



Classification of Quantum Groups and Belavin–Drinfeld Cohomologies

Boris Kadets¹, Eugene Karolinsky¹, Iulia Pop², Alexander Stolin²

¹ Department of Mechanics and Mathematics, Kharkov National University, Kharkov, Ukraine

² Department of Mathematics, Gothenburg University, Gothenburg, Sweden.

E-mail: alexander.stolin@gu.se

Received: 5 August 2014 / Accepted: 11 February 2016

Published online: 21 April 2016 – © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2016

Abstract: In the present article we discuss the classification of quantum groups whose quasi-classical limit is a given simple complex Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . This problem is reduced to the classification of all Lie bialgebra structures on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$, where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}((\hbar))$. The associated classical double is of the form $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A$, where A is one of the following: $\mathbb{K}[\varepsilon]$, where $\varepsilon^2 = 0$, $\mathbb{K} \oplus \mathbb{K}$ or $\mathbb{K}[j]$, where $j^2 = \hbar$. The first case is related to quasi-Frobenius Lie algebras. In the second and third cases we introduce a theory of Belavin–Drinfeld cohomology associated to any non-skewsymmetric r -matrix on the Belavin–Drinfeld list (Belavin and Drinfeld in Soviet Sci Rev Sect C: Math Phys Rev 4:93–165, 1984). We prove a one-to-one correspondence between gauge equivalence classes of Lie bialgebra structures on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ and cohomology classes (in case II) and twisted cohomology classes (in case III) associated to any non-skewsymmetric r -matrix.

1. Introduction

Let k be a field of characteristic 0. According to [4], a quantized universal enveloping algebra (or a quantum group) is a topologically free topological Hopf algebra H over the formal power series ring $k[[\hbar]]$ such that $H/\hbar H$ is isomorphic to the universal enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} over k .

The quasi-classical limit of a quantum group is a Lie bialgebra. By definition, a Lie bialgebra is a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} together with a cobracket δ which is compatible with the Lie bracket. Given a quantum group H , with comultiplication Δ , the quasi-classical limit of H is the Lie bialgebra \mathfrak{g} of primitive elements of $H/\hbar H$ and the cobracket is the restriction of the map $(\Delta - \Delta^{21})/\hbar \pmod{\hbar}$ to \mathfrak{g} .

The operation of taking the semiclassical limit is a functor $SC : QUE \rightarrow LBA$ between categories of quantum groups and Lie bialgebras over k . The quantization problem raised by Drinfeld aims at finding a quantization functor, i.e., a functor $Q : LBA \rightarrow QUE$ such that $SC \circ Q$ is isomorphic to the identity. Moreover, a quantization functor is required to be universal, in the sense of props.

The existence of universal quantization functors was proved by Etingof and Kazhdan [5, 6]. They used Drinfeld’s theory of associators to construct quantization functors for any field k of characteristic zero. Drinfeld introduced the notion of associators in relation to the theory of quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebras and showed that associators exist over any field k of characteristic zero. Etingof and Kazhdan proved that for any fixed associator over k one can construct a universal quantization functor. More precisely, let (\mathfrak{g}, δ) be a Lie bialgebra over k . Then it is possible to define a Lie bialgebra \mathfrak{g}_{\hbar} over $k[[\hbar]]$ as $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes_k k[[\hbar]], \hbar\delta)$. According to Theorem 2.1 of [6] there exists an equivalence \widehat{Q} between the category $LBA_0(k[[\hbar]])$ of topologically free Lie bialgebras over $k[[\hbar]]$ with $\delta = 0 \pmod{\hbar}$ and the category $HA_0(k[[\hbar]])$ of topologically free Hopf algebras cocommutative modulo \hbar . Moreover, for any (\mathfrak{g}, δ) over k , we have $\widehat{Q}(\mathfrak{g}_{\hbar}) = U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$.

The aim of the present article is the classification of quantum groups whose quasi-classical limit is a given simple complex Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Due to the equivalence between $HA_0(\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]])$ and $LBA_0(\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]])$, this problem is equivalent to the classification of Lie bialgebra structures on $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$. For simplicity, denote $\mathbb{O} := \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$, $\mathbb{K} := \mathbb{C}(\hbar)$, $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{O}) := \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{O}$ and $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) := \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{K}$.

On the other hand, in order to classify cobrackets on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{O})$ it is sufficient to classify cobrackets on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$. Indeed, if δ_0 is a Lie bialgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{O})$, then it can be naturally extended to $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$. Conversely, given a Lie bialgebra structure δ on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$, we can restrict $\hbar^n \delta$ to $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{O})$ for a sufficiently large n since \mathfrak{g} is finite dimensional.

From now on let G be a connected split algebraic group with a reductive Lie algebra whose semisimple part is \mathfrak{g} . We will consider the adjoint action Ad of G on \mathfrak{g} . We consider the equivalence classes of Lie bialgebra structures on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ with respect to the following equivalence: two bialgebra structures δ_1, δ_2 are equivalent if there exists an element $a \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $X \in G(\mathbb{K})$ such that $\delta_1 = a(\text{Ad}_X \otimes \text{Ad}_X)\delta_2$; here $((\text{Ad}_X \otimes \text{Ad}_X)\delta)(l) = (\text{Ad}_X \otimes \text{Ad}_X)(\delta(\text{Ad}_X^{-1}l))$. We will also use the term “gauge equivalence” or “ G -equivalence” if there exists $X \in G(\mathbb{K})$ such that $\delta_1 = (\text{Ad}_X \otimes \text{Ad}_X)\delta_2$.

From the general theory of Lie bialgebras it is known that for each Lie bialgebra structure δ on a fixed Lie algebra L one can construct the corresponding classical double $D(L, \delta)$, which is the vector space $L \oplus L^*$ together with a bracket which is induced by the bracket and the cobracket of L , and a non-degenerate invariant bilinear form, see [3]. We consider $L = \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ and prove Proposition 1, which states that there exists an associative, unital, commutative algebra A , of dimension 2 over \mathbb{K} , such that $D(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}), \delta) \cong \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A$. In Proposition 2 we show that there are three possibilities for A : $A = \mathbb{K}[\varepsilon]$, where $\varepsilon^2 = 0$, $A = \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathbb{K}$ or $A = \mathbb{K}[j]$, where $j^2 = \hbar$.

Due to the correspondence between Lie bialgebras and Manin triples, to any Lie bialgebra structure δ on L one can associate a certain Lagrangian subalgebra W of $D(L, \delta)$ which is complementary to L . Conversely, any such W produces a Lie cobracket on L . The main problem is to obtain a classification of all such subalgebras W for the three choices of A as above. We investigate separately each choice of A .

For $A = \mathbb{K}[\varepsilon]$, where $\varepsilon^2 = 0$, it turns out that the classification problem is related to that of quasi-Frobenius Lie subalgebras over \mathbb{K} .

In the case of $A = \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathbb{K}$, we introduce Belavin–Drinfeld cohomologies. Namely, for any non-skewsymmetric constant r -matrix r_{BD} on the Belavin–Drinfeld list [1], we define a cohomology set $H_{BD}^1(r_{BD})$. This cohomology set will depend on a gauge group G acting “naturally” on \mathfrak{g} . We will see that the choice of G is important. Therefore, we will use the notation $H_{BD}^1(G, r_{BD})$. One should notice that in all the cases with exception for $GL(n)$, the Lie algebra of G will be \mathfrak{g} .

We prove that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between any Belavin–Drinfeld cohomologies and gauge equivalence classes of Lie bialgebra structures on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$. Then we restrict our discussion to $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n)$ and show that all cohomologies $H_{BD}^1(GL(n), r_{BD})$ are trivial.

We also discuss the case of the orthogonal algebras $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}(n)$, where it turns out that the cohomologies associated to the Drinfeld–Jimbo r -matrix are also trivial. We also give an example where the cohomology corresponding to a certain non-skewsymmetric constant r -matrix for $\mathfrak{o}(2n)$ is non-trivial.

We finally proceed with the classification of Lie bialgebras whose classical double is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}[j])$ with $j^2 = \hbar$. We restrict ourselves to $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n)$ and show that in this case a cohomology theory can be introduced too. Our result states that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between Belavin–Drinfeld twisted cohomologies and gauge equivalence classes of Lie bialgebra structures on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$. We prove that the twisted cohomology corresponding to the Drinfeld–Jimbo r -matrix and a certain class of r -matrices (called generalized Cremmer–Gervais) is trivial.

In the last section of the article we compute Belavin–Drinfeld cohomology in certain cases for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n)$ and $G = SL(n)$. In particular, we show that $H_{BD}^1(SL(n), r_{BD})$ is non-trivial for certain r_{BD} . Finally, we formulate a conjecture stating that the Belavin–Drinfeld cohomology associated to the Drinfeld–Jimbo r -matrix is trivial for any simple complex Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . We also define the quantum Belavin–Drinfeld cohomology and formulate a second conjecture about the existence of a natural correspondence between classical and quantum cohomologies.

2. Lie Bialgebra Structures on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$

Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple complex finite-dimensional Lie algebra. Consider the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{O}) = \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{O}$ and $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) = \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{K}$.

We have seen that the classification of quantum groups with quasi-classical limit \mathfrak{g} is equivalent to the classification of all Lie bialgebra structures on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{O})$. Moreover, as explained in the introduction, in order to classify Lie bialgebra structures on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{O})$, it is enough to classify them on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$.

Let us assume that δ is a Lie bialgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$. This cobracket endows the dual of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ with a Lie bracket. Then one can construct the corresponding classical double $D(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}), \delta)$. As a vector space, $D(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}), \delta) = \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) \oplus \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})^*$. As a Lie algebra, it is endowed with a bracket which is induced by the bracket and cobracket of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$. Moreover, the canonical symmetric non-degenerate bilinear form on this space is invariant.

Similarly to Lemma 2.1 in [8], one can prove that $D(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}), \delta)$ is a direct sum of regular adjoint \mathfrak{g} -modules. Combining this result with Proposition 2.2 in [2], we obtain

Proposition 1. *There exists an associative, unital, commutative algebra A of dimension 2 over \mathbb{K} , such that $D(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}), \delta) \cong \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A$.*

Remark 1. The symmetric invariant non-degenerate bilinear form Q on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A$ is given in the following way. For arbitrary elements $f_1, f_2 \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ and $a, b \in A$ we have $Q(f_1 \otimes a, f_2 \otimes b) = K(f_1, f_2) \cdot t(ab)$, where K denotes the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ and $t : A \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is a trace function.

Let us investigate the algebra A . Since A is unital and of dimension 2 over \mathbb{K} , one can choose a basis $\{e, 1\}$, where 1 denotes the unit. Moreover, there exist p and q in \mathbb{K} such that $e^2 + pe + q = 0$. Let $\Delta = p^2 - 4q \in \mathbb{K}$. We distinguish the following cases:

- (i) Assume $\Delta = 0$. Let $\varepsilon := e + \frac{p}{2}$. Then $\varepsilon^2 = 0$ and $A = \mathbb{K}\varepsilon \oplus \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}[\varepsilon]$.
- (ii) Assume $\Delta \neq 0$ and has even order as an element of \mathbb{K} . This implies that $\Delta = \hbar^{2m}(a_0 + a_1\hbar + a_2\hbar^2 + \dots)$, where m is an integer, a_i are complex coefficients and $a_0 \neq 0$.
One can easily check that the equation $x^2 = a_0 + a_1\hbar + a_2\hbar^2 + \dots$ has two solutions $\pm x = x_0 + x_1\hbar + x_2\hbar^2 + \dots$ in \mathbb{O} .
Then $e = -\frac{p}{2} \pm \frac{\hbar^m x}{2}$, which implies that $e \in \mathbb{K}$ and $A = \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathbb{K}$.
- (iii) Assume $\Delta \neq 0$ and has odd order as an element of \mathbb{K} . We have $\Delta = \hbar^{2m+1}(a_0 + a_1\hbar + a_2\hbar^2 + \dots)$, where m is an integer, a_i are complex coefficients and $a_0 \neq 0$. Again the equation $x^2 = a_0 + a_1\hbar + a_2\hbar^2 + \dots$ has two solutions $\pm x = x_0 + x_1\hbar + x_2\hbar^2 + \dots$ in \mathbb{O} . Since $a_0 \neq 0$, we have $x_0 \neq 0$ and thus x is invertible in \mathbb{O} . Let $j = \hbar^{-m}(2e + p)x^{-1}$. Then $e^2 + pe + q = 0$ is equivalent to $j^2 = \hbar$. On the other hand, $A = \mathbb{K}e \oplus \mathbb{K}$ and $2e = \hbar^m x j - p$ imply that $A = \mathbb{K}j \oplus \mathbb{K}$. Therefore, we obtain that $A = \mathbb{K}[j]$ where $j^2 = \hbar$.

