



The Moduli Space of Asymptotically Cylindrical Calabi–Yau Manifolds

Ronan J. Conlon¹, Rafe Mazzeo², Frédéric Rochon¹

¹ Département de Mathématiques, Université du Québec à Montréal, Montréal, Canada.

E-mail: rochon.frederic@uqam.ca; rconlon@cirget.ca

² Department of Mathematics, Stanford University, Stanford, USA.

E-mail: mazzeo@math.stanford.edu

Received: 24 September 2014 / Accepted: 1 February 2015

Published online: 20 May 2015 – © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2015

Abstract: We prove that the deformation theory of compactifiable asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau manifolds is unobstructed. This relies on a detailed study of the Dolbeault–Hodge theory and its description in terms of the cohomology of the compactification. We also show that these Calabi–Yau metrics admit a polyhomogeneous expansion at infinity, a result that we extend to asymptotically conical Calabi–Yau metrics as well. We then study the moduli space of Calabi–Yau deformations that fix the complex structure at infinity. There is a Weil–Petersson metric on this space, which we show is Kähler. By proving a local families L^2 -index theorem, we exhibit its Kähler form as a multiple of the curvature of a certain determinant line bundle.

Contents

1. Introduction	954
2. Asymptotically Cylindrical Metrics	957
3. Elliptic b -Operators	961
4. Hodge Theory for Asymptotically Cylindrical Kähler Manifolds	964
5. Polyhomogeneity at Infinity for Asymptotically Cylindrical Calabi–Yau Metrics	973
6. Polyhomogeneity at Infinity for Asymptotically Conical Calabi–Yau Metrics	976
7. Deformations of Compactifiable Asymptotically Cylindrical Calabi–Yau Manifolds	982
8. A Families Index for Dirac-Type b -Operators with Fixed Indicial Family	989
9. The Curvature of the Quillen Connection	998
10. The Weil–Petersson Metric on the Moduli Space of Asymptotically Cylindrical Calabi–Yau Manifolds	1003
References	1008

1. Introduction

A complete Riemannian manifold (M, g) of dimension $2n$ is said to be Calabi–Yau if its holonomy group is contained in $SU(n)$, in which case (M, g) is Ricci-flat and Kähler. Conversely, if (M, g) is Ricci-flat Kähler, then its reduced holonomy group is contained in $SU(n)$, hence (M, g) is Calabi–Yau if M is simply connected. The principal source of examples of Calabi–Yau manifolds is the famous Calabi conjecture proved by Yau [47]: a compact Kähler manifold with trivial canonical line bundle admits a unique Calabi–Yau metric in each Kähler class. Subsequent work by Tian [42] and Todorov [45] shows that the moduli space of (polarized simply connected) compact Calabi–Yau manifolds has at most quotient singularities, and moreover, its natural Weil–Petersson metric is Kähler. This moduli space is central in the study of mirror symmetry, and is thus of importance in mathematical physics, algebraic geometry, differential geometry and number theory.

Fundamental results of Tian–Yau [43,44] and Joyce [24] imply the existence of many non-compact, complete, quasi-projective Calabi–Yau manifolds. In the present paper, we study the moduli space of compactifiable asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau manifolds. The only previous generalization of the Tian–Todorov theorem (to any complete quasi-projective setting) is for the same class of asymptotically cylindrical metrics, but only in complex dimension 2, by Hein [20, Corollary 4.3]. We mention also the formal deformation theory in the same setting, but in general dimensions, in [25, §4.3.3]. Recall that a complete Riemannian manifold (M, g) is **asymptotically cylindrical** if there exist a compact set $K \subset M$, a closed Riemannian manifold (N, h) and a diffeomorphism $\Phi : M \setminus K \rightarrow N \times (0, \infty)$ such that for some $\delta > 0$, $|\nabla^k(\Phi_*g - g_\infty)| = \mathcal{O}(e^{-\delta t})$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, where $g_\infty = dt^2 + h$ is a product metric. By the Cheeger–Gromoll splitting theorem, see also [39], a connected, complete manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature can have at most one end unless it splits as a global Riemannian product $\mathbb{R} \times N$, so we may as well assume that (M, g) has a single cylindrical end. The recent improvements by Haskins–Hein–Nordström [18] of the Tian–Yau construction [43] give many new examples of asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau spaces. Indeed, let \bar{M} be a compact Kähler orbifold of complex dimension $n \geq 2$. Let $\bar{D} \in |-K_{\bar{M}}|$ be an effective orbifold divisor satisfying the following two conditions:

- (i) The complement $M := \bar{M} \setminus \bar{D}$ is a smooth manifold;
- (ii) The orbifold normal bundle of \bar{D} is biholomorphic to $(\mathbb{C} \times D)/\langle \iota \rangle$ as an orbifold line bundle, where D is a connected complex manifold and ι is a complex automorphism of D of order $m < \infty$ acting on the product via $\iota(w, x) = (e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}} w, \iota(x))$.

Then if Ω is a meromorphic n -form on \bar{M} with a simple pole along \bar{D} , the construction of [43] and [18] ensures that for every Kähler class t on \bar{M} , there exists an asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau metric g_{CY} on M with Kähler form ω_{CY} such that $\omega_{CY} \in t|_M$ and $\omega_{CY}^n = i^{n^2} \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}$. We say that a Calabi–Yau manifold (M, g_{CY}) obtained in this way is a **compactifiable asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau manifold** with compactification \bar{M} .

The existence result of Haskins–Hein–Nordström was used in [11] to obtain many new examples of asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau threefolds. Those play a distinguished role because they can be used as building blocks in Kovalev’s twisted connected sum construction of compact manifold with holonomy G_2 , see [10,29,31]. Haskins–Hein–Nordström also prove a uniqueness result, see Theorem 5.3 below for the formulation that will be used here. More surprisingly, they establish a converse by recovering the compactification \bar{M} in many important cases; namely if (M, g) is a simply-connected,

irreducible asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau manifold of complex dimension $n > 2$, then (M, g) arises from their construction.

In the present paper, we shall study compactifiable asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau manifolds and their moduli spaces. After some preliminaries on b -metrics and the b -calculus of Melrose [35], we begin our investigation by determining the space of L^2 -harmonic forms of type (p, q) on such a manifold. As shown in [35], see also [19], the space of (de Rham) L^2 -harmonic forms of an asymptotically cylindrical manifold is identified in terms of the (de Rham) cohomology of an associated manifold with boundary. However, to respect the (p, q) decomposition, it turns out to be more natural here to relate this (p, q) Hodge cohomology with the Dolbeault cohomology of the compactification \overline{M} . More precisely, if $E \rightarrow \overline{M}$ is a holomorphic vector bundle over \overline{M} , then Theorem 4.6 below is the following assertion:

Theorem A.

$$L^2\mathcal{H}^{p,q}(M; E) \cong \text{Im}\{H^q(\overline{M}; \Omega^p(\log \overline{D}) \otimes E(-\overline{D})) \rightarrow H^q(\overline{M}; \Omega^p(\log \overline{D}) \otimes E)\}.$$

See Sect. 4 for notation.

The proof uses a sheaf theoretic argument, along with some key facts about elliptic b -operators which lead to the characterization of weighted Dolbeault L^2 cohomology

$$\begin{aligned} \text{WH}^{p,q}(g_b, \epsilon, M; E) &\cong H^q(\overline{M}, \Omega^p(\log \overline{D}) \otimes E(-\overline{D})), \\ \text{WH}^{p,q}(g_b, -\epsilon, M; E) &\cong H^q(\overline{M}; \Omega^p(\log \overline{D}) \otimes E), \end{aligned}$$

when $\epsilon > 0$ is small enough, see Theorem 4.2 for details. Further analysis yields, in Theorem 4.11, a $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma adapted to this setting, and the existence of canonical harmonic representatives for classes in $\text{WH}^{p,q}(g_b, -\epsilon, M; E)$, which is necessary for the deformation theory. These Hodge theoretic results do not require the full regularity of the metric assumed here, and also do not require that g_b be Calabi–Yau. We continue to assume, however, that g_b is a polyhomogeneous exact b -metric, i.e., g_b admits a complete asymptotic expansion in the cylindrical end in powers of $\rho = e^{-t}$, see Sect. 2 for details, and in fact, our next result shows that our Calabi–Yau spaces possess this sharp regularity:

Theorem B. *Compactifiable asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau metrics are polyhomogeneous exact b -metrics.*

This is the content of Theorem 5.1 and Corollary 5.4 below. The paper [41] already contains some results in this direction, but what we prove here is more precise.

Similar regularity results for Kähler–Einstein metrics trace back to the work of Lee–Melrose [33], where the polyhomogeneity of the Cheng–Yau metric on a strictly pseudoconvex domain is established: we refer also to [22, 38], which prove polyhomogeneity of other types of Kähler–Einstein metrics. All of these results are proved by using a linear regularity theorem (Corollary 3.8 below in our case) in an inductive bootstrapping argument for the complex Monge–Ampère equation.

Using the fact that asymptotically conical metrics are conformal to asymptotically cylindrical metrics, we can deduce from the proof here a similar polyhomogeneity result for asymptotically conical Calabi–Yau metrics, as constructed in [8, 44]; this is carried out in Corollary 6.4.

This sharp regularity of asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau metrics becomes extremely useful when studying the deformation theory of these metrics and for understanding the Weil–Peterson geometry of the corresponding moduli space. Our approach

to the deformation theory follows Kawamata [26], who studied deformations of compactifiable complex manifolds. Infinitesimal deformations of the complex structure are described by the cohomology group $H^1(\overline{M}; T_{\overline{M}}(\log \overline{D}))$, where $T_{\overline{M}}(\log \overline{D})$ is the sheaf of holomorphic vector fields on \overline{M} tangent to \overline{D} . By our study of the Dolbeault–Hodge theory, these infinitesimal deformations admit canonical harmonic representatives. Using the Tian–Todorov theorem as well as the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma in Lemma 4.10, we recover the result of [25] that the deformation theory is formally unobstructed in this setting. There are important simplifications in complex dimension 2, which follow from the vanishing of the Frölicher–Nijenhuis bracket of constant differential forms on a flat cylinder, see [20]. To obtain actual deformations, we must choose the terms in the formal series of the deformation systematically. This is done using a parametrix for the Laplacian in the sense of [35] and [34]. Invoking some estimates for this parametrix, we can then safely apply the standard argument of Kodaira–Spencer [27, § 5.3] to obtain the following result.

Theorem C. *The deformation theory of compactifiable asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau manifolds is unobstructed.*

Combining this with the work of Kovalev [30], which in turn generalizes results of Koiso [28], we see that any Ricci-flat asymptotically cylindrical metric sufficiently close to a compactifiable asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau metric g is in fact Kähler for some nearby deformation of the complex structure of g .

Similar results about the deformation theory in some different (though closely related) settings which involve asymptotically cylindrical geometries may be found in [23] and [40].

We next consider relative deformations, i.e., those which fix the complex structure at infinity. The infinitesimal analogue of this type of deformation is

$$\text{Im}\{H^1(\overline{M}; T_{\overline{M}}(\log \overline{D})(-\overline{D})) \rightarrow H^1(\overline{M}; T_{\overline{M}}(\log \overline{D}))\},$$

the space of L^2 -harmonic forms $L^2\mathcal{H}^{0,1}(M; T_{\overline{M}}(\log \overline{D}))$ by Theorem A. Fixing a polarization \overline{M} and assuming that $H^1(\overline{M}; \mathbb{R}) = 0$, we show how to systematically choose a Calabi–Yau metric g_m for each point m in the relative moduli space \mathcal{M}_{rel} .

Now define a Weil–Petersson metric on the moduli space by

$$g_{\text{WP}}(u, v) = \int_{M_m} \langle u, v \rangle_{g_m} d\mu(g_m), \quad u, v \in T_m\mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}} \cong L^2\mathcal{H}^{0,1}(M_m, g_m, T^{1,0}M_m),$$

where M_m is the deformation corresponding to the point m . Using a suitable notion of renormalized volume, we show in Proposition 10.4 that this metric is Kähler with Kähler form ω_{WP} , a multiple of the first Chern class of the vertical tangent bundle.

Just as in the compact setting, we show that $\dim L^2\mathcal{H}^{p,q}$ is constant in \mathcal{M}_{rel} , which means that it is possible to define a determinant line bundle associated to the family of $\bar{\partial}$ operators on \mathcal{M}_{rel} with a corresponding Quillen metric and Quillen connection. Twisting by a suitable choice of holomorphic vector bundle E , see (10.5), our final result generalizes [2, 5.30], see also [13].

Theorem D. *The curvature of the determinant line bundle of the family of Dolbeault operators $\sqrt{(\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*)}$ associated to the holomorphic vector bundle E is*

$$\frac{i}{2\pi} (\nabla^{\mathcal{Q}})^2 = \frac{\chi(M)}{12\pi} \omega_{\text{WP}}.$$

The key step in proving this is to obtain a local families L^2 -index theorem. This is not quite the setting of the families index theorem of Melrose–Piazza [36] since ours is not a Fredholm family of operators. In particular, the heat kernel does not decay exponentially fast for large time. There are special features which help our calculations. One is that the collection of L^2 kernels and cokernels form bundles over the moduli space. The other is that the indicial family, that is, the model operator at infinity, is the same for all members of the family.

Using these and the scattering theory of [35], we show in Proposition 8.1 that the heat kernel decays rapidly in positive degree. We can then apply the argument of [36] to obtain the local families L^2 -index formula, see Theorem 8.4. Because of the constancy of the indicial family, our formula contains no eta form in positive degree, and only the standard ‘Atiyah–Singer’ integrand appears. Note that our formula also applies to certain families of signature operators. For the Dolbeault operator, proceeding as in [5] and regularizing as in [35] to define the analytic torsion, we obtain the formula in Theorem 9.4 for the curvature of the Quillen determinant line bundle.

The paper is organized as follows. The initial sections Sects. 2 and 3 review the notion of b -metrics and recall some important properties of elliptic b -operators. In Sect. 4, we then study the Hodge theory of polyhomogeneous Kähler b -metrics admitting some suitable compactification by a compact Kähler manifold. We then prove in Sect. 5 that the asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau metrics of [18] are polyhomogeneous exact b -metrics. We also show that the asymptotically conical Calabi–Yau metrics of [8,9] are polyhomogeneous as well. These results are used in Sect. 7 to show that the deformation theory of asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau manifolds is unobstructed. In Sects. 8 and 9, we obtain a local families L^2 -index theorem and a curvature formula of the associated Quillen determinant line bundle for families of Dolbeault operators parametrized by the relative moduli space of asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau manifolds. Finally, in Sect. 10, we define the Weil–Petersson metric on the relative moduli space and explore some of its properties.

2. Asymptotically Cylindrical Metrics

In this section we define various classes of asymptotically cylindrical metrics, defined through different decay and regularity assumptions presented in the language of b -geometry. This point of view is the one most coherent with the analytic methods used later in this paper. For those unacquainted with this language, we refer principally to the book of Melrose [35]. We first introduce the b -vector fields, which give structure to later definitions. This leads to the introduction of function spaces which are used later, in particular, the spaces of polyhomogeneous functions as well as the various classes of asymptotically cylindrical metrics, also called b -metrics. Differences between these spaces are due to the precise asymptotic regularity at infinity we impose on them.

Suppose that M is a compact manifold with boundary with $\dim M = n$, and let $\rho \in C^\infty(\tilde{M})$ be a boundary defining function, i.e., $\rho > 0$ in the interior $M = \tilde{M} \setminus \partial\tilde{M}$, $\rho = 0$ on $\partial\tilde{M}$ and $d\rho$ is nowhere zero on $\partial\tilde{M}$. We define the **Lie algebra of b -vector fields** on \tilde{M} by

$$\mathcal{V}_b(\tilde{M}) = \{ \xi \in C^\infty(\tilde{M}; T\tilde{M}) \mid \xi \text{ is tangent to } \partial\tilde{M} \}.$$

In local coordinates (ρ, y) near $\partial\tilde{M}$, a b -vector field ξ takes the form

$$\xi = a\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \quad \text{with } a, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in C^\infty(\tilde{M}).$$

As an alternate characterization, $\xi \in C^\infty(\tilde{M}; T\tilde{M})$ is in $\mathcal{V}_b(\tilde{M})$ if and only if $\xi\rho \in \rho C^\infty(\tilde{M})$ for any boundary defining function ρ .

Associated to $\mathcal{V}_b(\tilde{M})$ is the ***b*-tangent bundle**, ${}^bT\tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$. This is a natural smooth vector bundle with fibre over $p \in \tilde{M}$ given by

$${}^bT_p\tilde{M} = \mathcal{V}_b(\tilde{M})/(I_p\mathcal{V}_b(\tilde{M})), \quad I_p = \{f \in C^\infty(\tilde{M}) \mid f(p) = 0\}.$$

There is a canonical morphism $\iota_b : {}^bT\tilde{M} \rightarrow T\tilde{M}$ of vector bundles such that

$$(\iota_b)_*C^\infty(\tilde{M}; {}^bT\tilde{M}) = \mathcal{V}_b(\tilde{M}) \subset C^\infty(\tilde{M}; T\tilde{M}).$$

Note that ι_b is only an isomorphism when restricted to $\tilde{M} \setminus \partial\tilde{M}$. The vector bundle ${}^bT\tilde{M}$ is a Lie algebroid with anchor map given by $(\iota_b)_*$.

Definition 2.1. A ***b*-metric** is a complete Riemannian metric g on $M = \tilde{M} \setminus \partial\tilde{M}$ which can be written as

$$g = (\iota_b^{-1})^*(g_b|_{\tilde{M} \setminus \partial\tilde{M}})$$

for some positive definite section $g_b \in C^\infty(\tilde{M}; \text{Sym}^2({}^bT^*\tilde{M}))$.

Remark 2.2. It is convenient and innocuous to regard g_b as the *b*-metric.

In local coordinates (ρ, y) near $\partial\tilde{M}$, a *b*-metric is of the form

$$g = a \frac{d\rho^2}{\rho^2} + \sum a_i dy^i \odot \frac{d\rho}{\rho} + \sum a_{ij} dy^i \odot dy^j, \quad a, a_j, a_{ij} \in C^\infty(\tilde{M}). \quad (2.1)$$

The *b*-metrics with all $a_i \equiv 0$ are particularly interesting.

Definition 2.3. A *b*-metric g is a **product *b*-metric** if there exists a collar neighborhood

$$c : \partial\tilde{M} \times [0, \epsilon)_\rho \rightarrow \tilde{M}$$

of the boundary such that $c^*g = \lambda^2 \frac{d\rho^2}{\rho^2} + g_{\partial\tilde{M}}$, where λ is a positive constant and $g_{\partial\tilde{M}}$ is a Riemannian metric on $\partial\tilde{M}$. A *b*-metric g is **exact** if $g - g_p \in \rho C^\infty(\tilde{M}; {}^bT\tilde{M} \otimes {}^bT\tilde{M})$ for some product *b*-metric g_p .

In terms of the variable $t = -\lambda \log \rho$, a product *b*-metric has the form

$$dt^2 + g_{\partial\tilde{M}}, \quad t \in (-\lambda \log \epsilon, \infty)$$

in a collar neighborhood of $\partial\tilde{M}$, i.e., is isometric to a half-cylinder outside a compact set, while exact *b*-metrics are those which converge exponentially to product metrics. An alternate characterization is that g is an exact *b*-metric if each of the coefficients a_i of the cross-terms in (2.1) vanish at $\partial\tilde{M}$. One useful feature of exact *b*-metrics, see [35, Proposition 2.37], is that their Levi-Civita connection ∇ extends naturally to the boundary to give a connection for the *b*-tangent bundle ${}^bT\tilde{M}$.

