# Wei Dai



# **The global behaviors for defocusing wave equations in two dimensional exterior region**

Received: 18 February 2023 / Accepted: 31 August 2023 / Published online: 18 September 2023

**Abstract.** We study the defocusing semilinear wave equation in  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}$  with the Dirichlet boundary condition, where  $K$  is a star-shaped obstacle with smooth boundary. We first show that the potential energy of the solution will decay appropriately. Based on it, we show that the solution also pointwisely decays to 0. Finally, we show that the solution scatters both in energy space and the critical Sobolev space. In general, we show that most of the conclusions obtained in [\[20\]](#page-12-0), which hold on  $\mathbb{R}^{1+2}$ , remain valid on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}$ .

# **1. Introduction**

In this paper, we study the global asymptotic behaviors for solutions of the following defocusing semilinear wave equation with Dirichlet boundary condition:

$$
\begin{cases} \Box \phi := \partial_t^2 \phi - \Delta \phi = -|\phi|^{p-1} \phi, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \mathcal{K}, \\ \phi|_{\mathbb{R} \times \partial \mathcal{K}} = 0, \\ (\phi, \partial_t \phi)_{t=0} = (\phi_0, \phi_1), \end{cases}
$$
(1.1)

with  $p > 1$  and K is a star-shaped obstacle with smooth boundary. We shall show that most of the asymptotic behaviors which hold for the solution to the defocusing wave equation on  $\mathbb{R}^{1+2}$  remain valid on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}$ .

Such a nonlinear model has drawn extensive attention in the past decades. From the structure of  $(1.1)$ , we can easily deduce that there is a conserved energy

<span id="page-0-0"></span>
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} \frac{1}{2} |\partial u|^2 + \frac{1}{p+1} |u|^{p+1} \, \mathrm{d} x.
$$

Therefore, for general time-space with space dimension  $d$ ,  $(1.1)$  split naturally into two classes depending on whether or not the kinetic energy dominates the potential energy, where the critical exponent is given by  $p = (d + 2)/(d - 2)$ . Specially, for  $d = 2$ , we see the equation always belongs to the sub-critical cases.

On  $\mathbb{R}^{1+2}$ , the global existence of solution in energy space is well studied, see for example Ginibre and Velo [\[8](#page-12-1)[,10](#page-12-2)]. Meanwhile, since there exists a positive definite and conserved energy, it is expected that the solution should decay in some sense.

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* 35L05, 35L15, 35L71

W. Dai ( $\boxtimes$ ): Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research, Peking University, Beijing, China. e-mail: daiw21@pku.edu.cn

In early work, Glassey and Pecher [\[11](#page-12-3)] used the energy method with respect to the conformal Killing vector field  $K^0$  and showed that the time decay rate of the solution is  $-1/2$  while  $p > 5$ , which is consistent with the case of the homogeneous wave equation. They also showed a slower decay rate of solution for the range of  $1 + 2\sqrt{2} < p \le 5$ . From the process in that paper, it is easy to see that the vector field  $K^0$  can only play a limited role for sub-conformal cases  $p < 5$ . To better investigate the cases for small *p*, Wei and Yang [\[20\]](#page-12-0) introduced classes of new vector fields and finally showed that the solution also enjoys the sharp decay rate −1/2 for 11/3 < *p* < 5, and still decays for 1 < *p* ≤ 11/3.

Based on the above observations, it is also natural to expect that the solution should be scattered in some senses for  $p$  that is not too small. In [\[11\]](#page-12-3), the authors showed that the solution scatters in energy space when  $p > p_0$  with  $p_0 \approx 4.15$ . And in [\[20\]](#page-12-0), such region of *p* has been improved to  $p > 2\sqrt{5} - 1$ . Meanwhile, it also showed that the solution scatters in critical Sobolev space when  $p > 1 +$  $2\sqrt{2}$ . Besides, Ginibre and Velo [\[9](#page-12-4)] established the complete scattering theory with data in conformal energy space for super-conformal cases  $p > 5$ . For a more comprehensive discussion of scattering and global dynamics for the defocusing semilinear wave equation in general dimension, we refer interested readers to see Hidano  $[12]$  and Yang  $[21]$ .

Backing to the problem with Dirichlet boundary condition, we expect similar results as above. When the space dimension is  $d = 3$ , Smith and Sogge [\[16\]](#page-12-7) first showed the global existence of smooth solution in energy critical case  $p = 5$  while  $K$  is convex. Later, Burq, Lebeau and Planchon [\[6](#page-12-8)] established the global existence result in energy space for equation on any bounded domain, which can also be extended to a general region since wave operator  $\Box$  has a finite propagation speed. On the other hand, Blair, Smith and Sogge [\[4\]](#page-11-0) proved the solution is scattered while  $K$  is star-shaped. These authors considered the most difficult energy critical case, yet such a process can be easily modified to the sub-critical cases at least for  $p \geq 3$ .

However, in the case that space dimension is  $d = 2$ , even though [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) always being sub-critical, less is known about its behaviors. On the one hand, as that in  $\mathbb{R}^{1+2}$ , it is difficult to find a suitable vector field when p is small. Particularly, the presence of the obstacle  $K$  gives additional restrictions to the vector field and also inhibits us when obtaining pointwise estimates. On the other hand, for example, those Strichartz estimates used in [\[20](#page-12-0)] are hard to generalize in exterior region. As discussed by Vainberg [\[19\]](#page-12-9), Burq [\[5\]](#page-12-10) and Ralston [\[15\]](#page-12-11), the local energy estimate that required to prove the global Strichartz estimate holds only for the Dirichlet boundary condition but not for the Neumann boundary condition. Meanwhile, as shown in [\[13](#page-12-12)] by Hidano, Metcalfe, Smith, Sogge and Zhou [\[17](#page-12-13)] by Smith and Sogge, and [\[14](#page-12-14)] by Metcalfe, the Sobolev index *s* can only be 1/2 while deducing the global Strichartz estimate, which is not enough to show scattering. One approach to bypass this limitation is to establish a weak version of Strichartz estimate, such as that obtained in Smith, Sogge and Wang [\[18](#page-12-15)]. However, unluckily, their results can not be used in our problem.

