#### **ORIGINAL PAPER**



# **Morphological assessment of free‑spawned sperm in scleractinian coral: a relationship between cell morphology and motility**

Amanda Amaral<sup>1,2</sup> · Yugo Pastrana<sup>3</sup> · Cristiano Pereira<sup>4,5</sup> · Wanderson Valente<sup>6</sup> · Leandro Godoy<sup>1,4,[7](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6236-9749)</sup>

Received: 29 June 2022 / Accepted: 30 September 2022 / Published online: 18 October 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2022

#### **Abstract**

Although the quality of the spermatozoa is a key factor for reproductive success, there is few information describing the sperm quality for most marine invertebrates, especially corals. Given the high mortality rates and several threats currently faced by coral reefs, ways of assessing coral spermatozoa viability are needed. Thus, in this study we proposed a morphological classifcation for coral spermatozoa using *Mussismilia harttii* as model. In addition, we evaluated the relationship of morphology with the percentage and duration of motility. Spermatozoa were frst classifed into two main morphological categories: normal and abnormal. Then, we classifed morphological abnormalities in the head and fagellum together with proposing subcategories that better described it. In general,  $68.77 \pm 6.50\%$  of the spermatozoa had their whole structure intact, while  $31.23 \pm 6.51\%$  had morphological abnormalities. Most abnormalities were in the flagellum (five types in total), while only two abnormalities were located in the head. Coiled fagellum and shortened fagellum were the most representative abnormalities, accounting for 24% of the total detected. We observed that reduction of sperm motility is directly related to increased morphological abnormalities and they are infuenced by the cell ageing. Our results reinforce how the characterization of sperm morphology can be a practical and useful tool that can help to better understand the efects of multiple stressors on coral reproduction.

#### **Graphical abstract**



**Keywords** Coral gametes · *Mussismilia harttii* · Sperm abnormalities · Morphological classifcation · Sperm analysis · Reef conservation

Responsible Editor: S. Harii.

 $\boxtimes$  Leandro Godoy godoyufrgs@gmail.com

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

### **Introduction**

Although spermatozoa are simple structure cells, their functions are specialized and crucial for sexual reproduction and the genetic variability of the species. Thus, to have a greater chance of successful fertilization, it is mandatory to have viable sperm, which is defned as the ability of spermatozoa to successfully fertilize an egg and, subsequently, to allow the development of a normal embryo (Kholodnyy et al. [2020](#page-8-0)).

Motility and morphology are essential parameters for sperm quality. However, some morphological abnormalities in spermatozoa can be generated during spermatogenesis. These morphological defects mean an abnormal spermatozoon morphogenesis process and lead to reduced fertilization capacity. In addition, they can indicate the individual's health status and refect the response to physical, physiological, and environmental stressors (Menkveld et al. [2011\)](#page-8-1).

Morphological abnormalities in the spermatozoa fagellum lead to motility impairments. For example, spermatozoa swim in a circular. In other cases, the fagellum displays oscillatory movements without bending forms. These impairments provoke a reduction of gamete interaction. Indeed, the higher the number of spermatozoa with abnormal morphology, the lower the chances of encountering the oocytes (Alavi et al. [2015\)](#page-8-2). In addition to the primary abnormalities resulting from gametogenesis, spermatozoa are subject to damages of secondary origin after being released into the water. Such abnormalities can be caused by environmental contaminants or the cell ageing process (Kaya et al. [2014](#page-8-3)).

Although sperm quality is a critical factor for reproductive success, there is little information describing it for most marine invertebrates, especially corals (Lewis and Ford [2012](#page-8-4)). Furthermore, the relationship between factors that afect spermatozoa fertility, such as spermatozoa morphology, percentage and duration of motility, has not yet been studied in corals. Sperm morphology studies have difculties standardizing and classifying morphological abnormalities (Shabtaie et al. [2016\)](#page-8-5), and analyses from dissected mesenteries (see Steiner [1998](#page-8-6)) do not represent the released spermatozoa.