We now describe some useful function spaces in this setting. Fix a volume density ν_g associated to any exact *b*-metric g ; this gives the Hilbert spaces $L^2_b(M)$ and $L^2_b(M; E)$ of square integrable functions and of square integrable sections of a vector bundle $E \rightarrow \tilde{M}$

with Hermitian metric. Using a connection ∇^E for E and the Levi-Civita connection of g , we define the b -Sobolev spaces

$$H_b^k(M; E) = \{f \in L^2(M; E) \mid \nabla^\ell f \in L_b^2(M; ({}^bT^*\tilde{M})^\ell \otimes E) \forall \ell = 0, \dots, k\},$$

where ${}^bT^*\tilde{M}$ denotes the dual of ${}^bT\tilde{M}$. Since the elements of $\mathcal{V}_b(\tilde{M})$ are simply the vector fields on M which extend smoothly to the boundary and which have uniformly bounded length with respect to any fixed b -metric, these b -Sobolev spaces can also be defined by requiring that $u \in H_b^k(M)$ if u and $V_1 \cdots V_\ell u$ lie in L_b^2 for any collection of b -vector fields V_i and for every $\ell \leq k$. From this it is clear that the space $H_b^k(M; E)$ is independent of choices, even though the inner product is not. We shall also use weighted versions of these Sobolev spaces, namely

$$\rho^\ell H_b^k(M; E) = \{\rho^\ell \sigma \mid \sigma \in H_b^k(M; E)\}.$$

We next define the space of k -times differentiable sections of E with derivatives uniformly bounded on M (with respect to g and the metric on E):

$$C_b^k(M; E) = \{\sigma \in C^k(M; E) \mid \sup_{p \in M} |\nabla^\ell \sigma(p)|_{g, g_E} < \infty \forall \ell = 0, 1, \dots, k\}.$$

As before, $C_b^k(M; E)$ (but not its norm) is independent of choices. Set

$$C_b^\infty(M; E) = \bigcap_{k=0}^\infty C_b^k(M; E) \quad \text{and} \quad H_b^\infty(M; E) = \bigcap_{k=0}^\infty H_b^k(M; E).$$

Note that

$$C^\infty(\tilde{M}; E) \subsetneq C_b^\infty(M; E), \quad \text{and} \quad H_b^\infty(M; E) \subsetneq C_b^\infty(M; E).$$

The first inclusion is proper since $u \in C_b^\infty$ only requires the boundedness of all b -derivatives of u , but not that they extend continuously to the boundary. Thus, for example, $\cos(\log \rho)$ lies in $C_b^\infty(M)$, but not in $C^\infty(\tilde{M})$. The latter inclusion follows from the Sobolev embedding theorem, and is proper since elements of $C_b^\infty(M; E)$ which are bounded but do not decay are not square integrable. The space $C_b^\infty(M; E)$ is often called the space of conormal sections of order 0 and denoted $\mathcal{A}^0(M; E)$.

It is certainly too restrictive to require that the metric coefficients a, a_i, a_{ij} in (2.1) are smooth up to the boundary. One way of generalizing this, which appears in [18], is as follows.

Definition 2.4. An **asymptotically cylindrical metric** on $M = \tilde{M} \setminus \partial \tilde{M}$ (ACyl-metric for short) is a complete Riemannian metric g on M such that there exists a $\delta > 0$ and a product b -metric g_p on M for which

$$g - g_p = \rho^\delta C_b^\infty(M; {}^bT^*\tilde{M} \otimes {}^bT^*\tilde{M}).$$

Unfortunately, this class of metrics is now too general for our purposes, so for reasons which will become clear later, we consider a class of metrics intermediate between ACyl and exact b -metrics, which are characterized as having an asymptotic expansion at infinity. To make this precise, we first recall the definition of polyhomogeneous expansions of functions and sections of bundles over \tilde{M} ; this, in turn, relies on the notion of index sets, so this is our starting point.

Definition 2.5. An **index set** F is a discrete subset of $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

- (i) $(z_j, k_j) \in F, |(z_j, k_j)| \rightarrow \infty \implies \operatorname{Re} z_j \rightarrow \infty,$
- (ii) $(z, k) \in F \implies (z + p, k) \in F \ \forall p \in \mathbb{N},$
- (iii) $(z, k) \in F \implies (z, p) \in F \ \forall p = 0, \dots, k.$

The index set F is called **positive** if

$$(z, k) \in F \implies \operatorname{Im} z = 0, \operatorname{Re} z > 0,$$

and is **nonnegative** if

$$\begin{aligned} (z, k) \in F &\implies \operatorname{Im} z = 0, \operatorname{Re} z \geq 0, \\ (0, k) \in F &\implies k = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if F and G are two index sets, then their extended union $F \bar{\cup} G$ consists of the union of these two sets along with the pairs $(z, k + \ell + 1)$ where $(z, k) \in F$ and $(z, \ell) \in G$.

If $F \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{N}_0$, we define $\inf F$ to be the smallest element of F with respect to the lexicographic order relation on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{N}_0$, i.e.,

$$(z_1, k_1) < (z_2, k_2) \iff z_1 < z_2 \text{ or } z_1 = z_2 \text{ and } k_1 > k_2.$$

Definition 2.6. Given an index set F , define the space $\mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^F(\tilde{M})$ of **polyhomogeneous** functions with index set F to consist of all functions f which have an asymptotic expansion at $\partial\tilde{M}$ of the form

$$f \sim \sum_{(z,k) \in F} a_{(z,k)} \rho^z (\log \rho)^k, \quad a_{z,k} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\tilde{M}). \tag{2.2}$$

The symbol \sim means here that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$f - \sum_{\substack{(z,k) \in F \\ \operatorname{Re} z \leq N}} a_{(z,k)} \rho^z (\log \rho)^k \in \rho^N \mathcal{C}_b^\infty(M).$$

If F is a nonnegative index set (or one such that every $(z, k) \in F \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ has $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$), then $\mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^F \subset \mathcal{C}_b^\infty$. We call polyhomogeneous functions with these types of index sets **bounded polyhomogeneous**. More generally, if $(s, k) = \inf F$, then $\mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^F \subset \rho^{-s-\epsilon} \mathcal{C}_b^\infty$ for every $\epsilon > 0$.

The coefficients $a_{(z,k)}$ in the expansion (2.2) depend on the choice of boundary defining function ρ , but because of condition ii) in the definition of index sets, the space $\mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^F(\tilde{M})$ itself is independent of this choice. There are two familiar examples of these spaces: first, $\mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^\emptyset(\tilde{M})$ is the same as $\dot{C}^\infty(\tilde{M})$, the space of smooth functions on \tilde{M} vanishing with all derivatives on $\partial\tilde{M}$; next, $\mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^F(\tilde{M})$ with $F = \mathbb{N}_0 \times \{0\}$ is the same as $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\tilde{M})$. The reason for introducing these spaces with more general index sets is that solutions of natural elliptic operators associated to even just product b -metrics are polyhomogeneous with index sets determined by spectral data on $\partial\tilde{M}$, hence are only rarely smooth up to the boundary.

The space $\mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^F(\tilde{M})$ is a $C^\infty(\tilde{M})$ -module, and thus, for any vector bundle $E \rightarrow \tilde{M}$, we can define the **space of polyhomogeneous sections of E with index set F** by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^F(\tilde{M}; E) = \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^F(\tilde{M}) \otimes_{C^\infty(\tilde{M})} C^\infty(\tilde{M}; E).$$

Definition 2.7. A **polyhomogeneous ACyl-metric** on M is an asymptotically cylindrical metric g on M of the form

$$g = (\iota_b^{-1})^*(g_b|_{\partial\tilde{M}}),$$

where $g_b \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^F(\tilde{M}; \text{Sym}^2({}^bT^*\tilde{M}))$ with F a nonnegative index set.

3. Elliptic b -Operators

We next review some aspects of the theory of elliptic b -operators with particular emphasis on their mapping properties on spaces of polyhomogeneous and conormal sections.

The space of **b -differential operators** on \tilde{M} , $\text{Diff}_b^*(\tilde{M})$, is the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathcal{V}_b(\tilde{M})$ over $C^\infty(\tilde{M})$. In other words, an element of $P \in \text{Diff}_b^*(\tilde{M})$ is generated by $C^\infty(\tilde{M})$ and locally finite sums of products of b -vector fields. In local coordinates (ρ, y) near $\partial\tilde{M}$,

$$P = \sum_{\alpha+|\beta|\leq k} a_{\alpha\beta} \left(\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}\right)^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)^\beta, \quad a_{\alpha\beta} \in C^\infty(\tilde{M}), \tag{3.1}$$

where k is the order of P . Since $\text{Diff}_b^k(\tilde{M})$ is a $C^\infty(\tilde{M})$ -module, we can immediately define the space of b -differential operators acting on sections of a vector bundle $E \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ by

$$\text{Diff}_b^k(\tilde{M}; E) = \text{Diff}_b^k(\tilde{M}) \otimes_{C^\infty(\tilde{M})} C^\infty(\tilde{M}; \text{End}(E)).$$

A connection ∇ on $({}^bT^*\tilde{M})^\ell \otimes E$ is obtained from a connection ∇ on E and the Levi-Civita connection of an exact b -metric g . Any $P \in \text{Diff}_b^k(\tilde{M}; E)$ then takes the form

$$P = \sum_{\ell=0}^k a_\ell \cdot \nabla^\ell, \quad a_\ell \in C^\infty(\tilde{M}; ({}^bT\tilde{M})^\ell \otimes \text{End}(E)), \tag{3.2}$$

where “ \cdot ” denotes contraction between the copies of ${}^bT\tilde{M}$ and ${}^bT^*\tilde{M}$. Important examples of b -differential operators include the geometric operators associated to b -metrics, e.g., the Laplacian or Dirac-type operators. If g is a polyhomogeneous ACyl-metric, these geometric operators are elements of

$$\text{Diff}_{b,F}^*(\tilde{M}; E) = \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^F(\tilde{M}) \otimes_{C^\infty(\tilde{M})} \text{Diff}_b^*(\tilde{M}; E),$$

the polyhomogeneous b -differential operators with index set F .

Definition 3.1. The **principal symbol** of $P \in \text{Diff}_b^k(\tilde{M}; E)$ is the map $\sigma_k(P) : {}^bT^*\tilde{M} \rightarrow \text{End}(E)$ which is homogeneous of degree k on the fibres and is given by

$$\sigma(P)(\xi) = i^k a_k(\underbrace{\xi, \dots, \xi}_{k \text{ times}}) \in \text{End}(E), \quad \xi \in {}^bT^*\tilde{M};$$

here a_k is the leading coefficient in (3.2). It is not hard to check that this definition is independent of the choice of connection. We say that P is **elliptic** if $\sigma_k(P)(\xi)$ is an invertible element of $\text{End}(E_p)$ for all $p \in \tilde{M}$ and $\xi \in {}^bT_p^*\tilde{M} \setminus \{0\}$.

Remark 3.2. The principal symbol and ellipticity also make sense for polyhomogeneous b -differential operators with nonnegative index set.

In contrast to the situation on closed manifolds, ellipticity alone does not ensure that a b -differential operator is Fredholm. The extra information needed to produce a Fredholm theory is encoded in the indicial family. This is a family of operators on sections of E over $\partial\tilde{M}$ defined by

$$\mathbb{C} \ni \tau \mapsto I(P, \tau)\sigma = \rho^{-i\tau} P \rho^{i\tau} \tilde{\sigma} \Big|_{\partial\tilde{M}}, \quad \sigma \in C^\infty(\partial\tilde{M}; E), \tag{3.3}$$

where $\tilde{\sigma} \in C^\infty(\tilde{M}; E)$ is any smooth extension of σ to \tilde{M} . From the local coordinate description (3.1), we can write

$$I(P, \tau) = \sum_{\alpha+|\beta|\leq k} (a_{\alpha,\beta}|_{\partial\tilde{M}}) (i\tau)^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)^\beta. \tag{3.4}$$

For any elliptic operator $P \in \text{Diff}_b^k(\tilde{M}; E)$, we define

$$\text{Spec}_b(P) = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid I(P, \tau) \text{ is not invertible}\}.$$

This set is of fundamental importance in the description of the mapping properties of P . We recall two standard results, see [35, Theorem 5.60 and Proposition 5.61].

Theorem 3.3. *If $P \in \text{Diff}_b^k(\tilde{M}; E)$ is elliptic, then the map*

$$P : \rho^\alpha H_b^{m+k}(M; E) \rightarrow \rho^\alpha H_b^m(M; E)$$

between weighted b -Sobolev spaces is Fredholm if and only if $\alpha \notin -\text{Im Spec}_b(P)$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $P \in \text{Diff}_b^k(\tilde{M}; E)$ be elliptic. If $u \in \rho^\alpha L_b^2(M; E)$ and $Pu \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^G(\tilde{M}; E)$, then*

$$u \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^{G\bar{U}\widehat{F}^+(\alpha)}(\tilde{M}; E),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{F}^+(\alpha) = \{ & (z, k) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \exists r \in \mathbb{N}_0, \text{Re } z > \alpha + r, \\ & -i(z - r) \in \text{Spec}_b(P), k + 1 \leq \sum_{j=0}^r \text{ord}(-i(z - j))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.5. The appearance of this somewhat complicated looking index set $\widehat{F}^+(\alpha)$ and the need for taking its extended union with G to obtain the correct index set for u is explained by the fact that once we know that u is polyhomogeneous, then a purely formal calculation, matching terms on either side of $Pu = f$ with equal exponents, regulates which terms can appear in the expansion for u . Hence one part of this result is simply the assertion that the solution u must be polyhomogeneous if Pu is, while the second part asserts precisely which terms appear in its expansion.

There is an important generalization of Proposition 3.4 which follows easily from Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $P \in \text{Diff}_b^k(\tilde{M}; E)$ be elliptic. Suppose that $u \in \rho^\alpha C_b^\infty(M; E)$ and*

$$Pu = f_1 + f_2, \text{ where } f_1 \in \rho^{\alpha+\beta} C_b^\infty(M; E) \text{ and } f_2 \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^G(\tilde{M}; E) \quad (3.5)$$

for some $\beta > 0$ and some index set G . Then $u = u_1 + u_2$, where

$$u_1 \in \bigcap_{\delta > 0} \rho^{\alpha+\beta-\delta} C_b^\infty(M; E), \quad u_2 \in \mathcal{A}^{\widehat{F}^+(\alpha)\bar{U}G}(\tilde{M}; E).$$

If no $z \in \text{Spec}_b(P)$ has $-\text{Im } z = \alpha + \beta$, then $u_1 \in \rho^{\alpha+\beta} C_b^\infty(M; E)$.

Proof. Choose $\delta > 0$ small enough so that $-\text{Im Spec}_b(P) \cap [\alpha + \beta - \delta, \alpha + \beta] = \emptyset$. Then $u \in \rho^{\alpha-\delta} H_b^{m+2}(M)$ and $f_1 \in \rho^{\alpha+\beta-\delta} H_b^m(M; E)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and the map

$$P : \rho^{\alpha+\beta-\delta} H_b^{m+k}(M; E) \rightarrow \rho^{\alpha+\beta-\delta} H_b^m(M; E)$$

is Fredholm. By the density of $\dot{C}^\infty(\tilde{M}; E)$ in $\rho^{\alpha+\beta-\delta} H_b^m(M; E)$, we can find a finite dimensional subspace $V \subset \dot{C}^\infty(\tilde{M}; E)$ such that

$$\rho^{\alpha+\beta-\delta} H_b^m(M; E) = P \left(\rho^{\alpha+\beta-\delta} H_b^{m+k}(M; E) \right) + V.$$

We can therefore find $f_3 \in V$ and $u_1 \in \rho^{\alpha+\beta-\delta} H_b^{m+k}(M; E)$ such that

$$Pu_1 = f_1 - f_3.$$

This is true for every m , so $u_1 \in \rho^{\alpha+\beta-\delta} H_b^\infty(M; E)$. On the other hand, if we set $u_2 = u - u_1$, then

$$Pu_2 = f_2 + f_3 \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^G(\tilde{M}; E),$$

so by Proposition 3.4, $u_2 \in \mathcal{A}^{\widehat{F}^+(\alpha)\bar{U}G}(\tilde{M}; E)$ as claimed. Finally, if

$$(\widehat{F}^+(\alpha)\bar{U}G) \cap [\alpha + \beta - \delta, \alpha + \beta] = \emptyset,$$

then u_2 is independent of the choice of $\delta > 0$ up to an error term in $\rho^{\alpha+\beta} (\log \rho)^k C_b^\infty(M; E)$ for some fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Hence $u_1 \in \rho^{\alpha+\beta-\delta} H_b^\infty(M; E) \subset \rho^{\alpha+\beta-\delta} C_b^\infty(M; E)$ for all $\delta > 0$. \square

These results extend easily to allow P to have polyhomogeneous coefficients. For Theorem 3.3, we refer to [34]. For Proposition 3.4, one can systematically and with little effort modify the parametrix construction of [35], see [34]. Alternatively, one can extract this generalization directly from Theorem 3.6 as follows.

Corollary 3.7. *Let F be a nonnegative index set and suppose that $P \in \text{Diff}_{b,F}^k(\tilde{M}; E)$ is elliptic. If $u \in \rho^\alpha H_b^m(M; E)$ and $Pu = f \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^G(\tilde{M}; E)$, then u is polyhomogeneous as well.*

Proof. Take $\beta, \delta > 0$ sufficiently small so that no element $(z, k) \in F$ has $z \in (0, \beta + \delta)$, and then decompose

$$P = P_0 + \rho^\beta P_1,$$

where $P_0 \in \text{Diff}_b^k(\tilde{M}; E)$ and $P_1 \in \text{Diff}_{b, F'}^k(\tilde{M}; E)$ with $F' = (F \setminus \{0\}) - \beta > \delta$. Then

$$P_0 u = -\rho^\beta P_1 u + f, \tag{3.6}$$

and since $\rho^\beta P_1 u \in \rho^{\alpha+\beta+\delta'} C_b^\infty(M; E)$ for $0 < \delta' < \delta$, Theorem 3.6 implies that $u = u_1 + u_2$ with $u_2 \in \mathcal{A}^{\widehat{F}^+(\alpha)\overline{U}G}(\tilde{M}; E)$ and $u_1 \in \rho^{\alpha+\beta} C_b^\infty(M; E)$. Reinserting this into (3.6) gives

$$P_0 u_1 = -\rho^\beta P_1 u_1 + f_1 \quad \text{with } \rho^\beta P_1 u_1 \in \rho^{\alpha+2\beta+\delta} C_b^\infty(M; E) \text{ and } f_1 \text{ polyhomogeneous.} \tag{3.7}$$

Applying Theorem 3.6 again, we thus see that $u_1 = v_1 + v_2$ with $v_1 \in \rho^{\alpha+2\beta} C_b^\infty(M; E)$ and v_2 polyhomogeneous, and hence $u = v_1 + v'_1$ with $v'_1 = v_2 + u_2$ polyhomogeneous. This argument can be iterated, so for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we can write $u = v_k + v'_k$ with $v_k \in \rho^{\alpha+k\beta} C_b^\infty(M; E)$ and v'_k polyhomogeneous. Since k is arbitrary, we see that u is polyhomogeneous as well. \square

Replacing Proposition 3.4 by Corollary 3.7 in the proof of Theorem 3.6, we obtain the following

Corollary 3.8. *Let $P \in \text{Diff}_{b, F}^k(\tilde{M}; E)$ be elliptic with nonnegative index family F and let G be another index set. Suppose that $u \in \rho^\alpha C_b^\infty(M; E)$ satisfies*

$$Pu = f_1 + f_2 \quad \text{with } f_1 \in \rho^{\alpha+\beta} C_b^\infty(M; E) \text{ and } f_2 \text{ polyhomogeneous.}$$

Then $u = u_1 + u_2$ with

$$u_1 \in \bigcap_{\delta > 0} \rho^{\alpha+\beta-\delta} C_b^\infty(M; E) \quad \text{and } u_2 \text{ polyhomogeneous.}$$

4. Hodge Theory for Asymptotically Cylindrical Kähler Manifolds

Let \overline{M} be a compact Kähler orbifold of complex dimension $n \geq 2$. Let \overline{D} be an effective orbifold divisor satisfying the following two conditions:

- (i) The complement $M := \overline{M} \setminus \overline{D}$ is a smooth manifold;
- (ii) The orbifold normal bundle of \overline{D} is biholomorphic to $(\mathbb{C} \times D)/\langle \iota \rangle$ as an orbifold line bundle, where D is a connected smooth complex manifold and ι is a complex automorphism of D of order $m < \infty$ acting on the product via $\iota(w, x) = (e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}} w, \iota(x))$.

Let $\tilde{M} := [\overline{M}; \overline{D}]$ be the manifold with boundary obtained by taking the real blow-up of \overline{M} around \overline{D} , cf. [35]. Although \overline{M} may have an orbifold singularity along \overline{D} , the blow-up \tilde{M} is a smooth manifold with boundary $\partial \tilde{M}$ which is naturally identified with the total space of the orbifold unit normal bundle of \overline{D} . Thus $\partial \tilde{M}$ is foliated by circles and the space of leaves is identified with the orbifold \overline{D} . In particular, if \overline{D} is smooth,

this circle foliation is a circle bundle. The manifold \widetilde{M} is an example of a b -complex manifold, as defined by Mendoza [37].