We can summarize the above facts:

Proposition 2. *Let δ be an arbitrary Lie bialgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$. Then $D(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}), \delta)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A$, where $A = \mathbb{K}[\varepsilon]$ and $\varepsilon^2 = 0$, $A = \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathbb{K}$ or $A = \mathbb{K}[j]$ and $j^2 = \hbar$.*

On the other hand, it is well-known, see for instance [4], that there is a one-to-one correspondence between Lie bialgebra structures on a Lie algebra L and Manin triples $(D(L), L, W)$, where $D(L) = L \oplus W$ is equipped with a bilinear symmetric invariant non-degenerate form Q such that both L and W are Lagrangian subalgebras of $D(L)$ with respect to Q . For $L = \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$, this fact implies the following

Proposition 3. *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between Lie bialgebra structures on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ for which the classical double is $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A$ and Lagrangian subalgebras W of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A$ transversal to $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$.*

- Corollary 1.** (i) *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between Lie bialgebra structures on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ for which the classical double is $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}[\varepsilon])$, $\varepsilon^2 = 0$, and Lagrangian subalgebras W of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}[\varepsilon])$ that are transversal to $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$.*
- (ii) *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between Lie bialgebra structures on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ for which the classical double is $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) \oplus \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ and Lagrangian subalgebras W of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) \oplus \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ that are transversal to $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$, embedded diagonally into $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) \oplus \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$.*
- (iii) *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between Lie bialgebra structures on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ for which the classical double is $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}[j])$, where $j^2 = \hbar$, and Lagrangian subalgebras W of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}[j])$ that are transversal to $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$.*

3. Lie Bialgebra Structures in Case I

Here we study the Lie bialgebra structures δ on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ for which the corresponding Drinfeld double is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}[\varepsilon])$, $\varepsilon^2 = 0$. Our problem is to find all subalgebras W of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}[\varepsilon])$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $W \oplus \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) = \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}[\varepsilon])$.

- (ii) $W = W^\perp$ with respect to the non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form Q on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}[\varepsilon])$ given by

$$Q(f_1 + \varepsilon f_2, g_1 + \varepsilon g_2) = K(f_1, g_2) + K(f_2, g_1).$$

Proposition 4. *Any subalgebra W of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}[\varepsilon])$ satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) from above is uniquely defined by a subalgebra L of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ together with a non-degenerate 2-cocycle B on L .*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.3 in [10].

Remark 2. We recall that a Lie algebra is called quasi-Frobenius if there exists a non-degenerate 2-cocycle on it. It is called Frobenius if the corresponding 2-cocycle is a coboundary. Thus we see that the classification problem for the Lagrangian subalgebras we are interested in includes the classification of Frobenius subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$. This question is quite complicated, as it is known from studying Frobenius subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} . However, for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2)$ there is only one Frobenius subalgebra up to conjugation, the standard parabolic one.

4. Lie Bialgebra Structures in Case II and Belavin–Drinfeld Cohomologies

Our task now is to classify Lie bialgebra structures on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ for which the associated classical double is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) \oplus \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$.

Lemma 1. *Any Lie bialgebra structure δ on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ for which the associated classical double is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) \oplus \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ is a coboundary $\delta = dr$ given by an r -matrix satisfying $r + r^{21} = f\Omega$, where $f \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\text{CYB}(r) = 0$.*

Without loss of generality we may suppose that $f = 1$. The corresponding r -matrices in the case of an algebraically closed field have been classified up to the $\text{Ad}(G)$ -equivalence in [1]; the classification is given in terms of admissible triples. (Recall that G stands for a connected split algebraic group with a reductive Lie algebra whose semisimple part is \mathfrak{g} .)

Let us fix a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} and the associated root system. Fix a set of simple roots Γ . We choose a system of generators $e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}, h_\alpha$ such that $K(e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}) = 1$, for any positive root α . Denote by Ω_0 the Cartan part of Ω . Suppose also that $H \subset G$ is a maximal torus with Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} .

Let us recall from [1, 4] that any non-skewsymmetric r -matrix depends on certain discrete and continuous parameters. The discrete one is an admissible triple $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \tau)$, i.e., an isometry $\tau : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ where $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \subset \Gamma$ are such that for any $\alpha \in \Gamma_1$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\tau^k(\alpha) \notin \Gamma_1$. The continuous parameter is a tensor $r_0 \in \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ satisfying $r_0 + r_0^{21} = \Omega_0$ and $(\tau(\alpha) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \alpha)(r_0) = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \Gamma_1$. Then the associated r -matrix is given by the formula

$$r_{BD} = r_0 + \sum_{\alpha > 0} e_\alpha \otimes e_{-\alpha} - \sum_{\alpha \in (\text{Span} \Gamma_1)^+} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} e_{-\alpha} \wedge e_{\tau^k(\alpha)}.$$

Now, let us consider an r -matrix corresponding to a Lie bialgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$. Up to $\text{Ad}(G(\overline{\mathbb{K}}))$ -equivalence, we have the Belavin–Drinfeld classification. We may assume that our r -matrix is of the form $r_X = (\text{Ad}_X \otimes \text{Ad}_X)(r_{BD})$, where $X \in G(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$

and r_{BD} satisfies the equations $r + r^{21} = \Omega$ and $\text{CYB}(r) = 0$. The corresponding bialgebra structure is $\delta(a) = [r_X, a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a]$ for any $a \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$.

Let us take an arbitrary $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K})$. Then we have $(\sigma \otimes \sigma)(\delta(a)) = [\sigma(r_X), a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a]$ and $(\sigma \otimes \sigma)(\delta(a)) = \delta(a)$, which implies that $\sigma(r_X) = r_X + \lambda \Omega$, for some $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}$. Let us show that $\lambda = 0$. Indeed, $\Omega = \sigma(\Omega) = \sigma(r_X) + \sigma(r_X^{21}) = r_X + r_X^{21} + 2\lambda \Omega$. Thus $\lambda = 0$ and $\sigma(r_X) = r_X$. Consequently, we get $(\text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma(X)} \otimes \text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma(X)})(\sigma(r_{BD})) = r_{BD}$.

Definition 1. Let r be an r -matrix. The *centralizer* $C(G, r)$ of r is the set of all $X \in G(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$ satisfying $(\text{Ad}_X \otimes \text{Ad}_X)(r) = r$.

Theorem 1. For any simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and for any Belavin-Drinfeld matrix r_{BD} we have

$$C(G, r_{BD}) \subset H,$$

where H is a maximal torus of G .

Proof. (1) Let us consider the map $\Phi : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* = \text{End}(\mathfrak{g})$ induced by the natural pairing between \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{g}^* given by the Killing form, i.e.

$$\Phi(a \otimes b)(u) = K(a, u)b.$$

Let $X \in C(G, r_{BD})$. We have

$$(\text{Ad}_X a \otimes \text{Ad}_X b)(u) = K(\text{Ad}_X a, u)\text{Ad}_X b = \text{Ad}_X(K(a, \text{Ad}_X u)b).$$

Thus, $X \in C(G, r_{BD})$ iff $\text{Ad}_X \Phi(r) = \Phi(r)\text{Ad}_X$.

(2) The fact that Ad_X commutes with $\Phi(r)$ implies that it commutes with semisimple and nilpotent parts of $\Phi(r)$. Our next aim is to compute them. The operator $\Phi(e_\alpha \otimes e_\beta)$ maps $e_{-\alpha}$ to e_β and the rest of the Chevalley basis to zero. Hence, when $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$ the operator $\Phi(e_\alpha \otimes e_\beta)$ is nilpotent. Thus the operator $A = \Phi(\sum e_{\tau^k(\alpha)} \wedge e_{-\alpha})$ is nilpotent.

For any positive root α , we have $\Phi(r_{DJ})e_\alpha = 0$, $\Phi(r_{DJ})e_{-\alpha} = e_{-\alpha}$ and $\Phi(r_{DJ})h_{\pm\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}h_{\pm\alpha}$. So when α and β have opposite signs, $\Phi(r_{DJ})$ commutes with $\Phi(e_\alpha \otimes e_\beta)$. Therefore, $\Phi(r_{DJ})$ commutes with A . Clearly, $A(\mathfrak{h}) = 0$. Hence, both A and $\Phi(r_{DJ})$ commute with $\Phi(s)$, where $s = r - r_{DJ} - \sum e_{\tau^k(\alpha)} \wedge e_{-\alpha} \in \mathfrak{h}^{\otimes 2}$.

So we have the decomposition of $\Phi(r_{BD})$ into the sum of three commuting operators: $\Phi(r_{BD}) = \Phi(r_{DJ}) + \Phi(s) + A$. If $\Phi(s) = \Phi(s)_d + \Phi(s)_n$ is the Jordan decomposition of $\Phi(s)$ then $D = \Phi(r_{DJ}) + \Phi(s)_d$ is semisimple, $N = A + \Phi(s)_n$ is nilpotent, and D and N commute. Thus, we have obtained the Jordan decomposition $\Phi(r_{BD}) = D + N$. Note that we have $De_\alpha = 0$, $De_{-\alpha} = e_{-\alpha}$ and $Dh_\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$. It remains to show that the centralizer of D lies in H .

(3) The zero eigenspace V_0 of the operator D contains all positive root vectors and no negative root vectors. Ad_X commutes with D and hence must preserve V_0 . But it also must preserve its normalizer, which is the Borel subalgebra \mathfrak{b}^+ . Similarly, considering V_1 instead of V_0 , we obtain that Ad_X preserves \mathfrak{b}^- . Therefore, Ad_X preserves \mathfrak{h} . So, $X \in N_G(\mathfrak{h})$, the normalizer of the Cartan subalgebra. Consequently, Ad_X induces an element of the Weyl group W . It is well-known that W acts transitively and without fixed points on the set of the Borel subalgebras containing \mathfrak{h} . But Ad_X preserves \mathfrak{b}^+ . Therefore, Ad_X induces the unit of W and thus, $X \in H$.

For any root α we denote by e^α the corresponding character of the torus H .

Theorem 2. *If $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \tau)$ is an admissible triple corresponding to a Belavin–Drinfeld r -matrix r_{BD} then $X \in C(G, r_{BD})$ iff for any root $\alpha \in \Gamma_1 \setminus \Gamma_2$ and for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $e^\alpha(X) = e^{\tau^k(\alpha)}(X)$, i.e., $e^\alpha(X)$ is constant on the strings of τ .*

Proof. Vectors $e_\alpha \otimes e_{-\alpha}, h_\alpha \otimes h_\beta$ and $e_\gamma \wedge e_\delta$ for $\gamma + \delta \neq 0$ form a set of linearly independent eigenvectors of Ad_X . Hence, $X \in C(G, r_{BD})$ if and only if Ad_X preserves $e_{-\gamma} \wedge e_{\tau^k(\gamma)}$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$. But this is equivalent to $e^\alpha(X) = e^{\tau^k(\alpha)}(X)$ for any root $\alpha \in \Gamma_1 \setminus \Gamma_2$ and for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 3. *Let r_{BD} be an r -matrix on the Belavin–Drinfeld list for $\mathfrak{g}(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$. Suppose that*

$$(\text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma(X)} \otimes \text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma(X)})(\sigma(r_{BD})) = r_{BD}.$$

Then $\sigma(r_{BD}) = r_{BD}$ and $X^{-1}\sigma(X) \in C(G, r_{BD})$.

Proof. Consider $r = r_{BD}$ which corresponds to an admissible triple $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \tau)$ and $r_0 \in \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{h}$. Denote $Y := X^{-1}\sigma(X)$ and $s := r - r_0$. Then $(\text{Ad}_Y \otimes \text{Ad}_Y)(s + \sigma(r_0)) = s + r_0$.

Following [7] p. 43–47, let $\Phi(r) : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be defined as in Theorem 1. Let

$$\mathfrak{g}_r^\lambda = \bigcup_{n>0} \text{Ker}(\Phi(r) - \lambda)^n.$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_r^0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}'_r \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r^1, \quad \mathfrak{g}'_r = \bigoplus_{\lambda \neq 0,1} \mathfrak{g}_r^\lambda.$$

In our case, $\mathfrak{n}_- \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{s+r_0}^0 \subseteq \mathfrak{b}_-, \mathfrak{n}_+ \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{s+r_0}^1 \subseteq \mathfrak{b}_+, \mathfrak{g}'_{s+r_0} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}_{s+r_0}^0 + \mathfrak{g}'_{s+r_0} = \mathfrak{b}_-$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{s+r_0}^1 + \mathfrak{g}'_{s+r_0} = \mathfrak{b}_+$. Similarly for $s + \sigma(r_0)$.

On the other hand, it can be easily checked that

$$\Phi(\text{Ad}_Y \otimes \text{Ad}_Y)(r) = \text{Ad}_Y \circ \Phi(r) \circ \text{Ad}_Y^{-1}.$$

Hence, $\text{Ad}_Y(\mathfrak{g}_{s+\sigma(r_0)}^i) = \mathfrak{g}_{s+r_0}^i, i = 0, 1$ and $\text{Ad}_Y(\mathfrak{g}'_{s+\sigma(r_0)}) = \mathfrak{g}'_{s+r_0}$. Therefore, $\text{Ad}_Y(\mathfrak{b}_\pm) = \mathfrak{b}_\pm$ and $\text{Ad}_Y \in H(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$ since G is connected.

