**ORIGINAL PAPER** 



# Inorganic carbon fluxes and perturbations by ocean acidification estimated using a data-constrained, process-based model of coral physiology

Anna L. Tansik<sup>1,2</sup> · Brian M. Hopkinson<sup>1</sup> · Christof Meile<sup>1</sup>

Received: 22 July 2020 / Accepted: 21 June 2021 / Published online: 29 June 2021 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2021

### Abstract

Recognition that ocean acidification (OA) alters calcification rates in many tropical corals and photosynthetic processes in some has motivated research into coral's carbon processing systems. Here, a multi-compartment coral model is used to assess inorganic carbon fluxes, accounting for carbon uptake, photosynthesis, transport across and between coral tissue and calcification. The increased complexity of this model is enabled by incorporating recent measurements of carbonic anhydrase activity and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) related photosynthetic parameters, allowing the model to respond to changes in external inorganic carbon chemistry. The model reproduced measured gross photosynthesis, calcification rates and calcifying fluid pH from *Orbicella faveolata* at current oceanic conditions. Model simulations representing OA conditions showed an increase in net photosynthesis and modest decreases in calcification which fall within trends seen in experimental data. Photosynthesis increased due to higher diffusive influx of  $CO_2$  into the oral tissue layers, increasing DIC where symbiotic algae reside. The model suggests that decreases in calcification result from increased fluxes of  $CO_2$  into the calcifying fluid from the aboral tissue layer and the bulk seawater, lowering its pH and reducing the aragonite saturation state. However, modeled pH drops in the calcifying fluid exceed those observed, pointing to the need for additional empirical constraints on DIC fluxes associated with calcification and coelenteron transport.

### Introduction

One of the main impacts of anthropogenic climate change on the ocean is associated with the equilibration of atmospheric  $CO_2$  into surface waters. Adding more of the mild acid  $CO_2$  to the system lowers the pH of surface waters and the concentration of  $CO_3^{2-}$ , in a process known as ocean acidification (OA) (Feely et al. 2004). These chemical changes are a consequence of the fact that dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) exists in a pH-dependent equilibrium between  $CO_2$ ,  $HCO_3^{-}$  and  $CO_3^{2-}$ , in which the addition of

Reviewed by: undisclosed experts.

Anna L. Tansik anna.tansik@gmail.com  $CO_2$  leads to a decrease in  $CO_3^{2-}$  according to the net reaction  $CO_2 + CO_3^{2-} + H_2O \leftrightarrow 2HCO_3^{-}$ .

Organisms that depend on DIC for major biological processes are relatively more affected by the impacts of OA (Riebesell et al. 2000, Cohen and Holcomb 2009). Photosynthesis requires CO<sub>2</sub> as a substrate for carbon fixation. It is common for marine autotrophs to concentrate CO<sub>2</sub> near the site of the enzyme RubisCO to ensure that it is fixed efficiently (Rost et al. 2003, Hopkinson et al. 2011, Reinfelder 2011). These carbon concentrating mechanisms are energetically costly and siphon energy from other internal processes, such as growth (Wu et al. 2010, Mackey et al. 2015). With more  $CO_2$  in the water, there is the potential for a positive benefit to those organisms, less energy must be spent concentrating carbon for photosynthesis and more  $CO_2$  is available to fix, leaving more energy for growth or reproduction (Wu et al. 2010, Mackey et al. 2015, Shi et al. 2017). In contrast to photosynthesis, calcification can be inhibited by OA (Cohen and Holcomb 2009, Hendriks et al. 2010, Kroeker et al. 2013). Calcium carbonate ( $CaCO_3$ ) is supersaturated in the surface ocean, and the precipitation of calcium carbonate minerals, including calcite and

Responsible Editor: C. Wild.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Marine Science, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Present Address: Department of Biology, Georgia Southern University, Statesboro, GA, USA

aragonite, is energetically favored, facilitating the construction of  $CaCO_3$  shells and skeletons and other hard parts. The addition of  $CO_2$  to the water causes a decline in the saturation state of calcium carbonate minerals. This can lead to malformed shells or skeletons, or their dissolution (Feely et al. 2004, Cohen and Holcomb 2009, Kelly and Hofmann 2013), or require additional energy to maintain calcification (Furla et al. 2000b, Cohen and Holcomb 2009).

Scleractinian corals experience OA impacts to both photosynthesis and calcification. They host dinoflagellates of the family Symbiodiniacea to provide photosynthetically fixed carbon (Falkowski et al. 1984, Lajeunesse et al. 2018) while secreting aragonite (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) skeletons, forming colony structures and in most cases reefs (Cohen and Holcomb 2009, de Putron et al. 2010). Given the importance of coral reefs to marine biodiversity as well as to humans (Woodhead et al. 2019), understanding how corals will be affected by OA is imperative (Langdon and Atkinson 2005). Numerous studies have examined the impact of OA on calcification (de Putron et al. 2010, Hendricks et al. 2010, Chan and Connolly 2013, Kornder et al. 2018, Bove et al. 2020), revealing OAinduced declines in calcification rate, though species-specific responses in magnitude and direction are common (de Putron et al. 2010, Huang et al. 2014, Kornder et al. 2018). The regulation of the calcifying fluid, where mineralization takes place, appears to be critical to the ability of corals to respond to OA (Comeau et al. 2013,2018, Comeau 2017a, DeCarlo et al. 2018). Long-term studies suggest that the calcifying fluid is under tight, internal biological control by the coral, despite being influenced by seawater pH levels (see below Krief et al. 2010; Comeau et al. 2018, DeCarlo et al. 2018). The mechanisms by which OA reduces calcification rates and calcifying fluid pH are still subject to debate. DIC for calcification may be obtained from respiration or biologically modified transcellular fluxes (Furla et al. 2000b, Tambutte et al. 2011, Allison et al. 2014, Sevilgen et al. 2019). Others have proposed that bulk seawater is transported and merged with existing calcifying fluid without being altered by the holobiont during the transport process. This is done using paracellular pathways or vesicles and employed directly for calcification (Cohen and Holcomb 2009, Tambutte et al. 2011, Gagnon et al. 2012). In both mechanisms, the OA-induced changes to seawater DIC chemistry will cause parallel changes in the calcifying fluid, reducing the pH and hence calcification.

Other studies have examined the effect OA has on photosynthesis of scleractinian corals, with widely varying findings (Langdon and Atkinson 2005, Anthony et al. 2008, Hoadley et al. 2015, Kornder et al. 2018). Langdon and Atkinson (2005) and Comeau et al. (2018) found increases in photosynthetic production under OA conditions, while Anthony et al. (2008) observed declines in production, and Takahashi and Kurihara (2013) and Hoadley et al. (2015) found no effects of OA on photosynthetic parameters. None of these studies, however, quantified DIC uptake and fluxes associated with photosynthesis, leaving open the question of what is happening internally to cause these observations. Additionally, few studies have assessed the effects of OA on both photosynthesis and calcification in corals simultaneously (Langdon and Atkinson 2005, Takahashi and Kurihara 2013, Comeau et al. 2018), despite the fact that energy generated by the fixed carbon of photosynthesis, in conjunction with heterotrophic feeding, is used to power calcification (Goreau 1959, Furla et al. 2000b, Galli and Solidoro 2018).

