RESEARCH ARTICLE

Soleus H‑refex amplitude modulation during walking remains physiological during transspinal stimulation in humans

Abdullah M. Sayed Ahmad^{1,2} · Meghan Raphael² · Jessy Feng Han² · Yoseph Ahmed² · Mohamed Moustafa² · **Shammah K. Solomon**^{1,2} [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5924-569X) Andreas Skiadopoulos^{1,2} · Maria Knikou^{1,2,[3](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6277-236X)} ·

Received: 6 November 2023 / Accepted: 8 January 2024 / Published online: 16 February 2024 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2024, corrected publication 2024

Abstract

The soleus H-refex modulation pattern was investigated during stepping following transspinal stimulation over the thoracolumbar region at 15, 30, and 50 Hz with 10 kHz carry-over frequency above and below the paresthesia threshold. The soleus H-refex was elicited by posterior tibial nerve stimulation with a single 1 ms pulse at an intensity that the M-wave amplitudes ranged from 0 to 15% of the maximal M-wave evoked 80 ms after the test stimulus, and the soleus H-refex was half the size of the maximal H-refex evoked on the ascending portion of the recruitment curve. During treadmill walking, the soleus H-refex was elicited every 2 or 3 steps, and stimuli were randomly dispersed across the step cycle which was divided in 16 equal bins. For each subject and condition, the soleus M-wave and H-refex were normalized to the maximal M-wave. The soleus background electromyographic (EMG) activity was estimated as the linear envelope for 50 ms duration starting at 100 ms before posterior tibial nerve stimulation for each bin. The gain was determined as the slope of the relationship between the soleus H-refex and the soleus background EMG activity. The soleus H-refex phase-dependent amplitude modulation remained unaltered during transspinal stimulation, regardless frequency, or intensity. Similarly, the H-refex slope and intercept remained the same for all transspinal stimulation conditions tested. Locomotor EMG activity was increased in knee extensor muscles during transspinal stimulation at 30 and 50 Hz throughout the step cycle while no efects were observed in fexor muscles. These fndings suggest that transspinal stimulation above and below the paresthesia threshold at 15, 30, and 50 Hz does not block or impair spinal integration of proprioceptive inputs and increases activity of thigh muscles that afect both hip and knee joint movement. Transspinal stimulation may serve as a neurorecovery strategy to augment standing or walking ability in upper motoneuron lesions.

Keywords Soleus H-refex · Stimulation frequency · Transspinal stimulation · Walking · Phase-dependent modulation

Communicated by Bill J Yates.

 \boxtimes Maria Knikou Maria.Knikou@csi.cuny.edu

- Klab4Recovery Research Program, The City University of New York, New York, NY, USA
- ² Department of Physical Therapy, College of Staten Island, The City University of New York, Staten Island, NY, USA
- ³ PhD Program in Biology and Collaborative Neuroscience Program, DPT Department, Graduate Center of The City University of New York and College of Staten Island, Staten Island, NY, USA

Introduction

Spinal stimulation has gained prominent attention in the scientifc community, with research investigations spanning from animals to humans, and from mathematical modeling to intraspinal, epidural, and transspinal (transcutaneous spinal cord) delivery of electrical current for restoring locomotor, respiratory, and bladder function in upper motoneuron lesions (Dimitrijevic et al. [1998;](#page-8-0) Harkema et al. [2011](#page-8-1); Minassian et al. [2004](#page-9-0), [2016;](#page-9-1) Rattay et al. [2000;](#page-9-2) Angeli et al. [2014](#page-8-2); Moraud et al. [2016](#page-9-3); Knikou [2013](#page-9-4); Knikou et al. [2015](#page-9-5); Knikou and Murray [2019\)](#page-9-6). In humans, epidural or transspinal stimulation may activate similar neuronal circuits or pathways (Hofstoetter et al. [2018](#page-8-3)), while it is likely that both produce transsynaptic activation of motoneurons based on the characteristics of stimulation, e.g. intensity, frequency,

single pulse vs. pulse train. Epidural and transspinal stimulation at diferent frequencies is used to promote recovery of motor function and improve clinical signs of pathological muscle tone (Hofstoetter et al. [2014](#page-8-4); Sayenko et al. [2019\)](#page-9-7).

Refexes were once thought of as stereotyped responses of minimal contribution or importance to movement (Zehr and Stein [1999\)](#page-9-8). Muscle refexes that convey information from proprioceptors are modulated in a task- and phase-dependent manner (Capaday and Stein [1986,](#page-8-5) [1987;](#page-8-6) Llewellyn et al. [1990](#page-9-9); Knikou et al. [2009b,](#page-9-10) [2011;](#page-9-11) Knikou and Mummidisetty [2011](#page-9-12); Knikou [2012;](#page-8-7) Mummidisetty et al. [2013](#page-9-13)), while a proportion of soleus muscle force during the stance phase of gait in humans is attributed to the soleus Hofmann (H-) reflex (Yang et al. [1991\)](#page-9-14). These results along with the phasedependent modulation of primary aferent depolarization and presynaptic inhibition of monosynaptic refex transmission (Menard et al. [2002](#page-9-15); Rudomin [2009\)](#page-9-16), support the important role of muscle refexes in the function of spinal locomotor networks.