Coral reefs are currently threatened worldwide (Hughes et al. [2019](#page-8-7)). Therefore, ways of assessing coral spermatozoa viability are needed to evaluate individuals' reproductive performance and will be available for examining the efects of stressors and environmental contaminants on reproduction and progeny.

Thus, in this study we proposed a morphological classifcation for coral spawned spermatozoa using as a model *Mussismilia harttii*, a scleractinian hermaphroditic species endemic to Brazilian reefs and one of the main builders of the Southwestern Atlantic reefs (Leão et al. [2016](#page-8-8)). In addition, we evaluated the relationship of morphology with percentage of motile spermatozoa and duration of motility.

## **Materials and methods**

#### **Colonies collection and permits**

Hermaphroditic colonies of *Mussismilia harttii* (*n*=40) were collected at the Funil site at the Recife de Fora Marine protected area (16°24′31″S; 038°58′39″W—Bahia State, Brazil) under SISBIO licence Nº 63368–1. Collections were performed in September 2019, two weeks prior to the predicted spawning period (Pires et al. [1999](#page-8-9)). Colonies were identifed and placed in 1000-L semi-closed tanks connected to the ocean at the Coral Vivo Research Base. Local seawater temperature was  $26.50 \pm 0.25$  °C. After the completion of the experiments, the colonies were returned back to the reef.

## **Collection of egg‑sperm bundles and gametes separation**

Experiment was performed over two nights during the spawning window period. Among the 40 colonies, 18 spawned on the frst night and 11 on the second night. Each night, 100 eggsperm bundles were randomly collected from the water surface using a Pasteur pipette and immediately transferred to 50-mL tubes (10 bundles per tube) containing 5 mL of seawater. After 10 min the egg-sperm bundles were naturally dissociated, with eggs foating on top of the tubes being removed and the remaining sperm concentrated was transferred to another 50-mL tube. It is important to mention that in *M. harttii*, when the egg-sperm bundle dissociates, the spermatozoa motility is initiated. Tubes (total  $=10$ ) containing the sperm samples were analysed individually for initial motility rate and then put together to compose a pool. The sperm pool was performed to prevent the colony's individual efects, since sperm concentration between *M. harttii* colonies vary signifcantly (Godoy, personal communication). Sperm concentration of the pooled semen  $(10.57 \pm 2.11 \times 10^6 \text{ cells per mL})$  was assessed using a hemocytometer under light microscopy. Subsequently, the pool was randomly distributed among experimental units, which were characterized by a closed tube containing 5 mL of pooled semen. There were fve replicates per evaluation time (0, 5, and 10 h after spawning), totalizing 15 experimental units per night of experiment. The evaluation times were chosen based on previous studies on spermatozoa motility duration carried out by our group (Godoy et al. [2021](#page-8-10)), and the analyses were completed 10 h after spawning, which was the time when all cells were no longer motile. Throughout the experimental period, tubes containing the samples were kept into a glass aquarium

(80 L) at  $26 \pm 0.50$  °C. Water temperature was controlled by an automatic system (Reef Angel Controller) using 15,000 W heaters and temperature recorders (HOBO U22-001 Data Logger). At each evaluation time, the sperm motility rate was evaluated, and aliquots of the sperm were fxed for analysis of spermatozoa morphology.

## **Sperm characterization throughout motility time**

#### **Sperm motility rate**

Sperm motility rate was determined by placing (triplicate) a 10 μL-aliquot from each.

experimental unit on a glass slide and visualizing the sample under light microscopy at a magnification of  $100\times(10\times$ objective lens and  $10 \times \text{ocular lens}$ . The results were expressed as the percentage of motile spermatozoa exhibiting forward movement (Godoy et al. [2021](#page-8-10)).