In order to maintain both photosynthesis and calcification simultaneously, corals must regulate pH levels and DIC supply within different tissue layers and the calcifying space (Furla et al. 2000b, Tambutte et al. 2011, Bertucci et al. 2013).  $CO_2$  and  $HCO_3^-$  fluxes and transport from the surrounding water and coelenteron to the oral endoderm supply the symbionts with the carbon needed for photosynthetic production (Furla et al. 2000a, Tansik et al. 2017). In close spatial proximity, the animal must maintain a high pH in the calcifying fluid so that  $CO_3^{2-}$  and  $HCO_3^{-}$  will be available for secretion of the skeleton. DIC can be transported from the seawater or the coelenteron through the tissues via paracellular pathways (Furla et al. 2000b, Tambutte et al. 2011, Venn et al. 2020). High rates of respiration in the aboral tissue layers provide energy for calcification and also produce respiratory DIC, which flows into the calcifying space from the aboral calicoderm, driven by the concentration gradients of  $CO_2$  and  $HCO_3^-$  (Goreau 1959, Furla et al. 2000b, Tambutte et al. 2011). The excess protons produced by the conversion to  $HCO_3^{-1}$  and  $CO_3^{2-1}$  are transported out of the calcifying fluid by proton pumps and Ca<sup>2+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> exchange in order for the fluid to remain at a high pH, which increases the  $CO_3^{2-}$  concentration (Furla et al. 2000b, Jokiel 2011, Tambutte et al. 2011, Comeau et al. 2017a, Comeau 2017b). The demands from the different tissue layers weave an intricate web of fluxes and active transport throughout the holobiont, which may change depending on external and internal DIC environments (Comeau et al. 2017b). Understanding these interactions and fluxes not only clarifies the physiology of corals in general, but can also be applied to tease apart responses to multiple stressors and generate energy budgets.

One way to examine inorganic carbon fluxes within corals is through process-based models of DIC transport and reactions. Hohn and Merico (2012), for instance, brought together the chemical reactions in the fluid layers and a single tissue compartment to examine the impact of OA on coral calcification. Nakamura et al. (2013) considered the influence of biological activities in the coral polyp's fluid layers, and examined the effect of OA on both calcification and photosynthesis. Galli and Solidoro (2018) focused on biological costs, making energy a central part of their model. Here, we expand on these efforts and present a process-based model of DIC flows through a coral that synthesizes novel and existing insights on DIC processing (Comeau et al. 2017a, b, Comeau et al. 2018, Tansik et al. 2015, 2017). Accounting for photosynthesis, respiration and calcification, acid-base chemistry and both the active transport and passive diffusion of different chemical DIC species through coral tissue layers, the model also tracks the carbon isotopes <sup>12</sup>C and <sup>13</sup>C, and considers isotopic fractionation in photosynthesis and calcification. Photosynthesis preferentially fixes <sup>12</sup>C, drawing it down in the oral tissues and leaving the remaining DIC isotopically heavy (Swart 1983). In calcification, the balance of <sup>13</sup>C-enriched DIC left behind by photosynthesis and the <sup>12</sup>C-enriched CO<sub>2</sub> from respiration impacts the incorporation of the isotopes into the skeleton (Swart 1983, McConnaughey 1989), providing information on DIC sources for calcification. Thus, the model results can be compared to observed isotopic signatures of both tissue and biogenic carbonate minerals, using them as a constraint on DIC fluxes.

The detailed process-focused nature of our model creates opportunities for the examination of changes to DIC flux between the different compartments as well as proposed drivers behind OA's effects on production, skeletal deposition and calcifying fluid pH. Essential to this effort is the incorporation of new data on carbonic anhydrase (CA) activity within the coral tissue, which catalyzes the hydrationdehydration interchange reaction between CO<sub>2</sub> and HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. While it has been known for many years that corals have CA within their tissues and in the calcifying fluid (Weis et al. 1989, al-Moghrabi et al. 1996, Bertucci et al. 2013), only recently have stable isotope exchange techniques been applied to quantitatively determine CA activity in corals (Hopkinson et al. 2015, Tansik et al. 2015, 2017), improving our understanding of DIC movement within and through a coral by constraining fluxes through the tissues and from the seawater. This model captures processes relevant across a wide range of corals, however, we employ a Caribbean coral, Orbicella faveolata, as an example of how it can further our understanding of the potential effects of OA on a coral's physiology.

### **Materials and methods**

### **Model description**

The flux and processing of inorganic carbon and calcium of a scleractinian coral was examined using a box model that represents a vertical transect from seawater through the coenosarc tissues of the coral and into the calcifying space. The five boxes of the model represent the diffusive boundary layer, oral tissue, coelenteron, aboral tissue and the calcifying space (Supplemental Fig. S1). In each compartment, mass balance for  ${}^{12}\text{CO}_2$ ,  ${}^{13}\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\text{H}{}^{12}\text{CO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{H}{}^{13}\text{CO}_3^-$ ,  ${}^{12}\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ ,  ${}^{13}\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ ,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ , and total alkalinity was expressed as:

$$\frac{\mathrm{dc}}{\mathrm{dt}} = \frac{\left(F_{\mathrm{in}} - F_{\mathrm{out}}\right)}{V_{j}} + \sum R + \sum B$$

where F is the mass exchange of the chemical concentration (c) of each chemical constituent per unit time,  $V_i$  is the volume of the compartment *j*,  $\Sigma R$  represents the net reaction rate due to acid-base reactions and carbonic anhydrase (CA) mediated exchange, and  $\Sigma B$  indicates the net increase or decrease due to biological processes, including photosynthesis, respiration and calcification. Parameters and equations used in the model are detailed in Supplemental Tables 1 and 2. In brief, acid-base reactions were implemented following Zeebe and Wolf-Gladrow (2001). CA activity is incorporated as an independent rate constant that accelerates CO<sub>2</sub> hydration and HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> dehydration and the magnitude of this rate constant it set based on previous measurements (Hopkinson et al. 2015, Tansik et al. 2017). Photosynthesis by the symbionts was considered in the oral tissue layer and was modeled using Michaelis-Menten kinetics (Tansik et al. 2017). This allows the photosynthetic rate to respond in real time to changes in DIC chemistry. Respiration by the coral animal and the symbionts occurred in the oral tissue layer (Supplemental Fig. S1). The symbionts were, to the greatest extent possible, parameterized as their own unit within the coral, with their own photosynthetic and respiration rates. As the symbiosis is more completely explored, it will be possible to turn the symbionts themselves into another box. Respiration by the animal was accounted for in the aboral tissue layer and was elevated due to the high density of mitochondria relative to the volume (Johnston 1980, Allemand et al. 2004, Tambutte et al. 2007). Calcification occurs in the calcifying fluid (Supplemental Fig. S1). While the importance of the organic matrix in controlling calcification has been noted previously (Clode and Marshall 2003, Tambutte et al. 2011, Von Euw et al. 2017), there is not yet a way to properly parameterize this effect. As a result, calcification in the bottom layer of the model depended only on the aragonite saturation state in the current model (Supplemental Fig. S1). Fluxes between compartments were driven by the concentration gradients between compartments but constrained by membrane permeability. There were additional fluxes of all solutes from the bulk seawater to the calcifying fluid, reflecting the transport of chemicals through the paracellular pathways of the coral, controlled by the permeability of the tissues to bicarbonate (Furla et al. 2000b, Tambutte et al 2011, Gagnon et al. 2012). An alkalinity pump was included based on the proposed Ca<sup>2+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> exchange transporter (Tambutte et al. 1996, Zoccola et al. 2004) as a way to maintain high alkalinity in the calcifying fluid (Supplemental Fig.

S1). Biologically modified seawater was imported to the coelenteron from surrounding polyps to account for the connectivity of coral colonies (Taylor 1977).

Model parameters were obtained from experimental photosynthetic and DIC processing data, supplemented by literature studies on coral calcification and DIC physiology, using data for *Orbicella faveolata* where available (see Supplemental Table 1 for details). *O. faveolata* is an important Caribbean boulder coral, the physiology of which has been studied extensively. The purpose of focusing on one species was to present an integrated representation of the physiology of a functioning organism, recognizing that there are often necessary functional dependencies between traits that would be missed if 'average' coral traits were employed.

Eight parameters were regarded as less well constrained than the others due to a scarcity of data in the literature (1) the alkalinity pump coefficient, (2) CA activity in the calcifying fluid, (3) tissue permeability to  $HCO_3^-$ , (4) the gastrovascular-coelentron exchange coefficient, (5) the  $HCO_3^-$  transport coefficient, (6) the partition factor between respiratory DIC and other sources for calcification, (7) the volumetric rate of respiration in the aboral tissue layers, (8) the aboral/calcifying fluid interface tissue permeability to  $HCO_3^-$  (see Supplemental Text 1). Values were set based on what little literature was available or estimated and tuned using a parameter sweep as described below.

