Communications in
**Mathematical
Physics**

Functional Integral and Stochastic Representations for Ensembles of Identical Bosons on a Lattice

Manfred Salmhofer

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Heidelberg, Philosophenweg 19, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany.
E-mail: salmhofer@uni-heidelberg.de

Abstract: Regularized coherent-state functional integrals are derived for ensembles of identical bosons on a lattice, the regularization being a discretization of Euclidian time. Convergence of the time-continuum limit is proven for various discretized actions. The focus is on the integral representation for the partition function and expectation values in the canonical ensemble. The connection to the grand-canonical integral is exhibited and some important differences are discussed. Uniform bounds for covariances are proven, which simplify the analysis of the time-continuum limit and can also be used to analyze the thermodynamic limit. The relation to a stochastic representation by an ensemble of interacting random walks is made explicit, and its modifications in presence of a condensate are discussed.

1. Introduction

The operator-algebraic ('second-quantized') formulation of quantum statistical mechanics [13] provides a precise mathematical framework for the study of quantum many-body models. For the analysis of equilibrium states and dynamics at (small) positive temperatures, functional integral representations (FIR) and stochastic representations, in particular interacting Brownian motions (IBM), have proven very useful alternative approaches. In theoretical physics, formal (i.e. nonrigorous) FIR have almost become the method of choice; stochastic representations also have a long history, going back to Feynman [22,23]. In mathematical physics, FIR have also been studied already for a long time. Clearly, there are subtleties involved when dealing mathematically with infinite-dimensional integrals. A traditional way of obtaining natural regularizations that also provide a strict relation to the algebraic formulation proceeds via Suzuki-Trotter product formulas and coherent-state integrals, to get a discrete-time functional integral, the time-continuum limit of which can then be analyzed. For fermionic systems, this has (in combination with multiscale techniques) led to mathematical results that have not yet been proven by any other method, see for instance [11,14,15,17,20,30,31,38]. Bosonic

systems pose the additional technical problem of dealing with the unboundedness of operators, which in the FIR translates to the unboundedness of fields. This has been dealt with using decompositions into large and small fields. Using these has led to proofs of existence of massless scalar field theories with a fixed short-distance regulator [19,27]. More recently, the existence of the time-continuum limit of the Bose system (again with a fixed short-distance regularization) has been proven [8].

IBM representations start from the Feynman–Kac formula. Given this formula for a single particle, it is straightforward to generalize it to N particles. Unlike the coherent-state formalism, where (anti)symmetrization is built in, Bose (resp. Fermi) statistics then needs to be imposed by an explicit (anti)symmetrization operation, given by a (signed) sum over permutations of the labels of the N particles. Pioneered by Ginibre [29], the IBM was later used to bring large-deviation techniques to bear on the problem [1–3]. It is naturally suited, and was first developed, for the canonical ensemble, but it can also be used in the grand canonical one (see [4], which also relates 'Markovian loop soups' to complex Gaussian integrals, and further develops the IBM representation of the Bose system).

For Bose systems, it is a major outstanding problem in mathematical physics to prove the occurrence of Bose–Einstein condensation (BEC) in the thermodynamic limit at fixed nonvanishing density. The case of the Gross–Pitaevskii limit for N bosons in a trapping potential of fixed extension has been treated in [33–35], where BEC was proven in the sense that the reduced density matrix has an eigenvalue of order N, associated to a condensate state. In the FIR, BEC shows up in the behaviour of the 'zero mode' of the field (the constant part of the field in a spatially homogeneous system). At small enough temperatures and high enough density, the most likely value for the zero mode is nonvanishing, and condensation takes place when it acquires a nonzero expectation value, which breaks the global $U(1)$ symmetry of the action spontaneously. In the IBM representation and its subsequent large-deviation analysis, BEC is characterised as the occurrence of infinite cycles (as $N \to \infty$) in the permutations dominating the above-mentioned symmetrization sum.

Since both FIR and IBM represent the same mathematical objects (the standard traces for partition functions and expectation values over Fock space), it is clear that they are related. Indeed, this relation is essentially Symanzik's random-walk representation of quantum field theory, the rigorous version of which has led to breakthrough results in mathematical quantum field theory [5,24]. (A detailed exposition is in [21].) It is interesting to make this relation explicit for specific models, to see how far techniques can be combined, and for the systems considered in this paper, a rigorous derivation of the IRW representation from the FIR is given in Sect. 5. Another motivation for studying the relation of the two representations is as follows. The IBM (and in the lattice setting, its discrete version, the interacting random walk (IRW)) corresponds to a one-particle kinetic energy given by the Laplacian, i.e. $p^2/2m$, where p is the momentum of the particle. In presence of a condensate, Bogoliubov [12] predicted a spectrum of low-lying states above the ground state which is linear in $|p|$ at small $|p|$, namely $E_B(p) \approx w|p|$, where w is the velocity of sound in the condensate: the excitations are sound waves. It is certainly an interesting question how this spectrum arises in a collection of Brownian motions when an infinite cycle forms. In the FIR, there is a short answer: once a condensate has formed, the fluctuation fields describing deviations from the condensate have a matrix-valued covariance, the eigenvalues of which exhibit the Bogoliubov spectrum (see, e.g. [10]). It is thus natural, given that a relation between FIR and IRW representation can be derived without reference to a condensate, to look if one

can obtain a stochastic representation also in presence of a condensate. First steps in this direction are described in Sect. 9. It is shown there that, at least in the time-continuum limit and for a local interaction, the kinetic term does generate a stochastic process, albeit one with long-range jumps (corresponding to $w|p|$). Another important difference arises in the interaction term, which differs from the case without condensate by terms causing branching and coalescence of random walks.

The question about the relation of FIR and IRW also motivates taking a closer look at the FIR for the canonical ensemble of bosons, and this is the main focus of this paper. The methods developed here, and some results, also apply to the grand canonical ensemble. The latter has been analysed mathematically in a series of papers [6–9], in which essential foundations for an analysis of Bose condensation using methods of constructive quantum field theory, in particular mathematically rigorous Wilsonian renormalization group methods, have been laid, and the flow has been studied into the symmetry-breaking regime. Further papers in that series can be obtained from [16]. Recently, the formal time-continuum functional integral for the grand canonical ensemble of Bosons on continuous space has been put on a rigorous basis by a combination of Brownian motion, Gaussian integration and determinant techniques, and the mean-field limit was proven to exist and correspond to a classical field theory [25]. The relation of the formal FIR to the IBM representation was further discussed in [26].

In this paper, I give a detailed discussion of a mathematically well-defined FIR for the canonical ensemble of identical bosons on a lattice, with a rigorous derivation from the operator formulation and a careful discussion of the time continuum limit, including a proof that one can use several different discrete-time actions that may be suitable for studying different aspects of Bose systems. The main results are based on using auxiliary ('Hubbard–Stratonovitch') fields, in terms of which one gets an integral over a positive Gaussian measure, with an interaction given by the inverse of a determinant (grand-canonical case) or a large permanent (canonical case) of a boson covariance in the background field given by the auxiliary field. The permanent implies the explicit symmetrization mentioned above. In this representation, there is no oscillatory part of the Gaussian integral. For an on-site interaction of the bosons, the auxiliary fields are ultralocal, i.e. a lattice-regularized version of white noise.

Some details of the grand-canonical and the canonical integrals differ substantially, both concerning the covariance in a background field and the factorization properties of inverse determinant vs. permanent (see Sect. 8). A main technical result shown here is that the fully regularized model has properties that allow for bounds that are uniform in the auxiliary fields, very much in the spirit of the loop vertex expansion [36]. This allows for completely elementary and technically very simple proofs of the existence ot the time-continuum limit for a variety of different actions. They do not require any multiscale analysis and in that sense, they are as simple as the corresponding ones in the fermionic case [18,37,41]. The uniformity of the bounds also allows to analyze the infinite-volume limit and the properties of correlation functions in that limit, in cases where renormalization is not required. This will be done in another paper [42]. Although the analysis is comparatively simple, the hypotheses and proofs contain a few subtle points (to be discussed below, see in particular Sects. 8 and 10), and it remains to be seen whether a similar uniformity also holds in genuinely multiscale situations (for a multiscale version of the loop vertex expansion, see [32]).

Since one aim of this paper is to provide a rigorous, but technically simple approach, I have added appendices to make it essentially self-contained, given a knowledge of the

operator algebraic formulation of quantum statistical mechanics (as exposed, e.g., in Section 5.2 of [13]).

2. Identical Bosons on a Lattice

This section contains the detailed setup of the class of models considered here, namely lattice models of identical bosons with a short-range density-density interaction, in the second-quantized formulation.

2.1. Kinematics.

2.1.1. Space To avoid technical issues in the setup, I start from a lattice system. Let $\eta > 0$, L be a very large integer multiple of η, and

$$X = \eta \mathbb{Z}^d / L \mathbb{Z}^d. \tag{1}$$

X is a lattice with spacing $\eta > 0$ and periodic boundary conditions, i.e. a discrete torus. The limit $\eta \to 0$ at fixed L (i.e. $L/\eta \to \infty$) is usually called the continuum or ultraviolet limit, and the limit $L \to \infty$ is called the thermodynamic or infrared limit. In principle, one would like to take both limits, but this paper is mainly concerned with the thermodynamic limit at fixed $\eta > 0$. It is expected that in this limit (and with an appropriate choice of kinetic term and interaction) Bose–Einstein condensation happens at low enough temperatures, even in presence of an ultraviolet regulator. For maps $x \mapsto f_x$ and $x \mapsto g_x$ from X to some $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, let

$$(f|g)_X = \eta^d \sum_{x \in X} f_x \, g_x \tag{2}$$

For f and g arising as restrictions of continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^d / L \mathbb{Z}^d$, this is a Riemann sum approximation to an integral that arises in the limit $\eta \to 0$, which motivates the alternative notation $(f|g)_X = \int_{x \in X} f_x \, g_x = \int_X fg$, as well as the notation $(f|g)_x = \int_{x \in X} f_x g_x = \int_x fg$. This notation will also be used at fixed $\eta > 0$, e.g. at $\eta = 1$.

2.1.2. Hilbert spaces for bosons and the CCR algebra The quantum mechanical Hilbert space for a spinless particle on X is $\mathcal{H} = L^2(X, \mathbb{C})$. In our setting it is simply the finite-dimensional space $\mathbb{C}^X$ of all maps f from X to $\mathbb{C}$, with inner product $\langle f|g \rangle = (\bar{f}|g)_X$. The n-boson space is $\mathscr{F}_B^{(n)} = \mathbb{S}_n \bigotimes^n \mathcal{H}$, where $\mathbb{S}_n$ is the symmetrization operator, $(\mathbb{S}_n f)(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathscr{S}_n} f(x_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, x_{\pi(n)})$. Here $\mathscr{S}_n$ denotes the set of all permutations on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. The bosonic Fock space is defined as

$$\mathscr{F}_B = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathscr{F}_B^{(n)} \tag{3}$$

(where $\mathscr{F}_B^{(0)} = \mathbb{C}$).

For $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ let P_N denote the projector onto the N-particle subspace $\mathscr{F}_B^{(N)}$ of $\mathscr{F}_B$, $P_{\leq N} = \sum_{n=0}^{N} P_N$, and $\mathscr{F}_B^{(\leq N)} = P_{\leq N} \mathscr{F}_B$.

Recall the standard C^* algebra formulation of quantum many-particle systems given, e.g., in [13]. The algebra for boson systems is generated by bosonic annihilation operators $\mathtt{a}$ and creation operators $\mathtt{a}^\dagger$ satisfying the canonical commutation relations (CCR)

$$\mathtt{a}_x \mathtt{a}_y - \mathtt{a}_y \mathtt{a}_x = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathtt{a}_x \mathtt{a}_y^\dagger - \mathtt{a}_y^\dagger \mathtt{a}_x = \eta^{-d} \delta_{x,y} \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X . \tag{4}$$

Let

$$\Omega = (1, 0, 0, \dots) \in \mathscr{F}_B \tag{5}$$

denote the vacuum vector. Then an orthonormal basis for $\mathscr{F}_B^{(N)}$ is given by the vectors

$$e_\nu = \prod_{x \in X} (\nu_x!)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathtt{a}_x^{\dagger \, \nu_x} \Omega, \tag{6}$$

where the sequences $\nu = (\nu_x)_{x \in X} \in \mathbb{N}_0^X$ satisfy the condition $\sum_{x \in X} \nu_x = N$. Thus the N-boson space $\mathscr{F}_B^{(N)}$ is finite-dimensional, with dimension

$$\dim \mathscr{F}_B^{(N)} = (-1)^N \binom{-|X|}{N} = \binom{|X| + N - 1}{|X| - 1}. \tag{7}$$

$\mathscr{F}_B$ is infinite-dimensional even if X is finite.

Define the local density operators and the number operator $\mathtt{N}$ by

$$\mathtt{n}_x = \mathtt{a}_x^\dagger \mathtt{a}_x \qquad \mathtt{N} = \int_x \mathtt{n}_x. \tag{8}$$

Every $\mathtt{n}_x$ maps the N-particle space $\mathscr{F}_B^{(N)}$ to itself, and on this space, it has operator norm $\|\mathtt{n}_x\| = N$. The restriction of $\mathtt{N}$ to $\mathscr{F}_B^{(N)}$ is N times the identity operator. The $\mathtt{n}_x$ all commute:

$$\mathtt{n}_x \mathtt{n}_y = \mathtt{n}_y \mathtt{n}_x \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X. \tag{9}$$

2.2. Hamiltonians. For a single particle, the Hamiltonian is given by a self-adjoint matrix $\mathcal{E}$, acting as $(\mathcal{E} f)_x = \int_y \mathcal{E}_{x,y} f_y$, i.e. a hopping matrix. Typical choices will be the discrete Laplacian $-\Delta$, or $-\Delta + m^2$, with $m^2 > 0$, or, for particles in an external potential W, $-\Delta + W(x)$. This operator will be assumed to be nonnegative. The particles interact by a two-body potential, i.e. $v_{x,y} \in \mathbb{R}$ is the interaction energy contributed by a pair of particles at sites x and y. The interaction is symmetric: $v_{x,y} = v_{y,x}$. Thus v can be regarded as a self-adjoint operator on $\mathbb{C}^X$. v is called translation-invariant if $v_{x+z,y+z} = v_{x,y}$ for all z, and in this case I use the notation $v_{x,y} = v(x - y)$.

The Hamiltonian on the bosonic N-particle Hilbert space $\mathscr{F}_B^{(N)}$ is

$$H_N = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathcal{E}_n + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n=1}^{N} v_{x_m, x_n} \tag{10}$$

where

$$(\mathcal{E}_n f)(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_y \mathcal{E}_{x_n, y} \, f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_N) \tag{11}$$

and where v_{x_m, x_n} acts as a multiplication operator. In second-quantized form, it can be written as

$$H = \int_{x,y} \mathcal{E}_{x,y} \, a_x^\dagger a_y + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x,y} v_{x,y} \, n_x n_y. \tag{12}$$

Inserting the notation (2) gives $H = H_0 + V$ with

$$H_0 = (a^\dagger | \mathcal{E} \, a)_X \quad \text{and} \quad V = \tfrac{1}{2} (n|v\,n)_X. \tag{13}$$

The case $v = 0$ describes free (i.e. noninteracting bosons).

2.3. Ensembles. In the following, the Hamiltonian H is assumed to be nonnegative; in the above setup this is the case if both operators $\mathcal{E} \geq 0$ and $v \geq 0$.

2.3.1. Canonical ensemble For $\beta > 0$ define

$$[A]^{(N,\beta,X)} = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathscr{F}_B} \left[e^{-\beta H} A \, P_N \right], \tag{14}$$

$Z_c^{(N,\beta,X)} = [1]^{(N,\beta,X)}$, and

$$\langle A \rangle^{(N,\beta,X)} = \frac{1}{Z_c^{(N,\beta,X)}} [A]^{(N,\beta,X)} . \tag{15}$$

Then $Z_c^{(N,\beta,X)}$ is the canonical partition function and $\langle A \rangle^{(N,\beta,X)}$ is the normalized canonical expectation value of A, for a system of N bosons at inverse temperature β on configuration space X. The range of the projection operator P_N is finite-dimensional, so P_N is trace class on $\mathscr{F}_B$, and the trace in (14) exists whenever $e^{-\beta H} A$ is a bounded operator. Because $P_N^2 = P_N$ and the trace is cyclic,

$$[A]^{(N,\beta,X)} = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathscr{F}_B} \left[P_N e^{-\beta H} A \, P_N \right]. \tag{16}$$

Because H conserves particle number,

$$[A]^{(N,\beta,X)} = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathscr{F}_B} \left[P_N e^{-\beta H} A_N \right] = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathscr{F}_B} \left[e^{-\beta H} A_N \right] \tag{17}$$

with

$$A_N = P_N \, A \, P_N. \tag{18}$$

Thus it suffices to consider expectations of operators F that map $\mathscr{F}_B^{(N)}$ to itself. It is convenient to introduce a generating function with insertion F as

$$Z_c^{(N,\beta,X)}(H, F) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathscr{F}_B^{(N)}} \left[e^{-\beta H} (1 + F) \right] = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathscr{F}_B} \left[e^{-\beta H} (1 + F) \, P_N \right]. \tag{19}$$

Normalized expectation values can then be obtained by differentiation as

$$\langle F \rangle_H^{(N,\beta,X)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \ln Z_c^{(N,\beta,X)}(H, \lambda F)|_{\lambda=0}. \tag{20}$$

The dependence on H has been made explicit in the notation. In general, for Hamiltonians preserving particle number, *normalized* canonical expectation values are unchanged under shifts in the energy, as follows. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\psi \in \mathscr{F}_B$,

$$e^{\alpha N} P_N \psi = e^{\alpha N} P_N \psi. \tag{21}$$

As a consequence

$$Z_c^{(N,\beta,X)}(H + \alpha N, \ F) = e^{-\alpha \beta N} \ Z_c^{(N,\beta,X)}(H, \ F). \tag{22}$$

The prefactor drops out in the quotient defining the normalized canonical expectation value, so

$$\langle F \rangle_{H+\alpha N}^{(N,\beta,X)} = \langle F \rangle_H^{(N,\beta,X)}. \tag{23}$$

2.3.2. Grand-canonical ensemble The grand canonical partition function at chemical potential μ is

$$Z_g^{(\beta,\mu X)} = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} e^{\beta \mu N} Z_c^{(N,\beta,X)} = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathscr{F}_B} \left[e^{-\beta(H-\mu N)} \right] \tag{24}$$

and the grand canonical expectation value is defined similarly. Convergence of the sum over N in general requires conditions on μ and H (e.g. $\mu < \inf \mathrm{spec} \ H_0$ when $V = 0$) which will be specified when appropriate.

Returning to the shift invariance (23), it is very important to note that this invariance does *not* hold for the partition functions. Instead, the prefactor in (22) implies that in the sum for the grand canoncial partition function, the parameter μ gets shifted to $\mu - \alpha$. Depending on α, this shift may cause the sum over N to diverge. Thus, when one attempts to obtain the usual relation between canonical and grand canonical ensembles, arbitrary shifts are no longer allowed. The convergence condition will show up prominently in the coherent-state integral derived in the next section.

3. Main Results

Here I state the main integral formulas and convergence theorems.

By definition, $\mathcal{E}$ generates a stochastic process iff for all $\tau \geq 0$ and all x and y $\in$ X, the x, y matrix element of $e^{-\tau \mathcal{E}}$ is a nonnegative real number:

$$\forall \tau \geq 0 : \ (e^{-\tau \mathcal{E}})_{x,y} \geq 0. \tag{25}$$

Theorem 1. *Let* H *have kinetic term* $\mathcal{E}$ *and interaction* v, *as in* (13)*. Let* $\mathcal{E}$ *and* v *be translation invariant,* $\mathcal{E} > 0$ *and* v ≥ 0 *as operators, and* $\mathcal{E}$ *generate a stochastic process. Then the canonical partition function has the integral representation*

$$Z_c^{(N,\beta,X)} = e^{\frac{\beta}{2}v(0)N} \ e^{\frac{\beta}{2}|X|(v(0)-\hat{v}(0))} \lim_{\ell \to \infty} \mathfrak{Z}_\ell^{(N,\beta,X)} \tag{26}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{Z}_\ell^{(N,\beta,X)} = \int \mathcal{D}a \ e^{-\mathcal{S}_X(a)} \frac{1}{N!} (\bar{a}(\beta)|a(0))_X^N. \tag{27}$$

Here X *includes a discrete time lattice of spacing* $\epsilon = \frac{\beta}{\ell}$, *i.e.* X $= \mathbb{T} \times$ X, *where* $\mathbb{T} = \epsilon \{0, \ldots, \ell\}$[1] *The integral runs over complex fields* a : X $\to \mathbb{C}$, $\mathcal{D}a$ *denotes the volume form*

$$\mathcal{D}a = \prod_{\tau \in \mathbb{T}} \prod_{x \in X} \frac{d\bar{a}(\tau,x) \wedge a(\tau,x)}{2\pi i} \tag{28}$$

---

[1] In the later sections, an index $J \in \{0, \ldots, \ell\}$, so that $\tau = \epsilon J$, will be used instead of τ to label fields and matrix elements of operators.

on $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{X}}$, and the action $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{X}}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{X}}(a) &= \int_\tau \left[(\bar{a}(\tau) | [(-\partial_\tau + \hat{v}(0) + \mathcal{E}^{(\epsilon)}) a](\tau))_{\mathbb{X}} + \left(|a(\tau)|^2 \middle| \, v \, |a(\tau)|^2 \right)_{\mathbb{X}} \right] \\
&= \int_\tau \left[\int_{\mathbb{X}} \bar{a}(\tau, \mathbb{x}) \, [(-\partial_\tau + \hat{v}(0) + \mathcal{E}^{(\epsilon)}) a](\tau, \mathbb{x}) + \int_{\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y}} |a(\tau, \mathbb{x})|^2 \, v(\mathbb{x} - \mathbb{y}) \, |a(\tau, \mathbb{y})|^2 \right]
\end{aligned}
\tag{29}
$$

The integral notation $\int_\tau$ is shorthand for $\epsilon \sum_{\tau \in \mathbb{T}}$, ∂_τ denotes the discrete forward time derivative

$$
(\partial_\tau a)(\tau, \mathbb{x}) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} (a(\tau + \epsilon, \mathbb{x}) - a(\tau, \mathbb{x}))
\tag{30}
$$

with the boundary condition $a(\beta + \epsilon, \mathbb{x}) = 0$, and

$$
(\mathcal{E}^{(\epsilon)} a)(\tau, \mathbb{x}) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{y}} (1 - e^{-\epsilon \mathcal{E}})(\mathbb{x} - \mathbb{y}) \, a(\tau + \epsilon, \mathbb{y}).
\tag{31}
$$

The limit remains unchanged if $(\mathcal{E}^{(\epsilon)} a)(\tau, \mathbb{x})$ is replaced by $(\mathcal{E}a)(\tau + \epsilon, \mathbb{x})$ or by $(\mathcal{E}a)(\tau, \mathbb{x})$ in the action.