Suppose now that g_b is a polyhomogeneous Kähler ACyl-metric on $M = \overline{M} \setminus \overline{D} = \widetilde{M} \setminus \partial M$, and denote by ω_b its Kähler form. Fix a defining function $\rho \in C^\infty(\widetilde{M})$ and let $E \rightarrow \overline{M}$ be a holomorphic vector bundle over \overline{M} equipped with a Hermitian metric h . We then consider on the quasiprojective manifold $M = \overline{M} \setminus \overline{D}$, for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, the weighted L^2 -Dolbeault complex

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \rho^a L^2_{\bar{\partial}} \Omega^{p,q}(M; E, g_b) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \rho^a L^2_{\bar{\partial}} \Omega^{p,q+1}(M; E, g_b) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \dots, \tag{4.1}$$

where $L^2 \Omega^{p,q}(M; E, g_b)$ is the space of forms of type (p, q) on M which are L^2 with respect to the metric g_b , and

$$\rho^a L^2_{\bar{\partial}} \Omega^{p,q}(M; E, g_b) = \{\mu \in \rho^a L^2 \Omega^{p,q}(M; E, g_b) \mid \bar{\partial} \mu \in \rho^a L^2 \Omega^{p,q+1}(M; E, g_b)\}. \tag{4.2}$$

Denote the cohomology groups of the complex (4.1) by

$$\text{WH}^{p,q}(g_b, a, M; E) = \frac{\{\mu \in \rho^a L^2 \Omega^{p,q}(M; E, g_b) \mid \bar{\partial} \mu = 0\}}{\{\bar{\partial} \zeta \in \rho^a L^2 \Omega^{p,q}(M; E, g_b) \mid \zeta \in \rho^a L^2_{\bar{\partial}} \Omega^{p,q-1}(M; E, g_b)\}}. \tag{4.3}$$

These weighted L^2 -cohomology groups are related to the sheaf cohomology of certain holomorphic vector bundles on \overline{M} .

Definition 4.1. The logarithmic tangent sheaf $T_{\overline{M}}(\log \overline{D})$ is the subsheaf of the tangent sheaf $T_{\overline{M}}$ of \overline{M} consisting of derivations of $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{M}}$ sending the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_{\overline{D}}$ of \overline{D} in $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{M}}$ to itself. In other words, $T_{\overline{M}}(\log \overline{D})$ is the sheaf of holomorphic vector fields tangent to \overline{D} . We also denote by $\Omega^1(\log \overline{D})$ the corresponding dual sheaf of logarithmic 1-forms and by $\Omega^p(\log \overline{D})$ the sheaf of the p^{th} exterior power of $\Omega^1(\log \overline{D})$ with itself.

Theorem 4.2. For $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, there are canonical identifications

$$\begin{aligned} \text{WH}^{p,q}(g_b, \epsilon, M; E) &\cong H^q(\overline{M}, \Omega^p(\log \overline{D}) \otimes E(-\overline{D})), \\ \text{WH}^{p,q}(g_b, -\epsilon, M; E) &\cong H^q(\overline{M}; \Omega^p(\log \overline{D}) \otimes E), \end{aligned}$$

where $E(-\overline{D})$ is the holomorphic vector bundle on \overline{M} associated to the sheaf of holomorphic sections of E vanishing along \overline{D} .

Proof. The idea is to adapt the sheaf theoretic proof of the Dolbeault theorem, see [17, p.45] for example, to our context. Fix $a \neq 0$. Denote by $\rho^a L^2 \mathcal{H}^{p,0}(E)$ the sheaf induced by the presheaf of local holomorphic p -forms on \overline{M} with values in E which are $\rho^a L^2$ with respect to g_b and the Hermitian metric h of E . Also, let $\rho^a L^2_{\bar{\partial}} \Omega^{p,q}(E)$ denote the sheaf defined by the presheaf which associates to $\overline{U} \subset \overline{M}$ the abelian group

$$\{\mu \in \rho^a L^2 \Omega^{p,q}(\overline{U} \cap M; E, g_b) \mid \bar{\partial} \mu \in \rho^a L^2 \Omega^{p,q+1}(\overline{U} \cap M; E, g_b)\}.$$

Finally, let $\rho^a L^2 \mathcal{Z}^{p,q}(E)$ be the subsheaf of $\rho^a L^2_{\bar{\partial}} \Omega^{p,q}(E)$ which associates to \overline{U} the abelian group

$$\{\mu \in \rho^a L^2 \Omega^{p,q}(E)_{\overline{U}} \mid \bar{\partial} \mu = 0\}.$$

By Lemma 4.3 below (which is a version of the $\bar{\partial}$ -Poincaré lemma in $\rho^a L^2$), we know that if a is sufficiently close to 0, there are short exact sequences of sheaves,

$$0 \longrightarrow \rho^a L^2 \mathcal{H}^{p,0}(E) \longrightarrow \rho^a L^2_{\bar{\partial}} \Omega^{p,0}(E) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \rho^a L^2 \mathcal{Z}^{p,1}(E) \longrightarrow 0, \quad q = 0, \tag{4.4}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \rho^a L^2 \mathcal{Z}^{p,q}(E) \longrightarrow \rho^a L^2_{\bar{\partial}} \Omega^{p,q}(E) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \rho^a L^2 \mathcal{Z}^{p,q+1}(E) \longrightarrow 0, \quad q > 0. \tag{4.5}$$

We know from [19, Proposition 2, p.500] (see also the beginning of the proof of [15, Corollary 17]) that the sheaf $\rho^a L^2_{\bar{\partial}} \Omega^{p,q}(E)$ is fine, so that $H^k(\bar{M}; \rho^a L^2_{\bar{\partial}} \Omega^{p,q}(E)) = \{0\}$ when $k > 0$. The corresponding long exact sequences in cohomology then give that

$$\begin{aligned} H^q(\bar{M}; \rho^a L^2 \mathcal{H}^{p,0}(E)) &\cong H^{q-1}(\bar{M}; \rho^a L^2 \mathcal{Z}^{p,1}(E)) \\ &\cong H^{q-2}(\bar{M}; \rho^a L^2 \mathcal{Z}^{p,2}(E)) \\ &\cong \dots \cong H^1(\bar{M}; \rho^a L^2 \mathcal{Z}^{p,q-1}(E)) \\ &\cong H^0(\bar{M}; \rho^a L^2 \mathcal{Z}^{p,q}(E)) / \bar{\partial} H^0(\bar{M}; \rho^a L^2_{\bar{\partial}} \Omega^{p,q-1}(E)) \\ &\cong \text{WH}^{p,q}(g_b, a, M; E). \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

If $a > 0$ is sufficiently close to zero, then $\rho^a L^2 \mathcal{H}^{p,0}(E)$ is identified with the sheaf $\Omega^p(\log \bar{D}) \otimes (E(-\bar{D}))$ of holomorphic p -forms on \bar{M} with values in $E(-\bar{D})$, while $\rho^{-a} L^2 \mathcal{H}^{p,0}(E)$ is identified with the sheaf $\Omega^p(\log \bar{D}) \otimes E$ of holomorphic p -forms with values in E . Thus, by (4.6), when $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{WH}^{p,q}(g_b, \epsilon, M; E) &\cong H^q(\bar{M}; \Omega^p(\log \bar{D}) \otimes (E(-\bar{D}))), \\ \text{WH}^{p,q}(g_b, -\epsilon, M; E) &\cong H^q(\bar{M}; \Omega^p(\log \bar{D}) \otimes E). \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

□

Lemma 4.3. *The morphism of sheaves $\bar{\partial} : \rho^a L^2_{\bar{\partial}} \Omega^{p,q}(E) \rightarrow \rho^a L^2 \mathcal{Z}^{p,q+1}(E)$ is surjective for $a \neq 0$ sufficiently small.*

Proof. Suppose first that \bar{D} is smooth. It then suffices to show that the map

$$\bar{\partial} : \rho^a L^2_{\bar{\partial}} \Omega^{p,q}(E)_{\bar{U}} \rightarrow \rho^a L^2 \mathcal{Z}^{p,q+1}(E)_{\bar{U}} \tag{4.8}$$

is surjective for any open set $\bar{U} \subset \bar{M}$ biholomorphic to a polycylinder $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ over which E is trivial when lifted to Δ . We can restrict to sets of this type which are either disjoint from \bar{D} , or else for which there is a biholomorphism $\varphi : \bar{U} \rightarrow \Delta \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ mapping $\bar{D} \cap \bar{U}$ onto $\Delta \cap (\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \{0\})$.

The assertion is not hard in complex dimension $n = 1$. Indeed, in that case regard Δ as a disk centered at 0 in $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} = \mathbb{C}P^1$. If $\bar{U} \cap \bar{D} = \emptyset$, we use the surjectivity of

$$\bar{\partial} : H^1(\mathbb{C}P^1) \rightarrow L^2 \Omega^{0,1}(\mathbb{C}P^1)$$

to see that (4.8) is surjective. If $\bar{U} \cap \bar{D} \neq \emptyset$, then assume that the biholomorphism $\varphi : \bar{U} \rightarrow \Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$ maps $\bar{U} \cap \bar{D}$ to $0 \in \Delta$. Now put a complete asymptotically cylindrical Kähler metric k_b on $\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \{0\}$ and let $x \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}P^1)$ be the boundary defining function which equals the Euclidean distance to the origin near $0 \in \Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$. Since there are

no nontrivial meromorphic 1-forms on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ with at most one simple pole, then by [35, §6.3], we know that

$$\bar{\partial} : x^a H^1(\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \{0\}, k_b) \rightarrow x^a L^2 \Omega^{0,1}(\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \{0\}, k_b)$$

is Fredholm and surjective whenever a is nonzero but sufficiently small. Its kernel is trivial when $a > 0$, while if $a < 0$, it is just the constants. Restricting to Δ , we see that the map (4.8) is again surjective. This proves the result in complex dimension 1.

From this discussion, we see that when $n = 1$, there is a right inverse

$$(\bar{\partial})^{-1} : x^a L^2 \mathcal{Z}^{p,q+1}(E)_{\bar{U}} \rightarrow x^a L^2_{\bar{\partial}} \Omega^{p,q}(E)_{\bar{U}} \tag{4.9}$$

to (4.8). To prove the result in complex dimensions greater than 1, we then proceed just as in [17, p.25-26], although we use (4.9) instead of the one-variable $\bar{\partial}$ -Poincaré lemma, cf. [17, p.5].

Finally, if \bar{M} has orbifold singularities, then we can proceed as before away from \bar{D} . Near \bar{D} however, we must also consider open sets of the form $\bar{U} = V/\langle \mu \rangle$ with μ a finite order automorphism of V such that the restriction of E to \bar{U} lifts on V to a trivial holomorphic vector bundle. The preceding discussion proves the surjectivity of (4.8) on sufficiently small open sets V , and we can then average with respect to the action of μ to obtain the desired surjectivity on \bar{U} . \square

Our main interest here is in the case where the weight $a = 0$, but the cohomology then is often infinite dimensional since $\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*$ does not have closed range when acting between appropriate Sobolev spaces. Here $\bar{\partial}^*$ is the formal adjoint of $\bar{\partial}$ with respect to g_b and a choice of Hermitian metric on h . However, we can still consider the space of L^2 -harmonic forms of type (p, q) with values in E , namely

$$L^2 \mathcal{H}^{p,q}(M; E) = \{ \mu \in L^2 \Omega^{p,q}(M; E, g_b, h) \mid \bar{\partial} \mu = 0, \bar{\partial}^* \mu = 0 \}. \tag{4.10}$$

Since $\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*$ is an elliptic b -operator (acting on sections of $\Lambda^{p,*} \otimes E = \bigoplus_q \Lambda^{p,q} \otimes E$), this space is finite dimensional and every element $\eta \in L^2 \mathcal{H}^{p,q}(M; E)$ is polyhomogeneous, namely

$$\eta \sim \sum_{(z,k) \in \mathcal{I}} \rho^z (\log \rho)^k \eta_{z,k} \quad \text{near } \rho = 0, \text{ where } \eta_{z,k} \in C^\infty(\tilde{M}, \Lambda^{p,q}({}^b T^* \tilde{M}) \otimes E).$$

The index set \mathcal{I} here is determined solely by the indicial family of $\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*$, i.e., it is independent of η .

For convenience below, let us denote by \mathcal{I}_a the index set corresponding to elements $\eta \in \rho^a L^2 \Omega^{p,*}$ which lie in the common nullspace of $\bar{\partial}$ and $\bar{\partial}^*$. Note that the condition $\rho^z \in L^2$ implies $z > 0$, so \mathcal{I}_0 is a positive index set. Henceforth we shall always choose ϵ so that

$$0 < \epsilon < \inf \mathcal{I}_0. \tag{4.11}$$

By virtue of this remark, any $\eta \in L^2 \mathcal{H}^{p,q}(M; E)$ is in $\rho^\epsilon L^2 \Omega^{p,q}(M; E, g_b)$ and satisfies $\bar{\partial} \eta = 0$, thus represents an element in $\text{WH}^{p,q}(g_b, \epsilon, M; E)$. Hence, composing with the natural map between weighted cohomologies, we obtain a map

$$\Phi : L^2 \mathcal{H}^{p,q}(M; E) \rightarrow \text{Im}\{\text{WH}^{p,q}(g_b, \epsilon, M; E) \rightarrow \text{WH}^{p,q}(g_b, -\epsilon, M; E)\}. \tag{4.12}$$

We wish to show that Φ is an isomorphism, and to this end we collect some results.

First note that

$$\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^* : \rho^{-\epsilon} H_b^1 \Omega^{p,*}(M; E) \rightarrow \rho^{-\epsilon} L^2 \Omega^{p,*}(M; E, g_b) \tag{4.13}$$

is Fredholm when ϵ satisfies (4.11), where $H_b^k \Omega^{p,q}(M; E)$ is the b -Sobolev space of order k of forms of type (p, q) taking values in E . The symmetry of $\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*$ with respect to the L_b^2 pairing and the fact that the spaces $\rho^{\pm\epsilon} L^2 \Omega^*(M; E, g_b)$ are dual to each other means that the cokernel of (4.13) can be identified with the kernel of $\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*$ on $\rho^\epsilon H_b^1 \Omega^*(M; E)$, which as we have just shown is the same as $L^2 \mathcal{H}^*(M; E)$. Hence there is a direct sum decomposition

$$\rho^{-\epsilon} L^2 \Omega^*(M; E, g_b) = \text{Im}\{\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^* : \rho^{-\epsilon} H_b^1 \Omega^*(M; E, g_b) \rightarrow \rho^{-\epsilon} L^2 \Omega^*(M; E, g_b)\} \oplus L^2 \mathcal{H}^*(M; E). \tag{4.14}$$

For similar reasons, the $\bar{\partial}$ -Laplacian $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} = (\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*)^2$ induces the decomposition

$$\rho^{-\epsilon} L^2 \Omega^*(M; E, g_b) = \text{Im}\{\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} : \rho^{-\epsilon} H_b^2 \Omega^*(M; E, g_b) \rightarrow \rho^{-\epsilon} L^2 \Omega^*(M; E, g_b)\} \oplus L^2 \mathcal{H}^*(M; E). \tag{4.15}$$

Proposition 4.4. *There is a finite dimensional subspace $A \subset \dot{\Omega}^*(M; E)$ orthogonal to $L^2 \mathcal{H}^*(M; E)$ such that*

$$\rho^\epsilon L^2 \Omega^*(M; E, g_b) = \text{Im}\{\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} : \rho^\epsilon H_b^2 \Omega^*(M; E) \rightarrow \rho^\epsilon L^2 \Omega^*(M; E, g_b)\} \oplus A \oplus L^2 \mathcal{H}^*(M; E), \tag{4.16}$$

where $\dot{\Omega}^*(M; E) = \dot{C}^\infty(\tilde{M}; \Lambda^*(T^* \tilde{M}) \otimes E)$ denotes the space of smooth forms on \tilde{M} with values in E that vanish to all orders along $\partial \tilde{M}$.

Proof. Using the density of $\dot{\Omega}^*(M; E)$ in $L^2 \Omega^*(M; E, g_b)$, we first find a finite dimensional subspace $A' \subset \dot{\Omega}^*(M; E)$ such that

$$\rho^\epsilon L^2 \Omega^*(M; E, g_b) = \text{Im}\{\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} : \rho^\epsilon H_b^2 \Omega^*(M; E) \rightarrow \rho^\epsilon L^2 \Omega^*(M; E, g_b)\} \oplus A' \oplus L^2 \mathcal{H}^*(M; E).$$

This A' need not be orthogonal to $L^2 \mathcal{H}^*(M; E)$, but by subtracting the L^2 -harmonic component of each element of A' , we obtain a finite dimensional space $A'' \subset \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^{\mathcal{I}_0} \Omega^*(M; E)$ orthogonal to $L^2 \mathcal{H}^*(M; E)$ such that

$$\rho^\epsilon L^2 \Omega^*(M; E, g_b) = \text{Im}\{\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} : \rho^\epsilon H_b^2 \Omega^*(M; E) \rightarrow \rho^\epsilon L^2 \Omega^*(M; E, g_b)\} \oplus A'' \oplus L^2 \mathcal{H}^*(M; E).$$

Choose a basis a_1, \dots, a_p for A'' . Then by [35, Lemma 5.44], we can find $b_1, \dots, b_p \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^G \Omega_b^*(\tilde{M}; E)$, where G is an index set containing \mathcal{I}_0 and with $\inf G = \inf \mathcal{I}_0$, such that

$$a_i - \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} b_i \in \dot{\Omega}^*(M; E) \quad \text{for } i = \{1, \dots, p\}.$$

Clearly, the $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} b_i$ are all orthogonal to $L^2 \mathcal{H}^*(M, E)$. We thus let A be the span of the forms $a_i - \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} b_i, i = 1, \dots, p$. \square

This has the following useful consequence.

Corollary 4.5. *Let $\zeta \in \rho^{-\epsilon} H_b^1 \Omega^{p,q-1}(M; E) \oplus \rho^{-\epsilon} H_b^1 \Omega^{p,q+1}(M; E)$ and suppose that*

$$(\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*)\zeta \in \rho^\epsilon L_b^2 \Omega^{p,q}(M; E). \tag{4.17}$$

Then $\zeta = \zeta_1 + \zeta_2$, where ζ_1 is polyhomogeneous and $\zeta_2 \in \rho^\epsilon H_b^1 \Omega^*(M; E)$, and the only nonzero components of ζ_1 and ζ_2 are in degrees $(p, q - 1)$ and $(p, q + 1)$. Furthermore, $\zeta_1 = \mu_0 + \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \wedge \nu_0 + \mathcal{O}(\rho^\epsilon)$, where μ_0 and ν_0 are harmonic, so $\bar{\partial}\zeta, \bar{\partial}^*\zeta \in \rho^\epsilon L_b^2 \Omega^{p,q}(M; E)$.

Proof. By (4.15), (4.16) and (4.17), we can write $(\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*)\zeta = \Delta_{\bar{\partial}}(\eta_1 + \eta_2) + \eta_3$ where $\eta_1 \in \rho^{-\epsilon} H_b^2 \Omega^{p,q}(M; E)$ satisfies $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}\eta_1 \in A \subset \hat{\Omega}^*(M; E)$, $\eta_2 \in \rho^\epsilon H_b^2 \Omega^{p,q}(M; E)$ and $\eta_3 \in L^2 \mathcal{H}^{p,q}(M; E)$. Set $\zeta_1 = (\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*)\eta_1 \in \rho^{-\epsilon} H_b^1 \Omega^*(M; E)$ and $\zeta_2 = (\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*)\eta_2 \in \rho^\epsilon H_b^1 \Omega^*(M; E)$; these only have nonzero components in degrees $(p, q - 1)$ and $(p, q + 1)$, and we have that

$$(\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*)\zeta = (\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*)(\zeta_1 + \zeta_2) + \eta_3.$$

Integrating by parts in $\|\eta_3\|^2 = \langle \eta_3, (\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*)(\zeta - \zeta_1 - \zeta_2) \rangle$, where the pairing is in L_b^2 , shows that $\eta_3 = 0$. We then see from this that $\zeta - \zeta_1 - \zeta_2 = \gamma$ is an element of the nullspace of $\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*$ on $\rho^{-\epsilon} H_b^1 \Omega^*(M; E)$, so by replacing ζ_1 by $\zeta_1 - \gamma$, we may as well assume that $\zeta = \zeta_1 + \zeta_2$.

By Corollary 3.7, ζ_1 lies in $\mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^{\mathcal{I}-\epsilon}$, so the leading term in its expansion is ρ^0 with coefficient lying in the kernel of the indicial family of $\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*$, and hence also in the kernel of the indicial family of $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta = (\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*)^2$ at $\tau = 0$, i.e., it is harmonic and has the form

$$\mu_0 + \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \wedge \nu_0 \tag{4.18}$$

with μ_0, ν_0 harmonic on $\partial \tilde{M}$. Thus $d\zeta_1 \in \rho^\epsilon L_b^2 \Omega^*(M; E)$, and since ζ only has nonzero components of types $(p, q - 1)$ and $(p, q + 1)$, we see that $\partial\zeta_1, \bar{\partial}\zeta_1 \in \rho^\epsilon L_b^2 \Omega^*(M; E)$ individually. Since $(\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*)\zeta_1 \in \rho^\epsilon L^2 \Omega^*(M; E)$, we also obtain that $\bar{\partial}^*\zeta_1 \in \rho^\epsilon L^2 \Omega^*(M; E)$. Altogether, we have shown the final claim, that $\bar{\partial}\zeta, \bar{\partial}^*\zeta \in \rho^\epsilon L_b^2 \Omega^*(M; E)$. \square

The following is a simple adaptation of a result of [35], see also [19].

Theorem 4.6. *For $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, there is a natural identification*

$$\begin{aligned} L^2 \mathcal{H}^{p,q}(M; E) &\cong \text{Im}\{\text{WH}^{p,q}(g_b, \epsilon, M; E) \rightarrow \text{WH}^{p,q}(g_b, -\epsilon, M; E)\} \\ &\cong \text{Im}\{H^q(\bar{M}; \Omega^p(\log \bar{D}) \otimes E(-\bar{D})) \rightarrow H^q(\bar{M}; \Omega^p(\log \bar{D}) \otimes E)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

Proof. We follow closely the proof of [19, Theorem 2B].

Let us first show that the map Φ in (4.10) is injective. Thus, assume that there is an $\eta \in L^2 \mathcal{H}^{p,q}(M; E)$ such that $\Phi(\eta) = 0$. This means that $\eta = \bar{\partial}\zeta$ for some $\zeta \in \rho^{-\epsilon} L^2 \Omega_b^{p,q-1}(M; E, g_b)$. Since $\epsilon < \inf \mathcal{I}$, we can integrate by parts to deduce that

$$\|\eta\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_M \langle \eta, \bar{\partial}\zeta \rangle dg_b = \int_M \langle \bar{\partial}^* \eta, \zeta \rangle dg_b = 0,$$

i.e., $\eta = 0$.

To prove the surjectivity of Φ , fix

$$[\eta] \in \text{Im}\{\text{WH}^{p,q}(g_b, \epsilon, M; E) \rightarrow \text{WH}^{p,q}(g_b, -\epsilon, M; E)\}.$$

We must show that $[\eta]$ is in the image of Φ . If $\eta \in \rho^\epsilon L^2_{\bar{\partial}} \Omega^{p,q}(M; E, g_b)$ is a representative of this class, then by (4.15), there are $\nu \in \rho^{-\epsilon} H^2_b \Omega^{p,q}(M; E)$ and $\gamma \in L^2 \mathcal{H}^{p,q}(M; E)$ such that

$$\eta = (\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*)^2 \nu + \gamma = (\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*) \zeta + \gamma \quad \text{with } \zeta := (\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*) \nu \in \rho^{-\epsilon} H^1_b \Omega^*(M; E). \tag{4.20}$$

The assertion is then equivalent to showing that $\bar{\partial}^* \zeta = 0$. By Corollary 4.5, $\bar{\partial}^* \zeta \in \rho^\epsilon L^2_b \Omega^{p,q}(M; E)$, so the integration by parts

$$\langle \bar{\partial}\zeta, \bar{\partial}^* \zeta \rangle_{L^2_b} = \int_M (\bar{\partial}\zeta) \wedge * \bar{\partial}^* \zeta = \int_M \bar{\partial}(\zeta \wedge * \bar{\partial}^* \zeta) = \int_M d(\zeta \wedge * \bar{\partial}^* \zeta) = 0$$

is justified and shows that $\bar{\partial}\zeta$ is orthogonal to $\bar{\partial}^* \zeta$. We have used here that $\zeta \wedge * \bar{\partial}^* \zeta$ is a form of type $(n, n-1)$, so that $\partial(\zeta \wedge * \bar{\partial}^* \zeta) = 0$. Similarly, $\langle \bar{\partial}^* \zeta, \eta \rangle_{L^2_b} = \langle \bar{\partial}^* \zeta, \gamma \rangle_{L^2_b} = 0$, so we conclude from (4.20) that

$$\|\bar{\partial}^* \zeta\|_{L^2}^2 = 0 \implies \bar{\partial}^* \zeta \equiv 0.$$

We have thus proved that $\eta = \bar{\partial}\zeta + \gamma$, hence $[\eta]$ indeed lies in the image of the map Φ . The second identification of the theorem follows by applying Theorem 4.2. \square

Corollary 4.7. *If the metric g_b is Ricci-flat, then $L^2 \mathcal{H}^{p,0}(M) \cong L^2 \mathcal{H}^{0,p}(M) \cong \{0\}$, and so*

$$\begin{aligned} \{0\} &= \text{Im}\{H^p(\bar{M}; \mathcal{O}(-\bar{D})) \rightarrow H^p(\bar{M}; \mathcal{O})\} \\ &\cong \text{Im}\{H^0(\bar{M}; \Omega^p(\log \bar{D}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-\bar{D})) \rightarrow H^0(\bar{M}; \Omega^p(\log \bar{D}))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is a standard argument, cf. [24, Proposition 6.2.4]. The Weitzenböck formula on $(p, 0)$ -forms specializes, since g_b is Ricci-flat, to

$$\Delta_d \xi = \nabla^* \nabla \xi.$$

Thus if $\xi \in L^2 \mathcal{H}^{p,0}(M)$, then the left hand side vanishes, and integrating by parts yields that ξ is parallel. But $\xi \in L^2$, so $\xi \equiv 0$. This proves that $L^2 \mathcal{H}^{p,0}(M) = \{0\}$, and by Hodge duality, that $L^2 \mathcal{H}^{0,p}(M) = \{0\}$. \square

Parallel to [35, Proposition 6.18], we now give an analytical characterization of the weighted cohomology $\text{WH}^{p,q}(g_b, -\epsilon, M; E)$ using the $\bar{\partial}$ -Laplacian $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} = \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^* + \bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial}$ instead of $\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*$ and in terms of the space of extended L^2 -harmonic forms

$$\ker_{\epsilon > 0} \Delta_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q} = \bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} \{\eta \in \rho^{-\epsilon} H^2_b \Omega^{p,q}(M; E, g_b) \mid \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \eta = 0\}.$$

As in [35], consider the space

$$\begin{aligned} F(\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*, 0) &= \left\{ \mu_0 + \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \wedge \nu_0 \mid \mu_0 + \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \wedge \nu_0 \in \ker I(\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}, 0) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \mu_0 + \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \wedge \nu_0 \mid \mu_0, \nu_0 \text{ are harmonic on } \partial\tilde{M} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

Here, $I(\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\partial\tilde{M}} + c\lambda^2$ is the indicial family of $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}$, with $\Delta_{\partial\tilde{M}}$ the Laplacian induced by the ‘restriction’ of the metric g_b on $\partial\tilde{M}$, and $c = g_b(\rho\partial_\rho, \rho\partial_\rho)$ at $\rho = 0$. Now define a pairing on $F(\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*, 0)$ by

$$B(u, v) = \langle (\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*)\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \rangle_{L_b^2} - \langle \tilde{u}, (\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*)\tilde{v} \rangle_{L_b^2}, \quad (4.22)$$

where $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \in C^\infty(\tilde{M}; \Lambda^*({}^bT^*M))$ are smooth extensions of u and v to \tilde{M} . This is independent of the choice of extensions. As shown in [35, Proposition 6.2], this pairing is non-degenerate, and clearly, if \tilde{v} is a smooth extension of an element $v \in F(\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*, 0)$ of type (p, q) , then

$$\bar{\partial}\tilde{v} \in \rho^\epsilon H_b^\infty \Omega^{p, q+1}(M; E), \quad \bar{\partial}^*\tilde{v} \in \rho^\epsilon H_b^\infty \Omega^{p, q-1}(M; E) \quad (4.23)$$

for $\epsilon \in (0, \inf \mathcal{I})$.

Lemma 4.8. *Suppose that $u \in \rho^{-\epsilon} H_b^2 \Omega^{p, q}(M; E)$ and $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}u$ is polyhomogeneous, lying in some $\mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^F \Omega^{p, *}(M; E)$ where $\inf F > \epsilon$. Then u is polyhomogeneous and $\bar{\partial}u, \bar{\partial}^*u$ are bounded polyhomogeneous. If u is bounded polyhomogeneous, then $\bar{\partial}u, \bar{\partial}^*u \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^G \Omega^{p, *}(M; E)$ for some index set G with $\inf G > \epsilon$.*

Proof. Corollary 3.7 already shows that u is polyhomogeneous. Since the indicial family $I(\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\partial\tilde{M}} + c\lambda^2$ is quadratic in λ , its inverse has a pole of order at most 2 at $\lambda = 0$. This means that the leading terms in the expansion of u are

$$u_{0,1} \log \rho + u_0, \quad u_{0,1}, u_0 \in \ker I(\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}, 0).$$

But $I(\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}, 0) = I(\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*, 0)^2$ and $I(\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*, 0)$ is self-adjoint, so that $u_{0,1}, u_0 \in \ker I(\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*, 0)$. Furthermore, since $\bar{\partial}u$ is of type $(p, q+1)$ and $\bar{\partial}^*u$ is of type $(p, q-1)$, we get $I(\bar{\partial}, 0)u_{0,1} = I(\bar{\partial}^*, 0)u_{0,1} = 0$ and $I(\bar{\partial}, 0)u_0 = I(\bar{\partial}^*, 0)u_0 = 0$. In particular, $\bar{\partial}u$ and $\bar{\partial}^*u$ are bounded, and if u is also bounded, i.e., $u_{0,1} = 0$, then in fact $\bar{\partial}u, \bar{\partial}^*u \in \rho^\epsilon H_b^\infty \Omega^*(M; E)$. \square

Lemma 4.9. *If $w \in \bar{\partial}^* \ker_{-}^{p, q} \Delta_{\bar{\partial}}$, then w is bounded polyhomogeneous with $\bar{\partial}w = 0$. More generally, any bounded $u \in \ker_{-}^{p, q} \Delta_{\bar{\partial}}$ satisfies $\bar{\partial}u = \bar{\partial}^*u = 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.8, w is bounded polyhomogeneous. Thus we must show that if $u \in \ker_{-}^{p, q} \Delta_{\bar{\partial}}$ is bounded, then $\bar{\partial}u = \bar{\partial}^*u = 0$. But in this case, $\bar{\partial}u \in \rho^\epsilon H_b^\infty \Omega^{p, q+1}(M; E, g_b)$, so $\bar{\partial}u$, which a priori only lies in $\ker_{-}^{p, q} \Delta_{\bar{\partial}}$, is actually an L^2 -harmonic form. Thus, $\bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial}u = 0$, and integrating by parts yields

$$\|\bar{\partial}u\|_{L^2}^2 = \langle \bar{\partial}u, \bar{\partial}u \rangle_{L_b^2} = \langle u, \bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial}u \rangle_{L_b^2} = 0 \implies \bar{\partial}u = 0.$$

Similarly, $\bar{\partial}^* u$ is an L^2 -harmonic form, and

$$\|\bar{\partial}^* u\|_{L^2}^2 = \langle \bar{\partial}^* u, \bar{\partial}^* u \rangle_{L_b^2} = \langle u, \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^* u \rangle_{L_b^2} = 0 \implies \bar{\partial}^* u = 0.$$

□

Using these lemmas with E a trivial holomorphic line bundle, we can prove a $\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}$ -lemma which will be important later on.

Lemma 4.10 ($\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}$ -lemma). *Let α be a bounded polyhomogeneous (p, q) -form which is $\bar{\partial}$ -closed, with $\alpha = \bar{\partial}\beta$ for some bounded polyhomogeneous $(p - 1, q)$ -form. Then there exists a polyhomogeneous $(p - 1, q - 1)$ -form μ such that $\alpha = \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}\mu$ with $\bar{\partial}\mu$ bounded polyhomogeneous. Furthermore, if $\beta \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^F$ for some positive index set F , then μ is bounded polyhomogeneous and $\bar{\partial}\mu \in \rho^\epsilon H_b^\infty \Omega^{p,q-1}(M)$.*

Proof. From (4.15), there exist $\psi \in \rho^{-\epsilon} H_b^2 \Omega^{p-1,q}(M)$ and $\gamma \in L^2 \mathcal{H}^{p-1,q}(M)$ such that

$$\beta = \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \psi + \gamma.$$

But β and γ are both polyhomogeneous, so ψ is polyhomogeneous as well, with top order terms

$$\psi_{0,2}(\log \rho)^2 + \psi_{0,1} \log \rho + \psi_{0,0}, \quad \psi_{0,2}, \psi_{0,1} \in \ker I(\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}, 0).$$

As in the proof of Lemma 4.8, this means that $\psi_{0,2}$ and $\psi_{0,1}$ are also in the kernel of $I(\bar{\partial}, 0)$ and $I(\bar{\partial}^*, 0)$. The same considerations for $\bar{\psi}$ imply that $\psi_{0,2}$ and $\psi_{0,1}$ are in the kernel of $I(\partial, 0)$ and $I(\partial^*, 0)$, and thus $\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}\psi$ and $\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*\psi$ are bounded polyhomogeneous. Furthermore,

$$\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}\psi = \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}\psi = \bar{\partial}(\bar{\partial}(\beta - \gamma)) = \bar{\partial}\alpha = 0.$$

Thus, by Lemma 4.9, we have that $\bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}\psi = 0$, so that

$$\alpha = \bar{\partial}\beta = \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*\psi.$$

We can now set $\mu = \bar{\partial}^*\psi$, which gives the result.

Finally, if β is polyhomogeneous and vanishes to positive order, then by Lemma 4.8, $\bar{\partial}^*\psi$ is bounded polyhomogeneous. But $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}(\bar{\partial}^*\psi) = \bar{\partial}^*\beta$, so applying Lemma 4.8 to the complex conjugate of $\bar{\partial}^*\psi$ gives that $\bar{\partial}\mu = \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*\psi$ vanishes to positive order. □

Theorem 4.11. *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\text{WH}^{p,q}(g_b, -\epsilon, M; E) \cong L_b^2 \mathcal{H}_-^{p,q}(M; E) := L^2 \mathcal{H}^{p,q}(M; E) \oplus \bar{\partial}^* \ker_-^{p,q+1} \Delta_{\bar{\partial}}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.9, there is a well-defined map

$$\Psi : L_b^2 \mathcal{H}_-^{p,q}(M; E) \rightarrow \text{WH}^{p,q}(g_b, -\epsilon, M; E).$$

If $u \in \rho^{-\epsilon} L^2_b \Omega^{p,q}(M; E)$ represents a class in $\text{WH}^{p,q}(g_b, -\epsilon, M; E)$, then by (4.15),

$$u = \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^* \zeta + \bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial} \zeta + \gamma$$

for some $\zeta \in \rho^{-\epsilon} H^2_b \Omega^{p,q}(M; E, g_b)$ and $\gamma \in L^2 \mathcal{H}^{p,q}(M; E)$. Set $v = \bar{\partial} \zeta$. Then $\bar{\partial} u = 0$ implies $\bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^* v = 0$. Since $\bar{\partial} v = 0$ as well, we have that

$$v \in \ker_{-}^{p,q+1} \Delta_{\bar{\partial}},$$

and thus

$$u - \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^* \zeta = \gamma + \bar{\partial}^* v \in L^2 \mathcal{H}^{p,q}(M; E) + \bar{\partial}^* \ker_{-}^{p,q+1} \Delta_{\bar{\partial}}.$$

This shows that the map Ψ is surjective.

To show that Ψ is injective, fix $\gamma \in L^2 \mathcal{H}^{p,q}(M; E)$ and $w \in \ker_{-}^{p,q+1} \Delta_{\bar{\partial}}$ and suppose that there exists $\zeta \in \rho^{-\epsilon} L^2_b \Omega^{p,q}(M; E)$ such that

$$\gamma + \bar{\partial}^* w = \bar{\partial} \zeta.$$

We must show that $\gamma + \bar{\partial}^* w = 0$. First compute

$$\|\gamma\|_{L^2_b}^2 = \langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle_{L^2_b} = \langle \gamma, \bar{\partial} \zeta - \bar{\partial}^* w \rangle_{L^2_b} = \langle \bar{\partial}^* \gamma, \zeta \rangle_{L^2_b} - \langle \bar{\partial} \gamma, w \rangle_{L^2_b} = 0,$$

so $\gamma = 0$ and $\bar{\partial}^* w = \bar{\partial} \zeta$. By Lemma 4.9, $\bar{\partial}^* w \in \ker(\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*)$ is bounded polyhomogeneous. Its restriction u to $\partial \tilde{M}$ is an element of $F(\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*, 0)$. To show that $u = 0$, we use the nondegeneracy of the pairing (4.22). Namely, it suffices to show that for any $v \in F(\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*, 0)$, we have $B(u, v) = 0$. But if \tilde{v} is a smooth extension of v , then using (4.23), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} B(u, v) &= \langle (\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*) \bar{\partial}^* w, \tilde{v} \rangle_{L^2_b} - \langle \bar{\partial}^* w, (\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*) \tilde{v} \rangle_{L^2_b}, \\ &= -\langle \bar{\partial}^* w, (\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*) \tilde{v} \rangle_{L^2_b}, \\ &= -\langle w, \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial} \tilde{v} \rangle_{L^2_b} - \langle \zeta, \bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial}^* \tilde{v} \rangle_{L^2_b} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $u = 0$, and so $\bar{\partial}^* w$ vanishes to positive order. This justifies the final integration by parts

$$\|\bar{\partial}^* w\|_{L^2_b}^2 = \langle \bar{\partial}^* w, \bar{\partial}^* w \rangle_{L^2_b} = \langle \bar{\partial}^* w, \bar{\partial} \zeta \rangle_{L^2_b} = \langle w, \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial} \zeta \rangle_{L^2_b} = 0,$$

so that $\bar{\partial}^* w \equiv 0$. \square

5. Polyhomogeneity at Infinity for Asymptotically Cylindrical Calabi–Yau Metrics

Let \bar{M} , \bar{D} , g_b and ω_b be as in Sect. 4. We now prove the main regularity result for the complex Monge–Ampère equation.

Theorem 5.1. *Let F be a positive index set. If there exists $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^F(\tilde{M})$ and $u \in \rho^\epsilon \mathcal{C}_b^\infty(M)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{(\omega_b + i\partial\bar{\partial}u)^n}{\omega_b^n} = e^f, \tag{5.1}$$

then $u \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^G(\tilde{M})$ for some positive index set G .

Proof. It suffices to show that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a real index set G^k and $u_k \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^{G^k}(\tilde{M})$ such that

$$u - u_k \in \rho^{\frac{k\epsilon}{2} + \delta} \mathcal{C}_b^\infty(M), \tag{5.2}$$

where $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{4}$.

For $k = 1$, it suffices to take $u_1 = 0$ and $G^1 = \emptyset$. Suppose then that we already have a real index set G^k and $u_k \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^{G^k}(\tilde{M})$ such that (5.2) holds. We must find G^{k+1} and u_{k+1} so that (5.2) holds with k replaced by $k + 1$.

Since $u_k \in \rho^\epsilon \mathcal{C}_b^\infty(M)$, we can replace u_k by $\chi(\frac{\rho}{r})u_k$, where $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty([0, \infty))$ is a cut-off function with $\chi(t) = 1$ for $t < 1$ and $r \ll 1$, so as to make the $\mathcal{C}_b^2(M)$ -norm of u_k small enough to ensure that

$$\frac{\omega_b}{2} < \omega_b + i\partial\bar{\partial}u_k < 2\omega_b.$$

Thus $\omega_{b,k} := \omega_b + i\partial\bar{\partial}u_k$ is also the Kähler form of an exact polyhomogeneous b -metric. By our inductive hypothesis, $v_k := u - u_k \in \rho^{\frac{k\epsilon}{2} + \delta} \mathcal{C}_b^\infty(M)$ satisfies the equation

$$\frac{(\omega_{b,k} + i\partial\bar{\partial}v_k)^n}{\omega_{b,k}^n} = e^{f_k}, \quad \text{with } f_k = f + \log\left(\frac{\omega_b^n}{\omega_{b,k}^n}\right). \tag{5.3}$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^G(\tilde{M})$ and $u_k \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^{G^k}(\tilde{M})$, we see that $f_k \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^{\tilde{G}^k}(\tilde{M})$ for some real index set \tilde{G}^k . Moreover, by (5.2),

$$f_k = f + \log\left(\frac{\omega_b^n}{\omega_{b,k}^n}\right) = \log\left(\frac{(\omega_b + i\partial\bar{\partial}u)^n}{\omega_b^n}\right) - \log\left(\frac{\omega_{b,k}^n}{\omega_b^n}\right) \in \rho^{\frac{k\epsilon}{2} + \delta} \mathcal{C}_b^\infty(M).$$

Now write (5.3) as

$$1 + \Delta_{\omega_{b,k}} v_k + \sum_{j=2}^n N_j^{\omega_{b,k}}(v_k) = e^{f_k}, \tag{5.4}$$

where $\Delta_{\omega_{b,k}}$ is the $\bar{\partial}$ -Laplacian associated to the Kähler form $\omega_{b,k}$, that is, half the Laplacian associated to the corresponding Riemannian metric, and where

$$N_j^{\omega_{b,k}}(h) = \frac{n!}{(n-j)!j!} \left(\frac{\omega_{b,k}^{n-j} \wedge (i\partial\bar{\partial}h)^j}{\omega_{b,k}^n} \right), \quad h \in \mathcal{C}_b^\infty(M).$$

From (5.2), we deduce that $N_j^{\text{ob},k}(v_k) \in \rho^{\frac{k\epsilon}{2}+j\delta} C_b^\infty(M)$, so that

$$\Delta_{\omega_{b,k}} v_k = w_k + (e^{f_k} - 1),$$

where $w_k \in \rho^{k\epsilon+2\delta} C_b^\infty(M)$ and $(e^{f_k} - 1) \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^{H^k}(\tilde{M}) \cap \rho^{\frac{k\epsilon}{2}+\delta} C_b^\infty(M)$ for some real index set H^k . By Corollary 3.8, we can therefore find h_{k+1} polyhomogeneous and in $\rho^{\frac{k\epsilon}{2}+\delta} C_b^\infty(M)$ such that

$$v_k - h_{k+1} \in \rho^{k\epsilon+\delta} C_b^\infty(M).$$

Thus, we can take $u_{k+1} = u_k + h_{k+1} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^{G^{k+1}}(\tilde{M})$ where G^{k+1} is some real index set. This completes the inductive step and the proof. \square

This theorem shows that the Calabi–Yau ACyl-metrics constructed in [18] are polyhomogeneous at infinity. Let us first recall the construction of [18].

Definition 5.2. Let \overline{M} be a compact Kähler orbifold of complex dimension $n \geq 2$. Let $\overline{D} \in | -K_{\overline{M}} |$ be an effective orbifold divisor satisfying the following two conditions:

- (i) The complement $M := \overline{M} \setminus \overline{D}$ is a smooth manifold;
- (ii) The orbifold normal bundle of \overline{D} is biholomorphic to $(\mathbb{C} \times D) / \langle \iota \rangle$ as an orbifold line bundle, where D is a connected complex manifold and ι is a complex automorphism of D of order $m < \infty$ acting on the product via $\iota(w, x) = (e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}} w, \iota(x))$.

Then if we pick a meromorphic n -form Ω on \overline{M} with a simple pole along \overline{D} , the construction of [43] and [18] ensures that for every Kähler class \mathfrak{t} on \overline{M} , there exists a Calabi–Yau ACyl-metric g_{CY} on M with Kähler class ω_{CY} such that $\omega_{\text{CY}} \in \mathfrak{t}|_M$ and $\omega_{\text{CY}}^n = i^{n^2} \Omega \wedge \overline{\Omega}$. We say that the Calabi–Yau manifold (M, g_{CY}) of the above construction is a **compactifiable asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau manifold** with compactification \overline{M} .

To obtain the uniqueness of such a Calabi–Yau metric, we need to better understand the role of the n -form Ω in the construction of [18]. First notice that Ω corresponds to a holomorphic section of $K_{\overline{M}}(\overline{D})$. Since this bundle is holomorphically trivial by hypothesis, restriction to \overline{D} and the adjunction formula give a canonical identification

$$H^0(\overline{M}; K_{\overline{M}}(\overline{D})) = H^0(\overline{D}; K_{\overline{M}}(\overline{D})|_{\overline{D}}) = H^0(\overline{D}; K_{\overline{D}}). \tag{5.5}$$

In other words, Ω corresponds to a choice of a holomorphic section of $K_{\overline{D}}$. Its restriction to $\partial \tilde{M}$ yields a section $\Omega_{\partial \tilde{M}} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\partial \tilde{M}; \Lambda^{0,n}({}^b T^* \tilde{M}|_{\partial \tilde{M}}))$. Clearly then,

$$\omega_{\text{CY}}^n = i^{n^2} \Omega \wedge \overline{\Omega} \iff \omega_{\text{CY}}^n|_{\partial \tilde{M}} = i^{n^2} \Omega_{\partial \tilde{M}} \wedge \Omega_{\partial \tilde{M}}. \tag{5.6}$$

Thus the role of Ω is to impose a condition at infinity for the metric g_{CY} . Indeed, in the construction of [18], part of the behavior of ω_{CY} at infinity is specified by requiring that the ‘pull-back’ of ω_{CY} to \overline{D} corresponds to the Kähler form of the Calabi–Yau metric on \overline{D} associated to the Kähler class $\mathfrak{t}|_{\overline{D}}$. From this, (5.6) then completely determines g_b in the direction conormal to \overline{D} . This suggests that one can describe this condition at infinity directly without choosing a meromorphic n -form Ω . Let $c : \overline{D} \times \Delta / \langle \iota \rangle \rightarrow \overline{M}$ be a choice of smooth orbifold tubular neighborhood for \overline{D} , where $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$ is the unit disk. Let $q : \overline{D} \times \Delta \rightarrow \overline{D} \times \Delta / \langle \iota \rangle$ be the quotient map. The existence and uniqueness results of [18, Theorem D and Theorem E] can then be combined into the following.

Theorem 5.3 (Haskins–Hein–Nordström). *Let \bar{M} and \bar{D} be as in Definition 5.2. For any choice of Kähler class \mathfrak{t} on \bar{M} and any $\lambda > 0$, there exists a unique asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau metric g_{CY} on M with Kähler form ω_{CY} such that $[\omega_{CY}] = \mathfrak{t}|_M$ and*

$$g_{CY} - c_*q_* \left(g_{\bar{D}} + \lambda \frac{dw \odot d\bar{w}}{|w|^2} \right) \in \rho^\delta \mathcal{C}_b^\infty(M; \text{Sym}^2(T^*M)) \tag{5.7}$$

for some $\delta > 0$, where $g_{\bar{D}}$ is the Calabi–Yau metric on \bar{D} associated to the Kähler class $\mathfrak{t}|_{\bar{D}}$.

Corollary 5.4. *The asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau metric of the previous theorem is in fact a polyhomogeneous exact b -metric.*

Proof. The existence of ω_{CY} as an element of $\mathcal{C}_b^\infty(M; \Lambda^2(T^*(M \setminus \partial M)))$ is obtained in [18] by finding for $\epsilon > 0$ small enough a solution $u \in \rho^\epsilon \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ of the complex Monge–Ampère equation (5.1) with ω_b the Kähler form of a carefully chosen exact b -metric and with

$$f = \log \left(\frac{i^{n^2} \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}}{\omega_b^n} \right) \in \rho \mathcal{C}^\infty(\tilde{M}).$$

The polyhomogeneity of $\omega_{CY} = \omega_b + i \partial \bar{\partial} u$ then follows from Theorem 5.1. \square

6. Polyhomogeneity at Infinity for Asymptotically Conical Calabi–Yau Metrics

Another important class of complete noncompact quasi-projective Calabi–Yau spaces are those which are asymptotically conical at infinity. These are conformal to asymptotically cylindrical metrics, so essentially the same techniques as above can be used to prove their polyhomogeneity. Since this is only a slight detour, we carry this out here.

We begin with a more careful definition of asymptotically conical metrics. Once again, let \tilde{M} be a compact manifold with connected boundary $\partial \tilde{M}$. Fix a collar neighborhood of the boundary described by some diffeomorphism $c : \partial \tilde{M} \times [0, \nu) \hookrightarrow \tilde{M}$. The projection $\text{pr}_R : \partial \tilde{M} \times [0, \nu) \rightarrow [0, \nu)$ determines a boundary defining function ρ in this neighborhood, which we then extend smoothly to all of \tilde{M} . Having fixed ρ , consider the Lie algebra of **scattering** vector fields,

$$\mathcal{V}_{sc}(\tilde{M}) = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\tilde{M}; T\tilde{M}) \mid \xi \rho \in \rho^2 \mathcal{C}^\infty(\tilde{M}; T\tilde{M}) \}. \tag{6.1}$$

This is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{V}_b(\tilde{M})$ and its definition depends on the choice of ρ . As for b -vector fields, there is an associated **scattering tangent bundle** ${}^{sc}T\tilde{M}$ with

$${}^{sc}T_p\tilde{M} = \mathcal{V}_{sc}(\tilde{M})/I_p\mathcal{V}_{sc}(\tilde{M}), \quad I_p = \{ f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\tilde{M}) \mid f(p) = 0 \}.$$

There is a canonical morphism $\iota_{sc} : {}^{sc}T\tilde{M} \rightarrow T\tilde{M}$ such that $(\iota_{sc})_* \mathcal{C}^\infty(\tilde{M}; {}^{sc}T\tilde{M}) = \mathcal{V}_{sc}(\tilde{M}) \subset \mathcal{C}^\infty(\tilde{M}; T\tilde{M})$, inducing on ${}^{sc}T\tilde{M}$ the structure of a Lie algebroid with anchor map $(\iota_{sc})_*$. Just as for ι_b , ι_{sc} is only an isomorphism when restricted to the interior of \tilde{M} .

There is a space of scattering differential operators, $\text{Diff}_{sc}^*(\tilde{M})$, where an element of order k is generated by $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\tilde{M})$ and products of up to k sc -vector fields. We can also consider the space of polyhomogeneous scattering differential operators

$$\text{Diff}_{sc,F}^k(\tilde{M}) = \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^F(\tilde{M}) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\tilde{M})} \text{Diff}_{sc}^k(\tilde{M}).$$

Definition 6.1. A scattering metric g on $M = \tilde{M} \setminus \partial \tilde{M}$ is a complete Riemannian metric on M of the form

$$g = (\iota_{\text{sc}}^{-1})^* g_{\text{sc}}$$

for some positive definite section $g_{\text{sc}} \in C^\infty(\tilde{M}; \text{Sym}^2(\text{sc}T^*\tilde{M}))$. It is a **warped product scattering metric** if in the collar neighborhood,

$$c^*g = \frac{d\rho^2}{\rho^4} + \frac{g_{\partial\tilde{M}}}{\rho^2} \tag{6.2}$$

where $g_{\partial\tilde{M}}$ is a metric on $\partial\tilde{M}$, and it is **exact** if $g - g_p \in \rho C^\infty(\tilde{M}; \text{Sym}^2(\text{sc}T^*\tilde{M}))$ for some warped product scattering metric g_p .

If g is a scattering metric, then $g_b = \rho^2 g$ is a b -metric; with this correspondence, warped product and exact scattering metrics correspond to product and exact b -metrics. Under the change of variable $t = 1/x$, we recognize a warped product scattering metric as an exact conical metric

$$dt^2 + t^2 g_{\partial\tilde{M}}, \quad t > \frac{1}{\nu}.$$

More generally, cf. [9], a complete metric g on M is an **asymptotically conical metric** on M if there is a choice of collar neighborhood, compatible boundary defining function ρ , and warped product scattering metric g_p such that

$$g - g_p \in \rho^\delta C_b^\infty(\tilde{M}; \text{Sym}^2(\text{sc}T^*\tilde{M})) \quad \text{for some } \delta > 0.$$

An asymptotically conical metric g is called a **polyhomogeneous scattering metric** if $g \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^F(\tilde{M}; \text{Sym}^2(\text{sc}T^*\tilde{M}))$ for some $F \geq 0$. Notice that the exactness condition is assumed.

Let Δ_{sc} be the Laplacian (with negative spectrum) associated to $g_{\text{sc}} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^F(\tilde{M}; \text{Sym}^2(\text{sc}T^*\tilde{M}))$. In the collar neighborhood, and for some $\delta > 0$,

$$\Delta_{\text{sc}} - \rho^2 \left(\Delta_{\partial\tilde{M}} + \left(\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} \right)^2 - (n-2)\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} \right) \in \rho^\delta \text{Diff}_{\text{sc}, F'}^2(\tilde{M}) \subset \rho^{2+\delta} \text{Diff}_{b, F'}^2(\tilde{M}),$$

where $F' \geq 0$. In particular, $A := \rho^{-2} \Delta_{\text{sc}} \in \text{Diff}_{b, F'}^2(\tilde{M})$ is an elliptic b -operator with indicial family

$$\hat{A}(\tau) = \Delta_{\partial\tilde{M}} - \tau^2 - i(n-2)\tau.$$

We may thus apply Corollary 3.8 directly to obtain the following.

Corollary 6.2. Let g_{sc} be a polyhomogeneous scattering metric. If $u \in \rho^\alpha C_b^\infty(M)$ satisfies

$$\Delta_{\text{sc}} u = \rho^2 (f_1 + f_2) \quad \text{with } f_1 \in \rho^{\alpha+\beta} C_b^\infty(M), \quad f_2 \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^G(\tilde{M}_q),$$

for some $\beta > 0$ and some index set G with $\inf G > \alpha$, then $u = u_1 + u_2$ with $u_1 \in \bigcap_{\delta>0} \rho^{\alpha+\beta-\delta} C_b^\infty(M)$ and u_2 polyhomogeneous.

We now turn to the complex Monge–Ampère equation. Suppose that $\tilde{M} \setminus \partial \tilde{M}$ is a complex manifold and that the complex structure J extends to an element $J \in$

$\mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^Q(\tilde{M}; \text{End}({}^{\text{sc}}T\tilde{M}))$ for some $Q \geq 0$. Suppose g_{sc} is a polyhomogeneous scattering metric which is Kähler with respect to J , and has Kähler form ω_{sc} .

Theorem 6.3. *Let F be a positive index set. If $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^F(\tilde{M})$ and for some $\epsilon > 0$, $u \in \rho^{\epsilon-2}\mathcal{C}_b^\infty(M)$ satisfies*

$$\frac{(\omega_{\text{sc}} + i\partial\bar{\partial}u)^n}{\omega_{\text{sc}}^n} = e^f, \tag{6.3}$$

then $u \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^{G-2}(\tilde{M}_q)$ for some $G > 0$.

Proof. The strategy is the same as in the proof of Theorem 5.1, with small variations.

It suffices to show that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a positive index set G^k and $u_k \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^{G^k-2}(\tilde{M})$ such that

$$u - u_k \in \rho^{\frac{k\epsilon}{2}-2+\delta}\mathcal{C}_b^\infty(M), \tag{6.4}$$

where $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{4}$. For $k = 1$, we take $u_1 = 0$ and $G^1 = \emptyset$.

Suppose that (6.4) holds for some $u_k \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^{G^k-2}(\tilde{M}_q)$ with $G^k > 0$; we must show that (6.4) holds at the next level. Just as before, replace u_k by $\chi(\frac{\rho}{r})u_k$ with $r \ll 1$ to make the $\rho^{-2}\mathcal{C}_b^\infty(M)$ -norm of u_k small enough so that

$$\frac{\omega_{\text{sc}}}{2} < \omega_{\text{sc}} + i\partial\bar{\partial}u_k < 2\omega_{\text{sc}}.$$

Thus, $\omega_{\text{sc},k} := \omega_{\text{sc}} + i\partial\bar{\partial}u_k$ is the Kähler form of a polyhomogeneous sc-metric. By our inductive hypothesis, $v_k := u - u_k \in \rho^{\frac{k\epsilon}{2}-2+\delta}\mathcal{C}_b^\infty(M)$ satisfies

$$\frac{(\omega_{\text{sc},k} + i\partial\bar{\partial}v_k)^n}{\omega_{\text{sc},k}^n} = e^{f_k}, \quad \text{with } f_k = f + \log\left(\frac{\omega_{\text{sc}}^n}{\omega_{\text{sc},k}^n}\right). \tag{6.5}$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^F(\tilde{M})$ and $u_k \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^{G^k}(\tilde{M})$, we see that $f_k \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^{\tilde{G}^k}(\tilde{M}_q)$ for some $\tilde{G}^k > 0$. Moreover, by (6.4),

$$f_k = f + \log\left(\frac{\omega_{\text{sc}}^n}{\omega_{\text{sc},k}^n}\right) = \log\left(\frac{(\omega_{\text{sc}} + i\partial\bar{\partial}u)^n}{\omega_{\text{sc}}^n}\right) - \log\left(\frac{\omega_{\text{sc},k}^n}{\omega_{\text{sc}}^n}\right)$$

is in $\rho^{\frac{k\epsilon}{2}+\delta}\mathcal{C}_b^\infty(M)$. Now rewrite (6.5) as

$$1 + \Delta_{\omega_{\text{sc},k}} v_k + \sum_{j=2}^n N_j^{\omega_{\text{sc},k}}(v_k) = e^{f_k}, \tag{6.6}$$

where $\Delta_{\omega_{\text{sc},k}}$ is the $\bar{\partial}$ -Laplacian associated to the Kähler form $\omega_{\text{sc},k}$, and where

$$N_j^{\omega_{\text{sc},k}}(h) = \frac{n!}{(n-j)!j!} \left(\frac{\omega_{\text{sc},k}^{n-j} \wedge (i\partial\bar{\partial}h)^j}{\omega_{\text{sc},k}} \right), \quad h \in \rho^{-2}\mathcal{C}_b^\infty(M).$$

By (6.4), we deduce that $N_j^{\omega_{sc,k}}(v_k) \in \rho^{\frac{ik\epsilon}{2} + j\delta} C_b^\infty(M)$, so that

$$\Delta_{\omega_{sc,k}} v_k = w_k + (e^{fk} - 1),$$

where $w_k \in \rho^{k\epsilon + 2\delta} C_b^\infty(M)$ and $(e^{fk} - 1) \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^{H^k}(\tilde{M}) \cap \rho^{\frac{k\epsilon}{2} + \delta} C_b^\infty(M)$ for some $H^k \geq 0$.

By Corollary 6.2, we can find a polyhomogeneous function $h_{k+1} \in \rho^{\frac{k\epsilon}{2} - 2 + \delta} C_b^\infty(M)$ such that

$$v_k - h_{k+1} \in \rho^{k\epsilon - 2 + \delta} C_b^\infty(M).$$

Now take $u_{k+1} = u_k + h_{k+1} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phg}}^{G^{k+1} - 2}(\tilde{M}_q)$ for some positive index set G^{k+1} . Since $u - u_{k+1} \in \rho^{k\epsilon - 2 + \delta} C_b^\infty(M)$, we have in particular that

$$u_{k+1} = (u_{k+1} - u) + u \in \rho^{\epsilon - 2} C_b^\infty(M),$$

which completes the inductive step and the proof. \square

This result can be used to prove the polyhomogeneity at infinity of the asymptotically conical Calabi–Yau metrics which come from the construction of Tian–Yau [44, Corollary 1.1] and its refinement and generalization [8, Theorem A]. Let \overline{M} be a compact Kähler orbifold of complex dimension $n > 1$ without \mathbb{C} -codimension 1 singularities. Let D be a suborbifold divisor of \overline{M} containing all the singularities of \overline{M} such that $-K_{\overline{M}} = q[D]$ with $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q > 1$. Suppose that D admits a Kähler–Einstein metric with positive scalar curvature. By the orbifold Calabi ansatz [6], [32, Proposition 3.1], there exists a Calabi–Yau cone structure h on $K_D \setminus 0$. Using the $(q - 1)$ -covering map $\eta : N_D \setminus 0 \rightarrow K_D \setminus 0$ induced by the adjunction formula $N_D^{q-1} \cong K_D^{-1}$ and a choice of meromorphic volume form Ω on \overline{M} with pole of order q along D , the pullback of h is a Calabi–Yau cone metric g_0 on $N_D \setminus 0$ with apex at infinity. Consider the real blow-up $\tilde{M} = [\overline{M}; D]$ of \overline{M} ; this is a smooth manifold with boundary. We can then write

$$g_0 = \frac{dx^2}{x^4} + \frac{h}{x^2},$$

where $x = \rho^{\frac{q-1}{n}}$ for some boundary defining function $\rho \in C^\infty(\tilde{M})$. Thus g_0 is a scattering metric in terms of this new defining function. What is actually happening here is that we are replacing the original smooth manifold with boundary \tilde{M} by a new one, $\tilde{M}_{\frac{q-1}{n}}$, where the (equivalent) C^∞ structure is the one obtained by adjoining this new defining function, or equivalently, by pulling back the original C^∞ structure under the obvious homeomorphism. In this new structure, smooth functions on \tilde{M} have Taylor expansions in nonnegative integral powers of x rather than ρ , etc. Notice, however, that a function which is polyhomogeneous in the new structure is polyhomogeneous in the original structure, and vice versa, and the notions of positivity and nonnegativity of index sets remain the same, even though the index sets themselves transform.

Corollary 6.4. *If \mathfrak{t} is a Kähler class on $M = \overline{M} \setminus D$ and $c \geq 0$, then there exists a unique Calabi–Yau polyhomogeneous scattering metric g_{CY} on $\tilde{M}_{\frac{q-1}{n}}$ in the Kähler class \mathfrak{t} with*

$$g_{\text{CY}} - \exp_*(cg_0) \in x^\delta C^\infty(\tilde{M}_{\frac{q-1}{n}}; \text{Sym}^2(\text{sc}T^*\tilde{M}_{\frac{q-1}{n}}))$$

for some $\delta > 0$, where $\exp : N_D \rightarrow \overline{M}$ is the exponential map of any background Hermitian metric on \overline{M} .

Proof. By assumption, there exists a meromorphic volume form Ω on \overline{M} with a pole of order q at D , so in particular, Ω defines a polyhomogeneous scattering volume form on \widetilde{M}_{q-1} . It is shown in [8, Proposition 2.1] that

$$\Omega - (-1)^n (q - 1)^{-1} \exp_*(\eta^* \Omega_0) \in x^{\frac{n}{q-1}} \mathcal{C}_b^\infty(\widetilde{M}_{q-1}; \Lambda^n({}^{sc}T^* \widetilde{M}_{q-1})), \tag{6.7}$$

where Ω_0 is the tautological holomorphic volume form on K_D . In addition, it is proven that the Kähler class \mathfrak{t} (and indeed, any Kähler class on M), can be represented by a **smooth** real $(1, 1)$ -form ξ on \widetilde{M} . This shows in particular that \mathfrak{t} is (-2) -almost compactly supported in the sense of [9, Definition 2.3]. From [9, Proof of Theorem 2.4], one can then construct an asymptotically conical Kähler metric g_{sc} in the Kähler class \mathfrak{t} with Kähler form ω_{sc} such that

$$\omega_{sc} = \xi + c(i/2)\partial\bar{\partial}(\exp_* r^2)$$

in a neighborhood of the boundary of \widetilde{M} , where r is the radial function of the metric g_0 . Since ξ is smooth on \overline{M} , it is in particular polyhomogeneous on \widetilde{M}_{q-1} , so that g_{sc} is in fact a polyhomogeneous exact scattering metric with

$$g_{sc} - \exp_*(cg_0) \in x^\delta \mathcal{C}_b^\infty(\widetilde{M}_{q-1}; \text{Sym}^2({}^{sc}T^* \widetilde{M}_{q-1})) \tag{6.8}$$

for some $\delta > 0$.

The existence and uniqueness of g_{CY} with Kähler form $\omega_{CY} = \omega_{sc} + i\partial\bar{\partial}u$ is obtained in [9] by showing that the complex Monge–Ampère equation

$$\frac{(\omega_{sc} + i\partial\bar{\partial}u)^n}{\omega_{sc}^n} = e^f, \quad \text{with } f = \log\left(\frac{i^{n^2}\Omega \wedge \overline{\Omega}}{\omega_{sc}^n}\right), \tag{6.9}$$

has a unique solution $u \in \rho^{\delta-2}\mathcal{C}_b^\infty(M)$ for some $\delta > 0$. By (6.7) and (6.8), $f \in \rho^\delta \mathcal{C}_b^\infty(M; \Lambda^n({}^{sc}T^* \widetilde{M}))$. Since Ω and ω_{sc} are polyhomogeneous, f is also polyhomogeneous. Thus, the polyhomogeneity of u and ω_{CY} follows from Theorem 6.3. \square

Theorem 6.3 can also be used to show that the asymptotically conical Calabi–Yau metrics of Goto [16] and van Coevering [46] on a crepant resolution of an irregular Calabi–Yau cone are polyhomogeneous. Indeed, let $C = L \times \mathbb{R}_+$ be an irregular Calabi–Yau cone of dimension n with Calabi–Yau cone metric g_0 , associated Kähler form ω_0 , and holomorphic volume form Ω_0 normalized so that $\omega_0^n = i^{n^2}\Omega_0 \wedge \overline{\Omega}_0$. Furthermore, let $p : C \rightarrow L$ denote the radial projection and let $\pi : M \rightarrow C$ be any crepant resolution, so that the holomorphic volume form $\pi^*\Omega_0$ extends to a holomorphic volume form Ω on M . For a given $c > 0$, an asymptotically conical Kähler metric g_{sc} can be constructed in each Kähler class \mathfrak{t} of M whose Kähler form ω_{sc} can be written as

$$\omega_{sc} = \pi^* p^* \alpha + c\pi^* \omega_0$$

outside some compact subset of M , for some closed primitive basic $(1, 1)$ -form α on L ; see [16, Lemma 5.7] and also [9, Section 4.2]. We compactify M as a manifold with boundary \widetilde{M} using the boundary defining function $x = (\pi^* r)^{-1}$, where r is the radial coordinate of the cone metric g_0 . Then, since both M and C are biholomorphic away

from the exceptional set of the resolution, and since the form $\pi^* p^* \alpha$ clearly extends to $\partial \tilde{M}$, we see that the metric g_{sc} is a polyhomogeneous exact scattering metric with

$$g_{sc} - \pi_*(c g_0) \in x^\delta \mathcal{C}_b^\infty(\tilde{M}; \text{Sym}^2({}^{sc}T^*\tilde{M}))$$

for some $\delta > 0$. As before, the existence of an asymptotically conical Calabi–Yau metric g_{CY} with Kähler form $\omega_{CY} = \omega_{sc} + i\partial\bar{\partial}u$ is obtained by showing that the complex Monge–Ampère equation

$$\frac{(\omega_{sc} + i\partial\bar{\partial}u)^n}{\omega_{sc}^n} = e^f, \quad \text{with } f = \log\left(\frac{(\pi^*\omega_0)^n}{\omega_{sc}^n}\right),$$

has a unique solution $u \in x^{\delta-2}\mathcal{C}_b^\infty(M)$ for some $\delta > 0$. Polyhomogeneity of u , and hence ω_{CY} , then follows from Theorem 6.3 using the fact that f is polyhomogeneous because ω_{sc} is.

As for the asymptotically conical Calabi–Yau metrics of [8, Theorem C] with irregular tangent cone at infinity, one can show that they too are polyhomogeneous. In this example, the irregular cone is $C = K_D \setminus 0$ with $D = \mathbb{C}P^2_{\rho_1, \rho_2}$ the blow-up of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ at two points. The asymptotically conical Calabi–Yau metric is constructed on $M = \overline{M} \setminus D$ with $\overline{M} = \mathbb{C}P^3_p$ the blow-up of $\mathbb{C}P^3$ at one point, where $D \in |-\frac{1}{2}K_{\overline{M}}|$ is seen as the strict transform of a smooth quadric passing through p . By [14], we know that $C = K_D \setminus 0$ admits an irregular Calabi–Yau cone metric g_0 with apex at the zero section. The Calabi–Yau metric of [8, Theorem C] is then constructed using a very careful choice of exponential type map $\text{exp} : N_D \rightarrow \overline{M}$. Notice however that this map does not provide the right gauge to establish the polyhomogeneity of the metric, since it introduces non-polyhomogeneous terms in the complex Monge–Ampère equation used to construct the metric. In fact, in [8], a better diffeomorphism $\Phi : M \setminus K_1 \rightarrow C \setminus K_2$ for some compact sets $K_1 \subset M$ and $K_2 \subset C$ is obtained using a gauge fixing argument as in [7]. With this identification, we get a compactification \tilde{M} of M such that $g := \Phi^*g_0$ is a scattering metric and such that $\rho = \frac{1}{\Phi^*r}$, with r the radial function of (C, g_0) , is a boundary defining function near $\partial \tilde{M}$. With respect to the metric g , the Calabi–Yau metric $g_{CY} = g + h$ of [8, Theorem C] satisfies the elliptic quasi-linear equation

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}(g_0 + h)_{ij} + (\nabla_i \mathfrak{B}_g(h))_j + \nabla_j \mathfrak{B}_g(h)_i &= 0 \\ \text{with } h \in \rho^\delta \mathcal{C}_b^\infty(\tilde{M}; {}^{sc}T^*\tilde{M} \otimes {}^{sc}T^*\tilde{M}) \text{ for } \delta = 0.0128 > 0, \end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

where $\mathfrak{B}_g = \text{div}_g(h - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_g(h)g)$ is the operator appearing in the Bianchi gauge condition and ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of g . Using [9, Lemma 1.6], one can put this equation in the form

$$Ph = F_0(h) \cdot h^2 + F_1(h) \cdot \left(\frac{h\nabla h}{\rho}\right) + F_2(h) \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla h}{\rho}\right)^2, \tag{6.11}$$

where P is an elliptic b -operator, $F_i : \text{Sym}^2({}^{sc}T^*\tilde{M}) \rightarrow ({}^{sc}T\tilde{M})^i \otimes \text{Sym}^2({}^{sc}T\tilde{M})$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$ are smooth maps mapping sections to sections, but not linearly, and “ \cdot ” denotes some contraction of indices. In particular, the right hand side of (6.11) is in $\rho^{2\delta}\mathcal{C}_b^\infty(\tilde{M}; {}^{sc}T^*\tilde{M} \otimes {}^{sc}T^*\tilde{M})$. Using Corollary 3.8, we can then apply a bootstrapping argument as in the proof of Theorem 5.1 to conclude that the metric g_{CY} of [8, Theorem C] is in fact a polyhomogeneous exact scattering metric for the boundary compactification \tilde{M} .

7. Deformations of Compactifiable Asymptotically Cylindrical Calabi–Yau Manifolds

We henceforth fix a compactifiable, asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau manifold (M, g_b) with compactification (\bar{M}, \bar{D}) . To describe the complex deformations of M , we appeal to the deformation theory of compactifiable complex manifolds developed by Kawamata [26]. There is a Kuranishi type theorem in this context. In our setting, however, the existence of a Calabi–Yau metric makes it possible to obtain a sharper result, namely that the deformation theory is unobstructed.

First, recall from [26] that the infinitesimal complex deformations of M , as a compactifiable complex manifold, are given by $H^1(\bar{M}; T_{\bar{M}}(\log \bar{D}))$, where $T_{\bar{M}}(\log \bar{D})$ is the logarithmic tangent sheaf. Given a Dolbeault representative $\phi_1 \in \Omega^{0,1}(\bar{M}; T_{\bar{M}}(\log \bar{D}))$ of a class $[\phi_1] \in H^1(\bar{M}; T_{\bar{M}}(\log \bar{D})) \cong H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,1}(\bar{M}; T_{\bar{M}}(\log \bar{D}))$, the first step in ‘integrating’ ϕ_1 to an actual deformation is to solve the problem formally. In other words, we wish to construct a possibly non-convergent series

$$\phi(t) \sim \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \phi_i t^i, \quad t \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{7.1}$$

term by term so that the new formal $\bar{\partial}$ -operator $\bar{\partial} + \phi(t)$ satisfies the Maurer–Cartan equation $\bar{\partial}\phi(t) + \frac{1}{2}[\phi(t), \phi(t)] = 0$ in the sense of Taylor series. This equation is the one which indicates whether this $\bar{\partial}$ operator is integrable, i.e., corresponds to a new complex structure. In terms of the coefficients of the power series (7.1), the Maurer–Cartan equation implies the sequence of equations

$$\bar{\partial}\phi_k = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < k} [\phi_i, \phi_{k-i}]. \tag{7.2}$$

When $k = 1$, this states simply that $\bar{\partial}\phi_1 = 0$, which is automatic by definition of the Dolbeault cohomology group $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,1}(\bar{M}; T_{\bar{M}}(\log \bar{D}))$. When $k = 2$, this gives the equation

$$\bar{\partial}\phi_2 = -\frac{1}{2}[\phi_1, \phi_1]. \tag{7.3}$$

There is an obvious cohomological obstruction to solving this equation. Indeed, $[\phi_1, \phi_1]$ represents a class in $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,2}(\bar{M}; T_{\bar{M}}(\log \bar{D}))$ and (7.3) has a solution if and only if this class is trivial. But in our case, as we now explain, this obstruction always vanishes—so do the obstructions inherent to solving (7.2) for all higher values of k . The proof takes advantage of the asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau metric g_b and uses the same strategy of Tian and Todorov [42, 45]; we refer also to [21] for a nice introduction to the subject.

By using the meromorphic form $\Omega \in H^0(\bar{M}; \Omega_{\bar{M}}^n(\log \bar{D}))$, we first define a sheaf isomorphism

$$\eta : \Lambda^p T_{\bar{M}}(\log \bar{D}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\bar{M}}^{n-p}(\log \bar{D}), \quad \eta(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_p) = \iota_{v_1} \cdots \iota_{v_p} \Omega. \tag{7.4}$$

This induces an isomorphism

$$\eta : \Omega_b^{0,q}(\tilde{M}, \Lambda^p({}^b T^{1,0} \tilde{M})) \rightarrow \Omega_b^{n-p,q}(\tilde{M}), \tag{7.5}$$

which in turn can be used to define the b -operator

$$T : \Omega_b^{0,q}(\tilde{M}; \Lambda^p({}^bT^{1,0}\tilde{M})) \rightarrow \Omega_b^{0,q}(\tilde{M}; \Lambda^{p-1}({}^bT^{1,0}\tilde{M})), \quad T = \eta^{-1} \circ \partial \circ \eta. \tag{7.6}$$

It is not hard to check, see [21], that T anti-commutes with $\bar{\partial}$, namely

$$T \circ \bar{\partial} = -\bar{\partial} \circ T. \tag{7.7}$$

In addition, T satisfies the following fundamental property.

Lemma 7.1 (Tian, Todorov). *For*

$$\alpha \in \Omega_b^{0,p}(\tilde{M}; T_{\bar{M}}(\log \bar{D})) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta \in \Omega_b^{0,q}(\tilde{M}; T_{\bar{M}}(\log \bar{D})),$$

we have that

$$(-1)^p[\alpha, \beta] = T(\alpha \wedge \beta) - T(\alpha) \wedge \beta - (-1)^{p+1}\alpha \wedge T(\beta).$$

In particular, if α and β are T -closed, then $[\alpha, \beta]$ is T -exact.

Proof. The proof is a local computation; see [21] for details. \square

We are now ready to solve (7.2) by induction on k .

Proposition 7.2. *Let (M, g_b) be a compactifiable asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau manifold with compactification \bar{M} . Suppose that $\phi_1 \in L_b^2\mathcal{H}_-^{0,1}(M; {}^bT^{1,0}\tilde{M})$ represents an infinitesimal deformation. Then there exists a formal power series $\sum_{k=0}^\infty \phi_k t^k$ with*

$$\bar{\partial}\phi_k = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} [\phi_i, \phi_{k-i}], \tag{7.8}$$

where each ϕ_k is a bounded polyhomogeneous $(0, 1)$ -form with values in ${}^bT^{1,0}\tilde{M}$ such that $\eta(\phi_k) = \partial\beta_k$ for some polyhomogeneous form β_k .

Proof. We first claim that if ϕ_1 is harmonic, then $\eta(\phi_1) \in \rho^{-\epsilon} H_b^\infty \Omega^{n-1,1}(M)$ is harmonic as well. Indeed, since Ω is holomorphic, $\bar{\partial} \circ \eta = \eta \circ \bar{\partial}$, so that $\bar{\partial}\eta(\phi_1) = 0$. Next, since g_b is Calabi–Yau, η is compatible (up to a constant scalar factor) with the Hermitian metrics on ${}^bT^{1,0}\tilde{M}$ and $\Lambda^{n,0}({}^bT^*\tilde{M})$, so that $\bar{\partial}^* \circ \eta = \eta \circ \bar{\partial}^*$, and hence $\bar{\partial}^* \eta(\phi_1) = 0$ as well.

Since ϕ_1 is bounded polyhomogeneous, so is $\eta(\phi_1)$, so applying Lemma 4.9 to $\eta(\phi_1)$ and its complex conjugate shows that it is both $\bar{\partial}$ -closed and ∂ -closed. Hence, by Lemma 7.1, $\eta([\phi_1, \phi_1])$ is ∂ -exact and $\bar{\partial}$ -closed, i.e., $\eta([\phi_1, \phi_1]) = \partial\beta$ with $\beta = -\eta(\phi_1 \wedge \phi_1)$ bounded polyhomogeneous. By Lemma 4.10 (the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma), we can find a polyhomogeneous $(n - 1, 1)$ -form μ with $\partial\mu$ bounded such that

$$\eta([\phi_1, \phi_1]) = \bar{\partial}\partial\mu.$$

Thus, taking $\phi_2 = -\frac{1}{2}\eta^{-1}\partial\mu$, we have that

$$\bar{\partial}\phi_2 + \frac{1}{2}[\phi_1, \phi_1] = 0.$$

Furthermore, $\eta(\phi_2) = -\frac{1}{2}\partial\mu$ is ∂ -exact.

Suppose now that we have found $\phi_2, \dots, \phi_{k-1}$ with the desired properties. Then by the Tian–Todorov Lemma,

$$\eta[\phi_i, \phi_{k-i}] = -\partial\eta(\phi_i \wedge \phi_{k-i}),$$

i.e., $\eta([\phi_i, \phi_{k-i}])$ is ∂ -exact for $i < k$. Thus, $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} [\phi_i, \phi_{k-i}]$ is ∂ -exact. It also $\bar{\partial}$ -closed, since

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} [\phi_i, \phi_{k-i}] \right) &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} ([\bar{\partial}\phi_i, \phi_{k-i}] - [\phi_i, \bar{\partial}\phi_{k-i}]) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} [[\phi_j, \phi_{i-j}], \phi_{k-i}] - \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-i-1} [\phi_i, [\phi_\ell, \phi_{k-i-\ell}]] \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} ([[\phi_j, \phi_{i-j}], \phi_{k-i}] - [\phi_{k-i}, [\phi_j, \phi_{i-j}]]) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} [\phi_{k-i}, [\phi_j, \phi_{i-j}]]. \end{aligned} \tag{7.9}$$

But this is precisely equal to the coefficient of t^k in

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \phi_i t^i, \left[\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \phi_i t^i, \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \phi_i t^i \right] \right],$$

and therefore vanishes by the Jacobi identity. By Lemma 4.10, we can thus find a polyhomogeneous $(n-1, 1)$ -form μ_k with $\partial\mu_k$ bounded such that $\bar{\partial}\mu_k = -\frac{1}{2}\eta(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} [\phi_i, \phi_{k-i}])$. Now take $\phi_k = \eta^{-1}\partial\mu_k$ to complete the inductive step. \square

To find actual deformation families, we wish to show that this formal series converges in a suitable topology, and for this we must study the mapping properties of a generalized inverse of $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}$. Fix ϵ as in (4.11) and consider the generalized inverse $G_{-\epsilon}$ of

$$\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} : \rho^{-\epsilon} H_b^{k+2} \Omega^{p,q}(M) \rightarrow \rho^{-\epsilon} H_b^k \Omega^{p,q}(M) \tag{7.10}$$

in the sense of [35, Proposition 5.64] and [34, Theorem 6.1]. Namely,

$$G_{-\epsilon} : \rho^{-\epsilon} H_b^k \Omega^{p,q}(M) \rightarrow \rho^{-\epsilon} H_b^{k+2} \Omega^{p,q}(M)$$

is the unique b -pseudodifferential operator of order -2 which satisfies

$$G_{-\epsilon} \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} = \text{Id} - \Pi_1, \quad \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} G_{-\epsilon} = \text{Id} - \Pi_0,$$

where Π_1 is the $\rho^{-\epsilon} L_b^2$ -orthogonal projection onto $\ker_{-}^{p,q} \Delta_{\bar{\partial}}$ and

$$\Pi_0 : \rho^{-\epsilon} L_b^2 \Omega^{p,q}(M) \rightarrow L_b^2 \mathcal{H}^{p,q}(M) \hookrightarrow \rho^{-\epsilon} L_b^2 \Omega^{p,q}(M)$$

is the projection defined by

$$\Pi_0(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \langle u, v_i \rangle_{L_b^2} v_i,$$

where v_1, \dots, v_ℓ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2 \mathcal{H}^{p,q}(M)$.

Proposition 7.3. *For any $\delta \in [0, \inf \mathcal{I}_0)$,*

$$\partial \bar{\partial}^* G_{-\epsilon} : \rho^\delta \mathcal{C}_{g_b}^{k,\alpha}(M; \Lambda^{p,q}(M)) \rightarrow \rho^\delta \mathcal{C}_{g_b}^{k,\alpha}(M; \Lambda^{p+1,q-1}(M))$$

is a bounded operator.

Proof. We need to invoke some of the more technical aspects of the structure of the Schwartz kernel of this operator, for which we refer to [34, 35] and also to [22], where a very similar but more complicated result is proven. First note that $\partial \bar{\partial}^* G_{-\epsilon}$ is a b -pseudodifferential operator of order zero. A priori, its Schwartz kernel could have a leading logarithmic term at order ρ^0 in its polyhomogeneous expansion at the left boundary face $\text{lb}(M_b^2)$ of the b -double space. However, we rule this out by observing that this operator maps polyhomogeneous forms with positive index sets to polyhomogeneous forms with positive index sets. To check this last fact, note that if β is polyhomogeneous with positive index set, then $\psi = G_{-\epsilon}\beta$ is polyhomogeneous and $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}\psi = \beta + \gamma$ with $\gamma \in L_b^2 \mathcal{H}^{p,q}(M)$. Thus, Lemma 4.8 implies that $\partial \bar{\partial}^* \psi$ is bounded polyhomogeneous. Applying this lemma once more, this time to the complex conjugate of $\partial \bar{\partial}^* \psi$, we conclude that $\partial \bar{\partial}^* \psi$ is polyhomogeneous with positive index set.