A functional link exists between transspinal stimulation, muscle refexes, and spinal locomotor centers. Our thesis is supported by the 1) phase-dependent modulation of leg transspinal evoked potentials (TEPs) in healthy subjects and their abnormal modulation in people with spinal cord injury (Courtine et al. [2007;](#page-8-8) Hofstoetter et al. [2008;](#page-8-9) Dy et al. [2010](#page-8-10); Zaaya et al. [2021](#page-9-17); Pulverenti et al. [2019,](#page-9-18) [2022](#page-9-19)), 2) summation of TEPs with motor evoked potentials (MEPs) and soleus H-reflexes at specific time intervals (Knikou [2014](#page-9-20); Knikou and Murray [2018](#page-9-21)), 3) step-like leg EMG burst activity induced by transspinal stimulation in healthy subjects and children with spinal cord injury when gravity is eliminated (Shapkova and Schomburg [2001;](#page-9-22) Gorodnichev et al. [2012](#page-8-11)), and 4) entrainment of previously silent muscles during assisted stepping and low frequency transspinal stimulation in four persons with clinically complete spinal cord injury (Minassian et al. [2016\)](#page-9-1).

The development of therapeutic strategies for locomotor recovery in neurological disorders needs to take into consideration that interventions should promote and not interfere with spinal integration of proprioceptive feedback (Formento et al. [2018\)](#page-8-12). The temporal summation between the soleus H-refex and the soleus TEP, and the parallel soleus TEP and H-refex depression, when transspinal is delivered after or before stimulation of group Ia aferents (Knikou [2013;](#page-9-4) Knikou and Murray [2018](#page-9-21)), supports the possibility that transspinal stimulation may block or decrease aferent volleys traveling to the spinal cord during walking. This hinders the use of transspinal stimulation during assisted stepping and minimizes the possibility of augmenting further the locomotor training benefts by tonic noninvasive transspinal stimulation. Therefore, the main objective of this study was to establish the efects of transspinal stimulation over the thoracolumbar region at 15, 30, and 50 Hz at sub- and suprathreshold paresthesia intensities on the soleus H-refex phase-dependent amplitude modulation and locomotor muscle activity in healthy human subjects. We hypothesized that transspinal stimulation at suprathreshold paresthesia intensity, regardless frequency, produces soleus H-refex and locomotor muscle activity depression throughout the step cycle, consistent to our recent observations with a single 1 ms transspinal stimulation at 1.3 times soleus TEP threshold (Pulverenti et al. [2019](#page-9-18); Islam et al. [2021\)](#page-8-13).

Materials and methods

Participants

Ten (7 males, 3 females) healthy adults aged 23 to 47 years $(27.6 \pm 7.7;$ mean \pm SD) without evidence of past or present neurological, orthopedic or any systemic disorder participated in the study. All subjects gave their written informed consent before participating in the study that was performed in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The experimental protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the City University of New York (IRB Number 2022–0003-CSI).

Surface EMG

Following standard preparation (skin was dry shaved, abraded, and cleaned with alcohol), single differential bipolar surface electrodes (common mode rejection ratio > 100 dB at 40 Hz, input impedance > 100,000 M Ω) with fixed inter-electrode distance of 2 cm (MA411n, Motion Lab Systems Inc., Baton Rouge, LA) were used to record myoelectric signals from both legs during walking at a self-selected speed on a motorized treadmill from the vastus medialis (VM), vastus lateralis (VL), gracilis (GRC), medial hamstrings (MH), medial gastrocnemius (MG), soleus (SOL), and tibialis anterior (TA) muscles. Surface electrodes were secured with Tegaderm transparent flm (3 M Healthcare, St. Paul, MN, USA). All EMG signals during stepping were low-pass filtered with a cutoff frequency of 1,000 Hz (MA-300, Motion Lab Systems Inc., Baton Rouge, LA), sampled at 2,000 Hz using a 16-bit data acquisition card (NI-PCI-6225, National Instruments, Austin, TX) and saved in a personal computer for off-line analysis.

Transspinal stimulation

With subjects seated at the edge of a treatment table, the Thoracic 10 spinous process was identifed via palpation and anatomical landmarks. A single reusable self-adhered cathode electrode $(10.2 \times 5.1 \text{ cm}^2)$, Uni-Patch, Massachusetts, USA) was placed at midline along the vertebrae equally

between the left and right paravertebral sides covering from Thoracic 10 to Lumbar 1–2 vertebral levels. A pair of interconnected anode electrodes (same type as the cathode) was placed on either side of the iliac crests (Knikou [2013](#page-9-4), [2014](#page-9-20); Knikou et al. [2015;](#page-9-5) Skiadopoulos et al. [2022\)](#page-9-23).

The position of the cathodal stimulating electrode was based on the shape of the right and left SOL TEP at increasing intensities, concomitant presence of SOL TEPs in the right and left legs at low intensities, and presence of SOL TEP depression in response to paired transspinal stimuli delivered via a constant current stimulator (DS7A or DS7AH, Digitimer, Welwyn Garden City, UK) triggered by a 1401 data acquisition interface running Spike 2 (CED Ltd., Cambridge, UK). After the optimal location was identifed, the electrode was affixed to the skin via Tegaderm transparent flm (3M Healthcare, Minnesota, USA), and maintained under pressure via a custom-made pad. With subjects' supine, and knee joints fexed at 30°, ankles supported in a neutral position, and legs maintained in midline via external support, the stimulation intensity $(46.5 \pm 23.3 \text{ mA})$ that a TEP of 100 μ V for the right SOL muscle was noted on the oscilloscope was termed as TEP threshold (Knikou [2013](#page-9-4); Knikou and Murray [2018](#page-9-21)).