Two important features of this representation are characteristic for the canonical ensemble: (1) the N-dependent polynomial factor $(a(\beta)|a(0))_{\mathbb{X}}^N$ appears in the integrand, and (2) the time derivative operator in the quadratic part of the action does *not* have a periodic boundary condition at $\tau = \beta$. (See also Theorem 6, in particular (82)). The 'mass term' $\hat{v}(0)$ may be surprising at first sight. It arises in the proof in an integration by parts step which is necessary to show convergence. Its occurrence is also related to that of the prefactor $e^{\frac{\beta}{2} v(0) N}$, which is necessary to fulfil certain positivity conditions in intermediate steps of the proof. Another variant of the interaction, where the $|a|^4$ term is replaced by a term of at most quadratic growth for $|a| \to \infty$, is discussed in Sect. 4.

Theorem 1 is proven in Sect. 7, using the preparations made in Sect. 6. Translation invariance is assumed here only to simplify the statement. In Sect. 7.2, a more general statement, Theorem 17, where translation invariance is not assumed, is proven.

Theorem 1 applies in particular to a $\mathcal{E}$ of the form $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0 - \mu \mathbb{1}$, with $\mathcal{E}_0 > 0$ and $\mu \le 0$, and it implies that the limit of the integral (27) equals (up to the explicit prefactor) the canonical partition function $Z_c^{(N, \beta, \mathbb{X})}$. By (22), $Z_c^{(N, \beta, \mathbb{X})}$ is analytic in μ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$. If $v > 0$, the quartic term in (29) is bounded below by $\delta \int_{\tau, \mathbb{x}} |a(\tau, \mathbb{x})|^4$ for some $\delta > 0$, so the integral (27) converges absolutely for all $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ as well, and it defines an analytic function of μ. Moreover, for $\mu_0 < 0$, the convergence as $\ell \to \infty$ is uniform on compact subsets of $\{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} \mu < \mu_0\}$, hence the limiting function is analytic in μ there. Thus it coincides with $Z_c^{(N, \beta, \mathbb{X})}$ for all μ by the identity theorem, and hence the integral representation extends to all μ.

The action (29) is such that it admits a rewriting by an integral over auxiliary fields. Indeed, the theorem is proven by using such a representation, which is the basis of all the analysis done here, hence more fundamental. It is given as follows.

Theorem 2. Assume that $\mathcal{E} > 0$ and $v \ge 0$, and let $\mathbb{F}$ be any operator on $\mathscr{F}_B^{(N)}$. Then $Z_c^{(N, \beta, \mathbb{X})}(\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{F}) = \lim_{\ell \to \infty} Z_c^{(N, \beta, \mathbb{X}, \ell)}(\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{F})$ where

$$
Z_c^{(N, \beta, \mathbb{X}, \ell)}(\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{F}) = \int d\mu_{\mathbb{V}}(h) \int_{\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{X}}} \mathcal{D}a \, e^{-(\bar{a} | \mathcal{Q}(h) \, a)_{\mathbb{X}}} \, \langle v_{a(\beta)} | (1 + \mathbb{F}) \, \mathbb{P}_N \, v_{a(0)} \rangle
\tag{32}
$$

The integration over h runs over $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{X}}$, and $\mu_{\mathbb{V}}$ is the normalized, centered Gaussian measure with time-local covariance v, i.e. $d\mu_{\mathbb{V}}(h) = \prod_{\tau \in \mathbb{T}} d\mu_v(h(\tau))$. The operator $\mathcal{Q}(h)$, given in (81) below, has a positive hermitian part, and the integral over a converges absolutely. The vectors $v_a \in \mathscr{F}_B$ are unnormalized coherent states, given in (38). For $F = 0$, the matrix element is

$$\langle v_{a(\beta)} | \mathbb{P}_N \, v_{a(0)} \rangle = \frac{1}{N!} \, (\bar{a}(\beta) | a(0))_{\mathbb{X}}^N \tag{33}$$

The covariance $\mathcal{C}(h) = \mathcal{Q}(h)^{-1}$ is entire analytic in h on $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{X}}$. If $\mathcal{E}$ generates a stochastic process, then $\mathcal{C}(h)$ satisfies the uniform bound

$$\forall h \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{X}} : \quad \left| \mathcal{C}(h)(\tau, x; \tau' x') \right| \leq \mathcal{C}(0)(\tau, x; \tau' x'). \tag{34}$$

Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 6, Lemma 8, and (89). It will be used in further work to study properties of correlation functions.

Theorem 3. *Let $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and assume that $\mathcal{E} - \mu > 0$. Then the grand canonical partition function (24) has the representation $Z_g^{(\beta,\mu,\mathbb{X})} = \lim_{\ell \to \infty} Z_g^{(\beta,\mu,\mathbb{X},\ell)}$ with*

$$Z_g^{(\beta,\mu,\mathbb{X},\ell)} = \int d\mu_{\mathbb{V}}(h) \int_{\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{X}}} \mathcal{D}a \, e^{-(\bar{a}|\mathcal{K}(h) \, a)_{\mathbb{X}}} \tag{35}$$

where $\mathcal{K}(h)$ is given by $\mathcal{Q}(h)$, but with $\mathcal{E}$ replaced by $\mathcal{E} - \mu$ and a periodic boundary condition in time (see (184) below). The grand-canonical covariance $\mathcal{G}(h) = \mathcal{K}(h)^{-1}$ is analytic in h on a neighbourhood of $\mathbb{R}^{|\mathbb{X}|}$. It $\mathcal{E}$ generates a stochastic process, then $\mathcal{G}(h)$ satisfies the uniform bound

$$\forall h \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{X}} : \quad \left| \mathcal{G}(h)(\tau, x; \tau', x') \right| \leq \mathcal{G}(0)(\tau, x; \tau', x'). \tag{36}$$

$\mathcal{G}(0)$ is the time-ordered Green function for free bosons with kinetic term $\mathcal{E} - \mu$.

Theorem 3 follows directly from Theorem 18.

4. Integral Formulas

4.1. Coherent states. Here I summarize some well-known properties of coherent states, in the form that I shall use them in the following. For convenience of the reader, their (elementary) proofs are given in Appendix A.

Coherent states are eigenvectors of the annihilation operators: for any function $a : \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{C}$ there is a nonzero vector $v_a \in \mathscr{F}_B$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$

$$a_x v_a = a_x v_a. \tag{37}$$

The vector v_a is explicitly given by

$$v_a = e^{(a|a^\dagger)_{\mathbb{X}}} \, \Omega \tag{38}$$

where Ω is the vacuum vector in the bosonic Fock space (see (5)). The right hand side of (38) is defined by the series expansion of the exponential. Theseries is norm-convergent for all a, so the coherent state v_a is entire analytic in a.

Coherent states are grand canonical objects, since a maps $\mathscr{F}_B^{(n+1)}$ to $\mathscr{F}_B^{(n)}$. Their inner product on Fock space is

$$\langle v_a | v_{a'} \rangle = e^{(\bar{a}|a')_X}. \tag{39}$$

Thus $v_a \in \mathcal{F}$ has norm

$$\| v_a \| = e^{\frac{1}{2}(\bar{a}|a)_X}. \tag{40}$$

Denote the unit vector

$$\kappa_a = \frac{1}{\| v_a \|} v_a = e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\bar{a}|a)_X} v_a, \tag{41}$$

the orthogonal projector on the subspace spanned by κ_a by $|\kappa_a\rangle\langle\kappa_a|$,

$$d^X a = \prod_{x \in X} \frac{d\bar{a}_x \wedge da_x}{2\pi i}, \tag{42}$$

and $\mathbb{C}_R = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq R \}$. Then, in the sense of strong convergence,

$$1_{\mathscr{F}_B} = \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{C}_R^X} d^X a \, |\kappa_a\rangle\langle\kappa_a| \tag{43}$$

and for trace class operators A,

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathscr{F}_B} A = \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{C}_R^X} d^X a \, \langle \kappa_a | A \, \kappa_a \rangle \tag{44}$$

(see Theorem 2.26 of [6]).

Moreover (see Lemma 20), if $H_0 = (a^\dagger | \mathcal{E} a)_X$ with hermitian $\mathcal{E}$, then for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$e^{it H_0} v_a = v_{e^{it\mathcal{E}} a} \tag{45}$$

and if $\mathcal{E}$ is nonnegative and $\tau \geq 0$, then

$$e^{-\tau H_0} v_a = v_{e^{-\tau\mathcal{E}} a} \tag{46}$$

and hence

$$\langle v_a | e^{-\tau H_0} v_{a'} \rangle = e^{(\bar{a}|e^{-\tau\mathcal{E}} a')_X}. \tag{47}$$

4.2. The integral for the canonical ensemble. Let F be a densely defined operator and assume that $e^{-\beta H}(1 + F)$ is bounded, hence extends to a bounded operator on $\mathscr{F}_B$. Then $e^{-\beta H}(1 + F)P_N$ is trace class, and by (44)

$$Z_c^{(N,\beta,X)}(H, F) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{C}_R^X} d^X a \, \langle \kappa_a | e^{-\beta H} (1 + F) P_N \kappa_a \rangle. \tag{48}$$

By (43), boundedness of $e^{-\beta H}(1 + F)$, and continuity of the scalar product, the integrand $\langle \kappa_a | e^{-\beta H} (1 + F) P_N \kappa_a \rangle$ is the limit $R' \to \infty$ of

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}_{R'}^X} d^X a' \, \langle \kappa_a | e^{-\beta H} (1 + F) \kappa_{a'} \rangle \, \langle \kappa_{a'} | P_N \kappa_a \rangle \tag{49}$$

By (41), the integrand in (49) equals

$$e^{-(\bar{a}|a)_X - (\bar{a}'|a')_X} \langle v_a | e^{-\beta H} (1 + F) \, v_{a'} \rangle \, \langle v_{a'} | P_N v_a \rangle. \tag{50}$$

The matrix element of the N-particle projection P_N between coherent states can be calculated explicitly:

Lemma 4. *Let* $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, P_N *be the orthogonal projection from* $\mathscr{F}_B$ *onto* $\mathscr{F}_B^{(N)}$. *Then, for all* $a, a' \in \mathbb{C}^X$,

$$\langle v_{a'} | P_N v_a \rangle = \tfrac{1}{N!} \, (\bar{a}'|a)_X^N. \tag{51}$$

Proof. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Because the expansion (38) for the coherent state v_a is norm convergent for any a and the projection P_N is continuous,

$$P_N v_a = \tfrac{1}{N!} \, (a|a^\dagger)_X^N \Omega. \tag{52}$$

Because $a^\dagger$ is the adjoint of a and because $v_{a'}$ is an eigenvector of a,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle v_{a'} | P_N v_a \rangle &= \tfrac{1}{N!} \, \langle v_{a'} | (a|a^\dagger)_X^N \Omega \rangle = \tfrac{1}{N!} \, \langle (\bar{a}|a)_X^N v_{a'} | \Omega \rangle \\
&= \tfrac{1}{N!} \, \langle (\bar{a}|a')_X^N v_{a'} | \Omega \rangle = \tfrac{1}{N!} \, (\bar{a}'|a)_X^N \, \langle v_{a'} | \Omega \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

The inner product $\langle v_{a'} | \Omega \rangle$ equals 1. $\qquad\square$

Note that this matrix element is polynomial in the fields a and a', in contrast to the exponential (39) which would have resulted if P_N had been replaced by 1. This reflects an essential difference between the canonical and the grand canonical ensemble.

To streamline notation further, I will write

$$\int\limits_{a_1,\ldots,a_n}^{R_1,\ldots,R_n} \cdots = \int\limits_{\mathbb{C}_{R_1}^X} d^X a_1 \cdots \int\limits_{\mathbb{C}_{R_2}^X} d^X a_n \cdots, \tag{54}$$

abbreviated to $\int_{a_1,\ldots,a_n}^{R}$ if $R_1 = \ldots = R_n = R$, and

$$\int\limits_{a_1,\ldots,a_n} \cdots = \int\limits_{\mathbb{C}^X} d^X a_1 \cdots \int\limits_{\mathbb{C}^X} d^X a_n \cdots \tag{55}$$

Lemma 5. *If* $e^{-\beta H}(1 + F)$ *is a bounded operator on* $\mathscr{F}_B$, *the canonical generating function* $Z_c^{(N,\beta,X)}(H, F)$, *defined in* (19), *is the limit* $R \to \infty$ *of the integral*

$$_R Z_c^{(N,\beta,X)}(H, F) = \int_{a,a'}^R e^{-(\bar{a}|a)_X - (\bar{a}'|a')_X} \, \langle v_a | e^{-\beta H}(1 + F) \, v_{a'} \rangle \, \frac{(\bar{a}', a)_X^N}{N!} \tag{56}$$

and the limit $R \to \infty$ *is given by an absolutely convergent integral.*

Proof. Successively inserting (50) into (49), and (49) into (48), and using (51), gives $Z_c^{(N,\beta,X)}$ (H, F) as a limit $R' \to \infty$ and $R \to \infty$ of

$$\int_{a,a'} e^{-(\bar{a}|a)_X - (\bar{a}'|a')_X} \langle v_a | e^{-\beta H}(1 + F) v_{a'}\rangle \frac{(\bar{a}'|a)_X^N}{N!} 1_{a \in \mathbb{C}_R^X} 1_{a' \in \mathbb{C}_{R'}^X} \tag{57}$$

Let $\|e^{-\beta H}(1 + F)\| = M$, then $\left|\langle v_a | e^{-\beta H}(1 + F) v_{a'}\rangle\right| \le M \|v_a\| \|v_{a'}\|$, and by (40), the absolute value of the integrand is bounded by

$$M \, e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\bar{a}|a)_X - \frac{1}{2}(\bar{a}'|a')_X} \frac{|(\bar{a}'|a)_X|^N}{N!} \tag{58}$$

which is integrable in a and a'. Thus, by dominated convergence, the limits $R' \to \infty$ and $R \to \infty$ exist in arbitrary order, and the limit $R \to \infty$ with $R' = R$ gives the same result.   □

Note that if H commutes with N, then

$$\text{tr} \left[e^{-\beta H} P_N\right] = \text{tr} \left[P_N e^{-\beta H} P_N\right] = \text{tr} \left[e^{-\beta P_N H P_N} P_N\right] \tag{59}$$

so for H that is unbounded below, a formula similar to (56), with H replaced by $H_N = P_N H P_N$, still holds.

A simple but important case for F is $F = (a^\dagger | F a)_X = \int_{x,y} F_{x,y} a_x^\dagger a_y$. Then

$$\langle a_x^\dagger a_y\rangle^{(N)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial F_{x,y}} \ln Z_c^{(N,\beta,X)}(H, F)|_{F=0} \tag{60}$$

is the reduced one-particle density matrix of the canonical ensemble. A little calculation gives

$$F(a|a^\dagger)_X^N \Omega = N \, (a|F^T a^\dagger)_X \, (a|a^\dagger)_X^{N-1} \Omega, \tag{61}$$

hence

$$\langle v_{a'} | (1 + F) P_N v_a\rangle = \frac{1}{(N-1)!} (\bar{a}'|a)_X^{N-1} (\bar{a}'|(\tfrac{1}{N} + F) a)_X \tag{62}$$

This is easy to interpret: when a_y is applied to the N-particle state $P_N v_a$, the result is an $N - 1$-particle state, so the power decreases to $N - 1$ in that term.

It is straightforward to generalize this to operators of the form[2]

$$F = \int_{x_1,...,x_m} \int_{y_1,...,y_n} F_{x_1,...,x_m;y_1,...,y_n} \prod_{i=1}^m a_{x_i}^\dagger \prod_{j=1}^n a_{y_j} \tag{63}$$

which can be used to obtain the reduced n-particle density matrices and their connected parts.

By the residue formula

$$\frac{\alpha^N}{N!} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{dz}{z^{N+1}} e^{\alpha z} \tag{64}$$

($r > 0$), the canonical partition function then becomes

$$_R Z_c^{(N,\beta,X)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{dz}{z^{N+1}} \int_{a,a'}^R e^{-(\bar{a}|a)_X - (\bar{a}'|a')_X + z(\bar{a}'|a)_X} \langle v_a | e^{-\beta H} v_{a'}\rangle \tag{65}$$

It is this form which allows to connect conveniently to the other ensembles in the limit of large N by a stationary-phase argument.

---

[2] If H conserves particle number, this only contributes to the canonical expectation value when $m = n$.

4.3. Noninteracting bosons. It is instructive to see how this leads to the standard formulas for the canonical partition function for free bosons. In the absence of interaction, $H = H_0$, so (47) can be used to rewrite the canonical partition function as

$$
{}_R Z_c^{(N,\beta,X)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{dz}{z^{N+1}} \int_{a,a'}^R e^{-(\bar{a}|a)_X - (\bar{a}'|a')_X + z(\bar{a}'|a)_X + (\bar{a}|e^{-\beta\mathcal{E}} a')_X}
$$
$$
= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{dz}{z^{N+1}} \int_{a,a'}^R \exp\left(- \left([\bar{a}, \bar{a}'] \middle| \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -e^{-\beta\mathcal{E}} \\ -z1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ a' \end{bmatrix} \right)_X \right)
$$
(66)

Let $r < 1$, then the matrix in the quadratic form in the exponent has a positive definite hermitian part, and therefore the Gaussian integral is absolutely convergent, hence the limit $R \to \infty$ can be taken. The Gaussian integral over a and a' then gives the inverse of its determinant, so that

$$
{}_R Z_c^{(N,\beta,X)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{dz}{z^{N+1}} \det\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -e^{-\beta\mathcal{E}} \\ -z1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1}
$$
$$
= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{dz}{z^{N+1}} \det\left[1 - z e^{-\beta\mathcal{E}} \right]^{-1}.
$$
(67)

Evaluated in an eigenbasis of $\mathcal{E}$, this determinant factorizes, and the (convergent) expansion in z then gives the sum

$$
Z_c^{(N,\beta,X)} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0^A} e^{-\beta \sum_\alpha n_\alpha E_\alpha} \delta_{\sum_{\alpha \in A} n_\alpha, N}
$$
(68)

which also follows straightforwardly from the definition of the canonical ensemble as a trace. Here the eigenbasis is indexed by the set A, i.e. $(E_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ are the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{E}$.

Without the contour integral, the canonical partition function can be written as a permanent:

$$
{}_R Z_c^{(N,\beta,X)} = \int_{a,a'}^R e^{-(\bar{a}|a)_X - (\bar{a}'|a')_X + (\bar{a}|e^{-\beta\mathcal{E}} a')_X} \frac{(\bar{a}|a)_X^N}{N!}
$$
$$
= \int_{a,a'}^R e^{-\left([\bar{a}, \bar{a}'] \middle| \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -e^{-\beta\mathcal{E}} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ a' \end{bmatrix} \right)_X} \frac{(\bar{a}|a)_X^N}{N!}.
$$
(69)

Again, the limit $R \to \infty$ can be taken since the real part of the quadratic form is strictly positive. Writing out each factor $(\bar{a}', a)_X = \int_x \bar{a}'_x a_x$ and doing the Gaussian integral (noting that this time, the determinant is 1 and the inverse obviously $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & e^{-\beta\mathcal{E}} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$), this gives

$$
Z_c^{(N,\beta,X)} = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_N} \int_{x_1,\dots,x_N} \prod_{n=1}^N \left(e^{-\beta\mathcal{E}} \right)_{x_n, x_{\pi(n)}}
$$
$$
= \int_{x_1,\dots,x_N} \frac{1}{N!} \operatorname{Perm} C(x_1, \dots, x_N)
$$
(70)

where the $N \times N$ matrix $C(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ has matrix elements $C(x_1, \dots, x_N)_{ij} = \left(e^{-\beta\mathcal{E}} \right)_{x_i, x_j}$, and Perm denotes its permanent. See Appendix E.

4.4. Interacting bosons. From now on, let H be given as $H = H_0 + V$, with H_0 and V given in (13), with $\mathcal{E} > 0$ and $v \geq 0$. H preserves particle number since $[H_0, N] = 0$ and $[V, N] = 0$.