This property implies that the index set E_{lb} of the polyhomogeneous expansion of the Schwartz kernel of $\partial \bar{\partial}^* G_{-\epsilon}$ is strictly positive; in fact, $\inf E_{\text{lb}} \geq \inf \mathcal{I}_0$. Now [34, Proposition 3.27] shows that

$$\partial \bar{\partial}^* G_{-\epsilon} : \rho^\delta \mathcal{C}_{g_b}^{k,\alpha}(M; \Lambda^{p,q}(M)) \rightarrow \rho^\delta \mathcal{C}_{g_b}^{k,\alpha}(M; \Lambda^{p+1,q-1}(M)) \tag{7.11}$$

is bounded for $0 \leq \delta < \inf \mathcal{I}_0$. \square

We now define a function space slightly smaller than $\mathcal{C}_{g_b}^{k,\alpha}(M; \Lambda^{p,q}(M))$ in which restriction to $\partial \tilde{M}$ makes sense. Let $\chi \in C^\infty(M)$ equal 1 near $\partial \tilde{M}$ and be supported in a collar neighborhood $c : \partial \tilde{M} \times [0, 1) \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ of $\partial \tilde{M}$, and let $\pi : \partial \tilde{M} \times [0, 1) \rightarrow \partial \tilde{M}$ be the projection onto $\partial \tilde{M}$. For $0 < \delta < \inf \mathcal{I}_0$, define

$$\mathcal{C}_{0,\delta}^{k,\alpha}(M; \Lambda^{p,q}(M)) := \chi c_* \pi^* \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}(\partial \tilde{M}; \Lambda^{p,q}({}^b T^* \tilde{M}) \Big|_{\partial \tilde{M}}) + \rho^\delta \mathcal{C}_{g_b}^{k,\alpha}(M; \Lambda^{p,q}(M)). \tag{7.12}$$

This is a subspace of $\mathcal{C}_{g_b}^{k,\alpha}(M; \Lambda^{p,q}(M))$ and is naturally isomorphic to the direct sum

$$\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}(\partial \tilde{M}; \Lambda^{p,q}({}^b T_{\mathbb{C}}^* \tilde{M}) \Big|_{\partial \tilde{M}}) \oplus \rho^\delta \mathcal{C}_{g_b}^{k,\alpha}(M; \Lambda^{p,q}(M)).$$

The norm on this latter space induces a norm on $\mathcal{C}_{0,\delta}^{k,\alpha}(M; \Lambda^{p,q}(M))$.

Proposition 7.4. *For $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small,*

$$\partial \bar{\partial}^* G_{-\epsilon} : \mathcal{C}_{0,\delta}^{k,\alpha}(M; \Lambda^{p,q}(M)) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{0,\delta}^{k,\alpha}(M; \Lambda^{p+1,q-1}(M))$$

is bounded.

Proof. By definition, the indicial operator $I(P)$ of $P := \partial\bar{\partial}^* G_{-\epsilon}$ is the restriction of the Schwartz kernel of P to the front face of the b -double space. Recall from [35] that $I(P)$ is an \mathbb{R}^+ -invariant operator on the cylinder $\partial\tilde{M} \times (0, +\infty)_\rho$. Moreover, the corresponding indicial family $I(P, \lambda)$, which is the Mellin transform of $I(P)$, satisfies

$$I(P)\varpi^*u = \varpi^*I(P, 0)u \quad \text{for } u \in C^{k,\alpha}(\partial\tilde{M}; \Lambda^{p,q}({}^bT_{\mathbb{C}}^*\tilde{M})\Big|_{\partial\tilde{M}}), \tag{7.13}$$

where $\varpi : \partial\tilde{M} \times (0, +\infty)_\rho \rightarrow \partial\tilde{M}$ is the projection onto the left factor. Therefore,

$$\chi I(P)\chi\pi^*u = \chi\pi^*I(P, 0)u - \chi I(P)(1 - \chi)\varpi^*u. \tag{7.14}$$

Clearly,

$$I(P, 0) : C^{k,\alpha}(\partial\tilde{M}; \Lambda^{p,q}({}^bT_{\mathbb{C}}^*\tilde{M})\Big|_{\partial\tilde{M}}) \rightarrow C^{k,\alpha}(\partial\tilde{M}; \Lambda^{p+1,q-1}({}^bT_{\mathbb{C}}^*\tilde{M})\Big|_{\partial\tilde{M}})$$

is bounded. On the other hand, applying [34, Proposition 3.27] as in the proof Proposition 7.3, we see that

$$\chi I(P)(1 - \chi) : \varpi^*C^{k,\alpha}(\partial\tilde{M}; \Lambda^{p,q}({}^bT_{\mathbb{C}}^*\tilde{M})\Big|_{\partial\tilde{M}}) \rightarrow \rho^\delta C_{g_b}^{k,\alpha}(M; \Lambda^{p+1,q-1}(M))$$

is also bounded. One similarly checks that $\chi I(P)\chi$ is bounded on $\rho^\delta C_{g_b}^{k,\alpha}$ -forms. Altogether,

$$\chi I(P)\chi : C_{0,\delta}^{k,\alpha}(M; \Lambda^{p,q}(M)) \rightarrow C_{0,\delta}^{k,\alpha}(M; \Lambda^{p+1,q-1}(M)) \tag{7.15}$$

is bounded. Now, by construction, the Schwartz kernel of $P - \chi I(P)\chi$ has positive index sets at all front faces. Thus, by [34, Proposition 3.27],

$$P - \chi I(P)\chi : C^{k,\alpha}(M; \Lambda^{p,q}(M)) \rightarrow \rho^\delta C^{k,\alpha}(M; \Lambda^{p+1,q-1}(M)) \tag{7.16}$$

is bounded for δ sufficiently small. Combining (7.15) and (7.16) yields the result. \square

Theorem 7.5. *Let (M, g_b) be a compactifiable asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau manifold with compactification \bar{M} . Then the logarithmic deformations of M (in the sense of Kawamata [26]) are unobstructed.*

Proof. We construct the formal power series of Proposition 7.2 more systematically. Given an infinitesimal deformation $\phi_1 \in L_b^2\mathcal{H}_-^{0,1}(M; T_{\bar{M}}(\log \bar{D}))$, choose the coefficients of the power series of Proposition 7.2 by

$$\phi_k = \frac{1}{2}\eta^{-1} \left(\partial\bar{\partial}^* G_{-\epsilon} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \eta(\phi_j \wedge \phi_{k-j}) \right) \right), \tag{7.17}$$

since in the proof of the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma (Lemma 4.10), we can take $\psi = G_{-\epsilon}\beta$. By (7.17) and Proposition 7.4, if $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small, there is a positive constant $K_{k,\alpha}$ such that

$$\|\phi_\ell\|_{C_{\delta,0}^{k,\alpha}} \leq K_{k,\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \left(\|\phi_i\|_{C_{\delta,0}^{k,\alpha}} \cdot \|\phi_{\ell-i}\|_{C_{\delta,0}^{k,\alpha}} \right). \tag{7.18}$$

Now apply the argument of [27] to conclude that for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $\delta_m > 0$ such that

$$\phi(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_k t^k \tag{7.19}$$

converges in $C_{0,\delta}^{m,\alpha}$ for $|t| < \delta_m$. This does not immediately imply that $\phi(t)$ is smooth. To prove this, note that from its construction, ϕ is a solution of the non-linear equation

$$\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}\phi = \bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial}\phi = -\frac{1}{2}\bar{\partial}^*[\phi, \phi]. \tag{7.20}$$

This equation can be put in the form

$$\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}\phi + \phi \cdot P\phi = \nabla\phi \cdot \nabla\phi, \tag{7.21}$$

where P is some second order differential operator and “ \cdot ” denotes some contraction of indices. When ϕ is sufficiently small in C^0 -norm, this is a quasi-linear elliptic equation, so by taking δ_m smaller if necessary, we see that ϕ is smooth for $|t| < \delta_m$. Similarly, restricting this equation to the boundary, we see that $\phi(t)|_{\partial\tilde{M}}$ is smooth. Thus, $\phi_{\partial}(t) := \chi c^* \pi^*(\phi(t)|_{\partial\tilde{M}})$ is smooth and $v = \frac{\phi - \phi_{\partial}}{\rho^{\delta}}$ satisfies the equation

$$(\rho^{-\delta} \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \rho^{\delta})v = \rho^{-\delta} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\bar{\partial}^*[\phi, \phi] - \Delta_{\bar{\partial}}\phi_{\partial} \right). \tag{7.22}$$

By definition of ϕ_{∂} , the right hand side of (7.22) is in $C_{g_b}^{k,\alpha}(M; \Lambda^{0,1}(T^*M) \otimes T_{\bar{M}}(\log \bar{D}))$. Since (M, g_b) has bounded geometry, interior Schauder estimates and a bootstrapping argument imply that

$$v \in C_{g_b}^{\infty}(M; \Lambda^{0,1}(TM) \otimes T_{\bar{M}}(\log \bar{D})).$$

Consequently, $\phi = \phi_{\partial} + \rho^{\delta}v \in C_{0,\delta}^{\infty}(M; \Lambda^{0,1}(TM) \otimes T_{\bar{M}}(\log \bar{D}))$. Using (7.20) and Corollary 3.8, we can apply a bootstrapping argument as in the proof of Theorem 5.1 to show that ϕ is in fact polyhomogeneous. Proceeding as in [27], we also check that ϕ is smooth in t .

Finally, notice that by construction, $\phi_{\partial}(t) \in C^{\infty}(\partial\tilde{M}; \Lambda^{0,1}({}^bT^*\tilde{M}) \otimes T_{\bar{M}}(\log \bar{D})|_{\partial\tilde{M}})$ corresponds to a deformation of the complex structure of $N_{\bar{D}}\setminus 0$, i.e. a t -invariant deformation of $D \times \mathbb{C}^*$. From (5.7), we see that the Calabi–Yau metric on M induces on $D \times \mathbb{C}^*$ a Calabi–Yau cylindrical metric

$$g_{\partial} = g_D + \lambda \frac{dw \odot d\bar{w}}{|w|^2}.$$

The Calabi–Yau manifold $(D \times \mathbb{C}^*, g_{\partial})$ is naturally compactified by $D \times \mathbb{CP}^1$. For this compactification, we have a natural identification

$$\begin{aligned} L^2\mathcal{H}_-^{0,1}(D \times \mathbb{C}^*; T^{1,0}(D \times \mathbb{C}^*)) &\cong H^1(D \times \mathbb{CP}^1; T^{1,0}D \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D \times \mathbb{CP}^1}) \\ &\cong H^1(D; T^{1,0}D) \oplus H^1(D; \mathcal{O}_D) \\ &\cong \mathcal{H}^{0,1}(D; T^{1,0}D) \oplus \mathcal{H}^{0,1}(D), \end{aligned} \tag{7.23}$$

where in the last line the spaces of harmonic forms are defined with respect to the Calabi–Yau metric g_D . The identification

$$\Upsilon : \mathcal{H}^{0,1}(D; T^{1,0}D) \oplus \mathcal{H}^{0,1}(D) \rightarrow L^2\mathcal{H}_-^{0,1}(D \times \mathbb{C}^*; T^{1,0}(D \times \mathbb{C}^*))$$

is given by

$$\Upsilon(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \text{pr}_1^*(\omega_2) + \text{pr}_1^*(\omega_2) \otimes w \frac{\partial}{\partial w}, \tag{7.24}$$

where $\text{pr}_1 : D \times \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow D$ is the projection on the first factor. Notice in particular that elements of $L^2\mathcal{H}_-^{0,1}(D \times \mathbb{C}^*; T^{1,0}(D \times \mathbb{C}^*))$ are \mathbb{C}^* -invariant. Now, the restriction $\phi_\partial(t)$ of $\phi(t)$ can be recovered by applying the construction (7.17) to $D \times \mathbb{C}^*$ starting with the restriction $\phi_{1,\partial} \in L^2\mathcal{H}_-^{0,1}(D \times \mathbb{C}^*; T^{1,0}(D \times \mathbb{C}^*))$ of the infinitesimal deformation ϕ_1 . Since the Laplacian on $D \times \mathbb{C}^*$ is \mathbb{C}^* -invariant, so is the generalized inverse $G_{-\epsilon}$. This means that the construction (7.17) is carried out in a \mathbb{C}^* -invariant way, hence $\phi_\partial(t)$ is \mathbb{C}^* -invariant. We also deduce from (7.24) and (7.17) that $\phi_\partial(t)$ is of the form

$$\phi_\partial(t) = \text{pr}_1^* \mu_1 + \text{pr}_1^*(\mu_2) \otimes w \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \tag{7.25}$$

with $\mu_1 \in \Omega^{0,1}(D)$ and $\mu_2 \in \Omega^{0,1}(D; T^{1,0}D)$. In this decomposition, the first term corresponds to a deformation of the complex structure on D , while the second term corresponds to a deformation of the holomorphic structure of the trivial \mathbb{C}^* -bundle over D . This shows that $\phi_\partial(t)$ naturally extends to a deformation of $D \times \mathbb{C}$ (and $D \times \mathbb{C}P^1$). The whole construction is ι -invariant, so it descends to a deformation of $N_{\bar{D}}$ as a holomorphic orbifold line bundle.

The new line bundle obtained from such a deformation is not necessarily holomorphically trivial, but nevertheless, the proof of [18, Theorem 3.1] still works, so that there is a diffeomorphism ψ_t on M such that if J_t is the new complex structure defined by $\phi(t)$, then $\psi_t^* J_t$ extends to a smooth complex structure \bar{J}_t on \bar{M} , making (M, J_t) a compactifiable complex manifold as in Definition 5.2. \square

Combining this result with the result of Kovalev [30], we obtain the following.

Corollary 7.6. *Let (M, g_b) be a compactifiable asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau manifold with compactification \bar{M} . Then any Ricci-flat asymptotically cylindrical metric on M sufficiently close to g_b is Kähler with respect to some logarithmic deformation of the complex structure on M .*

We are also interested in studying relative logarithmic deformations, i.e., deformations which fix the complex structure on $N_{\bar{D}}$. Infinitesimal relative logarithmic deformations correspond to

$$\text{Im} \left(H^1(\bar{M}; T_{\bar{M}}(\log \bar{D})(-\bar{D})) \rightarrow H^1(\bar{M}; T_{\bar{M}}(\log \bar{D})) \right),$$

and by Theorem 4.6, this space is the same as $L_b^2\mathcal{H}^{0,1}(M; T_{\bar{M}}(\log \bar{D}))$.

Theorem 7.7. *Let (M, g_b) be a compactifiable asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau manifold with compactification \bar{M} . Then the relative logarithmic deformations of M are unobstructed.*

Proof. If $\phi_1 \in L_b^2\mathcal{H}^{0,1}(M; T_{\bar{M}}(\log \bar{D}))$ represents an infinitesimal deformation, then Theorem 7.5 gives a deformation (7.19). We must check that this solution $\phi(t)$ decays at infinity so that it is a relative logarithmic deformation.

Choosing $\delta < \inf \mathcal{I}_0$, we see from Proposition 7.3 that instead of (7.18), there is a positive constant $K_{k,\alpha}$ such that

$$\|\phi_\ell\|_{\rho^\delta \mathcal{C}_{g_b}^{k,\alpha}} \leq K_{k,\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \left(\|\phi_i\|_{\rho^\delta \mathcal{C}_{g_b}^{k,\alpha}} \cdot \|\phi_{\ell-i}\|_{\rho^\delta \mathcal{C}_{g_b}^{k,\alpha}} \right). \tag{7.26}$$

We thus conclude that for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $\delta_m > 0$ such that $\phi(t) \in \rho^\delta C_{g_b}^{m,\alpha}(M; \Lambda^{0,1}({}^bT^*M) \otimes T_{\overline{M}}(\log \overline{D}))$ for $|t| < \delta_m$. Since $\phi_\partial = 0$, we deduce from Theorem 7.5 that $\phi(t) = \rho^\delta v \in \rho^\delta C_{g_b}^\infty(M; \Lambda^{0,1}({}^bT^*M) \otimes T_{\overline{M}}(\log \overline{D}))$, which gives the desired decay. \square

8. A Families Index for Dirac-Type b -Operators with Fixed Indicial Family

We consider a smooth fibre bundle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{M} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{N} \\ & & \downarrow \phi \\ & & B \end{array} \tag{8.1}$$

with base B a smooth connected manifold and typical fibre \tilde{M} an even dimensional oriented manifold with boundary. We will suppose that the restriction of ϕ to the boundary of \tilde{N} induces the trivial fibre bundle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial \tilde{M} & \longrightarrow & \partial \tilde{N} = \partial \tilde{M} \times B \\ & & \downarrow \phi|_{\partial} \\ & & B, \end{array} \tag{8.2}$$

where $\phi|_{\partial} = \pi_R : \partial \tilde{M} \times B \rightarrow B$ is the projection onto the right factor. Let $\rho \in C^\infty(\tilde{N})$ be a choice of boundary defining function and let g_b be a family of fibrewise polyhomogeneous exact b -metrics on the fibres of (8.1) with restriction to $\partial \tilde{N}$ given by

$$g_b|_{\partial \tilde{N}} = \pi_L^* h,$$

where $\pi_L : \partial \tilde{M} \times B \rightarrow \partial \tilde{M}$ is the projection on the left factor and h is the restriction to $\partial \tilde{M}$ of an exact polyhomogeneous b -metric on $M = \tilde{M} \setminus \partial \tilde{M}$. In other words, the restriction of the family g_b to $\partial \tilde{N}$ is constant in $b \in B$. Let $\text{Cl}(\tilde{N}/B)$ be the family of Clifford bundles associated to ${}^bT(\tilde{N}/B)$ and g_b . Finally, let $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \tilde{N}$ be a smooth family of Clifford modules with Clifford connections $\nabla^\mathcal{E}$. Assume moreover that the restriction of $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla^\mathcal{E})$ to $\partial \tilde{N}$ is the pull-back under π_L of the restriction of a Clifford module with Clifford connection on \tilde{M} associated to the Clifford bundle $\text{Cl}({}^bT(\tilde{N}/B))|_{\phi^{-1}(b)}$ for some $b \in B$. In other words, $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla^\mathcal{E})|_{\partial \tilde{N}}$ is ‘constant’ in $b \in B$. Let $\tilde{\partial} \in \text{Diff}_b^1(\tilde{N}/B; \mathcal{E})$ be the corresponding family of Dirac-type operators. With our assumptions, the indicial operator $I(\tilde{\partial})$ is the same for each element of the family. We will further assume that

$$\dim \ker_{L^2} \tilde{\partial}^+(b) \text{ and } \dim \ker_{L^2} \tilde{\partial}^-(b) \text{ are independent of } b \in B. \tag{8.3}$$

A simple example of a family satisfying all of these hypotheses is the family of signature operators associated to g_b . More importantly for us is the family of Dolbeault operators associated to a family of asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau metrics; that these operators satisfy all of the conditions above is proved in Sect. 10.

If the operator $I(\tilde{\partial}, 0)$ is invertible, then Theorem 8.4, the main result of this section, is an immediate consequence of the families index theorem of Melrose and Piazza [36]. Thus, we shall concentrate on the case where $I(\tilde{\partial}, 0)$ is not invertible. The families

index theorem of Melrose and Piazza [36] does not apply then since this is no longer a Fredholm family. Nevertheless, assumption (8.3) together with the constancy of the family of indicial operators makes it possible to derive a local formula for the Chern character of the L^2 -index bundle of the family $\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}$.

Before going into the precise statement of the result and details of the proof, let us point out that the results from [35,36] used here are only stated for exact b -metrics with index set $F = \mathbb{N}_0 \times \{0\}$. Nevertheless, these results do admit straightforward generalizations when the b -metrics are **polyhomogeneous**, cf. [34]. Indeed, the presence of a polyhomogeneous exact b -metric necessitates only mild changes to the index sets appearing in these results and their proofs. Moreover, only the parts of the index sets close to zero are relevant, so if we replace the boundary defining function ρ by $x = \rho^{\frac{1}{k}}$ for some large $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$g_b - g_\rho \in x^N C_b^\infty(M; {}^bT\tilde{M}_{\frac{1}{k}} \otimes {}^bT\tilde{M}_{\frac{1}{k}}),$$

where g_ρ is a product b -metric and $\tilde{M}_{\frac{1}{k}}$ is the k^{th} root of \tilde{M} as defined in [12] (i.e., the manifold \tilde{M} with the new C^∞ structure obtained by adjoining $x = \rho^{\frac{1}{k}}$). Thus, for the purposes of applying the results of [35,36], this change effectively presents the metric g_b as a product b -metric. From now on, we will therefore apply the results of [35,36] to polyhomogeneous b -metrics without further comments.