To overcome these difficulties, we make a series of improvements. Firstly, inspired by the vector fields used in [\[20\]](#page-12-0), we construct a spherical symmetric vector field that fits our boundary condition and the shape of  $K$  for any  $p > 1$ . Then, we point out that the constant that deducing pointwise estimate by embedding is uniform, though the obstacle  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{K}$  does not scale. Finally, instead of using the Strichartz estimate, we use the mixed time-space estimate directly induced by the energy inequality. The obtained decay estimates make it possible to employ the scattering result.

To state our theorems, we make some definitions here. Without loss of generality, we assume *K* subset to the ball  $B_R := B(0, R)$  with radius  $R \gg 1$ . For writing convenience, we define  $s_p := (p-3)/(p-1)$  to be the critical Sobolev index. We denote  $a \leq b$  and  $b \geq a$  if  $a \leq Cb$  for some constant  $C > 0$  with obvious dependence, which may change from line to line. We define the weighted energy norm of the initial data

$$
\mathfrak{E}_{k,\gamma} = \sum_{l \leq k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} (1+|x|)^{\gamma+2l} (|\partial_x^{l+1} \phi_0|^2 + |\partial_x^l \phi_1|^2) + (1+|x|)^{\gamma} |\phi_0|^{p+1} dx.
$$

We will only use the conformal energy  $\mathfrak{E}_{0,2}$  and the first order standard energy  $\mathfrak{E}_{1,0}$ in this paper.

Our first result is to show the decay of the potential energy.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume*  $\phi$  *is the solution of [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0).* When  $1 < p < 5$ *, we have the potential energy estimate*

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} (1 + |t| + |x|)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} |\phi|^{p+1} dx \lesssim \mathfrak{E}_{0,2}.
$$
 (1.2)

*When*  $p \geq 5$ *, we have the potential energy estimate* 

<span id="page-2-3"></span><span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} (1 + |t| + |x|)^2 |\phi|^{p+1} dx \lesssim \mathfrak{E}_{0,2}.
$$
 (1.3)

Based on the decay of the potential energy, we obtain the pointwise decay estimates of the solution.

**Theorem 1.2.** *For any*  $\varepsilon > 0$ *, the solution*  $\phi$  *of* [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) *satisfies the pointwise decay estimate*

$$
|\phi(t,x)| \lesssim \begin{cases} (1+|t|+|x|)^{-\frac{p-1}{8}+\varepsilon}(1+||t|-|x||)^{-\frac{p-1}{8}}, & p < 5, \\ (1+|t|+|x|)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}(1+||t|-|x||)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, & p \ge 5, \end{cases}
$$
(1.4)

*where the constant of inequality depend on*  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\mathfrak{E}_{0,2}$  *and*  $\mathfrak{E}_{1,0}$ *.* 

*Remark 1.1.* Due to the lack of fundamental solution to Dirichlet-wave equation, we can not obtain the same decay rate as that presented in [\[20](#page-12-0)] in this article. However, we believe that those decay rates still hold for exterior cases.

Mixing the above two results, we are able to show the scattering result of  $(1.1)$ . Define  $L(t)$  to be the linear wave propagation operator as follows:

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
L(t)(\phi_0, \phi_1) = (\psi, \partial_t \psi) \quad \text{satisfying} \quad L(0) = \text{Id}, \quad \Box \psi = 0.
$$

Then, we have the result.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Assume*  $\mathfrak{E}_{1,0}$  *and*  $\mathfrak{E}_{0,2}$  *are finite and*  $p > 2\sqrt{5} - 1$ *. Then,*  $\phi$  *scatters* in energy space, that is, there exists  $(\phi_0^{\pm}, \phi_1^{\pm})$  such that

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\lim_{t \to \pm \infty} \| (\phi, \partial_t \phi) - L(t) (\phi_0^{\pm}, \phi_1^{\pm}) \|_{\dot{H}^1 \times L^2} = 0.
$$
 (1.5)

*Moreover, assume that*  $(\phi_0, \phi_1) \in \dot{H}^{s_p} \times \dot{H}^{s_p-1}$  *with*  $p > 1 + 2\sqrt{2}$ *. Then,*  $\phi$ *scatters in*  $\dot{H}^s \times \dot{H}^{s-1}$  *for all*  $s_p \leq s < 1$ *, that is* 

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\lim_{t \to \pm \infty} \|(\phi, \partial_t \phi) - L(t)(\phi_0^{\pm}, \phi_1^{\pm})\|_{\dot{H}^s \times \dot{H}^{s-1}} = 0.
$$
 (1.6)

*Remark 1.2.* The homogeneous Sobolev space  $\dot{H}^s$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\mathcal{K}$  is defined with the norm

$$
||f||_{\dot{H}^s} = ||\Lambda^s f||_{L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K})},
$$

with  $\Lambda = \sqrt{-\Delta_D}$  and  $\Delta_D$  is the Dirichlet-Laplacian on  $\mathbb{R}^2\backslash\mathcal{K}$ . When *s* is an integer, such norm is equivalent to  $\dot{W}^{s,2}$  norm. See [\[13\]](#page-12-12) for the detailed discussion of such space.

*Remark 1.3.* Remark that  $K$  is star-shaped, i.e. for any  $x \in \partial K$  with outer normal vector  $N_K$ , there is  $x \cdot N_K \geq 0$ . By the idea in Farah [\[1](#page-11-1)[,2](#page-11-2)], star-shaped condition can be changed to that so called illuminate condition. Though, for the clarity of this paper, we will not discuss those situations.

The rest of paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we give a quick sketch of the corresponding local and global existence to  $(1.1)$ . In the third section, we give the proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-2-0) by using the energy method. In the fourth section, we give the proof of Theorem [1.2](#page-2-1) by applying a kind of uniform Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality. In the last section, we establish a mixed time-space estimate and give the proof of Theorem [1.3.](#page-2-2)

In what follows, we use the notation  $S_{T_1}^{T_2} := [T_1, T_2] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}$  and  $\phi^p :=$  $|\phi|^{p-1}\phi$ . We omit the integral region or volume element while they do not cause confusion. We also use the Einstein summation convention, as well as the convention that Greek indices  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$ ,  $\dots$  range from 0 to 2 while Latin indices  $i, j, \dots$ will run from 1 to 2. Finally, without loss of generality, all the proof will only be considered in the future  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \mathcal{K}$ .

