ORIGINAL PAPER

Inorganic carbon fuxes and perturbations by ocean acidifcation estimated using a data‑constrained, process‑based model of coral physiology

Anna L. Tansik1,2 · Brian M. Hopkinson1 · Christof Meile1

Received: 22 July 2020 / Accepted: 21 June 2021 / Published online: 29 June 2021 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2021

Abstract

Recognition that ocean acidification (OA) alters calcification rates in many tropical corals and photosynthetic processes in some has motivated research into coral's carbon processing systems. Here, a multi-compartment coral model is used to assess inorganic carbon fuxes, accounting for carbon uptake, photosynthesis, transport across and between coral tissue and calcifcation. The increased complexity of this model is enabled by incorporating recent measurements of carbonic anhydrase activity and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) related photosynthetic parameters, allowing the model to respond to changes in external inorganic carbon chemistry. The model reproduced measured gross photosynthesis, calcifcation rates and calcifying fuid pH from *Orbicella faveolata* at current oceanic conditions. Model simulations representing OA conditions showed an increase in net photosynthesis and modest decreases in calcifcation which fall within trends seen in experimental data. Photosynthesis increased due to higher diffusive influx of $CO₂$ into the oral tissue layers, increasing DIC where symbiotic algae reside. The model suggests that decreases in calcification result from increased fluxes of $CO₂$ into the calcifying fluid from the aboral tissue layer and the bulk seawater, lowering its pH and reducing the aragonite saturation state. However, modeled pH drops in the calcifying fuid exceed those observed, pointing to the need for additional empirical constraints on DIC fuxes associated with calcifcation and coelenteron transport.

Introduction

One of the main impacts of anthropogenic climate change on the ocean is associated with the equilibration of atmospheric $CO₂$ into surface waters. Adding more of the mild acid $CO₂$ to the system lowers the pH of surface waters and the concentration of CO_3^2 ⁻, in a process known as ocean acidification (OA) (Feely et al. [2004](#page-10-0)). These chemical changes are a consequence of the fact that dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) exists in a pH-dependent equilibrium between CO_2 , HCO_3^- and $CO_3^2^-$, in which the addition of

Reviewed by: undisclosed experts.

 \boxtimes Anna L. Tansik anna.tansik@gmail.com CO_2 leads to a decrease in CO_3^2 ⁻ according to the net reac- $\text{tion} \text{CO}_2 + \text{CO}_3^{2-} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow 2\text{HCO}_3^-$.

Organisms that depend on DIC for major biological processes are relatively more afected by the impacts of OA (Riebesell et al. [2000](#page-11-0), Cohen and Holcomb [2009](#page-9-0)). Photosynthesis requires $CO₂$ as a substrate for carbon fixation. It is common for marine autotrophs to concentrate $CO₂$ near the site of the enzyme RubisCO to ensure that it is fxed efficiently (Rost et al. [2003,](#page-11-1) Hopkinson et al. [2011](#page-10-1), Reinfelder [2011](#page-11-2)). These carbon concentrating mechanisms are energetically costly and siphon energy from other internal processes, such as growth (Wu et al. [2010](#page-12-0), Mackey et al. [2015\)](#page-11-3). With more $CO₂$ in the water, there is the potential for a positive beneft to those organisms, less energy must be spent concentrating carbon for photosynthesis and more $CO₂$ is available to fix, leaving more energy for growth or reproduction (Wu et al. [2010](#page-12-0), Mackey et al. [2015,](#page-11-3) Shi et al. 2017). In contrast to photosynthesis, calcifcation can be inhibited by OA (Cohen and Holcomb [2009](#page-9-0), Hendriks et al. [2010,](#page-10-2) Kroeker et al. [2013\)](#page-10-3). Calcium carbonate $(CaCO₃)$ is supersaturated in the surface ocean, and the precipitation of calcium carbonate minerals, including calcite and

Responsible Editor: C. Wild.

¹ Department of Marine Science, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA

Present Address: Department of Biology, Georgia Southern University, Statesboro, GA, USA

aragonite, is energetically favored, facilitating the construction of $CaCO₃$ shells and skeletons and other hard parts. The addition of $CO₂$ to the water causes a decline in the saturation state of calcium carbonate minerals. This can lead to malformed shells or skeletons, or their dissolution (Feely et al. [2004](#page-10-0), Cohen and Holcomb [2009](#page-9-0), Kelly and Hofmann [2013](#page-11-4)), or require additional energy to maintain calcifcation (Furla et al. [2000b](#page-10-4), Cohen and Holcomb [2009](#page-9-0)).

Scleractinian corals experience OA impacts to both photosynthesis and calcifcation. They host dinofagellates of the family Symbiodiniacea to provide photosynthetically fxed carbon (Falkowski et al. [1984](#page-10-5), Lajeunesse et al. [2018\)](#page-10-6) while secreting aragonite $(CaCO₃)$ skeletons, forming colony structures and in most cases reefs (Cohen and Holcomb [2009](#page-9-0), de Putron et al. [2010\)](#page-10-7). Given the importance of coral reefs to marine biodiversity as well as to humans (Woodhead et al. [2019\)](#page-12-1), understanding how corals will be afected by OA is imperative (Langdon and Atkinson [2005](#page-10-8)). Numerous studies have examined the impact of OA on calcifcation (de Putron et al. [2010](#page-10-7), Hendricks et al. 2010, Chan and Connolly [2013](#page-9-1), Kornder et al. [2018](#page-10-9), Bove et al. [2020\)](#page-9-2), revealing OAinduced declines in calcifcation rate, though species-specifc responses in magnitude and direction are common (de Putron et al. [2010,](#page-10-7) Huang et al. [2014,](#page-10-10) Kornder et al. [2018](#page-10-9)). The regulation of the calcifying fuid, where mineralization takes place, appears to be critical to the ability of corals to respond to OA (Comeau et al. [2013,](#page-9-3)[2018,](#page-10-11) Comeau [2017a,](#page-10-12) DeCarlo et al. [2018](#page-10-13)). Long-term studies suggest that the calcifying fuid is under tight, internal biological control by the coral, despite being infuenced by seawater pH levels (see below Krief et al. [2010](#page-10-14); Comeau et al. [2018,](#page-10-11) DeCarlo et al. [2018](#page-10-13)). The mechanisms by which OA reduces calcifcation rates and calcifying fuid pH are still subject to debate. DIC for calcifcation may be obtained from respiration or biologically modifed transcellular fuxes (Furla et al. [2000b,](#page-10-4) Tambutte et al. [2011,](#page-11-5) Allison et al. [2014](#page-9-4), Sevilgen et al. [2019](#page-11-6)). Others have proposed that bulk seawater is transported and merged with existing calcifying fuid without being altered by the holobiont during the transport process. This is done using paracellular pathways or vesicles and employed directly for calcifcation (Cohen and Holcomb [2009](#page-9-0), Tambutte et al. [2011,](#page-11-5) Gagnon et al. [2012](#page-10-15)). In both mechanisms, the OA-induced changes to seawater DIC chemistry will cause parallel changes in the calcifying fuid, reducing the pH and hence calcifcation.

Other studies have examined the effect OA has on photosynthesis of scleractinian corals, with widely varying fndings (Langdon and Atkinson [2005,](#page-10-8) Anthony et al. [2008,](#page-9-5) Hoadley et al. [2015,](#page-10-16) Kornder et al. [2018](#page-10-9)). Langdon and Atkinson ([2005](#page-10-8)) and Comeau et al. ([2018](#page-10-11)) found increases in photosynthetic production under OA conditions, while Anthony et al. [\(2008\)](#page-9-5) observed declines in production, and Takahashi and Kurihara ([2013](#page-11-7)) and Hoadley et al. [\(2015\)](#page-10-16) found no efects of OA on photosynthetic parameters. None of these studies, however, quantifed DIC uptake and fuxes associated with photosynthesis, leaving open the question of what is happening internally to cause these observations. Additionally, few studies have assessed the effects of OA on both photosynthesis and calcifcation in corals simultaneously (Langdon and Atkinson [2005](#page-10-8), Takahashi and Kurihara [2013](#page-11-7), Comeau et al. [2018\)](#page-10-11), despite the fact that energy generated by the fxed carbon of photosynthesis, in conjunction with heterotrophic feeding, is used to power calcifcation (Goreau [1959,](#page-10-17) Furla et al. [2000b,](#page-10-4) Galli and Solidoro [2018](#page-10-18)).