Let us analyse the equality $(\text{Ad}_Y \otimes \text{Ad}_Y)(s + \sigma(r_0)) = s + r_0$. It follows that $(\text{Ad}_Y \otimes \text{Ad}_Y)(s) + \sigma(r_0) = s + r_0$. Taking into account that $r_0, \sigma(r_0) \in \mathfrak{h}^{\otimes 2}$ and

$$(\text{Ad}_Y \otimes \text{Ad}_Y)(s) = \sum_{\alpha>0} e_\alpha \otimes e_{-\alpha} + \sum_{\beta \in (\mathbb{Z}\Gamma_1)^+} \sum_{n>0} k_{\beta,n} e_\beta \wedge e_{-\tau^n(\beta)},$$

for some integers $k_{\beta,n}$, we deduce that $\sigma(r_0) = r_0$. Thus, $\sigma(r) = r$ and $\text{Ad}_Y \in C(G, r)$.

Henceforth we will assume that r_{BD} is defined over \mathbb{K} , i.e., $r_0 \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) \otimes \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$.

In conclusion, $r_X = (\text{Ad}_X \otimes \text{Ad}_X)(r_{BD})$ induces a Lie bialgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ if and only if $X \in G(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$ satisfies the condition $X^{-1}\sigma(X) \in C(G, r_{BD})$, for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K})$.

Definition 2. Let r_{BD} be a non-skewsymmetric r -matrix on the Belavin–Drinfeld list and $C(G, r_{BD})$ its centralizer. We say that $X \in G(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$ is a *Belavin–Drinfeld cocycle* associated to r_{BD} if $X^{-1}\sigma(X) \in C(G, r_{BD})$ for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K})$.

We denote the set of Belavin–Drinfeld cocycles associated to r_{BD} by $Z(G, r_{BD})$. This set is non-empty, since it always contains the identity.

Definition 3. Two cocycles X_1 and X_2 in $Z(G, r_{BD})$ are called *equivalent* ($X_1 \sim X_2$) if there exists $Q \in G(\mathbb{K})$ and $C \in C(G, r_{BD})$ such that $X_1 = QX_2C$.

Definition 4. Let $H_{BD}^1(G, r_{BD})$ denote the set of equivalence classes of cocycles in $Z(G, r_{BD})$. We call this set the *Belavin–Drinfeld cohomology* associated to the r -matrix r_{BD} . The Belavin–Drinfeld cohomology is said to be *trivial* if all cocycles are equivalent to the identity, and *non-trivial* otherwise.

We make the following remarks:

Remark 3. Assume that $X \in Z(G, r_{BD})$. Then for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K})$, $\sigma(X) = XC$, for some $C \in C(G, r_{BD})$. We get $(\text{Ad}_{\sigma(X)} \otimes \text{Ad}_{\sigma(X)})(r_{BD}) = (\text{Ad}_X \otimes \text{Ad}_X)(r_{BD})$.

Consequently, $(\text{Ad}_X \otimes \text{Ad}_X)(r_{BD})$ induces a Lie bialgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$.

Remark 4. Assume that X_1 and X_2 in $Z(G, r_{BD})$ are equivalent. Then $X_1 = QX_2C$, for some $Q \in G(\mathbb{K})$ and $C \in C(G, r_{BD})$. This implies that $(\text{Ad}_{X_1} \otimes \text{Ad}_{X_1})(r_{BD}) = (\text{Ad}_{QX_2} \otimes \text{Ad}_{QX_2})(r_{BD})$. In other words the r -matrices $(\text{Ad}_{X_1} \otimes \text{Ad}_{X_1})(r_{BD})$ and $(\text{Ad}_{X_2} \otimes \text{Ad}_{X_2})(r_{BD})$ are gauge equivalent over \mathbb{K} via an element $Q \in G(\mathbb{K})$.

The above remarks imply the following result.

Proposition 5. Let r_{BD} be a non-skewsymmetric r -matrix over $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$. There exists a one-to-one correspondence between $H_{BD}^1(G, r_{BD})$ and gauge equivalence classes of Lie bialgebra structures on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ with classical double $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) \oplus \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ and $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ -isomorphic to dr_{BD} .

5. Belavin–Drinfeld Cohomologies for $sl(n)$

Our next goal is to compute $H_{BD}^1(GL(n), r_{BD})$. Let us first restrict ourselves to the case of $\mathfrak{g} = sl(n)$ and the cohomology associated to the Drinfeld–Jimbo r -matrix r_{DJ} . In this section we assume that $G = GL(n)$.

Lemma 2. Let $X \in GL(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$. Assume that for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K})$, $X^{-1}\sigma(X) \in \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$. Then there exist $Q \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ and $D \in \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ such that $X = QD$.

Proof. Let $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K})$ and $\sigma(X) = XD_\sigma$, where $D_\sigma = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$. Here the elements d_i depend on σ . Then $\sigma(x_{ij}) = x_{ij}d_j$, for any i, j .

On the other hand, in each column of X there exists a nonzero element. Let us denote these elements by x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n} . For $j = 1$, $\sigma(x_{i_1}) = x_{i_1}d_1$ and $\sigma(x_{i_1}) = x_{i_1}d_1$. These relations imply that $\sigma(x_{i_1}/x_{i_1}) = x_{i_1}/x_{i_1}$ for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K})$ and thus $x_{i_1}/x_{i_1} \in \mathbb{K}$, for any i .

Similarly, $x_{i_2}/x_{i_2} \in \mathbb{K}, \dots, x_{i_n}/x_{i_n} \in \mathbb{K}$, for any i . Let $Q = (k_{ij})$ be the matrix whose elements are $k_{ij} = x_{ij}/x_{ij}$, for any i and j .

Thus $X = QD$, where $Q \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ and $D = \text{diag}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n})$.

Proposition 6. For $\mathfrak{g} = sl(n)$, the Belavin–Drinfeld cohomology $H_{BD}^1(GL(n), r_{DJ})$ associated to r_{DJ} and to the group $GL(n)$ is trivial.

Proof. It easily follows from the proof of Theorem 1 that the centralizer of r_{DJ} is $C(GL(n), r_{DJ}) = \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$. Let us show that any cocycle is equivalent to the identity. Indeed, let $X = (x_{ij})$ be a cocycle in $Z(GL(n), r_{DJ})$, i.e., $X^{-1}\sigma(X) \in C(GL(n), r_{DJ})$, for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K})$.

It follows that $X^{-1}\sigma(X) \in \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$. According to Lemma 2, there exists $Q \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ and $D \in \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ such that $X = QD$. This proves that X is equivalent to the identity.

It turns out that the above result is true not only for r_{DJ} . Given an arbitrary r -matrix r_{BD} on the Belavin–Drinfeld list, the corresponding cohomology is also trivial. First we will take a closer look at the centralizer $C(GL(n), r_{BD})$ of an r -matrix r_{BD} . Due to Theorem 1, the following result holds.

Lemma 3. *Let r_{BD} be an arbitrary r -matrix on the Belavin–Drinfeld list. Then*

$$C(GL(n), r_{BD}) \subseteq \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}}).$$

For $sl(n)$ we are now able to give the exact description of $C(GL(n), r_{BD})$.

Lemma 4. *$C(GL(n), r_{BD})$ consists of all diagonal matrices $T = \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ such that $t_i = s_i s_{i+1} \dots s_n$, where $s_i \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}$ satisfy the condition: $s_i = s_j$ if $\alpha_i \in \Gamma_1$ and $\tau(\alpha_i) = \alpha_j$.*

Proof. Let us assume that r_{BD} is associated to an admissible triple $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \tau)$, where $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \subset \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\}$. Let $T \in C(GL(n), r_{BD})$. According to Lemma 3, $T \in \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$, therefore we put $T = \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_n)$. Now we note that $T \in C(GL(n), r_{BD})$ if and only if $(\text{Ad}_T \otimes \text{Ad}_T)(e_{\tau^k(\alpha)} \wedge e_{-\alpha}) = e_{\tau^k(\alpha)} \wedge e_{-\alpha}$ for any $\alpha \in \Gamma_1$ and any positive integer k .

For simplicity, let us take an arbitrary $\alpha_i \in \Gamma_1$ and suppose that $\tau(\alpha_i) = \alpha_j$. Then we get $t_i t_{i+1}^{-1} = t_j t_{j+1}^{-1}$. Denote $s_j := t_j t_{j+1}^{-1}$ for each $j \leq n-1$ and $s_n = t_n$. Then $t_j = s_j s_{j+1} \dots s_n$ and $s_i = s_j$.

Theorem 4. *For $\mathfrak{g} = sl(n)$, the Belavin–Drinfeld cohomology $H_{BD}^1(GL(n), r_{BD})$ associated to any r_{BD} is trivial. Any Lie bialgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$ is of the form $\delta(a) = [r, a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a]$, where r is an r -matrix which is $GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ -equivalent to a non-skewsymmetric r -matrix on the Belavin–Drinfeld list.*

Proof. Let X be a cocycle associated to r_{BD} which is a fixed r -matrix on the Belavin–Drinfeld list. Thus $X^{-1}\sigma(X)$ belongs to the centralizer of the r_{BD} . On the other hand, according to Lemma 3, $C(GL(n), r_{BD}) \subseteq \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$.

Then we obtain that for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K})$, $X^{-1}\sigma(X)$ is diagonal. By Lemma 2, we have a decomposition $X = QD$, where $Q \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ and $D \in \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$. Since $\sigma(Q) = Q$, we have $X^{-1}\sigma(X) = (QD)^{-1}\sigma(QD) = D^{-1}Q^{-1}Q\sigma(D) = D^{-1}\sigma(D)$. Recall that $X^{-1}\sigma(X) \in C(GL(n), r_{BD})$. It follows that $D^{-1}\sigma(D) \in C(GL(n), r_{BD})$.

Let $D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$. Then $\text{diag}(d_1^{-1}\sigma(d_1), \dots, d_n^{-1}\sigma(d_n)) \in C(GL(n), r_{BD})$. Denote $t_i = d_i^{-1}\sigma(d_i)$ and $T = \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_n)$. According to Lemma 4, $T \in C(GL(n), r_{BD})$ if and only if $t_i t_{i+1}^{-1} = t_j t_{j+1}^{-1}$. Equivalently, $\sigma(d_i^{-1}d_{i+1}d_j d_{j+1}^{-1}) = d_i^{-1}d_{i+1}d_j d_{j+1}^{-1}$. It follows that $d_i^{-1}d_{i+1}d_j d_{j+1}^{-1} \in \mathbb{K}$. Let $s_i := d_i d_{i+1}^{-1}$ for any i and $s_n = d_n$. Then we get $s_j s_i^{-1} \in \mathbb{K}$.

Let us fix a root $\alpha_{i_0} \in \Gamma_1 \setminus \Gamma_2$ and let $\tau^j(\alpha_{i_0}) = \alpha_j$. Then $s_j s_{i_0}^{-1} \in \mathbb{K}$, for any j . Denote $k_j := s_j s_{i_0}^{-1}$.

On the other hand, $d_j = s_j s_{j+1} \dots s_{n-1} s_n = k_j k_{j+1} \dots k_n s_{i_0}^{n-j+1}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} K &:= \text{diag}(k_1 k_2 \dots k_n, k_2 \dots k_n, \dots, k_n), \\ C &:= \text{diag}(s_{i_0}^n, s_{i_0}^{n-1}, \dots, s_{i_0}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $D = KC$ and $K \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$. Moreover, according to Lemma 4, $C \in C(GL(n), r_{BD})$.

Summing up, we have obtained that if X is any cocycle associated to r_{BD} , then $X = QD = QKC$, with $QK \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$, $C \in C(GL(n), r_{BD})$. This ends the proof.

6. Belavin-Drinfeld Cohomologies for Orthogonal Algebras

The next step in our investigation of Belavin–Drinfeld cohomologies is for orthogonal algebras $o(m)$. We begin with the case of the Drinfeld–Jimbo r -matrix. In what follows, we will use the following split form of the orthogonal algebra $o(n, \mathbb{C})$ and $o(n, \mathbb{K})$:

$$o(n) = \{A \in gl(n) : A^T S + SA = 0\},$$

where S is the matrix with 1 on the second diagonal and zero elsewhere. The group

$$SO(n) = \{X \in SL(n) : X^T S X = S\}$$

acts naturally on $o(n)$. It follows from Theorem 1 that $C(SO(n), r_{DJ})$ coincides with the maximal torus of $SO(n)$. Our main result about Belavin-Drinfeld cohomologies for orthogonal algebras is the following:

Theorem 5. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = o(m)$ and r_{DJ} be the Drinfeld–Jimbo r -matrix. Then $H_{BD}^1(SO(m), r_{DJ})$ is trivial.*

Proof. (i) Assume $m = 2n$ and fix the bilinear form

$$B(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i y_{m+1-i}$$

on $\overline{\mathbb{K}}^m$.