#### **2. Local and global existence**

The local well posed of strong solution to [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) in  $H^2 \times H^1$  follows easily from the energy inequality, which proof is almost the same as that in  $\mathbb{R}^{1+2}$  (see, e.g., Evans [\[7\]](#page-12-16)). The same approach can also be applied in our case when  $\mathfrak{E}_{1,0}$  is finite.

The proof for global existence is also fairly standard. For the completeness of this paper, we give a quick sketch. Assume that the solution exists up to  $T_* < \infty$ . We use the energy inequality in  $S_0^{T_*}$  and get

$$
\|\partial^2 \phi\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(S_0^{T_*})} \lesssim \|\partial^2 \phi(0)\|_{L_x^2} + \int_0^{T_*} \left\| |\phi|^{p-1} |\partial \phi| \right\|_{L_x^2} dt
$$
  

$$
\lesssim \|\partial^2 \phi(0)\|_{L_x^2} + T_* \|\phi\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{2p}(S_0^{T_*})}^{p-1} \|\partial \phi\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{2p}(S_0^{T_*})}.
$$

Here, using the Sobolev interpolation inequality, we know

$$
\|\phi\|_{L^{2p}_{x}}\leq \|\phi\|_{L^{p+1}_{x}}^{\frac{p+1}{2p}} \|\phi\|_{\dot{H}^{1}}^{\frac{p-1}{2p}}\leq \|\phi\|_{L^{p+1}_{x}}^{\frac{p+1}{2p}} \|\partial \phi\|_{L^{2}_{x}}^{\frac{p-1}{2p}},
$$
  

$$
\|\partial \phi\|_{L^{2p}_{x}}\leq \|\partial \phi\|_{L^{2}_{x}}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|\partial \phi\|_{\dot{H}^{1}}^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\leq \|\partial \phi\|_{L^{2}_{x}}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|\partial^{2} \phi\|_{L^{2}_{x}}^{\frac{p-1}{p}},
$$

respectively. Noticing  $\partial_t^2 \phi = \Delta_x \phi - \phi^p$ , we have

$$
\|\partial^2\phi(0)\|_{L^2_x} \lesssim \|\partial_x\partial\phi(0)\|_{L^2_x} + \|\phi(0)\|_{L^{p+1}_x}^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \|\partial\phi(0)\|_{L^2_x}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \leq C(\mathfrak{E}_{1,0}).
$$

Now, using the energy conservation law, we easily get that

$$
\|\partial^2 \phi\|_{L_t^{\infty} L_x^2(S_0^{T_*})} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\partial^2 \phi\|_{L_t^{\infty} L_x^2(S_0^{T_*})} + C(T_*, \mathfrak{E}_{1,0}).
$$

Thus,  $\|\partial^2 \phi\|_{L_x^2}$ , and similarly  $\|\partial^{\leq 2} \phi\|_{L_x^2}$ , can not blow up on  $t = T_*$ . This is in contradiction to the assumption that the solution exists up to  $T_*$ , which means that the solution must be global.

#### **3. Decay of the potential energy**

To begin with, let us give a sketch of the energy method. Fixing a vector field *X* and a corresponding function  $\chi$ , we define

$$
T_{\mu\nu} := \partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi - \frac{1}{2}m_{\mu\nu}\left(\partial^{\sigma}\phi\partial_{\sigma}\phi + \frac{2}{p+1}|\phi|^{p+1}\right),
$$
  

$$
J_{\mu} := T_{\mu\nu}X^{\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu} \chi \cdot |\phi|^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\chi\partial_{\mu}|\phi|^{2},
$$

where  $T_{\mu\nu}$  is called the energy momentum tensor and  $J_{\mu}$  is called the current. Here we take  $x^0 = t$  and indices are raised and lowered with respect to the Minkowski metric  $m = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$ . For any domain  $D$ , applying the Stokes' formula, we derive the energy identity

$$
\int_{\partial \mathcal{D}} J_{N_{\mathcal{D}}} = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \partial^{\mu} J_{\mu}
$$

where  $N_{\mathcal{D}}$  is its outer normal vector on  $\partial \mathcal{D}$  and  $J_{N_{\mathcal{D}}} := J_{\mu} N_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mu}$ . Here we choose  $D = S_0^T$  so that

$$
\int_{t=T} J_0 + \int_{S_0^T} \partial^\mu J_\mu = \int_{t=0} J_0 - \int_{[0,T] \times \partial \mathcal{K}} J_N, \quad (N := (0, N_\mathcal{K}) \text{ on } \mathbb{R} \times \partial \mathcal{K}).
$$

We expect that  $J_N > 0$  so that the quantities on the left hand side can be controlled by the initial data.

Noticing  $\phi = \partial_t \phi = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times \partial \mathcal{K}$ , we have

$$
|\partial_x \phi| = |N\phi|, \qquad X\phi = (X^i N_i)N\phi.
$$

Thus, on  $\mathbb{R} \times \partial \mathcal{K}$ , we see

$$
J_N = T_{\mu\nu}N^{\mu}X^{\nu} = N^i X^j \left( \partial_i \phi \partial_j \phi - \frac{1}{2} m_{ij} |\partial_x \phi|^2 \right) = \frac{1}{2} (X^i N_i) |N \phi|^2.
$$

This means that to reach  $J_N \geq 0$ , we only need to ensure  $N \cdot X \geq 0$ , which then means that we need to find the suitable *X* that works on  $\mathbb{R}^{1+2}$  and has expression  $X = X^t \partial_t + X^r \partial_r$  with  $X^r \ge 0$ , since that *K* is star-shaped.

*Proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-2-0)* For  $p \ge 5$ , we set  $X = (r^2 + t^2 + 1)\partial_t + 2tr\partial_r$  with  $\chi = t$ . Obviously, we have  $X^r \geq 0$ . So, similar to that in  $\mathbb{R}^{1+2}$ , we know

$$
\tilde{E}(T) + \frac{p-5}{p+1} \int_{S_0^T} t |\phi|^{p+1} \le \tilde{E}(0) \lesssim \mathfrak{E}_{0,2},
$$
\n
$$
\tilde{E}(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \mathcal{K}} \frac{1}{2} \left( |t \partial_t \phi + r \partial_r + \phi|^2 + \sum_{\mu < \nu} |x_\mu \partial_\nu \phi - x_\nu \partial_\mu \phi|^2 + |\partial \phi|^2 \right)
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{t^2 + r^2 + 1}{p+1} |\phi|^{p+1}.
$$

The process of its proof is standard. We recommend interested readers to, e.g., Glassey and Pecher [\[11](#page-12-3)] and Alinhac [\[3](#page-11-3)] to get the calculation details in  $\mathbb{R}^{1+2}$ , and modify them to  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \mathcal{K}$ . As a result, we get [\(1.3\)](#page-2-3).

For  $1 < p < 5$ , we first consider the  $\mathbb{R}^{1+2}$  case and introduce the two vector fields constructed in [\[20](#page-12-0)],

$$
\tilde{X}_1 := (x_2^2 + (t - x_1)^2 + 1)\partial_t + (x_2^2 - (t - x_1)^2)\partial_1 + 2(t - x_1)x_2\partial_2,
$$
  
\n
$$
\tilde{X}_2 := (t - x_1 + 1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}(\partial_t - \partial_1) + (t - x_1 + 1)^{\frac{p-5}{2}}x_2^2(\partial_t + \partial_1)
$$
  
\n
$$
+2(t - x_1 + 1)^{\frac{p-3}{2}}x_2\partial_2.
$$

For  $\tilde{X}_1$  with  $\tilde{X}_1 := t - x_1$ , there are

$$
\tilde{J}_{1;\partial_t} = \tilde{J}_{1;\partial_t}^M + \frac{1}{2}\partial_1((t - x_1)|\phi|^2) - \frac{1}{2}\partial_2(x_2|\phi|^2),
$$
\n
$$
\tilde{J}_{1;\partial_t}^M := \frac{1}{2}\left(|x_2(\partial_t\phi + \partial_1\phi) + (t - x_1)\partial_2\phi|^2 + |(t - x_1)(\partial_t\phi - \partial_1\phi) + x_2\partial_2\phi + \phi|^2 + |\partial_t\phi|^2 + |\partial_x\phi|^2 + \frac{2}{p+1}\left((t - x_1)^2 + x_2^2 + 1\right)|\phi|^{p+1}\right),
$$
\n
$$
\tilde{J}_{1;\partial_t + \partial_1}\Big|_{t = x_1} = \left(x_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\right)|\partial_t\phi + \partial_1\phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\partial_2\phi|^2 + \frac{1}{p+1}|\phi|^{p+1},
$$
\n
$$
\partial^\mu \tilde{J}_{1;\mu} = \frac{5 - p}{p+1}(x_1 - t)|\phi|^{p+1}.
$$

Here we use its corresponding energy method on  $\{0 \le t \le \min\{T, x_1\}\}\)$ , where  $\left.\int_{1;\partial_t}^M$ ,  $\tilde{J}_{1;\partial_t+\partial_1}\right|_{t=x_1}$  and  $\partial^\mu \tilde{J}_{1;\mu}$  are nonnegative, and the rest terms in  $\tilde{J}_{1;\partial_t}$  vanish in the integral. As a consequence, we especially have

$$
\int_{0 \leq t = x_1 \leq T} \tilde{J}_{1; \partial_t + \partial_1} \leq \int_{0 \leq x_1} \tilde{J}_{1; \partial_t}^M \Big|_{t=0} \lesssim \mathfrak{E}_{0,2}.
$$

Meanwhile, for  $\tilde{X}_2$  with  $\tilde{\chi}_2 := (t - x_1 + 1)^{(p-1)/2}$ , there are

$$
\tilde{J}_{2;\partial_t} = \tilde{J}_{2;\partial_t}^M + \frac{1}{2}\partial_1 \left( (t - x_1 + 1)^{\frac{p-3}{2}} |\phi|^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2}\partial_2 \left( x_2(t - x_1 + 1)^{\frac{p-5}{2}} |\phi|^2 \right),
$$
\n
$$
\tilde{J}_{2;\partial_t}^M := \frac{1}{2} \left( |x_2(\partial_t \phi + \partial_1 \phi) + (t - x_1 + 1)\partial_2 \phi|^2 + |(t - x_1 + 1)(\partial_t \phi - \partial_1 \phi) + x_2 \partial_2 \phi + \phi|^2 + \frac{2}{p+1} \left( (t - x_1 + 1)^2 + x_2^2 \right) |\phi|^{p+1} \right) (t - x_1 + 1)^{\frac{p-5}{2}},
$$
\n
$$
\tilde{J}_{2;\partial_t + \partial_1} \Big|_{t=x_1} = \tilde{J}_{2;\partial_t + \partial_1}^M + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_t + \partial_1) |\phi|^2
$$
\n
$$
\tilde{J}_{2;\partial_t + \partial_1}^M := |x_2(\partial_t \phi + \partial_1 \phi) + \partial_2 \phi|^2 + \frac{2}{p+1} |\phi|^{p+1},
$$
\n
$$
\partial^\mu \tilde{J}_{2;\mu} = \frac{5-p}{2} (t - x_1 + 1)^{\frac{p-7}{2}} |x_2(\partial_t \phi + \partial_1 \phi) + (t - x_1 + 1)\partial_2 \phi|^2.
$$

Here we use its corresponding energy method on  $\{\max\{0, x_1\} \leq t \leq T\}$ , where  $\tilde{J}^M_{2;\partial_t}$ ,  $\tilde{J}^M_{2;\partial_t+\partial_1}$  and  $\partial^{\mu} \tilde{J}_{2;\mu}$  are nonnegative, and the rest terms in  $\tilde{J}_{2;\partial_t}$  and  $\left.\tilde{J}_{2;\partial_t+\partial_1}\right|_{t=x_1}$ all vanish in the integral. As a consequence, we especially have

$$
\int_{x_1 \le T} \tilde{J}_{2;\vartheta_t}^M \Big|_{t=T} \le \int_{x_1 \le 0} \tilde{J}_{2;\vartheta_t}^M \Big|_{t=0} + \int_{0 \le t=x_1 \le T} \tilde{J}_{2;\vartheta_t+\vartheta_1}^M
$$

Finally, noticing  $\tilde{J}_{2;\partial_t+\partial_1}^M \leq 4\tilde{J}_{1;\partial_t+\partial_1}$ , for equation on  $\mathbb{R}^{1+2}$ , we are able to get

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{1+2}} \mathbf{1}_{x_1 \leq 0} \cdot (t - x_1 + 1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} |\phi|^{p+1} dx \lesssim \mathfrak{E}_{0,2}.
$$
 (3.1)

.