#### **Spermatozoa morphology assessment**

To evaluate sperm morphology, 20-μL aliquots of the sperm were fixed in microtubes (total = 10) containing 40  $\mu$ L of 4% bufered formal-saline solution (1606 mOsmol/kg) (Godoy et al.  $2021$ ). Afterwards,  $20 \mu L$  of each fixed sample were placed on a histological slide (total=30 slides) and immediately stained with a 4 μL-aliquot of 3% Bengal Rose dye and analysed under light microscopy at  $100 \times$ objective with oilimmersion lens. Spermatozoa were frstly classifed into two main morphological categories: normal and abnormal. Then, we classifed the morphological abnormalities according to the damage observed in the head and fagellum together with proposing subcategories to better describe it. An average of  $79 \pm 17$  cells per slide at each evaluation time were counted and the number of normal and abnormal spermatozoa was expressed as percentage of all observed cells. In addition, spermatozoa were analysed under a phase contrast feld using an optical microscope (Zeiss Axio Scope.A1) (1000×magnifcation) equipped with a camera (Zeiss AxioCam ERc 5 s). Digital images were taken using the Zeiss AxioVision LE software and head and fagellum length were measured (2 µm scale) using the software ImageJ 1.1.4. The following equation was used to determine head size (HS):

 $HS =$  larger diameter + smaller diameter 2



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Sperm motility rate of *Mussismilia harttii* over time postspawning. All pairwise were compared by Dunn test. Distinctive letters indicate statistical difference over time  $(p < 0.05)$ 

## **Statistical analyses**

Normality and the homogeneity of variance of all data were first assessed using the Shapiro–Wilk and Bartlett's test, respectively. For motility rate we used one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) on Ranks (Kruskal–Wallis's test) followed by Dunn test to identify the diferences among evaluation times (0, 5 and 10 h) throughout spermatozoa motility duration. Total abnormality and normal spermatozoa data were transformed using the square root to reach the assumptions (normality and homoscedasticity) of parametric statistics. For all morphological classifcations we used one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's test to identify the diferences among evaluation times (0, 5 and 10 h) throughout spermatozoa motility duration. In addition, a simple linear regression was applied to test the relationship between sperm motility rate (dependent variable) and total abnormality, normal spermatozoa, coiled fagellum and shortened fagellum (independent variables). Non-parametric data (sperm motility) are presented as median, minimum and maximum, while parametric data are presented as mean±standard deviation and the level of signifcance was 5%.

## **Results**

#### **Sperm motility rate**

Sperm motility was infuenced by the duration of motility after spawning (Kruskal–Wallis, *p*<0.001, Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0), with a

reduction in the number of motile cells over time. Immediately after spawning sperm motility was  $44.03 \pm 4.20\%$  $(median = 43.33; minimum = 38.33 and maximum = 53.33),$ being more than 30 percentage points higher when compared to subsequent times (Dunn test; 0 h vs 5 h:  $p = 0.0044$ ; 0 h vs 10 h:  $p < 0.0001$ ), while there was no difference between the times 5 and 10 h after spawning (Dunn test; 5 h vs 10 h:  $p = 0.6085$ .

## **Morphological classifcation of coral spermatozoa**

We proposed the following subcategories to classify the abnormalities observed in the head and fagellum: coiled fagellum, shortened fagellum, bent fagellum, strongly coiled fagellum, free fagellum, free head, macrocephaly and agglutination of cells (Table [1](#page-3-0) and Fig. [2](#page-3-1)).