In order to maintain both photosynthesis and calcifcation simultaneously, corals must regulate pH levels and DIC supply within diferent tissue layers and the calcifying space (Furla et al. [2000b](#page-10-4), Tambutte et al. [2011,](#page-11-5) Bertucci et al. [2013\)](#page-9-6). CO_2 and HCO_3^- fluxes and transport from the surrounding water and coelenteron to the oral endoderm supply the symbionts with the carbon needed for photosynthetic production (Furla et al. [2000a,](#page-10-19) Tansik et al. [2017\)](#page-11-8). In close spatial proximity, the animal must maintain a high pH in the calcifying fluid so that CO_3^2 ⁻ and HCO_3^- will be available for secretion of the skeleton. DIC can be transported from the seawater or the coelenteron through the tissues via paracellular pathways (Furla et al. [2000b,](#page-10-4) Tambutte et al. [2011,](#page-11-5) Venn et al. [2020](#page-11-9)). High rates of respiration in the aboral tissue layers provide energy for calcifcation and also produce respiratory DIC, which flows into the calcifying space from the aboral calicoderm, driven by the concentration gradients of CO_2 and HCO_3^- (Goreau [1959,](#page-10-17) Furla et al. [2000b,](#page-10-4) Tambutte et al. [2011](#page-11-5)). The excess protons produced by the conversion to HCO_3^- and CO_3^2 ⁻ are transported out of the calcifying fluid by proton pumps and Ca^{2+}/H^+ exchange in order for the fuid to remain at a high pH, which increases the CO_3^2 ⁻ concentration (Furla et al. [2000b,](#page-10-4) Jokiel [2011,](#page-10-20) Tambutte et al. [2011](#page-11-5), Comeau et al. [2017a](#page-10-12), Comeau [2017b](#page-10-21)). The demands from the diferent tissue layers weave an intricate web of fuxes and active transport throughout the holobiont, which may change depending on external and internal DIC environments (Comeau et al. [2017b\)](#page-10-21). Understanding these interactions and fuxes not only clarifes the physiology of corals in general, but can also be applied to tease apart responses to multiple stressors and generate energy budgets.

One way to examine inorganic carbon fuxes within corals is through process-based models of DIC transport and reactions. Hohn and Merico ([2012](#page-10-22)), for instance, brought together the chemical reactions in the fuid layers and a single tissue compartment to examine the impact of OA on coral calcifcation. Nakamura et al. [\(2013\)](#page-11-10) considered the infuence of biological activities in the coral polyp's fuid layers, and examined the efect of OA on both calcifcation and photosynthesis. Galli and Solidoro ([2018](#page-10-18)) focused on biological costs, making energy a central part of their model. Here, we expand on these efforts and present a process-based model of DIC flows through a coral that synthesizes novel and existing insights on DIC processing (Comeau et al. [2017a](#page-10-12), [b](#page-10-12), Comeau et al. [2018](#page-10-11), Tansik et al. [2015,](#page-11-11) [2017](#page-11-8)). Accounting for photosynthesis, respiration and calcifcation, acid–base chemistry and both the active transport and passive difusion of diferent chemical DIC species through coral tissue layers, the model also tracks the carbon isotopes 12 C and 13 C, and considers isotopic fractionation in photosynthesis and calcifcation. Photosynthesis preferentially fixes 12 C, drawing it down in the oral tissues and leaving the remaining DIC isotopically heavy (Swart [1983\)](#page-11-12). In calcification, the balance of 13 C-enriched DIC left behind by photosynthesis and the 12 C-enriched CO₂ from respiration impacts the incorporation of the isotopes into the skeleton (Swart [1983](#page-11-12), McConnaughey [1989](#page-11-13)), providing information on DIC sources for calcifcation. Thus, the model results can be compared to observed isotopic signatures of both tissue and biogenic carbonate minerals, using them as a constraint on DIC fuxes.

The detailed process-focused nature of our model creates opportunities for the examination of changes to DIC fux between the diferent compartments as well as proposed drivers behind OA's effects on production, skeletal deposition and calcifying fluid pH. Essential to this effort is the incorporation of new data on carbonic anhydrase (CA) activity within the coral tissue, which catalyzes the hydrationdehydration interchange reaction between CO_2 and HCO_3^- . While it has been known for many years that corals have CA within their tissues and in the calcifying fuid (Weis et al. [1989](#page-12-2), al-Moghrabi et al. [1996,](#page-9-7) Bertucci et al. [2013](#page-9-6)), only recently have stable isotope exchange techniques been applied to quantitatively determine CA activity in corals (Hopkinson et al. [2015,](#page-10-23) Tansik et al. [2015,](#page-11-11) [2017](#page-11-8)), improving our understanding of DIC movement within and through a coral by constraining fuxes through the tissues and from the seawater. This model captures processes relevant across a wide range of corals, however, we employ a Caribbean coral, *Orbicella faveolata,* as an example of how it can further our understanding of the potential efects of OA on a coral's physiology.

Materials and methods

Model description

The fux and processing of inorganic carbon and calcium of a scleractinian coral was examined using a box model that represents a vertical transect from seawater through the coenosarc tissues of the coral and into the calcifying space. The five boxes of the model represent the diffusive boundary layer, oral tissue, coelenteron, aboral tissue and the calcifying space (Supplemental Fig. S1). In each

compartment, mass balance for ${}^{12}CO_2$, ${}^{13}CO_2$, $H^{12}CO_3^-$, $H^{13}CO_3^{-}$, ${}^{12}CO_3^{2-}$, ${}^{13}CO_3^{2-}$, Ca^{2+} , and total alkalinity was expressed as:

$$
\frac{dc}{dt} = \frac{(F_{in} - F_{out})}{V_j} + \sum R + \sum B
$$

where F is the mass exchange of the chemical concentration (c) of each chemical constituent per unit time, V_j is the volume of the compartment *j*, Σ*R* represents the net reaction rate due to acid–base reactions and carbonic anhydrase (CA) mediated exchange, and Σ*B* indicates the net increase or decrease due to biological processes, including photosynthesis, respiration and calcifcation. Parameters and equations used in the model are detailed in Supplemental Tables 1 and 2. In brief, acid–base reactions were implemented following Zeebe and Wolf-Gladrow [\(2001\)](#page-12-3). CA activity is incorporated as an independent rate constant that accelerates $CO₂$ hydration and HCO_3^- dehydration and the magnitude of this rate constant it set based on previous measurements (Hopkinson et al. [2015](#page-10-23), Tansik et al. [2017](#page-11-8)). Photosynthesis by the symbionts was considered in the oral tissue layer and was modeled using Michaelis–Menten kinetics (Tansik et al. [2017](#page-11-8)). This allows the photosynthetic rate to respond in real time to changes in DIC chemistry. Respiration by the coral animal and the symbionts occurred in the oral tissue layer (Supplemental Fig. S1). The symbionts were, to the greatest extent possible, parameterized as their own unit within the coral, with their own photosynthetic and respiration rates. As the symbiosis is more completely explored, it will be possible to turn the symbionts themselves into another box. Respiration by the animal was accounted for in the aboral tissue layer and was elevated due to the high density of mitochondria relative to the volume (Johnston [1980](#page-10-24), Allemand et al. [2004](#page-9-8), Tambutte et al. [2007\)](#page-11-14). Calcifcation occurs in the calcifying fuid (Supplemental Fig. S1). While the importance of the organic matrix in controlling calcifcation has been noted previously (Clode and Marshall [2003](#page-9-9), Tambutte et al. [2011](#page-11-5), Von Euw et al. [2017](#page-11-15)), there is not yet a way to properly parameterize this efect. As a result, calcifcation in the bottom layer of the model depended only on the aragonite saturation state in the current model (Supplemental Fig. S1). Fluxes between compartments were driven by the concentration gradients between compartments but constrained by membrane permeability. There were additional fuxes of all solutes from the bulk seawater to the calcifying fuid, refecting the transport of chemicals through the paracellular pathways of the coral, controlled by the permeability of the tissues to bicarbonate (Furla et al. [2000b](#page-10-4), Tambutte et al [2011](#page-11-5), Gagnon et al. [2012\)](#page-10-15). An alkalinity pump was included based on the proposed Ca^{2+}/H^+ exchange transporter (Tambutte et al. [1996,](#page-11-16) Zoccola et al. [2004\)](#page-12-4) as a way to maintain high alkalinity in the calcifying fuid (Supplemental Fig.