Now, mixing these two vector fields, we define

$$
\tilde{X} := \mathbf{1}_{t \le x_1} \cdot 4\tilde{X}_1 + \mathbf{1}_{t > x_1} \cdot \tilde{X}_2, \qquad \tilde{\chi} := \mathbf{1}_{t \le x_1} \cdot 4\tilde{\chi}_1 + \mathbf{1}_{t > x_1} \cdot \tilde{\chi}_2.
$$

The only obstacle to using it in our exterior problem is that such vector field is not spherically symmetric. For this reason, we construct the spherical integral of  $\tilde{X}$ . To be more specific, we use polar coordinates and write

$$
\tilde{X}(t,r,\theta) = \tilde{X}^t(t,r,\theta)\partial_t + \tilde{X}^r(t,r,\theta)\partial_r + \tilde{X}^\theta(t,r,\theta)\partial_\theta.
$$

Then we define

$$
\chi(t,r,\theta) := \int_0^{2\pi} \tilde{\chi}(t+R,r,\theta+\theta') \,d\theta',
$$

$$
X(t, r, \theta) := \int_0^{2\pi} \tilde{X}(t + R, r, \theta + \theta') d\theta'
$$
  
= 
$$
\left( \int_0^{2\pi} \tilde{X}^t(t + R, r, \theta') d\theta' \right) \partial_t + \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \tilde{X}^r(t + R, r, \theta') d\theta' \right) \partial_r.
$$

Here we mention that  $\int_0^{2\pi} \tilde{X}^{\theta}(\theta') d\theta' = 0$  because  $\tilde{X}^{\theta}$  is an odd function, which in turn is due to the fact that  $\tilde{X}$  is symmetric with respect to  $x_2$ . Using its corresponding energy method on  $[0, t] \times \mathbb{R}^2$  and investigating by [\(3.1\)](#page-6-0), we are able to get that

$$
\mathfrak{E}_{0,2} \gtrsim \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{\cos(\theta+\theta')\leq 0} (t-r\cos(\theta+\theta') + R+1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} |\phi|^{p+1} \times (t, r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}\theta' \n\gtrsim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{-\theta+5\pi/6}^{-\theta+7\pi/6} (t-r\cos(\theta+\theta') + R+1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} |\phi|^{p+1} \, \mathrm{d}\theta' \, \mathrm{d}x \n\gtrsim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( t + \frac{r}{2} + R+1 \right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} |\phi|^{p+1} \, \mathrm{d}x \gtrsim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (t+r+1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} |\phi|^{p+1} \, \mathrm{d}x.
$$

On the other hand, on  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \partial \mathcal{K}$ , we always have  $t + R \geq r$  and thus

$$
X^{r} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \tilde{X}^{r} (t + R, r, \theta') d\theta' = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \tilde{X}_{2}^{r} (t + R, r, \theta) d\theta
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left( -(t - r \cos \theta + R + 1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + (t - r \cos \theta + R + 1)^{\frac{p-5}{2}} (r \sin \theta)^{2} \right) \cos \theta d\theta
$$
  
+ 
$$
\int_{0}^{2\pi} 2(t - r \cos \theta + R + 1)^{\frac{p-3}{2}} r \sin \theta \sin \theta d\theta
$$
  
= 
$$
2 \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left( -(t - r \cos \theta + R + 1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + (t + r \cos \theta + R + 1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \right) \cos \theta d\theta
$$
  
+ 
$$
2 \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left( (t - r \cos \theta + R + 1)^{\frac{p-5}{2}} - (t + r \cos \theta + R + 1)^{\frac{p-5}{2}} \right) r^{2} \cos \theta \sin^{2} \theta d\theta
$$
  
+ 
$$
4 \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left( (t - r \cos \theta + R + 1)^{\frac{p-3}{2}} + (t + r \cos \theta + R + 1)^{\frac{p-3}{2}} \right) r \sin^{2} \theta d\theta
$$
  

$$
\geq 0.
$$

Through the discussion at the beginning of this section, we finish the proof of the Theorem [1.1.](#page-2-0)

### **4. Pointwise decay of the solution**

To begin with, we introduce the necessary bound for each kind of energy.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Proposition 4.1.** *Assume*  $\phi$  *is the solution of [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0). We have* 

$$
(1+t)^2 \|\partial \phi\|_{L^2(r>R)}^2 + \|(1+|t-r|)\partial \phi\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \|\phi\|_{L_x^2}^2 \lesssim \begin{cases} (1+t)^{\frac{5-p}{2}}, & p < 5, \\ 1, & p \ge 5, \end{cases}
$$

and  $\|\phi\|_{H^2} \lesssim (1+t)^2$ , where the constants of inequalities depends on  $\mathfrak{E}_{0,2}$  and  $\mathfrak{E}_{1,0}$ .

The proof of Proposition [4.1](#page-7-0) is identical to the proof of Proposition 4.2 in [\[20\]](#page-12-0). The star-shape of  $K$  joint with the Dirichlet boundary condition ensure that all the process can be adapted here. We only mention that  $\partial \phi$  is formally defined in whole  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}$  but only be useful away from  $\mathcal{K}$ , and that the decay of energy is the same as that of homogeneous solution when  $p \geq 5$ .

On  $\mathbb{R}^{1+2}$ , such estimate joint with the suitable version of Sobolev inequality gives the pointwise decay of solution. See [\[20\]](#page-12-0) for the detailed discussion. Thus, on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$  \*K*, the main difficulty will arise near  $\partial K$ .