In quantitative terms,  $68.77 \pm 6.50\%$  of the spermatozoa were considered as normal cells while  $31.23 \pm 6.51\%$ of the spermatozoa were structurally impaired. The main morphological abnormalities found for *M. harttii* sperma-tozoa (Fig. [3\)](#page-4-0) were located in the flagellum (97.50 $\pm$ 1.92%) of the total quantifed abnormalities), being coiled fagellum and shortened fagellum the most representative, since together accounted for  $24.00 \pm 3.08\%$  of the total abnormalities observed (Fig. [4\)](#page-5-0). Conversely, the damages to the head represented less than 1% of the total abnormal cells.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 1** Morphological classifcation for coral spermatozoa with abnormalities detailed according to the damage observed in the head and fagellum

Spermatozoa classification	Description
Normal spermatozoa	Spermatozoa showing structural integrity, presenting $5.93 \pm 0.09$ µm of total length, oval shaped head $(0.34 \pm 0.03 \text{ \mu m})$ and a 5.60 $\pm$ 0.08 $\mu$ m flagellum
Coiled flagellum	Flagellum coils up once or flagellum twists up all over its length
Shortened flagellum	Spermatozoa with a normal shaped head but displaying an average reduction of 54% in flagellum length (ranging from 1.74 to 2.98 $\mu$ m)
Bent flagellum	Flagellum with a U-shaped flexure
Strongly coiled flagellum	Flagellum with several turns on itself or around the head, forming a circle
Free flagellum	Spermatozoa with normal flagellum length, but with no head
Free head	Spermatozoa with a normal shaped head, but with no presence of flagellum
Macrocephaly	Sperm with a normal flagellum but showing a head 1.6 times greater in diameter (up to $0.60 \mu m$ ) than normal cells
Agglutination of cells	Many spermatozoa with flagella entangled to each other, being impossible to individually distinguish them



<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Fig. 2** Illustration designed to represent each morphological classifcation and abnormalities observed in the head and fagellum of coral spermatozoa

As time passed, it was observed that the number of morphologically normal cells was gradually decreasing from  $68.77 \pm 6.50\%$  to  $28.99 \pm 1.76\%$  (Tukey,  $p < 0.0001$ ) (Fig. [5](#page-6-0)a), while the number of structurally impaired spermatozoa was increasing from  $31.23 \pm 6.51\%$  to 71.01  $\pm$  2.25% (Tukey,  $p < 0.0001$ ) (Fig. [5b](#page-6-0)). Damages to the flagellum remained the most significant, mainly the coiled flagellum (Tukey,  $p < 0.0001$ ) (Fig. [5c](#page-6-0)) and shortened flagellum (Tukey, *p* < 0.0001) (Fig. [5](#page-6-0)d).

## **Correlation between motility and spermatozoa morphology**

We observed a strong positive linear relationship between sperm motility and the number of normal spermatozoa (Fig. [6a](#page-7-0)), where 86% of the highest sperm motilities were explained by higher percentage of normal spermatozoa  $(R^2 = 0.86, p < 0.0001)$ . In contrast, a negative linear relationship was observed between sperm motility, total abnormalities  $(R^2 = 0.87, p < 0.0001)$  (Fig. [6b](#page-7-0).), coiled flagellum  $(R^2 = 0.67, p < 0.0001)$  (Fig. [6c](#page-7-0).) and shortened flagellum ( $R^2 = 0.78$ ,  $p < 0.0001$  (Fig. [6](#page-7-0)d.), where more than 60% of the reduction in sperm motility was explained by such morphological impairment.

#### **Discussion**

In this study, we proposed for the frst time a morphological classifcation for coral spermatozoa. We showed that alterations, especially in the fagellum, have a direct relationship with sperm motility and depend on the duration of motility. Therefore, this morphological classifcation could be a valuable tool for evaluating the quality of coral sperm. Furthermore, microscopic observation is valuable to evaluate the reproductive performance of individuals as well as the efects of stressors and environmental contaminants on coral sperm. It is important to emphasize that our focus here is the mature spermatozoa spawned in the ocean water.