Soleus H‑refex during stepping

The soleus H-refex from the right leg was elicited and recorded according to methods we have utilized extensively in human subjects at rest and during stepping (Knikou [2008](#page-8-14); Knikou et al. [2009a](#page-9-24), [b](#page-9-10), [2011;](#page-9-11) Mummidisetty et al. [2013](#page-9-13); Knikou and Mummidisetty [2011,](#page-9-12) [2014;](#page-9-25) Islam et al. [2021](#page-8-13)).

With the subject seated, monophasic 1 ms square pulses were triggered by a data acquisition interface (1401 plus, Cambridge Electronics Design Ltd., UK) and delivered via a constant current stimulator (DS7A, Digitimer Ltd., UK) to the right posterior tibial nerve at the popliteal fossa via a hand-held monopolar stainless-steel electrode used as a probe. The anode was a stainless-steel plate of 4 cm^2 placed proximal to the patella. When a soleus H-refex could be evoked without an M-wave at the lowest stimulation intensity and the M-wave had a similar shape to the H-refex at increasing intensities, the monopolar probe electrode was replaced by a pre-gelled disposable electrode (SureTrace, Conmed, NY) that was maintained under pressure via a custom-made pad and pre-wrap.

Then, each subject stood on the treadmill and the soleus H-refex and M-wave recruitment curves were assembled. Approximately 80 stimuli in total were delivered at 0.2 Hz to assemble the soleus H-refex and M-wave recruitment curve during standing (Knikou et al. [2009b](#page-9-10); [2011\)](#page-9-11). Stimuli corresponding to the M-wave and H-refex recruitment curve, and the associated peak-to-peak amplitude of the responses (M-wave and H-refex) were saved and retrieved by the customized LabVIEW software program as reference values utilized later during treadmill walking.

The amplitude of the M-wave and H-refex as a percentage of the maximal M-wave is one of the most important factors in human electrophysiological studies (Knikou [2008\)](#page-8-14). Due to shifts of the recording and stimulating electrodes, the maximal M-wave varies signifcantly across the step cycle phases (Simonesen and Dyhre-Poulsen 1999). To counteract this methodological limitation, during treadmill walking a supramaximal stimulus was delivered 80 ms after the test H-refex stimulus at the same bin of the step cycle (Fig. [1B](#page-3-0)). This maximal M-wave was used to normalize the soleus M-wave and H-refex of the same sweep. During treadmill walking, for each double stimuli (test H-refex and maximal M-wave) delivered at each bin, the customized LabVIEW software measured the peak-to-peak amplitude of the M-wave and maximal M-wave and estimated the most optimal stimulation intensity. This stimulation intensity is based on the intensities and amplitudes from the M-wave and H-refex recruitment curve during standing, and on the amplitude of the M-waves (0 to 15% of the maximal M-wave) and H-refexes (50% of the maximal H-refex or 20 to 30% of the maximal M-wave) elicited 80 ms after the test stimulus, both evoked on the on the ascending limb of the recruitment curve (Knikou et al. [2009a](#page-9-24)). Stimulation to the posterior tibial nerve was delivered randomly across the step cycle that was divided real-time into 16 equal bins based on the right heel and toe transducer signals registering heel contact and toe of (HLT100C, TSD111; Biopac Systems Inc., Goleta, CA, USA). Bin 1 corresponds approximately to heel contact, bin 8 to stance-to-swing initiation, bin 9 to swing initiation, and bins 10–16 to the swing phase (Knikou et al. [2009a](#page-9-24), [2009b,](#page-9-10) [2011](#page-9-11)). At least 5 refexes within the acceptance criteria were recorded at each bin of the step cycle, and approximately 560 H-refexes were recorded during walking with and without transspinal stimulation for each subject.

Soleus H-reflexes were recorded separately without (control) and during transspinal stimulation delivered at 15, 30, and 50 Hz with 10 kHz carry-over frequency (DS8R, Digitimer Ltd., Welwyn Garden City, UK) at subthreshold and suprathreshold paresthesia intensities. The paresthesia threshold was established during standing with 50 Hz transspinal stimulation since this frequency was noted by subjects to produce the most discomfort. Paresthesia threshold corresponded to the stimulation intensity that produced sensation spreading to paraspinal muscles. Sub- and suprathreshold stimulation was delivered at 0.96 (103.1 \pm 61.37 mA) and 2.53 (180.37 \pm 54.8 mA) times paresthesia threshold intensities, respectively. The intensity at suprathreshold level was adjusted when the subject reported great discomfort. Multiples of paresthesia threshold intensity were used to replicate

Fig. 1 Experimental protocol. **A.**Transspinal and posterior tibial nerve stimulation along with surface EMG electrodes and foot switch transducers during walking on a motorized treadmill at self-selected speed. **B.** Representative raw waveform averages of the soleus H-refex evoked throughout the step cycle that was divided into 16 equal bins. The frst test stimulus (grey boxes) evoked the soleus M-wave (yellow boxes) and H-refex (red boxes) followed 80 ms after by a supramaximal stimulus that evoked a maximal M-wave (green boxes) in each bin of the step cycle. Soleus H-refexes were evoked

stimulation protocols utilized in neurological disorders to promote recovery of sensorimotor function (Skiadopoulos et al. [2022](#page-9-23)).

Data analysis

The soleus M-wave and H-refex evoked from the right posterior tibial nerve during treadmill walking were measured as peak-to-peak amplitude and were normalized to the associated maximal M-wave. Data were subjected to Shapiro–Wilk's test for normal distribution and Mauchly's test of sphericity for homogeneity of variances. When sphericity was not established, the Greenhouse–Geisser correction statistic was used. Repeated measures ANOVA (rmANOVA) with frequency, intensity, and bins as the main factors were

randomly at each bin of the step cycle and were accepted when the M-wave ranged from 0 to 15% of the maximal M-wave evoked 80 ms after the test stimulus at the same bin, and the H-refex was evoked on the ascending limb of the recruitment curve and was approximately 50% of the maximal H-refex. At least 5 accepted H-refexes were recorded at each bin, while approximately a total of 560 H-refexes were recorded under control conditions and following transspinal stimulation for each subject

performed to establish the main efects of transspinal stimulation on the phase-dependent soleus H-refex amplitude modulation during treadmill walking.