Let $X \in SO(m, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ be a cocycle associated to r_{DJ} . Thus $X^{-1}\sigma(X) \in C(SO(m), r_{DJ})$. Recall that $C(SO(m), r_{DJ}) = \text{diag}(m, \overline{\mathbb{K}}) \cap SO(m, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$. Therefore $X^{-1}\sigma(X) \in \text{diag}(m, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$. By Lemma 2, one has the decomposition $X = QD$, where $Q \in GL(m, \mathbb{K})$ and $D \in \text{diag}(m, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$. Let us write $D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_{2n})$ and denote by q_i the columns of Q . Then $X = QD$ is equivalent to $Q^T S Q = D^{-1} S D^{-1}$, which in turn implies that $B(q_i, q_{i'}) d_i d_{i'} = \delta_i^{2n+1-i'}$. We get $B(q_i, q_{i'}) = 0$ if $i + i' \neq 2n + 1$ and $B(q_i, q_{2n+1-i}) d_i d_{2n+1-i} = 1$. Let $k_i := B(q_i, q_{2n+1-i})$. Since $Q \in GL(2n, \mathbb{K})$, we have $k_i \in \mathbb{K}$. Because $k_i^{-1} = d_i d_{2n+1-i}$, it follows that $D = Q_1 D_1$, where

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= \text{diag}(k_1^{-1}, \dots, k_n^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1), \\ D_1 &= \text{diag}(d_1 k_1, \dots, d_n k_n, d_{n+1}, \dots, d_{2n}). \end{aligned}$$

We note that $X = (QQ_1)D_1$, $D_1 \in SO(2n)$ and hence, $D_1 \in C(SO(2n), r_{DJ})$. Then, clearly, we have $QQ_1 \in SO(2n, \mathbb{K})$, which proves that X is equivalent to the identity.

(ii) Now consider $m = 2n + 1$. By Lemma 2, (ii) we may write again $X = QD$, where $Q \in GL(m, \mathbb{K})$ and $D \in \text{diag}(m, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$.

Let $k_i := B(q_i, q_{2n+2-i}) \in \mathbb{K}$. Repeating the computations as in (i), we obtain $k_i^{-1} = d_i d_{2n+2-i}$. If $i = n + 1$, $d_{n+1}^2 = k_{n+1}^{-1} \in \mathbb{K}$. This implies that either $d_{n+1} \in \mathbb{K}$ or $d_{n+1} \in j\mathbb{K}$, where $j^2 = \hbar$.

Actually we can prove that the second case is impossible.

Let us denote $R = Q^{-1}$ and its rows by r_1, \dots, r_{2n+1} . Then the relation $X^T SX = S$ is equivalent to $RSR^T = DSD$, which in turn gives the following: $B(r_i, r_{i'}) = 0$, for all $i \neq i'$, $B(r_i, r_i) = d_i d_{2n+2-i}$ for all i .

Let us take an arbitrary orthogonal basis v_1, \dots, v_{2n+1} in \mathbb{K}^{2n+1} and denote $B(v_i, v_i) = A_i$.

The matrix V with rows v_i satisfies $VSV^T = \text{diag}(A_1, \dots, A_{2n+1})$. This relation implies that $A_1 \dots A_{2n+1} = (-1)^n \det(V)^2 = ((\sqrt{-1})^n \det(V))^2$. Therefore $A_1 \dots A_{2n+1} = l^2$ is a square of some $l \in \mathbb{K}$.

On the other hand, if M is the change of basis matrix from r_i to v_i , then

$$M^T \text{diag}(A_1, \dots, A_{2n+1})M = \text{diag}(d_1 d_{2n+1}, \dots, d_{n+1}^2, \dots, d_{2n+1} d_1).$$

By taking the determinant on both sides, we obtain

$$\det(M)^2 A_1 \dots A_{2n+1} = (d_1 d_{2n+1})^2 \dots (d_n d_{n+2})^2 d_{n+1}^2$$

which implies that d_{n+1}^2 is a square in \mathbb{K} , and consequently, $d_{n+1} \in \mathbb{K}$.

Let us show that X is equivalent to the trivial cocycle. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= \text{diag}(k_1^{-1}, \dots, k_n^{-1}, d_{n+1}, 1, \dots, 1), \\ D_1 &= \text{diag}(d_1 k_1, \dots, d_n k_n, 1, d_{n+2}, \dots, d_{2n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

We have $D = Q_1 D_1$ and $D_1 \in SO(2n + 1, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$. Thus $X = (QQ_1)D_1$, $QQ_1 \in SO(2n + 1, \mathbb{K})$, $D_1 \in C(SO(2n + 1), r_{DJ})$, i.e., X is equivalent to the trivial cocycle, which completes the proof of triviality of $H_{BD}^1(SO(m), r_{DJ})$.

Regarding Belavin–Drinfeld cohomology $H_{BD}^1(SO(2n), r_{BD})$ for an arbitrary r_{BD} , we can give an example where this set is non-trivial. Let us denote the simple roots of $\mathfrak{o}(2n)$ by $\alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}$, for $i < n$, $\alpha_n = \epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n$, where $\{\epsilon_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{h}^* . Let $\Gamma_1 = \{\alpha_{n-1}\}$, $\Gamma_2 = \{\alpha_n\}$ and $\tau(\alpha_{n-1}) = \alpha_n$. Denote by r_{BD} the r -matrix corresponding to the triple $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \tau)$ and s , where $s \in \mathfrak{h} \wedge \mathfrak{h}$ satisfies $((\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n) \otimes 1)(2s) = ((\alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n) \otimes 1)\Omega_0$.

Lemma 5. *The centralizer $C(SO(2n), r_{BD})$ consists of all diagonal matrices of the form*

$$T = \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, \pm 1, \pm 1, t_{n-1}^{-1}, \dots, t_1^{-1}),$$

for arbitrary nonzero $t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}$.

Proof. We already have the inclusion $C(SO(2n), r_{BD}) \subseteq \text{diag}(2n, \overline{\mathbb{K}}) \cap O(2n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$. Let $T \in C(SO(2n), r_{BD})$, where $T = \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_n, t_n^{-1}, \dots, t_1^{-1})$. Since T commutes with r_0 and r_{DJ} , $T \in C(SO(2n), r_{BD})$ if and only if $(\text{Ad}_T \otimes \text{Ad}_T)(e_{\alpha_n} \wedge e_{\alpha_{n-1}}) = e_{\alpha_n} \wedge e_{\alpha_{n-1}}$. One can check that $(\text{Ad}_T \otimes \text{Ad}_T)(e_{\alpha_n} \wedge e_{\alpha_{n-1}}) = t_n^{-2} e_{\alpha_n} \wedge e_{\alpha_{n-1}}$. Therefore we get $t_n^{-2} = 1$ and the conclusion follows.

Proposition 7. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = o(2n)$, and r_{BD} be the r -matrix corresponding to the triple $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \tau)$ and some $s \in \mathfrak{h} \wedge \mathfrak{h}$, where $\Gamma_1 = \{\alpha_{n-1}\}$, $\Gamma_2 = \{\alpha_n\}$ and $\tau(\alpha_{n-1}) = \alpha_n$, and $((\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n) \otimes 1)(2s) = ((\alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n) \otimes 1)\Omega_0$. Then $H_{BD}^1(SO(2n), r_{BD})$ is non-trivial.*

Proof. Assume that $X^{-1}\sigma(X) \in C(SO(2n), r_{BD})$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K})$. By the above lemma, $X^{-1}\sigma(X) = \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, \pm 1, \pm 1, t_{n-1}^{-1}, \dots, t_1^{-1})$.

On the other hand, since $X^{-1}\sigma(X)$ is diagonal, it follows from Theorem 5 that there exist $Q \in SO(2n, \mathbb{K})$ and a diagonal matrix $D \in SO(2n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ such that $X = QD$. Let us write $D = \text{diag}(s_1, \dots, s_n, s_n^{-1}, \dots, s_1^{-1})$. Since $Q \in O(2n, \mathbb{K})$, for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K})$, $\sigma(Q) = Q$. We obtain $X^{-1}\sigma(X) = D^{-1}Q^{-1}\sigma(Q)D = D^{-1}\sigma(D)$, which is equivalent to the following: $s_i^{-1}\sigma(s_i) = t_i$ for all $i \leq n-1$, and $s_n^{-1}\sigma(s_n) = \pm 1$.

Assume first that there exists σ such that $\sigma(s_n) = -s_n$. Then $s_n \in j\mathbb{K}$. One can check that X is equivalent to $X_0 = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, j, j^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1)$, which is a non-trivial cocycle.

If $\sigma(s_n) = s_n$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K})$, then $s_n \in \mathbb{K}$. In this case,

$$D = \text{diag}(s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}, 1, 1, s_{n-1}^{-1}, \dots, s_1^{-1}) \cdot \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, s_n, s_n^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1),$$

where the first matrix is in $C(SO(2n), r_{BD})$ and the second in $SO(2n, \mathbb{K})$. This proves that X is equivalent to the identity cocycle.

7. Lie Bialgebra Structures in Case III and Twisted Belavin-Drinfeld Cohomologies

Throughout this section we restrict our discussion to $\mathfrak{g} = sl(n)$ and consider $GL(n)$ as the gauge group. Here we analyse Lie bialgebra structures on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ for which the corresponding Drinfeld double is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}[j])$, where $j^2 = \hbar$. Our aim is to find all subalgebras W of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}[j])$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $W \oplus \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) = \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}[j])$.
- (ii) $W = W^\perp$ with respect to the non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form Q given by

$$Q(f_1 + jf_2, g_1 + jg_2) = K(f_1, g_2) + K(f_2, g_1).$$

We begin with the following remark. The field $\mathbb{K}[j]$ is endowed with a conjugation. For any element $a = f_1 + jf_2$, its conjugate is $\bar{a} := f_1 - jf_2$. By the norm of an element $a \in \mathbb{K}[j]$ we will understand the element $a\bar{a} \in \mathbb{K}$.

If $A = A_1 + jB_1$ and $B = A_2 + jB_2$ are two matrices in $sl(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$, then $Q(A, B) = \text{Tr}(A_1B_2 + B_1A_2)$, i. e., the coefficient of j in $\text{Tr}(AB)$.

Lemma 6. *Let L be the subalgebra of $sl(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$ which consists of all matrices $Z = (z_{ij})$ satisfying $z_{ij} = \bar{z}_{n+1-i, n+1-j}$. Then L and $sl(n, \mathbb{K})$ are isomorphic via conjugation in $sl(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$.*

Proof. Assume that $Z = (z_{ij})$ satisfies $z_{ij} = \bar{z}_{n+1-i, n+1-j}$. Then $Z = \overline{SZS}$, where S is the matrix with 1 on the second diagonal and zero elsewhere.

Choose a matrix $X \in GL(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$ such that $\overline{X} = XS$. Then $\overline{XZX^{-1}} = X\overline{SZS}X^{-1} = XZX^{-1}$, which implies $XZX^{-1} \in sl(n, \mathbb{K})$. Conversely, if $A \in sl(n, \mathbb{K})$, then $Z = X^{-1}AX$ satisfies the condition $Z = \overline{SZS}$.

From now on we will identify $sl(n, \mathbb{K})$ with L . Let us find a complementary subalgebra to L in $sl(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$. Let us denote by H the Cartan subalgebra of L . If we identify the Cartan subalgebra of $sl(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$ with $\mathbb{K}^{2(n-1)}$, then H is a Lagrangian subspace of $\mathbb{K}^{2(n-1)}$. Choose a Lagrangian subspace H_0 of $\mathbb{K}^{2(n-1)}$ such that H_0 has trivial intersection with H . Let N^+ be the algebra of upper triangular matrices of $sl(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$ with zero diagonal. Consider $W_0 = H_0 \oplus N^+$. We immediately obtain the following

Lemma 7. *The subalgebra W_0 as above satisfies conditions (i) and (ii), where $sl(n, \mathbb{K})$ is identified with L as in Lemma 6.*

Proposition 8. *Any Lie bialgebra structure on $sl(n, \mathbb{K})$ for which the classical double is isomorphic to $sl(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$ is given by an r -matrix which satisfies $CYB(r) = 0$ and $r + r^{21} = j\Omega$.*

Proof. Let W_0 be as in the above lemma. By choosing two dual bases in W_0 and $sl(n, \mathbb{K})$ respectively, one can construct the corresponding r -matrix r_0 over $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$. It is easily seen that r_0 satisfies the system $CYB(r_0) = 0$ and $r_0 + r_0^{21} = j\Omega$.

Let us suppose that W is another subalgebra of $sl(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$ satisfying conditions (i) and (ii). Then the corresponding r -matrix over $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ is obtained by choosing dual bases in W and $sl(n, \mathbb{K})$ respectively. We have $r + r^{21} = a\Omega$ for some $a \in \mathbb{K}[j]$. On the other hand, the classical double of the Lie bialgebras corresponding to r and r_0 is the same. This implies that r and r_0 are classical twists of each other and therefore $a = j$.

On the other hand, over $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$, all r -matrices are gauge equivalent to the ones on the Belavin–Drinfeld list. It follows that there exists a non-skewsymmetric r -matrix r_{BD} and $X \in GL(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ such that $r = j(\text{Ad}_X \otimes \text{Ad}_X)(r_{BD})$.

Denote by σ_0 an arbitrary lift of the conjugation on $\mathbb{K}[j]$ to $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K})$. We recall, see [9], that $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K})$ is generated by $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K}[j])$ and σ_0 .

Consider an arbitrary $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K})$. Since δ is a cobracket on $sl(n, \mathbb{K})$, $(\sigma \otimes \sigma)(\delta(a)) = \delta(a)$ and $(\sigma \otimes \sigma)(\delta(a)) = [\sigma(r), a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a]$.

Let us assume that $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K}[j])$. Exactly as in Sect. 4, it follows that $\sigma(r) = r$ and if $r = (\text{Ad}_X \otimes \text{Ad}_X)(jr_{BD})$ with $X \in GL(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$, then $\sigma(X) = XD(\sigma)$.

By the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 2, the following result is established.

Lemma 8. *Let $X \in GL(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$. Assume that for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K}[j])$, $X^{-1}\sigma(X) \in \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$. Then there exists $P \in GL(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$ and $D \in \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ such that $X = PD$.*

Now let us consider the action of $\sigma_0 \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}[j]/\mathbb{K})$. Our identities imply that $\sigma_0(r) = r + \alpha\Omega$, for some $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}$. Let us show that $\alpha = -j$. Indeed, since $r + r^{21} = j\Omega$, we also have $\sigma_0(r) + \sigma_0(r^{21}) = -j\Omega$. Combining these relations with $\sigma_0(r) = r + \alpha\Omega$, we get $\alpha = -j$ and therefore $\sigma_0(r) = r - j\Omega = -r^{21}$.

Recall now that $r = j(\text{Ad}_X \otimes \text{Ad}_X)(r_{BD})$. It follows that $X \in GL(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ must satisfy the identity $(\text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma_0(X)} \otimes \text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma_0(X)})(\sigma_0(r_{BD})) = r_{BD}^{21}$. Using the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3 in Sect. 4, we obtain

Proposition 9. Any Lie bialgebra structure on $sl(n, \mathbb{K})$ for which the classical double is $sl(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$ is given by an r -matrix $r = j(\text{Ad}_X \otimes \text{Ad}_X)(r_{BD})$, where r_{BD} is a non-skewsymmetric r -matrix on the Belavin–Drinfeld list and $X \in GL(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ satisfies

$$(\text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma_0(X)} \otimes \text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma_0(X)})(r_{BD}) = r_{BD}^{21}$$

and, for $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K}[j])$,

$$(\text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma(X)} \otimes \text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma(X)})(r_{BD}) = r_{BD}.$$

From now on we assume that r_{BD} is defined over \mathbb{K} (i.e. its Cartan part r_0 is defined over \mathbb{K}).

Definition 5. Let r_{BD} be a non-skewsymmetric r -matrix on the Belavin–Drinfeld list. We call $X \in G(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$ a Belavin–Drinfeld twisted cocycle associated to r_{BD} if $(\text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma_0(X)} \otimes \text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma_0(X)})(r_{BD}) = r_{BD}^{21}$ and for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K}[j])$, $(\text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma(X)} \otimes \text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma(X)})(r_{BD}) = r_{BD}$.

The set of Belavin–Drinfeld twisted cocycles associated to r_{BD} will be denoted by $\overline{Z}(G, r_{BD})$.

Now let us restrict ourselves to the case $r_{BD} = r_{DJ}$. In order to continue our investigation, let us prove the following

Lemma 9. Let S be the matrix with 1 on the second diagonal and zero elsewhere. Then

$$r_{DJ}^{21} = (\text{Ad}_S \otimes \text{Ad}_S)r_{DJ}.$$

Proof. We recall that r_{DJ} is given by the following formula:

$$r_{DJ} = \sum_{\alpha > 0} e_\alpha \otimes e_{-\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_0$$

where Ω_0 is the Cartan part of Ω .

First note that $(\text{Ad}_S \otimes \text{Ad}_S)(e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji}) = e_{n+1-i, n+1-j} \otimes e_{n+1-j, n+1-i}$, which is a term in r_{DJ}^{21} , if $i > j$ (here e_{ij} is a matrix with 1 on the (i, j) position and zero elsewhere). On the other hand, since Ω_0 is the Cartan part of the invariant element Ω , we get $(\text{Ad}_S \otimes \text{Ad}_S)\Omega_0 = \Omega_0$. This could also be proved by using the following: $\Omega_0 = n \sum_{i=1}^n e_{ii} \otimes e_{ii} - I \otimes I$, where I denotes the identity matrix of $GL(n, \mathbb{K})$. Then the identity $r_{DJ}^{21} = (\text{Ad}_S \otimes \text{Ad}_S)r_{DJ}$ holds.

Definition 6. Denote $m = n/2$ if n is even, and $m = (n+1)/2$ if n is odd. By J we denote the matrix with elements $a_{kk} = 1$ for $k \leq m$, $a_{kk} = -j$ for $k \geq m+1$, $a_{k, n-k+1} = 1$ for $k \leq m$, $a_{k, n-k+1} = j$ for $k \geq m+1$, and other elements vanish.

Lemma 10. $\overline{Z}(GL(n), r_{DJ})$ is non-empty.

Proof. Indeed, $\sigma_0(J) = JS$, $J \in GL(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$.

Corollary 2. Let X be a Belavin–Drinfeld twisted cocycle associated to r_{DJ} . Then $X = PD$, where $P \in GL(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$ and $D \in \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$. Moreover, $\sigma_0(P) = PSD_1$, where $D_1 \in \text{diag}(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$.

Proof. Since X is a twisted cocycle, for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K}[j])$, $X^{-1}\sigma(X) \in C(GL(n, r_{DJ}))$. Recall that $C(GL(n, r_{DJ})) = \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$. By Lemma 8, we have $X = PD$, where $P \in GL(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$ and $D \in \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$. Lemma 9 implies that $S^{-1}X^{-1}\sigma_0(X) =: D_2 \in \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$. Since $X = PD$, $S^{-1}D^{-1}P^{-1}\sigma_0(P)\sigma_0(D) = D_2$. Hence $P^{-1}\sigma_0(P) = DSD_0\sigma_0(D^{-1})$.

Let $D_1 := S^{-1}DSD_2\sigma_0(D^{-1}) \in \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$. Then $\sigma_0(P) = PSD_1$ and $D_1 \in \text{diag}(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$.

Definition 7. Let X_1 and X_2 be two Belavin–Drinfeld twisted cocycles associated to r_{BD} . We say that they are *equivalent* if there exist $Q \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ and $D \in C(GL(n, r_{BD}))$ such that $X_1 = QX_2D$.

Remark 5. Assume that X is a twisted cocycle associated to r_{DJ} . By Corollary 2, $X = PD$ and is equivalent to the twisted cocycle $P \in GL(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$.

Definition 8. Let $\overline{H}_{BD}^1(GL(n, r_{BD}))$ denote the set of equivalence classes of twisted cocycles associated to r_{BD} . We call this set the *Belavin–Drinfeld twisted cohomology* associated to the r -matrix r_{BD} .

Remark 6. If X_1 and X_2 are equivalent, then the corresponding r -matrices $r_1 = j(\text{Ad}_{X_1} \otimes \text{Ad}_{X_1})(r_{DJ})$ and $r_2 = j(\text{Ad}_{X_2} \otimes \text{Ad}_{X_2})(r_{DJ})$ are gauge equivalent via $Q \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$.

Proposition 10. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between $\overline{H}_{BD}^1(GL(n, r_{BD}))$ and gauge equivalence classes of Lie bialgebra structures on $sl(n, \mathbb{K})$ with classical double $sl(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$ and $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ -isomorphic to dr_{BD} .*

Proposition 11. *For $\mathfrak{g} = sl(n)$, the Belavin–Drinfeld twisted cohomology $\overline{H}_{BD}^1(GL(n, r_{DJ}))$ is non-empty and consists of one element.*

Proof. Let X be a twisted cocycle associated to r_{DJ} . By Remark 5, X is equivalent to a twisted cocycle $P \in GL(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$, associated to r_{DJ} . We may therefore assume from the beginning that $X \in GL(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$ and it remains to prove that all such cocycles are equivalent.

We will prove that X and J are equivalent, i.e., $X = QJD'$, for some $Q \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ and $D' \in \text{diag}(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$. The proof will be done by induction.

For $n = 2$, we have $J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ j & -j \end{pmatrix}$ and let $X = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{K}[j])$ satisfy $\overline{X} = XSD$ with $D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2) \in GL(2, \mathbb{K}[j])$. This equation is equivalent to the system $\overline{a} = bd_1$, $\overline{b} = ad_2$, $\overline{c} = dd_1$, $\overline{d} = cd_2$. Assume that $cd \neq 0$. Let $a/c = a' + b'j$. Then $b/d = a' - b'j$. One can immediately check that $X = QJD'$, where $Q = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{K})$, $D' = \text{diag}(c, d) \in \text{diag}(2, \mathbb{K}[j])$.

For $n = 3$, consider $J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ j & 0 & -j \end{pmatrix}$ and let $X = (a_{ij}) \in GL(3, \mathbb{K}[j])$ satisfy

$\overline{X} = XSD$, with $D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, d_3) \in GL(3, \mathbb{K}[j])$. This equation is equivalent to the system $\overline{a}_{11} = d_1a_{13}$, $\overline{a}_{21} = d_1a_{23}$, $\overline{a}_{31} = d_1a_{33}$, $\overline{a}_{12} = d_2a_{12}$, $\overline{a}_{22} = d_2a_{22}$, $\overline{a}_{32} = d_2a_{32}$, $\overline{a}_{13} = d_3a_{11}$, $\overline{a}_{23} = d_3a_{21}$, $\overline{a}_{33} = d_3a_{31}$. Assume that $a_{21}a_{22}a_{23} \neq 0$.

Let $a_{11}/a_{21} = b_{11} + b_{13}j$ and $a_{31}/a_{21} = b_{31} + b_{33}j$. Then $a_{13}/a_{23} = b_{11} - b_{13}j$ and $a_{33}/a_{23} = b_{31} - b_{33}j$. On the other hand, let $b_{12} := a_{12}/a_{22}$ and $b_{32} := a_{32}/a_{22}$.

Note that $b_{12} \in \mathbb{K}$, $b_{32} \in \mathbb{K}$. One can immediately check that $X = QJD'$, where

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ b_{31} & b_{32} & b_{33} \end{pmatrix} \in GL(3, \mathbb{K}), D' = \text{diag}(a_{21}, a_{22}, a_{23}) \in \text{diag}(3, \mathbb{K}[j]).$$

For $n > 3$, we proceed by induction. Let us denote $J \in GL(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$, which was defined above, by J_n . We are going to prove that if $X \in GL(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$ satisfies $\bar{X} = XSD$, then using elementary row operations with entries in \mathbb{K} and multiplying columns by proper elements in $\mathbb{K}[j]$ we can transform X to J_n .

We will need the following operations on a matrix

$$M = (m_{pq}) \in \text{Mat}(n) :$$

1. $u_n(M) = (m_{pq}) \in \text{Mat}(n-2)$, $p, q = 2, 3, \dots, n-1$;
2. $g_n(M) = (m_{pq}) \in \text{Mat}(n+2)$, where m_{pq} are already defined for $p, q = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $m_{00} = m_{n+1, n+1} = 1$ and the rest $m_{0,a} = m_{a,0} = m_{n+1,a} = m_{a, n+1} = 0$.

It is clear that $u_n(X)$ satisfies the twisted cocycle condition. However, its determinant might vanish. To avoid this complication, we note that columns $2, 3, \dots, n-1$ of X are linearly independent. Applying elementary row operations (in fact, they are permutations) we obtain a new cocycle X_1 , which is equivalent to X and such that $u_n(X_1)$ is a cocycle in $GL(n-2, \mathbb{K}[j])$. Then, by induction, there exist $Q_{n-2} \in GL(n-2, \mathbb{K})$ and a diagonal matrix D_{n-2} such that

$$Q_{n-2} \cdot u_n(X_1) \cdot D_{n-2} = J_{n-2}$$

Let us consider $X_n = g_{n-2}(Q_{n-2}) \cdot X_1 \cdot g_{n-2}(D_{n-2})$. Clearly, X_n is a twisted cocycle equivalent to X and $u_n(X_n) = J_{n-2}$.

Applying elementary row operations with entries in \mathbb{K} and multiplying by a proper diagonal matrix, we can obtain a new cocycle $Y_n = (y_{pg})$ equivalent to X with the following properties:

1. $u_n(Y_n) = J_{n-2}$;
2. $y_{12} = y_{13} = \dots = y_{1, n-1} = 0$ and $y_{n2} = y_{n3} = \dots = y_{n, n-1} = 0$;
3. $y_{11} = y_{1n} = 1$, here we use the fact that if $y_{pq} = 0$, then $y_{p, n+1-q} = 0$.

It follows from the cocycle condition $\bar{Y}_n = Y_n \cdot S \cdot \text{diag}(h_1, \dots, h_n)$ that $h_1 = h_n = 1$ and hence, $y_{n1} = \bar{y}_{nn}$.

Now, we can use the first row to achieve $y_{n1} = -y_{nn} = j$ and after that, we use the first and the last rows to get $y_{k1} = 0$, $k = 2, \dots, n-1$. Then the elements y_{kn} , $k = 2, \dots, n-1$ will vanish automatically. Thus, X is equivalent to J_n .