We frst describe many types of abnormalities that can be generated either during spermatogenesis or after spawning. The abnormalities were diverse; macrocephaly, coiled fagellum, strongly coiled fagellum, bent fagellum, and free fagellum. Defective spermatozoa are naturally found during spermatogenesis, which are known as primary morphological anomalies (Czubaszek et al. [2019](#page-8-11)). Hagedorn et al. [\(2006](#page-8-12)) mentioned abnormal fagellum and agglutinated spermatozoon in the mushroom coral *Fungia scutaria*. However, these are morphological changes due to the cryopreservation preparation. Some factors such as genetics, animal health, nutritional status and environmental conditions can infuence the process of gametogenesis, contributing to the appearance of cells with morphological abnormalities (Chemes [2018](#page-8-13)).



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Percentage of each morphological damage observed in *Mussismilia harttii* spermatozoa



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Main morphological abnormalities found in spermatozoa of the South Atlantic coral *Mussismilia harttii*. **a** Normal spermatozoon. **b** Spermatozoon showing coiled fagellum. **(c)** Spermatozoon showing shortened fagellum. Black scale bar=2 µm

Spermatozoa abnormality could lead to reduced fertilization and decline of subsequent development. Abnormalities in the head of spermatozoa are associated with DNA damage, which can harm early embryonic development following fertilization (Kumaresan et al. [2020\)](#page-8-14). In addition, anomalies in the fagellum decline sliding properly for the propagation of autonomous fagellar waves and, eventually, have an inadequate movement, which can compromise the functionality of the spermatozoon, i.e., its ability to move towards the egg and fertilize it (Cosson [2019](#page-8-15)). Thus, quantifying morphological abnormalities in coral spermatozoa could be available to assess fertilization ability (Kowalski and Cejko, [2019](#page-8-16)).

External factors such as contaminants and internal factors such as the cell metabolism generating reactive oxygen species (ROS) may infuence the morphological changes in spermatozoa after spawning. Indeed, ROS inhibits axonemal phosphorylation for motility activation and causes morphological changes in the fagellum (Chianese and Pierantoni [2021](#page-8-17)).

In addition to all useful information already mentioned on the most varied forms of spermatozoa obtained during the morphological analysis, it is noteworthy that this is a relatively simple, inexpensive (it only needs dye, glass slide and light microscope) and fast technique. The morphological analysis is relevant for example, for toxicity and environmental stressors assays, assisted reproduction techniques (in vitro fertilization) and during cryopreservation, as it can efficiently provide an accurate correlation to the fertilizing capacity of sperm (Roldan [2020](#page-8-18)). That is why this technique has been routinely applied in humans and farm animals as an important initial screening parameter to check the condition of fresh or cryopreserved semen (García-Vázquez et al., [2016\)](#page-8-19).

Given the importance of this indicator, a threshold considered acceptable for morphological abnormalities was established for some animals (Oehninger et al. [2014\)](#page-8-20). According to the Society for Theriogenology (USA), total spermatozoa morphological abnormalities should not exceed to 15% in ram and 20% in bull and swine (Ax et al. [2016](#page-8-21)), while for dogs a cutoff point between 30 and 40% of abnormalities is suggested as not to harm artifcial insemination (Oehninger et al. [2014\)](#page-8-20). However, there is no report in the literature regarding the acceptable limit of anomalies in sperm from aquatic organisms (Rodrigues et al. [2020](#page-8-22)), although some studies describe morphological damages between 15 to 30% for the black tiger shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*) (Leelatana-wit et al. [2014\)](#page-8-23), and for some species of fish, percentages of abnormalities have ranged from 20 to 38% (Caldas and Godoy [2019;](#page-8-24) Fernandes et al. [2020](#page-8-25); Rodrigues et al. [2020](#page-8-22)). Taking into consideration the reference values mentioned, the percentage of anomalies observed immediately after





<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 5** Sperm morphology of the South Atlantic coral *Mussismilia harttii over* time post-spawning. **a** Normal spermatozoa. **b** Total morphological abnormalities. **c** Spermatozoa with coiled fagellum. **d**

spawning of *M. harttii* is within the ones considered acceptable for sperm populations, since it was observed that 31% of the total counted cells had some type of morphological damage.