The right SOL background EMG activity for each bin was estimated from the mean value of the linear EMG envelope of 50 ms duration (band-pass fltered 20–500 Hz, rectifed, low-pass fltered at 20 Hz) at 100 ms before posterior tibial nerve stimulation (Knikou et al. [2009a](#page-9-24), [2011](#page-9-11), [2015](#page-9-5)). The right soleus background EMG activity was normalized to the maximum peak-to-peak amplitude of the SOL EMG during the walking bout that a control or conditioned H-refex was also recorded. For each subject and refex condition, the mean amplitude of the control and/or conditioned soleus H-refexes was plotted on the *y*-axis against the homonymous normalized background EMG activity on the *x*-axis at each bin of the step cycle, and a least squares linear regression was ftted to the data. The slope and intercept from the linear regression were grouped based on transspinal stimulation frequency and intensity and statistically signifcant diferences were established with an rmANOVA.

To establish whether transspinal stimulation infuenced locomotor muscle activity, EMG signals of SOL, MG, TA, MH, VL, VM, and GRC muscles were fltered with a 4th-order Butterworth band-pass flter with lower cutof frequency of 20 Hz and higher cutoff frequency of 500 Hz in LabVIEW software. After full-wave rectifcation linear EMG envelopes were obtained with a 4th-order Butterworth low-pass filter with cutoff frequency of 20 Hz, and the mean EMG amplitude across all steps was determined. The mean EMG amplitude was normalized to the associated maximal locomotor EMG. This analysis was performed separately for each muscle during treadmill walking with and without transspinal stimulation. For each subject, muscle and condition, the integrated EMG was calculated. Mauchly's test for sphericity assumption was applied. Repeated measures ANOVA with Greenhouse–Geisser correction when the sphericity assumption was violated was applied to the data followed by post hoc Holm-Sidak tests to establish statistically signifcant diferences on the EMG under control conditions and following transspinal stimulation at diferent frequencies and intensities. In all statistical tests, signifcant differences were established a α = 0.05 confidence level. Results are presented as mean values along with the standard error of the mean (SEM).

Results

Soleus H‑refex modulation during transspinal stimulation at 15, 30, and 50 Hz

The average walking speed was 1.02 ± 0.09 m/s. The step cycle duration was not signifcantly diferent between the right and left legs with a mean duration of 1.252 ± 0.188 and 1.218 ± 0.117 s ($p = 0.11$), respectively. The overall average amplitudes of the soleus H-refexes under control conditions and during subthreshold and suprathreshold transspinal stimulation are shown in Fig. [2A](#page-4-0), [B](#page-4-0), respectively. The soleus H-refexes for all cases are shown as

Fig. 2 Soleus H-refex phase-dependent amplitude modulation during transspinal stimulation**.** Soleus H-refexes **A, B.** and associated M-waves **C, D.** amplitudes from all subjects as a percentage of the maximal M-wave evoked by a supramaximal stimulus 80 ms after the *test* H-refex stimulus. For all cases, recordings are shown under

control conditions and following subthreshold and suprathreshold transspinal stimulation at 15, 30, and 50 Hz with 10 kHz carry-over frequency. The bins of the step cycle are indicated as well as the step cycle as a percentage. Error bars for pool data are not indicated for clarity purposes

percentages of the maximal M-wave evoked 80 ms at each bin after the test stimulus. Two-way rmANOVA at 7×16 levels (7: conditions; 16: bins of step cycle) on the normalized soleus H-refexes grouped for each bin and conditions across subjects showed that the soleus H-refex was not signifcantly diferent under control conditions and during 15, 30, and 50 Hz subthreshold and suprathreshold transspinal stimulation ($F_{6, 960} = 1.044$, $p = 0.395$). The soleus H-reflex was signifcantly diferent across the bins of the step cycle $(F_{15, 960} = 93.23, p < 0.001)$, but an interaction between bins and conditions was not found $(F_{90, 960} = 0.24, p = 1.0)$. The corresponding soleus M-waves (Fig. [2C](#page-4-0), [D](#page-4-0)) remained unchanged during control walking and following transspinal stimulation ($F_{6, 960}$ =0.89, *p*=0.65). These results suggest that transspinal stimulation at 15, 30, and 50 Hz does not afect the physiological phase-dependent amplitude modulation of the soleus H-refex in healthy subjects.

Relationship between soleus H‑refex and background EMG activity

The background EMG activity of the right soleus muscle as a function of the step cycle from all subjects is illustrated in Fig. [3](#page-5-0). The soleus background EMG activity is shown under control conditions and during 15, 30, and 50 Hz transspinal stimulation at subthreshold and suprathreshold intensities. Two-way ANOVA at 7×16 levels (7: conditions, 16: bins of the step cycle) showed that the soleus background EMG activity was signifcantly diferent across conditions $(F_{6, 920} = 2.11, p = 0.049)$. Holm-Sidak pairwise multiple comparisons showed that a signifcant diference was present only between suprathreshold 15 Hz and subthreshold 30 Hz $(t=3.33, p<0.001)$.