*Proof of Theorem [1.2.](#page-2-1)* Without loss of generality, we consider  $t + r \geq 10R$ , and divide this region into three sub regions:  $\{r \le t/2\}$ ,  $\{t/2 \le r \le 3t/2\}$  and  $\{3t/2 \le$ *r*. The proof of estimates in the last two regions is almost the same as that in [\[20](#page-12-0)] since these regions are far away from  $\mathbb{R} \times \partial \mathcal{K}$ . So, we only need to deal with the first region.

For any fixed  $t \geq 5R$ , we define

$$
\tilde{\phi}(x) := \phi(t, tx) \text{ for } x \in B_{1/2} \backslash t^{-1} \mathcal{K},
$$

where  $t^{-1}$  $K = \{t^{-1}x : x \in K\}$ . In view of Proposition [4.1,](#page-7-0) we conclude

$$
\int_{B_{1/2}\setminus (t^{-1}\mathcal{K})} |\tilde{\phi}|^2 \le t^{-2} \int_{r < \frac{1}{2}t} |\phi|^2 \lesssim \begin{cases} (1+t)^{\frac{1-p}{2}}, p < 5, \\ (1+t)^{-2}, p \ge 5, \end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
\int_{B_{1/2}\setminus (t^{-1}\mathcal{K})} |\partial_x \tilde{\phi}|^2 \le \int_{r < \frac{1}{2}t} |\partial_x \phi|^2 \lesssim t^{-2} \int_{r < \frac{1}{2}t} |(t-r)\partial_x \phi|^2 \lesssim \begin{cases} (1+t)^{\frac{1-p}{2}}, p < 5, \\ (1+t)^{-2}, p \ge 5, \end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
\int_{B_{1/2}\setminus (t^{-1}\mathcal{K})} |\partial_x^2 \tilde{\phi}|^2 \le t^2 \int_{r < \frac{1}{2}t} |\partial_x^2 \phi|^2 \lesssim (1+t)^6
$$

separately. Next, we are hoping to use the inequality of embedding. To do so, we need to find a uniform constant in the inequality though the region  $B_{1/2}\setminus(t^{-1}K)$ depends on *t*.

For this purpose, we first consider  $B_{1/2}\setminus (5R)^{-1}$ *K*. Noticing  $B_{1/2}$  is convex and  $(5R)^{-1}$ *K* is star-shaped with smooth boundary, we can easily construct a triangle *A* such that for any  $x \in B_{1/2} \setminus (5R)^{-1} \mathcal{K}$ , there exists an  $A_x$  satisfying

$$
x \in A_x
$$
,  $A_x \cong A$ ,  $A_x \subset B_{1/2} \setminus (5R)^{-1} \mathcal{K}$ .

Moreover, for such *A* and any  $t > 5R$ , it is easy to find that for any  $x \in B_{1/2} \setminus t^{-1}K$ , there also exists an  $A_x$  satisfying

$$
x \in A_x
$$
,  $A_x \cong A$ ,  $A_x \subset B_{1/2} \setminus t^{-1} \mathcal{K}$ .

See the figure below for a simple geometric intuition of this statement.



Now, for any fixed  $x_0 \in B_{1/2} \setminus t^{-1} \mathcal{K}$ , using the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, we see

$$
\begin{split} \|\tilde{\phi}\|_{L_x^{\infty}(A_{x_0})} &\lesssim \|\tilde{\phi}\|_{L_x^2(A_{x_0})}^{\delta} \|\tilde{\phi}\|_{\dot{W}^{1, \frac{2-2\delta}{1-2\delta}}(A_{x_0})}^{1-\delta} \\ &\lesssim \|\tilde{\phi}\|_{L_x^2(A_{x_0})}^{\delta} \|\tilde{\phi}\|_{\dot{W}^{1,2}(A_{x_0})}^{1-2\delta} \|\tilde{\phi}\|_{\dot{W}^{2,2}(A_{x_0})}^{\delta} \\ &\lesssim (1+t)^{\frac{1-\min\{p,5\}}{4} + \frac{\min\{p,5\}+23}{4}\delta}, \end{split}
$$

where the constant only depends on *A* but not on *t*. Now, choosing  $\delta$  sufficiently small with respect to  $\varepsilon$ , we see

$$
\|\phi\|_{L_x^{\infty}(r  
 
$$
\lesssim (1+t)^{\frac{1-\min\{p,5\}}{4}+\varepsilon},
$$
$$

which finishes the proof of Theorem [1.2.](#page-2-1)  $\Box$ 

# **5. Scattering of the solution**

Firstly, we prove the scattering result in energy space. For writing convenience, for any *s*, we define

$$
\mathbf{f} := (f, \partial_t f), \qquad \|\mathbf{f}\|_{\dot{\mathcal{H}}^s} := \|f\|_{\dot{H}^s} + \|\partial_t f\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1}}.
$$

*Proof of [\(1.5\)](#page-3-0).* By energy inequality, for any  $T_2 > T_1$ , we can calculate that

$$
\|\boldsymbol{\phi}(T_2)-L(T_2-T_1)\boldsymbol{\phi}(T_1)\|_{\dot{\mathcal{H}}^1}\lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\phi}^p\|_{L^1_tL^2_x(S_{T_1}^{T_2})}\lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\phi}\|_{L^p_tL^{2p}_x(S_{T_1}^{T_2})}^p.
$$

Using the interpolation inequality, Hölder inequality, Theorem [1.1](#page-2-0) and Theorem [1.2,](#page-2-1) for  $2\sqrt{5} - 1 < p < 5$  we see

$$
\begin{split} \|\phi\|_{L_{x}^{2p}} &\lesssim \|\phi\|_{L_{x}^{p+1}}^{\frac{p+1}{2p}} \|\phi\|_{L_{x}^{\infty}}^{\frac{p-1}{2p}} \\ &\lesssim \left\|(1+t+r)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}|\phi|^{p+1}\right\|_{L_{x}^{1}}^{\frac{1}{2p}} \|(1+t+r)^{-\frac{p-1}{2}}\right\|_{L_{x}^{\infty}}^{\frac{1}{2p}} \|\phi\|_{L_{x}^{\infty}}^{\frac{p-1}{2p}} \\ &\lesssim (1+t)^{\frac{1}{2p}(-\frac{p-1}{2})+\frac{p-1}{2p}(-\frac{p-1}{8}+\varepsilon)} \end{split}
$$

$$
\lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{p^2+2p-3}{16p}+\frac{p-1}{2p}\varepsilon}.
$$