The model was implemented in MATLAB (MathWorks) and run to steady state. Initial conditions reflected equilibrium concentrations for given external seawater conditions (pH, DIC and Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentration and temperature). pH values for all internal layers of the coral except the calcifying fluid were imposed based on microelectrode measurements (Cai et al. 2016). To further constrain the description and parameterization of photosynthesis and calcification, the isotopic compositions of the DIC were considered by representing natural <sup>12</sup>C and <sup>13</sup>C concentrations in all compartments. Tracking carbon isotopes provided a framework to assess <sup>13</sup>C incorporation into the skeletal material and therefore fluxes into this compartment.

For the eight most uncertain parameters, model simulations were run for best, high and low parameter estimates employing a factorial design to fully explore the parameter space (see Supplemental Text 1, Supplemental Table S3, and Supplemental Figures S2, S3). Parameter values from the results were compared and those that most closely matched gross photosynthesis, calcification, calcifying fluid pH and  $\delta^{13}$ C isotopes found in the literature were then used for the baseline model run and ocean acidification scenarios (see Supplemental Text 1).

In order to evaluate the impact of ocean acidification on the DIC flow in a coral, external pH and DIC concentration were set to preindustrial values (pH 8.2, 2000  $\mu$ M DIC), midcentury conditions (pH 8.0, 2100  $\mu$ M DIC), and end-century conditions (pH 7.8, 2225  $\mu$ M DIC) in accordance with the IPCC's RCP 8.5 scenario (IPCC 2014). No changes were made to the biological parameters of the system.

### **Results and discussion**

The novel model of coral carbon fluxes integrates biological and chemical processes that affect DIC species within coral tissue compartments, providing a comprehensive, quantitative description of carbon flows that support photosynthesis and calcification. It provides a framework for exploring how changes to the external carbonate system affect calcification and photosynthesis and identifying gaps in our understanding of carbon processing in corals.

### Major fluxes and metabolic processes

Using quantified CA activity rates and photosynthetic kinetic parameters for O. faveolata and parameter constraints from literature where available, our model accurately reproduces several important DIC fluxes, including the observed rates of photosynthesis, calcification, and the C isotope composition of photosynthate ( $\delta^{13}C_P$ ) and aragonite ( $\delta^{13}C_G$ , Table 1). In our model, the fluxes of inorganic carbon supporting photosynthesis and calcification are largely decoupled due to exchange with the seawater-like fluid in the gastrovascular cavity through the coelenteron (Fig. 1). Inorganic carbon for photosynthesis is obtained from bulk seawater via active transport of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> into the oral tissue layer and diffusive influx of CO<sub>2</sub>. Symbiodiniacea have the capacity to take up both  $CO_2$  and  $HCO_3^-$  (Leggat et al. 1999), though the relative proportions vary depending on conditions. In our model, the coelenteron serves as a source of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> for calcification but is not important for photosynthetic DIC supply (Fig. 1). Exchange between the oral tissue layer and coelenteron is limited in the model, with the coelenteron serving as a minor CO<sub>2</sub> source for the oral tissue layer and the oral tissue layer supplying a small flux of  $HCO_3^-$  to the coelenteron.

In contrast to photosynthesis, the simulations suggest that calcification is primarily utilizing DIC from the gastrovascular cavity and respired  $CO_2$  (Fig. 1). The coelenteron supplies  $HCO_3^-$  to the aboral tissue layers, which ultimately supports ~70% of DIC for calcification with the remainder derived from  $CO_2$  produced by respiration in the aboral tissue layer. The coelenteron is replenished through mixing with the gastrovascular cavity, which is assumed to have a fixed chemical composition based on previous measurements (Cai et al. 2016). Radioisotope studies indicate that DIC used in calcification is predominantly of metabolic origin (~70%, Erez 1978, Furla et al. 2000b) rather than seawater derived. Our model was not able to match this high contribution of respiratory DIC to calcification unless Marine Biology (2021) 168:116

| Table 1 | Comparison | of modeled | results to | reference | literature |
|---------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|
|---------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|

Modeled value Measured value Units

| Photosynthesis     | 3.3×10 <sup>-7</sup> | $3.1 \times 10^{-7}$<br>~5.8 × 10 <sup>-8</sup><br>~1.3 × 10 <sup>-7</sup><br>5.2 × 10 <sup>-7</sup><br>2.5 × 10 <sup>-7</sup><br>~1.4 × 10 <sup>-7</sup>   | mmol cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> | Orbicella faveolata (Florida keys)<br>Acropora intermedia<br>(GBR Australia)<br>Porites lobata<br>(GBR Australia)<br>Siderastrea radians<br>(Florida keys)<br>Solenastrea hyades<br>(Florida keys)<br>Stylophora pistillata<br>(Israel)   | Tansik et al. 2017<br>Anthony et al. 2008<br>Anthony et al. 2008<br>Okazaki et al. 2013<br>Okazaki et al. 2013<br>Krueger et al. 2017  |
|--------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| Calcification      | 1.0×10 <sup>-7</sup> | $\begin{array}{c} 1.1 \times 10^{-7} \\ 2.2 \times 10^{-6} \\ 1.5 \times 10^{-7} \\ 8.5 \times 10^{-8} \\ 2.2 \times 10^{-7} \\ 1.1 \times 10^{-7} \\ 1.9 \times 10^{-7} \\ 1.7 \times 10^{-7} \\ \sim 8.1 \times 10^{-7} \\ \sim 2.0 \times 10^{-6} \end{array}$ | mmol cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> | Orbicella faveolata (Florida keys)<br>Orbicella faveolata (Mexico)<br>Siderastrea radians<br>(Florida keys)<br>Solenastrea hyades<br>(Florida keys)<br>Porites lutea 1<br>(Fiji/UK)<br>Porites lutea 2<br>(Fiji/UK)<br>Porites murrayensis<br>(Fiji/UK)<br>Porites murrayensis<br>(Fiji/UK)<br>Porites murrayensis<br>(Fiji/UK)<br>Pocillopora damicornis<br>(W. Australia)<br>Acropora youngei<br>(W. Australia) | Tansik et al. 2017<br>Colombo–Pallotta et al. 2010<br>Okazaki et al 2013<br>Okazaki et al. 2013<br>Allison et al. 2018<br>Allison et al. 2018<br>Allison et al. 2018<br>Comeau et al. 2018<br>Comeau et al. 2018 |
| $\delta^{13}C_P$   | -10.7                | -13   | %                                     |   | Swart et al. 2005  |
| $\delta^{13}C_{G}$ | -0.2                 | -2  | %                                     |   | Swart et al. 2005  |
| pH <sub>CF</sub>   | 9.4                  | 8.4–10  |                                       |   | Holcomb et al. 2014, Cai et al. 2016,<br>D'Olivo and McCulloch 2017,<br>Allison et al. 2018, Comeau et al.<br>2018   |

Species (location)

Fig. 1 Steady state fluxes in the five box model under normal seawater conditions. The fluxes are represented by arrows between compartments with the direction of the arrow indicating the direction of flow and the width of the arrow proportional to the magnitude of the flux (see scale arrows inside box). Solid arrows indicate active fluxes and dashed arrows indicate passive, diffusive fluxes. The solid arrow directed horizontally into the coelenteron represents fluid exchange between the gastrovascular cavity and the coelenteron

HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> HCO, Seawater CO, HCO Oral tissue layer mito Sym Paracellular Fluxes HCO3 Coelenteron ſ 10 2 x10<sup>-8</sup> mmol Aboral tissue layer HCO3 CO\_4..... cm-2 s-1 **Calcifying Fluid** -HCO<sub>3</sub>-CO, HCO<sub>3</sub>-CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> CO Skeleton