4.4.1. Time slicing Because $[H, N] = 0$, the traces defining the canonical partition function and expectation values are traces over the finite-dimensional N-boson space $\mathscr{F}_B^{(N)}$, on which H_0 and V are bounded, so the Lie product formula applies, and

$$Z_c^{(N,\beta,X)}(H, F) = \lim_{\ell \to \infty} Z_c^{(N,\beta,X,\ell)}(F) , \tag{71}$$

where

$$Z_c^{(N,\beta,X,\ell)}(F) = \text{tr}\left[\left(e^{-\epsilon H_0} e^{-\epsilon V}\right)^\ell (1 + F) \, P_N\right] \tag{72}$$

with

$$\epsilon = \frac{\beta}{\ell} . \tag{73}$$

Again by (44) and (43), and with the new notation $a_0 = a$ and $a_\ell = a'$, this becomes

$$Z_c^{(N,\beta,X,\ell)}(F) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{a_0, a_\ell}^{R} \langle \kappa_{a_0}| \left(e^{-\epsilon H_0} e^{-\epsilon V}\right)^\ell \kappa_{a_\ell}\rangle \, \langle \kappa_{a_\ell}|(1 + F) \, P_N \, \kappa_{a_0}\rangle \tag{74}$$

By repeated application of (43),

$$\langle \kappa_{a_0}| \left(e^{-\epsilon H_0} e^{-\epsilon V}\right)^\ell \kappa_{a_\ell}\rangle = \lim_{R_1,\dots,R_{\ell-1} \to \infty} \int_{a_1,\dots,a_{\ell-1}}^{R_1,\dots,R_{\ell-1}} \prod_{J=1}^{\ell} \langle \kappa_{a_{J-1}}|e^{-\epsilon H_0} e^{-\epsilon V} \kappa_{a_J}\rangle \tag{75}$$

(We shall see below that the limit may again be taken as the special limit where $R_i = R$ for all i.) By (41) this can be rewritten in terms of the unnormalized coherent states as

$$Z_c^{(N,\beta,X,\ell)}(F) = \lim_{R_0,\dots,R_\ell \to \infty} \int_{a_0,\dots,a_\ell}^{R_0,\dots,R_\ell} e^{-\sum_{J=0}^{\ell}(\bar{a}_J|a_J)_X} \, \langle v_{a_\ell}|(1 + F) \, P_N \, v_{a_0}\rangle$$
$$\prod_{J=1}^{\ell} \langle v_{a_{J-1}}|e^{-\epsilon H_0} e^{-\epsilon V} v_{a_J}\rangle \tag{76}$$

4.4.2. Auxiliary fields As noted before, the interaction v is assumed to be a nonnegative operator. The identity 1 and the n_x generate an abelian algebra, so the exponential of the interaction can be written as a Gaussian integral

$$e^{-\epsilon V} = e^{-\epsilon(n|vn)_X} = \int d\mu_v(h) \, e^{i\sqrt{\epsilon}(h|n)_X} \tag{77}$$

where $d\mu_v$ denotes the normalized Gaussian measure on $\mathbb{R}^X$ with mean zero and co-variance v. This identity is used for every J in the product (75). The Gaussian integral is

absolutely convergent, hence can be taken out of the inner product and the integral over the a fields. Eqs. (45), (46), and (39), then give

$$\langle v_{a_{J-1}}|e^{-\epsilon H_0}\, e^{-\epsilon V}\, v_{a_J}\rangle = \int d\mu_v(h_J)\, \langle v_{a_{J-1}}|e^{-\epsilon H_0}\, e^{i\sqrt{\epsilon}(h_J|n)_X}\, v_{a_J}\rangle$$
$$= \int d\mu_v(h_J)\, e^{(a_{J-1}|\, e^{-\epsilon\mathcal{E}}\, e^{i\sqrt{\epsilon}\, h_J}\, a_J)_X}. \tag{78}$$

The collection of additional integration variables $h_{J,x}$ indexed by $(J, x) \in \{1, \dots, \ell\} \times X$, is called the *auxiliary field* in the following. In (78) and similar expressions, $e^{i\sqrt{\epsilon}h_J}$ denotes the multiplication operator, $(e^{i\sqrt{\epsilon}h_J}\, a)_x = e^{i\sqrt{\epsilon}h_{J,x}} a_x$.

With the notations

$$\mathcal{D}a = \prod_{J=0}^{\ell} d^X a_J = \prod_{J=0}^{\ell}\prod_{x\in X} \frac{d\bar{a}_{J,x} \wedge a_{J,x}}{2\pi i} \tag{79}$$

and

$$d\mu_{\mathbb{V}}(h) = \prod_{J=1}^{\ell} d\mu_v(h_J) \tag{80}$$

the following statement holds.

Theorem 6. *Assume that* $v \geq 0$. *Set* $h_0 = 0$. *For* $J, J' \in \{0, \dots, \ell\}$ *let*

$$\mathcal{Q}(h)_{J,J'} = \delta_{J,J'}\, 1 - \delta_{J+1,J'}\, e^{-\epsilon\mathcal{E}}\, e^{i\sqrt{\epsilon}\, h_{J'}} \tag{81}$$

so that

$$(\bar{a}|\mathcal{Q}(h)\, a)_X = \sum_{J,J'=0}^{\ell} (\bar{a}_J|\mathcal{Q}(h)_{J,J'} a_{J'})_X$$
$$= \sum_{J=0}^{\ell}(\bar{a}_J|a_J)_X - \sum_{J=0}^{\ell-1}(\bar{a}_J|e^{-\epsilon\mathcal{E}}\, e^{i\sqrt{\epsilon}\, h_{J+1}} a_{J+1})_X. \tag{82}$$

Then

$$Z_c^{(N,\beta,X,\ell)}(F) = \lim_{R\to\infty} \int d\mu_{\mathbb{V}}(h) \int_{\mathbb{C}_R^X} \mathcal{D}a\, e^{-(\bar{a}|\mathcal{Q}(h)\, a)_X}\, \langle v_{a_\ell}|(1+F)\, P_N\, v_{a_0}\rangle \tag{83}$$

If $\mathcal{E} > 0$, *then the quadratic form* $\mathrm{Re}\,(\bar{a}|\mathcal{Q}(h)\, a)_X$ *is strictly positive, so the Gaussian integral over* a *converges absolutely and the limit* $R \to \infty$ *can be taken by dropping the restriction on the integral domain. In particular, for* $F = 0$,

$$Z_c^{(N,\beta,X,\ell)} = \int d\mu_{\mathbb{V}}(h) \int_{\mathbb{C}^X} \mathcal{D}a\, e^{-(\bar{a}|\mathcal{Q}(h)\, a)_X}\, \frac{(\bar{a}_\ell|a_0)_X^N}{N!} \tag{84}$$

Proof. Inserting (78) into (76) gives an analogue of (83), in which the single limit of (83) is replaced by $\ell + 1$ individual limits $R_0, \ldots, R_\ell \to \infty$. I now show that the Gaussian integral is absolutely convergent, which, again by dominated convergence, implies that the limit is simply given by the integral without a restriction on a, and that the limit can be taken by setting $R_i = R$ for all i and taking $R \to \infty$. Absolute convergence follows from the positivity of the real part, which is equivalent to operator positivity of $\mathcal{Q}_S(h) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{Q}(h) + \mathcal{Q}(h)^\dagger)$, and it holds because for $\mathcal{E} > 0$, the diagonal part of $\mathcal{Q}_S(h)$ strictly dominates the nondiagonal parts (note that $\|e^{-\epsilon\mathcal{E}} e^{i\sqrt{\epsilon}\, h_J}\| = \|e^{-\epsilon\mathcal{E}}\| < 1$). For $\mathcal{E} = 0$ and $h = 0$, $\mathcal{Q}_S(0) = -\Delta$, the Laplacian on $\{0, \ldots, \ell\}$, which is nonnegative. In general, set $K = e^{-\epsilon\mathcal{E}}$ and $U_J = e^{i\sqrt{\epsilon}h_J}$. Then K is a positive operator because $\mathcal{E}$ is hermitian, and U_J is unitary for all J because h_J is real-valued. Consequently,

$$\langle\langle a||a'\rangle\rangle = (a|K\, a') \tag{85}$$

is a positive definite scalar product on $\mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{X}}$, and

$$\mathrm{Re}\,(\bar{a}|\mathcal{Q}(h)\, a)_{\mathrm{X}} = \sum_{J=0}^{\ell} (\bar{a}_J|(1 - K)\, a_J)_{\mathrm{X}} + \tfrac{1}{2}\langle\langle a_0||a_0\rangle\rangle + \tfrac{1}{2}\langle\langle a_\ell||a_\ell\rangle\rangle$$

$$+ \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{J=1}^{\ell}\langle\langle a_{J-1} - U_J a_J||a_{J-1} - U_J a_J\rangle\rangle \tag{86}$$

$$\geq \sum_{J=0}^{\ell}(\bar{a}_J|(1 - K)\, a_J)_{\mathrm{X}}$$

Because $0 < K \leq 1$ if $\mathcal{E} \geq 0$, and $0 < K < 1$ if $\mathcal{E} > 0$, the lower bound is a nonnegative quadratic form if $\mathcal{E} \geq 0$ and strictly positive if $\mathcal{E} > 0$. Thus (83) holds. By (51), the result for $\mathrm{F} = 0$ is (84).   □

4.5. The covariance. The operator $\mathcal{Q}(h)$, given by (81), is a matrix $\mathcal{Q}(h) \in M_{\ell+1}(\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{F}_B))$ that is upper triangular: setting $\mathrm{A}_J = e^{-\epsilon\mathcal{E}} e^{i\sqrt{\epsilon}h_J}$

$$\mathcal{Q}(h) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\mathrm{A}_1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\mathrm{A}_2 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & & 1 & -\mathrm{A}_\ell \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{87}$$

Therefore, $\det \mathcal{Q}(h) = 1$, and the inverse of $\mathcal{Q}h$, the covariance

$$\mathcal{C}(h) = \mathcal{Q}(h)^{-1} \tag{88}$$

exists and is also upper triangular, and given by a terminating Neumann series as

$$(\mathcal{C}(h))_{J,J'} = \begin{cases} 0 & J > J' \\ 1 & J = J' \\ \displaystyle\prod_{k=J+1}^{J'} \mathrm{A}_k & J < J' \end{cases} \tag{89}$$

(see Appendix B). Because the inverse is given by a finite sum, $\mathcal{C}(h)$ is entire analytic in h. In fact, for every finite ℓ, it is a trigonometric polynomial in h.

4.6. Remarks on the structures arising from (84). Equation (84) is useful in various respects. It represents the partition function as a Gaussian integral both in the original boson field a and in the auxiliary field h. Integrating over the auxiliary field will give a regularized version of the formal functional integral for boson systems; see Sect. 4.6.2. Instead integrating over the a-field gives a representation in terms of the auxiliary field only. This will be used to derive a random-walk representation which is similar to that of [1–3] (see Sect. 5) but, being an integral with respect to a real Gaussian measure it also provides a starting point for a field-theoretical analysis by convergent expansions.

4.6.1. Stochastic field equation One can also derive a complex stochastic equation for a by integration by parts in the integral. Let $\langle F(a) \rangle$ denote the normalized canonical expectation value, then using

$$(\mathcal{Q}(h)a)_k(x) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{a}_k(x)}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\langle \bar{a}, \mathcal{Q}(h)a \rangle} \tag{90}$$

and integrating by parts gives for $k < \ell$ the 'Schwinger-Dyson' equation $\langle \mathcal{Q}(h)a \rangle = 0$, which, in a formal continuum limit corresponds to the Gaussian white noise average over h of the equation

$$\left(\partial_\tau - \Delta + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\epsilon}h(\tau, x)} - 1\right) a(\tau, x) = 0. \tag{91}$$

4.6.2. The complex integral obtained after integrating out the auxiliary field For simplicity I consider here the case of an on-site interaction, i.e. $\mathrm{v}_{x,y} = v\eta^{-d}\delta_{x,y}$, with $v \in \mathbb{R}, v > 0$. More general v's can be treated as well in terms of expansions, but the essential points are visible already in this case. With this choice of v, the h-integral factorizes both over the time slice index $_J$ and over the space index x, as follows. The h-dependent part of the quadratic form $(\bar{a}|\mathcal{Q}(h)a)_{\mathbb{X}}$ is

$$\sum_{J=1}^{\ell}(\tilde{a}_{J-1}|\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\epsilon}h_J}\, a_J)_{\mathbb{X}} = \sum_{J=1}^{\ell}\int_{\mathbb{X}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\epsilon}h_{J,x}}\tilde{a}_{J-1,x}\, a_{J,x} \tag{92}$$

with $\tilde{a}_{J-1} = \overline{\mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon\mathcal{E}}a_{J-1}}$, so its exponential factorizes; the assumption that v is on-site implies that also the Gaussian integral factorizes. Calling $z = \tilde{a}_{J-1,x}\, a_{J,x}$, the integral over $h = h_{J,x}$ is then simply

$$\Phi(z) = \int \mathrm{d}\mu_v(h)\, \mathrm{e}^{z\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\epsilon}h}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\,\epsilon v\, n^2} \tag{93}$$

The function $\mathcal{V}(z) = -\ln\Phi(z)$ is then the interaction term in the action for the a-integral. Obviously, $|\Phi(z)| \le \mathrm{e}^{|z|}$ for all $|z|$, so the large-field behaviour is bounded by a quadratic term. Moreover $\mathcal{V}(z) = z + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon v z^2$ for small $|z|$, i.e. $\mathcal{V}$ contains a quartic interaction term for small fields.

$$Z_c^{(N,\beta,\mathrm{X},\ell)} = \int_{\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{X}}} \mathcal{D}a\, \mathrm{e}^{-(\bar{a}|\mathcal{Q}(0)\, a)_{\mathbb{X}} - \sum_J \int_x \mathcal{V}(\tilde{a}_{J-1,x}\, a_{J,x})}\, \frac{(\bar{a}_\ell|a_0)_{\mathbb{X}}^N}{N!} \tag{94}$$

Clearly, $\tilde{a}_{J-1,x}\, a_{J,x}$ is complex, and therefore this representation loses the positivity of v. However, it is possible to obtain a representation with a positive interaction term, in the sense that one can prove that the action can be changed to one with a positive interaction term without changing the limit $\epsilon \to 0$. This will be done below in Sect. 7.

4.6.3. The probabilistic expectation obtained after integrating over the a-fields The a-integral in (84) is Gaussian as well. Performing this integral, the polynomial of degree N in the integrand results in a permanent of order N, specifically

$$Z_c^{(N,\beta,X,\ell)} = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{N_{X_1,\ldots,X_N}}} \int \int d\mu_{\mathbb{V}}(h) \prod_{k=1}^{N} \mathcal{C}(h)_{(0,x_k),(\ell,x_{\sigma(k)})}. \tag{95}$$

The integrand is still complex because $\mathcal{C}(h)$ is a complex function, but this function will be shown to be bounded. The Gaussian measure itself is real and normalized, i.e. a probability measure. This motivates the notation

$$\langle F(h) \rangle_h = \int F(h) d\mu_{\mathbb{V}}(h). \tag{96}$$

Introduce the additional notation for the X-averaged permanent,

$$\mathscr{P}_{N,X} \mathcal{C}_{J,J'} = \sum_{\pi \in \mathscr{S}_N} \int_{x_1,\ldots,x_N} \prod_{n=1}^{N} \mathcal{C}_{(J,x_n),(J',x_{\pi(n)})}. \tag{97}$$

Then

$$Z_c^{(N,\beta,X,\ell)} = \frac{1}{N!} \left\langle \mathscr{P}_{N,X} \mathcal{C}(h)_{0,\ell} \right\rangle_h \tag{98}$$

A similar expression holds for the generating function with F insertion. This representation will be the basis for most of the bounds given in this paper.

5. The Random-Walk Representation

In this section, I derive a random-walk representation of the partition function for the canonical ensemble, which is the lattice analogue of the interacting-Brownian-motion representations used in [1–3]. Given the structure of $\mathcal{Q}(h)$ and (89), this is now a straightforward exercise in Gaussian integration.

By (89), the kth factor in the product in (95) is given by

$$\mathcal{C}(h)_{(0,x_k),(\ell,x_{\sigma(k)})} = \left[\prod_{j_k=1}^{\ell} \left(e^{-\epsilon \mathcal{E}} e^{i\sqrt{\epsilon} h_{j_k}} \right) \right]_{(0,x_k),(\ell,x_{\sigma(k)})}. \tag{99}$$

In the basis of position eigenstates, $e^{-i\sqrt{\epsilon} h_{j_k}}$ is diagonal, and thus writing out all matrix products gives

$$\mathcal{C}(h)_{(0,x_k),(\ell,x_{\sigma(k)})} = \sum_{y^{(k)}} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \left(e^{-\epsilon \mathcal{E}} \right)_{y_{j-1}^{(k)},y_j^{(k)}} e^{i\sqrt{\epsilon} h_j(y_j^{(k)})} \tag{100}$$

where $y^{(k)} = (y_0^{(k)}, \ldots y_\ell^{(k)})$ with $y_0^{(k)} = x_k$, $y_\ell^{(k)} = x_{\sigma(k)}$ and $y_j^{(k)} \in X$ for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, \ell - 1\}$. It is natural to interpret $y^{(k)}$ as a walk from x_k to $x_{\sigma(k)}$, and write $y^{(k)} : x_k \to x_{\sigma(k)}$ as well as

$$P(y^{(k)}) = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \left(e^{-\epsilon \mathcal{E}} \right)_{y_{j-1}^{(k)},y_j^{(k)}} \tag{101}$$

for the product of transition amplitudes along the walk. Let $\mathbb{X} = \{1, \dots, \ell\} \times X$. Define the density associated to $y^{(k)}$ by $v^{(k)} : \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{R}$, where, for $(j, x) \in \mathbb{X}$, $v_{j,x}^{(k)} = \eta^{-d} \, \delta_{x, y_j^{(k)}}$. Then

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} e^{i\sqrt{\epsilon} h_j(y_j^{(k)})} = e^{i\sqrt{\epsilon}(h, v^{(k)})_{\mathbb{X}}} \tag{102}$$

Let $v(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} v^{(k)}$. Then

$$\prod_{k=1}^{N} \mathcal{C}(h)_{(0, x_k), (\ell, x_{\sigma(k)})} = \sum_{y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(N)}} \prod_{k=1}^{N} P(y^{(k)}) \, e^{i\sqrt{\epsilon}(h|v(y))_{\mathbb{X}}} \tag{103}$$

The Gaussian integral over h can now be done by the standard formula

$$\int d\mu_{\mathbb{V}}(h) \, e^{i\sqrt{\epsilon}(h|v(y))_{\mathbb{X}}} = e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2} (v(y)|\nabla v(y))_{\mathbb{X}}}, \tag{104}$$

and the bilinear form in the exponent then worked out in terms of summations over k and $k' \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ using the definition of v. With the notations

$$\tau_j = \frac{j}{\ell} \, \beta, \qquad \int_\tau F(\tau) = \epsilon \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} F(\tau_j) \tag{105}$$

this implies the random-walk representation of the canonical partition function in the translation-invariant case[3] as follows.

Theorem 7. *Let* $Z_c^{(N,\beta,X,\ell)}(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F})$ *be as in* (72) *and* $Z_c^{(N,\beta,X,\ell)} = Z_c^{(N,\beta,X,\ell)}(\mathrm{H}, 0)$. *Then*

$$Z_c^{(N,\beta,X,\ell)} = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_N} \int_{x_1, \dots, x_N} \sum_{\substack{y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(N)} \\ y^{(k)} : x_k \to x_{\sigma(k)}}} e^{-\mathcal{V}(y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(N)})} \prod_{k=1}^{N} P(y^{(k)}) \tag{106}$$

with

$$\mathcal{V}(y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(N)}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \le k, k' \le N} \int_\tau v\left(y^{(k)}(\tau) - y^{(k')}(\tau)\right) \tag{107}$$

and $P(y^{(k)})$ *given by* (101).

Thus the partition function is a sum over collections of N random walks $y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(N)}$ that have a local-in-time interaction v. The interaction is local in time because in (107), the walks $y^{(k)}(\tau) - y^{(k')}(\tau)$, with the same τ, appear in the interaction v. This is, of course, a direct consequence of the instantaneous nature of the interaction in the quantum Hamiltonian. Theorem 7 provides a discrete analogue of the representation in terms of symmetrized interacting Brownian motions given in [3]. (Interactions that are nonlocal in time, as studied in [3], can of course be obtained here as well by an appropriate modification. For instance, to get the time-averaged 'Edwards-type' interaction also studied in [3], one would modify (107) by taking v($y^{(k)}(\tau) - y^{(k')}(\tau')$), including an extra integral over τ', and balancing it by a factor β^{-1}.

---

[3] a simple generalization of this formula holds for v that are not translation-invariant.

The convergence of this partition function for interacting random loops as $\epsilon \to 0$ to the canonical boson partition function holds as a direct consequence of the Lie product formula (71). There is also a straightforward generalization to $F \neq 0$ given by (63). While it is heuristically clear that the coherent-state FIR and the interacting random-walk (IRW) representation are related since they equal the same partition function, the proof of Theorem 7 provides a simple and rigorous derivation of the IRW representation from the regularized FIR for the canonical ensemble. It makes the role of the factor (51) in this derivation explicit, and it provides a natural finite-dimensional approximation of the Wiener integral over Brownian motions.

6. Analysis 1: Covariances

This section contains the basic estimates for the covariance. They are elementary and the proofs are easy, but they will be crucial because they are uniform in the auxiliary field h.

From now on, the spatial lattice constant will be set to unity, $\eta = 1$.