The soleus EMG background activity was linearly related to the soleus H-refex amplitude (Fig. [4A](#page-6-0)) under control conditions $(R^2 = 0.91; y = 78.51x - 0.902)$ and during all transspinal stimulations, for example at 30 Hz subthreshold $(R^2 = 0.93; y = 82.45x - 1.07)$ and 30 Hz suprathreshold $(R^2 = 0.91; y = 76.81x - 3.26)$. The overall amplitude of the slope (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)B) computed from the linear regression line ftted to the soleus H-refex amplitude plotted against the soleus background EMG activity at each bin of the step cycle for each subject and condition separately was not significantly different across conditions (Kruskal–Wallis; $H = 3.049$, df = 6, $p = 0.8$). A similar result was found for the intercept (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)C) of the linear regression line (one-way ANOVA; $F_{6,59} = 0.146$, $p = 0.989$).

EMG activation profles during transspinal stimulation at 15, 30, and 50 Hz

Figure [5](#page-6-1) summarizes the linear EMG envelopes from all subjects during treadmill walking under control conditions (black lines) and during transspinal stimulation for the left and right knee and ankle muscles. The linear EMG envelopes are grouped separately for subthreshold and suprathreshold transspinal stimulation at 15, 30 and 50 Hz. Overall, the SOL, MG, and TA EMG amplitudes were not signifcantly different among conditions tested $(p > 0.05)$, while the EMG amplitude of thigh/knee muscles varied signifcantly from control EMG values during suprathreshold transspinal stimulation. Specifcally, an increase in motor output was evident in right VM at suprathreshold 30 Hz (oneway ANOVA; $F_{6,47} = 3.517$, $p = 0.006$ followed by Holm-Sidak), right VL at suprathreshold 50 Hz (one-way ANOVA; $F_{6,44} = 3.34, p = 0.008$, left VM at suprathreshold 30 and 50 Hz ($F_{6, 30}$ = 13.14, p < 0.05), and left VL at suprathreshold

old **B.** transspinal stimulation. The bins of the step cycle are indicated as well as the step cycle as a percentage. Error bars for pool data are not indicated for clarity purposes

Fig. 4 Linear relationship between background soleus EMG activity and H-refex amplitude modulation. **A**. The overall mean amplitude from all subjects of the soleus H-refex expressed as a percentage of the maximal M-wave is plotted against the soleus background EMG activity. For all graphs the 16 points correspond to the 16 bins of the

step cycle. **B, C**. The overall amplitude of slope and intercept from all subjects tested resulting from the linear relationship between the mean amplitude of the soleus H-refex and EMG background activity during walking on a motorized treadmill, ftted for each subject and condition separately

Fig. 5 Mean linear EMG envelopes from all subjects of the left and right SOL, MG, TA, MH, VM, GRC and VL muscles during control stepping (black lines) are shown superimposed to the EMGs recorded during transspinal stimulation at 15, 30, and 50 Hz transspinal stimulation at subthreshold and suprathreshold paresthesia intensities. The step cycle and EMG amplitudes are shown as a percentage of the total step cycle duration and associated maximum locomotor EMG activity

30 and 50 Hz ($F_{6,24}$ = 13.96, *p* < 0.05). To conclude, transspinal stimulation facilitates motor activity of knee extensor muscles while the EMG profle of distal ankle muscles remains unchanged.

Discussion

In this study, we provide evidence on the actions of transspinal stimulation at 15, 30, and 50 Hz on spinal locomotor networks in healthy humans. Transspinal stimulation when delivered above or below paresthesia levels resulted in no signifcant efects on the soleus H-refex phase-dependent modulation profle. This fnding was evident regardless of stimulation frequency or intensity. In addition, an unaltered soleus background EMG activity, slope, and intercept of the linear relationship between background EMG activity and soleus H-refex amplitude was present. Moreover, transspinal stimulation had differential effects on the locomotor muscle activity of thigh and ankle muscles. Locomotor EMG activity did not alter in the distal ankle muscles while excitatory efects were evident in the more proximal to the stimulation site knee extensor muscles.

Our fndings difer from the generalized depression of neuronal excitability we have recently reported during 1 ms single pulse transspinal conditioning stimulation (Pulverenti et al. [2019;](#page-9-18) Islam et al. [2021\)](#page-8-13). The diference may be attributed to the fact that single pulse transspinal stimulation at suprathreshold intensities produces long-lasting inhibition on motoneuron excitability (Knikou and Murray [2018](#page-9-21)). Specifcally, the soleus H-refex, TA fexion refex, bilateral ankle MEPs, and bilateral knee and ankle EMG activity are all depressed following single 1 ms or pulse train transspinal conditioning stimulation at supra motor threshold intensities (Pulverenti et al. [2019](#page-9-18); Zaaya et al. [2020](#page-9-26); Islam et al. [2021](#page-8-13)). Maintenance of a physiological soleus H-refex modulation profle during walking (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)) suggests that transspinal stimulation at these frequencies and intensities does not block or decrease the aferent volleys traveling to the spinal cord during walking and thereby the physiological spinal integration of proprioceptive aferent signals. Appropriate engagement of spinal neuronal circuits at each step cycle phase is refected by the phase-dependent amplitude modulation of the soleus H-refex. Therefore, transspinal stimulation at 15, 30, and 50 Hz delivered above and below paresthesia levels can be delivered during walking when used as a treatment strategy in upper motoneuron lesions.