Example 1. For $\mathfrak{g} = sl(2)$, the Belavin–Drinfeld list of non-skewsymmetric constant r -matrices consists of only one class, $r_{DJ} = e \otimes f + \frac{1}{4}h \otimes h$, where $e = e_{12}$, $f = e_{21}$ and $h = e_{11} - e_{22}$. We can easily determine the corresponding class of gauge equivalent Lie bialgebra structures on $sl(2, \mathbb{K})$ with classical double $sl(2, \mathbb{K}[j])$ and \mathbb{K} -isomorphic to dr_{DJ} . Indeed, we have seen that the corresponding Lie bialgebra structure equals $\delta = dr$, where the r -matrix is $r = j(\text{Ad}_X \otimes \text{Ad}_X)r_{DJ}$ and X is a twisted cocycle. On the other hand, according to the above result, any such X is equivalent to

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ j & -j \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore a class representative is $\delta_0 = dr_0$, where $r_0 = j(\text{Ad}_J \otimes \text{Ad}_J)r_{DJ}$. A straightforward computation gives

$$r_0 = \frac{j\Omega}{2} + \frac{1}{4}h \wedge e + \frac{\hbar}{4}f \wedge h.$$

We conclude that any Lie bialgebra structure on $sl(2, \mathbb{K})$ with classical double $sl(2, \mathbb{K}[j])$ is gauge equivalent to the one given by $a \cdot dr_0$, $a \in \mathbb{K}$.

Remark 7. In the case $\mathfrak{g} = sl(2)$, it follows that the Drinfeld–Jimbo r -matrix multiplied by $a \in \mathbb{K}$ along with ar_0 , $r_0 = \frac{j\Omega}{2} + \frac{1}{4}h \wedge e + \frac{\hbar}{4}f \wedge h$, provides all $GL(n)$ non-equivalent Lie bialgebra structures on $sl(2, \mathbb{K})$ of types II and III and, consequently, two families of non-isomorphic Hopf algebra structures on $U(sl(2, \mathbb{C}))[[\hbar]]$. Moreover, in some sense these two structures exhaust all Hopf algebra structures on $U(sl(2, \mathbb{C}))[[\hbar]]$ with a non-trivial Drinfeld associator (see also conjectures below).

Remark 8. The next step would be to compute the Belavin–Drinfeld twisted cohomology corresponding to an arbitrary r -matrix r_{BD} . Unlike untwisted cohomology, it might happen that even $\overline{Z}(G, r_{BD})$ is empty as we will see in the next section.

8. Twisted Cohomologies for $sl(n)$ of Cremmer–Gervais Type

In this section the gauge group G is always $GL(n)$. We have seen that $\overline{H}_{BD}^1(GL(n), r_{DJ})$, where r_{DJ} is the Drinfeld–Jimbo r -matrix, consists of one element. We will now turn our attention to other non-skewsymmetric r -matrices and analyse the corresponding twisted cohomology set. Let us consider an arbitrary admissible triple $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \tau)$, and a tensor $r_0 \in \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ satisfying $r_0 + r_0^{21} = \Omega_0$ and $(\tau(\alpha) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \alpha)(r_0) = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \Gamma_1$. We recall that the associated r -matrix is given by the following formula

$$r = r_0 + \sum_{\alpha > 0} e_\alpha \otimes e_{-\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha \in (\text{Span} \Gamma_1)^+} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} e_\alpha \wedge e_{-\tau^k(\alpha)}.$$

Assume now that there exists $X \in \overline{Z}(GL(n), r)$. Then r and r^{21} are gauge equivalent since $(\text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma_0(X)} \otimes \text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma_0(X)})(r) = r^{21}$.

Let $S \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ be the matrix with 1 on the second diagonal and 0 elsewhere. Let us denote by s the automorphism of the Dynkin diagram given by $s(\alpha_i) = \alpha_{n-i}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Clearly, $\text{Ad}_S(e_\alpha) = e_{-s(\alpha)}$ and $\text{Ad}_S(e_{-\tau^k(\alpha)}) = e_{s\tau^k(\alpha)}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Ad}_S \otimes \text{Ad}_S)(r) &= (\text{Ad}_S \otimes \text{Ad}_S)(r_0) + \sum_{\alpha > 0} e_{-s(\alpha)} \otimes e_{s(\alpha)} \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha \in (\text{Span} \Gamma_1)^+} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} e_{-s(\alpha)} \wedge e_{s\tau^k(\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since r and r^{21} are gauge equivalent, $(\text{Ad}_S \otimes \text{Ad}_S)(r)$ and r^{21} must be gauge equivalent as well. The following condition has to be fulfilled for all k : $s(\alpha) = \tau^k(\beta)$ if $\beta = s\tau^k(\alpha)$. We get $s\tau = \tau^{-1}s$, $s(\Gamma_1) = \Gamma_2$ (and $s(\Gamma_2) = \Gamma_1$). In conclusion we have obtained

Proposition 12. *Let r be a non-skewsymmetric r -matrix associated to an admissible triple $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \tau)$. If $\overline{Z}(GL(n), r)$ is non-empty, then $s(\Gamma_1) = \Gamma_2$ and $s\tau = \tau^{-1}s$.*

The following two results will prove to be quite useful for the investigation of the twisted cohomologies for arbitrary non-skewsymmetric r -matrices.

Lemma 11. *Assume $X \in \overline{Z}(GL(n, r))$. Then there exists a twisted cocycle $Y \in GL(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$, associated to r , and equivalent to X .*

Proof. We have $X \in GL(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ and for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K}[j])$, $X^{-1}\sigma(X) \in C(GL(n, r))$. On the other hand, the Belavin–Drinfeld cohomology for $sl(n)$ associated to r is trivial. This implies that X is equivalent to the identity, where in the equivalence relation we consider $\mathbb{K}[j]$ instead of \mathbb{K} . So there exists $Y \in GL(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$ and $C \in C(GL(n, r))$ such that $X = YC$. Since $(\text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma_0(X)} \otimes \text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma_0(X)})(r) = r^{2^1}$, $(\text{Ad}_{Y^{-1}\sigma_0(Y)} \otimes \text{Ad}_{Y^{-1}\sigma_0(Y)})(r) = r^{2^1}$. Thus Y is also a twisted cocycle associated to r .

Recall that $J \in GL(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$ denotes the matrix with entries $a_{kk} = 1$ for $k \leq m$, $a_{kk} = -j$ for $k \geq m + 1$, $a_{k, n+1-k} = 1$ for $k \leq m$, $a_{k, n+1-k} = j$ for $k \geq m + 1$, where $m = \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$; other entries vanish.

Lemma 12. *Let r be a non-skewsymmetric r -matrix associated to an admissible triple $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \tau)$ satisfying $s(\Gamma_1) = \Gamma_2$ and $s\tau = \tau^{-1}s$. If $X \in \overline{Z}(GL(n, r))$, then there exist $R \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ and $D \in \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ such that $X = RJD$.*

Proof. According to Lemma 11, $X = YC$, where $Y \in GL(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$ and $C \in C(GL(n, r))$. Since $(\text{Ad}_{Y^{-1}\sigma_0(Y)} \otimes \text{Ad}_{Y^{-1}\sigma_0(Y)})(r) = r^{2^1}$ and $(\text{Ad}_S \otimes \text{Ad}_S)(r) = r^{2^1}$, it follows that $S^{-1}Y^{-1}\sigma_0(Y) \in C(GL(n, r))$. On the other hand, by Lemma 3, $C(GL(n, r)) \subset \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$. We get $S^{-1}Y^{-1}\sigma_0(Y) \in \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$. Now Proposition 11 implies that $Y = RJD_0$, where $R \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ and $D_0 \in \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$. Consequently, $X = RJD_0C = RJD$ with $D = D_0C \in \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$.

We will now look for admissible triples which satisfy condition $s\tau = \tau^{-1}s$. Let us consider the Cremmer–Gervais triple: $\Gamma_1 = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n-2}\}$, $\Gamma_2 = \{\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\}$ and $\tau(\alpha_i) = \alpha_{i+1}$. Clearly, $s\tau = \tau^{-1}s$. Denote by r_{CG} the Cremmer–Gervais r -matrix corresponding to the above triple and whose Cartan part is given by the following expression:

$$r_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n e_{ii} \otimes e_{ii} + \sum_{1 \leq i < k \leq n} \frac{n+2(i-k)}{2n} e_{ii} \otimes e_{kk}.$$

We intend to describe $\overline{H}_{BD}^1(GL(n, r_{CG}))$. Let us first analyse the case $\mathfrak{g} = sl(3)$. The centralizer $C(GL(n, r_{CG}))$ consists of diagonal matrices $\text{diag}(a, b, c)$ such that $b^2 = ac$. Consider

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ j & 0 & -j \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 13. *Let $X \in GL(3, \mathbb{K}[j])$. Then $\overline{X} = XSC$, where $C \in C(GL(n, r_{CG}))$ if and only if $X = RJ\text{diag}(p, q, r)$, with $R \in GL(3, \mathbb{K})$ and $prq^{-2} = k \in \mathbb{K}$.*

Proof. According to Lemma 12, there exist $R \in GL(3, \mathbb{K})$ and $D = \text{diag}(p, q, r)$, $p, q, r \in \mathbb{K}[j]$ such that $X = RJD$. We get $\bar{X} = RJS\bar{D} = RJDD^{-1}S\bar{D} = XS\text{diag}(\bar{p}r^{-1}, \bar{q}q^{-1}, \bar{r}p^{-1})$. Let $C = \text{diag}(\bar{p}r^{-1}, \bar{q}q^{-1}, \bar{r}p^{-1})$. Then $C \in C(GL(n), r_{CG})$ if and only if $\bar{p}r(pr)^{-1} = (\bar{q}q^{-1})^2$, which is equivalent to $\overline{prq^{-2}} = prq^{-2}$, i.e., $prq^{-2} \in \mathbb{K}$.

Proposition 13. $\overline{H}_{BD}^1(GL(3), r_{CG})$ consists of one element, namely J can be chosen as a representative.

Proof. Let $X \in \overline{Z}(GL(3), r_{CG})$. According to the preceding lemma, $X = RJ\text{diag}(p, q, r)$, with $R \in GL(3, \mathbb{K})$ and $prq^{-2} = k \in \mathbb{K}$. We distinguish the following cases:

Case 1 Let $k = l^{-2}$, where $l \in \mathbb{K}$. Then we have a particular solution to the equation $prq^{-2} = l^{-2}$, namely $p_0 = r_0 = 1, q_0 = l$. By setting $p = p_0p_1, q = q_0q_1, r = r_0r_1$, we see that $\text{diag}(p_1, q_1, r_1) \in C(GL(n), r_{CG})$ and $\text{diag}(p_0, q_0, r_0) = \text{diag}(1, l, 1)$, which commutes with J . It follows that $X = RJ\text{diag}(1, l, 1) \cdot \text{diag}(p_1, q_1, r_1)$, or, equivalently, $X = R_1J\text{diag}(p_1, q_1, r_1)$, where $R_1 := R \cdot \text{diag}(1, l, 1)$. Consequently, X is equivalent to J .

Case 2 Suppose k is not a square of an element of \mathbb{K} . In this case, without loss of generality, we can set $l = j$ and $k = \hbar$. We want to prove that $J \cdot \text{diag}(1, j, 1) = R'JC'$, for some $R' \in GL(3, \mathbb{K})$ and some $C' = \text{diag}(x, y, z)$ with $xy^{-2}z = 1$. Equivalently, $J \cdot \text{diag}(x^{-1}, jy^{-1}, z^{-1})J^{-1} = R'$. Since $\overline{R'} = R'$, we get $\overline{J} \text{diag}(\bar{x}^{-1}, -j\bar{y}^{-1}, \bar{z}^{-1})\overline{J}^{-1} = J\text{diag}(x^{-1}, jy^{-1}, z^{-1})J^{-1}$. Thus $\text{diag}(\bar{x}^{-1}, -j\bar{y}^{-1}, \bar{z}^{-1}) = \text{diag}(x^{-1}, jy^{-1}, z^{-1})$. We obtained that $x = \bar{z}$ and $y = kj$, with $k \in \mathbb{K}$. Hence, we have to find x and k so that $x\bar{x} = k^2\hbar$. Clearly, it is sufficient to find $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}[j]$ with norm \hbar (recall that the norm of an element $a \in \mathbb{K}[j]$ is the element $a\bar{a} \in \mathbb{K}$). The latter is trivial because we can for instance choose $\alpha = \sqrt{-1}j$. Thus the existence of $R' \in GL(3, \mathbb{K})$ and $C' = \text{diag}(x, y, z)$ is proved and therefore we conclude that X is equivalent to J .

The above result can be generalized to $sl(n), n > 3$. Let us first note that the centralizer $C(GL(n), r_{CG})$ consists of diagonal matrices $\text{diag}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ such that $p_{i+1} = p_2^i p_1^{1-i}$ for all i . Let $m = \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$.

Lemma 14. Let $X \in GL(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$. Then $\bar{X} = XSC$, where $C \in C(GL(n), r_{CG})$ if and only if $X = RJ\text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$, with $R \in GL(n, \mathbb{K}), d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathbb{K}[j]$ and $d_{n-i+1} = \bar{d}_i r^{i-2} q^{-1}$ for $i \leq m$, where r, q are such that $r^{n-3} = q\bar{q}$.