Then, noticing  $-\frac{p^2+2p-3}{16} + \frac{p-1}{2}\varepsilon < -1$  while  $p > 2\sqrt{5} - 1$  and  $\varepsilon$  small enough, we have

$$
\|\phi\|_{L_t^p L_x^{2p}(S_{T_1}^{T_2})}^p \lesssim \int_{T_1}^{T_2} (1+t)^{-\frac{p^2+2p-3}{16}+\frac{p-1}{2}\varepsilon} dt \lesssim (1+T_1)^{-\frac{p^2+2p-19}{16}+\frac{p-1}{2}\varepsilon}.
$$

Now, we easily see that

$$
\sup_{T\geq 0} ||L(-T)\phi(T)||_{\dot{\mathcal{H}}^1} \leq ||\phi(0)||_{\dot{\mathcal{H}}^1} + \sup_{T\geq 0} ||\phi(T) - L(T)\phi(0)||_{\dot{\mathcal{H}}^1} < \infty,
$$
  
\n
$$
\lim_{T_1, T_2 \to \infty} ||L(-T_2)\phi(T_2) - L(-T_1)\phi(T_1)||_{\dot{\mathcal{H}}^1}
$$
\n
$$
= \lim_{T_1, T_2 \to \infty} ||\phi(T_2) - L(T_2 - T_1)\phi(T_1)||_{\dot{\mathcal{H}}^1} = 0.
$$

Defining  $(\phi_0^+(x), \phi_1^+(x)) = \lim_{T \to \infty} L(-T)\phi(T)$  in  $\mathcal{H}^1$ , we get [\(1.5\)](#page-3-0) for these cases of *p*.

Next, for  $p \geq 5$ , we similarly get

$$
\|\phi\|_{L_x^{2p}} \lesssim \|\phi\|_{L_x^{p+1}}^{\frac{p+1}{2p}} \|\phi\|_{L_x^{p+1}}^{\frac{p-1}{2p}} \lesssim (1+t)^{\frac{p+1}{2p}(-\frac{2}{p+1})+\frac{p-1}{2p}(-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon)}
$$
  

$$
\lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{p+3}{4p}+\frac{p-1}{2p}\varepsilon},
$$
  

$$
\|\phi\|_{L_t^p L_x^{2p}(S_{T_1}^{T_2})}^p \lesssim \int_{T_1}^{T_2} (1+t)^{-\frac{p+3}{4}+\frac{p-1}{2}\varepsilon} dt \lesssim (1+T_1)^{-\frac{p-1}{4}+\frac{p-1}{2}\varepsilon}.
$$

Through the same process, we finish the proof.

*Proof of* [\(1.6\)](#page-3-1)*.* Similar to before, we only need to show  $\phi(T_2) - L(T_2 - T_1)$  $T_1$ ) $\phi(T_1)$   $\|_{\mathcal{H}^s}$  converges to 0 as  $T_1$  tends to infinity and uniformly for  $T_2 > T_1$ . Also, by interpolation, we only need to consider the critical case  $s = s_p = \frac{p-3}{p-1}$ .

We first adopt the  $\mathcal{H}^s$  energy inequality and get

$$
\|\pmb{\phi}(T_2)-L(T_2-T_1)\pmb{\phi}(T_1)\|_{\dot{\mathcal{H}}^{sp}} \lesssim \|\phi^p\|_{L_t^1 \dot{H}_x^{s_p-1}(S_{T_1}^{T_2})} \lesssim \|\phi\|^p_{L_t^p L_x^{\frac{2p(p-1)}{p+1}}(S_{T_1}^{T_2})}.
$$

When  $1 + 2\sqrt{2} < p \le 2 + \sqrt{5}$ , we have  $2p(p-1)/(p+1) \le p+1$ . Then, using Hölder inequality and Theorem [1.1,](#page-2-0) we see

$$
\|\phi\|_{L_x^{\frac{2p(p-1)}{p+1}}} \lesssim \left\|(1+t+r)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}|\phi|^{p+1}\right\|_{L_x^1}^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \left\|(1+t+r)^{-\frac{p-1}{2}}\right\|_{L_x^{\frac{2p(p-1)}{p^2+4p+1}}}^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \leq (1+t)^{-\frac{p^3-7p-2}{2p(p^2-1)}}.
$$

Noticing  $-\frac{p^3 - 7p - 2}{2(p^2 - 1)} < -1$  while  $p > 2\sqrt{2} + 1$ , we have

$$
\|\phi\|_{L_t^p L_x^{\frac{2p(p-1)}{p+1}}(S_{T_1}^{T_2})}^p \lesssim \int_{T_1}^{T_2} (1+t)^{-\frac{p^3-7p-2}{2(p^2-1)}} \, \mathrm{d}\, t \lesssim (1+T_1)^{-\frac{p(p^2-2p-7)}{2(p^2-1)}}
$$

$$
\Box
$$

and finish the proof for these cases of *p*.

When  $2 + \sqrt{5} < p < 5$ , where  $2p(p - 1)/(p + 1) > p + 1$ , similar to the proof of  $(1.5)$ , we see

$$
\|\phi\|_{L_x^{\frac{2p(p-1)}{p+1}}} \lesssim \|(1+t+r)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}|\phi|^{p+1}\|_{L_x^1}^{\frac{p+1}{2p(p-1)}} \|(1+t+r)^{-\frac{p-1}{2}}\|_{L_x^\infty}^{\frac{p+1}{2p(p-1)}} \|\phi\|_{L_x^\infty}^{\frac{p^2-4p-1}{2p(p-1)}}
$$
  

$$
\lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{p^2+3}{16p}+\frac{p^2-4p-1}{2p(p-1)}\varepsilon}.
$$

Noticing  $-\frac{p^2+3}{16} + \frac{p^2-4p-1}{2(p-1)}ε < -1$  while 2 +  $\sqrt{5} < p < 5$  and ε small enough, we have

$$
\|\phi\|_{L_t^p L_x^{\frac{2p(p-1)}{p+1}}(S_{T_1}^{T_2})}^p \lesssim \int_{T_1}^{T_2} (1+t)^{-\frac{p^2+3}{16}+\frac{p^2-4p-1}{2(p-1)}\varepsilon} dt
$$
  

$$
\lesssim (1+T_1)^{-\frac{p^2-13}{16}+\frac{p^2-4p-1}{2(p-1)}\varepsilon}
$$

and finish the proof for these cases of *p*.