6.1. Stochasticity and bounds for the covariance.

Lemma 8. *If $\mathcal{E}$ generates a stochastic process (see (25)), then for all $j' \geq j$, all $x, x' \in X$, and all h*

$$\left| \mathcal{C}(h)_{(j,x),(j',x')} \right| \leq \mathcal{C}(0)_{(j,x),(j',x')} = \left(e^{(j'-j)\epsilon \, \mathcal{E}} \right)_{x,x'}. \tag{108}$$

Proof. By (89), and writing out the matrix products in the random-walk notation of (100),

$$\mathcal{C}(h)_{(j,x),(j',x')} = \sum_{y:x \to x'} \prod_{j=j+1}^{j'} \left(e^{-\epsilon \mathcal{E}} \right)_{y_{j-1}, y_j} e^{i\sqrt{\epsilon} h_{j,y_j}} \tag{109}$$

All h-dependent factors have absolute values equal to 1, and, by hypothesis, the matrix elements of $e^{-\epsilon \mathcal{E}}$ are all nonnegative, hence unaffected by taking absolute values. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left| \mathcal{C}(h)_{(j,x),(j',x')} \right| &\leq \sum_{y:x \to x'} \prod_{j=j+1}^{j'} \left(e^{-\epsilon \mathcal{E}} \right)_{y_{j-1}, y_j} \\
&= \mathcal{C}(0)_{(j,x),(j',x')} \\
&= \left(e^{-(j'-j)\epsilon \, \mathcal{E}} \right)_{x,x'}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{110}
$$

$\square$

6.2. Modified covariances.
In this section, a number of modifications of the operator $\mathcal{Q}(h)$ are introduced, and their properties, as well as those of their inverses, are discussed. These modifications will be related to different actions that all reproduce the same limit for the canonical partition function as $\epsilon \to 0$.

6.2.1. Q_1 Let $B_0, \ldots B_\ell$ be linear operators on $\mathbb{C}^X$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be the diagonal matrix $\mathcal{B}_{JJ'} = B_J \delta_{J,J'}$. Then

$$Q_1(h) = Q(h)\,\mathcal{B} = \begin{bmatrix} B_0 & -A_1 B_1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & B_1 & -A_2 B_2 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & & B_{\ell-1} & -A_\ell B_\ell \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & B_\ell \end{bmatrix} \tag{111}$$

If $B_0, \ldots, B_\ell$ are invertible, then Q_1 is invertible, with the inverse $C_1(h) = Q_1(h)^{-1}$ satisfying

$$C_1(h)_{(J,x),(J'x')} = \int_y (B_J^{-1})_{x,y}\, C(h)_{(J,y),(J'x')} \tag{112}$$

Lemma 9. *Let $B_0 = 1$ and $B_J = e^{-i\sqrt{\epsilon}h_J}$. Then $A_J B_J = e^{\epsilon \mathcal{E}}$ for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}$, and*

$$Q_1(h)_{J,J'} = \delta_{J,J'}\, e^{-i\sqrt{\epsilon}\,h_j} - \delta_{J+1,J'}\, e^{-\epsilon \mathcal{E}} \tag{113}$$

is invertible, and

$$C_1(h)_{(0,x),(J'x')} = C(h)_{(0,x),(J'x')}. \tag{114}$$

If $\mathcal{E}$ generates a stochastic process, then for all h and all j, j', x, x'

$$\left| C_1(h)_{(J,x),(J',x')} \right| \le C_1(0)_{(J,x),(J',x')}. \tag{115}$$

Proof. Equation (114) holds because $B_0 = 1$. By definition,

$$C_1(h) = \mathcal{B}(h)^{-1}\, C(h). \tag{116}$$

Every B_J is diagonal in the spatial indices, so $\mathcal{B}(h)^{-1}$ is diagonal, and

$$\left| \mathcal{B}(h)^{-1}_{(J,x),(J,x)} \right| \le 1 = \mathcal{B}(0)^{-1}_{(J,x),(J,x)} \tag{117}$$

Thus (115) follows by matrix multiplication and Lemma 8. □

6.2.2. Q_2 and Q_3. Consider furthermore the following two operators motivated by a small-ϵ expansion. Let $u : X \to \mathbb{R}$ and let $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ be a self-adjoint linear operator on $\mathbb{C}^X$, and regard, as with h, functions of u as multiplication operators, i.e. $(e^{-\epsilon u})_{x,x'} = e^{-\epsilon u_x}\,\delta_{x,x'}$. Define $Q_2(h, u)$ and $Q_3(h)$ by

$$Q_2(h, u)_{J,J'} = \delta_{J,J'}\,(1 - i\sqrt{\epsilon}\,h_j)\,e^{-\epsilon u} - \delta_{J+1,J'}\,e^{-\epsilon \tilde{\mathcal{E}}} \tag{118}$$

and

$$Q_3(h)_{J,J'} = \delta_{J,J'}\,(1 - i\sqrt{\epsilon}\,h_j) - \delta_{J+1,J'}\,(1 - \epsilon\tilde{\mathcal{E}}). \tag{119}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{T}_\epsilon = \{h \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{X}} : \left| \mathrm{Im}\,h_{J,x} \right| < \epsilon^{-1/2}\ \forall (J, x) \in \mathbb{X}\} \tag{120}$$

Lemma 10. *Let $h \in \mathbb{R}^X$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}^X$.*

(a) $\mathcal{Q}_2(h, \mathsf{u})$ *is invertible and its inverse*

$$\mathcal{C}_2(h, \mathsf{u}) = \mathcal{Q}_2(h, \mathsf{u})^{-1} \tag{121}$$

has norm bounded uniformly in h. $\mathcal{C}_2$ is analytic in h on $\mathcal{T}_\epsilon$.
(b) *If* $\mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon\tilde{\mathcal{E}}} < \mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon\mathsf{u}}$, *then*

$$Re\,(\bar{a}|\mathcal{Q}_2(h, \mathsf{u})\,a)_{\mathbb{X}} = Re\,(\bar{a}|\mathcal{Q}_2(0, \mathsf{u})\,a)_{\mathbb{X}}$$

$$\geq \sum_{J=0}^{\ell} (\bar{b}_J|(1 - \tilde{K})\,b_J)_{\mathbb{X}} > 0. \tag{122}$$

(Here $\tilde{K} = \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathsf{u}}\mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon\tilde{\mathcal{E}}}\mathrm{e}^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathsf{u}}$ *and* $b_J = \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathsf{u}}\,a_J$.)
(c) *If* $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ *generates a stochastic process, then*

$$\left|\mathcal{C}_2(h, \mathsf{u})_{(J,\mathsf{x}),(J',\mathsf{x}')}\right| \leq \mathcal{C}_2(0, \mathsf{u})_{(J,\mathsf{x}),(J',\mathsf{x}')}. \tag{123}$$

For any $\delta > 0$, *there is* $\ell_0 = \ell(\delta, \beta, \|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}\|, \|\mathsf{u}\|)$ *so that for all* $\ell > \ell_0$, *all* $J, J' \in \{0, \dots, \ell\}$ *and all* $\mathsf{x}, \mathsf{x}' \in X$

$$\left|\mathcal{C}_2(0, \mathsf{u})_{(J,\mathsf{x}),(J',\mathsf{x}')} - \left(\mathrm{e}^{-(J'-J)\epsilon(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}-\mathsf{u})}\right)_{\mathsf{x},\mathsf{x}'}\right| < \delta \tag{124}$$

Consequently, for $\ell > \ell_0$, *the right hand side of* (123) *is bounded uniformly in* J, J' *and* ℓ:

$$\left|\mathcal{C}_2(h, \mathsf{u})_{(J,\mathsf{x}),(J',\mathsf{x}')}\right| \leq \mathrm{e}^{\beta E_{min}} + \delta \tag{125}$$

where $E_{min} = \mathrm{infspec}\,(\tilde{\mathcal{E}} - \mathsf{u})$.

Proof. (a) Viewed as a matrix in J, J', $\mathcal{Q}_2$ is upper triangular, and the diagonal part $\mathcal{D}$ of $\mathcal{Q}_2$, given by

$$\mathcal{D}(h, \mathsf{u})_{J,J'} = \delta_{J,J'}\,(1 - \mathrm{i}\sqrt{\epsilon}\,h_J)\,\mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon\mathsf{u}}, \tag{126}$$

is invertible for all $\mathsf{u}, h \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{X}}$. For all $h \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon$, the inverse $\mathcal{D}(h, \mathsf{u})^{-1}$ is analytic in h on $\mathcal{T}_\epsilon$ because its h-dependence is via factors of $((1 - \mathrm{i}\sqrt{\epsilon}\,h_{J,\mathsf{x}})\,\mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon\mathsf{u}_\mathsf{x}})^{-1}$. The inverse of $\mathcal{Q}_2$ is given by a Neumann series that terminates after at most $\ell + 1$ terms, hence has the same analyticity properties.

(b) The first equality in (122) holds because the h-dependent term drops out of the hermitian part of $\mathcal{Q}$; the inequalities then follow by hypothesis.

(c) The proof of (123) is a straightforward adaptation of that of Lemma 8, writing out the terms in the finite Neumann sum for $\mathcal{C}_2$ as matrix products, and using that by (126), $\left|\mathcal{D}(h, \mathsf{u})^{-1}_{(J,\mathsf{x}),(J',\mathsf{x}')}\right| \leq \mathcal{D}(0, \mathsf{u})^{-1}_{(J,\mathsf{x}),(J',\mathsf{x}')}$. Because $\mathcal{C}_2$ is upper triangular, it suffices to prove (124) and (125) for $J' \geq J$. So let $J' \geq J$ and $\tilde{J} = J' - J$. Then $\tilde{J} \in \{0, \dots, \ell\}$, and

$$\mathcal{C}_2(0, \mathsf{u})_{J,J'} = \mathrm{e}^{\epsilon\mathsf{u}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon\tilde{\mathcal{E}}}\mathrm{e}^{\epsilon\mathsf{u}}\right)^{\tilde{J}} \tag{127}$$

Both $\mathsf{A} = -\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\mathsf{B} = \mathsf{u}$ are bounded operators, so an easy variation of the standard proof of the Lie product formula (as given, e.g., in [39]) results in

$$\left\|\mathrm{e}^{\epsilon(\mathsf{A}+\mathsf{B})\tilde{J}} - \left(\mathrm{e}^{\epsilon\,\mathsf{A}}\,\mathrm{e}^{\epsilon\,\mathsf{B}}\right)^{\tilde{J}}\right\| \leq J\,\mathrm{e}^{\epsilon(\tilde{J}-1)(\|\mathsf{A}\|+\|\mathsf{B}\|)}\left\|\mathrm{e}^{\epsilon(\mathsf{A}+\mathsf{B})} - \mathrm{e}^{\epsilon\,\mathsf{A}}\,\mathrm{e}^{\epsilon\,\mathsf{B}}\right\| \tag{128}$$

An estimate of the last factor gives $O(\epsilon^2)$, hence (for details, see Appendix C or [39])

$$\mathcal{C}_2(0, \mathrm{u})_{J,J'} = e^{\epsilon \mathrm{u}} \, e^{-\epsilon (J'-J)(\tilde{\mathcal{E}} - \mathrm{u})} + \mathrm{R} \tag{129}$$

with $\|\mathrm{R}\| \leq \frac{1}{\ell} \, f(\beta(\|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}\| + \|\mathrm{u}\|))$, where $f(x) = x^2 e^x$. Because $0 \leq J' - J \leq \ell$, $0 \leq (J' - J)\epsilon \leq \beta$, hence $\left| e^{-(J'-J)\epsilon(\tilde{\mathcal{E}} - \mathrm{u})}{}_{\mathrm{x,x'}} \right| \leq \max\{1, e^{-\beta E_{min}}\}$. Given $\delta > 0$, ℓ_0 is defined by the condition $\| e^{\beta \mathrm{u}/\ell_0} - 1 \| \max\{1, e^{-\beta E_{min}}\} + \|\mathrm{R}\| \leq \delta$. By the assumption that $\eta = 1$, $|\mathrm{R_{x,y}}| \leq \|\mathrm{R}\|$. Thus for $\ell > \ell_0$, (125) holds. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 11. *Let $h \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{X}}$ and $\mathrm{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{X}}$.*

(a) $\mathcal{Q}_3(h)$ *is invertible and its inverse $\mathcal{C}_3(h) = \mathcal{Q}_3(h)^{-1}$ has norm bounded uniformly in h. $\mathcal{C}_3$ is analytic in h on $\mathcal{T}_\epsilon$.*
(b) *If $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} > 0$ then*

$$Re \, (\bar{a}|\mathcal{Q}_3(h)\, a)_{\mathbb{X}} \geq Re \, (\bar{a}|\mathcal{Q}_3(0)\, a)_{\mathbb{X}}$$
$$\geq \epsilon \sum_{J=0}^{\ell} (\bar{a}_J | \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \, a_J)_{\mathrm{X}} > 0. \tag{130}$$

(c) *If $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{x,y}} \leq 0$ for all $\mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y}$ and if ϵ is so small that $1 - \epsilon \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{x,x}} \geq 0$ for all x, then*

$$\left| \mathcal{C}_3(h)_{(J,\mathrm{x}),(J',\mathrm{x'})} \right| \leq \mathcal{C}_3(0)_{(J,\mathrm{x}),(J',\mathrm{x'})}. \tag{131}$$

Moreover, the right hand side of (131) is bounded uniformly in ℓ.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 10. $\qquad\square$

6.2.3. $\mathcal{Q}_4$.

To place the kinetic term $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ in the time-local ($J = J'$) term, consider

$$\mathcal{Q}_4(h)_{J,J'} = \delta_{J,J'} \, (1 + \epsilon \tilde{\mathcal{E}} - \mathrm{i}\sqrt{\epsilon} \, h_J) - \delta_{J+1,J'} \, 1. \tag{132}$$

The bounds for this covariance are analogous to the previous ones; the argument is slightly different, and will be given in several steps in the following, without collecting it in a single Lemma.

If $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} > 0$, then

$$Re \, (\bar{a}|\mathcal{Q}_4(h)\, a)_{\mathbb{X}} = Re \, (\bar{a}|\mathcal{Q}_4(0)\, a)_{\mathbb{X}} \geq \epsilon \sum_{J=0}^{\ell} (\bar{a}|\tilde{\mathcal{E}} a)_{\mathrm{X}} > 0. \tag{133}$$

Lemma 12. *Let $\mathscr{B}$ be the algebra of bounded operators on a Hilbert space and $\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{H} \in \mathscr{B}$. Furthermore, let Q_0 be a positive operator and $\mathrm{H} = \mathrm{H}^\dagger$, and let*

$$\mathrm{Q} = \mathrm{Q}_0 + \mathrm{i}\mathrm{H}. \tag{134}$$

Then Q^{-1} exists, and $\left\| \mathrm{Q}^{-1} \right\| \leq \left\| \mathrm{Q}_0^{-1} \right\|$. For $\mathrm{v} \neq 0$

$$Re \, \langle \mathrm{v}|\mathrm{Q}\mathrm{v} \rangle \geq \langle \mathrm{v}|\mathrm{Q}_0 \mathrm{v} \rangle > 0. \tag{135}$$

Proof. Because $Q_0 > 0$, it is invertible and has a positive square root $Q_0^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Set $A = Q_0^{-1/2} \, H \, Q_0^{-1/2}$. Then

$$Q = Q_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + iA) \, Q_0^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{136}$$

Because $A = A^\dagger$, $\|(1 + iA)v\|^2 = \|v\|^2 + \|Av\|^2 \geq \|v\|^2$. Thus $\|(1 + iA)^{-1}\| \leq 1$, hence Q^{-1} exists and

$$\left\| Q^{-1} \right\| \leq \| Q_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|^2 = \left\| Q_0^{-1} \right\| \tag{137}$$

where the last equality holds since $Q_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is self-adjoint. Moreover

$$\langle v | Qv \rangle = \langle v | Q_0 v \rangle + i \langle Q_0^{\frac{1}{2}} v | A Q_0^{\frac{1}{2}} v \rangle \tag{138}$$

so (135) holds. $\qquad\square$

If $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} > 0$, and because h is real, $\mathcal{Q}_4(h)$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 12 with $Q_0 = \mathcal{Q}_4(0)$. In the estimate of products as above, then use

$$\left| \mathcal{Q}_4(h)^{-1}{}_{x,y} \right| \leq \left\| \mathcal{Q}_4(h)^{-1} \right\| \leq \left\| \mathcal{Q}_4(0)^{-1} \right\|. \tag{139}$$

When doing the terminating Neumann series for this inverse, use the spectral theorem for $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ and the elementary inequality that for all $x \geq 0$ and $j \leq \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\left(1 + \frac{x}{\ell} \right)^j = e^{j \ln(1 + \frac{x}{\ell})} \leq e^{\frac{j}{\ell} x} \leq e^x \tag{140}$$

to see that the norm of $\mathcal{Q}_4(0)^{-1}$ is bounded uniformly in ℓ.

Lemma 13. *If $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \geq 0$ and the off-diagonal matrix elements of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ are negative, then $\mathcal{C}_4(h) = \mathcal{Q}_4(h)^{-1}$ satisfies*

$$\left| \mathcal{C}_4(h)_{(j,x),(j',x')} \right| \leq \mathcal{C}_4(0)_{(j,x),(j',x')} \tag{141}$$

and the right hand side is bounded uniformly in ℓ.

Proof. The diagonal of $\mathcal{Q}_4(h)$, as a matrix in the j indices, contains

$$D(h_j) = 1 + \epsilon \tilde{\mathcal{E}} + i\sqrt{\epsilon} h_j \tag{142}$$

By hypothesis, $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_d + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{od}$ where the off-diagonal part satisfies $(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{od})_{x,x'} < 0$ for all x and x'. By hypothesis, the geometric series for the inverse

$$D(h_j)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 + \epsilon \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_d + i\sqrt{\epsilon} h_j)^{-1} \left[-\epsilon \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{od} (1 + \epsilon \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_d + i\sqrt{\epsilon} h_j)^{-1} \right]^n \tag{143}$$

converges. $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_d$ and h_j commute, since both are diagonal in x. (Moreover $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \geq 0$ implies $e_d(x) = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{x,x} \geq 0$.) Use

$$\left| \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon e_d(x) + i\sqrt{\epsilon} h_{j,x}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon e_d(x)} \tag{144}$$

and $(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{od})_{x,x'} < 0$ to see that $\left| D(h_j)^{-1}_{x,x'} \right| \leq \left| D(0)^{-1}_{x,x'} \right|$. Using the terminating Neumann series for $\mathcal{Q}_4^{-1}$ then concludes the proof. $\qquad\square$

7. Analysis 2: Time-Continuum Limit

The existence of the time-continuum limit $\ell \to \infty$ for the integrals (83), (94), and (95) for the canonical partition function holds simply by the Lie product formula, and it is already in this form very useful to do analysis. Sometimes, however, one is interested in actions that resemble more closely those occurring in formal continuum-time functional integrals. Heuristically, they are obtained by dropping higher-order terms in ϵ, but proving that these terms can really be dropped is not straightforward. For many-fermion systems, it has been done using tree expansion techniques and determinant bounds, sometimes combined with multiscale analysis [11]. Later [18,37,41], it was done without multiscale techniques. All these proofs rely on determinant estimates which allow for convergent perturbation expansions under suitable conditions. The bosonic case is harder. In [8], existence of the time-continuum limit for a FIR of the many-boson system in the grand-canonical ensemble was proven by multiscale techniques (renormalization group using a decimation transformation in time). Reference [8] contains much more than just the proof that the limit exists; it also gives a rigorous justification for an effective action with a fixed short-time cutoff, which can be used in the analysis of Bose–Einstein condensation in the thermodynamic limit [9]. The analysis required to do this involves decompositions in large and small fields, a conceptually clear and analytically powerful field theoretical method which, however, entails some technical overhead.

In this section, I prove that a variety of different actions give rise to the same limit $\epsilon \to 0$ of the partition function and the unnormalized expectation values of the canonical ensemble of bosons. The proof given here does not require any multiscale technique, only the uniform bounds on covariances given in the last section. This makes it possible to avoid a large-field analysis. As mentioned, it is not strictly necessary for the further analysis of the system to consider modified actions, but it serves to illustrate the application of the main observations made above, namely (i) the analyticity of the covariances in the auxiliary field h (ii) their uniform boundedness as functions of h, and (iii) the fact that the permanent in the canonical ensemble factorizes easily over covariances and hence allows for a straightforward use of (i) and (ii) in remainder estimates. The factorization can be expressed in terms of the cycles of permutations, and then used to study the thermodynamic limit by convergent decoupling expansions [42].

Recall that $\epsilon \to 0$ always means $\ell \to \infty$ with $\epsilon = \beta/\ell$; this will be important in estimates since convergence or uniform boundedness as $\epsilon \to 0$ really means convergence or uniform boundedness as $\ell \to \infty$, and statements that constants are independent of ϵ will mean that they are independent of ℓ as well.

7.1. Convergence of modified partition functions.
For simplicity, I consider only the case $\mathtt{F} = \mathbb{1}$ here.

The following lemma implies that the h-dependence can be moved to the diagonal part of the $\mathcal{Q}$-matrix, without changing the partition function.

Lemma 14. *Let $\mathcal{Q}_1$ be as in (113) and $\mathcal{C}_1(h) = \mathcal{Q}_1(h)^{-1}$. Then*

$$Z_c^{(N,\beta,\mathtt{X},\ell)} = \frac{1}{N!} \left\langle \mathscr{P}_{N,\mathtt{X}} \mathcal{C}_1(h)_{0,\ell} \right\rangle_h \tag{145}$$

Proof. This follows immediately from (98) and (114). □

It is interesting to note that formally, already this gives rise to an integral representation where the interaction term is local in time. Assuming for simplicity that the interaction

is local, i.e. $v_{x,y} = v_x \delta_{x,y}$,

$$\int d\mu_{\mathbb{V}}(h)\, e^{-(\bar{a}|\mathcal{Q}_1(h)\,a)_{\mathbb{X}}} = e^{-(\bar{a}|\mathcal{Q}_1(0)\,a)_{\mathbb{X}} - \epsilon \sum_J \int_x \mathcal{V}(|a_{J,x}|^2)} \tag{146}$$

where $\mathcal{V} = -\ln \Phi$, with Φ given in (93). The interaction term then has the properties that it is local in the time index J, hence positive, and moreover Φ can grow at most like $e^{|a|^2}$ as $|a| \to \infty$. Formally, one can also derive this representation directly from the trace using a modified coherent-state formula. That derivation is, however, not rigorous because integrals that get exchanged do not converge absolutely. This is reflected here in that $\mathrm{Re}\,(\bar{a}|\mathcal{Q}_1(h)\,a)_{\mathbb{X}}$ is not positive for arbitrary h, hence $\mathcal{Q}_1(h)$ cannot be used as a covariance for a Gaussian integral, so again, going from the right hand side of (145) to the right hand side of (146) involves non-convergent integrals. This problem may be bypassed by an additional regularization suppressing large a.