S1). Biologically modifed seawater was imported to the coelenteron from surrounding polyps to account for the connectivity of coral colonies (Taylor [1977\)](#page-11-17).

Model parameters were obtained from experimental photosynthetic and DIC processing data, supplemented by literature studies on coral calcifcation and DIC physiology, using data for *Orbicella faveolata* where available (see Supplemental Table 1 for details). *O. faveolata* is an important Caribbean boulder coral, the physiology of which has been studied extensively. The purpose of focusing on one species was to present an integrated representation of the physiology of a functioning organism, recognizing that there are often necessary functional dependencies between traits that would be missed if 'average' coral traits were employed.

Eight parameters were regarded as less well constrained than the others due to a scarcity of data in the literature (1) the alkalinity pump coefficient, (2) CA activity in the calcifying fluid, (3) tissue permeability to HCO_3^- , (4) the gastrovascular-coelentron exchange coefficient, (5) the $HCO₃⁻$ transport coefficient, (6) the partition factor between respiratory DIC and other sources for calcifcation, (7) the volumetric rate of respiration in the aboral tissue layers, (8) the aboral/calcifying fuid interface tissue permeability to $HCO₃⁻$ (see Supplemental Text 1). Values were set based on what little literature was available or estimated and tuned using a parameter sweep as described below.

The model was implemented in MATLAB (MathWorks) and run to steady state. Initial conditions refected equilibrium concentrations for given external seawater conditions (pH, DIC and Ca^{2+} concentration and temperature). pH values for all internal layers of the coral except the calcifying fuid were imposed based on microelectrode measurements (Cai et al. [2016](#page-9-10)). To further constrain the description and parameterization of photosynthesis and calcifcation, the isotopic compositions of the DIC were considered by representing natural ${}^{12}C$ and ${}^{13}C$ concentrations in all compartments. Tracking carbon isotopes provided a framework to assess ${}^{13}C$ incorporation into the skeletal material and therefore fuxes into this compartment.

For the eight most uncertain parameters, model simulations were run for best, high and low parameter estimates employing a factorial design to fully explore the parameter space (see Supplemental Text 1, Supplemental Table S3, and Supplemental Figures S2, S3). Parameter values from the results were compared and those that most closely matched gross photosynthesis, calcifcation, calcifying fuid pH and δ^{13} C isotopes found in the literature were then used for the baseline model run and ocean acidifcation scenarios (see Supplemental Text 1).

In order to evaluate the impact of ocean acidifcation on the DIC fow in a coral, external pH and DIC concentration were set to preindustrial values (pH 8.2, 2000 μM DIC), midcentury conditions (pH 8.0, 2100 μM DIC), and end-century conditions (pH 7.8, 2225 μ M DIC) in accordance with the IPCC's RCP 8.5 scenario (IPCC [2014](#page-9-11)). No changes were made to the biological parameters of the system.

Results and discussion

The novel model of coral carbon fuxes integrates biological and chemical processes that afect DIC species within coral tissue compartments, providing a comprehensive, quantitative description of carbon flows that support photosynthesis and calcifcation. It provides a framework for exploring how changes to the external carbonate system affect calcification and photosynthesis and identifying gaps in our understanding of carbon processing in corals.

Major fuxes and metabolic processes

Using quantifed CA activity rates and photosynthetic kinetic parameters for *O. faveolata* and parameter constraints from literature where available, our model accurately reproduces several important DIC fuxes, including the observed rates of photosynthesis, calcifcation, and the C isotope composition of photosynthate ($\delta^{13}C_p$) and aragonite ($\delta^{13}C_g$, Table [1\)](#page-4-0). In our model, the fuxes of inorganic carbon supporting photosynthesis and calcifcation are largely decoupled due to exchange with the seawater-like fuid in the gastrovascular cavity through the coelenteron (Fig. [1\)](#page-4-1). Inorganic carbon for photosynthesis is obtained from bulk seawater via active transport of HCO_3^- into the oral tissue layer and diffusive influx of $CO₂$. Symbiodiniacea have the capacity to take up both CO_2 and HCO_3^- (Leggat et al. [1999\)](#page-11-18), though the relative proportions vary depending on conditions. In our model, the coelenteron serves as a source of $HCO₃⁻$ for calcification but is not important for photosynthetic DIC supply (Fig. [1](#page-4-1)). Exchange between the oral tissue layer and coelenteron is limited in the model, with the coelenteron serving as a minor $CO₂$ source for the oral tissue layer and the oral tissue layer supplying a small flux of HCO_3^- to the coelenteron.

In contrast to photosynthesis, the simulations suggest that calcifcation is primarily utilizing DIC from the gastrovascular cavity and respired $CO₂$ (Fig. [1\)](#page-4-1). The coelenteron supplies $\mathrm{HCO_3}^-$ to the aboral tissue layers, which ultimately supports ~70% of DIC for calcifcation with the remainder derived from $CO₂$ produced by respiration in the aboral tissue layer. The coelenteron is replenished through mixing with the gastrovascular cavity, which is assumed to have a fxed chemical composition based on previous measurements (Cai et al. [2016](#page-9-10)). Radioisotope studies indicate that DIC used in calcifcation is predominantly of metabolic origin $\left(\sim 70\% ,$ Erez [1978,](#page-10-25) Furla et al. [2000b](#page-10-4)) rather than seawater derived. Our model was not able to match this high contribution of respiratory DIC to calcification unless

Marine Biology (2021) 168:116

Fig. 1 Steady state fuxes in the five box model under normal seawater conditions. The fuxes are represented by arrows between compartments with the direction of the arrow indicating the direction of fow and the width of the arrow proportional to the magnitude of the fux (see scale arrows inside box). Solid arrows indicate active fuxes and dashed arrows indicate passive, difusive fuxes. The solid arrow directed horizontally into the coelenteron represents fuid exchange between the gastrovascular cavity and the coelenteron

 C_2^0 HCO $HCO₃ CO₃²$ Seawater $CO₂$ **HCO** \hat{z}_i Oral tissue layer mito Syn Paracellular Fluxes C_{\cdot}^{\bullet} $HCO₃$ Coelenteron 10 $\overline{2}$ Aboral tissue layer x10-8 mmol $HCO₃$ $CO₂$ ⁴ $\frac{10}{10}$ mm **Calcifying Fluid** CO₂ $HCO₃CO₃²$ $-HCO₃$ CO. Skeleton

the respiration rate in the aboral tissue layer was increased substantially. The fraction of metabolic DIC used in calcifcation varies between coral species as a result of variable dependence on heterotrophy (Houlbrèque and Ferrier–Pagès [2009](#page-10-29)). In the model, the proximate source of DIC for calcification is primarily HCO_3^- transported from the aboral tissue layer into the calcifying fuid (Fig. [1\)](#page-4-1). Contrary to previous suggestions (Cai et al. 2016), direct CO₂ flux into the calcifying fuid is not a substantial source of DIC in our model. Instead, $CO₂$ respired in the aboral tissue layer is rapidly converted to HCO_3^- by CA (~50%) or lost by diffusive efflux to the coelenteron $($ \sim 50%). In part, this discrepancy is due to the assumption in Cai et al. [\(2016](#page-9-10)) that the DIC system in the calcifying fuid is in chemical equilibrium, resulting in a very low $CO₂$ concentration in the calcifying fluid which then pulls in $CO₂$ from the aboral tissue layer. In our model, rapid diffusion of $CO₂$ across membranes prevents the establishment of chemical equilibrium in the calcifying fuid despite high CA activity in the calcifying fluid converting the CO_2 to HCO_3^- in accordance with the speciation of DIC at high pH. This fux leads to only a small $CO₂$ concentration gradient between the aboral tissue layer and calcifying fuid in the model and consequently a modest $CO₂$ flux into the calcifying fluid (Fig. [2\)](#page-5-0). Making direct $CO₂$ flux a significant DIC source for calcification required nearly eliminating CA activity in the aboral tissue layer of the model, which is contrary to the apparent localization of CA to this layer (Isa and Yamazato [1984,](#page-10-30) Moya et al. [2008](#page-11-21)). However, phylogenetic analysis of the CA found in the aboral tissue layer of *S. pistillata* suggests that it may be a membrane bound form facing the calcifying fuid rather than intracellular (Moya et al. [2008\)](#page-11-21). Precise localization of this CA is critical to constraining proximate DIC sources $(CO₂)$ vs HCO_3^-) for calcification. Paracellular fluxes in the model play only a minor role in DIC fuxes for calcifcation and the net fux of DIC through the paracellular pathway is roughly balanced between an import of HCO_3^- and an export of

 CO_3^2 ⁻ in response to the concentration gradients between the seawater and the calcifying fuid. Little is known about the permeability of these paracellular pathways, however, it has been established that the fuxes through them are based on the concentration gradients between the seawater and calcifying fuid (Tambutte et al. [2011,](#page-11-5) Venn et al. [2020](#page-11-9)).