Proof. According to Lemma 12, there exist $R \in GL(n, \mathbb{K}), D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n), d_i \in \mathbb{K}[j]$ such that $X = RJD$. We get $\bar{X} = RJS\bar{D} = RJDD^{-1}S\bar{D} = XS(SD^{-1}S\bar{D})$. On the other hand, $SD^{-1}S\bar{D} = \text{diag}(\bar{d}_1 d_n^{-1}, \bar{d}_2 d_{n-1}^{-1}, \dots, \bar{d}_n d_1^{-1})$. Denote $p_i = \bar{d}_i d_{n+1-i}^{-1}$. Obviously, $p_{n+1-i} = (\bar{p}_i)^{-1}$. But $\text{diag}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ belongs to $C(GL(n), r_{CG})$ if and only if $p_{i+1} = p_2^i p_1^{1-i}$ for all i . It follows that $p_2^{n-i} p_1^{1+i-n} = (\bar{p}_2)^{-i+1} (\bar{p}_1)^{i-2}$ must be fulfilled for all i . For $i = 1$ we get $p_2^{n-1} = p_1^{n-1} \bar{p}_1^{-1}$ (note that if this identity holds then the other identities also hold for all i). This identity is also equivalent to $p_1^{n-3} = p_2^{n-2} \bar{p}_2$. Set $p_1 = qr, p_2 = q$. Then $r^{n-3} = q\bar{q}$. We obtain $d_{n-i+1} = \bar{d}_i r^{i-2} q^{-1}$, for all $i \leq m$. Let us note that if $n = 2m - 1$, we have $d_m (\bar{d}_m)^{-1} = r^{m-2} q^{-1}$. Since the norm of $r^{m-2} q^{-1}$ is 1, this condition is self-consistent.

Remark 9. It follows from the above lemma that $X = RJ$, where $R \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$, is a twisted cocycle associated to r_{CG} . All such cocycles are equivalent to J .

Proposition 14. $\overline{H}_{BD}^1(GL(n), r_{CG})$ consists of one element, namely J can be chosen as a representative.

Proof. Let $X \in \overline{Z}(GL(n), r_{CG})$. According to the previous lemma, $X = RJD$ (d_1, \dots, d_n), where $d_{n-i+1} = \overline{d}_i r^{i-2} q^{-1}$ for $i \leq m$, and $r^{n-3} = q\overline{q}$. We are looking for $Q \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ and $C \in C(GL(n), r_{CG})$ such that $X = QJC$. We get $RJD = QJC$. By taking the conjugate, we obtain $RJSD = QJSC$, which implies $SD^{-1}SD = SC^{-1}SC$. Let $C = \text{diag}(c_1, \dots, c_n)$ with $c_{i+1} = c_2^i c_1^{1-i}$ for all i . Therefore c_i must fulfill the system $\overline{d}_i d_{n+1-i}^{-1} = \overline{c}_i c_{n+1-i}^{-1}$. Equivalently, $\frac{\overline{c}_2^{i-1} c_1^{n-i-1}}{c_1^{i-2} c_2^{n-i}} = \frac{q}{r^{i-2}}$ must hold for all i . Substituting $c_1 = xy, c_2 = y$, we immediately obtain $x\overline{x} = r$ and $x^{n-3}\overline{y}y^{-1} = q$. The first equation clearly has a solution in $\mathbb{K}[j]$. Since q/x^{n-3} has norm 1, Hilbert's Theorem 90 implies that there exists a solution $y \in \mathbb{K}[j]$ to the equation $\overline{y}/y = q/x^{n-3}$. Thus we find a solution to the system which in turn provides us with a matrix $C \in C(GL(n), r_{CG})$ that satisfies $SD^{-1}SD = SC^{-1}SC$. Finally we note that if we let $Q = XC^{-1}J^{-1}$, then $Q \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ because of the way C was chosen.

The Cremmer–Gervais case can be further generalized. We call a triple $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \tau)$ *generalized Cremmer–Gervais* if $\Gamma_1 = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$. Without loss of generality, such a triple has one of the forms:

Type 1: $\Gamma_1 = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}, \Gamma_2 = \{\alpha_{n-k}, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\}$ and $\tau(\alpha_i) = \alpha_{n-k+i-1}$.

Type 2: $\Gamma_1 = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}, \Gamma_2 = \{\alpha_{n-k}, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\}$ and $\tau(\alpha_i) = \alpha_{n-i}$.

Let us recall that a necessary condition for $\overline{Z}(SL(n), r)$ to be non-empty is that the corresponding admissible triple satisfies $s(\Gamma_1) = \Gamma_2$ and $s\tau = \tau^{-1}s$, where s is given by $s(\alpha_i) = \alpha_{n-i}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. If the triple is generalized Cremmer–Gervais then this condition is satisfied.

Theorem 6. Let r be a non-skewsymmetric r -matrix corresponding to a generalized Cremmer–Gervais triple $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \tau)$. Then $\overline{H}_{BD}^1(GL(n), r)$ consists of one element, the class of J .

Proof. First let us describe the centralizer $C(GL(n), r)$.

For type 1, i.e. $\Gamma_1 = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}, \Gamma_2 = \{\alpha_{n-k}, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\}$ and $\tau(\alpha_i) = \alpha_{n-k+i-1}$, the centralizer $C(GL(n), r)$ consists of matrices $\text{diag}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ such that $p_{i-1}p_i^{-1} = p_{n-k+i-1}p_{n-k+i}^{-1}$ for all $i \leq k$.

For type 2, i.e. $\Gamma_1 = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}, \Gamma_2 = \{\alpha_{n-k}, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\}$ and $\tau(\alpha_i) = \alpha_{n-i}$, the corresponding $C(GL(n), r)$ consists of matrices $\text{diag}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ such that $p_i p_{i+1}^{-1} = p_{n-i} p_{n-i+1}^{-1}$ for all $i \leq k$. We note that $k \leq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$, since otherwise τ has fixed points.

Let us assume that $X \in \overline{Z}(GL(n), r)$ for a triple $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \tau)$ of the first type. Then $X = RJD$, where $R \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ and $D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ is such that $SD^{-1}SD \in C(GL(n), r)$. Let $p_i = \overline{d}_i d_{n+1-i}^{-1}$. Then $p_{n+1-i} = \overline{p_i}^{-1}$. On the other hand, since $\text{diag}(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in C(L(n), r)$, we have $p_{i-1}p_i^{-1} = p_{n-k+i-1}p_{n-k+i}^{-1}$ for all $i \leq k$. This further implies $p_i p_{n-k+i}^{-1} = p_{k-i+1} p_{n+1-i}^{-1}$ for all $i \leq k$. Thus we get $p_i \overline{p}_{k-i+1} = p_{k-i+1} \overline{p}_i$, which is equivalent to $p_i/p_{k-i+1} \in \mathbb{K}$. Equivalently, $\frac{d_i d_{n+1-i}}{d_{k-i+1} d_{n-k+i}} \in \mathbb{K}$ for $i \leq k$.

Let us prove that X is equivalent to J . For this, it is enough to determine $C \in C(GL(n), r)$ which satisfies $SD^{-1}SD = SC^{-1}SC$. Let $C = \text{diag}(c_1, \dots, c_n)$. The preceding condition is equivalent to the system $\overline{c}_i c_{n+1-i}^{-1} = \overline{d}_i d_{n+1-i}^{-1}$, where $i \leq n$.

On the other hand, since $C \in C(GL(n), r)$, we have $c_{i-1}c_i^{-1} = c_{n-k+i-1}c_{n-k+i}^{-1}$ for $i \leq k$. It follows that $c_i c_{n-k+1} = c_1 c_{n-k+i}$ and $c_{k-i+1} c_{n-k+1} = c_1 c_{n-i+1}$. Consequently, $c_i c_{n-i+1} = c_{k-i+1} c_{n-k+i}$. Furthermore, $\frac{\bar{c}_{k-i+1} c_{k-i+1}}{\bar{c}_i c_i} = \frac{\bar{d}_{k-i+1} d_{n+1-i}}{d_{n-k+i} \bar{d}_i} =: \lambda_i$. We note that $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{K}$ since $\frac{d_i d_{n+1-i}}{\bar{d}_{k-i+1} d_{n-k+i}} \in \mathbb{K}$, for $i \leq k$. Thus we have obtained that the norm c_{k-i+1}/c_i should be λ_i . Now, if $c_1, \dots, c_{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor}$ are fixed, then we can determine $c_{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor + 1}, \dots, c_k$ since we can solve equations of the type $x\bar{x} = \lambda_i$. The remaining unknowns c_{n-i+1} are determined by the relation $c_{k-i+1} c_{n-k+1} = c_1 c_{n-i+1}$. Thus we have proved the existence of $C \in C(GL(n), r)$ and in conclusion X and J are equivalent.

Now let us consider $X \in \bar{Z}(SL(n), r)$, where the triple $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \tau)$ is of the second type. Again we have a decomposition $X = RJD$, where $R \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ and $D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ is such that $SD^{-1}\bar{S}D \in C(GL(n), r)$. Let $p_i = \bar{d}_i d_{n+1-i}^{-1}$. Since $\text{diag}(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in C(GL(n), r)$, we have $p_i p_{i+1}^{-1} = p_{n-i} p_{n-i+1}^{-1}$ for all $i \leq k$. Since $p_{n+1-i} = \bar{p}_i^{-1}$, we easily get $p_i/p_{i+1} \in \mathbb{K}$, or equivalently, $\frac{d_i d_{n-i}}{\bar{d}_{i+1} d_{n-i+1}} \in \mathbb{K}$ for $i \leq k$.

Let us show that X is equivalent to J . As in the preceding case, the problem is reduced to solving the following system: $\bar{c}_i c_{n+1-i}^{-1} = \bar{d}_i d_{n+1-i}^{-1}$, for $i \leq n$. On the other hand, since $C \in C(GL(n), r)$, $c_i c_{i+1}^{-1} = c_{n-i} c_{n-i+1}^{-1}$ for all $i \leq k$. We immediately get that the norm of c_i/c_{n-i} is $\lambda_i := \frac{\bar{d}_i d_{i+1}}{d_{n-i} d_{n+1-i}}$, which belongs to \mathbb{K} since $\frac{d_i d_{n-i}}{\bar{d}_{i+1} d_{n-i+1}} \in \mathbb{K}$ for $i \leq k$. If we fix c_i and solve equations $x\bar{x} = \lambda_i$, we can determine c_{n-i} . The remaining unknowns c_{k+1}, \dots, c_{n-k} can be arbitrarily chosen satisfying the condition $\bar{c}_i c_{n+1-i}^{-1} = \bar{d}_i d_{n+1-i}^{-1}$. Thus C exists and therefore the twisted cohomology set consists of the class of J .

9. Other Gauge Groups and Conjectures

9.1. Computation of $H_{BD}^1(SL(n), r_{BD})$. The group $SL(n)$ is a subgroup of $GL(n)$ consisting of matrices with determinant one. Let H be the subgroup of diagonal matrices in $SL(n)$. Simple roots are given by the formula $e^{\alpha_i} = d_i d_{i+1}^{-1}$, where $\text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n) \in H$. We will first prove the cohomology triviality for the Drinfeld–Jimbo r -matrix.

Lemma 15. *The Belavin–Drinfeld cohomology $H_{BD}^1(SL(n), r_{DJ})$ is trivial.*

Proof. Let $X \in Z^1(SL(n), r_{DJ})$. We have $X = QD$, where $Q \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$, $D \in H(\mathbb{K})$. Then $D^{-1}\sigma(D) \in H(\mathbb{K})$ for any σ in the absolute Galois group of \mathbb{K} . Thus $\det D = k \in \mathbb{K}$. Let $D' = \text{diag}(1, 1, \dots, k)$. Then $X = (QD')I(D'^{-1}D)$ is the desired decomposition, which provides an equivalence between X and I .

Given an r -matrix on the Belavin–Drinfeld list, let $\tau: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ be the corresponding admissible triple for $sl(n)$. Let $\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_k}$ be a string for τ , $\tau(\alpha_{i_p}) = \alpha_{i_{p+1}}$. If $\tau(\alpha_{i_p})$ is not defined, then anyway we define the corresponding string, which consists of one element $\{\alpha_{i_p}\}$ only. Moreover, for any Belavin–Drinfeld triple we will also consider a string $\{\alpha_n\}$ with weight n . For any string $S = \{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_k}\}$ of τ , we define the weight of S by $w_S = \sum_p i_p$. Let t_1, \dots, t_n be the ends of the strings with weights w_1, \dots, w_n . We note that some indices in w_1, \dots, w_n are missing unless Γ_1 is an empty set and $w_n = n$ is always present. Let $N = \text{GCD}(w_1, \dots, w_n)$.

Theorem 7. *The number of elements of $H_{BD}^1(SL(n), r)$ is N . Each cohomology class contains a diagonal matrix $D = A_1 A_2$, where $A_2 \in C(GL(n), r)$ and $A_1 \in \text{diag}(n, \mathbb{K})$. Two such diagonal matrices $D_1 = A_1 A_2$ and $D_2 = B_1 B_2$ are contained in the same class of $H_{BD}^1(SL(n), r)$ if and only if $\det(A_1) = \det(B_1)$ in $\mathbb{K}^*/(\mathbb{K}^*)^N$.*

Proof. Let $X \in SL(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ be a representative of a cohomology class of $H_{BD}^1(SL(n), r)$. Then we can find $Q \in SL(n, \mathbb{K})$ and a diagonal matrix D such that $X = QD$. Therefore, $\det(D) = 1$ and $X \sim D$. Using the fact that $H_{BD}^1(GL(n), r)$ is trivial we can find a decomposition $D = A_1 A_2$ such that A_1 is diagonal and has \mathbb{K} -entries while $A_2 \in C(GL(n), r)$.