Source

the respiration rate in the aboral tissue layer was increased substantially. The fraction of metabolic DIC used in calcification varies between coral species as a result of variable dependence on heterotrophy (Houlbrèque and Ferrier-Pagès 2009). In the model, the proximate source of DIC for calcification is primarily HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> transported from the aboral tissue layer into the calcifying fluid (Fig. 1). Contrary to previous suggestions (Cai et al. 2016), direct CO<sub>2</sub> flux into the calcifying fluid is not a substantial source of DIC in our model. Instead, CO<sub>2</sub> respired in the aboral tissue layer is rapidly converted to  $HCO_3^-$  by CA (~ 50%) or lost by diffusive efflux to the coelenteron (~50%). In part, this discrepancy is due to the assumption in Cai et al. (2016) that the DIC system in the calcifying fluid is in chemical equilibrium, resulting in a very low CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the calcifying fluid which then pulls in  $CO_2$  from the aboral tissue layer. In our model, rapid diffusion of CO<sub>2</sub> across membranes prevents the establishment of chemical equilibrium in the calcifying fluid despite high CA activity in the calcifying fluid converting the  $CO_2$  to  $HCO_3^-$  in accordance with the speciation of DIC at high pH. This flux leads to only a small CO<sub>2</sub> concentration gradient between the aboral tissue layer and calcifying fluid in the model and consequently a modest CO<sub>2</sub> flux into the calcifying fluid (Fig. 2). Making direct CO<sub>2</sub> flux a significant DIC source for calcification required nearly eliminating CA activity in the aboral tissue layer of the model, which is contrary to the apparent localization of CA to this layer (Isa and Yamazato 1984, Moya et al. 2008). However, phylogenetic analysis of the CA found in the aboral tissue layer of S. pistillata suggests that it may be a membrane bound form facing the calcifying fluid rather than intracellular (Moya et al. 2008). Precise localization of this CA is critical to constraining proximate DIC sources  $(CO_2)$ vs HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) for calcification. Paracellular fluxes in the model play only a minor role in DIC fluxes for calcification and the net flux of DIC through the paracellular pathway is roughly balanced between an import of HCO3<sup>-</sup> and an export of  $CO_3^{2-}$  in response to the concentration gradients between the seawater and the calcifying fluid. Little is known about the permeability of these paracellular pathways, however, it has been established that the fluxes through them are based on the concentration gradients between the seawater and calcifying fluid (Tambutte et al. 2011, Venn et al. 2020).

Calcification is enabled by delivery of DIC and Ca<sup>2+</sup> combined with export of protons, working to raise the pH and aragonite saturation state in the calcifying fluid. Measured values of pH in the calcifying fluid range between 8.4-10 and our modeled pH is towards the upper range of these values (Table 1). This high pH is achieved in the model by importing alkalinity to the calcifying fluid, chemically equivalent to an export of protons, which is believed to be the biological basis for elevated calcifying fluid pH (Zoccola et al. 2004, Jokiel 2011). The modeled carbonate ion concentration (~2 mM) exceeds measured concentrations (0.6-1 mM, Cai et al. 2016, Sevilgen et al. 2019) but was necessary to achieve observed calcification rates given our formulation of calcification based on inorganic aragonite precipitation experiments (Burton and Walter 1990). The organic matrix likely promotes high calcification rates at a lower degree of oversaturation, potentially explaining the discrepancy between measured and modeled carbonate concentrations in the calcifying fluid, but there are no quantitative constraints on the magnitude of this effect (Clode and Marshall 2003; Tambutte et al. 2011, von Euw et al. 2017). Similarly, the modeled aragonite saturation state (16.5) exceeds directly measured values (~12.0) (Sevilgen et al. 2019).

Recent work by Sevilgen et al. (2019) agrees with the model on the contribution of metabolic DIC for calcification. However, our model does not incorporate the organic matrix in the calcifying space on  $CaCO_3$  mineralization and the localization of skeletal growth to sites of nucleation as little is known about the processes beyond basic descriptions (Von Euw et al. 2017). Furthermore, the exchange intensity





between the gastrovascular cavity and coelenteron fluid, which effectively separated DIC flow paths for photosynthesis and calcification, is based on a single observation (Taylor 1977). Refinement of coelenteron exchanges would help constrain the extent of coupling between carbon supply for photosynthesis and calcification. Further descriptions of the calcifying space, transporters and the role that the skeletal organic matrix plays in secreting aragonite are all vital areas for research to improve any model of coral carbon fluxes.

The model also tracks  ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$  isotopes, which we used to help constrain the model and to provide insight into isotope cycling which is of biogeochemical and paleo-oceanographic interest (Grottoli 2000, Swart 2015). The model reproduces measured photosynthate isotopic composition ( $\delta^{13}C_P$ ) (Table 1).  $\delta^{13}C_P$  is substantially less than the full fractionation of Form II RubisCO (-20%) (Guy et al. 1993) and is reduced due to the restricted availability of DIC to Symbiodiniacea, manifested as DIC depletion in the oral tissue layer relative to seawater (Fig. 2).  $\delta^{13}C_{P}$  is robust with respect to the fraction of CO<sub>2</sub> vs HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> taken up by Symbiodiniacea, changing by only  $\pm 0.3\%$  when the fraction of  $CO_2$  taken up ranges between 20 and 80%. The primary sources of DIC for calcification in the model are  $HCO_3^-$  from the coelenteron (1.2%) and respired  $CO_2$ (-15.5%). A simple mixing model suggests that respired CO<sub>2</sub> would need to make up just 20% of the DIC for calcification to match observed values ( $\delta^{13}C_G \sim -2\%$ ) (Swart et al. 2005), which seems obtainable given that respiration rates in the aboral tissue are  $\sim 60\%$  of the calcification rate and CA in the aboral tissue layer minimizes CO<sub>2</sub> leakage to the coelenteron. However, photosynthetic carbon fixation results in a strong  $\delta^{13}$ C–CO<sub>2</sub> gradient driving the efflux of isotopically light CO<sub>2</sub> from the aboral tissue layer, making it much more difficult to achieve observed values (Fig. 2). As shown in Fig. 3, CA activity manages to recover approximately half the respired CO<sub>2</sub>. Although only  $\sim 35\%$  of the CO<sub>2</sub> leaks out into the coelenteron, this leaked CO<sub>2</sub> is extremely



**Fig. 3**  $\delta^{13}$ C isotopic composition of major fluxes relevant to calcification. Arrows representing fluxes are proportional to flux rates and  $\delta^{13}$ C isotopic composition of the fluxes are indicated next to the arrows. Note that only the major fluxes are shown in the figure so mass balance is not completely achieved with the fluxes shown

isotopically light (-32%) leaving the residual CO<sub>2</sub> relatively heavy. Although the simulated value of ~0% is heavier than the observed bulk  $\delta^{13}C_G$  of ~-2%, our model represents carbon flows during the daytime when photosynthesis drives the internal  $\delta^{13}C-C_i$  towards heavier values. Shutting off photosynthesis in the model lightens  $\delta^{13}C_G$  to -2% illustrating that calcification at night may contribute to the lighter value of bulk  $\delta^{13}C_G$ .

# Modeling the impact of ocean acidification on photosynthesis

Ocean acidification to end of century levels led to a nearly 20% increase in gross photosynthetic rate in the model (Fig. 4). Since photosynthetic production is dependent on having CO<sub>2</sub> to fix, increasing the concentration of this DIC species could plausibly lead to an increase in photosynthesis as the ocean acidifies. In our model, higher external CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations did drive an increased flux of CO<sub>2</sub> into the oral tissue layers where symbiotic algae reside, leading to higher rates of photosynthesis (Fig. 5). While this is in agreement with some longer-term studies (Langdon and Atkinson 2005, Biscéré et al. 2019), there have also been reports of lower rates under OA (Anthony et al. 2008), or no change (Kroeker et al. 2013, Takahashi and Kurihara 2013, Hoadley et al. 2015, Comeau et al. 2016). Variation among taxa in the ability to regulate internal DIC conditions may be responsible for the observed differences. It has previously been suggested that carbon may be the limiting factor controlling coral growth (Marubini and Thake 1999, Herfort et al. 2008) and photosynthesis (Lesser et al. 1994, Goiran et al. 1996, Tansik et al. 2017). Since CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion is a passive process, it appears from the model that OA could induce CO<sub>2</sub> influxes of such magnitude that they overwhelm the host coral's DIC control mechanisms and stimulate algal



**Fig.4** Effect of OA scenarios (indicated by pH of the seawater) on photosynthesis (P), calcification (G) and pH of the calcifying fluid  $(pH_{CF})$ 



photosynthesis. Without carbon limitation, growth and production thus may increase in nutrient-replete conditions, leading to a shift from mutualism to parasitism (Dubinsky and Jokiel 1994; Marubini and Thake 1999, Morris et al. 2019). Another possibility is that corals will upregulate their DIC controls, controlling symbiont abundance by limiting carbon (and other resources) needed for growth and reproduction. However, in the absence of detailed knowledge on the mechanisms of DIC regulation, this was not incorporated into the model.