Our results reinforce how the characterization of sperm morphology can be a practical and useful tool, and the association of this analysis with other indicators can help having a better understanding of the efects of multiple stressors in coral reefs.

Spermatozoa with shortened fagellum. All pairwise were compared by Tukey test. Distinctive letters indicate statistical diference over time  $(p < 0.05)$ 

The morphological classifcation of *M. harttii* spermatozoa can serve as a model for studies to determine acceptable limits of abnormalities in coral sperm and help in the quality evaluation of spawned gametes. This knowledge will allow identifying if environmental impacts that the reefs have been suffering alter these biological characteristics in coral gametes. In addition, they may open opportunities for a series of biotechnological applications, such as gamete cryopreservation, as it will allow the selection of the best sperm characteristics to optimize fertilization and assist in species conservation.

from CAPES-Brazil (88882.365917/2019-01). WV was granted a feldresearch scholarship from the Brazilian Biodiversity Fund (Funbio) and Instituto Humanize (N° 121/2019). LG is a research fellow from CNPq-Brazil (310463/2018-1). **Author contributions** AA, LG and YP designed the study; AA, LG,

YP and CP performed the experiments; AA and WV performed morphological and morphometric analysis and graphic illustration; and all authors contributed to the manuscript.

**Funding** Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior, 88882.365917/2019-01,Amanda Amaral, Brazilian Biodivesity Fund,121/2019, Wanderson Valente,Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científco e Tecnológico,310463/2018-1,Leandro Godoy, Fundação Grupo Boticário de Proteção à Natureza, 1138/2018-2, Lean-

**Data availability** The data generated and analysed during this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

#### **Declarations**

dro Godoy.

**Conflict of interest** On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no confict of interest.

**Ethical approval** The authors declare that all applicable international, national and/or institutional guidelines for sampling, care and experi-

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 6** Simple linear regressions showing the relationship between sperm motility and spermatozoa morphological abnormalities in *Mussismilia harttii*. **a** Normal spermatozoa x Sperm motility. **b** Total

**Acknowledgements** Coral Vivo Project and its sponsors, Petrobras, through the Petrobras Socioambiental Program, and Arraial d'Ajuda Eco Parque are acknowledged for funding feld research and for the use of their research facilities. We are grateful for the funding support from Boticário Group Foundation for Nature Protection. We acknowledge the Experimental Laboratory of Physiology and Behavior of Aquatic Animals (LEFCAQ) of the Federal University of Amazonas (UFAM) for the use of laboratory facilities. AA was granted a master scholarship

morphological abnormalities x Sperm motility. **c** Spermatozoa with coiled fagellum x Sperm motility. **d** Spermatozoa with shortened fagellum x Sperm motility



mental use of animals for the study have been followed, all and necessary approvals by the Chico Mendes Institute for Biodiversity Conservation – ICMBio (SISBIO N° 63368–1) have been obtained.