Calcification is enabled by delivery of DIC and Ca^{2+} combined with export of protons, working to raise the pH and aragonite saturation state in the calcifying fuid. Measured values of pH in the calcifying fuid range between 8.4–10 and our modeled pH is towards the upper range of these values (Table [1](#page-4-0)). This high pH is achieved in the model by importing alkalinity to the calcifying fuid, chemically equivalent to an export of protons, which is believed to be the biological basis for elevated calcifying fuid pH (Zoccola et al. [2004,](#page-12-4) Jokiel [2011\)](#page-10-20). The modeled carbonate ion concentration (~ 2 mM) exceeds measured concentrations (0.6–1 mM, Cai et al. [2016,](#page-9-10) Sevilgen et al. [2019](#page-11-6)) but was necessary to achieve observed calcifcation rates given our formulation of calcifcation based on inorganic aragonite precipitation experiments (Burton and Walter 1990). The organic matrix likely promotes high calcifcation rates at a lower degree of oversaturation, potentially explaining the discrepancy between measured and modeled carbonate concentrations in the calcifying fuid, but there are no quantitative constraints on the magnitude of this efect (Clode and Marshall [2003;](#page-9-9) Tambutte et al. [2011,](#page-11-5) von Euw et al. [2017](#page-11-15)). Similarly, the modeled aragonite saturation state (16.5) exceeds directly measured values (~ 12.0) (Sevilgen et al. [2019](#page-11-6)).

Recent work by Sevilgen et al. ([2019\)](#page-11-6) agrees with the model on the contribution of metabolic DIC for calcifcation. However, our model does not incorporate the organic matrix in the calcifying space on $CaCO₃$ mineralization and the localization of skeletal growth to sites of nucleation as little is known about the processes beyond basic descriptions (Von Euw et al. [2017](#page-11-15)). Furthermore, the exchange intensity

between the gastrovascular cavity and coelenteron fuid, which effectively separated DIC flow paths for photosynthesis and calcifcation, is based on a single observation (Taylor [1977\)](#page-11-17). Refnement of coelenteron exchanges would help constrain the extent of coupling between carbon supply for photosynthesis and calcifcation. Further descriptions of the calcifying space, transporters and the role that the skeletal organic matrix plays in secreting aragonite are all vital areas for research to improve any model of coral carbon fuxes.

The model also tracks ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$ isotopes, which we used to help constrain the model and to provide insight into isotope cycling which is of biogeochemical and paleo-oceanographic interest (Grottoli [2000,](#page-10-31) Swart [2015\)](#page-11-22). The model reproduces measured photosynthate isotopic composition ($\delta^{13}C_p$) (Table [1\)](#page-4-0). $\delta^{13}C_p$ is substantially less than the full fractionation of Form II RubisCO (−20%) (Guy et al. [1993\)](#page-10-32) and is reduced due to the restricted availability of DIC to Symbiodiniacea, manifested as DIC depletion in the oral tissue layer relative to seawater (Fig. [2](#page-5-0)). $\delta^{13}C_{\rm p}$ is robust with respect to the fraction of CO_2 vs HCO_3^- taken up by Symbiodiniacea, changing by only $\pm 0.3\%$ when the fraction of $CO₂$ taken up ranges between 20 and 80%. The primary sources of DIC for calcification in the model are HCO_3^- from the coelenteron (1.2%) and respired CO_2 (−15.5%). A simple mixing model suggests that respired $CO₂$ would need to make up just 20% of the DIC for calcification to match observed values ($\delta^{13}C_G \sim -2\%$) (Swart et al. [2005](#page-11-20)), which seems obtainable given that respiration rates in the aboral tissue are $\sim 60\%$ of the calcification rate and CA in the aboral tissue layer minimizes $CO₂$ leakage to the coelenteron. However, photosynthetic carbon fxation results in a strong δ^{13} C–CO₂ gradient driving the efflux of isotopically light $CO₂$ from the aboral tissue layer, making it much more difficult to achieve observed values (Fig. [2\)](#page-5-0). As shown in Fig. [3](#page-6-0), CA activity manages to recover approximately half the respired CO₂. Although only \sim 35% of the CO₂ leaks out into the coelenteron, this leaked $CO₂$ is extremely

Fig. 3 δ^{13} C isotopic composition of major fluxes relevant to calcification. Arrows representing fuxes are proportional to fux rates and δ^{13} C isotopic composition of the fluxes are indicated next to the arrows. Note that only the major fuxes are shown in the fgure so mass balance is not completely achieved with the fuxes shown

isotopically light $(-32%)$ leaving the residual CO₂ relatively heavy. Although the simulated value of $\sim 0\%$ is heavier than the observed bulk $\delta^{13}C_G$ of ~−2%, our model represents carbon flows during the daytime when photosynthesis drives the internal δ^{13} C–C_i towards heavier values. Shutting off photosynthesis in the model lightens $\delta^{13}C_G$ to -2% illustrating that calcifcation at night may contribute to the lighter value of bulk $\delta^{13}C_G$.

Modeling the impact of ocean acidifcation on photosynthesis

Ocean acidifcation to end of century levels led to a nearly 20% increase in gross photosynthetic rate in the model (Fig. [4\)](#page-6-1). Since photosynthetic production is dependent on having $CO₂$ to fix, increasing the concentration of this DIC species could plausibly lead to an increase in photosynthesis as the ocean acidifes. In our model, higher external $CO₂$ concentrations did drive an increased flux of $CO₂$ into the oral tissue layers where symbiotic algae reside, leading to higher rates of photosynthesis (Fig. [5](#page-7-0)). While this is in agreement with some longer-term studies (Langdon and Atkinson [2005](#page-10-8), Biscéré et al. [2019\)](#page-9-14), there have also been reports of lower rates under OA (Anthony et al. [2008](#page-9-5)), or no change (Kroeker et al. [2013](#page-10-3), Takahashi and Kurihara [2013](#page-11-7), Hoadley et al. [2015](#page-10-16), Comeau et al. [2016\)](#page-9-15). Variation among taxa in the ability to regulate internal DIC conditions may be responsible for the observed diferences. It has previously been suggested that carbon may be the limiting factor controlling coral growth (Marubini and Thake [1999,](#page-11-23) Herfort et al. [2008](#page-10-33)) and photosynthesis (Lesser et al. [1994,](#page-11-24) Goiran et al. 1996 , Tansik et al. [2017](#page-11-8)). Since $CO₂$ diffusion is a passive process, it appears from the model that OA could induce $CO₂$ influxes of such magnitude that they overwhelm the host coral's DIC control mechanisms and stimulate algal

Fig. 4 Efect of OA scenarios (indicated by pH of the seawater) on photosynthesis (P), calcifcation (G) and pH of the calcifying fuid (pH_{CF})

photosynthesis. Without carbon limitation, growth and production thus may increase in nutrient-replete conditions, leading to a shift from mutualism to parasitism (Dubinsky and Jokiel [1994;](#page-10-34) Marubini and Thake [1999,](#page-11-23) Morris et al. [2019\)](#page-11-25). Another possibility is that corals will upregulate their DIC controls, controlling symbiont abundance by limiting carbon (and other resources) needed for growth and reproduction. However, in the absence of detailed knowledge on the mechanisms of DIC regulation, this was not incorporated into the model.

Photosynthetic productivity is important for corals, as most of their energy is derived from the translocation of fxed carbon from their Symbiodiniacea symbionts, supplemented with heterotrophic feeding (Falkowski et al. [1984](#page-10-5)). Changing oceanic conditions, especially increasing sea surface temperatures, put stress on both host and symbiont, this can disrupt photosynthate transfer, negatively impact the energy budget of the coral and cause the expulsion of the symbionts from their host (coral bleaching) (Anthony et al. [2009](#page-9-16), Hughes et al. [2010;](#page-10-35) Morris et al. [2019](#page-11-25), Rädecker et al. [2021](#page-11-26)). Levas et al. [\(2018\)](#page-11-27) showed that some Caribbean corals can survive and recover from a mild bleaching event without loss of their energy stores, our modeled coral was one of them. However, an increase in the frequency of bleaching events will shift coral energy budgets away from a reliance on autotrophic carbon and towards net heterotrophy (IPCC [2014,](#page-9-11) Levas et al. [2016](#page-11-28)). There are indications that some corals, including *O. faveolata*, cannot support their carbon needs via heterotrophy when exposed to annual bleaching events (Levas et al. [2016\)](#page-11-28). This reinforces the importance that autotrophic carbon plays in the energy budgets of these corals. It remains to be seen whether an increase in productivity, as seen in the model, combined with potential savings from the downregulation of carbon concentrating processes, can produce enough fxed carbon to maintain metabolic processes and calcifcation despite increased bleaching frequency (Levas et al. [2016\)](#page-11-28). Upregulation of pH in the calcifying fuid is not excessively energetically demanding (McCulloch et al. [2012\)](#page-11-29), and so many corals are able to maintain the high pH in the calcifying fuid under OA. This suggests that, at least under some circumstances, corals may be able to maintain growth and calcifcation under OA conditions.

Modeling the impact of ocean acidifcation on calcifcation

Although there is variability among species (Kornder et al. [2018](#page-10-9), Bove et al. [2020](#page-9-2)), some corals calcify at slower rates under OA, which may either be due to decreased seawater CO_3^2 ⁻ concentration or synchronous decline of calcifying fluid pH with seawater pH (Schneider and Erez [2006](#page-11-30), Jury et al. [2010](#page-10-36), Comeau et al. [2017b\)](#page-10-21). The simulations run here showed a decline in calcifcation with decreasing seawater pH, in line with some experiments (Langdon and Atkinson [2005](#page-10-8), Okazaki et al. [2017\)](#page-11-31) and one of the previous models (Hohn and Merico [2012\)](#page-10-22). With a calcifcation rate decrease from the baseline in both the mid-century (−5.35%) and end-century runs (−16.46%), our results are within the range of experimental data across the same pH range (Marubini et al. [2008,](#page-11-32) Chan and Connolly [2013](#page-9-1), Huang et al. [2014,](#page-10-10) Kornder et al. [2018](#page-10-9), Bove et al. [2020](#page-9-2)), or some that include temperature manipulations as well (Kroeker et al. [2013,](#page-10-3) Okazaki et al. [2017\)](#page-11-31). Though the impact modeled here is small, any reduction in calcifcation rate has the potential to be important, as growth must keep up with rates of erosion and sea level rise to maintain the reef structure in the surface waters (Silbiger et al. [2014,](#page-11-33) DeCarlo et al. [2015](#page-10-37), Enochs

et al. [2015\)](#page-10-38). Some corals are able to maintain constant linear extension rates by reducing skeletal density, though this makes the skeleton weak and more susceptible to breakage (Tambutte et al. [2015](#page-11-34), Mollica et al. [2018](#page-11-35)).

In our model, the decline in calcifcation is attributed to a reduction in calcifying fuid pH. Of interest is that the rate of calcifcation decreased less than the corresponding decreases in calcifying fuid pH would suggest based on experimental results (Holcomb et al. [2014,](#page-10-27) Comeau et al. [2017a,](#page-10-12) Okazaki et al. [2017](#page-11-31)). Reductions in calcifying fuid pH were −0.31 and −0.66 pH units, under mid and end-century conditions respectively, but calcifcation rate declined by only 5 and 16% (Fig. [4\)](#page-6-1). For comparison, Holcomb et al. ([2014\)](#page-10-27) found calcifying fuid pH decreases as small as 0.2 units could be associated with 35% declines in calcifcation rates in *Stylophora pistillata*. These diferences indicate that the modeled calcifcation rate is less sensitive than calcifying fuid pH to the changes predicted under acidifed conditions. However, this result is not without precedent. Comeau et al. [\(2017a\)](#page-10-12) saw greater declines in the calcifying fuid pH in *Pocillopora damicornis* with no signifcant change in the calcifcation rate. They attributed this in part to slower growing corals being less sensitive to declines in seawater pH than fast growing corals due to a lower rate of proton fux (Comeau et al. [2017a\)](#page-10-12). This is unlikely to be the process behind the observed trends here, however, as the calcifying fluid pH was maintained by a large proton flux.

Bove et al. [\(2020\)](#page-9-2) showed that corals in the Caribbean show less of a response to OA than corals from other ocean basins. However, Okazaki et al. [\(2017\)](#page-11-31) found *O. faveolata* calcifcation decreased by approximately a third in an endcentury experiment, which would suggest that we should have seen greater declines than we did. They also had low saturation states for their treatments (Okazaki et al. [2017\)](#page-11-31). In our model, although OA results in reduced calcifying fuid pH, the total concentration of DIC in the calcifying fuid increased (Fig. [5\)](#page-7-0). A lower pH shifts the speciation of DIC in the calcifying fluid toward HCO_3^- and CO_2 , and away from CO_3^2 ⁻. The increased concentration of DIC meant that only modest declines in carbonate concentrations were seen, allowing calcifcation rates to remain relatively high. Even though the pH in the calcifying fuid dropped, there still remained sufficiently high aragonite saturation states to favor calcifcation, though less so than under non-OA conditions.

We probed the model to identify the mechanisms by which OA decreases calcifying fluid pH, using previously proposed hypotheses as a guide. The frst hypothesis is that increases in seawater $CO₂$ will result in greater flux of this mild acid through the tissues into the calcifying fuid leading to reduced pH (Furla et al. [2000b](#page-10-4), Sevilgen et al. [2019](#page-11-6)). This would be visible in the model results as a higher fux of DIC from the aboral tissue layer to the calcifying fuid. The second hypothesis, that of bulk seawater transport without biological changes to the DIC (Cohen and Holcomb [2009](#page-9-0)), would be visible in the results as a larger fux from the bulk seawater to the calcifying fuid. In our model, the fuxes from the aboral tissue layer were an order of magnitude larger than those from the bulk seawater (Fig. [1](#page-4-1)). This implies that biologically mediated fuxes dominate the determination of calcifying fluid pH. In the OA simulations, the flux of $CO₂$ from the aboral tissue layer to the calcifying fuid increased substantially with decreasing external pH, to near double and triple the original fux under the mid and end-century scenarios, respectively (Fig. [5](#page-7-0)). The total flux of HCO_3^- to the calcifying fluid was still much greater than that of $CO₂$ in the model, however, the former declined with lower seawater pH as the HCO_3^- concentration in the calcifying fluid increased. This leads to a decreased HCO_3^- concentration gradient between the calcifying fuid and seawater, consequently decreasing the HCO_3^- flux into the calcifying fluid (Fig. [5](#page-7-0)). Based on the baseline fuxes and the changes to them, our model results are in line with the frst hypothesis for how calcifying fuid pH declines, that of biologically mediated fuxes. This is in agreement with one of the previous models (Hohn and Merico [2012](#page-10-22)).

Future directions

Environmental pressures such as OA can stimulate acclimation of corals to altered conditions (Barkley et al. [2017](#page-9-17)), and OA is commonly coinciding with increased sea surface temperatures (Anthony et al. [2008\)](#page-9-5) and/or eutrophication (Langdon and Atkinson [2005\)](#page-10-8). These factors are not considered here, though the model framework may allow for those efects if the data for appropriate model parameterization is available. There is, for example, evidence for the downregulation of the CA found in the calcifying fuid of *Stylophora pistillata* with decreasing external pH (Zoccola et al. [2016\)](#page-12-5). In contrast, acclimation in the photosynthetic DIC kinetics, such as an increased half-saturation constant (Wu et al. [2010](#page-12-0), Shi et al. [2015](#page-11-36)), which has been seen in other marine primary producers has not yet experimentally been determined in corals. Increasing ion pumping from the calcifying fuid, as another example, could also be a way for corals to counteract the detrimental efects of OA on calcifcation (Jokiel [2011](#page-10-20), McCulloch et al. [2012,](#page-11-29) Ohno et al. [2017](#page-11-37), Grifths et al. [2019\)](#page-10-39). Determining the activity of such transporters would lead to a more accurate parameterization of the calcifcation dynamics of corals.

A number of studies have suggested that the active transport of carbon, protons and other ions can mediate the efects of declining calcifying fuid pH (McCulloch et al [2012,](#page-11-29) Ohno et al. [2017,](#page-11-37) Grifths et al. [2019](#page-10-39)). Our model suggests that active transport of DIC from the seawater and metabolic sources may well be a pathway of acclimation and/or adaptation, compensating for the decreases in HCO_3^- fluxes. Such transport would further elevate the DIC concentration in the calcifying fuid and, in conjunction with an increase in ion transport (Jokiel [2011](#page-10-20), McCulloch et al [2012,](#page-11-29) Ohno et al. [2017,](#page-11-37) Grifths et al. [2019\)](#page-10-39), support higher rates of calcifcation than expected without biological control.

Conclusions

Our process-based and spatially resolved model of coral metabolism accurately reproduces rates of primary production and calcification, and produces δ^{13} C values for photosynthesis and calcifcation similar to measured fractionations (Table [1\)](#page-4-0). It shows that photosynthesis and calcifcation have disparate sources of DIC with photosynthesis being supported by DIC from seawater and calcifcation supported by metabolic $CO₂$ as well as DIC from the coelenteron. Our simulations show declines in calcifcation and calcifying fluid pH driven by increased $CO₂$ flux into the calcifying space. The potential to mitigate these impacts is suggested through increased photosynthetic production, creating a larger amount of energy available to the coral. However, the difficulty of the model in matching aragonite $\delta^{13}C$ values implies that more work is needed on the underlying biological and chemical processing of DIC for coral calcifcation, and exchange between polyps through the coelenteron. This will clarify how coral reef growth responds to a changing climate.

Data availability and material

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-021-03926-8>.

Acknowledgments This work was funded by a grant to B.M.H and C.M. from the US National Science Foundation (EF 1315944). We would like to thank two reviewers and the editor for their helpful comments on this work.

Author contributions Model conceptualization: ALT, BMH, model development: CM, ALT, model runs and analysis: ALT, BMH, CM, manuscript writing and revision: ALT, BMH, CM.

Declarations

Conflicts of interest On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no confict of interest.

Ethical approval No approval of research ethics committees was required to accomplish the goals of this study because it was solely computer-based.

References

- al-Moghrabi SM, Goiran C, Allemand D, Speziale N, Jaubert J (1996) Inorganic carbon uptake for photosynthesis by the symbiotic coral-dinofagellate association II. Mechanisms for bicarbonate uptake. J Exp Marine Biol Ecol 199:227–248
- Allemand D, Ferrier-Pagès C, Furla P, Houlbrèque F, Puverel S, Reynaud S, Tambutté E, Tambutté S, Zoccola D (2004) Biomineralization in reef-building corals: from molecular mechanisms to environmental control. CR Palevol 3:453–467
- Allison N, Cohen I, Finch AA, Erez J, Tudhope AW, Edinburgh Ion Microprobe F (2014) Corals concentrate dissolved inorganic carbon to facilitate calcifcation. Nat Commun 5:6
- Allison N, Cole C, Hintz C, Hintz K, Rae J, Finch A (2018) The efect of ocean acidifcation on tropical coral calcifcation: insights from calcifcation fuid DIC chemistry. Chem Geol 497:162–169
- Anthony KRN, Kline DI, Diaz-Pullido G, Dove S, Hoegh-Guldberg O (2008) Ocean acidifcation causes bleaching and productivity loss in coral reef builders. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105:17442–17446
- Anthony KRN, Hoogenboom MO, Maynard JA, Grottoli AG, Middlebrook R (2009) Energetics approach to predicting mortality risk from environmental stress: a case study of coral bleaching. Funct Ecol 23:539–550
- Barkley HC, Cohen AL, McCorkle DC, Golbuu Y (2017) Mechanisms and thresholds for pH tolerance in Palau corals. J Exp Marine Biol Ecol 489:7–14
- Bertucci A, Moya A, Tambutte S, Allemand D, Supuran CT, Zoccola D (2013) Carbonic anhydrases in anthozoan corals—a review. Bioorgc Med Chem 21:1437–1450
- Biscéré T, Zampighi M, Lorrain A, Jurriaans S, Foggo A, Houlbrèque F, Rodolfo-Metalpa R (2019) High pCO2 promotes coral primary production. Biol Lett 15:20180777. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2018.0777) [org/10.1098/rsbl.2018.0777](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2018.0777)
- Bove CB, Umbanhower J, Castillo KD (2020) Meta-analysis reveals reduced coral calcifcation under projected ocean warming but not under acidifcation across the Caribbean Sea. Mar Sci Front.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00127/full>
- Cai WJ, Ma YN, Hopkinson BM, Grottoli AG, Warner ME et al (2016) Microelectrode characterization of coral daytime interior pH and carbonate chemistry. Nature Commun 7:8
- Chan NCS, Connolly SR (2013) Sensitivity of coral calcifcation to ocean acidifcation: a meta-analysis. Global Change Biol 19(1):282–290
- Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. In: Core Writing Team RKPaLAM (ed). IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, p 151 september 5, 2015
- Clode PL, Marshall AT (2003) Calcium associated with a fbrillar organic matrix in the scleractinian coral Galaxea fascicularis. Protoplasma 220:153–161
- Cohen AL, Holcomb M (2009) Why corals care about ocean acidifcation: uncovering the mechanism. Oceanography 22:118–127
- Colombo-Pallotta MF, Rodríguez-Román A, Iglesias-Prieto R (2010) Calcifcation in bleached and unbleached *Montastraea faveolata*: evaluating the role of oxygen and glycerol. Coral Reefs 29:899–907
- Comeau S, Carpenter RC, Edmunds PJ (2013) Coral reef calcifiers buffer their response to ocean acidification using both bicarbonate and carbonate. Proc Roy Soc b: Biol Sci 280(1753):20122374
- Comeau S, Carpenter SC, Edmunds PJ (2016) Effects of $pCO₂$ on photosynthesis and respiration of tropical scleractinian corals and calcifed algae. ICES J Mar Sci 74(4):1092–1102
- Comeau S, Cornwall CE, McCulloch MT (2017a) Decoupling between the response of coral calcifying fuid pH and calcifcation to ocean acidifcation. Sci Rep 7:10
- Comeau S, Tambutte E, Carpenter RC, Edmunds PJ, Evensen NR, Allemand D, Ferrier-Pages C, Tambutte S, Venn AA (2017b) Coral calcifying fuid pH is modulated by seawater carbonate chemistry not solely seawater pH. Proc R Soc B-Biol Sci 284:10
- Comeau S, Cornwall CE, DeCarlo TM, Krieger E, McCulloch MT (2018) Similar controls on calcifcation under ocean acidifcation across unrelated coral reef taxa. Glob Change Biol 24(10):4857–4868
- Connolly SR, Lopez-Yglesias MA, Anthony KRN (2012) Food availability promotes rapid recovery from thermal stress in a scleractinian coral. Coral Reefs 31:951–960
- D'Olivo JP, McCulloch MT (2017) Response of coral calcifcation and calcifying fuid composition to thermally induced bleaching stress. Sci Rep 7:2207
- de Putron SJ, McCorkle DC, Cohen AL, Dillon AB (2010) The impact of seawater saturation state and bicarbonate ion concentration on calcifcation by new recruits of two Atlantic corals. Coral Reefs 30:321–328
- DeCarlo TM, Cohen AL, Barkley HC, Cobban Q, Young C, Shamberger KE, Brainard RE, Golbuu Y (2015) Coral macrobioerosion is accelerated by ocean acidifcation and nutrients. Geology 43:7–10
- DeCarlo TM, Ren H, Farfan GA (2018) The origin and role of organic matrix in coral calcifcation: insights from comparing coral skeleton and abiogenic aragonite. Front Mar Sci 5:170
- Dubinsky Z, Jokiel PL (1994) Ratio of energy and nutrient fuxes regulates symbiosis between zooxanthellae and corals. Pac Sci 48(3):313–324
- Enochs IC, Manzello DP, Carlton RD, Graham DM, Ruzicka R, Colella MA (2015) Ocean acidifcation enhances the bioerosion of a common coral reef sponge: implications for the persistence of the Florida Reef Tract. Bull Mar Sci 91:271–290
- Erez J (1978) Vital effect on stable-isotope composition seen in foraminifera and coral skeletons. Nature 273:199–202
- Falkowski PG, Dubinsky Z, Muscatine L, Porter JW (1984) Light and the bioenergetics of a symbiotic coral. Bioscience 34:705–709
- Feely RA, Sabine CL, Lee K, Berelson W, Kleypas J, Fabry VJ, Millero FJ (2004) Impact of anthropogenic $CO₂$ on the $CaCO₃$ system in the oceans. Science 305:362–366
- Furla P, Allemand D, Orsenigo MN (2000a) Involvement of H+- ATPase and carbonic anhydrase in inorganic carbon uptake for endosymbiont photosynthesis. Am J Physiol-Regul Integr Comp Physiol 278:R870–R881
- Furla P, Galgani I, Durand I, Allemand D (2000b) Sources and mechanisms of inorganic carbon transport for coral calcifcation and photosynthesis. J Exp Biol 203:3445–3457
- Gagnon AC, Adkins JF, Erez J (2012) Seawater transport during coral biomineralization. Earth Planet Sci Lett 329:150–161
- Galli G, Solidoro C (2018) ATP supply may contribute to lightenhanced calcifcation in corals more than abiotic mechanisms. Front Mar Sci 5:68
- Goreau TF (1959) The physiology of skeleton formation in corals. I. A method for measuring the rate of calcium deposition by corals under diferent conditions. Biol Bull 116:59–75
- Grifths JS, Pan T-CF, Kelly MW (2019) Diferential responses to ocean acidifcation between populations of *Balanophyllia elegans* corals from high and low upwelling environments. Molec Ecol 28(11):2715–2730
- Grottoli AG (2000) Stable carbon isotopes $(d^{13}C)$ in coral skeletons. Oceanography 13:93–97
- Guy RD, Fogel ML, Berry JA (1993) Photosynthetic fractionation of the stable isotopes of oxygen and carbon. Plant Physiol 101:37–47
- Hendriks IE, Duarte CM, Álvarez M (2010) Vulnerability of marine biodiversity to ocean acidifcation: a meta-analysis. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 86(2):157–164
- Herfort L, Thake B, Taubner I (2008) Bicarbonate stimulation of calcifcation and photosynthesis in two hermatypic corals. J of Phycol $44(1) \cdot 91 - 98$
- Hoadley KD, Pettay DT, Grottoli AG, Cai WJ, Melman TF, Schoepf V, Hu XP, Li Q, Xu H, Wang YC, Matsui Y, Baumann JH, Warner ME (2015) Physiological response to elevated temperature and pCO₂ varies across four Pacific coral species: understanding the unique host plus symbiont response. Sci Rep 5:15
- Hohn S, Merico A (2012) Modelling coral polyp calcifcation in relation to ocean acidifcation. Biogeosciences 9:4441–4454
- Holcomb M, Venn AA, Tambutte E, Tambutte S, Allemand D, Trotter J, McCulloch M (2014) Coral calcifying fuid pH dictates response to ocean acidifcation. Sci Rep 4:4
- Hopkinson BM, Dupont CL, Allen AE, Morel FMM (2011) Efficiency of the CO_2 -concentrating mechanism of diatoms. PNAS 108:3830–3837
- Hopkinson BM, Tansik AL, Fitt WK (2015) Internal carbonic anhydrase activity in the tissue of scleractinian corals is sufficient to support proposed roles in photosynthesis and calcifcation. J Exp Biol 218:2039–2048
- Houlbrèque F, Ferrier-Pagès C (2009) Heterotrophy in Tropical Scleractinian Corals. Biol Rev 84(1):1–17
- Huang H, Yuan XC, Cai WJ, Zhang CL, Li XB, Liu S (2014) Positive and negative responses of coral calcification to elevated $pCO₂$: case studies of two coral species and the implications of their responses. Marine Ecol Prog Ser 502:145–156
- Hughes AD, Grottoli AG, Pease TK, Matsui Y (2010) Acquisition and assimilation of carbon in non-bleached and bleached corals. Marine Ecol Prog Ser 420:91–101
- Isa Y, Yamazato K (1984) The distribution of carbonic anhydrase in a staghorn coral, *Acropora hebes* (Dana). Galaxea 3:25–36
- Johnston I (1980) The ultrastructure of skeletogenesis in hermatypic corals. Int Rev Cytol 67:171–214
- Jokiel PL (2011) The reef coral two compartment proton fux model: a new approach relating tissue-level physiological processes to gross corallum morphology. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 409(1–2):1–12
- Jury CP, Whitehead RF, Szmant AM (2010) Efects of variations in carbonate chemistry on the calcifcation rates of *Madracis auretenra* (*Madracis mirabilis sensu* Wells, 1973): bicarbonate concentrations best predict calcifcation rates. Glob Change Biol 16(5):1632–1644
- Kelly MW, Hofmann GE (2013) Adaptation and the physiology of ocean acidifcation. Funct Ecol 27:980–990
- Kornder NA, Riegl BM, Figueiredo J (2018) Thresholds and drivers of coral calcifcation responses to climate change. Glob Change Biol 24(11):5084–5095
- Krief S, Hendy EJ, Fine M, Yam R, Meibom A, Foster GL, Shemesh A (2010) Physiological and isotopic responses of scleractinian corals to ocean acidifcation. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 74(17):4988–5001
- Kroeker KJ, Kordas RL, Crim R, Hendriks IE, Ramajo L et al (2013) Impacts of ocean acidifcation on marine organisms: quantifying sensitivities and interaction with warming. Glob Change Biol 19(6):1884–1896
- Krueger T, Horwitz N, Bodin J, Giovani M-E, Escrig S, Meibom A, Fine M (2017) Common reef-building coral in the Northern Red Sea resistant to elevated temperature and acidifcation. R Soc Open Sci. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.170038>
- Lajeunesse TC, Parkinson JE, Gabrielson PW, Jeong HJ, Reimer JD, Voolstra CR, Santos SR (2018) systematic revision of

symbiodiniaceae highlights the antiquity and diversity of coral endosymbionts. Curr Biol 28:2570-2580.e2576

- Langdon C, Atkinson MJ (2005) Effect of elevated $pCO₂$ on photosynthesis and calcifcation of corals and interactions with seasonal change in temperature/irradiance and nutrient enrichment. J Geophys Res-Oceans 110:16
- Leggat W, Badger M, Yellowlees D (1999) Evidence for an inorganic carbon-concentrating mechanism in the symbiotic dinofagellate *Symbiodinium sp*. Plant Physiol. [https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.121.4.1247) [121.4.1247](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.121.4.1247)
- Lesser MP, Weis VM, Patterson MR, Jokiel PL (1994) EfTects of morphology and water motion on carbon delivery and productivity in the reef coral, *Pocillopora damicornis* (Linnaeus): difusion barriers, inorganic carbon limitation, and biochemical plasticity. J. Exp Mar Bid Ecol 178:153–179
- Levas S, Grottoli AG, Schoepf V, Aschafenburg M, Baumann J, Bauer JE, Warner ME (2016) Can heterotrophic uptake of dissolved organic carbon and zooplankton mitigate carbon budget deficits in annually bleached corals? Coral Reefs 35:495-506
- Levas S, Schoepf V, Warner ME, Aschafenburg M, Baumann J, Grottoli AG (2018) Long-term recovery of Caribbean corals from bleaching. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 506:124–134
- Lough JM, Cantin NE (2014) Perspectives on massive coral growth rates in a changing ocean. Biol Bull 226:187–202
- Mackey KRM, Morris JJ, Morel FMM, Kranz SA (2015) Response of photosynthesis to ocean acidifcation. Oceanography 28:74–91
- Marubini F, Thake B (1999) Bicarbonate addition promotes coral growth. Limnol Ocean 44(3):716–720
- Marubini F, Ferrier-Pages C, Furla P, Allemand D (2008) Coral calcifcation responds to seawater acidifcation: a working hypothesis towards a physiological mechanism. Coral Reefs 27:491–499
- McConnaughey T (1989) ¹³C and ¹⁸O isotopic disequilibrium in biological carbonates: I. Patterns Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta 53(1):151–162
- McCulloch M, Falter J, Trotter J, Montagna P (2012) Coral resilience to ocean acidifcation and global warming through pH up-regulation. Nat Clim Chang 2:623–627
- Mollica NR, Guo W, Cohen AL, Huang K-F, Foster GL, Donald HK, Solow AR (2018) Ocean acidifcation afects coral growth by reducing skeletal density. PNAS 115(8):1754–1759
- Morris LA, Voolstra CR, Quigley KM, Bourne DG, Bay LK (2019) Nutrient availability and metabolism afect the stability of coralsymbiodiniaceae symbioses. Trends Microbiol 27(8):678–689
- Moya A, Tambutte S, Bertucci A, Tambutte E, Lotto S, Vullo D, Supuran CT, Allemand D, Zoccola D (2008) Carbonic anhydrase in the scleractinian coral *Stylophora pistillata*—characterization, localization, and role in biomineralization. J Biol Chem 283:25475–25484
- Nakamura T, Nadaoka K, Watanabe A (2013) A coral polyp model of photosynthesis, respiration and calcifcation incorporating a transcellular ion transport mechanism. Coral Reefs 32:779–794
- Ohno Y, Iguchi A, Shinzato C, Inoue M, Suzuki A, Sakai K, Nakamura T (2017) An aposymbiotic primary coral polyp counteracts acidifcation by active pH regulation. Sci Rep 7:40324
- Okazaki RR, Swart PK, Langdon C (2013) Stress-tolerant corals of Florida Bay are vulnerable to ocean acidifcation. Coral Reefs 32:671–683
- Okazaki RR, Towle EK, Van Hooidonk R, Mor C, Winter RN, Piggot AM, Cunning R, Baker AC, Klaus JS, Swart PK, Langdon C (2017) Species-specifc responses to climate change and community composition determine future calcifcation rates of Florida Keys reefs. Glob Change Biol 23:1023–1035
- Rädecker N, Pogoreutz C, Gegner HM, Cárdenas A, Roth F et al (2021) Heat stress destabilizes symbiotic nutrient cycling in corals. PNAS. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2022653118>
- Reinfelder JR (2011) Carbon concentrating mechanisms in eukaryotic marine phytoplankton. Annu Rev Marine Sci 3(3):291–315
- Riebesell U, Zondervan I, Rost B, Tortell PD, Zeebe RE, Morel FMM (2000) Reduced calcifcation of marine plankton in response to increased atmospheric $CO₂$. Nature 407:364-367
- Rost B, Riebesell U, Burkhardt S, Sültemeyer D (2003) Carbon acquisition of bloom-forming marine phytoplankton. Limnol Ocean 48:55–67
- Schneider K, Erez J (2006) The effect of carbonate chemistry on calcifcation and photosynthesis in the hermatypic coral *Acropora eurystoma*. Limnol Ocean 51:1284–1293
- Schoepf V, Grottoli AG, Warner ME, Cai WJ, Melman TF et al (2013) Coral energy reserves and calcification in a high- $CO₂$ world at two temperatures. PLoS ONE. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0075049) [pone.0075049](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0075049)
- Sevilgen DS, Venn AA, Hu MY, Tambutte E, de Beer D, Planas-Bielsa V, Tambutte S (2019) Full in vivo characterization of carbonate chemistry at the site of calcifcation in corals. Sci Adv 5:7447
- Shi D, Li W, Hopkinson BM, Hong H, Li D, Kao SJ, Lin W (2015) Interactive efects of light, nitrogen source, and carbon dioxide on energy metabolism in the diatom *Thalassiosira pseudonana*. Limnol Ocean 60:1805–1822
- Silbiger NJ, Guadayol O, Thomas FIM, Donahue MJ (2014) Reefs shift from net accretion to net erosion along a natural environmental gradient. Marine Ecol Prog Ser 515:33–44
- Swart PK (1983) Carbon and Oxygen Isotope fractionation in scleractinian corals: a review. Earth-Sci Rev 19:51–80
- Swart PK (2015) The geochemistry of carbonate diagenesis: the past, present and future. Sedimentology 62:1233–1304
- Swart PK, Szmant A, Porter JW, Dodge RE, Tougas JI, Southam JR (2005) The isotopic composition of respired carbon dioxide in scleractinian corals: implications for cycling of organic carbon in corals. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 69:1495–1509
- Takahashi A, Kurihara H (2013) Ocean acidifcation does not afect the physiology of the tropical coral *Acropora digitifera* during a 5 week experiment. Coral Reefs 32(1):305–314
- Tambutte E, Allemand D, Mueller E, Jaubert J (1996) A compartmental approach to the mechanism of calcifcation in hermatypic corals. J Exp Biol 199:1029–1041
- Tambutte E, Allemand D, Zoccola D, Meibom A, Lotto S, Caminiti N, Tambutte S (2007) Observations of the tissue-skeleton interface in the scleractinian coral *Stylophora pistillata*. Coral Reefs 26:517–529
- Tambutte E, Venn AA, Holcomb M, Segonds N, Techer N, Zoccola D, Allemand D, Tambutte S (2015) Morphological plasticity of the coral skeleton under $CO₂$ -driven seawater acidification. Nature Commun 6:7368
- Tambutte S, Holcomb M, Ferrier-Pages C, Reynaud S, Tambutte E, Zoccola D, Allemand D (2011) Coral biomineralization: from the gene to the environment. J Experimental Marine Biol Ecol 408:58–78
- Tansik AL, Fitt WK, Hopkinson BM (2015) External carbonic anhydrase in three Caribbean corals: quantifcation of activity and role in CO₂ uptake. Coral Reefs 34:703-713
- Tansik AL, Fitt WK, Hopkinson BM (2017) Inorganic carbon is scarce for symbionts in scleractinian corals. Limnol Ocean 62:2045–2055
- Taylor DL (1977) Intra-colonial transport of organic compounds and calcium in some Atlantic reef corals. Proceeding of the 3rd International Reef Symposium 1:431-436
- Venn AA, Bernardet C, Chabenat A, Tambutté E, Tambutté S (2020) Paracellular transport to the coral calcifying medium: effects of environmental parameters. J Exp Biol. [https://doi.org/10.1242/](https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.227074) ieb.227074
- Von Euw S, Zhang QH, Manichev V, Murali N, Gross J, Feldman LC, Gustafsson T, Flach C, Mendelsohn R, Falkowski PG (2017)

Biological control of aragonite formation in stony corals. Science 356:933

- Weis VM, Smith GJ, Muscatine L (1989) A "CO₂ supply" mechanism in zooxanthellate cnidarians: role of carbonic anhydrase. Marine Biol 100:195–202
- Woodhead AJ, Hicks CC, Norström AV, Williams GJ, Graham NAJ (2019) Coral reef ecosystem services in the anthropocene. Func Ecol 33:1023–1034
- Wu Y, Gao K, Riebesell U (2010) CO₂-induced seawater acidification afects physiological performance of the marine diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*. Biogeosciences 7:2915–2923
- Zeebe RE, Wolf-Gladrow D (2001) CO₂ in seawater: equilibrium, kinetics, isotopes. Elsevier, Amsterdam
- Zoccola D, Tambutte E, Kulhanek E, Puverel S, Scimeca JC, Allemand D, Tambutte S (2004) Molecular cloning and localization of a PMCA P-type calcium ATPase from the coral *Stylophora pistillata*. Biochim Biophys Acta-Biomembr 1663:117–126
- Zoccola D, Innocenti A, Bertucci A, Tambutte E, Supuran CT, Tambutte S (2016) Coral carbonic anhydrases: regulation by ocean acidifcation. Mar Drugs 14:11

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.