Photosynthetic productivity is important for corals, as most of their energy is derived from the translocation of fixed carbon from their Symbiodiniacea symbionts, supplemented with heterotrophic feeding (Falkowski et al. 1984). Changing oceanic conditions, especially increasing sea surface temperatures, put stress on both host and symbiont, this can disrupt photosynthate transfer, negatively impact the energy budget of the coral and cause the expulsion of the symbionts from their host (coral bleaching) (Anthony et al. 2009, Hughes et al. 2010; Morris et al. 2019, Rädecker et al. 2021). Levas et al. (2018) showed that some Caribbean corals can survive and recover from a mild bleaching event without loss of their energy stores, our modeled coral was one of them. However, an increase in the frequency of bleaching events will shift coral energy budgets away from a reliance on autotrophic carbon and towards net heterotrophy (IPCC 2014, Levas et al. 2016). There are indications that some corals, including O. faveolata, cannot support their carbon needs via heterotrophy when exposed to annual bleaching events (Levas et al. 2016). This reinforces the importance that autotrophic carbon plays in the energy budgets of these corals. It remains to be seen whether an increase in productivity, as seen in the model, combined with potential savings from the downregulation of carbon concentrating processes, can produce enough fixed carbon to maintain metabolic processes and calcification despite increased bleaching frequency (Levas et al. 2016). Upregulation of pH in the calcifying fluid is not excessively energetically demanding (McCulloch et al. 2012), and so many corals are able to maintain the high pH in the calcifying fluid under OA. This suggests that, at least under some circumstances, corals may be able to maintain growth and calcification under OA conditions.

### Modeling the impact of ocean acidification on calcification

Although there is variability among species (Kornder et al. 2018, Bove et al. 2020), some corals calcify at slower rates under OA, which may either be due to decreased seawater  $CO_3^{2-}$  concentration or synchronous decline of calcifying fluid pH with seawater pH (Schneider and Erez 2006, Jury et al. 2010, Comeau et al. 2017b). The simulations run here showed a decline in calcification with decreasing seawater pH, in line with some experiments (Langdon and Atkinson 2005, Okazaki et al. 2017) and one of the previous models (Hohn and Merico 2012). With a calcification rate decrease from the baseline in both the mid-century (-5.35%) and end-century runs (-16.46%), our results are within the range of experimental data across the same pH range (Marubini et al. 2008, Chan and Connolly 2013, Huang et al. 2014, Kornder et al. 2018, Bove et al. 2020), or some that include temperature manipulations as well (Kroeker et al. 2013, Okazaki et al. 2017). Though the impact modeled here is small, any reduction in calcification rate has the potential to be important, as growth must keep up with rates of erosion and sea level rise to maintain the reef structure in the surface waters (Silbiger et al. 2014, DeCarlo et al. 2015, Enochs

et al. 2015). Some corals are able to maintain constant linear extension rates by reducing skeletal density, though this makes the skeleton weak and more susceptible to breakage (Tambutte et al. 2015, Mollica et al. 2018).

In our model, the decline in calcification is attributed to a reduction in calcifying fluid pH. Of interest is that the rate of calcification decreased less than the corresponding decreases in calcifying fluid pH would suggest based on experimental results (Holcomb et al. 2014, Comeau et al. 2017a, Okazaki et al. 2017). Reductions in calcifying fluid pH were -0.31 and -0.66 pH units, under mid and end-century conditions respectively, but calcification rate declined by only 5 and 16% (Fig. 4). For comparison, Holcomb et al. (2014) found calcifying fluid pH decreases as small as 0.2 units could be associated with 35% declines in calcification rates in Stylophora pistillata. These differences indicate that the modeled calcification rate is less sensitive than calcifying fluid pH to the changes predicted under acidified conditions. However, this result is not without precedent. Comeau et al. (2017a) saw greater declines in the calcifying fluid pH in Pocillopora damicornis with no significant change in the calcification rate. They attributed this in part to slower growing corals being less sensitive to declines in seawater pH than fast growing corals due to a lower rate of proton flux (Comeau et al. 2017a). This is unlikely to be the process behind the observed trends here, however, as the calcifying fluid pH was maintained by a large proton flux.

Bove et al. (2020) showed that corals in the Caribbean show less of a response to OA than corals from other ocean basins. However, Okazaki et al. (2017) found O. faveolata calcification decreased by approximately a third in an endcentury experiment, which would suggest that we should have seen greater declines than we did. They also had low saturation states for their treatments (Okazaki et al. 2017). In our model, although OA results in reduced calcifying fluid pH, the total concentration of DIC in the calcifying fluid increased (Fig. 5). A lower pH shifts the speciation of DIC in the calcifying fluid toward HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and CO<sub>2</sub>, and away from  $CO_3^{2-}$ . The increased concentration of DIC meant that only modest declines in carbonate concentrations were seen, allowing calcification rates to remain relatively high. Even though the pH in the calcifying fluid dropped, there still remained sufficiently high aragonite saturation states to favor calcification, though less so than under non-OA conditions.

We probed the model to identify the mechanisms by which OA decreases calcifying fluid pH, using previously proposed hypotheses as a guide. The first hypothesis is that increases in seawater  $CO_2$  will result in greater flux of this mild acid through the tissues into the calcifying fluid leading to reduced pH (Furla et al. 2000b, Sevilgen et al. 2019). This would be visible in the model results as a higher flux of DIC from the aboral tissue layer to the calcifying fluid. The second hypothesis, that of bulk seawater transport without biological changes to the DIC (Cohen and Holcomb 2009). would be visible in the results as a larger flux from the bulk seawater to the calcifying fluid. In our model, the fluxes from the aboral tissue layer were an order of magnitude larger than those from the bulk seawater (Fig. 1). This implies that biologically mediated fluxes dominate the determination of calcifying fluid pH. In the OA simulations, the flux of CO<sub>2</sub> from the aboral tissue layer to the calcifying fluid increased substantially with decreasing external pH, to near double and triple the original flux under the mid and end-century scenarios, respectively (Fig. 5). The total flux of  $HCO_3^{-1}$  to the calcifying fluid was still much greater than that of CO<sub>2</sub> in the model, however, the former declined with lower seawater pH as the HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration in the calcifying fluid increased. This leads to a decreased HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration gradient between the calcifying fluid and seawater, consequently decreasing the HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> flux into the calcifying fluid (Fig. 5). Based on the baseline fluxes and the changes to them, our model results are in line with the first hypothesis for how calcifying fluid pH declines, that of biologically mediated fluxes. This is in agreement with one of the previous models (Hohn and Merico 2012).

### **Future directions**

Environmental pressures such as OA can stimulate acclimation of corals to altered conditions (Barkley et al. 2017), and OA is commonly coinciding with increased sea surface temperatures (Anthony et al. 2008) and/or eutrophication (Langdon and Atkinson 2005). These factors are not considered here, though the model framework may allow for those effects if the data for appropriate model parameterization is available. There is, for example, evidence for the downregulation of the CA found in the calcifying fluid of Stylophora pistillata with decreasing external pH (Zoccola et al. 2016). In contrast, acclimation in the photosynthetic DIC kinetics, such as an increased half-saturation constant (Wu et al. 2010, Shi et al. 2015), which has been seen in other marine primary producers has not yet experimentally been determined in corals. Increasing ion pumping from the calcifying fluid, as another example, could also be a way for corals to counteract the detrimental effects of OA on calcification (Jokiel 2011, McCulloch et al. 2012, Ohno et al. 2017, Griffiths et al. 2019). Determining the activity of such transporters would lead to a more accurate parameterization of the calcification dynamics of corals.