### **References**

- <span id="page-8-2"></span>Alavi SMH, Ciereszko A, Hatef A, Křišťan J, Dzyuba B, Boryshpolets S, Rodina M, Cosson J, Linhart O (2015) Sperm morphology, physiology, motility, and cryopreservation in Percidae. In: Kestemont P, Dabrowski K, Summerfelt RC (eds) Biology and culture of percid fshes: principles and practices. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 163–191.<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-7227-3>
- <span id="page-8-21"></span>Ax R, Dally M, Didion BA, Lenz RW, Love CC, Varner DD, Hafez B, Bellin ME (2016) Semen evaluation. In: Hafez ESE, Hafez B (eds) Reproduction in farm animals. Blackwell, South Carolina, SC, pp 365–375
- <span id="page-8-24"></span>Caldas JS, Godoy L (2019) Sperm characterization of the endangered Amazonian fsh *Hypancistrus zebra*: basic knowledge for reproduction and conservation strategies. Anim Reprod Sci 204:117– 124.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anireprosci.2019.03.012>
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>Chemes HE (2018) Phenotypic varieties of sperm pathology: genetic abnormalities or environmental infuences can result in diferent patterns of abnormal spermatozoa. Anim Reprod Sci 194:41–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anireprosci.2018.04.074>
- <span id="page-8-17"></span>Chianese R, Pierantoni R (2021) Mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (ROS) production alters sperm quality. Antioxidants 10:1–19. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox10010092>
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>Cosson J (2019) Fish sperm physiology: structure, factors regulating motility, and motility evaluation. Biol Res Aquat Sci. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.85139) [org/10.5772/intechopen.85139](https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.85139)
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>Czubaszek M, Andraszek K, Banaszewska D, Walczak-Jędrzejowska R (2019) The effect of the staining technique on morphological and morphometric parameters of boar sperm. PLoS ONE 14:1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214243>
- <span id="page-8-25"></span>Fernandes JFF, França CL, Santana TC, Lobato RS, Teixeira EG (2020) Contribuição técnica: identifcação de anomalias espermáticas no sêmen in natura de curimatã (*Prochilodus lacustris*) (Steindachner, 1907). Brazilian J Dev 6:10418–10431. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.34117/bjdv6n3-064) [org/10.34117/bjdv6n3-064](https://doi.org/10.34117/bjdv6n3-064)
- <span id="page-8-19"></span>García-Vázquez F, Gadea J, Matás C, Holt W (2016) Importance of sperm morphology during sperm transport and fertilization in mammals. Asian J Androl 18:844–850. [https://doi.org/10.4103/](https://doi.org/10.4103/1008-682X.186880) [1008-682X.186880](https://doi.org/10.4103/1008-682X.186880)
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>Godoy L, Mies M, Zilberberg C, Pastrana Y, Amaral A, Cruz N, Pereira CM, Garrido AG, Paris A, Santos LFA, Pires DO (2021) Southwestern Atlantic reef-building corals *Mussismilia* spp. are able to spawn while fully bleached. Mar Biol 168:15. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-021-03824-z) [1007/s00227-021-03824-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-021-03824-z)
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>Hagedorn M, Carter VL, Steyn RA, Krupp D, Leong JC, Lang RP, Tiersch TR (2006) Preliminary studies of sperm cryopreservation in the mushroom coral, *Fungia scutaria*. Cryobiology 52:454– 458.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cryobiol.2006.03.001>
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>Hughes TP, Kerry JT, Baird AH, Connolly SR, Chase TJ, Dietzel A, Hill T, Hoey AS, Hoogenboom MO, Jacobson M, Kerswell A, Madin JS, Mieog A, Paley AS, Pratchett MS, Torda G, Woods RM (2019) Global warming impairs stock–recruitment dynamics of corals. Nature 568:387–390. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1081-y) [s41586-019-1081-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1081-y)
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>Kaya A, Birler S, Enwall L, Memili E (2014) Determinants of sperm morphology. Animal andrology: theories and applications. CAB International, UK, pp 34–56
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>Kholodnyy V, Gadêlha H, Cosson J, Boryshpolets S (2020) How do freshwater fsh sperm fnd the egg? The physicochemical factors guiding the gamete encounters of externally fertilizing freshwater fsh. Rev Aquac 12:1165–1192.<https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12378>
- <span id="page-8-16"></span>Kowalski RK, Cejko BI (2019) Sperm quality in fsh: determinants and afecting factors. Theriogenology 135:94–108. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2019.06.009) [1016/j.theriogenology.2019.06.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2019.06.009)