In a heuristic procedure, a further step is to expand the exponential to linear order, $e^{-i\sqrt{\epsilon}h_{J,x}} = 1 - i\sqrt{\epsilon}h_{J,x} + O(\epsilon)$ and drop the order ϵ remainder. The integral over h then becomes Gaussian, and results in a quartic interaction for the a fields. This does not really work, but, as shown in the following, a slight modification does, using integration by parts in the auxiliary field h, as given by Lemma 22 in Appendix D.

Lemma 15. *Assume that $\mathcal{E}$ generates a stochastic process. Let $\mathcal{Q}_2(h, u)$ be defined as in* (118), *with* $u_x = \frac{1}{2}v_{x,x}$ *and* $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{E}$, *and*

$$Z_2^{(N,\beta,\mathbb{X},\ell)} = \frac{1}{N!} \left\langle \mathscr{P}_{N,\mathbb{X}} \mathcal{C}_2(h, u)_{0,\ell} \right\rangle_h \tag{147}$$

Then $Z_2^{(N,\beta,\mathbb{X},\ell)} \to Z_c^{(N,\beta,\mathbb{X})}(\mathrm{H}, 0)$ *as* $\ell \to \infty$.

Proof. By (71), and Lemma 14, $Z_c^{(N,\beta,\mathbb{X},\ell)}(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F})$ converges to $Z_c^{(N,\beta,\mathbb{X})}(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F})$ as $\ell \to \infty$, so it suffices to prove

$$Z_2^{(N,\beta,\mathbb{X},\ell)} - Z_c^{(N,\beta,\mathbb{X},\ell)}(\mathrm{H}, 0) \underset{\ell \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0. \tag{148}$$

Overview of the proof.—The permanent $\mathscr{P}_{N,\mathbb{X}}$ contains a product over $n \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ of $\mathcal{C}_1(h)_{(0,x_n),(\ell,x_{\pi(n)})}$ in Z_c and a product of $\mathcal{C}_2(h)_{(0,x_n),(\ell,x_{\pi(n)})}$ in Z_2. By the discrete product rule and the resolvent formula, a difference

$$(\mathcal{Q}_2(h, u) - \mathcal{Q}_1(h))_{J,J'} = \delta_{J,J'} \left(1 - i\sqrt{\epsilon}h_J - e^{-i\sqrt{\epsilon}h_J} - \frac{\epsilon}{2}u \right) + O(\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}) \tag{149}$$

will be made explicit. This term is of order ϵ for any u (e.g. for $u = 0$), but because a sum over $\iota \in \{0, \ldots, \ell\}$ arises as well and $\epsilon\ell = \beta$, a straightforward estimate only implies that the difference of partition functions is finite, but not that it vanishes. To get a better estimate, integrate by parts using Lemma 22, with u chosen to cancel a term arising in the integration by parts (a 'tadpole-like' self-interaction). Because $\mathcal{E}$ generates a stochastic process and u is real, $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ also generates a stochastic process. Thus the uniform bounds (115) and (123) hold, and they imply that the integral of the difference over h is bounded by $O(\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}})$, which, even after multiplication with ℓ, still vanishes as $\epsilon \to 0$.

Details of the proof.—The difference of partition functions is

$$Z_2^{(N,\beta,\mathbb{X},\ell)} - Z_c^{(N,\beta,\mathbb{X},\ell)}(\mathrm{H}, 0) = \frac{1}{N!} \left\langle D(h) \right\rangle_h \tag{150}$$

with

$$D(h) = \mathscr{P}_{N,\mathrm{X}}\mathcal{C}_2(h,\mathrm{u})_{0,\ell} - \mathscr{P}_{N,\mathrm{X}}\mathcal{C}_1(h)_{0,\ell}$$

$$= \sum_{\pi \in \mathscr{S}_N} \int_{y_1,\dots,y_N} \left[\prod_{n=1}^{N} \mathcal{C}_2(h,\mathrm{u})_{(0,y_n),(\ell,y_{\pi(n)})} - \prod_{n=1}^{N} \mathcal{C}_1(h)_{(0,y_n),(\ell,y_{\pi(n)})} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{\pi \in \mathscr{S}_N} \int_{y_1,\dots,y_N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \prod_{n<m} \mathcal{C}_2(h,\mathrm{u})_{(0,y_n),(\ell,y_{\pi(n)})} \prod_{n>m} \mathcal{C}_1(h)_{(0,y_n),(\ell,y_{\pi(n)})}$$
$$[\mathcal{C}_2(h,\mathrm{u}) - \mathcal{C}_1(h)]_{(0,y_m),(\ell,y_{\pi(m)})}$$

(151)

In the last equality, the discrete product rule was used. Now

$$\mathcal{C}_2(h,\mathrm{u}) - \mathcal{C}_1(h) = \mathcal{C}_2(h,\mathrm{u}) \ [\mathcal{Q}_1(h) - \mathcal{Q}_2(h,\mathrm{u})] \ \mathcal{C}_1(h) \tag{152}$$

The difference of $\mathcal{Q}$'s is a diagonal matrix, so

$$(\mathcal{C}_2(h,\mathrm{u}) - \mathcal{C}_1(h,\mathrm{u}))_{(0,y_m),(\ell,y_{\pi(m)})} = \sum_{\iota=0}^{\ell} \int_{\mathrm{X}} \mathcal{C}_2(h)_{(J,y_m),(\iota,\mathrm{x})} \ \tilde{\Delta}_{\iota,\mathrm{x}} \ \mathcal{C}_1(h)_{(\iota,\mathrm{x}),(J',y_{\pi(m)})}$$

(153)

with

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\iota,\mathrm{x}} = e^{-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\epsilon}h_{\iota,\mathrm{x}}} - (1 - \mathrm{i}\sqrt{\epsilon}h_{\iota,\mathrm{x}}) \ e^{-\epsilon \mathrm{u}_\mathrm{x}} \ . \tag{154}$$

Set

$$F(h) = \prod_{n<m} \mathcal{C}_1(h)_{(0,y_n),(\ell,y_{\pi(n)})} \prod_{n>m} \mathcal{C}_2(h,\mathrm{u})_{(0,y_n),(\ell,y_{\pi(n)})}$$
$$\mathcal{C}_1(h)_{(J,y_m),(\iota,\mathrm{x})} \ \mathcal{C}_2(h,\mathrm{u})_{(\iota,\mathrm{x}),(J',y_{\pi(m)})}$$

(155)

(F depends on ϵ and on $\pi, \iota, \mathrm{x}, y_1, \dots, y_N$, etc.). Then

$$\tfrac{1}{N!} \ \langle D(h) \rangle_h = \tfrac{1}{N!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathscr{S}_N} \int_{y_1,\dots,y_N} \sum_{\iota=0}^{\ell} \int_{\mathrm{X}} \left\langle \tilde{\Delta}_{\iota,\mathrm{x}} \ F(h) \right\rangle_h \tag{156}$$

The sum over ι is the one mentioned in the overview. All other summations run over index sets that are independent of ℓ. To show that this expression vanishes in the limit $\ell \to \infty$, it will suffice to use the bound

$$\left| \tfrac{1}{N!} \ \langle D(h) \rangle_h \right| \leq |\mathrm{X}|^N \ (\ell+1) \ \max_{\substack{\pi,\iota \\ y_1,\dots,y_N}} \left| \sum_{\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}} \left\langle \tilde{\Delta}_{\iota,\mathrm{x}} \ F(h) \right\rangle_h \right| . \tag{157}$$

By Taylor expansion,

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\iota,\mathrm{x}} = \Delta_\epsilon(h_{\iota,\mathrm{x}}) + \epsilon \mathrm{u}_\mathrm{x} + \rho_\epsilon \tag{158}$$

with Δ_ϵ given in (249) and

$$|\rho_\epsilon| \leq \frac{\mathrm{u}_\mathrm{x}^2}{2} \ \epsilon^2 + \epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} \ \left| \mathrm{u}_\mathrm{x} h_{\iota,\mathrm{x}} \right| . \tag{159}$$

By Lemmas 10 (a) and 11 (a), the function F is analytic in h in a neighbourhood of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{X}}$, and by (115) and (123),

$$|F(h)| \leq F(0). \tag{160}$$

Let $F^{\max} = \max F(0)$, where the maximum is taken over π, ι, x, $y_1, \ldots, y_N$. By Lemma 10 (c), $F^{\max}$ is bounded uniformly in ℓ. The Gaussian measure $d\mu_{\mathbb{V}}(h)$is normalized, its covariance satisfies $\mathbb{V}_{J,J'} = \delta_{J,J'}\,v$, and v is independent of ϵ. Thus, for all $r \geq 0$ there is $K_r > 0$ so that for all ℓ and all ι, x

$$\left\langle |h_{\iota,x}|^r \right\rangle_h \leq K_r. \tag{161}$$

(The constant K_r depends on v; $K_0 = 1$ by normalization of $\mu_{\mathbb{V}}$.) Thus

$$(\ell + 1)\left| \sum_{x \in X} \langle \rho_\epsilon\, F(h) \rangle_h \right| \leq F^{\max}\,(\ell + 1)\,\left(\frac{u_x^2}{2}\,\epsilon^2 + \epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}\,|u_x|\,K_1 \right) \tag{162}$$

so that this contribution vanishes in the limit $\ell \to \infty$ (since $\epsilon = \frac{\beta}{\ell}$). Because

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial h_{\iota,x}}\,\mathcal{C}_1(h)_{(J,y),(J',y')} = i\,\sqrt{\epsilon}\,\int_X \mathcal{C}_1(h)_{(J,y),(\iota,x)}\,e^{-i\sqrt{\epsilon}\,h_{\iota,x}}\,\mathcal{C}_1(h)_{(\iota,x),(J',y')} \tag{163}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial h_{\iota,x}}\,\mathcal{C}_2(h)_{(J,y),(J',y')} = i\,\sqrt{\epsilon}\,\int_X \mathcal{C}_2(h)_{(J,y),(\iota,x)}\,\mathcal{C}_2(h)_{(\iota,x),(J',y')} \tag{164}$$

it follows, again from (115) and (123) that $\left\langle \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial h_{\iota,x}} \right| \right\rangle_h < \infty$ and that (253) and (254) hold. Thus Lemma 22 applies, and

$$(\ell + 1)\,\max_{\substack{\pi,\iota \\ y_1 \cdots y_N}}\left| \sum_{x \in X} \langle \Delta_\epsilon(h_{\iota,x})\,F(h) \rangle_h \right| \leq \text{const}\,\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \xrightarrow[\epsilon \to 0]{} 0. \tag{165}$$

$\square$

7.2. Recovering the a-integral. Under the stronger condition that the real part of the quadratic form defined by $\mathcal{Q}_2(0, u)$ is strictly positive, one can now go backwards and rewrite the permanent as a Gaussian integral over a, up to an additional h-dependent factor that arises from the determinant of $\mathcal{Q}_2$. Because $\mathcal{Q}_2$ is upper triangular in the time slice index J and because the diagonal part is diagonal in x as well, this determinant is

$$\det \mathcal{Q}_2(h, u) = \prod_{J,x}(1 - i\sqrt{\epsilon}\,h_{J,x})\,e^{-\epsilon u_x} \tag{166}$$

Assume $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} - u > 0$ and recall the definition of $\mathcal{D}a$ in (79). By Lemma 10 (b), $\mathcal{Q}_2(h, u) + \mathcal{Q}_2(h, u)^\dagger > 0$, thus the Gaussian integral given by $\mathcal{Q}_2$ is absolutely convergent and

$$\int \mathcal{D}a\,e^{-(\bar{a}|\mathcal{Q}_2(h,u)a)_{\mathbb{X}}} = \det \mathcal{Q}_2(h, u)^{-1} \tag{167}$$

(see Lemma 24). By (274),

$$\mathscr{P}_{N,\mathbb{X}}\mathcal{C}_2(h, u)_{0,\ell} = \det \mathcal{Q}_2(h, u)\int e^{-(\bar{a}|\mathcal{Q}_2(h,u)a)_{\mathbb{X}}}\,(\bar{a}_\ell|a_0)_{\mathbb{X}}^N\,\mathcal{D}a \tag{168}$$

Lemma 16. *Let*

$$B(h, \mathrm{u}) = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\epsilon}(1|h)_\mathbb{X}}}{\det \mathcal{Q}_2(h, \mathrm{u})} \qquad (169)$$

Under the hypotheses of Lemma 15,

$$\left\langle B(h, \mathrm{u}) \frac{1}{N!} \mathscr{P}_{N,\mathbb{X}} \mathcal{C}_2(h, \mathrm{u})_{0,\ell} \right\rangle_h \underset{\ell\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} Z_c^{(N,\beta,\mathbb{X})} \qquad (170)$$

Proof. The proof is an easy generalization of that of Lemma 15, the only difference being the presence of the factor

$$B(h, \mathrm{u}) = \prod_{J,\mathbb{X}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\epsilon}h_{J,\mathbb{X}}}}{(1 - \mathrm{i}\sqrt{\epsilon}h_{J,\mathbb{X}})\mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon \mathrm{u}_\mathbb{X}}}. \qquad (171)$$

This just gives additional contributions to the discrete product rule, namely

$$B(h, \mathrm{u}) - 1 = \sum_{\iota,\mathbb{X}} \left(\prod_{J < \iota} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\epsilon \mathrm{u}_\mathbb{X}}}{1 - \mathrm{i}\sqrt{\epsilon}h_{J,\mathbb{X}}} \right) \frac{\Delta_\epsilon(h_{\iota,\mathbb{X}}) + \epsilon \mathrm{u}_\mathbb{X} + \rho(h_{\iota,\mathbb{X}}, \mathrm{u}_\mathbb{X})}{1 - \mathrm{i}\sqrt{\epsilon}h_{\iota,\mathbb{X}}} \qquad (172)$$

where

$$\rho(h_{\iota,\mathbb{X}}, \mathrm{u}_\mathbb{X}) = (\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\epsilon}h_{J,\mathbb{X}}} - 1)(\mathrm{e}^{\epsilon \mathrm{u}_\mathbb{X}} - 1) + \mathrm{e}^{\epsilon \mathrm{u}_\mathbb{X}} - 1 - \epsilon \mathrm{u}_\mathbb{X} \qquad (173)$$

is analytic in $h_{\iota,\mathbb{X}}$ and of order $\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} h_{\iota,\mathbb{X}} + \epsilon^2 \mathrm{u}_\mathbb{X}^2$. Lemma 22 applies to the factor $\Delta_\epsilon(h_{\iota,\mathbb{X}}) + \epsilon \mathrm{u}_\mathbb{X}$ in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 15. Again, the linear growth in ℓ of the sum over ι is suppressed by the extra powers of ϵ obtained by the integration by parts. $\qquad \square$

By (168) and Lemma 16,

$$Z_c^{(N,\beta,\mathbb{X})} = \lim_{\ell\to\infty} \left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\epsilon}(1|h)_\mathbb{X}} \int \mathrm{e}^{-(\bar{a}|\mathcal{Q}_2(h,\mathrm{u})a)_\mathbb{X}} \frac{1}{N!} (\bar{a}_\ell|a_0)_\mathbb{X}^N \, \mathcal{D}a \right\rangle_h \qquad (174)$$

By (118) and Fubini's theorem, $Z_c^{(N,\beta,\mathbb{X})} = \lim_{\ell\to\infty} \mathfrak{Z}_\ell^{(N,\beta,\mathbb{X})}$ with

$$\mathfrak{Z}_\ell^{(N,\beta,\mathbb{X})} = \mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon \tilde{\mathrm{u}} N} \int \mathcal{D}a \, \mathrm{e}^{-(\bar{a}|\mathcal{Q}_2(0,\mathrm{u})a)_\mathbb{X}} \frac{1}{N!} (\bar{a}_\ell|a_0)_\mathbb{X}^N \left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\epsilon}(1+\bar{a}a\mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon\mathrm{u}}|h)_\mathbb{X}} \right\rangle_h \qquad (175)$$

The prefactor involving $\tilde{\mathrm{u}} = |\mathbb{X}|^{-1} \int_\mathbb{X} \mathrm{u}_\mathbb{X}$ is included for later convenience. It makes only an inessential change because its limit as $\ell \to \infty$ is 1. To remove the u-dependence from the term coupling h to a, change variables in the integral to $a'_{J,\mathbb{X}} = \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathrm{u}_{J,\mathbb{X}}} a_{J,\mathbb{X}}$. (This also cancels the u-dependence of $\mathcal{Q}_2(0, \mathrm{u})$ in the quadratic form.) The Gaussian expectation over h can now be performed and gives (dropping the primes from the notation)

$$\mathfrak{Z}_\ell^{(N,\beta,\mathbb{X})} = \mathrm{e}^{\ell\epsilon \int_\mathbb{X} \mathrm{u}_\mathbb{X} - \epsilon \tilde{\mathrm{u}} N} \int \mathcal{D}a \, \mathrm{e}^{-(\bar{a}|\mathcal{Q}^{(\epsilon)}a)_\mathbb{X} - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon((1+\bar{a}a|\mathbb{V}(1+\bar{a}a))_\mathbb{X}} \frac{1}{N!} (\bar{a}_\ell|\mathrm{e}^{\epsilon\mathrm{u}}a_0)_\mathbb{X}^N \qquad (176)$$

with

$$\mathcal{Q}_{J,J'}^{(\epsilon)} = \delta_{J,J'}1 - \delta_{J,J'}\mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon\mathcal{E}_\epsilon}, \qquad \mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon\mathcal{E}_\epsilon} = \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\epsilon\mathrm{u}}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon\tilde{\mathcal{E}}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\epsilon\mathrm{u}}{2}}. \qquad (177)$$

Expanding the quadratic form of the interaction term then gives the integral representation with a positive interaction term, as follows.

Theorem 17. *Let $\mathcal{Q}^{(\epsilon)}$ be given by* (177), *with $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{E}$ and $u_x = \frac{1}{2}v_{xx}$. If $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} - u > 0$, then*

$$\mathfrak{Z}_\ell^{(N,\beta,X)} = e^{\frac{\beta}{2}\tilde{v} - \epsilon\tilde{u}N} \int \mathcal{D}a \; e^{-(\bar{a}|(\mathcal{Q}^{(\epsilon)}+\epsilon m)a)_X - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon(\bar{a}a|\mathbb{V}\bar{a}a)_X} \; \frac{1}{N!} \; (\bar{a}_\ell|e^{\epsilon u}a_0)_X^N \quad (178)$$

with $\tilde{v} = \int_x (v_{x,x} - \int_y v_{x,y})$ and $m_x = \int_y v_{x,y}$.

In the translation invariant case where $v_{x,y} = v(x - y)$, $\tilde{v} = v(0) - \hat{v}(0)$, where the hat denotes the Fourier transform, i.e. $\hat{v}(0) = \int_x v(x)$, and $m_x = \hat{v}(0)$ is independent of x. Moreover, $u_x = \frac{1}{2}v(0) = \tilde{u}$, so $(\bar{a}_\ell|e^{\epsilon u}a_0)_X^N = e^{\epsilon\tilde{u}N}(\bar{a}_\ell|a_0)_X^N$, so that the prefactor involving $\tilde{u}$ gets cancelled. It is now convenient to use the notations $a(\tau, x) = a_{J,x}$, relabelling to a discrete time index $\tau = \epsilon J = \frac{\beta}{\ell}J \in \epsilon\{0, \ldots, \ell\}$,

$$(\partial_\tau a)(\tau, x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon}(a(\tau + \epsilon, x) - a(\tau, x)) = \frac{1}{\epsilon}(a_{J+1,x} - a_{J,x}) \quad (179)$$

and (as already introduced) $\epsilon \sum_J = \int_\tau$. Rewriting all terms in this notation then gives Theorem 1.

The integral representations involving the variants of the kinetic term in the action stated in Theorem 1 right after (31) are obtained similarly, by using $\mathcal{Q}_3$ and $\mathcal{Q}_4$ instead of $\mathcal{Q}_2$. By Lemmas 11, 12 and 13, the proofs that the limit $\ell \to \infty$ exists and is unchanged for these modified actions are straightforward adaptations of the ones given for $\mathcal{Q}_2$.

8. Remarks About the Grand-Canonical Ensemble

Here I briefly outline the connection to the grand canonical ensemble. By definition (see (24)), the grand canonical partition function is the Laplace transform of $N \mapsto Z_c^{(N,\beta,X)}$. The setup of the canonical ensemble has a few technical advantages, in particular, on a lattice with nonzero spacing, the Hamiltonian, as well as its kinetic and interaction parts, become bounded operators when restricted to the N-particle space, so that one can regard fixing particle number as a natural regularization for defining bosonic models mathematically. One can then also try to study the grand canonical ensemble based on results for the canonical one. In the following, I discuss a few significant points where the ensembles differ, and how things fit together.