Let two diagonal matrices $D_1 = A_1 A_2$ and $D_2 = B_1 B_2$ be equivalent. Then we have

$$A_1 B_1^{-1} C_1 = A_2^{-1} B_2 C_2, \quad C_1 \in \text{diag}(n, \mathbb{K}), \quad C_2 \in C(SL(n), r).$$

We see that $A_1 B_1^{-1} C_1 = A_2^{-1} B_2 C_2 = K \in C(GL(n), r) \cap GL(n, \mathbb{K})$. Then $A_1 K^{-1} = B_1 C_1^{-1}$, $D_1 = (A_1 K^{-1})(A_2 K)$. Since $\det(C_1) = \det(C_2) = 1$, it follows that the class of D_1 uniquely defines $\det(A_1)$ in \mathbb{K}^* modulo the subgroup generated by determinants of elements of $C(GL(n), r) \cap GL(n, \mathbb{K})$.

Let $K = \text{diag}(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in C(GL(n), r) \cap GL(n, \mathbb{K})$. Then it is easy to check that $\det(K) = s_1^{w_1} s_2^{w_2} \dots s_n^{w_n}$ (where $s_p = k_p/k_{p+1}$, $s_n = k_n$) is the N th power of an element of \mathbb{K} .

Conversely, let $D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n) \in Z(SL(n), r)$ and $D = A_1 A_2$ as above. It is sufficient to show that if $\det(A_1) = u^N$ for some $u \in \mathbb{K}^*$, then $D \sim I$. There are integers m_i such that $\sum m_i w_i = N$. Set again $s_p = d_p/d_{p+1}$, $s_n = d_n$ and choose a string. If t_p is the end of the string, set $s_i = s_p = u^{m_p}$ along the string. Solving the corresponding system for $\{d_i\}$, we find $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n \in \mathbb{K}$ (each d_i will be a power of u), such that the corresponding diagonal matrix $C = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ has determinant u^N and by construction $C \in C(r, GL(n)) \cap GL(n, \mathbb{K})$. Then $D = (A_1 C^{-1})(C A_2)$ and $D \sim I$.

9.2. Computation of $\overline{H}_{BD}^1(SL(n), r_{CG})$. In this section we will compute Belavin-Drinfeld twisted cohomology for the Cremmer-Gervais r -matrix when the gauge group is $SL(n)$. The definition of this cohomology is exactly the same as in the $GL(n)$ case.

Lemma 16. *Any element of $\overline{Z}(SL(n), r_{CG})$ is equivalent to an element of the form $\alpha h_m J$, where $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}$, $h_m = \text{diag}(\hbar^m, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 14, an arbitrary cocycle can be written as RJC , where $R \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$, $C \in C(GL(n), r_{CG})$. We can write $C = xC_1$, where $x \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}$, $C_1 \in C(SL(n), r_{CG})$. Also we have $R = y h_m R_1$, where $y \in \mathbb{K}$, $R_1 \in C(SL(n), r_{CG})$. Therefore $RJC = R_1 \alpha h_m J C_1 \sim \alpha h_m J$.

Lemma 17. *If $\alpha_1 h_{m_1} J$ is equivalent to $\alpha_2 h_{m_2} J$ then $m_2 \equiv m_1 \pmod{n/2}$ if n is even and $m_2 \equiv m_1 \pmod{n}$ if n is odd.*

Proof. The condition $\alpha_1 h_{m_1} J \sim \alpha_2 h_{m_2} J$ is equivalent to $\alpha_2 h_{m_2} J = R \alpha_1 h_{m_1} J C$, where $R \in SL(n, \mathbb{K})$, $C \in C(SL(n), r_{CG})$. This in turn is equivalent to $h_{m_1}^{-1} R h_{m_2} = J C_1 J^{-1}$, where $C_1 = \alpha_1 \alpha_2^{-1} C \in C(GL(n), r_{CG})$. Since h_m, R, J are defined over $\mathbb{K}[j]$, we see that C_1 is defined over $K[j]$. Let $C_1 = \text{diag}(c_1, \dots, c_n)$ (recall that all elements of $C(SL(n), r_{CG})$ are diagonal). Applying conjugation we get $J C_1 J^{-1} = h_{m_1}^{-1} R h_{m_2} = \overline{h_{m_1}^{-1} R h_{m_2}} = J \overline{S C_1 S} J^{-1}$. Thus $\overline{S C_1 S} = C_1$, i.e., $c_i = \overline{c_{n+1-i}}$. From the structure of the centralizer we have $c_i/c_{i+1} = c_{n+1-(i+1)}/c_{n+1-i}$ so $c_i/c_{i+1} = \overline{c_{i+1}/c_i}$. It follows that the norms of all diagonal elements are equal to $\gamma \in \mathbb{K}$. If n is odd then considering the central element we get that the norms of all diagonal elements are in fact equal to $\gamma^{\frac{n}{2}}$, for

some $\gamma \in \mathbb{K}$. Finally we have $\hbar^{m_2-m_1} = \det(h_{m_1}^{-1}Rh_{m_2}) = \det(JC_1J^{-1}) = \gamma^k$, where $k = n/2$ for even n and $k = n$ for odd n . The result follows.

Theorem 8. $\overline{H}_{BD}^1(SL(n), r_{CG})$ consists of k elements where $k = n/2$ for even n and $k = n$ for odd n .

Proof. Note that if $X \in SL(n)$ commutes with all elements of the centralizer then the condition $A \sim B$ implies $AX \sim BX$. Indeed, from $A = RBC$ we get $AX = RBCX = RBXC$. Note that the matrices h_m commute with the centralizer. Therefore, to prove the theorem we need to show that $\alpha h_k J \sim \beta J$, for some scalars α, β (the scalars are defined uniquely in such a way that the cocycles are elements of $SL(n)$). We will consider the cases of odd and even n separately.

Let n be even. We need to find $R \in SL(n, \mathbb{K})$ and $C \in C(SL(n), r_{CG})$ such that $\alpha h_k J = \beta RJC$. Let us denote $C_1 = \beta\alpha^{-1}C \in C(GL(n), r_{CG})$. Then the equation becomes $h_k J = RJC_1$. Take $C_1 = \text{diag}(j, -j, j, \dots, -j)$. Then $R = h_k J C_1^{-1} J^{-1}$. $\det R = 1$, $\overline{R} = h_k J S(-C_1) S J^{-1} = h_k J C_1 J^{-1} = R$. Therefore $R \in SL(n, \mathbb{K})$ and we are done.

Now assume n is odd. Again we need to find $R \in SL(n, \mathbb{K})$ and $C \in C(SL(n), r_{CG})$ such that $\alpha h_k J = \beta RJC$. Let $C_1 = \beta\alpha^{-1}C \in C(GL(n), r_{CG})$. Then we get $h_k J = RJC_1$. Take $C_1 = \hbar$. Then $R = h_k J C_1^{-1} J^{-1}$, $\det R = 1$. Finally $\overline{R} = h_k J S C_1^{-1} S J^{-1} = R$.

9.3. Belavin–Drinfeld cohomology conjecture.

Conjecture 1. Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra and r_{DJ} the Drinfeld–Jimbo r -matrix. For any connected split algebraic group G which has \mathfrak{g} as its Lie algebra, $H_{BD}^1(G, r_{DJ})$ is trivial.

9.4. Quantization conjecture. Let L be a finite dimensional Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} and δ a Lie bialgebra structure on $L(\mathbb{K})$ such that $\delta = 0 \pmod{\hbar}$.

Let $(U_{\hbar}(L), \Delta_{\hbar})$ be the corresponding quantum group, in other words the dequantization functor \widehat{Q} sends $(U_{\hbar}(L), \Delta_{\hbar})$ to $(L(\mathbb{K}), \delta)$. Let G be a connected algebraic group with the Lie algebra L . We assume that G acts on L by the adjoint action. Consider $G(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$. Let us define the centralizer $C(\overline{\mathbb{K}}, \delta)$.

Definition 9. The centralizer $C(\overline{\mathbb{K}}, \delta)$ consists of all $X \in G(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$ such that for any $l \in L$

$$(\text{Ad}_X \otimes \text{Ad}_X)\delta(\text{Ad}_X^{-1}(l)) = \delta(l).$$

Definition 10. We say that $X \in G(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$ is a *Belavin–Drinfeld cocycle* associated to δ if for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K})$ there exists $C \in C(\overline{\mathbb{K}}, \delta)$ such that $\sigma(X) = XC$.

Two cocycles X_1 and X_2 , associated to δ , are *equivalent* if $X_1 = QX_2C$, where $Q \in G(\mathbb{K})$ and $C \in C(\overline{\mathbb{K}}, \delta)$.

The set of equivalence classes will be denoted by $H_{BD}^1(G, \delta)$.

Now let us define quantum Belavin–Drinfeld cohomology. The quantum group $(U_{\hbar}(L), \Delta_{\hbar})$ is defined over $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$. We extend the Hopf structures of $U_{\hbar}(L)$ to $U_{\hbar}(L, \mathbb{K}) = U_{\hbar}(L) \otimes_{\mathbb{O}} \mathbb{K}$ and $U_{\hbar}(L, \overline{\mathbb{K}}) = U_{\hbar}(L) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \overline{\mathbb{K}}$. By abuse of notation, Δ_{\hbar} denotes all three comultiplications.

Definition 11. Let P be an invertible element of $U_{\hbar}(L, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$. We say that it belongs to $C(U_{\hbar}(L), \Delta_{\hbar})$ if

$$(P \otimes P)\Delta_{\hbar}(P^{-1}aP)(P^{-1} \otimes P^{-1}) = \Delta_{\hbar}(a)$$

for all $a \in U_{\hbar}(L)$.

Denote

$$F_P := (P \otimes P)\Delta_{\hbar}(P^{-1}) \in U_{\hbar}(L, \overline{\mathbb{K}})^{\otimes 2}.$$

Definition 12. P is called a *quantum Belavin–Drinfeld cocycle* if for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K})$ there exists $C \in C(U_{\hbar}(L), \Delta_{\hbar})$ such that $\sigma(P) = PC$.

Two quantum cocycles P_1 and P_2 are *equivalent* if $P_2 = QP_1C$, where Q is an invertible element of $U_{\hbar}(L, \mathbb{K})$ and $C \in C(U_{\hbar}(L), \Delta_{\hbar})$.

Remark 10. On $U_{\hbar}(L)$ consider the comultiplications $\Delta_{\hbar, P_1}(a) = F_{P_1}\Delta_{\hbar}(a)F_{P_1}^{-1}$ and $\Delta_{\hbar, P_2}(a) = F_{P_2}\Delta_{\hbar}(a)F_{P_2}^{-1}$. Clearly, $\Delta_{\hbar, P_2}(a) = (Q \otimes Q)\Delta_{\hbar, P_1}(Q^{-1}aQ) \cdot (Q^{-1} \otimes Q^{-1})$. Since $Q \in U_{\hbar}(L(\mathbb{K}))$, it is natural to call Δ_{\hbar, P_1} and Δ_{\hbar, P_2} \mathbb{K} -equivalent comultiplications on $U_{\hbar}(L(\mathbb{K}))$.

The set of equivalence classes of quantum Belavin–Drinfeld cocycles associated to Δ_{\hbar} will be denoted by $H_{q-BD}^1(\Delta_{\hbar})$.

Conjecture 2. *There is a natural correspondence between $H_{BD}^1(G, \delta)$ and $H_{q-BD}^1(\Delta_{\hbar})$.*

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to V. Kac, P. Etingof, V. Hinich, and G. Rozenblum for valuable suggestions.

References

1. Belavin, A., Drinfeld, V.: Triangle equations and simple Lie algebras. *Soviet Sci. Rev. Sect. C: Math. Phys. Rev.* **4**, 93–165 (1984)
2. Benkart, G., Zelmanov, E.: Lie algebras graded by finite root systems and intersection matrix algebras. *Invent. Math.* **126**, 1–45 (1996)
3. Drinfeld, V.G.: Hamiltonian structures on Lie groups, Lie bialgebras and the geometric meaning of classical Yang–Baxter equations. (Russian) *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **268**, 285–287 (1983)
4. Drinfeld, V.G.: Quantum groups. *Proceedings ICM (Berkeley 1996)*. *AMS* **1**, 798–820 (1997)
5. Etingof, P., Kazhdan, D.: Quantization of Lie bialgebras I. *Sel. Math. (NS)* **2**, 1–41 (1996)
6. Etingof, P., Kazhdan, D.: Quantization of Lie bialgebras II. *Sel. Math. (NS)* **4**, 213–232 (1998)
7. Etingof, P., Schiffmann, O.: *Lectures on Quantum Groups*. International Press, Cambridge (1988)
8. Montaner, F., Stolin, A., Zelmanov, E.: Classification of Lie bialgebras over current algebras. *Sel. Math. (NS)* **16**, 935–962 (2010)
9. Serre, J.-P.: *Local Fields*. Springer-Verlag, New York (1979)
10. Stolin, A.: Some remarks on Lie bialgebra structures on simple complex Lie algebras. *Commun. Algebra* **27**(9), 4289–4302 (1999)

Communicated by H.-T. Yau