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>Kumaresan A, Das Gupta M, Datta TK, Morrell JM (2020) Sperm DNA integrity and male fertility in farm animals: a review. Front Vet Sci 7:1–15.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2020.00321>
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>Leão ZMAN, Kikuchi RKP, Ferreira BP, Neves EG, Sovierzoski HH, Oliveira MDM, Maida M, Correia MD, Johnsson R (2016) Brazilian coral reefs in a period of global change: a synthesis. Brazilian J Oceanogr 64:97–116. [https://doi.org/10.1590/S1679-87592](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1679-875920160916064sp2) [0160916064sp2](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1679-875920160916064sp2)
- <span id="page-8-23"></span>Leelatanawit R, Uawisetwathana U, Khudet J, Klanchui A, Phomklad S, Wongtripop S, Angthoung P, Jiravanichpaisal P, Karoonuthaisiri N (2014) Efects of polychaetes (*Perinereis nuntia*) on sperm performance of the domesticated black tiger shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*). Aquaculture 433:266–275. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2014.06.034) [aquaculture.2014.06.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2014.06.034)
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>Lewis C, Ford AT (2012) Infertility in male aquatic invertebrates: a review. Aquat Toxicol 120–121:79–89. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2012.05.002) [aquatox.2012.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2012.05.002)
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>Menkveld R, Holleboom CAG, Rhemrev JPT (2011) Measurement and signifcance of sperm morphology. Asian J Androl 13:59–68. <https://doi.org/10.1038/aja.2010.67>
- <span id="page-8-20"></span>Oehninger S, Franken DR, Ombelet W (2014) Sperm functional tests. Fertil Steril 102:1528–1533. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2014.09.044) [2014.09.044](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2014.09.044)
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>Pires DO, Castro CB, Ratto CC (1999) Reef coral reproduction in the abrolhos reef complex, Brazil: the endemic genus *Mussismilia*. Mar Biol 135:463–471.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s002270050646>
- <span id="page-8-22"></span>Rodrigues J, Dos SRS, Cordeiro JG, Leite M, Oliveira HSTOE, Tercya H, Costa BPD, Do NNF, Maximino C, De Siqueira-Silva DH (2020) Seminal characterization of the Amazonian fre-eye tetra *Moenkhausia oligolepis* (Günther, 1864). Zygote. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0967199420000325) [10.1017/S0967199420000325](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0967199420000325)
- <span id="page-8-18"></span>Roldan ERS (2020) Assessments of sperm quality integrating morphology, swimming patterns, bioenergetics and cell signalling. Theriogenology 150:388–395. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theri](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2020.02.017) [ogenology.2020.02.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2020.02.017)
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>Shabtaie SA, Gerkowicz SA, Kohn TP, Ramasamy R (2016) Role of abnormal sperm morphology in predicting pregnancy outcomes. Curr Urol Rep. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11934-016-0623-1>
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>Steiner SCC (1998) La ultraestructura de espermatozoides y su valor en la sistemática de Scleractinia (Cnidaria: Anthozoa). Ver Biol Trop. 5:127–135

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.

# **Authors and Afliations**

## Amanda Amaral<sup>1,2</sup> · Yugo Pastrana<sup>3</sup> · Cristiano Pereira<sup>4,5</sup> · Wanderson Valente<sup>6</sup> · Leandro Godoy<sup>1,4,[7](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6236-9749)</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Graduate Program in Aquaculture, Nilton Lins University, Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil
- <sup>2</sup> National Institute of Amazon Researches (INPA), Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Biochemistry, Federal University of Pernambuco, Recife, Brazil
- <sup>4</sup> Coral Vivo Institute, Santa Cruz Cabrália, Brazil
- <sup>5</sup> Environmental Science Training Center, Federal University of Southern Bahia, Porto Seguro, Brazil
- <sup>6</sup> Cell Biology Laboratory, Department of Morphology, Institute of Biological Sciences, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Pampulha, Belo Horizonte, Brazil
- <sup>7</sup> Department of Animal Science, Federal University of Rio Grande Do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil