

Spatiotemporal analysis of population genetic structure in *Geomonhystera disjuncta* (Nematoda, Monhysteridae) reveals high levels of molecular diversity

S. Derycke · T. Backeljau · C. Vlaeminck ·
A. Vierstraete · J. Vanfleteren · M. Vincx ·
T. Moens

Received: 11 October 2006 / Accepted: 8 January 2007 / Published online: 6 February 2007
© Springer-Verlag 2007

Abstract Species identification in the phylum Nematoda is complicated due to the paucity of easily obtainable diagnostic morphological features. Furthermore, the cosmopolitan distribution of several species despite low dispersal abilities makes cryptic diversity potentially substantial within this phylum. We conducted a population genetic survey in the marine nematode *Geomonhystera disjuncta* in Belgium and The Netherlands in two seasons. The mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase c subunit 1 (COI) gene was screened with the single-strand conformation polymorphism method in 759 individuals. The 43 haplotypes were grouped into five lineages, with low divergences within (<3%) and high divergences be-

tween lineages (>14%). Analysis of the nuclear ITS region yielded concordant tree topologies, indicating the presence of five cryptic taxa within *G. disjuncta*. Analysis of Molecular Variance (AMOVA) illustrated a significant structuring in all lineages and temporal fluctuations in haplotype frequencies within and between locations. Metapopulation dynamics and/or priority effects best explained this structuring. Finally, our data indicate that the COI gene may be useful for DNA barcoding purposes.

Introduction

Nematodes are one of the most abundant and diverse metazoan phyla in terms of species richness (Lambshead 2004), comprising both parasitic and free-living species. Only a small fraction of the total predicted nematode diversity is currently described (e.g. Hugot et al. 2001; Blaxter et al. 2005), mainly due to their small body size (~1 mm). This complicates species identification because important morphological features may be situated at scales that are beyond the resolution of light microscopy (Coomans 2002; De Ley et al. 2005; Markmann and Tautz 2005). In addition, many nematode species have a worldwide distribution, despite an endobenthic life style, a lack of pelagic larvae and a limited dispersal capacity. Obviously, the combined effects of morphological limitations in species identification and a cosmopolitan distribution despite limited dispersal abilities make cryptic diversity potentially substantial within the Nematoda.

Population genetic surveys provide important information on genetic diversity within and connec-

Communicated by O. Kinne.

S. Derycke (✉) · C. Vlaeminck · M. Vincx · T. Moens
Department of Biology, Marine Biology Section,
Ghent University, Krijgslaan 281 (S8), 9000 Ghent,
Belgium
e-mail: s.derycke@UGent.be

T. Backeljau
Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences,
Vautierstraat 29, 1000 Brussels, Belgium

T. Backeljau
Evolutionary Biology Group, Department of Biology,
University of Antwerp, Groenenborgerlaan 171,
2020 Antwerp, Belgium

A. Vierstraete · J. Vanfleteren
Department of Biology, Ghent University,
K.L. Ledeganckstraat 35, 9000 Ghent, Belgium

S. Derycke · A. Vierstraete · J. Vanfleteren
CeMoFe, Ghent University, K.L. Ledeganckstraat 35,
9000 Ghent, Belgium

tivity between populations and frequently uncover cryptic taxa (Schizas et al. 1999; Knowlton 2000; Rocha-Olivares et al. 2001; Govindarajan et al. 2005). In this way, they have challenged the longstanding view of low species diversity and broad-scale homogeneity in the marine environment (Caudill and Bucklin 2004; Ovenden et al. 2004). Within the Nematoda, population genetic studies have mainly been restricted to parasitic species (e.g. Blouin et al. 1995; Hu et al. 2002; Høglund et al. 2004; Picard et al. 2004 but see Sivasundar and Hey 2005). The single free-living marine species hitherto studied, *Pellioiditis marina*, showed restricted gene flow and cryptic diversity even on a very local scale (40 km), which was at variance with expectations based on this species' potentially substantial passive dispersal capacity and its life-history characteristics (Derycke et al. 2005, 2006). In addition, cytochrome oxidase c subunit I (COI) and ribosomal intergenic transcribed spacer (ITS) data unravelled four cryptic taxa within *P. marina*, with sequence divergences between them ranging from 5.8 to 10.6% for COI and 3.3–21.1% for ITS. These high divergences raise questions on the modes of speciation and on the generality of such cryptic diversity in other marine nematodes occurring in areas with supposedly well-described nematode communities. Indeed, recent diversity assessments in the marine environment based on DNA barcoding indicate that cryptic diversity is far more common than initially thought (Blaxter 2004).

The major objective of the present study is to analyse the population genetic structure of a second marine nematode species, *Geomonhystera disjuncta* (Bastian, 1865) (Monhysteridae), as a first step towards inferring more general conclusions on population genetic structuring and cryptic diversity in free-living marine nematodes. The genus *Geomonhystera* Andrassy 1981 differs from the other monhysterid genera in the position of the vulva, which is typically situated far back, at more than 75% of the body length (Andrassy 1981). The genus contains 15 valid species, seven of which are marine (Jacobs 1987). *G. disjuncta* can be distinguished from the other six by differences in body size, position of the vulva, shape and length of the tail, and shape and number of eggs (De Coninck and Schuurmans Stekhoven 1933; Hopper 1969). The sampling area—which is well-investigated with respect to its nematode communities (e.g. Vincx 1990; Vincx et al. 1990)—and design were similar to those for *P. marina* (Derycke et al. 2005), except that we sampled in two different seasons. *G. disjuncta* occurs sympatrically with *P. marina* and also has a short generation time (ca. 8 days) with a high reproductive output (ca 200–500 eggs female⁻¹) (Vranken et al. 1988). How-

ever, *G. disjuncta* differs from *P. marina* in several other aspects of its life-history characteristics: (1) it does not produce Dauerlarvae (i.e. metabolically less active larvae that can survive unfavourable conditions) (Bongers and Bongers 1998); (2) it occurs under a broader range of food (bacteria) availability (Vranken et al. 1988; Moens and Vincx 2000); and (3) it is not solely found on macroalgal detritus, but also on other organic materials (Mokievsky et al. 2005) and in marine sediments (Heip et al. 1985; Vranken 1987).

In view of these characteristics, two main aims were targeted in this study: (1) to investigate the population genetic structure of *G. disjuncta* and compare it with that of *P. marina*, a sympatrically occurring nematode species with different life-history characteristics; and (2) to assess intraspecific diversity and possible cryptic taxa in *G. disjuncta* by using different molecular markers and phylogenetic analyses.

Material and methods

Sample collection and processing

We sampled *G. disjuncta* at eight locations along the Belgian coast and southwestern part of The Netherlands (Fig. 1). Nematodes were collected from *Fucus* sp. fragments at four estuarine locations in the Westerschelde (Br, Pa, Ze and Kr), two coastal locations in Belgium (Ni and Bl), and one location in the Oosterschelde (Os, a semi-estuarine basin). In addition, we collected *G. disjuncta* from *Ulva* sp. and *Enteromorpha* sp. in Lake Grevelingen (Gr), as *Fucus* sp. was not found there. For a more detailed description of the locations and collection methods we refer to Derycke et al. (2006). This geographical sampling scheme was conducted in April 2003 and repeated in January 2004. Additional samples were collected in Br in summer 2003, and in Os and Gr in autumn 2003.

We incubated randomly collected fragments of algal thalli on agar-slants for a maximum of 5 days. This period is shorter than the shortest generation time of *G. disjuncta* (Vranken et al. 1988; Moens unpublished data) under optimal conditions, and hence ensured that we sampled the natural population rather than individuals bred in the laboratory. Adult *G. disjuncta* were identified alive under a dissecting microscope using morphological and behavioral characteristics, hand-picked and transferred to sterile water. Because of its small body size (less than 1.5 mm), and because of limits to the diagnostic power of the characteristics that can be observed on live individuals, we created an identification backup as follows: 80–100 individuals




Fig. 1 *Geomonhystera disjuncta*. Distribution of the five lineages (Gd1, Gd2, Gd3, Gd4 and Gd5) among the eight sampled locations in Belgium and The Netherlands. The stacked column diagrams show the percentage of each lineage during spring (sp), summer (su), and autumn (au) 2003 and winter 2004 (wi) in each

location. The summer and autumn campaign were only successful for 1 and 2 locations, respectively. *Ni* Nieuwpoort, *Bl* Blankenberge, *Br* Breskens, *Pa* Paulina, *Ze* Zeedorp, *Kr* Kruispolderhaven, *Os* Oosterschelde, *Gr* Grevelingen

from each location were transferred into one embryo dish containing sterile artificial seawater with a salinity of 25; 50 of these were randomly picked out and preserved on acetone (ca. 70–95%) for molecular analysis. The remaining individuals were mounted on glycerine slides (Vincx 1996), and subsequently identified at high magnification under a microscope (Leica DLMB; magnification 100×) using species descriptions (De Coninck and Schuurmans Stekhoven 1933; Gerlach 1965; Hopper 1969; Warwick et al. 1998). In addition, we performed morphometric analysis on a subset of individuals belonging to different mitochondrial lineages (throughout the manuscript, we use the term ‘lineage’ to refer to the highly divergent haplotype groups shown in Fig. 4): ten randomly chosen individuals (five males and five females) from each of four localities containing a single lineage (Gr and Br) or nearly so (i.e. $\geq 98\%$ of all individuals belong to the same lineage, Kr and Os) were processed. Glycerine slides were mounted under a Leitz Dialux 20 microscope (magnification 10×, 25× and 10×, 50×), and a Sanyo CCD video camera and the Quantimet 500 software were used for measurements. We measured total body length (L) and maximum body width (mbd). Additional parameters were anal body diameter (abd) and length of spicules (spic) for males, and distance between vulva-mouth (v) and between vulva-anus in

females. Three ratios were calculated: L/mbd , $spic/abd$, %V. This procedure enabled us to exclude misidentifications during the first step of the nematode isolation method.

Molecular analysis

We screened approximately 40 individuals from each location and time for variation in the mtDNA COI gene, except for Ni in January 2004, where $n = 23$. For a detailed description of the DNA extraction protocol we refer to Derycke et al. (2005). After DNA preparation, 422 bp of the COI gene were amplified with the following degenerated primers: JB2 (5′-ATGTTTGTATTTTACCWGCWTTYGGTGT-3′) and JB5GED (5′-AGCACCTAAACTTAAAACATARTGRAARTG-3′). All populations collected in April were analysed with this primer set. However, PCR-amplification of individuals from Os and Gr collected in autumn 2003 and winter 2004 was problematic. Therefore, we designed a new degenerated reverse primer (JB8: 5′-CCCCTCTAGTCTWCTATTTCTTAAATAC-3′) located 29 bp upstream of the 30 bp long JB5GED primer, yielding a fragment of 363 bp. PCR conditions were as in Derycke et al. (2005), except for the annealing temperature, which was 50°C for both primersets. Variation in the COI gene was detected

using the Single Strand Conformation Polymorphism (SSCP) method as described in Derycke et al. (2005). We sequenced all PCR-products with different SSCP-profiles with the aforementioned primers (accession numbers AM180357–AM180399), as were 10% of all individuals in every location to confirm the agreement between SSCP-band mobility and sequence variation. PCR products were purified and sequenced as described in Derycke et al. (2005).

COI haplotypes were grouped into five highly divergent lineages (Fig. 4). Three individuals of each lineage, representing the three most abundant haplotypes of that lineage, were sequenced for the nuclear ribosomal ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 region. In addition, we sequenced two individuals containing haplotype G61. The ITS region was amplified and sequenced as in Derycke et al. (2005) (accession numbers AM180400–AM180415).

Data analysis

Statistical analysis of morphometric data

The primary objective of the measurements was to ensure that all specimens were *G. disjuncta*. However, the same data allow a first exploration of whether morphological differences between the genetic lineages exist. The data met the assumptions of normality and homoscedasticity, and analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey's HSD pairwise comparisons (Statistica 6.0, Statsoft 2001) were used to assess whether morphological differences occurred between the mtDNA lineages.

COI variation and phylogenetic analysis

COI sequences were aligned in ClustalX v.1.74 (Thompson et al. 1997). The number of pairwise differences between all haplotypes was calculated in Mega 3.0 (Kumar et al. 2004). A statistical parsimony network was constructed in TCS v.1.18 (Clement et al. 2000) to explore the evolutionary relationships among haplotypes. This yielded several unconnected subnetworks, indicating that some haplotypes were too divergent to establish a reliable parsimonious connection (i.e. 95%). The aligned sequences were used for further phylogenetic analysis in PAUP* 4.0 beta 10 (Swofford 1998).

The ITS alignment was constructed in ClustalX v.1.74 (Thompson et al. 1997) with default gap costs. Several indels were observed, and ambiguous sites were improved manually in Genedoc 2.6 (Nicholas and Nicholas 1997). Modeltest 3.7 (Posada and Crandall

1998) and the Akaike Information Criterion (Posada and Buckley 2004) were used to determine the maximum likelihood settings for constructing the neighbour-joining (NJ) tree: the HKY+I+G model (Hasegawa et al. 1985) gave the best fit for the COI fragment and for the simultaneous analysis of COI and ITS. A permutation homology test (Mickey and Farris 1981) was performed using PAUP* to assess the degree of phylogenetic incongruence between COI and ITS data. Parsimony (MP) trees were obtained using a random stepwise addition of sequences and a tree-bisection–reconnection branch swapping algorithm (with 10,000 rearrangements). Bootstrap values for MP and NJ were inferred from 1000 replicates. In addition, a Bayesian analysis was performed in MrBayes v 3.1.2 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist 2005). Four independent Markov chains were run for 500,000 generations, with a tree saved every tenth generation. The first 10,000 trees were discarded as burn-in. MrModeltest 2.2 (Nylander 2004) was used to determine the best model for Bayesian analysis of our data: the HKY+I+G model best fitted the COI fragment, while the HKY+I model was chosen for the ITS data.

Population genetic structure (COI)

Intra-population diversity was assessed by calculating haplotype- (h) , Nei 1987) and nucleotide diversity (π , Nei 1987) in Arlequin v.2.0 (Schneider et al. 2000). Both parameters were tested for significant differences between mitochondrial lineages and between locations within lineages by a non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis test. Pairwise a posteriori comparisons were performed with the Mann–Whitney U test, corrected by the sequential Bonferroni method (Rice 1989). The statistical tests were performed with the Statistica 6.0 program (Statsoft 2001).

Heterogeneity between populations was investigated with an analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) as implemented in Arlequin v2.0. The total dataset was divided such that genetic structure was analysed for each lineage and at each time point separately by a single-level AMOVA (i.e. without nesting). This analysis could not be performed for Gd4 and Gd5, as these lineages were restricted to a single locality. The isolation-by-distance model was tested with IBD 1.5 (Bohonak 2002) for those lineages occurring in several locations at both time points (Gd1 and Gd3). Geographic distance between populations was measured as the shortest continuous water surface distance, and was compared with Cavalli-Sforza and Edwards' (1967) chord distances (DCE) between populations.




Fig. 2 *Geomonhystera disjuncta*. Morphometric data of specimens belonging to lineages Gd4, Gd1, Gd2 and Gd3, respectively. **a** L/mbd ratio of males (black dots) and females (white

dots), **b** spic/abd ratio of males. Each dot represents the average of measurements in five specimens, and error bars represent standard deviations. Current effect: $F(3, 16) = 4.61$, $P = 0.017$

Temporal analysis of the population genetic structure

No temporal analysis of the genetic structure was performed for lineage Gd2 as it only occurred at one location (Ni) in January 2004 (Fig. 1). Stability of the observed genetic patterns over time in lineages Gd1 and Gd3 was tested in two different ways. First, significant differences in the level of spatial genetic variation (F_{st}) for Gd1 and Gd3 in 2003 and 2004 were tested with a randomization procedure (10,000 permutations) as implemented in *Fstat v 2.9.3* (Goudet 2001). Secondly, Cavalli-Sforza and Edwards' (1967) chord distances (DCE) for Gd1 and Gd3 were calculated between populations within each year using *Phylip 3.6* (Felsenstein 2004). This yielded two matrices (spring 2003 and winter 2004) with genetic distances for each lineage. These matrices were compared by a Mantel test with 1,000 permutations as implemented in *TTPGA 1.3* (Miller 1997). A significant test result indicates that genetic distances between localities are correlated and thus that the degree of genetic structure remains stable over time. Finally, spatial and temporal differentiation were compared in a two-level AMOVA (i.e. temporal samples were pooled within localities) for both lineages. Temporal changes in haplotype frequencies within every locality were investigated for all five lineages separately using a single-level AMOVA.

Results

Morphometric data

Male body length varied between 0.84 and 1.40 mm, while female body length ranged between 0.87 and 1.33 mm. No significant differences were observed between lineages ($P = 0.35$ for males and $P = 0.52$ for females). Maximum body width in females ranged


from 31.86 to 49.15 μm and from 26.8 to 42.1 μm in males, which resulted in lower values of the female L/mbd ratio (Fig. 2a). Total body width was similar for all lineages ($P = 0.91$ for males and $P = 0.45$ for females). No significant differences in measurements were found among females when allocated to genetic lineages: e.g. %V ranged from 84.35 to 93.22% ($P = 0.1$). Although spicule length (ranging from 32.35 to 41.26 μm) and abd (ranging from 21.09 to 29.87) were not significantly different between lineages ($P = 0.29$ and $P = 0.11$, respectively), the spic/abd ratio was different between males from lineages Gd2 and Gd3 ($P = 0.01$, Fig. 2b).

COI variation

A total of 311 bp of the COI gene was screened in 759 individuals of *G. disjuncta* (Table 1). This fragment contained 117 variable positions, 112 of which were parsimony informative and five of which were singletons. This yielded 43 different haplotypes, with divergences among haplotypes ranging from 0.32 to 25.72% (1–80 substitutions). This corresponded with 0–6 amino acid changes. No indels occurred in the alignment. The parsimony network contained six unconnected sub-networks, indicating that high levels of divergence were present between these haplotype groups (the distinction between Gd1, 2, 3, 4a, 4b and 5 is based on the phylogenetic analysis (see next section); Fig. 3). For clarity, these haplotype groups will be referred to as lineages in what follows. The number of substitutions between haplotypes of a particular lineage was low and ranged from 0.32 to 2.56% (but see end of next section), while high divergences were observed between haplotypes of different lineages (13.8–25.7%).

Lineage Gd3 was highly diverse and contained 17 haplotypes, which are connected to each other via a starlike pattern. Haplotypes N and U occurred in high frequency while most other haplotypes were rare

Fig. 3 *Geomonhystera disjuncta*. Statistical parsimony network among 42 COI haplotypes (haplotype D1 is missing due to incomplete sequence information). *Shadings* are in agreement with the lineages presented in Fig. 1. *Circles* are proportional to the absolute frequency of haplotypes in the total dataset. *Small white circles* are missing haplotypes



(Fig. 3). In fact, these two haplotypes were the most abundant and widespread haplotypes: they were present in each locality, except for Gr and Os. Gd1 and Gd4a contained few rare haplotypes and are characterized by many missing haplotypes. Gd4b consisted of a single haplotype (G61), and this haplotype contained four of the five observed singletons. Like all haplotypes of Gd4a, haplotype G61 only occurred in Gr. A starlike pattern was also present in haplotype group Gd2. Interestingly, the most frequently observed haplotype (F) did not occur in the center of this pattern. Finally, three rare haplotypes formed haplotype group Gd5, which was only observed in Os.

Unlike the parsimony network, the number of amino acid substitutions gave additional information on the relationship between the haplotype groups: no amino acid substitutions occurred between haplotype groups Gd1, Gd4a and Gd4b and between Gd2 and Gd5, while four to five amino acid changes occurred between groups Gd1+Gd4a+Gd4b, Gd2+Gd5 and Gd3. The number of unique fixed base differences (i.e. the number of bases at which a ‘species’ is different from all others, Kliman and Hey 1993) for the six groups ranged from 3 to 18.

Phylogenetic analysis

MP, NJ and Bayesian analysis of the 43 COI haplotypes yielded highly concordant tree topologies (Fig. 4a). Five haplotype groups with high bootstrap support and deep divergences were distinguished and were identical to five of the six subnetworks identified in the parsimony network (Fig. 3). Haplotype G61 (Gd4b) clearly was more related to the haplotypes of subnet Gd4a than to all other haplotypes.

The final ITS alignment was 749 bp long and contained 211 variable positions, 201 of which were parsimony informative. Up to four long indels (12–36 bp) were observed in the ITS1 alignment (338 bp), whereas four small indels (2–9 bp) occurred in the ITS2 alignment (247 bp). The 5.8 S region (165 bp) contained 0–25 substitutions, but no indels were observed. The partition homogeneity test suggested that COI and ITS did not possess significantly conflicting phylogenetic signals ($P = 0.89$). Both markers were therefore combined for further phylogenetic analysis and give strong support for all five lineages (Fig. 4b). The total length of the ITS spacer region varied between the three major clades from 614 to 733 bp, while only few indel events were observed within each major clade (0–2 bp). Divergences within the five lineages varied between 0 and 2%, while up to 22.9% variation was present between lineages (Table 2). Interestingly, individuals containing the mitochondrial haplotype G61 had identical ITS sequences as individuals of lineage Gd4. We therefore divided all 759 individuals into five distinct lineages (taxa) (Gd1–5), and treated haplotype G61 as a long-branch within lineage Gd4. In addition, this subdivision is based on extrinsic geographical data (see next section). Adding G61 to Gd4 increased the intralocus divergence of the COI fragment to 10.9%.

Distribution of the five Gd lineages

Figure 1 shows the relative abundances and geographical distribution of each lineage at two (or three) sampling events. Several lineages co-occurred at different localities, but two were clearly restricted to one locality: Gd4 was only found in Gr and Gd5 in Os.

Fig. 4 *Geomonhystera disjuncta*. Maximum parsimony tree of COI haplotypes (a) and COI and ITS-spacer data combined (b). Five lineages (Gd1–5) are indicated with black bars. Bootstrap values of MP and NJ, followed by Bayesian probabilities are indicated above branches




Figure 1 also indicates that Gd1 rather than Gd5 was dominant in Os. In fact, only 2% of all individuals belonged to Gd5. In contrast, 43% of all individuals belonged to Gd3.

Temporal fluctuations in relative abundances were especially prominent in lineage Gd2: more than 80% of the individuals from Ni and Kr and more than 40% of the specimens from Ze belonged to this group in April 2003. However, these abundances declined and even disappeared in January 2004, concomitantly with an increase in the relative abundance of lineage Gd3. Although Gd3 was highly abundant in the estuarine and coastal locations, it was not found in Os and Gr.

Population genetic structure

Values of intra-population diversity parameters for each lineage separately are summarized in Table 1. Haplotype diversity (h) for each lineage was high and

similar in all locations (Kruskal–Wallis: $P > 0.2$). Nucleotide diversity (π) was also similar among locations (Kruskal–Wallis: $P > 0.2$), but significant differences were observed when π values were compared between lineages ($P = 0.003$). These differences were situated between lineage Gd1 and Gd3 (Mann–Whitney U and corrected with Bonferroni: $P = 0.001$). Highest nucleotide diversity (π) was observed in populations of Gd4, but this was not significant after Bonferroni correction.

All sampled locations can freely exchange individuals, except for Os and Lake Grevelingen, which are isolated from the North Sea by man made barriers. Contrary to Lake Grevelingen, the Os population is not completely closed from the North Sea due to the presence of the storm surge barrier, which is open for several periods in a year.

A highly significant geographical subdivision was observed in the genetic composition of lineages Gd1

Table 2 *Geomonhystera disjuncta*. Divergence ranges (%) among the five lineages (Gd1–5)

	GD1	GD2	GD3	GD4	GD5
GD1		17.6–23.2	23.6–25.4	17.36–19.3	22.1–23.2
GD2	19.8–21.1		20.6–23.2	21.2–25.7	13.8–16.1
GD3	19.5–21.1	22.4–24.1		21.9–25.4	24.4–25.7
GD4	1.0–1.2	19.5–19.6	18.9–20.8		22.8–25.1
GD5	20.0–20.3	3.4–3.7	22.9–24.7	19.8–20.0	

Above diagonal are values for the COI fragment, below diagonal are values for the ITS spacer region

Table 3 *Geomonhystera disjuncta*. Single-level AMOVA results for lineages Gd1, Gd2 and Gd3 in spring 2003 and winter 2004

	GD1			GD2			GD3		
	%	Fst	p-value	%	Fst	p-value	%	Fst	p-value
Spring 2003									
among populations	25.07	0.25	***	4.24	0.04	NS	13.20	0.13	***
within populations	74.93			95.76			86.80		
Winter 2004									
among populations	16.50	0.16	***				11.42	0.11	***
within populations	83.50						88.58		

NS Not significant

*** $P < 0.0001$

and Gd3, at both time points (Table 3). For Gd1, this was mainly caused by differences in haplotype frequencies between Pa and Os, with the latter population containing one unique haplotype (B) (Fig. 5). For Gd3, a highly significant structuring was observed even within the Westerschelde estuary, in April 2003 ($F_{st} = 0.15$, $P < 0.0001$) as well as in January 2004 ($F_{st} = 0.13$, $P < 0.0001$). In contrast, lineage Gd2 exhibited no significant genetic substructuring (Table 3). However, omitting Os did produce a low structuring among the other three locations ($F_{st} = 0.056$, $P = 0.01$). No correlation was found between DCE genetic distances and geographic distances ($P > 0.5$ for Gd1; $P > 0.2$ for Gd3), indicating that the observed genetic structure is not the result of an isolation-by-distance model.

Temporal analysis of population genetic structure

The level of variation between populations (F_{st} values) did not change significantly over time (F_{stat} : $P = 0.56$ for Gd1, $P = 0.65$ for Gd3), but changes in the genetic composition among populations did occur (Manteltest, Gd1: $r = 0.44$ and $P = 0.1$; Gd3: $r = -0.12$ and $P = 0.73$). This change in haplotype composition is illustrated in Fig. 5 for both lineages. Because the frequency of lineage Gd1 was low in most locations (Table 1), only haplotype composition in Pa and Os are presented. Temporal differentiation was large and highly significant in Pa ($F_{st} = 0.45$), while only small differences were found in Os ($F_{st} = 0.03$). For Gd3, temporal differences were high in Ze ($F_{st} = 0.2$) and Bl ($F_{st} = 0.11$). No significant temporal changes were observed in Pa.

In addition, significant temporal fluctuations in haplotype frequencies were prominent for lineage Gd4 in Gr ($F_{st} = 0.21$, $P < 0.0001$), and for lineage Gd2 in Ni ($F_{st} = 0.51$, $P < 0.0001$). Temporal differentiation for lineage Gd5 in Os was high but only just significant ($F_{st} = 0.15$, $P = 0.03$).

Discussion

Molecular diversity in nematodes

All our morphometric measurements fall within the range of variation observed in *G. disjuncta* (De Coninck and Schuurmans Stekhoven 1933; Hopper 1969) and several females contained eggs with embryos, a feature that within the genus only has been observed in *G. disjuncta* and *G. chitwoodi* (Chitwood, 1951). However, both species differ in the position of the vulva, which is situated much more posteriorly in *G. disjuncta*. Consequently, all specimens analysed in this study are *G. disjuncta*. Our morphometric data did not detect much morphological differentiation between lineages, but a more comprehensive study is clearly required to infer whether the genetic lineages are also accompanied by morphological differences.

Both COI and ITS data reveal high levels of molecular diversity within *G. disjuncta*: five distinct lineages were found on a small spatial scale, with low levels of divergence within lineages ($< 3\%$, except for Gd4), and high levels of variation between lineages ($> 13\%$). Intraspecific divergences for COI in parasitic nematode species are commonly lower than 5% (Blouin 2002; Hu et al. 2002; Otranto et al. 2005), while congeneric species typically show divergences in the range of 10–20% (Blouin 2002). However, a straightforward delineation of species based solely on genetic divergence data is problematic (Ferguson 2002): high levels of intraspecific divergence have been found in other nematode species, e.g. 8.4% in *Oesophagostomum bifurcum* (De Gruijter et al. 2002) and 8.6% in *Ancylostoma caninum* (Hu et al. 2002), while lower than 10% divergence has been recorded between congeneric species of *Pellioiditis* (Derycke et al. 2005) and *Ancylostoma* (Hu et al. 2002). Consequently, multiple molecular markers are needed for taxonomic interpretations of deeply diverged lineages within the Nematoda (Nadler 2002).




Fig. 5 *Geomonhystera disjuncta*. Lineage Gd1 and Gd3. Distribution of the five most abundant haplotypes in spring 2003 (*sp*) and winter 2004 (*wi*) among the eight locations. The rarer haplotypes are pooled in a sixth class. Sample abbreviations are as in Fig. 1

The ITS data reveal the same five lineages, but divergence values between them are much lower (< 4%) than in the mitochondrial COI gene (> 13%, see Table 2). Evolution of the ITS region is influenced by factors such as functional constraint, unequal crossing over and gene conversion, which all reduce intraspecific variation (Hillis and Dixon 1991). In addition, mitochondrial genes have higher mutation rates and a four-fold smaller effective size and consequently evolve more rapidly than the nuclear genes (Avise 2000).

Population genetic structure: spatial and temporal patterns

Significant population structuring was observed in all lineages of *G. disjuncta* occurring at more than one location, even within the Westerschelde. This suggests restricted gene flow and limited effective dispersal between populations. However, observations of rafting [e.g. on algae, (Derycke, personal observation), and on sediment films, Faust and Gullledge (1996)] indicate that dispersal in nematodes inhabiting algal substrata can be substantial (Ullberg 2004). Hence, it is questionable whether the observed genetic structure of the *G. dis-*

juncta lineages can be due to the mere lack of gene flow, as the exchange of only one migrant (assuming that selection and genetic drift are low, Slatkin 1987) could be sufficient to prevent the accumulation of large genetic differences between populations. In spite of differences in life-history characteristics and habitat preferences, genetic structuring was similar in the most abundant lineage of the *P. marina* and *G. disjuncta* complexes: F_{st} values in April 2003 and Winter 2004 were 0.09 and 0.11, respectively, for PmI, and 0.13 and 0.11, respectively, for Gd3.

Our data also show that the observed genetic structure changes over time and that temporal fluctuations in haplotype frequencies are substantial within the *G. disjuncta* complex. *G. disjuncta* is a strong colonizer, which reaches its maximal abundances on decomposing algal thalli later and maintains high densities longer than *P. marina* (Bongers and Bongers 1998; Mokievsky et al 2005; Derycke, unpublished data). Hence, two alternative hypotheses for the differences in haplotype frequencies are plausible. First, the temporal differences can be caused by colonization–extinction dynamics (De Meester et al. 2002; Derycke et al. 2006). Considering the ephemeral nat-

ure and patchy distribution of algal deposits, meta-population dynamics are indeed likely in *G. disjuncta*. However, *G. disjuncta* is also frequently observed in the sediment, and consequently extinction of *G. disjuncta* when algae are completely decomposed is unlikely. Another explanation is that *G. disjuncta* colonizes the algae and subsequently exhibits priority effects, i.e. the first colonizing individuals have such a strong population development that they reduce the settlement of new specimens. Depending on which individuals arrive at the patches, differences in haplotype composition can occur. Such priority effects have been observed in lentic habitats (Boileau et al. 1992) and recently also in a field experiment with *P. marina* (Derycke et al. 2007).

Taxonomy in marine nematodes

Both COI and ITS data reveal high levels of intraspecific diversity within *G. disjuncta*. The concordance among COI and ITS data suggests cyto-nuclear disequilibrium, which is caused by either reproductive isolation, epistatic effects across genomes or drift (Asmussen et al. 1987). Even separately (i.e. ITS1, ITS2 and to a lesser extent 5.8 S, data not shown), the nuclear regions show the same phylogenetic pattern as the mitochondrial gene, hence the disequilibrium is most likely caused by reproductive isolation (Latta et al. 2001). In addition, the number of unique fixed differences between lineages for both molecular markers confirms that all lineages have distinct gene pools, even though they co-occur at most locations. Both arguments suggest that the lineages are in fact ‘biological species’. As all lineages are monophyletic for both molecular markers, they are also phylogenetic and genealogical species (Rocha-Olivares et al. 2001). Lineage Gd3 contains the highest number of haplotypes and is the most abundant and widespread lineage. It has, however, the lowest nucleotide diversity of all lineages, indicating that haplotypes have not accumulated many mutations. Several unique haplotypes are present and connected via a star-like pattern, which suggests a recent radiation of this lineage (Rogers and Harpending 1992).

Two pairs of sistertaxa are present (Gd1 and Gd4, Gd2 and Gd5), with Gd4 and Gd5 exclusively found in Gr and Os, respectively. As elaborately described and discussed in Derycke et al. (2006), allopatric speciation is unlikely since the geographical separation happened too recently for the lineages to have accumulated such high divergences. However, Ullberg (2004) pointed out that restricted gene flow and the direct developing larvae in nematodes can lead to an increased speciation rate in this phylum. Next to the presence of geo-

graphical barriers in both Os and Gr, they also contain different environmental characteristics and substrata (Derycke et al. 2006). Clearly, additional sampling in Gr and Os and on a broader geographical scale is needed to elucidate the modes of speciation.

The cryptic diversity observed in *G. disjuncta* as well as in *P. marina* highlights the difficulties of a morphology-based identification system for nematodes. In view of the effort required to find morphological diagnostic features, DNA-barcoding may provide a first step towards a more efficient search (De Ley et al. 2005). The COI gene has been designated as a good marker for barcoding life on earth (Hebert et al. 2003a, b), and at first sight, the results of the present and earlier work (Derycke et al. 2005, 2006) could be considered as an indication that COI would be applicable for nematode identification, as it recognizes both cryptic and morphological taxa. Moreover, a huge part of the nematode diversity is currently unknown, and therefore a good barcoding marker for the phylum Nematoda preferably should also be phylogenetically informative so that new species can be discovered. Our COI sequences were phylogenetically informative, but some haplotypes remained unresolved (e.g. haplotype G61, or the Z haplotypes in *P. marina*, Derycke et al. 2006), supporting the contention that more than one genomic DNA sequence is required to distinguish closely related taxa by barcoding (Mallet and Willmott 2003; Tautz et al. 2003). One major drawback of the COI gene is its difficult amplification within the Nematoda (De Ley et al. 2005; Derycke et al. 2005; Bhadury et al. 2006). This and previous studies (Derycke et al. 2005, 2006) illustrate that more than one set of primers will be required for COI barcoding in this phylum. The ITS fragment, by contrast, was easily amplified in our species, but we feel that it is not a good universal identification tool for two reasons: (1) intra-individual variation was frequently observed, which reduces the sequencing signal and (2) a high amount of indel events are present within closely related cryptic taxa, rendering alignment between divergent taxa problematic. A promising alternative for DNA barcoding purposes in nematode groups for which COI was not a good marker seem to be the SSU and LSU rDNA segments (Floyd et al. 2002; Blaxter 2004; De Ley et al. 2005), which also works well for *P. marina* (Derycke et al. 2007).

Conclusion

Our studies in two nematode species (*G. disjuncta* and *P. marina*) indicate that cryptic diversity is likely to be

substantial within free-living nematodes. This has important implications for biodiversity studies, and potentially renders a highly speciose phylum even more speciose than anticipated. Differences in life-history characteristics between *P. marina* and *G. disjuncta* did not result in clear differences in genetic patterns. Furthermore, colonization dynamics in combination with persistent founder effects were the most plausible explanation for the observed genetic patterns within the *G. disjuncta* lineages. In addition, *P. marina* and *G. disjuncta* prevail on decomposing macroalgae in estuaries and coastal zones. Because of their life-history characteristics and ‘epiphytic’ rather than endobenthic microhabitats, they are not very ‘typical’ marine nematodes. Therefore, extrapolation of our results to other marine nematodes requires some caution. Further research on a truly marine, less opportunistic species with different habitat preferences and life-history characteristics than *P. marina* and *G. disjuncta* will provide information on the generality of our results for the Nematoda. Such information is crucial to any assessment of marine nematode species diversity and to barcoding attempts for the phylum Nematoda in general.

Acknowledgments The authors thank Dr. T. Remerie for his suggestions and help in retrieving the data and Dr. M. Raes for his help with the morphological measurements of the specimens. S.D. acknowledges a grant from the Flemish Institute for the Promotion of Scientific-Technological Research (I.W.T.). T.M. is a postdoctoral fellow with the Flemish Fund for Scientific Research. Further financial support was obtained from Ghent University in BOF-projects 1205398 (GOA) and 01GZ0705 (GOA-BBSea).

References

- Andrássy I (1981) Revision of the order Monhysterida (Nematoda) inhabiting soil and inland waters. *Opus Zool* 17:18:13–47
- Asmussen MA, Arnold J, Avise JC (1987) Definition and properties of disequilibrium statistics for associations between nuclear and cytoplasmic genotypes. *Genetics* 115:755–768
- Avise JC (2000) *Phylogeography: the history and formation of species*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge
- Bhadury P, Austen MC, Bilton DT, Lamshead PJD, Rogers AD, Smerdon GR (2006) Development and evaluation of a DNA-barcoding approach for the rapid identification of nematodes. *Mar Ecol Progr Ser* 320:1–9
- Blaxter M (2004) The promise of a DNA taxonomy. *Phil Trans R Soc Lond B* 359:669–679
- Blaxter M, Mann J, Chapman T, Thomas F, Whitton C, Floyd R, Abebe E (2005) Defining operational taxonomic units using DNA barcode data. *Phil Trans R Soc B* 360:1935–1943
- Blouin MS (2002) Molecular prospecting for cryptic species of nematodes: mitochondrial DNA versus internal transcribed spacer. *Int J Parasitol* 32:527–531
- Blouin MS, Yowell CA, Courtney CH, Dame JB (1995) Host-movement and the genetic structure of populations of parasitic nematodes. *Genetics* 141:1007–1014
- Bohonak AJ (2002) IBD (Isolation By Distance): a program for analyses of isolation by distance. *J Hered* 93:153–154
- Boileau M, Hebert PDN, Schwartz SS (1992) Non-equilibrium gene frequency divergence: persistent founder effects in natural populations. *J Evol Biol* 5:25–39
- Bongers T, Bongers M (1998) Functional diversity of nematodes. *Appl Soil Ecol* 10:239–251
- Caudill CC, Bucklin A (2004) Molecular phylogeography and evolutionary history of the estuarine copepod *Acartia tonsa*, on the Northwest Atlantic coast. *Hydrobiologia* 511:91–102
- Cavalli-Sforza LL, Edwards AWF (1967) Phylogenetic analysis: models and estimation procedures. *Evolution* 21:550–570
- Clement M, Posada D, Crandall KA (2000) TCE: a computer program to estimate gene genealogies. *Mol Ecol* 9:1657–1659
- Coomans A (2002) Present status and future of nematode systematics. *Nematology* 4:573–582
- De Coninck LA, Schuurmans Stekhoven JH (1933) The free-living marine nemas of the Belgian coast II. *Mém Mus R Hist Nat Belg* 58:1–163
- De Gruijter JM, Polderman AM, Zhu XQ, Gasser RB (2002) Screening for haplotypic variability within *Oesophagostomum bifurcum* (Nematoda) employing a single-strand conformation polymorphism approach. *Mol Cell Prob* 16:185–190
- De Ley P, Tandingan De Ley I, Morris K, Abebe E, Mundo-Ocampo M, Yoder M, Heras J, Waumann D, Rocha-Olivares A, Burr AH, Baldwin JG, Thomas WK (2005) An integrated approach to fast and informative morphological vouchering of nematodes for applications in molecular barcoding. *Phil Trans R Soc B* 360:1945–1958
- De Meester L, Gómez A, Okamura B, Schwenk K (2002) The monopolization hypothesis and the dispersal-gene flow paradox in aquatic organisms. *Acta Oecol* 23:121–135
- Derycke S, Remerie T, Vierstraete A, Backeljau T, Vanfleteren J, Vincx M, Moens T (2005) Mitochondrial DNA variation and cryptic speciation within the free-living marine nematode *Pellioiditis marina*. *Mar Ecol Progr Ser* 300:91–103
- Derycke S, Backeljau T, Vlaeminck C, Vierstraete A, Vanfleteren J, Vincx M, Moens T (2006) Seasonal dynamics of population genetic structure in cryptic taxa of the *Pellioiditis marina* complex (Nematoda: Rhabditida). *Genetica* 128:307–321
- Derycke S, Van Vynckt R, Vanoverbeke J, Vincx M, Moens T (2007) Colonization patterns of Nematoda on decomposing algae in the estuarine environment: Community assembly and genetic structure of the dominant species *Pellioiditis marina*. *Limnol Oceanogr* (in press)
- Faust MA, Gullede RA (1996) Associations of microalgae and meiofauna in floating detritus at a mangrove island, Twin Cays, Belize. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 22:115–123
- Felsenstein J (2004) PHYLIP: Phylogeny inference package v 3.6, University of Washington, USA
- Ferguson JWH (2002). On the use of genetic divergence for identifying species. *Biol J Linn Soc* 75:509–516
- Floyd R, Abebe E, Papert A, Blaxter M (2002) Molecular barcodes for soil nematode identification. *Mol Ecol* 11:839–850
- Gerlach SA (1965) Freilebende Meeresnematoden aus der Gezeitenzone von Spitzbergen. *Veröff Inst Meeresforsch Bremerh* 9:109–172
- Goudet J (2001) FSTAT, a program to estimate and test gene diversities and fixation indices (version 2.9.3). Lausanne, Switzerland

- Govindarajan AL, Piraino S, Gravili C, Kubota S (2005) Species identification of bivalve-inhabiting marine hydrozoans of the genus *Eugymnanthea*. *Invert Biol* 124:1–10
- Hasegawa M, Kishino K, Yano T (1985) Dating the human-ape splitting by a molecular clock of mitochondrial DNA. *J Mol Evol* 22:160–174
- Hebert PDN, Cywinska A, Ball SL, deWaard JR (2003a) Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. *Proc R Soc Lond B* 270:313–321
- Hebert PDN, Ratnasingham S, deWaard JR (2003b) Barcoding animal life: cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 divergences among closely related species. *Proc R Soc Lond B* 270(suppl):96–99
- Heip C, Vincx M, Vranken G (1985) The ecology of marine nematodes. *Oceanogr Mar Biol Annu Rev* 23:399–489
- Hillis DM, Dixon MT (1991) Ribosomal DNA: molecular evolution and phylogenetic inference. *Q Rev Biol* 66:411–437
- Hoglund J, Engstrom A, Morrison DA, Mattson JG (2004) Genetic diversity assessed by amplified fragment length polymorphism analysis of the parasitic nematode *Dictyocaulus viviparus*, the lungworm of cattle. *Int J Par* 34:475–484
- Hopper BE (1969) Marine nematodes of Canada II. Marine nematodes from the Minas Basin-Scots Bay area of the bay of Fundy, Nova Scotia. *Can J Zool* 46:655–661
- Hu M, Chilton NB, Zhu XQ, Gasser RB (2002) Single-strand conformation polymorphism-based analysis of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 reveals significant substructuring in hookworm populations. *Electrophoresis* 23:27–34
- Huelsenbeck JP, Ronquist F (2005) Mr Bayes v 3.1.2, Bayesian Analysis of Phylogeny. University of California, San Diego and Florida State University
- Hugot JP, Baujard P, Morand S (2001) Biodiversity in helminthes and nematodes as a field of study: an overview. *Nematology* 3:199–208
- Jacobs L (1987) A checklist of the Monhysteridae (Nematoda, Monhysterida). Rand Afrikaans University, Johannesburg
- Kliman RM, Hey J (1993) DNA sequence variation at the *period* locus within and among species of the *Drosophila melanogaster* complex. *Genetics* 133:375–387
- Knowlton N (2000) Molecular genetic analysis of species boundaries in the sea. *Hydrobiologia* 420:73–90
- Kumar S, Tamura K, Nei M (2004) MEGA3: Integrated software for molecular evolutionary genetics analysis and sequence alignment. *Brief Bioinform* 5:150–163
- Lambshhead PJD (2004) Marine nematode biodiversity. In: Chen ZX, Chen SY, Dickson DW (eds) *Nematode morphology, physiology and ecology*, vol 1. Tsinghua University Press, Beijing, pp 438–492
- Latta RG, Linhart YB, Mitton JB (2001) Cytonuclear disequilibrium and genetic drift in a natural population of *Ponderosa* Pine. *Genetics* 158:843–850
- Mallet J, Willmott K (2003) Taxonomy: renaissance or Tower of Babel? *TREE* 18:57–59
- Markmann M, Tautz D (2005) Reverse taxonomy: an approach towards determining the diversity of meiobenthic organisms based on ribosomal RNA signature sequences. *Philos Trans R Lond B* 360:1917–1924
- Mickevich ME, Farris JS (1981) The implications of congruence in *Menidia*. *Syst Zool* 27:143–158
- Miller MP (1997) Tools for population genetic analysis (TFPGA) 1.3 A Windows program for the analysis of allozyme and molecular population genetic data
- Moens T, Vincx M (2000) Temperature, salinity and food thresholds in two brackish-water bacterivorous nematode species: assessing niches from food absorption and respiration experiments. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 243:137–154
- Mokievsky VO, Filippova KA, Chesunov AV (2005) Nematode fauna associated with detached kelp accumulations in the subtidal zone of the White Sea. *Oceanology* 45:689–697
- Nei M (1987) *Molecular evolutionary genetics*. Columbia University Press, New York
- Nicholas KB, Nicholas HB (1997) Genedoc: a tool for editing and annotating multiple sequence alignments. Distributed by the author
- Nylander JAA (2004) MrModeltest v2. Program distributed by the author. Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University
- Otranto D, Testini G, De Luca F, Hu M, Shamsi S, Gasser RB (2005) Analysis of genetic variability within *Thelazia callipaeda* (Nematoda: Thelazioidea) from Europe and Asia by sequencing and mutation scanning of the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 gene. *Mol Cell Probes* 19:306–313
- Ovenden JR, Salini J, O'Connor S, Street R (2004) Pronounced genetic population structure in a potentially vagile fish species (*Pristipomoides multidens*, Teleostei; Perciformes; Lutjanidae) from the East Indies triangle. *Mol Ecol* 13:1991–1999
- Picard D, Plantard O, Scurrah M, Mugniéry D (2004) Inbreeding and population structure of the potato cyst nematode (*Globodera pallida*) in its native area (Peru). *Mol Ecol* 13:2899–2908
- Posada D, Buckley TR (2004) Model selection and model averaging in phylogenetics: advantages of Akaike information criterion and Bayesian approaches over likelihood ratio tests. *Syst Biol* 53:793–808
- Posada D, Crandall KA (1998) Modeltest: testing the model of DNA substitution. *Bioinformatics* 14:817–818
- Rice WR (1989) Analyzing tables of statistical tests. *Evolution* 43:223–225
- Rocha-Olivares A, Fleeger JW, Foltz DW (2001) Decoupling of molecular and morphological evolution in deep lineages of a meiobenthic harpacticoid copepod. *Mol Biol Evol* 18:1088–1102
- Rogers AR, Harpending H (1992) Population growth makes waves in the distribution of pairwise genetic differences. *Mol Biol Evol* 9:552–569
- Schizas NV, Street GT, Coull BC, Chandler GT, Quattro JM (1999) Molecular population structure of the marine benthic copepod *Microarthridion littorale* along the south eastern and Gulf coasts of the USA. *Mar Biol* 135:399–405
- Schneider S, Roessli D, Excoffier L (2000) Arlequin ver. 2.000: A software for population genetics data analysis. Genetics and Biometry Laboratory, University of Geneva, Switzerland
- Sivasundar A, Hey J (2005) Sampling from natural populations with RNAi reveals high outcrossing and population structure in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Curr Biol* 15:1598–1602
- Slatkin M (1987) Gene flow and the geographic structure of natural populations. *Science* 236:787–792
- StatSoft, Inc. (2001). STATISTICA (data analysis software system), version 6. <http://www.statsoft.com>
- Swofford DL (1998) PAUP*. Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (*and other methods). Version 4. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland
- Tautz D, Arctander P, Minelli A, Thomas RH, Vogler AP (2003) A plea for DNA taxonomy. *TREE* 18:70–74
- Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Plewniak F, Jeanmougin F, Higgins DG (1997) The Clustal_X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Res* 24:4876–4882

- Ullberg J (2004) Dispersal in free-living, marine, benthic nematodes: passive or active processes? PhD Dissertation, Stockholm University, Sweden, p 27
- Vincx M (1990) Diversity of nematode communities in the Southern bight of the North Sea. *Neth J Sea Res* 25:181–188
- Vincx M (1996) Meiofauna in marine and freshwater sediments. In: Hall GS (ed) *Methods for the examination of organismal diversity in soils and sediments*. CAB International IUBS UNESCO, New York, pp 187–195
- Vincx M, Meire P, Heip C (1990) The distribution of nematode communities in the Southern Bight of the North-Sea. *Cah Biol Mar* 31:107–129
- Vranken G (1987) An autecological study of free-living marine nematodes. *Acad Anal- Meded K Acad Belg KI Wet* 49:73–97
- Vranken G, Herman PJM, Heip C (1988) Studies of life-history and energetics of marine and brackish-water nematodes. I. Demography of *Monhystera disjuncta* at different temperature and feeding conditions. *Oecologia* 77:296–301
- Warwick RM, Platt HM, Somerfield PJ (1998) *Free-living marine nematodes Part III. Monhysterids*. Synopsis of the British fauna 53, Dorset Press, Great Britain