Finally, for  $p > 5$ , similar to above, we see

$$
\begin{split} \|\phi\|_{L_x^{\frac{2p(p-1)}{p+1}}} &\lesssim \left\|(1+t+r)^2|\phi|^{p+1}\right\|_{L_x^1}^{\frac{p+1}{2p(p-1)}} \left\|(1+t+r)^{-2}\right\|_{L_x^\infty}^{\frac{p+1}{2p(p-1)}} \|\phi\|_{L_x^\infty}^{\frac{p^2-4p-1}{2p(p-1)}} \\ &\lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{p^2+3}{4p(p-1)}+\frac{p^2-4p-1}{2p(p-1)}\varepsilon}, \\ \|\phi\|^p_{L_t^{\frac{2p(p-1)}{p+1}}(S_{T_1}^{T_2})} &\lesssim \int_{T_1}^{T_2} (1+t)^{-\frac{p^2+3}{4(p-1)}+\frac{p^2-4p-1}{2(p-1)}\varepsilon} \,\mathrm{d}\, t \\ &\lesssim (1+T_1)^{-\frac{p^2-4p+7}{4(p-1)}+\frac{p^2-4p-1}{2(p-1)}\varepsilon}, \end{split}
$$

where  $-\frac{p^2-4p+7}{4(p-1)} + \frac{p^2-4p-1}{2(p-1)}\varepsilon < 0$  while  $p \ge 5$  and  $\varepsilon$  small enough. This finishes the proof of Theorem [1.3.](#page-2-2)

*Acknowledgements* The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for careful reading and valuable comments.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-11-1"></span>[1] AbouShakra, F.: Asymptotics of the critical nonlinear wave equation for a class of non-star-shaped obstacles. J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ. **10**(3), 495–522 (2013)
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>[2] AbouShakra, F.: Asymptotics of wave models for non star-shaped geometries. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. **7**(2), 347–362 (2014)
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>[3] Alinhac, S.: Hyperbolic Partial Differential Equations. Universitext, Springer, Dordrecht (2009)
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>[4] Blair, M.D., Smith, H.F., Sogge, C.D.: Strichartz estimates for the wave equation on manifolds with boundary. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire **26**(5), 1817– 1829 (2009)
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>[5] Burq, N.: Global Strichartz estimates for nontrapping geometries: about an article by H. F. Smith and C. D. Sogge: "Global Strichartz estimates for nontrapping perturbations of the Laplacian" [Comm. Partial Differential Equation **25** (2000), no. 11-12 2171– 2183; MR1789924 (2001j:35180)]. Commun. Part. Differ. Equ. **28**(9–10), 1675–1683 (2003)
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>[6] Burq, N., Lebeau, G., Planchon, F.: Global existence for energy critical waves in 3-D domains. J. Am. Math. Soc. **21**(3), 831–845 (2008)
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>[7] Evans, L.C.: Partial Differential Equations, Volume 19 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 2nd edn. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2010)
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>[8] Ginibre, J., Velo, G.: The global Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Klein–Gordon equation. Math. Z. **189**(4), 487–505 (1985)
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>[9] Ginibre, J., Velo, G.: Conformal invariance and time decay for nonlinear wave equations. I, II. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor. **47**(3), 221–261, 263–276 (1987)
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>[10] Ginibre, J., Velo, G.: The global Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Klein–Gordon equation. II. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, **6**(1), 15–35 (1989)
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>[11] Glassey, R., Pecher, H.: Time decay for nonlinear wave equations in two space dimensions. Manuscripta Math. **38**(3), 387–400 (1982)
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>[12] Hidano, K.: Scattering problem for the nonlinear wave equation in the finite energy and conformal charge space. J. Funct. Anal. **187**(2), 274–307 (2001)
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>[13] Hidano, K., Metcalfe, J., Smith, H.F., Sogge, C.D., Zhou, Y.: On abstract Strichartz estimates and the Strauss conjecture for nontrapping obstacles. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **362**(5), 2789–2809 (2010)
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>[14] Metcalfe, J.L.: Global Strichartz estimates for solutions to the wave equation exterior to a convex obstacle. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **356**(12), 4839–4855 (2004)
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>[15] Ralston, J.: Note on the decay of acoustic waves. Duke Math. J. **46**(4), 799–804 (1979)
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>[16] Smith, H.F., Sogge, C.D.: On the critical semilinear wave equation outside convex obstacles. J. Am. Math. Soc. **8**(4), 879–916 (1995)
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>[17] Smith, H.F., Sogge, C.D.: Global Strichartz estimates for nontrapping perturbations of the Laplacian. Commun. Partial Differ. Equ. **25**(11–12), 2171–2183 (2000)
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>[18] Smith, H.F., Sogge, C.D., Wang, C.: Strichartz estimates for Dirichlet-wave equations in two dimensions with applications. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **364**(6), 3329–3347 (2012)
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>[19] Vaĭnberg, B.R.: The short-wave asymptotic behavior of the solutions of stationary problems, and the asymptotic behavior as  $t \to \infty$  of the solutions of nonstationary problems. Uspehi Mat. Nauk, **30**(2(182)), 3–55 (1975)
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>[20] Wei, D., Yang, S.: On the global behaviors for defocusing semilinear wave equations in R1+2. Anal. PDE **15**(1), 245–272 (2022)
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>[21] Yang, S.: Global behaviors of defocusing semilinear wave equations. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4), **55**(2), 405–428 (2022)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author selfarchiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.