A number of studies have suggested that the active transport of carbon, protons and other ions can mediate the effects of declining calcifying fluid pH (McCulloch et al 2012, Ohno et al. 2017, Griffiths et al. 2019). Our model suggests that active transport of DIC from the seawater and metabolic sources may well be a pathway of acclimation and/or adaptation, compensating for the decreases in  $HCO_3^-$  fluxes. Such

transport would further elevate the DIC concentration in the calcifying fluid and, in conjunction with an increase in ion transport (Jokiel 2011, McCulloch et al 2012, Ohno et al. 2017, Griffiths et al. 2019), support higher rates of calcification than expected without biological control.

## Conclusions

Our process-based and spatially resolved model of coral metabolism accurately reproduces rates of primary production and calcification, and produces  $\delta^{13}$ C values for photosynthesis and calcification similar to measured fractionations (Table 1). It shows that photosynthesis and calcification have disparate sources of DIC with photosynthesis being supported by DIC from seawater and calcification supported by metabolic  $CO_2$  as well as DIC from the coelenteron. Our simulations show declines in calcification and calcifying fluid pH driven by increased CO<sub>2</sub> flux into the calcifying space. The potential to mitigate these impacts is suggested through increased photosynthetic production, creating a larger amount of energy available to the coral. However, the difficulty of the model in matching aragonite  $\delta^{13}$ C values implies that more work is needed on the underlying biological and chemical processing of DIC for coral calcification, and exchange between polyps through the coelenteron. This will clarify how coral reef growth responds to a changing climate.

### Data availability and material

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-021-03926-8.

**Acknowledgments** This work was funded by a grant to B.M.H and C.M. from the US National Science Foundation (EF 1315944). We would like to thank two reviewers and the editor for their helpful comments on this work.

Author contributions Model conceptualization: ALT, BMH, model development: CM, ALT, model runs and analysis: ALT, BMH, CM, manuscript writing and revision: ALT, BMH, CM.

### Declarations

**Conflicts of interest** On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

**Ethical approval** No approval of research ethics committees was required to accomplish the goals of this study because it was solely computer-based.

### References

- al-Moghrabi SM, Goiran C, Allemand D, Speziale N, Jaubert J (1996) Inorganic carbon uptake for photosynthesis by the symbiotic coral-dinoflagellate association II. Mechanisms for bicarbonate uptake. J Exp Marine Biol Ecol 199:227–248
- Allemand D, Ferrier-Pagès C, Furla P, Houlbrèque F, Puverel S, Reynaud S, Tambutté E, Tambutté S, Zoccola D (2004) Biomineralization in reef-building corals: from molecular mechanisms to environmental control. CR Palevol 3:453–467
- Allison N, Cohen I, Finch AA, Erez J, Tudhope AW, Edinburgh Ion Microprobe F (2014) Corals concentrate dissolved inorganic carbon to facilitate calcification. Nat Commun 5:6
- Allison N, Cole C, Hintz C, Hintz K, Rae J, Finch A (2018) The effect of ocean acidification on tropical coral calcification: insights from calcification fluid DIC chemistry. Chem Geol 497:162–169
- Anthony KRN, Kline DI, Diaz-Pullido G, Dove S, Hoegh-Guldberg O (2008) Ocean acidification causes bleaching and productivity loss in coral reef builders. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105:17442–17446
- Anthony KRN, Hoogenboom MO, Maynard JA, Grottoli AG, Middlebrook R (2009) Energetics approach to predicting mortality risk from environmental stress: a case study of coral bleaching. Funct Ecol 23:539–550
- Barkley HC, Cohen AL, McCorkle DC, Golbuu Y (2017) Mechanisms and thresholds for pH tolerance in Palau corals. J Exp Marine Biol Ecol 489:7–14
- Bertucci A, Moya A, Tambutte S, Allemand D, Supuran CT, Zoccola D (2013) Carbonic anhydrases in anthozoan corals—a review. Bioorgc Med Chem 21:1437–1450
- Biscéré T, Zampighi M, Lorrain A, Jurriaans S, Foggo A, Houlbrèque F, Rodolfo-Metalpa R (2019) High pCO2 promotes coral primary production. Biol Lett 15:20180777. https://doi. org/10.1098/rsbl.2018.0777
- Bove CB, Umbanhower J, Castillo KD (2020) Meta-analysis reveals reduced coral calcification under projected ocean warming but not under acidification across the Caribbean Sea. Mar Sci Front. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00127/full
- Cai WJ, Ma YN, Hopkinson BM, Grottoli AG, Warner ME et al (2016) Microelectrode characterization of coral daytime interior pH and carbonate chemistry. Nature Commun 7:8
- Chan NCS, Connolly SR (2013) Sensitivity of coral calcification to ocean acidification: a meta-analysis. Global Change Biol 19(1):282–290
- Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. In: Core Writing Team RKPaLAM (ed). IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, p 151 september 5, 2015
- Clode PL, Marshall AT (2003) Calcium associated with a fibrillar organic matrix in the scleractinian coral Galaxea fascicularis. Protoplasma 220:153–161
- Cohen AL, Holcomb M (2009) Why corals care about ocean acidification: uncovering the mechanism. Oceanography 22:118–127
- Colombo-Pallotta MF, Rodríguez-Román A, Iglesias-Prieto R (2010) Calcification in bleached and unbleached *Montastraea faveolata*: evaluating the role of oxygen and glycerol. Coral Reefs 29:899–907
- Comeau S, Carpenter RC, Edmunds PJ (2013) Coral reef calcifiers buffer their response to ocean acidification using both bicarbonate and carbonate. Proc Roy Soc b: Biol Sci 280(1753):20122374
- Comeau S, Carpenter SC, Edmunds PJ (2016) Effects of pCO<sub>2</sub> on photosynthesis and respiration of tropical scleractinian corals and calcified algae. ICES J Mar Sci 74(4):1092–1102

