + \sum_\alpha V_\alpha E_\alpha; \tag{4.2}$$

$$dN = -VN + \sum_i \Psi_i Y_i + \sum_\alpha \Phi_\alpha E_\alpha; \tag{4.3}$$

$$dY_i = -\Psi_i Y - \Omega_i N + \sum_j \Omega_{ij} Y_j + \sum_\alpha \Omega_{i\alpha} E_\alpha; \tag{4.4}$$

$$dE_\alpha = -\Phi_\alpha Y - V_\alpha N - \sum_i \Omega_{i\alpha} Y_i + \sum_\beta \Omega_{\alpha\beta} E_\beta. \tag{4.5}$$

Taking the differential of (4.2)–(4.5) we get

$$dV = \sum_i \Psi_i \wedge \Omega_i + \sum_\alpha \Phi_\alpha \wedge V_\alpha; \tag{4.6}$$

$$d\Omega_i = \sum_j \Omega_{ij} \wedge \Omega_j + V \wedge \Omega_i - \sum_\alpha V_\alpha \wedge \Omega_{i\alpha}; \tag{4.7}$$

$$dV_\alpha = \sum_\beta \Omega_{\alpha\beta} \wedge V_\beta + \sum_i \Omega_i \wedge \Omega_{i\alpha} + V \wedge V_\alpha; \tag{4.8}$$

$$d\Psi_i = \sum_j \Omega_{ij} \wedge \Psi_j - \sum_\alpha \Phi_\alpha \wedge \Omega_{i\alpha} + \Psi_i \wedge V; \tag{4.9}$$

$$d\Phi_\alpha = \sum_\beta \Omega_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \Phi_\beta + \sum_i \Psi_i \wedge \Omega_{i\alpha} + \Phi_\alpha \wedge V; \tag{4.10}$$

$$d\Omega_{ij} = \sum_k \Omega_{ik} \wedge \Omega_{kj} - \sum_\alpha \Omega_{i\alpha} \wedge \Omega_{j\alpha} - \Psi_i \wedge \Omega_j - \Omega_i \wedge \Psi_j; \tag{4.11}$$

$$d\Omega_{i\alpha} = \sum_j \Omega_{ij} \wedge \Omega_{j\alpha} + \sum_\beta \Omega_{i\beta} \wedge \Omega_{\beta\alpha} - \Psi_i \wedge V_\alpha - \Omega_i \wedge \Phi_\alpha; \tag{4.12}$$

$$d\Omega_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_\gamma \Omega_{\alpha\gamma} \wedge \Omega_{\gamma\beta} - \sum_i \Omega_{i\alpha} \wedge \Omega_{i\beta} - \Phi_\alpha \wedge V_\beta - V_\alpha \wedge \Phi_\beta. \tag{4.13}$$

Since $Y = \rho(1, x)$, if we write the variation vector field of x in $T\mathbb{S}^n$ by

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} = \rho^{-1} \left(\sum_i v_i e_i + \sum_\alpha v_\alpha e_\alpha \right), \tag{4.14}$$

then by (3.5) and (3.8) we can find a function $v : \mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{\partial Y}{\partial t} = vY + \sum_i v_i Y_i + \sum_\alpha v_\alpha E_\alpha. \tag{4.15}$$

From (4.2) and the fact that $d = \sum_i \omega_i E_i + dt \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ on $C^\infty(\mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R})$ we get

$$V = v dt; \quad V_\alpha = v_\alpha dt; \quad \Omega_i = \omega_i + v_i dt. \tag{4.16}$$