Further, a signifcant new fnding was the increase in activity of bilateral VL and VM muscles throughout the step cycle (Fig. [5](#page-6-1)). The increase in EMG activity clearly suggests that transspinal stimulation may be used as a neuromodulatory strategy to promote standing and walking abilities in people with upper motoneuron lesions. Transspinal stimulation over the thoracolumbar enlargement produces bilateral leg extension of paralyzed or paretic muscles and improves gait kinematics and locomotor EMG activity during weight-supported walking in people with spinal cord injury (Hofstoetter et al. 2015). Thus, it is likely that transspinal stimulation activates the neural circuits for standing and walking. Indeed, recent data show that stand training with transspinal stimulation at varying frequencies $(0.2 \text{ to }$ 30 Hz) in persons with spinal cord injury, promotes selfassisted standing and upright trunk posture with minimal or no external assistance (Rath et al. [2018;](#page-9-27) Sayenko et al. [2019](#page-9-7)).

The mechanism(s) by which VL and VM locomotor EMG activity increases is not well understood. We may attribute this efect to 1) activation of excitatory or inhibition of inhibitory local neuronal pathways, 2) changes in membrane potentials of alpha motoneurons, 3) potentiation of synaptic transmission, and 4) changes in descending motor drive and ascending sensory convergence. The latter is supported by the summation between soleus H-refex, soleus/TA MEPs and TEPs, and modulation of the aferent-mediated MEP facilitation (Knikou [2014](#page-9-20); Knikou et al. [2015](#page-9-5); Knikou and Murray [2018](#page-9-21)) but cannot explain the selective increase of activity in VL/VM bilaterally. The cathodal electrode was placed at Thoracic 10 and covered based on body/trunk height up to Lumbar 1/2. Consequently, the non-significant efect on ankle EMG activity cannot be attributed to the position of the cathodal electrode, since at this position TEPs in ankle muscles are readily evocable (Knikou [2013](#page-9-4), [2014](#page-9-20); Skiadopoulos et al. [2022](#page-9-23)). Transspinal stimulation at 2.5 times paresthesia intensities excited cutaneous aferents over multiple segments afecting indirectly activity of diferent classes of spinal interneurons. In the future it is worth investigating the amplitude modulation of the quadriceps H-refex alone and following superficial peroneal nerve conditioning stimulation while transspinal stimulation is delivered at rest and during walking. Cutaneous stimulation of the superficial peroneal nerve at rest produces biphasic facilitation of the quadriceps H-refex, due to activation of lumbar propriospinal neurons, which reverses to inhibition during contraction due to Ib inhibitory interneurons (Marchand-Pauvert et al. [2002](#page-9-28)). This conditioning refex protocol, although complex, will establish directly possible mechanisms associated with the increase in EMG activity we observed in this study.

Limitations of the study

We investigated here the modulatory effects of only 2 different intensities relative to the paresthesia threshold. Further experiments are needed at stimulation intensities where TEPs in knee and ankle muscles are evoked. Moreover, these experiments need to be repeated in people with spinal cord injury because the injured spinal cord may respond diferently. Understanding better the underlying mechanisms of action will enable us to develop targeted stimulation protocols to maximize the recovery of standing and walking abilities of these individuals.

Conclusion

We demonstrated that transspinal stimulation at 15, 30 and 50 Hz below and above paresthesia did not afect the soleus H-refex phase amplitude modulation or the EMG activity of ankle muscles in able-bodied individuals. An increase in EMG activity was evident for the knee extensor muscles bilateral. Further studies are required to determine the underlying mechanisms of action of transspinal stimulation in spinal locomotor networks, and whether diferential actions are present in individuals with spinal cord injury.

Author contributions AMS: performed experiments, analyzed data, and approved the fnal version of the manuscript.

MR, YA, MM, JF, SKS, AS: performed experiments, and approved the fnal version of the manuscript.

MK: conception and design of research, performed experiments, analyzed data, prepared fgures, interpreted results of experiments, wrote the frst draft of the manuscript, approved the fnal version of the manuscript.

Funding This work was supported by the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) under Grant Number R01HD100544 and by the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH), Spinal Cord Injury Research Board (SCIRB) under Contracts C35594GG and C38333GG awarded to Maria Knikou. The funding sources were not involved in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or decision to publish.

Data availability The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or fnancial relationships that could be construed as a potential confict of interest.

Ethics statement The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the City University of New York. All participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

References

- Angeli CA, Edgerton VR, Gerasimenko YP, Harkema SJ (2014) Altering spinal cord excitability enables voluntary movements after chronic complete paralysis in humans. Brain 137(Pt 5):1394– 1409.<https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awu038>
- Capaday C, Stein RB (1986) Amplitude modulation of the soleus H-refex in the human during walking and standing. J Neurosci

6(5):1308–1313. [https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.06-05-](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.06-05-01308.1986) [01308.1986](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.06-05-01308.1986)