- Comeau S, Cornwall CE, McCulloch MT (2017a) Decoupling between the response of coral calcifying fluid pH and calcification to ocean acidification. Sci Rep 7:10
- Comeau S, Tambutte E, Carpenter RC, Edmunds PJ, Evensen NR, Allemand D, Ferrier-Pages C, Tambutte S, Venn AA (2017b) Coral calcifying fluid pH is modulated by seawater carbonate chemistry not solely seawater pH. Proc R Soc B-Biol Sci 284:10
- Comeau S, Cornwall CE, DeCarlo TM, Krieger E, McCulloch MT (2018) Similar controls on calcification under ocean acidification across unrelated coral reef taxa. Glob Change Biol 24(10):4857–4868
- Connolly SR, Lopez-Yglesias MA, Anthony KRN (2012) Food availability promotes rapid recovery from thermal stress in a scleractinian coral. Coral Reefs 31:951–960
- D'Olivo JP, McCulloch MT (2017) Response of coral calcification and calcifying fluid composition to thermally induced bleaching stress. Sci Rep 7:2207
- de Putron SJ, McCorkle DC, Cohen AL, Dillon AB (2010) The impact of seawater saturation state and bicarbonate ion concentration on calcification by new recruits of two Atlantic corals. Coral Reefs 30:321–328
- DeCarlo TM, Cohen AL, Barkley HC, Cobban Q, Young C, Shamberger KE, Brainard RE, Golbuu Y (2015) Coral macrobioerosion is accelerated by ocean acidification and nutrients. Geology 43:7–10
- DeCarlo TM, Ren H, Farfan GA (2018) The origin and role of organic matrix in coral calcification: insights from comparing coral skeleton and abiogenic aragonite. Front Mar Sci 5:170
- Dubinsky Z, Jokiel PL (1994) Ratio of energy and nutrient fluxes regulates symbiosis between zooxanthellae and corals. Pac Sci 48(3):313–324
- Enochs IC, Manzello DP, Carlton RD, Graham DM, Ruzicka R, Colella MA (2015) Ocean acidification enhances the bioerosion of a common coral reef sponge: implications for the persistence of the Florida Reef Tract. Bull Mar Sci 91:271–290
- Erez J (1978) Vital effect on stable-isotope composition seen in foraminifera and coral skeletons. Nature 273:199–202
- Falkowski PG, Dubinsky Z, Muscatine L, Porter JW (1984) Light and the bioenergetics of a symbiotic coral. Bioscience 34:705–709
- Feely RA, Sabine CL, Lee K, Berelson W, Kleypas J, Fabry VJ, Millero FJ (2004) Impact of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> on the CaCO<sub>3</sub> system in the oceans. Science 305:362–366
- Furla P, Allemand D, Orsenigo MN (2000a) Involvement of H+-ATPase and carbonic anhydrase in inorganic carbon uptake for endosymbiont photosynthesis. Am J Physiol-Regul Integr Comp Physiol 278:R870–R881
- Furla P, Galgani I, Durand I, Allemand D (2000b) Sources and mechanisms of inorganic carbon transport for coral calcification and photosynthesis. J Exp Biol 203:3445–3457
- Gagnon AC, Adkins JF, Erez J (2012) Seawater transport during coral biomineralization. Earth Planet Sci Lett 329:150–161
- Galli G, Solidoro C (2018) ATP supply may contribute to lightenhanced calcification in corals more than abiotic mechanisms. Front Mar Sci 5:68
- Goreau TF (1959) The physiology of skeleton formation in corals. I. A method for measuring the rate of calcium deposition by corals under different conditions. Biol Bull 116:59–75
- Griffiths JS, Pan T-CF, Kelly MW (2019) Differential responses to ocean acidification between populations of *Balanophyllia elegans* corals from high and low upwelling environments. Molec Ecol 28(11):2715–2730
- Grottoli AG (2000) Stable carbon isotopes (d<sup>13</sup>C) in coral skeletons. Oceanography 13:93–97

- Guy RD, Fogel ML, Berry JA (1993) Photosynthetic fractionation of the stable isotopes of oxygen and carbon. Plant Physiol 101:37–47
- Hendriks IE, Duarte CM, Álvarez M (2010) Vulnerability of marine biodiversity to ocean acidification: a meta-analysis. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 86(2):157–164
- Herfort L, Thake B, Taubner I (2008) Bicarbonate stimulation of calcification and photosynthesis in two hermatypic corals. J of Phycol 44(1):91–98
- Hoadley KD, Pettay DT, Grottoli AG, Cai WJ, Melman TF, Schoepf V, Hu XP, Li Q, Xu H, Wang YC, Matsui Y, Baumann JH, Warner ME (2015) Physiological response to elevated temperature and pCO<sub>2</sub> varies across four Pacific coral species: understanding the unique host plus symbiont response. Sci Rep 5:15
- Hohn S, Merico A (2012) Modelling coral polyp calcification in relation to ocean acidification. Biogeosciences 9:4441–4454
- Holcomb M, Venn AA, Tambutte E, Tambutte S, Allemand D, Trotter J, McCulloch M (2014) Coral calcifying fluid pH dictates response to ocean acidification. Sci Rep 4:4
- Hopkinson BM, Dupont CL, Allen AE, Morel FMM (2011) Efficiency of the CO<sub>2</sub>-concentrating mechanism of diatoms. PNAS 108:3830–3837
- Hopkinson BM, Tansik AL, Fitt WK (2015) Internal carbonic anhydrase activity in the tissue of scleractinian corals is sufficient to support proposed roles in photosynthesis and calcification. J Exp Biol 218:2039–2048
- Houlbrèque F, Ferrier-Pagès C (2009) Heterotrophy in Tropical Scleractinian Corals. Biol Rev 84(1):1–17
- Huang H, Yuan XC, Cai WJ, Zhang CL, Li XB, Liu S (2014) Positive and negative responses of coral calcification to elevated pCO<sub>2</sub>: case studies of two coral species and the implications of their responses. Marine Ecol Prog Ser 502:145–156
- Hughes AD, Grottoli AG, Pease TK, Matsui Y (2010) Acquisition and assimilation of carbon in non-bleached and bleached corals. Marine Ecol Prog Ser 420:91–101
- Isa Y, Yamazato K (1984) The distribution of carbonic anhydrase in a staghorn coral, *Acropora hebes* (Dana). Galaxea 3:25–36
- Johnston I (1980) The ultrastructure of skeletogenesis in hermatypic corals. Int Rev Cytol 67:171–214
- Jokiel PL (2011) The reef coral two compartment proton flux model: a new approach relating tissue-level physiological processes to gross corallum morphology. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 409(1–2):1–12
- Jury CP, Whitehead RF, Szmant AM (2010) Effects of variations in carbonate chemistry on the calcification rates of *Madracis auretenra* (*Madracis mirabilis sensu* Wells, 1973): bicarbonate concentrations best predict calcification rates. Glob Change Biol 16(5):1632–1644
- Kelly MW, Hofmann GE (2013) Adaptation and the physiology of ocean acidification. Funct Ecol 27:980–990
- Kornder NA, Riegl BM, Figueiredo J (2018) Thresholds and drivers of coral calcification responses to climate change. Glob Change Biol 24(11):5084–5095
- Krief S, Hendy EJ, Fine M, Yam R, Meibom A, Foster GL, Shemesh A (2010) Physiological and isotopic responses of scleractinian corals to ocean acidification. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 74(17):4988–5001
- Kroeker KJ, Kordas RL, Crim R, Hendriks IE, Ramajo L et al (2013) Impacts of ocean acidification on marine organisms: quantifying sensitivities and interaction with warming. Glob Change Biol 19(6):1884–1896
- Krueger T, Horwitz N, Bodin J, Giovani M-E, Escrig S, Meibom A, Fine M (2017) Common reef-building coral in the Northern Red Sea resistant to elevated temperature and acidification. R Soc Open Sci. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.170038
- Lajeunesse TC, Parkinson JE, Gabrielson PW, Jeong HJ, Reimer JD, Voolstra CR, Santos SR (2018) systematic revision of

symbiodiniaceae highlights the antiquity and diversity of coral endosymbionts. Curr Biol 28:2570-2580.e2576

- Langdon C, Atkinson MJ (2005) Effect of elevated  $pCO_2$  on photosynthesis and calcification of corals and interactions with seasonal change in temperature/irradiance and nutrient enrichment. J Geophys Res-Oceans 110:16
- Leggat W, Badger M, Yellowlees D (1999) Evidence for an inorganic carbon-concentrating mechanism in the symbiotic dinoflagellate Symbiodinium sp. Plant Physiol. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp. 121.4.1247
- Lesser MP, Weis VM, Patterson MR, Jokiel PL (1994) Effects of morphology and water motion on carbon delivery and productivity in the reef coral, *Pocillopora damicornis* (Linnaeus): diffusion barriers, inorganic carbon limitation, and biochemical plasticity. J. Exp Mar Bid Ecol 178:153–179
- Levas S, Grottoli AG, Schoepf V, Aschaffenburg M, Baumann J, Bauer JE, Warner ME (2016) Can heterotrophic uptake of dissolved organic carbon and zooplankton mitigate carbon budget deficits in annually bleached corals? Coral Reefs 35:495–506
- Levas S, Schoepf V, Warner ME, Aschaffenburg M, Baumann J, Grottoli AG (2018) Long-term recovery of Caribbean corals from bleaching. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 506:124–134
- Lough JM, Cantin NE (2014) Perspectives on massive coral growth rates in a changing ocean. Biol Bull 226:187–202
- Mackey KRM, Morris JJ, Morel FMM, Kranz SA (2015) Response of photosynthesis to ocean acidification. Oceanography 28:74–91
- Marubini F, Thake B (1999) Bicarbonate addition promotes coral growth. Limnol Ocean 44(3):716–720
- Marubini F, Ferrier-Pages C, Furla P, Allemand D (2008) Coral calcification responds to seawater acidification: a working hypothesis towards a physiological mechanism. Coral Reefs 27:491–499
- McConnaughey T (1989) <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>18</sup>O isotopic disequilibrium in biological carbonates: I. Patterns Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta 53(1):151–162
- McCulloch M, Falter J, Trotter J, Montagna P (2012) Coral resilience to ocean acidification and global warming through pH up-regulation. Nat Clim Chang 2:623–627
- Mollica NR, Guo W, Cohen AL, Huang K-F, Foster GL, Donald HK, Solow AR (2018) Ocean acidification affects coral growth by reducing skeletal density. PNAS 115(8):1754–1759
- Morris LA, Voolstra CR, Quigley KM, Bourne DG, Bay LK (2019) Nutrient availability and metabolism affect the stability of coralsymbiodiniaceae symbioses. Trends Microbiol 27(8):678–689
- Moya A, Tambutte S, Bertucci A, Tambutte E, Lotto S, Vullo D, Supuran CT, Allemand D, Zoccola D (2008) Carbonic anhydrase in the scleractinian coral *Stylophora pistillata*—characterization, localization, and role in biomineralization. J Biol Chem 283:25475–25484
- Nakamura T, Nadaoka K, Watanabe A (2013) A coral polyp model of photosynthesis, respiration and calcification incorporating a transcellular ion transport mechanism. Coral Reefs 32:779–794
- Ohno Y, Iguchi A, Shinzato C, Inoue M, Suzuki A, Sakai K, Nakamura T (2017) An aposymbiotic primary coral polyp counteracts acidification by active pH regulation. Sci Rep 7:40324
- Okazaki RR, Swart PK, Langdon C (2013) Stress-tolerant corals of Florida Bay are vulnerable to ocean acidification. Coral Reefs 32:671–683
- Okazaki RR, Towle EK, Van Hooidonk R, Mor C, Winter RN, Piggot AM, Cunning R, Baker AC, Klaus JS, Swart PK, Langdon C (2017) Species-specific responses to climate change and community composition determine future calcification rates of Florida Keys reefs. Glob Change Biol 23:1023–1035
- Rädecker N, Pogoreutz C, Gegner HM, Cárdenas A, Roth F et al (2021) Heat stress destabilizes symbiotic nutrient cycling in corals. PNAS. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2022653118

- Reinfelder JR (2011) Carbon concentrating mechanisms in eukaryotic marine phytoplankton. Annu Rev Marine Sci 3(3):291–315
- Riebesell U, Zondervan I, Rost B, Tortell PD, Zeebe RE, Morel FMM (2000) Reduced calcification of marine plankton in response to increased atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. Nature 407:364–367
- Rost B, Riebesell U, Burkhardt S, Sültemeyer D (2003) Carbon acquisition of bloom-forming marine phytoplankton. Limnol Ocean 48:55–67
- Schneider K, Erez J (2006) The effect of carbonate chemistry on calcification and photosynthesis in the hermatypic coral *Acropora eurystoma*. Limnol Ocean 51:1284–1293
- Schoepf V, Grottoli AG, Warner ME, Cai WJ, Melman TF et al (2013) Coral energy reserves and calcification in a high-CO<sub>2</sub> world at two temperatures. PLoS ONE. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0075049
- Sevilgen DS, Venn AA, Hu MY, Tambutte E, de Beer D, Planas-Bielsa V, Tambutte S (2019) Full in vivo characterization of carbonate chemistry at the site of calcification in corals. Sci Adv 5:7447
- Shi D, Li W, Hopkinson BM, Hong H, Li D, Kao SJ, Lin W (2015) Interactive effects of light, nitrogen source, and carbon dioxide on energy metabolism in the diatom *Thalassiosira pseudonana*. Limnol Ocean 60:1805–1822
- Silbiger NJ, Guadayol O, Thomas FIM, Donahue MJ (2014) Reefs shift from net accretion to net erosion along a natural environmental gradient. Marine Ecol Prog Ser 515:33–44
- Swart PK (1983) Carbon and Oxygen Isotope fractionation in scleractinian corals: a review. Earth-Sci Rev 19:51–80
- Swart PK (2015) The geochemistry of carbonate diagenesis: the past, present and future. Sedimentology 62:1233–1304
- Swart PK, Szmant A, Porter JW, Dodge RE, Tougas JI, Southam JR (2005) The isotopic composition of respired carbon dioxide in scleractinian corals: implications for cycling of organic carbon in corals. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 69:1495–1509
- Takahashi A, Kurihara H (2013) Ocean acidification does not affect the physiology of the tropical coral *Acropora digitifera* during a 5 week experiment. Coral Reefs 32(1):305–314
- Tambutte E, Allemand D, Mueller E, Jaubert J (1996) A compartmental approach to the mechanism of calcification in hermatypic corals. J Exp Biol 199:1029–1041
- Tambutte E, Allemand D, Zoccola D, Meibom A, Lotto S, Caminiti N, Tambutte S (2007) Observations of the tissue-skeleton interface in the scleractinian coral *Stylophora pistillata*. Coral Reefs 26:517–529
- Tambutte E, Venn AA, Holcomb M, Segonds N, Techer N, Zoccola D, Allemand D, Tambutte S (2015) Morphological plasticity of the coral skeleton under CO<sub>2</sub>-driven seawater acidification. Nature Commun 6:7368
- Tambutte S, Holcomb M, Ferrier-Pages C, Reynaud S, Tambutte E, Zoccola D, Allemand D (2011) Coral biomineralization: from the gene to the environment. J Experimental Marine Biol Ecol 408:58–78
- Tansik AL, Fitt WK, Hopkinson BM (2015) External carbonic anhydrase in three Caribbean corals: quantification of activity and role in CO<sub>2</sub> uptake. Coral Reefs 34:703–713
- Tansik AL, Fitt WK, Hopkinson BM (2017) Inorganic carbon is scarce for symbionts in scleractinian corals. Limnol Ocean 62:2045–2055
- Taylor DL (1977) Intra-colonial transport of organic compounds and calcium in some Atlantic reef corals. Proceeding of the 3rd International Reef Symposium 1:431-436
- Venn AA, Bernardet C, Chabenat A, Tambutté E, Tambutté S (2020) Paracellular transport to the coral calcifying medium: effects of environmental parameters. J Exp Biol. https://doi.org/10.1242/ jeb.227074
- Von Euw S, Zhang QH, Manichev V, Murali N, Gross J, Feldman LC, Gustafsson T, Flach C, Mendelsohn R, Falkowski PG (2017)

Biological control of aragonite formation in stony corals. Science 356:933

- Weis VM, Smith GJ, Muscatine L (1989) A "CO<sub>2</sub> supply" mechanism in zooxanthellate cnidarians: role of carbonic anhydrase. Marine Biol 100:195–202
- Woodhead AJ, Hicks CC, Norström AV, Williams GJ, Graham NAJ (2019) Coral reef ecosystem services in the anthropocene. Func Ecol 33:1023–1034
- Wu Y, Gao K, Riebesell U (2010) CO<sub>2</sub>-induced seawater acidification affects physiological performance of the marine diatom *Phaeo*dactylum tricornutum. Biogeosciences 7:2915–2923
- Zeebe RE, Wolf-Gladrow D (2001) CO<sub>2</sub> in seawater: equilibrium, kinetics, isotopes. Elsevier, Amsterdam
- Zoccola D, Tambutte E, Kulhanek E, Puverel S, Scimeca JC, Allemand D, Tambutte S (2004) Molecular cloning and localization of a PMCA P-type calcium ATPase from the coral *Stylophora pistillata*. Biochim Biophys Acta-Biomembr 1663:117–126
- Zoccola D, Innocenti A, Bertucci A, Tambutte E, Supuran CT, Tambutte S (2016) Coral carbonic anhydrases: regulation by ocean acidification. Mar Drugs 14:11

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.