Since $T^*(\mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R}) = T^*\mathbf{M} \oplus T^*(\mathbb{R})$, we have the decomposition

$$\Psi_i = \psi_i + a_i dt; \quad \Phi_\alpha = \phi_\alpha + b_\alpha dt; \tag{4.17}$$

$$\Omega_{ij} = \omega_{ij} + P_{ij} dt; \quad \Omega_{i\alpha} = \omega_{i\alpha} + L_{i\alpha} dt; \quad \Omega_{\alpha\beta} = \omega_{\alpha\beta} + Q_{\alpha\beta} dt, \tag{4.18}$$

where $\{a_i, b_\alpha, P_{ij}, L_{i\alpha}, Q_{\alpha\beta}\}$ are local functions with $P_{ij} = -P_{ji}$ and $Q_{\alpha\beta} = -Q_{\beta\alpha}$. We denote by d_M the differential operator on $T^*\mathbf{M}$, then we have $d = d_M + dt \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ on $T^*\mathbf{M} \oplus T^*(\mathbb{R})$. Using (4.7), (4.16), (4.18) and comparing the terms in $T^*\mathbf{M} \wedge dt$ we get

$$\frac{\partial \omega_i}{\partial t} = \sum_j \left(v_{i,j} + P_{ij} + v \delta_{ij} - \sum_\alpha v_\alpha B_{ij}^\alpha \right) \omega_j, \tag{4.19}$$

where $\{v_{i,j}\}$ is the covariant derivative of $\sum_i v_i E_i$ with respect to g_t . Here we have used the notations of Moebius invariants $\{B_{ij}^\alpha, A_{ij}, C_i^\alpha\}$ for x_t defined by §2. By the same way we get from (4.8) and (4.12) that

$$L_{i\alpha} = v_{\alpha,i} + \sum_j v_j B_{ij}^\alpha; \tag{4.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \omega_{i\alpha}}{\partial t} = \\ \sum_j \left(L_{i\alpha,j} + \sum_k P_{ik} B_{kj}^\alpha - \sum_\beta B_{ij}^\beta Q_{\beta\alpha} + A_{ij} v_\alpha + b_\alpha \delta_{ij} - v_i C_j^\alpha \right) \omega_j, \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

where $\{v_{\alpha,i}\}$ and $\{L_{i\alpha,j}\}$ are covariant derivatives of $\sum_\alpha v_\alpha E_\alpha$ and $\sum_{i\alpha} L_{i\alpha} \omega_i E_\alpha$ respectively. Using (4.21), (4.19), (4.20) and the second equation of (2.20) and (2.33) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial B_{ij}^\alpha}{\partial t} + v B_{ij}^\alpha = & v_{\alpha,ij} + \sum_k v_k B_{ik,j}^\alpha + \sum_k (P_{ik} B_{kj}^\alpha - P_{kj} B_{ik}^\alpha) \\ & - \sum_\beta B_{ij}^\beta Q_{\beta\alpha} + \sum_{k\beta} v_\beta B_{ik}^\alpha B_{kj}^\beta + A_{ij} v_\alpha + b_\alpha \delta_{ij} - v_i C_j^\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

It follows from (2.36) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m-1}{m} v = & \sum_{i\alpha} B_{ij}^\alpha v_{\alpha,ij} + \sum_{\alpha\beta} \sum_{ijk} B_{ik}^\alpha B_{ij}^\alpha B_{kj}^\beta v_\beta + \sum_{ij\alpha} A_{ij} B_{ij}^\alpha v_\alpha \\ & + \sum_{ijk\alpha} v_k B_{ik,j}^\alpha B_{ij}^\alpha - \sum_{ij\alpha} v_i C_j^\alpha B_{ij}^\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

From (2.30) and (2.36) we get

$$\sum_{ijk\alpha} v_k B_{ik,j}^\alpha B_{ij}^\alpha = \sum_{ij\alpha} v_i C_j^\alpha B_{ij}^\alpha.$$

Thus we get from (4.23) that

$$\frac{m-1}{m} v = \sum_{ij\alpha} B_{ij}^\alpha v_{\alpha,ij} + \sum_{\alpha\beta} \sum_{ijk} B_{ik}^\alpha B_{ij}^\alpha B_{kj}^\beta v_\beta + \sum_{ij\alpha} A_{ij} B_{ij}^\alpha v_\alpha. \quad (4.24)$$

Now we calculate the variation of

$$V(t) = Vol(g_t) = \int_M \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_m = \int_M dM,$$

where dM is the volume form for g_t . From (4.19) and (4.24) we get

$$\begin{aligned} V'(t) &= \int_M \left(\sum_i v_{i,i} + mv \right) dM = m \int_M v dM \\ &= \frac{m^2}{m-1} \int_M \left\{ \sum_{ij\alpha} B_{ij}^\alpha v_{\alpha,ij} + \sum_{\alpha\beta} \sum_{ijk} B_{ik}^\alpha B_{ij}^\alpha B_{kj}^\beta v_\beta + \sum_{ij\alpha} A_{ij} B_{ij}^\alpha v_\alpha \right\} dM. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

From the fact that $x(\cdot, t) = x_0$ and $dx_t(TM) = dx_0(TM)$ on ∂M for all small t , we know that at each point on ∂M we have $v_i = v_\alpha = 0$ and

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(dx_t) = d \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} \right) = \rho^{-1} \left(\sum_i dv_i e_i + \sum_\alpha dv_\alpha e_\alpha \right).$$

Thus we get also $v_{\alpha,i} = 0$ on ∂M . It follows from (4.25) and Green's formula that

$$\begin{aligned} V'(t) &= \frac{m^2}{m-1} \int_M \sum_\alpha \left\{ \sum_{ij} B_{ij,i,j}^\alpha \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_\beta \sum_{ijk} B_{ik}^\beta B_{ij}^\beta B_{kj}^\alpha + \sum_{ij} A_{ij} B_{ij}^\alpha \right\} v_\alpha dM. \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

It follows from (4.14) and (4.26) that

Theorem 4.1. *The volume variation of the Moebius metric depends only on the normal component of the variation vector field. A submanifold $x : M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ is a Moebius minimal submanifold (i.e. a critical submanifold to the Moebius volume functional) if and only if*

$$\sum_{ij} B_{ij,i,j}^\alpha + \sum_\beta \sum_{ijk} B_{ik}^\beta B_{ij}^\beta B_{kj}^\alpha + \sum_{ij} A_{ij} B_{ij}^\alpha = 0, \quad m+1 \leq \alpha \leq n. \quad (4.27)$$

Using (2.34) and (2.35) we can write the Euler–Lagrange equations (4.27) as

$$\sum_i C_{i,i}^\alpha + \sum_{ij} \left(\frac{1}{m-1} R_{ij} - A_{ij} \right) B_{ij}^\alpha = 0, \quad m+1 \leq \alpha \leq n. \quad (4.28)$$

Theorem 4.2. *Any minimal surface in \mathbb{S}^n is also Moebius minimal.*

Proof. Let $x : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ be a surface in \mathbb{S}^n . Let $\{e_1, e_2\}$ be a local orthonormal basis of $dx \cdot dx$ and $\{e_\alpha\}$ a local orthonormal basis for the normal bundle. We use the notations in §2 and §3. From (3.10) and (3.14) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{ij} A_{ij} B_{ij}^\alpha &= - \sum_{ij} \rho^{-2} (\text{Hess}_{ij}(\log \rho) - e_i(\log \rho) e_j(\log \rho)) B_{ij}^\alpha \\ &\quad + \rho^{-1} \sum_{ij\beta} H^\beta B_{ij}^\beta B_{ij}^\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

Since we have the following relations of connections

$$\omega_{ij} = \theta_{ij} + e_i(\log \rho) \theta_j - e_j(\log \rho) \theta_i; \quad \omega_{\alpha\beta} = \theta_{\alpha\beta},$$

a direct calculation implies

$$\sum_i C_{i,i}^\alpha = - \sum_{ij} \rho^{-2} (\text{Hess}_{ij}(\log \rho) - e_i(\log \rho) e_j(\log \rho)) B_{ij}^\alpha - \rho^{-3} \Delta H^\alpha, \quad (4.30)$$

where $\Delta H^\alpha := \sum_i H_{,ii}^\alpha$. Thus we have

$$\sum_i C_{i,i}^\alpha - \sum_{ij} A_{ij} B_{ij}^\alpha = -\rho^{-3} \left(\Delta H^\alpha + \rho^2 \sum_{ij\beta} H^\beta B_{ij}^\beta B_{ij}^\alpha \right). \quad (4.31)$$

If x is a minimal surface, that is $H^\alpha \equiv 0$ and $R_{ij} = \kappa \delta_{ij}$, we know from (4.28) and (4.31) that x is Moebius minimal. \square

Theorem 4.3. *Let $u : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a minimal surface in \mathbb{R}^n . Let $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ be the inverse of a stereographic projection. Then $x := \sigma \circ u : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ is Moebius minimal.*

Proof. We may assume that σ is the inverse of the stereographic projection from the point $(0, \dots, 0, -1)$ given by

$$\sigma(u) = \left(\frac{2u}{1 + \|u\|^2}, \frac{1 - \|u\|^2}{1 + \|u\|^2} \right), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (4.32)$$

Then we have

$$dx \cdot dx = \frac{4}{(1 + \|u\|^2)^2} du \cdot du. \quad (4.33)$$

Let $\{\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2\}$ be a local orthonormal basis for $T\mathbf{M}$ with respect to $du \cdot du$ and $\{\tilde{e}_\alpha\}$ a local orthonormal basis for the normal bundle of u . We denote by $\{\tilde{h}_{ij}^\alpha\}$ the second fundamental form of u with respect to $\{\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_\alpha\}$ and by $\sum_\alpha \tilde{H}^\alpha \tilde{e}_\alpha$ the mean curvature vector of u . Then the basis $\{e_i\}$ and $\{e_\alpha\}$ defined by

$$e_i = \frac{1 + \|u\|^2}{2} \tilde{e}_i, \quad e_\alpha = \frac{1 + \|u\|^2}{2} d\sigma(\tilde{e}_\alpha) \tag{4.34}$$

is a local orthonormal basis $T\mathbf{M}$ with respect to $dx \cdot dx$ and the normal bundle of x respectively. We use the notations in §2 and §3 for $x = \sigma \circ u$. Then we have the following relations between the second fundamental form of x and u :

$$h_{ij}^\alpha = \frac{1 + \|u\|^2}{2} \tilde{h}_{ij}^\alpha + \tilde{e}_\alpha \cdot u \delta_{ij}, \quad H^\alpha = \frac{1 + \|u\|^2}{2} \tilde{H}^\alpha + \tilde{e}_\alpha \cdot u. \tag{4.35}$$

Let $\{\theta_{ij}\}$ and $\{\theta_{\alpha\beta}\}$ (resp. $\{\tilde{\theta}_{ij}\}$ and $\{\tilde{\theta}_{\alpha\beta}\}$) be the Levi-Civita connection and the normal connection of $x : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ (resp. $u : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$) with respect to $\{e_i, e_\alpha\}$ (resp. $\{\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_\alpha\}$). Then we have

$$\theta_{ij} = \tilde{\theta}_{ij} + \frac{2u \cdot \tilde{e}_j(u)}{1 + \|u\|^2} \tilde{\theta}_i - \frac{2u \cdot \tilde{e}_i(u)}{1 + \|u\|^2} \tilde{\theta}_j, \quad \theta_{\alpha\beta} = \tilde{\theta}_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{4.36}$$

where $\{\tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{\theta}_2\}$ is the dual basis for $\{\tilde{e}_i\}$. Now let Δ and $\tilde{\Delta}$ be the Laplacian operator with respect to x and u respectively. Then by a straightforward calculation we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta H^\alpha + \rho^2 \sum_{ij\beta} H^\beta B_{ij}^\beta B_{ij}^\alpha \\ &= \left(\frac{1 + \|u\|^2}{2}\right)^3 \left\{ \tilde{\Delta} \tilde{H}^\alpha + \sum_{ij\beta} \tilde{H}^\beta (\tilde{h}_{ij}^\beta - \tilde{H}^\beta \delta_{ij}) \tilde{h}_{ij}^\alpha \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

Thus Theorem 4.3 follows from (4.31) and (4.37). \square

Remark 4.4. Let $u : \mathbf{M}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a submanifold in \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$\tilde{g} := \frac{m}{m-1} \left\{ \sum_{ij\alpha} (\tilde{h}_{ij}^\alpha)^2 - m \sum_\alpha (\tilde{H}^\alpha)^2 \right\} du \cdot du$$

is a Moebius invariant metric in \mathbb{R}^n . In case $m=2$ the Euler-Lagrange equations to the variation of the volume functional of \tilde{g} is given by

$$\tilde{\Delta} \tilde{H}^\alpha + \sum_{ij\beta} \tilde{H}^\beta (\tilde{h}_{ij}^\beta - \tilde{H}^\beta \delta_{ij}) \tilde{h}_{ij}^\alpha = 0. \tag{4.38}$$

5. Special Moebius surfaces in \mathbb{S}^3

In this section we use the formulas given by §2 to classify all surfaces in \mathbb{S}^3 with vanishing Moebius form $\Phi := \phi_\alpha$ defined by (2.22).

Let $x : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$ be a surface without umbilic point. We assume that for x the Moebius form $\Phi \equiv 0$. We use the notations in §2 and omit all α and β in the formulas because the codimension now is one. Since the Moebius shape operator \mathbb{S} for a surface has eigenvalues $\frac{1}{2}$ and $-\frac{1}{2}$, we can find an orthonormal basis $\{E_1, E_2\}$ of the Moebius metric g such that

$$B_{11} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad B_{12} = B_{21} = 0, \quad B_{22} = -\frac{1}{2}. \quad (5.1)$$

From the assumption that $\phi = \sum_i C_i \omega_i \equiv 0$, we know from (2.30) that $\{B_{ij,k}\}$ are totally symmetric. Since

$$dB_{ij} + \sum_k B_{kj} \omega_{ki} + \sum_k B_{ik} \omega_{kj} = \sum_k B_{ij,k} \omega_k, \quad (5.2)$$

we get $B_{11,2} = B_{22,1} = 0$. By taking $i = 1$ and $j = 2$ in (5.2) we get $\omega_{12} = 0$. Thus the Moebius metric g is flat. From (2.29) we know that the matrices (A_{ij}) and (B_{ij}) commute, which implies that

$$A_{11} = a, \quad A_{12} = A_{21} = 0, \quad A_{22} = b. \quad (5.3)$$

Let (u, v) be a coordinate system for \mathbf{M} such that $E_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ and $E_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$, then by (2.28) we know that a depends only on u and b depends only on v . Moreover, from (2.33) we have $a + b = \frac{1}{4}$, which implies that a and b are constant. Thus we can write the structure equations (2.9)–(2.12) as

$$N_u = aY_u, \quad N_v = bY_v; \quad (5.4)$$

$$Y_{uu} = -aY - N + \frac{1}{2}E, \quad Y_{uv} = 0, \quad Y_{vv} = -bY - N - \frac{1}{2}E; \quad (5.5)$$

$$E_u = -\frac{1}{2}Y_u, \quad E_v = \frac{1}{2}Y_v. \quad (5.6)$$

By (5.4) and (5.5) we know that $Y = f(u) + g(v)$ for some 1-variable functions f and g , which satisfy

$$f'''(u) + \left(2a + \frac{1}{4}\right) f'(u) = 0, \quad g'''(v) + \left(2b + \frac{1}{4}\right) g'(v) = 0. \quad (5.7)$$

We define $r = 2a + \frac{1}{4}$, then $2b + \frac{1}{4} = 1 - r$. By exchanging u and v if necessary we may assume that $r \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Thus we have to consider the following three case: (i) $r = 0$; (ii) $0 < r \leq \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) $r < 0$.

In case (i) we can easily get a unique adapted solution (up to transformations in $O(4, 1)$):

$$Y = \left(\frac{1}{2}u^2 + 1, \cos v, \sin v, u, -\frac{1}{2}u^2 \right). \tag{5.8}$$

From the fact that $Y = \rho(1, x)$, we get the surface

$$x = \frac{1}{u^2 + 2} (2 \cos v, 2 \sin v, 2u, -u^2), \tag{5.9}$$

whose image under the stereographic projection from the point $(0, 0, 0, -1)$ is the circular cylinder $\{(\cos v, \sin v, u), (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$.

In case (ii) we get a unique adapted solution (up to transformations in $O(4, 1)$):

$$Y = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{r(1-r)}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r}} \cos(\sqrt{1-r}v), \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r}} \sin(\sqrt{1-r}v), \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \cos(\sqrt{r}u), \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \sin(\sqrt{r}u) \right). \tag{5.10}$$

The corresponding surface in \mathbb{S}^3 is the flat torus

$$x = \left(\sqrt{r} \cos(\sqrt{1-r}v), \sqrt{r} \sin(\sqrt{1-r}v), \sqrt{1-r} \cos(\sqrt{r}u), \sqrt{1-r} \sin(\sqrt{r}u) \right). \tag{5.11}$$

In case (iii) we get a unique adapted solution (up to transformations in $O(4, 1)$):

$$Y = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-r}} \cosh(\sqrt{-r}u), \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r}} \cos(\sqrt{1-r}v), \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r}} \sin(\sqrt{1-r}v), -\frac{1}{\sqrt{r(r-1)}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{-r}} \sinh(\sqrt{-r}u) \right). \tag{5.12}$$

The corresponding surface in \mathbb{S}^3 is given by

$$x = \frac{1}{\cosh(\sqrt{-r}u)} \left(\sqrt{\frac{r}{r-1}} \cos(\sqrt{1-r}v), \sqrt{\frac{r}{r-1}} \sin(\sqrt{1-r}v), -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r}}, \sinh(\sqrt{-r}u) \right), \tag{5.13}$$

whose image under the stereographic projection from the point $(0, 0, 0, -1)$ is the circular cone

$$\left\{ e^{-\sqrt{-r}u} \left(\sqrt{\frac{r}{r-1}} \cos(\sqrt{1-r}v), \sqrt{\frac{r}{r-1}} \sin(\sqrt{1-r}v), -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r}} \right), (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \right\}$$

in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Thus we have the following classification theorem:

Theorem 5.1. *Any surface in \mathbb{S}^3 with vanishing Moebius invariant $\Phi := \phi_\alpha$ defined by (2.22) is Moebius equivalent to one of the surfaces given by (5.9), (5.11) and (5.13).*

Acknowledgements. This research was supported by the project 19701003 of NSFC and the research grant of China for returning scholar.

References

- [1] Blaschke, W.: Vorlesungen über Differentialgeometrie. Vol. **3**, Springer Berlin, 1929
- [2] Bryant, R.: A duality theorem for Willmore surfaces. *J. Differential Geom.* **20**, 23–53 (1984)
- [3] Cecil, T. and Chern, S.S.: Tautness and Lie sphere geometry. *Math. Ann.* **278**, 381–399 (1987)
- [4] Hertrich-Jeromin, U. and Pinkall, U.: Ein Beweis der Willmoreschen Vermutung fuer Kanaltori. *J. reine angew. Math.* **430**, 21–34 (1992)
- [5] Li, P. and Yau, S.-T.: A new conformal invariant and its applications to the Willmore conjecture and the first eigenvalue of compact surfaces. *Invent. Math.* **69**, 269–291 (1982)
- [6] Miyaoka, R.: Compact Dupin hypersurfaces with three principal curvatures. *Math. Z.* **187**, 433–452 (1984)
- [7] Pinkall, U.: Dupin hypersurfaces. *Math. Ann.* **270**, 427–440 (1985)
- [8] Pinkall, U.: Inequalities of Willmore type for submanifolds. *Math. Z.* **193**, 241–246 (1986)
- [9] Voss, K.: Variation of curvature integrals. *Affine differential geometry* (Oberwolfach, 1991), 789–796, Tech. Univ. Berlin, Berlin, 1991
- [10] Wang, C.P.: Surfaces in Moebius Geometry. *Nagoya Math. J.* Vol. **125**, 53–72 (1992)
- [11] Wang, C.P.: Moebius geometry for hypersurfaces in \mathbb{S}^4 . *Nagoya Math. J.* Vol. **139**, 1–20 (1995)