- Capaday C, Stein RB (1987) Diferences in the amplitude of the human soleus H refex during walking and running. J Physiol 392(1):513–522. [https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1987.sp016](https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1987.sp016794) [794](https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1987.sp016794)
- Courtine G, Harkema SJ, Dy CJ, Gerasimenko YP, Dyhre-Poulsen P (2007) Modulation of multisegmental monosynaptic responses in a variety of leg muscles during walking and running in humans. J Physiol 582(3):1125–1139. [https://doi.org/10.1113/](https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2007.128447) [jphysiol.2007.128447](https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2007.128447)
- Dimitrijevic MR, Gerasimenko Y, Pinter MM (1998) Evidence of spinal central pattern generator in humans. Ann N Y Acad Sci 860:360–376. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1998.tb090](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1998.tb09062.x) [62.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1998.tb09062.x)
- Dy CJ, Gerasimenko YP, Edgerton VR, Dyhre-Poulsen P, Courtine G, Harkema SJ (2010) Phase-dependent modulation of percutaneously elicited multisegmental muscle responses after spinal cord injury. J Neurophysiol 130(5):2808–2820. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00316.2009) [10.1152/jn.00316.2009](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00316.2009)
- Formento E, Minassian K, Wagner F, Mignardot JB, Le Goff-Mignardot CG, Rowald A, Bloch J, Micera S, Capogrosso M, Courtine G (2018) Electrical spinal cord stimulation must preserve proprioception to enable locomotion in humans with spinal cord injury. Nat Neurosci 21(12):1729–1741. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-018-0262-6) [10.1038/s41593-018-0262-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-018-0262-6)
- Gorodnichev RM, Pivovarova EA, Puhov A, Moiseev SA, Savochin AA, Moshonkina TR, Chsherbakova NA, Kilimnik VA, Selionov VA, Kozlovskaya IB, Edgerton VR, Gerasimenko YP (2012) Transcutaneous electrical stimulation of the spinal cord: a noninvasive tool for the activation of stepping pattern generators in humans. Hum Physiol 38(2):158–167. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1134/S0362119712020065) [1134/S0362119712020065](https://doi.org/10.1134/S0362119712020065)
- Harkema S, Gerasimenko Y, Hodes J, Burdick J, Angeli C, Chen Y, Ferreira C, Willhite A, Rejc E, Grossman RG, Edgerton VR (2011) Efect of epidural stimulation of the lumbrosacral spinal cord on voluntary movement, standing, and assisted stepping after motor complete paraplegia: a case study. The Lancet 377(9781):1938–1947. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(11\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60547-3) [60547-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60547-3)
- Hofstoetter US, Minassian K, Hofer C, Mayr W, Rattay F, Dimitrijevic MR (2008) Modifcation of refex responses to lumbar posterior root stimulation by motor tasks in healthy subjects. Artif Organs 32(8):644–648. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1594.2008.00616.x) [1594.2008.00616.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1594.2008.00616.x)
- Hofstoetter US, McKay WB, Tansey KE, Mayr W, Kern H, Minassian K (2014) Modifcation of spasticity by transcutaneous spinal cord stimulation in individuals with incomplete spinal cord injury. J Spinal Cord Med 37(2):202–211. [https://doi.org/10.1179/20457](https://doi.org/10.1179/2045772313Y.0000000149) [72313Y.0000000149](https://doi.org/10.1179/2045772313Y.0000000149)
- Hofstoetter US, Freundl B, Binder H, Minassian K (2018) Common neural structures activated by epidural and transcutaneous lumbar spinal cord stimulation: elicitation of posterior root-muscle refexes. PLoS ONE 13(1):e0192013. [https://doi.org/10.1371/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192013) [journal.pone.0192013](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192013)
- Islam MA, Pulverenti TS, Knikou M (2021) Neuronal actions of transspinal stimulation on locomotor networks and refex excitability during walking in humans with and without spinal cord injury. Front Hum Neurosci 15:620414. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2021.620414) [2021.620414](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2021.620414)
- Knikou M (2008) The H-refex as a probe: pathways and pitfalls. J Neurosci Methods 171(1):1–12. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneum](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2008.02.012) [eth.2008.02.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2008.02.012)
- Knikou M (2012) Function of group IB inhibition during assisted stepping in human spinal cord injury. J Clin Neurophysiol 29(3):271– 277.<https://doi.org/10.1097/WNP.0b013e318257c2b7>

Knikou M (2013) Neurophysiological characterization of transpinal evoked potentials in human leg muscles. Bioelectromagnetics 34(8):630–640.<https://doi.org/10.1002/bem.21808>

Knikou M (2014) Transpinal and transcortical stimulation alter corticospinal excitability and increase spinal output. PLoS ONE 9(7):e102313. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0102313>