8.1. The periodic boundary condition in time. The integral over the a fields in the canonical ensemble does *not* have a periodic boundary condition in the Gaussian: there is no matrix element in $\mathcal{Q}$ that couples $J = \ell$ and $J = 0$. The reason for this is explicit from (51)—the projection $\mathbb{P}_N$ removes the exponential, replacing it by the N'th order term in its expansion. It is therefore plausible that the exponential, and hence the periodic boundary condition, will be reinstated by the sum over N in (24). But there are of course convergence issues when going beyond formal considerations. When (84) is inserted into (24) and the sum over N is formally exchanged with the limit and the integral, one gets

$$\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{N\beta\mu}}{N!} (\bar{a}_\ell|a_0)_X^N = e^{e^{\beta\mu} (\bar{a}_\ell|a_0)_X} \quad (180)$$

This series converges for all values of $\beta\mu$, a_ℓ and a_0. But the additional term created in the exponent spoils positivity of the real part of the quadratic form, hence leads to a divergent Gaussian integral, if $\mu > 0$. This is explained in more detail in Appendix B.

The appearance of a factor $e^{\beta\mu}$ only in the term coupling the time slices J and 0 is also unusual. The standard combination $\mathcal{E} - \mu\mathbb{1}$ can be obtained simply by rearranging the product

$$e^{\beta\mu N} Z_c^{(N,\beta,\mathrm{X})} = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathscr{F}_B}\left[e^{-\beta(\mathrm{H}-\mu\mathrm{N})} \right] \tag{181}$$

similarly to what is done in (24), which changes $\mathcal{E}$ to $\mathcal{E}_\mu = \mathcal{E} - \mu\mathbb{1}$ everywhere. That the sum over N in (24) converges for $\mu < 0$ and $\mathcal{E} \geq 0$ is then a simple consequence of the nonnegativity of the interaction term v. If v > 0, the condition $\mu < 0$ can be dropped.

8.2. The covariance. The covariance of the canonical ensemble is upper triangular because of the absence of a periodic boundary condition in time in the operator $\mathcal{Q}$. With the just described absorption of μ into the kinetic term $\mathcal{E}_\mu$, the summation over N gives

$$\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N!} (\bar{a}_\ell | a_0)_\mathrm{X}^N = e^{(\bar{a}_\ell | a_0)_\mathrm{X}} \tag{182}$$

and (84) gets replaced by

$$Z_g^{(N,\beta,\mathrm{X},\ell)} = \int d\mu_{\mathbb{V}}(h) \int_{\mathbb{C}^\mathrm{X}} \mathcal{D}a \; e^{-(\bar{a}|\mathcal{K}(h)\,a)_\mathrm{X}} \tag{183}$$

with

$$\mathcal{K}(h)_{J,J'} = \mathcal{Q}(h)_{J,J'} - \delta_{J,\ell}\delta_{0,J'}\mathbb{1}. \tag{184}$$

(Here $e^{-\epsilon\mathcal{E}_\mu}$ appears in $\mathcal{Q}$.) As shown in Appendix B, the covariance is given for $h = 0$ by the standard time-ordered Green function for free bosons, Eq. (231).

Theorem 18. *Assume that $\mathcal{E}_\mu > 0$. Then the integral (183) is absolutely convergent. The grand-canonical covariance $\mathcal{G}(h) = \mathcal{K}(h)^{-1}$ exists for all h, and has a norm bounded uniformly in h. It is analytic in h if $|\mathrm{Im}\, h_{J,\mathrm{x}}| < \sqrt{\epsilon}e_{min}$, where e_{min} is the smallest eigenvalue of $\mathcal{E}_\mu$. If $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ generates a stochastic process, then for all $J' \geq J$, all x, x' $\in$ X, and all h, the covariance $\mathcal{G}(h) = \mathcal{K}(h)^{-1}$ for the grand canonical ensemble satisfies the bound*

$$\forall h \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{X}} : \quad \left| \mathcal{G}(h)_{(J,\mathrm{x}),(J',\mathrm{x}')} \right| \leq \mathcal{G}(0)_{(J,\mathrm{x}),(J',\mathrm{x}')}. \tag{185}$$

Proof. This proof is an application of Lemma 21, and I will use the notations introduced in Appendix B. Let $\mathrm{A}_J = e^{-\epsilon\mathcal{E}_\mu}e^{i\sqrt{\epsilon}h_J}$. Because $\mathcal{E}_\mu > 0$, $\|\mathrm{A}_J\| = \|e^{-\epsilon\mathcal{E}_\mu}\| < 1$ uniformly in h, and $\mathrm{A}_0 = 1$, hence $\mathrm{P} = \mathrm{A}_0 \ldots \mathrm{A}_\ell$ has norm $\|\mathrm{P}\| < 1$. By Lemma 21, the inverse $\mathcal{G}$ exists, is given by (223), and has norm bounded uniformly in $h \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{X}}$ because the same holds for all factors appearing in (223). In particular, the inverse $(1 - \mathrm{P})^{-1}$ is given by a convergent geometric series. Expanding out this series gives a linear combination of products of A_J's, with nonnegative coefficients. Thus the bound (185) follows by straightforward adaptation of the proof of Lemma 8. Analyticity in the ϵ-dependent neighbourhood of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{X}}$ holds because the norm of $e^{-\epsilon\mathcal{E}}e^{i\sqrt{\epsilon}h}$ remains strictly smaller than 1 on that set. $\square$

Thus, all arguments based on the uniform bounds for the covariance, integration by parts, etc., have their analogues for the grand canonical ensemble, and similar theorems about convergence of integrals with modified actions hold, in particular, there is a representation with an $|a|^4$ interaction term in the action. (I omit the statements and proofs here to avoid further repetition.) As mentioned in Sect. 3 for the the canonical ensemble, positivity of the interaction, i.e. $v > 0$, implies that the integral (27) converges absolutely also beyond $\mu = 0$ and it defines an analytic function of μ. By the identity theorem, the continuation represents the partition function for all μ. A similar statement can be proven for the grand canonical ensemble.

8.3. The determinant. A further interesting and striking difference between the ensembles is the role played by the (inverse of the) determinant arising from the Gaussian a-integral. In the canonical case, the determinant is simply equal to one. In the grand canonical case, the determinant differs from one, and the expansion of $\ln \det \mathcal{K}(h)$ in powers of h creates the well-known expansion in terms of loops corresponding to virtual particle-antiparticle pairs with higher and higher order interactions. These virtual pair creation processes do not happen in the canonical ensemble because there is a restriction to fixed N. Thus the constraint of fixed particle number shows up explicitly as the determinant being one.

Moreover, there is no backward-in-time propagation in the canonical ensemble because the covariance is upper triangular in time. By contrast, the grand canonical covariance $\mathcal{G}(h)$ is not upper triangular. It is simply the time ordered boson two-point function in the external field h, hence allows forward and backward propagation. All these features make explicit that fluctuations are different in the canonical and grand canonical ensemble.

Finally, there is another, more technical point. The inverse of the determinant in the grand canonical ensemble does not factorize. The permanent in the canonical ensemble factorizes over cycles of permutations, allowing for a simple polymer expansion. In analogy to the proofs given in Sect. 7, the uniform bounds on the covariances can be used to prove convergence of this expansion for $\mathcal{E} > 0$ [42].

9. The Effect of a Condensate in the Canonical Ensemble

In his famous work [12], Bogoliubov treated condensation of the weakly interating Bose gas by an ansatz in which the creation and annihilation operators of the kinetic-energy zero mode are replaced by multiples of the identity, and diagonalizing the resulting effective Hamiltonian by a canonical transformation, the Bogoliubov transformation. He was thus able to derive the linear spectrum of low-energy excitations of the condensate, which is known to be experimentally accurate. Even the elements of the Bogoliubov transformation could be identified in experiments [45].

At sufficiently low temperatures β^{-1}, weakly interacting bosons are thus expected to condense into a state resembling the lowest-energy state of the free boson system. The latter is determined by the zero mode of the one-particle kinetic term which, in a translation-invariant system, is spatially constant. In the FIR, this corresponds to decomposing the integration variable into a 'condensate field' which is integrated over a low-dimensional subspace of field space, and all modes orthogonal to it. Condensation as a phase transition then corresponds to the condensate field acquiring a nonvanishing expectation value, which spontaneously breaks the $U(1)$ symmetry of particle number

conservation. In the FIR, this is the symmetry of action and integration measure under $a_{\tau,x} \mapsto e^{i\theta} a_{\tau,x}$ (where θ is independent of τ and x). In the following, I do this field decomposition as a change of variables in the a integral, without, for the moment, making the assumption that the zero mode field is also constant in time (which is the usual zeroth order approximation for expansions). This decomposition can be applied irrespective of what ensemble is studied, but again, I will focus on the canonical ensemble here. It also makes only a technical difference which of the discretizations discussed above one uses; for convenience of presentation, I take the action with the time-local $|a|^4$ term and the quadratic form Q_4 for the kinetic term.

Assume that $\mathcal{E}$ is given by the discrete Laplacian. Then $\mathcal{E}$ has a nontrivial kernel, namely the constant functions on X. By (22), $\mathcal{E} \geq 0$ can be changed to $\mathcal{E} + \varepsilon 1$ with $\varepsilon > 0$, at the expense of having an explicit factor $e^{\beta N \varepsilon}$ in front of the partition function. Assume, moreover, that v is strictly positive, $v > 0$. Then the integral (27) converges absolutely for any ε, even $\varepsilon < 0$, and consequently, the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$ can be taken. It is thus possible to proceed by introducing ε. Because $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon > 0$, Theorem 1 applies, and one can also postpone taking the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$ to a convenient point. Thus the integral is

$$3_\ell^{(N,\beta,X)} = \int \mathcal{D}a \; e^{-\mathcal{S}_X(a)} \; \tfrac{1}{N!} \; (\bar{a}(\beta)|a(0))_X^N \tag{186}$$

with

$$\mathcal{S}_X(a) = \int_{\tau,x} \bar{a}(\tau,x) \, [(-\partial_\tau + m + \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon)a](\tau,x) + \frac{1}{2} \int_\tau \int_{x,y} |a(\tau,x)|^2 \, v(x-y) \, |a(\tau,y)|^2 \tag{187}$$

and $m = \hat{v}(0)$. For simplicity of presentation, $v_{x,y} = v\delta_{x,y}$ (at $\eta = 1$) is taken in the following. Then $\hat{v}(0) = v$ as well.

9.1. Orthogonal decomposition.

The fundamental equation of it all is

$$a = b + c \tag{188}$$

where $c = (c_\tau)_{\tau \in \mathbb{T}}$ is independent of x and

$$(1|b(\tau))_X = \int_X b(\tau,x) = 0. \tag{189}$$

This is an orthogonal decomposition: $(c(\tau)|b(\tau))_X = 0$ for all τ. With this,

$$\mathcal{D}a = \mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{T}}c \, \mathcal{D}'b \quad \mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{T}}c = \prod_{\tau \in \mathbb{T}} \frac{\overline{dc(\tau)} \wedge dc(\tau)}{2\pi i} \quad \mathcal{D}'b = \mathcal{D}b \prod_{\tau \in \mathbb{T}} \delta\left(\int_X b(\tau,x)\right) \tag{190}$$

and

$$S(a) = \mathcal{A}_0(c) + \mathcal{A}_2(b,c) + \mathcal{A}_3(b,c) + \mathcal{A}_4(b) \tag{191}$$

with

$$\mathcal{A}_0(c) = |X| \int_\tau [\overline{c(\tau)}(-\partial_\tau + \varepsilon + m)c(\tau) + \frac{v}{2}|c(\tau)|^4]$$

$$\mathcal{A}_2(b, c) = \frac{v}{2} \int_{\tau,x} \left[4|c(\tau)|^2 |b(\tau, x)|^2 - c(\tau)^2 \overline{b(\tau, x)}^2 - \overline{c(\tau)}^2 b(\tau, x)^2 \right]$$

$$+ (\bar{b}|(-\partial_\tau + \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon + m)b)_X \qquad (192)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_3(b, c) = v \int_{\tau,x} |b(\tau, x)|^2 \left(c(\tau) \overline{b(\tau, x)} + \overline{c(\tau)} b(\tau, x) \right)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_4(b) = \frac{v}{2} \int_{\tau,x} |b(\tau, x)|^4.$$

The contribution to the action $\mathcal{S}$ that is linear in b vanishes because of the orthogonality of b and c. For the same reason,

$$(\bar{a}(\beta)|a(0))_X = (\overline{c(\beta)}|c(0))_X + (\bar{b}_\beta|b_0)_X = |X|\overline{c(\beta)} \, c(0) + (\bar{b}_\beta|b_0)_X \qquad (193)$$

and a binomial expansion gives

$$\mathfrak{Z}_\ell = |X|^N \sum_{K=0}^N \frac{1}{(N-K)!} \int \mathcal{D}^\mathbb{T} c \, e^{-\mathcal{A}_0(c)} \left(\overline{c(\beta)} \, c(0) \right)^{N-K} \mathcal{Y}_\ell^{(\beta, K, X)}(c) \qquad (194)$$

with

$$\mathcal{Y}_\ell^{(\beta, K, X)}(c) = \frac{1}{K!} \int \mathcal{D}'b \, e^{-\mathcal{A}_2(b,c) - \mathcal{A}_3(b,c) - \mathcal{A}_4(b)} \left(\frac{1}{|X|} \int_x \overline{b(\beta, x)} b(0, x) \right)^K \qquad (195)$$

The sum over K in (194) suggests that the condensate now plays the role of a particle reservoir — at fixed c, the ensemble described by the b integral is no longer at fixed N, but rather, all particle numbers $K \in \{0, \ldots, N\}$ contribute to the partition function. To be a true reservoir in a thermodynamical sense, the condensate fluctuations would have to be so small that it becomes approximately constant also in time τ, and that the likeliest values of K are small compared to N. This is expected in the thermodynamic limit at sufficiently large β and with N growing together with $|X|$ so that $\rho = \frac{N}{|X|}$ is fixed and large enough. However, in the present setup, c may still receive important contributions from the b-integral, especially because of the slow decay of the b-covariance (see Sect. 9.4 below).

9.2. Quadratic form for the b fields. By definition of the time derivative and by its boundary condition (see Theorem 1 and (132)), the operator $\mathbb{Q}(c)$ defining the action $\mathcal{A}_2$ as a quadratic form in b is still upper triangular in the time slice indices j and j'. Explicitly, in the notation where times $\tau = \epsilon j$ are labelled by $j \in \{0, \ldots, \ell\}$,

$$\mathcal{A}_2(b, c) = \sum_{j=0}^\ell ((b_j, \overline{b_j})|\mathbb{L}(c_j) \, (\overline{b_j}, b_j)^\top)_X - \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} (\overline{b_j}|b_{j+1}) \qquad (196)$$

with

$$\mathbb{L}(c) = 1_2 \otimes (1 + \epsilon m + \epsilon \mathcal{E}) + \epsilon v \begin{bmatrix} |c|^2 & c^2 \\ \bar{c}^2 & |c|^2 \end{bmatrix} \otimes 1 \qquad (197)$$

The c-dependent matrix appearing in (197) is obviously nonnegative (but does have an eigenvalue zero). The determinant of $\mathbb{L}(c_J)$ is no longer equal to one. However, and this is again peculiar to the canonical ensemble, $\det \mathbb{L}(c) = 1 + O(\epsilon)$, and the matrix $\mathbb{Q}$ corresponding to the quadratic form $\mathcal{A}_2$ in b is therefore invertible if ϵ is small enough.

9.3. Time-independent condensate field. In the canonical partition function, there is no chemical potential that can be tuned to give a mexican hat potential. Instead it is the power (51) that introduces a tendency towards a nonzero value of c. A first understanding of this can be gained by assuming that c is constant in time, $c(\tau) = c(0) = c_0$ for all τ, and taking the contribution from $K = 0$ only. Then $\overline{c(\beta)}c(0) = |c_0|^2$. Fixing $\rho = \frac{N}{|X|}$ means that $|X| = \sigma N$ with $\sigma = \frac{1}{\rho}$. Then for large N, the factor

$$\frac{|X|^N |c_0|^{2N}}{N!} \approx \frac{(\sigma |c_0|^2 e)^N}{\sqrt{2\pi N}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi N}} e^{N(1+\ln(\sigma |c_0|^2))} \tag{198}$$

in the integrand drives the maximum of the integrand in c_0, hence also the most probable value of c_0, away from zero. The above replacements amount to considering the simplified function

$$\mathfrak{Z}_\ell = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi N}} \int \frac{d\bar{c}_0 \wedge dc_0}{2\pi i} e^{-NF(|c_0|^2)} \, \mathcal{Y}_\ell^{(\beta,0,X)}(c_0) \tag{199}$$

with

$$F(\gamma) = \sigma \left[(1 + \beta m)\gamma + \frac{v\beta}{2}\gamma^2 \right] - 1 - \ln(\sigma\gamma) \tag{200}$$

The 1 term in the square brackets is due to the boundary condition for the discretized time derivative which implies that its integral does not evaluate to zero on a constant field. Explicitly, when inserting a J-independent field into (82) (with $\mathcal{E} = 0$ and $h = 0$): the difference of the two sums does not vanish, but gives $|X||c_0|^2 = N\sigma\gamma$. As discussed, the logarithm in F comes from the power in the integral for the canonical partition function, and it implies that F takes its minimum at a $\gamma_0 \neq 0$. As mentioned, the true ensemble average is more complicated than this. In particular, $\rho_0 = \frac{N-K}{|X|}$ is the condensate density, and whether condensation really takes place at a given temperature is a question of the distribution of K's.

9.4. Positivity in the continuum limit. Assume that the system is translation-invariant and the kinetic term $\mathcal{E}$ is a lattice approximation of the Laplacian. Then for $c_0 \neq 0$, the eigenvalues of the matrix $\mathbb{Q}$ (defining the quadratic form (196)) exhibit the typical Bogoliubov spectrum in the spatial continuum limit $\eta \to 0$,

$$E_B(p) = |p|\sqrt{w^2 + p^2} \tag{201}$$

where $w^2 = 2v|c_0|^2$.

Lemma 19. *For all $t \geq 0$, the x-space kernel of $e^{-t|p|\sqrt{w^2+p^2}}$ is positive.*

Proof. Let

$$\hat{F}(p) = |p|\sqrt{w^2 + p^2} - p^2 - \frac{w^2}{2}, \tag{202}$$

Then

$$\hat{F}(p) = -\frac{v^4}{2(|p| + \sqrt{w^2 + p^2})^2} = -\frac{v^4}{2} \int_0^\infty t \, dt \, e^{-t(|p| + \sqrt{w^2 + p^2})} \tag{203}$$

The Fourier transform G_t of $e^{-t(|p| + \sqrt{w^2 + p^2})}$ is the convolution of that of $e^{-t|p|}$ and that of $e^{-t\sqrt{w^2 + p^2}}$, which are both strictly positive functions, hence strictly positive, so that

$$F(x - y) = -\int_0^\infty t \, dt \, G_t(x - y) < 0 \tag{204}$$

for all x and y. By iterated convolution, $e^{-\tau F}(x, y)$ is positive for all x and y, and the same holds, again by convolution, for $e^{-\tau E_B}(x, y)$.   □

The decay is, however, slow since the kernels that get convolved only have power law decays. Specifically [43],

$$e^{-t|p|}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi^2} \frac{t}{(t^2 + |x-y|^2)^2} & \text{for } d = 3 \\ \Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2}) \pi^{-\frac{d+1}{2}} \frac{t}{(t^2 + |x-y|^2)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}} & \text{for } d > 3. \end{cases} \tag{205}$$

This slow decay suggests that a stochastic representation as a random walk in a fluctuating condensate background has long jumps, hence differs from the one corresponding to Brownian motion. The cubic term $\mathcal{A}_3$ also leads to branching and coalescence, corresponding to processes where one of two scattering particles emerges from, or gets absorbed in, the condensate.

10. Conclusion and Outlook

The coherent-state and auxiliary-field integrals for the boson system derived here provide a simple regularized version of the standard formal time-continuum functional integrals used in condensed-matter physics. The integral for the canonical partition function and expectation values exhibits a few significant differences to the usually considered grand-canonical case: there is no periodic boundary condition in time in the quadratic form defining the Gaussian integral, propagation is only in forward time direction, and the inverse determinant from Gaussian integration is equal to one. The integrals also allow to derive a straightforward random-walk expansion, which can be viewed as a regularized version of the Brownian motion representation used in [1–3].

It is often stated that the Wick rule fails for the canonical expectation values of products of field operators. The integral representations for the canonical expectation values provide an easy way to understand this – in Gaussian integrals, the Wick rule is derived by integration by parts, and the power of $(\bar{a}_\ell | a_0)_X$ that arose from the projection to the N-particle space $\mathscr{F}_B^{(N)}$, see Lemma 4, gives rise to additional terms in that procedure. In presence of an operator insertion F, this factor gets modified, see, e.g. (62), but it remains a polynomial in the fields. It is easy to derive a modified Wick rule using the standard formalism of field Laplacians for representing Gaussian expectations (see Appendix E and [39]).

At the heart of the technical results of this paper are the pointwise bounds, uniformly in h, for the regularized covariances, which are similar to those used in [36] and subsequent works on the loop vertex expansion. Although it turns out that they are very simple to prove (see Lemma 8 for an example), it was not completely obvious a priori that they would be available in the discrete-time regularization. Above, I have used them to show that there is a considerable freedom in chosing the discretized action without changing the time-continuum limit of the partition function. Although this is expected, the point here is that the proofs require only very elementary bounds, such as the combination of resolvent equations like (152), Taylor expansion like in (158) and integration by parts as in (258). Moreover, these techniques can be used to derive convergent expansions for expectation values, which allow to take the infinite-volume limit [42].

One should not jump to the conclusion that this already makes more involved machinery, such as a decomposition in large and small fields, unnecessary in the analysis of bosonic many-body problems, however. If renormalization becomes necessary, e.g. when regularizing determinants or performing any operation in which expansions in the fields are required, it is not yet clear whether uniform bounds will apply.

The bulk of this paper has dealt with the canonical ensemble, but (as discussed in some detail in Sects. 3 and 8), the essential bounds carry over to the grand-canonical case. Moreover, one can also take the approach to use results for the canonical ensemble to make statements about the grand canonical one, by summation over N, provided one has good enough control over the N-dependence.

Finally, I have given a brief discussion coming back to the original motivation, namely the connection to stochastic representations. The energy of excitations in a Bose condensate is no longer quadratic, but given by (201), hence linear in momentum for small |p|, $E(p) = w|p|$, with w the velocity of sound. Nevertheless, in the formal time-continuum limit, this kinetic term still generates a stochastic process (albeit with long-range jumps). It will be interesting to see if the uniform bounds derived in this paper, for which this positivity was crucial, continue to hold in a regularized version of the functional integral.

In summary, I have rigorously derived several discrete-time regularizations of the many-boson coherent-state integral, stated in Theorems 1, 2, and 3, as well as in (95). Some of them are in terms of the complex fields a that index bosonic coherent states, others use an auxiliary field h, which is real and governed by a positive Gaussian measure, with an interaction term that comes as an inverse determinant (for the grand canonical ensemble) or a permanent of covariances (in the canonical ensemble), hence does not grow at large fields h. All of these representations have the same time-continuum limit. They can be used to study the properties of correlation functions for the Bose ensembles in the thermodynamic limit by methods of statistical mechanics, under suitable hypotheses by straightforward polymer-expansion techniques. The uniform bounds for the covariances proven here lead to simplifications also in the proof of convergence of these expansions.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

A. Coherent-State Formulas

In terms of the orthonormal basis (6), the expansion of the coherent state is

$$v_a = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^X} e_\nu \prod_{x \in X} \frac{a_x^{\nu_x}}{\sqrt{\nu_x!}} \tag{206}$$

which is norm convergent for any $a \in \mathbb{C}^X$ by inspection. Equation (39) then follows by inserting the expansion.

By the commutation relations, $a_x a_x^{\dagger n} \Omega = n\eta^{-d} a_x^{\dagger n-1} \Omega$. Thus the vector $w_{n,x}(a) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{\eta^d a^\nu}{\nu!} a_x^{\dagger \nu} \Omega$ satisfies

$$a_x w_{n,x}(a) = a_x \, w_{n-1,x}(a), \tag{207}$$

so $v_a^{(N)} = \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{(a|a^\dagger)_x^n}{n!} \Omega$ satisfies

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} a_x v_a^{(N)} = a_x \, v_a. \tag{208}$$

Since the exponential series for v_a is norm convergent, $\|v_a^{(N)} - v_a\| \to 0$ for $N \to \infty$. For all $x \in X$, the operators a_x and $a_x^\dagger$ have the common dense domain $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_{\sqrt{n_x}}$, and $v_a \in \mathcal{D}$. Let $w \in \mathcal{D}$. Then

$$\langle w|a_x v_a \rangle = \langle a_x^\dagger w|v_a \rangle = \lim_{N \to \infty} \langle a_x^\dagger w|v_a^{(N)} \rangle = \lim_{N \to \infty} \langle w|a_x v_a^{(N)} \rangle$$
$$= \langle w|a_x v_a \rangle \tag{209}$$

Since $\mathcal{D}$ is dense in $\mathscr{F}_B$, (37) holds.

To see (43), let $\psi \in \mathscr{F}_B$. Then the basis coefficients $\psi_\nu = \langle e_\nu|\psi \rangle$ are square summable over $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^X$, and the sum

$$|\kappa_a\rangle\langle\kappa_a|\psi\rangle = \sum_{\nu, \nu' \in \mathbb{N}_0^X} e_\nu \, \psi_{\nu'} \prod_x \frac{\bar{a}_x^{\nu_x'} a_x^{\nu_x}}{\sqrt{\nu_x! \nu_x'!}} \tag{210}$$

converges absolutely and uniformly on $\mathbb{C}_R^{|X|}$, hence can be exchanged with the a-integral. Writing $a_x = \rho_x e^{i\theta_x}$,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} d\bar{a} \wedge da = d(\rho_x^2) \, \frac{d\theta_x}{2\pi} \tag{211}$$

The integral on the right hand side of (44) factorizes into a product over x of

$$\int_0^R d(\rho_x^2) e^{-\rho_x^2} \rho_x^{\nu_x + \nu_x'} \int \frac{d\theta_x}{2\pi} e^{i\theta(\nu_x - \nu_x')} \tag{212}$$

The θ-integral vanishes unless $\nu_x = \nu_x'$. The ρ_x-integral is an incomplete Gamma function $\Gamma(\nu_x + 1, R^2)$, which increases to $\nu_x!$ as $R \to \infty$. Thus

$$P_R(\psi) = \int_{\mathbb{C}_R^X} d^X a \, |\kappa_a\rangle\langle\kappa_a|\psi\rangle = \sum_\nu \psi_\nu f_\nu(R) e_\nu \tag{213}$$

where

$$f_\nu(R) = \prod_x \frac{\Gamma(\nu_x + 1, R^2)}{\nu_x!} \in (0, 1) \tag{214}$$

Thus $S_R = \sum_\nu |\psi_\nu(1 - f_\nu(R))|^2$ converges, and and $f_\nu(R) \to 1$ as $R \to \infty$. By the dominated convergence theorem, applied to the sum over ν, $S_R \to 0$ as $R \to \infty$. Since the e_ν are an ONB, $S_R = \|\psi - P_R(\psi)\|^2$, so (43) holds.

To see (44), use that the series expansion

$$\langle\kappa_a|\mathsf{A}\,\kappa_a\rangle = \sum_{\nu,\nu'} \langle e_{\nu'}|\mathsf{A}\,e_\nu\rangle \prod_x \frac{\bar{a}_x^{\nu_x'} a_x^{\nu_x}}{\sqrt{\nu_x! \nu_x'!}} \tag{215}$$

converges absolutely and uniformly for $a \in \mathbb{C}_R^X$, because A, as a trace class operator, is bounded hence $|\langle e_{\nu'}|\mathsf{A}\,e_\nu\rangle| \leq \|\mathsf{A}\|$. So the integral over a can be exchanged with the summation. By the same arguments as above, the sum reduces to a single summation over ν, and

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}_R^X d^X a} \langle\kappa_a|\mathsf{A}\,\kappa_a\rangle = \sum_\nu f_\nu(R) \, \langle e_\nu|\mathsf{A}\,e_\nu\rangle \tag{216}$$

Because A is trace class, the sum $\sum_\nu |\langle e_\nu|\mathsf{A}\,e_\nu\rangle|$ converges. Thus, again by the dominated convergence theorem, (44) follows.

Equations (45) and (46) are immediate consequences of the following Lemma.

Lemma 20. *Let* $\mathsf{K} = (\mathsf{a}^\dagger|\mathcal{K}\mathsf{a})_X$, *where* $\mathcal{K}$ *is an operator on* X *that is diagonalizable by a unitary transformation, and assume that all eigenvalues* K_α *of* $\mathcal{K}$ *satisfy* Re $K_\alpha \leq 0$. *Then* e^K *is a bounded operator on* $\mathscr{F}_B$ *and*

$$e^K v_a = v_{e^{\mathcal{K}}a}. \tag{217}$$

Proof. By hypothesis there is a unitary transformation $\mathcal{U}$ so that $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{U}^\dagger \mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}$, where the diagonal matrix $\mathcal{D}$ has the eigenvalues K_α of $\mathcal{E}$ as diagonal entries. Set $\tilde{a} = \mathcal{U}a$, then the $\tilde{a}_\alpha$ also have canonical commutation relations, $[\tilde{a}_\alpha, \tilde{a}_{\alpha'}^\dagger] = \eta^{-d}\delta_{\alpha,\alpha'}$ and $[\tilde{a}_\alpha, \tilde{a}_{\alpha'}] = 0$, and $\mathsf{K} = \eta^d \sum_\alpha K_\alpha \tilde{n}_\alpha$ with $\tilde{n}_\alpha = \tilde{a}_\alpha^\dagger \tilde{a}_\alpha$. The $\tilde{n}_\alpha$ all commute, and thus $e^K = \prod_\alpha e^{\eta^d K_\alpha \tilde{n}_\alpha}$. Every factor in this product is a bounded operator, since $n_\alpha \geq 0$ and Re $K_\alpha \leq 0$. Thus e^K is bounded. Moreover, $(a|a^\dagger)_X = \sum_\alpha \tilde{a}_\alpha \tilde{a}_\alpha^\dagger$, where $\tilde{a} = \eta^d \bar{\mathcal{U}}a$, and thus $v_a = \prod_\alpha e^{\tilde{a}_\alpha \tilde{a}_\alpha^\dagger} \Omega$. Because n_α commutes with $a_{\alpha'}^\dagger$ if $\alpha \neq \alpha'$, it suffices to consider the action of $B_\alpha = e^{\eta^d K_\alpha \tilde{n}_\alpha}$ on $w_\alpha(\tilde{a}_\alpha) = e^{\tilde{a}_\alpha \tilde{a}_\alpha^\dagger} \Omega$. B_α is bounded, so its

application can be exchanged with the norm-convergent exponential series for $w_\alpha(\tilde{a}_\alpha)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_\alpha w_\alpha(\tilde{a}_\alpha) &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\tilde{a}_\alpha^n}{n!} \, B_\alpha \, a_\alpha^{\dagger\,n} \Omega \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\tilde{a}_\alpha^n}{n!} \, e^{-\tau K_\alpha n} \, a_\alpha^{\dagger\,n} \Omega = w_\alpha(e^{-\tau K_\alpha} \, \tilde{a}_\alpha).
\end{aligned}
\tag{218}
$$

In going from the first to the second line, it was used that $a_\alpha^{\dagger\,n}\Omega$ is an eigenvector of $\tilde{n}_\alpha$ with eigenvalue $\eta^{-d}\,n$. Transforming back to the original basis completes the proof. $\square$

B. Inversion Formulas

Let $\mathscr{B}$ be a Banach algebra with identity 1 and $A_0, \ldots, A_\ell \in \mathscr{B}$. Define $\mathcal{Q}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ in $M_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathscr{B}$ by

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{J,J'} = \delta_{J,J'} \, 1 - \delta_{J+1,J'} A_J,
\tag{219}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{K}_{J,J'} = \mathcal{Q}_{J,J'} - \delta_{J,\ell} \, \delta_{0,J'} \, A_0
\tag{220}
$$

where $J, J' \in \{0, \ldots, \ell\}$. The following lemma states the formulas for the inverses

$$
\mathcal{Q}^{-1} = \mathcal{C} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K}^{-1} = \mathcal{G},
\tag{221}
$$

for the general case where the A_J need not commute.

Lemma 21. *For all $A_1, \ldots, A_\ell \in \mathscr{B}$, $\mathcal{Q}$ is invertible with inverse*

$$
\mathcal{C}_{J,J'} = 1_{J' \geq J} \prod_{\iota=J+1}^{J'} A_\iota
\tag{222}
$$

(where the empty product equals 1). Let $P = \prod_{\iota=0}^{\ell} A_\iota$. If $1 - P$ is invertible, then $\mathcal{K}$ is invertible, with inverse

$$
\mathcal{G}_{J,J'} = 1_{J' \geq J} \prod_{\iota=J+1}^{J'} A_\iota \prod_{\iota=J+1}^{\ell} A_\iota (1-P)^{-1} \prod_{\iota=0}^{J'} A_\iota
\tag{223}
$$

Proof. Considered as a matrix in the indices J and J', $\mathcal{Q}$ is upper triangular, more precisely of the form identity plus a nilpotent term R. So it is invertible, and the inverse given is by a terminating Neumann series in powers of R. This gives (222). Let $\mathcal{D}_{J,J'} = \delta_{J,\ell} \, \delta_{0,J'} \, A_0$ so that $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{Q} - \mathcal{D}$. Due to the special form of $\mathcal{D}$, the resolvent equation

$$
\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{G} \, \mathcal{D} \, \mathcal{C}
\tag{224}
$$

reads for the matrix elements

$$
\mathcal{G}_{J,J'} = \mathcal{C}_{J,J'} + \mathcal{G}_{J,\ell} \, A_0 \, \mathcal{C}_{0,J'}.
\tag{225}
$$

Because $A_0 C_{0,\ell} = P$, the equation for $J' = \ell$ reads

$$\mathcal{G}_{J,\ell} = \mathcal{C}_{J,\ell} + \mathcal{G}_{J,\ell} \, P \tag{226}$$

By hypothesis, $1 - P$ is invertible, so

$$\mathcal{G}_{J,\ell} = \mathcal{C}_{J,\ell} \, (1 - P)^{-1} \tag{227}$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}_{J,J'} = \mathcal{C}_{J,\ell} + \mathcal{C}_{J,\ell} \, (1 - P)^{-1} \, A_0 \, \mathcal{C}_{0,J'} \tag{228}$$

Inserting (222), and noting that the indicator functions in that expression evaluate to 1 in $\mathcal{C}_{J,\ell}$ for all J, and in $\mathcal{C}_{0,J'}$, for all J', one gets (223). □

By inserting $1 = 1_{J' \geq J} + 1_{J' < J}$, (223) can be rewritten in the form

$$\mathcal{G}_{J,J'} = 1_{J' \geq J} \left[\prod_{1+\iota=J+1}^{\ell} A_\iota \, (1 - P)^{-1} \prod_{\iota=0}^{J} A_\iota \right] \prod_{\iota=J+1}^{J'} A_\iota$$

$$+ 1_{J' < J} \prod_{+\iota=J+1}^{\ell} A_\iota \, (1 - P)^{-1} \prod_{\iota=0}^{J'} A_\iota \tag{229}$$

If $A_J A_{J'} = A_{J'} A_J$ for all $J, J' \in \{0, \ldots, \ell\}$, this simplifies to

$$\mathcal{G}_{J,J'} = 1_{J' \geq J} \, (1 - P)^{-1} \prod_{\iota \in \{J+1, \ldots, J'\}} A_\iota$$

$$+ 1_{J' < J} \, (1 - P)^{-1} \prod_{\iota \notin \{J'+1, \ldots, J\}} A_\iota. \tag{230}$$

If (as in the case where all auxiliary fields vanish) $A_\iota = e^{-\epsilon \mathcal{E}}$ with $\beta = \epsilon \ell$, then (denoting $\tau_J = J\epsilon = \beta \frac{J}{\ell}$)

$$\mathcal{G}_{J,J'} = (1 - e^{-\beta \mathcal{E}})^{-1} \left[1_{\tau_{J'} \geq \tau_J} \, e^{-(\tau_{J'} - \tau_J)\mathcal{E}} + 1_{\tau_{J'} < \tau_J} \, e^{-(\beta - (\tau_{J'} - \tau_J))\mathcal{E}} \right] \tag{231}$$

i.e. $\mathcal{G}_{J,J'}$ is the standard time-ordered two-point function ("propagator") for free bosons with kinetic term $\mathcal{E}$ at inverse temperature β, evaluated at the discrete times τ_J and $\tau_{J'}$.

A sufficient condition for $1 - P$ to be invertible is that $\|P\| < 1$, which in turn holds if $\|A_\iota\| \leq 1$ for all $\iota \in \{0, \ldots, \ell\}$ and $\|A_{\iota_0}\| < 1$ for some ι_0. For $A_J = e^{-\epsilon \mathcal{E}} \, e^{i\sqrt{\epsilon} h_J}$ with real h_J, a sufficient condition is that $\mathcal{E} > 0$. These conditions are not necessary for invertibility of $1 - P$. But they are necessary for the convergence of the coherent-state functional integral of the grand-canonical ensemble. The series

$$\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{N\beta\mu}}{N!} \, (\bar{a}_\ell | a_0) X^N = e^{e^{\beta\mu} \, (\bar{a}_\ell | a_0) X} \tag{232}$$

converges for all values of $\beta\mu$, a_ℓ and a_0. Upon formal exchange of the summation and integration in (24), the resulting term in the exponent is just the difference $\mathcal{D}$ of $\mathcal{Q}$ and $\mathcal{K}$, with $A_0 = e^{\beta\mu} 1$ of norm $\|A_0\| = e^{\beta\mu}$. If $\mu > 0$, this is bigger than one, and invertibility of P can fail for particular X, and even if it holds, it will typically not

hold with a norm of the inverse that is uniform in X. Moreover, the quadratic form does not have a positive real part, and therefore the Gaussian integral diverges, which in turn invalidates the exchange of summation and integration. Similarly, if one wants to use the complex contour integral for enforcing that the particle number is N, one should take integration radius $|z| < 1$ (see (66)).

C. Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff Formulas

The Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff expansion is well-known and has been considered in many works, often with the aim to simplify the recursion for generating more and more terms in the expansion [28,44,46]. The point here is, on the other hand, to avoid combinatorics by deriving and bounding remainder terms in integral form. I assume in the following that A and B are elements of some Banach algebra with identity, and show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{t(A+B)} &= e^{tA}e^{tB} \left(1 + H_2(t)\right) \\
&= e^{tA}\, e^{tB}\, e^{\frac{t^2}{2}[B,A]} \left(1 + H_3(t)\right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{233}
$$

with $H_2(t) = O(t^2)$ and $H_3 = O(t^3)$ analytic in A and B, and both having explicit bounds in terms of the norms of A and B. The application will be with $t = \epsilon \sim \ell^{-1}$ very small. It is then of course irrelevant whether the error term is in the exponent or 'downstairs', as it is small in norm compared to 1, so one can put it in the exponent simply by taking the logarithm. Indeed it is in general an advantage if the error term is not in the exponent. The way the expansion is generated also allows to go to any higher order, with an according remainder term. Variants of the Lie product formula including higher order terms in the exponent, and with accordingly improved convergence rate as $\ell \to \infty$, can be proven straightforwardly using these results. They are useful for generalizations of the systems discussed here, e.g. for certain Fermi–Bose mixtures.

Let

$$
V(t) = e^{-tA}\, e^{t(A+B)}.
\tag{234}
$$

Then $V(0) = 1$ and

$$
\tfrac{d}{ds}V(s) = e^{-sA}\, B\, e^{sA}\, V(s)
\tag{235}
$$

hence

$$
V(t) = 1 + \int_0^t ds\, e^{-sA}\, B\, e^{sA}\, V(s)
\tag{236}
$$

Let $W(t) = e^{-tB}\, V(t)$. Then $W(0) = 1$ and

$$
\tfrac{d}{ds}W(s) = e^{-sB} \left(e^{-sA}\, B\, e^{sA} - B\right) e^{sB}\, W(s)
\tag{237}
$$

The usual interpolation

$$
e^{-sA}\, B\, e^{sA} - B = \int_0^s dr\, e^{-rA}\, [B, A]\, e^{rA}
\tag{238}
$$

gives

$$
\tfrac{d}{ds}W(s) = e^{-sB} \int_0^s dr\, e^{-rA}\, [B, A]\, e^{rA}\, e^{sB}\, W(s)
\tag{239}
$$

Since $e^{t(A+B)} = e^{tA}e^{tB}W(t)$, integration of (239) gives

$$e^{t(A+B)} = e^{tA}e^{tB} \left(1 + H_2(t)\right) \tag{240}$$

with

$$H_2(t) = \int_0^t ds \; e^{-sB} \int_0^s dr \; e^{-rA} \, [B, A] \, e^{-(s-r)A} \, e^{s(A+B)} \tag{241}$$

For $\epsilon \geq 0$, a straightforward (and in general wasteful) bound for H_2 leads to

$$\left\| e^{-\epsilon(A+B)} - e^{-\epsilon A}e^{-\epsilon B} \right\| \leq \epsilon^2 \, e^{\epsilon(2\|B\|+4\|A\|)} \, \frac{1}{2} \|[A, B]\|. \tag{242}$$

Better bounds can be obtained if one or both of A and B are positive.)

To go one order higher, go back to (239) and expand further, by writing

$$e^{-rA} \, [B, A] \, e^{rA} = [B, A] + \int_0^r dq \; e^{-qA} \, [[B, A], A] \, e^{qA} \tag{243}$$

and iterating, to get

$$e^{-sB} \int_0^s dr \; e^{-rA} \, [B, A] \, e^{rA} \, e^{sB}$$
$$= s \, e^{-sB} \, [B, A] \, e^{sB} + e^{-sB} \int_0^s dr \int_0^r dq \; e^{-qA} \, [[B, A], A] \, e^{qA} \, e^{sB} \tag{244}$$
$$= s \, [B, A] + \tilde{H}_3(s)$$

with

$$\tilde{H}_3(s) = -s \int_0^s dr \; e^{-rB} [B, [B, A]] \, e^{rB}$$
$$+ e^{-sB} \int_0^s dr \int_0^r dq \; e^{-qA} \, [[B, A], A] \, e^{qA} \, e^{sB} \tag{245}$$

involving double commutators. The equation for W then implies

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left(e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}[B,A]} \, W(s) \right) = e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}[B,A]} \, \tilde{H}_3(s) \, W(s) \tag{246}$$

so integration over s gives

$$e^{t(A+B)} = e^{tA} \, e^{tB} \, e^{\frac{t^2}{2}[B,A]} \, \left(1 + H_3(t)\right) \tag{247}$$

with

$$H_3(t) = \int_0^t ds \; e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}[B,A]} \, \tilde{H}_3(s) \, e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}[B,A]} \, e^{-sB} \, e^{-sA} \, e^{s(A+B)}. \tag{248}$$

Obviously this iteration can be continued to arbitrary orders and it gets more and more tedious as one goes on. But at each step one has an integral formula for the remainder which is useful for bounds.

D. Integration by Parts

For $h \in \mathbb{R}$ let

$$\Delta_\epsilon(h) = e^{-i\sqrt{\epsilon}h} - 1 + i\sqrt{\epsilon}h. \tag{249}$$

By Taylor expansion of the exponential, $\Delta_\epsilon(h) = -\frac{\epsilon}{2}h^2 + O(\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}h^3)$. The following elementary statements are used in the sequel:

$$\Delta_\epsilon(h) = i\sqrt{\epsilon}h\,\Theta_\epsilon(h) \quad \text{with} \quad \Theta_\epsilon(h) = \int_0^1 \left(1 - e^{-i\sqrt{\epsilon s}\,h}\right)\,ds \tag{250}$$

and

$$i\sqrt{\epsilon}\,\frac{\partial\Theta_\epsilon}{\partial h} = -\frac{\epsilon}{2} + ih\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}R_\epsilon(h) \tag{251}$$

with $R_\epsilon(h) = \int_0^1 s^2 ds \int_0^1 dt\, e^{-i\sqrt{\epsilon}hst}$.

Recall the notation (96). Let $\mathscr{B}$ be a Banach algebra, and let $F : \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{X}} \to \mathscr{B}$ be in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{X}}, d\mu_{\mathbb{V}})$, i.e. $\langle |F(h)| \rangle_h < \infty$.

Lemma 22. *Let $F : \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{X}} \to \mathscr{B}$, $h \mapsto F(h)$ be differentiable in h and assume that $\langle |F(h)| \rangle_h < \infty$, and for all $(J, x) \in \mathbb{X}$, $\left\langle \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial h_{J,x}} \right| \right\rangle_h < \infty$.*

(a) *For all $(J, x) \in \mathbb{X}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \Delta_\epsilon(h_{J,x})\, F(h) \right\rangle_h = &-\tfrac{\epsilon}{2}v_{x,x}\, \langle F(h) \rangle_h \\
&+ \epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}\, iv_{x,x}\, \left\langle F(h)\, h_{J,x}\, R_\epsilon(h_{J,x}) \right\rangle_h \\
&+ i\sqrt{\epsilon} \left\langle h_{J,x}\, \Theta_\epsilon(h_{J,x}) \int_y v_{x,y}\, \frac{\partial F}{\partial h_{J,y}} \right\rangle_h
\end{aligned} \tag{252}$$

(b) *If, in addition, the function F depends on $\epsilon = \beta/\ell$ and if there is $M > 0$ such that for all $\ell \geq 1$, all $J \in \{0, \dots, \ell\}$ and all x and $y \in X$*

$$\left\langle |h_{J,x}|\, \|F(h)\| \right\rangle_h \leq M \tag{253}$$

and

$$\left\langle |h_{J,x}|^2 \left\| \frac{\partial F}{\partial h_{J,y}} \right\| \right\rangle_h \leq M\, \sqrt{\epsilon} \tag{254}$$

then

$$\left\| \left\langle \left[\Delta_\epsilon(h_{J,x}) + \tfrac{\epsilon}{2}v_{x,x} \right] F(h) \right\rangle_h \right\| \leq \epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}\, M\, \left(|v_{x,x}| + \int_y |v_{x,y}| \right). \tag{255}$$

In particular, for all $\delta > 0$

$$\epsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}+\delta}\, \left\langle \left[\Delta_\epsilon(h_{J,x}) + \tfrac{\epsilon}{2}v_{x,x} \right] F(h) \right\rangle_h \xrightarrow[\epsilon \to 0]{} 0\,. \tag{256}$$

Proof. By (250),

$$\left\langle \Delta_\epsilon(h_{J,x})\, F(h) \right\rangle_h = i\sqrt{\epsilon}\, \left\langle h_{J,x}\, G_\epsilon(h) \right\rangle_h \tag{257}$$

with $G_\epsilon(h) = F(h)\, \Theta_\epsilon(h_{J,x})$. Integration by parts w.r.t. the Gaussian measure $d\mu_{\mathbb{V}}$ gives

$$\left\langle h_{J,x}\, G_\epsilon(h) \right\rangle_h = \left\langle \int_y v_{x,y}\, \frac{\partial G_\epsilon}{\partial h_{J,y}} \right\rangle_h\,. \tag{258}$$

When the derivative with respect to $h_{J,x}$ acts on Θ_ϵ, the sum over y reduces to the term $y = x$, and (251) gives the first two summands on the right hand side of (252). The action of the derivative on F gives the third summand.

To prove (b), move the first term on the right hand side of (252) to the left hand side. Since $h_{J,x}$ is real, $\left|R_\epsilon(h_{J,x})\right| \le \frac{1}{3}$, hence

$$\left| v_{x,x} \left\langle F(h) \, h_{J,x} \, R_\epsilon(h_{J,x}) \right\rangle_h \right| \le \frac{M}{3} \, v_{x,x} \tag{259}$$

by hypothesis of part (b). Similarly, noting that $\left|\Theta_\epsilon(h_{J,x})\right| \le \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\epsilon}\left|h_{J,x}\right|$, one obtains by (254)

$$\left| \left\langle h_{J,x} \, \Theta_\epsilon(h_{J,x}) \int_y v_{x,y} \frac{\partial F}{\partial h_{J,y}} \right\rangle_h \right| \le \frac{M}{2}\epsilon \int_y \left|v_{x,y}\right| \tag{260}$$

Thus both remainder terms are bounded by $\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}$ times a constant, which implies (255) and (256). □

Note that because $\mathbb{V}_{(J,x),(J',x')} = \delta_{J,J'} \, v_{x,x'}$ is local in 'time" J, the summation on the right hand side of (258) involves only the spatial variable y, but no extra summation over a 'time-slice' index J'. This is essential since every J summation goes over $\ell + 1 \sim \epsilon^{-1}$ variables, hence potentially creates inverse powers of ϵ in remainder estimates.

In the standard representation of the Gaussian expectation $\langle \cdot \rangle_h$ as a sum over Feynman graphs, the first summand in (252) corresponds to the tadpole (self-contraction) graph.

E. Determinants, Permanents, and Laplacians

Lemma 23. *Let $a, b, q \in \mathbb{C}$ and Re $q > 0$. Then*

$$\frac{q}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{-q|z|^2 + \bar{a}z + \bar{z}b} \, d\bar{z} \wedge dz = e^{\frac{\bar{a}b}{q}}. \tag{261}$$

Proof. Call the left hand side of (261) $I_q(a, b)$. Because Re $q > 0$ this integral exists, and it is absolutely convergent, hence can be taken as the limit $R \to \infty$ of the integral over $\mathbb{C}_R = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \le R\}$, which will be denoted by $I_q^R(a, b)$. At fixed R, expand in $\bar{a}$ and b. The compactness of the integration region justifies the exchange of summations and integral. This gives

$$I_q^R(a, b) = \frac{q}{2\pi i} \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{a}^m b^n}{m!n!} \int e^{-q|z|^2} z^m \bar{z}^n \, d\bar{z} \wedge dz$$

$$= \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{a}^m b^n}{m!n!} q \int_0^R 2r dr \, e^{-qr^2} \, r^{m+n} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} e^{i\theta(m-n)} \tag{262}$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\bar{a}b)^m}{m!^2} q \int_0^{R^2} s^m \, e^{-qs} \, ds$$

The last integral is bounded in absolute value by $(\text{Re } q)^{-m-1}m!$, so the series converges absolutely and $R \to \infty$ can be taken under the sum. Finally,

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} q \int_0^{R^2} s^m \, e^{-qs} \, ds = q^{-m}m! \tag{263}$$

and the sum over m then gives the exponential. To see (263), first transform to $t = qs$ (calling $R^2 = L$):

$$q \int_0^L s^m \, e^{-qs} \, ds = q^{-m} \int_{\gamma_1} e^{-t} t^m dt \tag{264}$$

where γ_1 is the straight line from 0 to $qL \in \mathbb{C}$. The integrand is an entire function of s, so the contour can be deformed to $\gamma_2 + \gamma_3$, where γ_2 is the straight line from 0 to $L\mathrm{Re}\, q$ and γ_3 the straight line parallel to the imaginary axis from $L\mathrm{Re}\, q$ to Lq. The latter can be parametrized by $t = Lu + \mathrm{i}Lvr$, $u = \mathrm{Re}\, q$, $v = \mathrm{Im}\, q$ and $r \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$\left| \int_{\gamma_3} e^{-t} t^m dt \right| \leq L^{m+1} \, e^{-uL} \, |v| \, (u^2 + v^2)^{m/2} \tag{265}$$

which vanishes as $L \to \infty$.   □

Lemma 24. *Let* $Q \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$ *with* $Q + Q^\dagger > 0$, *and* $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^N$. *Then*

$$\det Q \int_{\mathbb{C}^N} \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{d\bar{z}_n \wedge dz_n}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \, e^{\langle z|Qz \rangle + \langle a|z \rangle + \langle z|b \rangle} = e^{\langle a|Q^{-1}b \rangle}. \tag{266}$$

Here $\langle a|b \rangle = \bar{a}^\top b$ *denotes the standard inner product on* $\mathbb{C}^N$.

Proof. The proof will by reduction to Lemma 23, using a diagonalization argument. Let $Q_0 = \frac{1}{2}(Q + Q^\dagger)$ and $H = \frac{1}{2\mathrm{i}}(Q - Q^\dagger)$ then $Q = Q_0 + \mathrm{i}H$, $H = H^\dagger$, $Q_0 = Q_0^\dagger > 0$. Thus Q satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 12, and the same decomposition as in the proof of that lemma will be used here: let $B = Q_0^{\frac{1}{2}}$ be the positive square root of Q_0 and $A = B^{-\frac{1}{2}} H B^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Then $A = A^\dagger$, so $1 + \mathrm{i}A$ is normal, hence diagonalized by a unitary transformation: $1 + \mathrm{i}A = U^\dagger D U$ with diagonal D and $U^\dagger = U^{-1}$. $Q = B(1 + \mathrm{i}A)B$, so transforming to $w = UBz$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^N} \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{d\bar{z}_n \wedge dz_n}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \, e^{\langle z|Qz \rangle + \langle a|z \rangle + \langle z|b \rangle} \\ &= (\det B)^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{C}^N} \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{d\bar{w}_n \wedge dw_n}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \, e^{\langle w|Dw \rangle + \langle UB^{-1}a|w \rangle + \langle w|UB^{-1}b \rangle} \end{aligned} \tag{267}$$

The Jacobian from the transformation with U is one because the determinants U and $U^\dagger$ appear and multiply to 1. The w-integral factorizes because D is diagonal. The real part of every eigenvalue of D equals 1, so Lemma 23 applies. The product of eigenvalues is $\det D^{-1}$, which combines with $\det B^{-2}$ to $\det Q^{-1}$. The product of exponentials gives a quadratic form in the exponent as

$$\langle UB^{-1}a|D^{-1}UB^{-1}b \rangle = \langle a|B^{-1}U^\dagger D^{-1}UB^{-1}b \rangle = \langle a|Q^{-1}b \rangle \tag{268}$$

so (266) follows.   □

Permanent formulas, such as (70) and (95), follow from Lemma 24 by differentiation. Denote

$$\langle f \rangle_Q = \det Q \int_{\mathbb{C}^N} \prod_{n=1}^{N} \frac{d\bar{z}_n \wedge dz_n}{2\pi i} \, e^{\langle z | Qz \rangle} \, f(z). \tag{269}$$

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^N$. For $m_1, \ldots, m_K$ and $n_1, \ldots, n_K$ in $\{1, \ldots, N\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \prod_{k=1}^{K} \bar{z}_{m_k} z_{n_k} \rangle_Q &= \left[\prod_{k=1}^{K} \frac{\partial}{\partial b_{m_k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{n_k}} \, \langle e^{\langle a | z \rangle + \langle z | b \rangle} \rangle_Q \right]_{a=b=0} \\
&= \left[\prod_{k=1}^{K} \frac{\partial}{\partial b_{m_k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{n_k}} \, e^{\langle a | Q^{-1} b \rangle} \right]_{a=b=0} \\
&= \left[\frac{1}{K!} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \frac{\partial}{\partial b_{m_k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{n_k}} \, \langle a | Q^{-1} b \rangle^K \right]_{a=b=0} \\
&= \sum_{\pi \in \mathscr{S}_K} \prod_{k=1}^{K} Q^{-1}_{n_k, m_{\pi(k)}} .
\end{aligned} \tag{270}$$

As in Section 2.3 of [39], the generating function identity can be used to rewrite the Gaussian integral of polynomials in terms of the field space Laplacian. The result is stated in the following Lemma. (The operator $\mathcal{Q}$ in that lemma may be h-dependent.)

Lemma 25. *Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a linear operator on $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{X}}$ and $\mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q}^\dagger > 0$. Then*

$$\det \mathcal{Q} \int \mathcal{D}a \, e^{-(\bar{a} | \mathcal{Q} a)_{\mathbb{X}}} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \bar{a}_{J_k, x_k} a_{J'_k, x'_k} = \left[e^{\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \bar{a}_{J_k, x_k} a_{J'_k, x'_k} \right]_{a=0} \tag{271}$$

where $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{Q}^{-1}$ and

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{C}} = \sum_{J, J', x, x'} \mathcal{C}_{(J_k, x_k), (J'_k, x'_k)} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{J, x}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{a}_{J', x'}} \tag{272}$$

With the notation $\frac{\delta}{\delta a_{J,x}} = \epsilon^{-1} \eta^{-d} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{J,x}}$, the Laplacian can be rewritten as

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{C}} = (\tfrac{\delta}{\delta a} | \mathcal{C} \tfrac{\delta}{\delta \bar{a}})_{\mathbb{X}} = (\mathcal{C} \tfrac{\delta}{\delta \bar{a}} | \tfrac{\delta}{\delta a})_{\mathbb{X}} \tag{273}$$

Techniques using these Laplacians will be useful in doing convergent expansions, in analogy to [40].

By (270),

$$\det \mathcal{Q} \int \mathcal{D}a \, e^{-(\bar{a} | \mathcal{Q} a)_{\mathbb{X}}} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \bar{a}_{J_k, x_k} a_{J'_k, x'_k} = \sum_{\pi \in \mathscr{S}_K} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \mathcal{C}_{(J'_k, x'_k), (J_{\pi(k)}, x_{\pi(k)})}. \tag{274}$$

References

1. Adams, S., Bru, J.-B., König, W.: Large deviations for trapped interacting Browhian particles and paths. Ann. Probab. **34**, 1370 (2006)
2. Adams, S., Bru, J.-B., König, W.: A variational formula for the free energy of an interacting many-particle system. Ann. Probab. **39**, 683 (2011)
3. Adams, S., König, W.: Large symmetrised systems of Brownian bridges with symmetrised initial-terminal condition. arXiv:math.PR/0603702
4. Adams, S., Vogel, Q.: Space-time random walk loop measures. arXiv:1711.02766
5. Aizenman, M.: Geometric Analysis of φ^4 fields and Ising models. Commun. Math. Phys. **86**, 1 (1982)
6. Bałaban, T., Feldman, J., Knörrer, H., Trubowitz, E.: A functional integral representation for many Boson systems I. The partition function. Ann. Henri Poincaré **9**, 1229–1273 (2008)
7. Bałaban, T., Feldman, J., Knörrer, H., Trubowitz, E.: A functional integral representation for many Boson systems II. Correlation functions. Ann. Henri Poincaré **9**, 1275–1307 (2008)
8. Bałaban, T., Feldman, J., Knörrer, H., Trubowitz, E.: The temporal ultraviolet limit for complex Bosonic many-body models. Ann. Henri Poincaré **11**, 151–350 (2010)
9. Bałaban, T., Feldman, J., Knörrer, H., Trubowitz, E.: Complex Bosonic many-body models: overview of the small field parabolic flow. Ann. Henri Poincaré **18**, 2873 (2017)
10. Benfatto, G.: Renormalization group approach to zero temperature Bose condensation. In: Rivasseau, V. (ed.) Constructive Physics: Results in Field Theory, Statistical Mechanics and Condensed Matter Physics, pp. 219–247. Springer, Berlin (2005)
11. Benfatto, G., Gallavotti, G., Procacci, A., Scoppola, B.: Beta function and Schwinger functions for many fermion systems in one dimension. Anomaly of the Fermi surface. Commun. Math. Phys. **160**, 93 (1994)
12. Bogoliubov, N.N.: On the theory of superfluidity. J. Phys. (USSR) **II**, 2 (1947)
13. Bratteli, O., Robinson, D.W.: Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics I and II. Springer, Berlin (2002)
14. Disertori, M., Rivasseau, V.: Interacting Fermi liquid in two dimensions at finite temperature, part I: convergent attributions. Commun. Math. Phys. **215**, 251 (2000)
15. Disertori, M., Rivasseau, V.: Interacting Fermi liquid in two dimensions at finite temperature. Part II: renormalization. Commun. Math. Phys. **215**, 291 (2000)
16. Joel Feldman's web page about Bose systems. http://www.math.ubc.ca/~feldman/bec
17. Feldman, J., Knörrer, H., Trubowitz, E.: A two dimensional Fermi liquid, part I: overview. Commun. Math. Phys. **247** (2004) 1 and further papers listed on http://www.math.ubc.ca/~feldman/fl.html
18. Feldman, J., Knörrer, H., Trubowitz, E.: Single scale analysis of many Fermion systems part 2: the first scale. Rev. Math. Phys. **15**, 995 (2003)
19. Feldman, J., Magnen, J., Rivasseau, V., Sénéor, R.: Construction and Borel summability of infrared ϕ_4^4 by a phase space expansion. Commun. Math. Phys. **109**, 437 (1987)
20. Feldman, J., Salmhofer, M., Trubowitz, E.: An inversion theorem in Fermi surface theory. Commun. Pure. Appl. Math. **53**, 1350 (2000)
21. Fernàndez, R., Fröhlich, J., Sokal, A.D.: Random Walks, Critical Phenomena, and Triviality in Quantum Field Theory. Springer, Heidelberg (1992)
22. Feynman, R.P.: Atomic theory of the λ transition in helium. Phys. Rev. **91**, 1291 (1953)
23. Feynman, R.P.: Atomic Theory of Liquid Helium Near Absolute Zero. Phys. Rev. **91**, 1301 (1953)
24. Fröhlich, J.: Triviality of ϕ_d^4 theories and the approach to the critical point in $d \geq 4$ dimensions. Nucl. Phys. B **200**, 281 (1982)
25. Fröhlich, J., Knowles, A., Schlein, B., Sohinger, V.: The Mean-Field Limit of Quantum Bose Gases at Positive Temperature. arXiv:2001.01546
26. Fröhlich, J., Knowles, A., Schlein, B., Sohinger, V.: A Path-Integral Analysis of Interacting Bose Gases and Loop Gases. arXiv:2001.11714
27. Gawędzki, K., Kupiainen, A.: Massless lattice ϕ_4^4 theory: rigorous control of a renormalizable asymptotically free model. Commun. Math. Phys. **99**, 197 (1985)
28. Gilmore, R.: Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff formulas. J. Math. Phys. **15**, 2090 (1974)
29. Ginibre, J.: Some applications of functional integration in statistical mechanics. In: Proceedings of the 20th Les Houches Summer School of Theoretical Physics: Statistical Mechanics and Quantum Field Theory, pp. 327–429. North-Holland (1971)
30. Giuliani, A., Mastropietro, V.: The 2D Hubbard model on the honeycomb lattice. Commun. Math. Phys. **293**, 310 (2010)
31. Giuliani, A., Mastropietro, V., Porta, M.: Universality of the Hall conductivity in interacting electron systems. Commun. Math. Phys. **349**, 1107 (2017)
32. Gurău, R., Rivasseau, V.: The multiscale loop vertex expansion. Ann. Henri Poincaré **16**, 1869 (2015)
33. Lieb, E.H., Seiringer, R.: Proof of Bose–Einstein condensation in dilute trapped gases. Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 170409 (2002)

34. Lieb, E.H., Seiringer, R., Solovej, J.P., Yngvason, J.: The Mathematics of the Bose Gas and Its Condensation. Birkhäuser, Basel (2005)
35. Lieb, E.H., Seiringer, R., Yngvason, J.: Bosons in a trap: a rigorous derivation of the Gross–Pitaevskii energy functional. Phys. Rev. A **61**, 685 (2000)
36. Magnen, J., Rivasseau, V.: Constructive field theory without tears. Ann. Henri Poincaré **9**, 403 (2008)
37. Pedra, W., Salmhofer, M.: Determinant bounds and the Matsubara UV problem of many-Fermion systems. Commun. Math. Phys. **282**, 797–818 (2008)
38. Salmhofer, M.: Continuous renormalization for Fermions and Fermi liquid theory. Commun. Math. Phys. **194**, 249 (1998)
39. Salmhofer, M.: Renormalization. Springer, Berlin (1998)
40. Salmhofer, M., Wieczerkowski, C.: Positivity and convergence in Fermionic quantum field theory. J. Stat. Phys. **99**, 557–586 (2000)
41. Salmhofer, M.: Clustering of Fermionic truncated expectation values via functional integration. J. Stat. Phys. **134**, 941–952 (2009)
42. Salmhofer, M. (in preparation)
43. Stein, E., Weiss, G.: Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidian Spaces. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1971)
44. Van-Brunt, A., Visser, M.: Explicit Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff formulae for some specific Lie algebras. arXiv:1505.04505
45. Vogels, J.M., Xu, K., Raman, C., Abo-Shaeer, J.R., Ketterle, W.: Experimental observation of the Bogoliubov transformation for a Bose–Einstein condensed gas. Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 060402 (2002)
46. Wilcox, R.M.: Exponential operators and parameter differentiation in quantum physics. J. Math. Phys. **8**, 962 (1967)

Communicated by L. Erdos