- Knikou M, Mummidisetty CK (2011) Reduced reciprocal inhibition during assisted stepping in human spinal cord injury. Exp Neurol 231(1):104–112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2011.05.021>
- Knikou M, Mummidisetty CK (2014) Locomotor training improves premotoneuronal control after chronic spinal cord injury. J Neurophysiol 111(11):2264–2275. [https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00871.](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00871.2013) [2013](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00871.2013)
- Knikou M, Murray LM (2018) Neural interactions between transspinal evoked potentials and muscle spindle aferents in humans. J Electromyogr Kinesiol 43:174–183. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelekin.2018.10.005) [jelekin.2018.10.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelekin.2018.10.005)
- Knikou M, Murray LM (2019) Repeated transspinal stimulation decreases soleus H-refex excitability and restores spinal inhibition in human spinal cord injury. PLoS ONE 14(9):e0223135. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0223135>
- Knikou M, Angeli CA, Ferreira CK, Harkema SJ (2009a) Soleus H-refex modulation during body weight support treadmill walking in spinal cord intact and injured subjects. Exp Brain Res 193(3):397–407. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00221-008-1636-x>
- Knikou M, Angeli CA, Ferreira CK, Harkema SJ (2009b) Soleus H-refex gain, threshold, and amplitude as function of body posture and load in spinal cord intact and injured subjects. Int J Neurosci 119(11):2056–2073. [https://doi.org/10.1080/0020745090](https://doi.org/10.1080/00207450903139747) [3139747](https://doi.org/10.1080/00207450903139747)
- Knikou M, Hajela N, Mummidisetty CK, Xiao M, Smith AC (2011) Soleus H-refex phase-dependent modulation is preserved during stepping within a robotic exoskeleton. Clin Neurophysiol 122(7):1396–1404.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2010.12.044>
- Knikou M, Dixon L, Santora D, Ibrahim MM (2015) Transspinal constant-current long-lasting stimulation: a new method to induce cortical and corticospinal plasticity. J Neurophysiol 114(3):1486– 1499.<https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00449.2015>
- Llewellyn M, Yang JF, Prochazka A (1990) Human H-refexes are smaller in difficult beam walking than in normal treadmill walking. Exp Brain Res 83:22–28. [https://doi.org/10.1007/BF002](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00232189) [32189](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00232189)
- Marchand-Pauvert V, Nicolas G, Burke D, Pierrot-Deseilligny E (2002) Suppression of the H refex in humans by disynaptic autogenetic inhibitory pathways activated by the test volley. J Physiol 542(Pt 3):963–976. <https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2002.021683>
- Menard A, Leblond H, Gossard JP (2002) Sensory integration in presynaptic inhibitory pathways during fictive locomotion in the cat. J Neurophysiol 88(1):163–171. [https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.2002.](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.2002.88.1.163) [88.1.163](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.2002.88.1.163)
- Minassian K, Jilge B, Rattay F, Pinter MM, Binder H, Gerstenbrand F, Dimitrijevic MR (2004) Stepping-like movements in humans with complete spinal cord injury induced by epidural stimulation of the lumbar cord: electromyographic study of compound muscle action potentials. Spinal Cord 42(7):401–416. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.sc.3101615) [1038/sj.sc.3101615](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.sc.3101615)
- Minassian K, Hofstoetter US, Danner SM, Mayr W, Bruce JA, McKay WB, Tansey KE (2016) Spinal rhythm generation by step-induced feedback and transcutaneous posterior root stimulation in complete spinal cord-injured individuals. Neurorehabil Neural Repair 30:233–243.<https://doi.org/10.1177/1545968315591706>
- Moraud EM, Capogrosso M, Formento E, Wenger N, DiGiovanna J, Courtine G, Micera S (2016) Mechanisms underlying

neuromodulation of spinal circuits for correcting gait and balance deficits after spinal cord injury. Neuron 89(4):814-828. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.01.009) doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.01.009

- Mummidisetty CK, Smith AC, Knikou M (2013) Modulation of reciprocal and presynaptic inhibition during robotic-assisted stepping in humans. Clin Neurophysiol 124(3):557–564. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2012.09.007) [1016/j.clinph.2012.09.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2012.09.007)
- Pulverenti TS, Islam MA, Alsalman O, Murray LM, Harel NY, Knikou M (2019) Transspinal stimulation decreases corticospinal excitability and alters the function of spinal locomotor networks. J Neurophysiol 122(6):2331–2343. [https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00554.2019) [00554.2019](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00554.2019)
- Pulverenti TS, Zaaya M, Grabowski E, Grabowski M, Knikou M (2022) Brain and spinal cord paired stimulation coupled with locomotor training facilitates motor output in human spinal cord injury. Front Neurol 13:1000940.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2022.1000940>
- Rath M, Vette AH, Ramasubramaniam S, Li K, Burdick J, Edgerton VR, Gerasimenko YP, Sayenko DG (2018) Trunk stability enabled by noninvasive spinal electrical stimulation after spinal cord injury. J Neurotrauma 35:2540–2553. [https://doi.org/10.1089/neu.](https://doi.org/10.1089/neu.2017.5584) [2017.5584](https://doi.org/10.1089/neu.2017.5584)
- Rattay F, Minassian K, Dimitrijevic MR (2000) Epidural stimulation of posterior structures of the human lumbrosacral cord: 2. quantitative analysis by computer modeling. Spinal Cord 38(8):473–489. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.sc.3101039>
- Rudomin P (2009) In search of lost presynaptic inhibition. Exp Brain Res 196(1):139–151. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00221-009-1758-9>
- Sayenko D, Rath M, Ferguson AR, Burdick J, Havton L, Edgerton VR, Gerasimenko Y (2019) Self-assisted standing enabled by noninvasive spinal stimulation after spinal cord injury. J Neurotrauma 36(9):1435–1450.<https://doi.org/10.1089/neu.2018.5956>
- Shapkova EY, Schomburg ED (2001) Two types of motor modulation underlying human stepping evoked by spinal cord electrical stimulation (SCES). Acta Physiol Pharacol Bulg 26(3):155–157
- Skiadopoulos A, Pulverenti TS, Knikou M (2022) Physiological efects of cathodal electrode confguration for transspinal stimulation in humans. J Neurophysiol 128(6):1663–1682. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00342.2022) [1152/jn.00342.2022](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00342.2022)
- Yang JF, Stein RB, James KB (1991) Contribution of peripheral aferents to the activation of the soleus muscle during walking in humans. Exp Brain Res 87(3):679–687. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00227094) [BF00227094](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00227094)
- Zaaya M, Pulverenti TS, Islam MA, Knikou M (2020) Transspinal stimulation downregulates activity of fexor locomotor networks during walking in humans. J Electromyogr Kinesiol 52:102420. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelekin.2020.102420>
- Zaaya M, Pulverenti TS, Knikou M (2021) Transspinal stimulation and step training alter function of spinal networks in complete spinal cord injury. Spinal Cord Ser Cases 7(1):55. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41394-021-00421-6) [10.1038/s41394-021-00421-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41394-021-00421-6)
- Zehr E, Stein RB (1999) What functions do refexes serve during human locomotion? Prog Neurobiol 58(2):185-205. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0301-0082(98)00081-1) [org/10.1016/s0301-0082\(98\)00081-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0301-0082(98)00081-1)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.