To define the local families L^2 -index, choose a connection for the fibre bundle (8.1), i.e., a splitting

$${}^bT\tilde{N} = T_H\tilde{N} \oplus {}^bT(\tilde{N}/B), \quad \phi^*TB \cong T_H\tilde{N}.$$

We assume that this agrees on $\partial\tilde{N}$ with the canonical splitting induced by the identification $\partial\tilde{N} = \partial\tilde{M} \times B$. We can then associate to $\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}$ a Bismut superconnection

$$\mathbb{A} = \tilde{\mathfrak{d}} + \mathbb{A}_{[1]} + \mathbb{A}_{[2]},$$

see [36, (9.23)] for a definition. The rescaled Bismut superconnection is then given by

$$\mathbb{A}_t = t^{\frac{1}{2}}\delta_t \circ \mathbb{A} \circ \delta_t^{-1} = t^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{\mathfrak{d}} + \mathbb{A}_{[1]} + t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbb{A}_{[2]},$$

where δ_t is the automorphism which multiplies elements of $C^\infty(\tilde{N}; \phi^*\Lambda^j(T^*B) \otimes \mathcal{E})$ by $t^{-\frac{j}{2}}$, cf. [1, p.281]. Let us also denote by Π_0 the orthogonal projection onto the L^2 -kernel bundle of $\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}$. The operator

$$\nabla^{L^2} = \Pi_0\mathbb{A}_{[1]}\Pi_0$$

defines a smooth \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded connection on the L^2 -kernel bundle of $\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}$.

Proposition 8.1. *The b -Chern character of the Bismut superconnection is such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} {}^b\text{Ch}(\mathbb{A}_t)_{[0]} = \widetilde{\text{ind}}(\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}(b)), \quad \forall b \in B, \tag{8.4}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} {}^b\text{Ch}(\mathbb{A}_t)_{[2n]} = \text{Ch}(\ker_{L^2} \tilde{\mathfrak{d}}, \nabla^{L^2})_{[2n]}, \quad n > 0, \tag{8.5}$$

where $\widetilde{\text{ind}}(\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}(b))$ is the extended index of Melrose [35, (In.30)].

The proof of this proposition is carried out in a set of lemmas, following the strategy of [1] and [36]. However, since our family of operators is not Fredholm, many important modifications are necessary. Let us first introduce some notation. As in [36, (15.8) and (A.9)], set

$$\mathcal{N}^\epsilon = \Omega^*(B) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(B)} \Psi_\phi^{-\infty, \epsilon}(\tilde{N}; \mathcal{E}) = \Omega^*(B) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(B)} \mathcal{A}^{\epsilon-}(\tilde{N} \times_\phi \tilde{N}; \mathcal{E} \otimes {}^b\Omega_{\text{fib}}^{\frac{1}{2}});$$

this is the space of smooth families of operators of order $-\infty$ with Schwartz kernel conormal and vanishing at order $\epsilon - \nu$ for all $\nu > 0$ at the boundaries of $\tilde{N} \times_\phi \tilde{N}$. We also set, cf. [36, (15.8) and (A.11)],

$$\mathcal{M}^\epsilon = \Omega^*(B) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(B)} (\rho^\epsilon \Psi_{b, \phi}^0(\tilde{N}; \mathcal{E}) + \rho^\epsilon \Psi_{b, \phi}^{-\infty, \epsilon}(\tilde{N}; \mathcal{E}) + \Psi_\phi^{-\infty, \epsilon}(\tilde{N}; \mathcal{E})),$$

where we refer to [36, (A.11)] for the definition of the space of family pseudodifferential operators $\Psi_{b, \phi}^{0, \delta}(\tilde{N}; \mathcal{E})$. Notice that contrary to the definition in [36], the space of operators \mathcal{M}^ϵ is residual in the sense that the Schwartz kernels of its elements decay like ρ^ϵ at the front face of the b -double space. There are filtrations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_i^\epsilon &= \sum_{k \geq i} \Omega^k(B) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(B)} \Psi_\phi^{-\infty, \epsilon}(\tilde{N}; \mathcal{E}), \\ \mathcal{M}_i^\epsilon &= \sum_{k \geq i} \Omega^k(B) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(B)} (\rho^\epsilon \Psi_{b, \phi}^0(\tilde{N}; \mathcal{E}) + \rho^\epsilon \Psi_{b, \phi}^{-\infty, \epsilon}(\tilde{N}; \mathcal{E}) + \Psi_\phi^{-\infty, \epsilon}(\tilde{N}; \mathcal{E})). \end{aligned} \tag{8.6}$$

As in (4.11), we choose $\epsilon \in (0, \inf \mathcal{I})$, where \mathcal{I} is the index set of the expansions of elements of the L^2 -kernel of $\bar{\partial}$. Since the fibration $\phi : \tilde{N} \rightarrow B$ and \mathcal{E} are trivial over $\partial \tilde{M}$, we see from [36, (9.25)] that we can choose ϵ small enough to ensure that

$$\mathbb{A}^2 = \bar{\partial}^2 \quad \text{mod } \mathcal{M}_1^\epsilon.$$

Now, using the projection Π_0 onto the L^2 -kernel, we can decompose the Bismut superconnection as follows:

$$\mathbb{A} = \tilde{\mathbb{A}} + \omega \quad \text{with } \omega = \Pi_0 \mathbb{A} (\text{Id} - \Pi_0) + (\text{Id} - \Pi_0) \mathbb{A} \Pi_0 \in \mathcal{N}_1^\epsilon.$$

In terms of the decomposition $L_b^2(\tilde{N}/B; \mathcal{E}) = \text{ran}(\Pi_0) \oplus \text{ran}(\text{Id} - \Pi_0)$, we have

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mu \\ \nu & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } \mu = \Pi_0 \mathbb{A} (\text{Id} - \Pi_0), \quad \nu = (\text{Id} - \Pi_0) \mathbb{A} \Pi_0.$$

Hence the curvature is

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & T \end{pmatrix} &:= \mathcal{F} = (\tilde{\mathbb{A}} + \omega)^2 = \tilde{\mathbb{A}}^2 + [\tilde{\mathbb{A}}, \omega] + \omega \wedge \omega \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} R + \mu\nu & \Pi_0[\tilde{\mathbb{A}}, \mu](\text{Id} - \Pi_0) \\ (\text{Id} - \Pi_0)[\tilde{\mathbb{A}}, \nu]\Pi_0 & S + \nu\mu \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \tag{8.7}$$

where $R = \Pi_0 \tilde{\mathbb{A}}^2 \Pi_0$ and $S = (\text{Id} - \Pi_0) \tilde{\mathbb{A}}^2 (\text{Id} - \Pi_0)$. We conclude that

$$\begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & T \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R_{[2]} + \mu_{[1]}\nu_{[1]} & \mu_{[1]}\bar{\partial} \\ \bar{\partial}\nu_{[1]} & \bar{\partial}^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{mod } \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{N}_3^\epsilon & \mathcal{N}_2^\epsilon \\ \mathcal{N}_2^\epsilon & \mathcal{M}_1^\epsilon \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now consider the family of Fredholm operators

$$\tilde{\partial}^2 : \rho^{-\epsilon} H_b^{k+2}(\tilde{N}/B; \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \rho^{-\epsilon} H_b^k(\tilde{N}/B; \mathcal{E}). \tag{8.8}$$

This has cokernel canonically identified with the L^2 -kernel of $\tilde{\partial}$. Since the index of (8.8) is independent of $b \in B$, the kernels of the operators of this family form a vector bundle over B . Thus by [35, Proposition 5.64] and [34, Theorem 6.1], there exists a smooth family of generalized inverses $b \mapsto G(b)$ of (8.8) such that

$$\tilde{\partial}^2 G = \text{Id} - \Pi_0, \quad G \tilde{\partial}^2 = \text{Id} - \Pi_1,$$

where Π_1 is a smooth family of projections onto the kernel of (8.8). The projection Π_0 acts on $\rho^{-\epsilon} L^2(\phi^{-1}(b); \mathcal{E})$ by

$$\Pi_0(b) : \rho^{-\epsilon} L^2(\phi^{-1}(b); \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \ker_{L^2} \tilde{\partial}(b), \quad \Pi_0(b)(u) = \sum_{i=1}^k \langle u, v_i \rangle_{L^2} v_i,$$

where v_1, \dots, v_k is a choice of orthonormal basis of $\ker_{L^2} \tilde{\partial}(b)$. The formal adjoint G^* of G is a smooth family of generalized inverses for

$$\tilde{\partial}^2 : \rho^\epsilon H_b^{k+2}(N/B; \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \rho^\epsilon H_b^k(N/B; \mathcal{E}) \tag{8.9}$$

and satisfies

$$G^* \tilde{\partial}^2 = \text{Id} - \Pi_0, \quad \tilde{\partial}^2 G^* = \text{Id} - \Pi_1^*.$$

Acting on $\rho^\epsilon L^2(N/B; \mathcal{E})$, we have

$$\tilde{\partial}^2 G^* (\text{Id} - \Pi_0) = \tilde{\partial}^2 G^* (\tilde{\partial}^2 G) = \tilde{\partial}^2 (\text{Id} - \Pi_0) G = \tilde{\partial}^2 G = \text{Id} - \Pi_0. \tag{8.10}$$

Taking the adjoint yields that

$$(\text{Id} - \Pi_0) G \tilde{\partial}^2 = \text{Id} - \Pi_0 \tag{8.11}$$

on $\rho^{-\epsilon} L^2(N/B; \mathcal{E})$. Similarly, we have that

$$\tilde{\partial} G^* \tilde{\partial} = \tilde{\partial} G^* \tilde{\partial} (\text{Id} - \Pi_0) = \tilde{\partial} G^* \tilde{\partial} (\tilde{\partial}^2 G) = \tilde{\partial} (\text{Id} - \Pi_0) \tilde{\partial} G = \tilde{\partial}^2 G = \text{Id} - \Pi_0, \tag{8.12}$$

along with the adjoint equation

$$\tilde{\partial} G \tilde{\partial} = \text{Id} - \Pi_0. \tag{8.13}$$

In particular, these identities imply that

$$\begin{aligned} X_{[2]} - Y_{[1]} G Z_{[1]} &= R_{[2]} + \mu_{[1]} \nu_{[1]} - (\mu_{[1]} \tilde{\partial}) G (\tilde{\partial} \nu_{[1]}) = R_{[2]}, \\ X_{[2]} - Y_{[1]} G^* Z_{[1]} &= R_{[2]} + \mu_{[1]} \nu_{[1]} - (\mu_{[1]} \tilde{\partial}) G^* (\tilde{\partial} \nu_{[1]}) = R_{[2]}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.14}$$

Lemma 8.2. *There exists a family of operators A with $A - \text{Id} \in \mathcal{N}_1^\epsilon$ such that*

$$A \mathcal{F} A^{-1} = A \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & T \end{pmatrix} A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix}$$

with $U = X - Y G Z \text{ mod } \mathcal{N}_3^\epsilon$ and $V = T \text{ mod } \mathcal{N}_1^\epsilon$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on $i = 1, \dots, \dim B$ and assume that we have found A_i with $A_i - \text{Id} \in \mathcal{N}_1^\epsilon$ such that

$$A_i \mathcal{F} A_i^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} X_i & Y_i \\ Z_i & T_i \end{pmatrix} \in \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{N}_2^\epsilon & \mathcal{N}_i^\epsilon \\ \mathcal{N}_i^\epsilon & T + \mathcal{N}_1^\epsilon \end{pmatrix},$$

where $T_i = \partial^2 \pmod{\mathcal{M}_1^\epsilon}$ (we take $A_1 = \text{Id}$). Notice that if we write $A_i = \text{Id} + K$, then

$$A_i^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\dim B} (-1)^j K^j.$$

Now, set

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{X}_i & \tilde{Y}_i \\ \tilde{Z}_i & \tilde{T}_i \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & -Y_i G \\ G^* Z_i & \text{Id} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_i & Y_i \\ Z_i & T_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & -Y_i G \\ G^* Z_i & \text{Id} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.$$

Since $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -Y_i G \\ G^* Z_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{N}_i^\epsilon$, we see that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & -Y_i G \\ G^* Z_i & \text{Id} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & Y_i G \\ -G^* Z_i & \text{Id} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{N}_{2i}^\epsilon,$$

and hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{X}_i & \tilde{Y}_i \\ \tilde{Z}_i & \tilde{T}_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & -Y_i G \\ G^* Z_i & \text{Id} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_i & Y_i \\ Z_i & T_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & Y_i G \\ -G^* Z_i & \text{Id} \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\mathcal{N}_{2i}^\epsilon}.$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X}_i &= X_i - Y_i G Z_i - Y_i G^* Z_i + Y_i G T_i G^* Z_i = X_i \pmod{\mathcal{N}_{2i}^\epsilon}, \\ \tilde{Y}_i &= Y_i (\text{Id} - G T_i) + (X_i - Y_i G Z_i) Y_i G \pmod{\mathcal{N}_{2i}^\epsilon}, \\ \tilde{Z}_i &= (\text{Id} - T_i G^*) Z_i + (G^* Z_i) (X_i - Y_i G^* Z_i) \pmod{\mathcal{N}_{2i}^\epsilon}, \\ \tilde{T}_i &= T_i + G^* Z_i Y_i + Z_i Y_i G + G^* Z_i X_i Y_i G = T_i \pmod{\mathcal{N}_1^\epsilon}, \end{aligned} \tag{8.15}$$

so using (8.10) and (8.11), we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Y}_i &= Y_i (\text{Id} - G \partial^2) = Y_i (\text{Id} - \Pi_0) (\text{Id} - G \partial^2) = 0 \pmod{\mathcal{N}_{i+1}^\epsilon}, \\ \tilde{Z}_i &= (\text{Id} - \partial^2 G^*) Z_i = (\text{Id} - \partial^2 G^*) (\text{Id} - \Pi_0) Z_i = 0 \pmod{\mathcal{N}_{i+1}^\epsilon}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.16}$$

This shows that we can continue the induction to construct the element A as desired.

Now, if $A = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} + K & M \\ N & \text{Id} + L \end{pmatrix}$ with $K, M, N, L \in \mathcal{N}_1^\epsilon$, then we have that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} + K & M \\ N & \text{Id} + L \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & T \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} + K & M \\ N & \text{Id} + L \end{pmatrix},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} V &= (T + LT + NY)(\text{Id} + L)^{-1} = T \pmod{\mathcal{N}_1^\epsilon}, \\ U &= (X + KX + MZ)(\text{Id} + K)^{-1} = X + MZ \pmod{\mathcal{N}_3^\epsilon}, \\ Y + MT &= UM - KY \in \mathcal{N}_2^\epsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{8.17}$$

Multiplying the last equation by G gives that $M = -YG \pmod{\mathcal{N}_2^\epsilon}$. Substituting this into (8.17), we obtain finally that $U = X - YGZ \pmod{\mathcal{N}_3^\epsilon}$, as claimed. \square

We now show that the contribution of V to the Chern character vanishes in positive degree when t tends to infinity.

Lemma 8.3. *For $k > 0$, the form $(e^{t\delta_t(V)})_{[k]}$ lies in \mathcal{N}_k^ϵ and decreases rapidly along with all its derivatives as t tends to infinity. In particular, it decreases rapidly with all its derivatives as a differential form valued in trace class operators.*

Proof. Writing $V = \bar{\partial}^2 + A$ with $A \in \mathcal{M}_1^\epsilon$, we have that $e^{-t\delta_t(V)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\dim B} (-t)^k I_k(t)$, where

$$I_k(t) = \int_{\Delta_k} e^{-\sigma_0 t \bar{\partial}^2} \delta_t(A) e^{-\sigma_1 t \bar{\partial}^2} \delta_t(A) \dots e^{-\sigma_{k-1} t \bar{\partial}^2} \delta_t(A) e^{-\sigma_k t \bar{\partial}^2} d\sigma_1 \dots d\sigma_k.$$

Here Δ_k is the simplex

$$\Delta_k = \{(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \mid \sum_{i=0}^k \sigma_i = 1, \sigma_i \geq 0\}.$$

The family of operators $e^{-t\bar{\partial}^2} \in \Psi_b^{-\infty}(\tilde{N}/B; \mathcal{E})$ is bounded on L^2 uniformly in $t \in [0, \infty)$. Since $A \in \mathcal{M}_1^\epsilon$, we have that $(\text{Id} - \Pi_0)e^{-t\bar{\partial}^2}(\text{Id} - \Pi_0)A$ and $A(\text{Id} - \Pi_0)e^{-t\bar{\partial}^2}(\text{Id} - \Pi_0)$ are in \mathcal{N}_1^ϵ . Clearly, it then suffices to show that $(\text{Id} - \Pi_0)e^{-t\bar{\partial}^2}(\text{Id} - \Pi_0)A$ and $A(\text{Id} - \Pi_0)e^{-t\bar{\partial}^2}(\text{Id} - \Pi_0)$ are rapidly decreasing with all their derivatives as t tends to infinity to obtain the result.

Suppose that we establish that

$$\begin{aligned} p_{1,t} : \mathcal{N}_1^\epsilon &\rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1^\epsilon & p_{2,t} : \mathcal{N}_1^\epsilon &\rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1^\epsilon \\ U &\mapsto (\text{Id} - \Pi_0)e^{-t\bar{\partial}^2}(\text{Id} - \Pi_0)U, & U &\mapsto U(\text{Id} - \Pi_0)e^{-t\bar{\partial}^2}(\text{Id} - \Pi_0) \end{aligned}$$

have the property that $t^{\frac{1}{2}} p_{i,t}$ is uniformly bounded with all its derivatives in B as t tends to infinity. Noticing that for $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$t^k p_{i,t} = (2k)^k \left(\frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2k}} p_{i, \frac{t}{2k}} \right)^{2k},$$

we see that $t^k p_{i,t}$ is also uniformly bounded as t tends to infinity and that the same is true for all horizontal derivatives using Duhamel’s formula. Thus $(\text{Id} - \Pi_0)e^{-t\bar{\partial}^2}(\text{Id} - \Pi_0)A$ and $A(\text{Id} - \Pi_0)e^{-t\bar{\partial}^2}(\text{Id} - \Pi_0)$ are rapidly decreasing with all derivatives as t tends to infinity.

It remains to show that $t^{\frac{1}{2}} p_{i,t}$ is uniformly bounded with all horizontal derivatives as t tends to infinity. For this purpose, we proceed as in the proof of [35, Proposition 7.37] and write the heat kernel of $\bar{\partial}^2$ in terms of the resolvent,

$$e^{-t\bar{\partial}^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_A} e^{-t\lambda} (\bar{\partial}^2 - \lambda)^{-1} d\lambda, \tag{8.18}$$

where γ_A is a contour in \mathbb{C} that can be taken to be inward along a line segment of argument $-\delta$, $\frac{1}{2} > \delta > 0$, with end point $(-A - 1, 0)$, and outward along a line segment of argument δ from this point. Choosing a cut-off function $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\chi(r) = 1$ for $r < \frac{C}{2}$ and $\chi(r) = 0$ for $r > \frac{3}{4}C$, where $0 < C \ll 1$ will be specified later, (8.18) decomposes as a sum of the two terms

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_A} \chi(\operatorname{Re} \lambda) e^{-t\lambda} (\bar{\partial}^2 - \lambda)^{-1} d\lambda, \\ H_2(t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_A} (1 - \chi(\operatorname{Re} \lambda)) e^{-t\lambda} (\bar{\partial}^2 - \lambda)^{-1} d\lambda. \end{aligned} \tag{8.19}$$

In the expression for H_2 , the integrand is supported in $\operatorname{Re} z \geq \frac{B}{2}$. Since $(\bar{\partial}^2 - \lambda)^{-1}$ is uniformly bounded in the calculus with bounds for the part of γ_A in that region, we see that $H_2(t) : \mathcal{N}_1^\epsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1^\epsilon$ decays exponentially quickly with all its derivatives as t tends to infinity.

We thus focus attention on $H_1(t)$. First replace γ_A by the simpler contour integral $\operatorname{Im} z = \delta > 0$ where $\lambda = z^2$ and $\operatorname{Im} z > 0$ is the physical region. Using the Cauchy formula, write $H_1 = H'_1 + H''_1$, where

$$\begin{aligned} H'_1(t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\operatorname{Im} z = \delta} \chi(\operatorname{Re} \lambda) e^{-t\lambda} (\bar{\partial}^2 - \lambda)^{-1} d\lambda, \\ H''_1(t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S(A,\delta)} \bar{\partial} \chi(\operatorname{Re} \lambda) e^{-t\lambda} (\bar{\partial}^2 - \lambda)^{-1} d\lambda \wedge d\bar{\lambda}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.20}$$

Since $\bar{\partial} \chi(\operatorname{Re} z)$ is supported in $\operatorname{Re} z \geq \frac{B}{2}$, the second term $H''_1(t)$ decays exponentially quickly with all derivatives as $t \rightarrow \infty$, so we reduce further and focus solely on the first term. Introducing z as a variable of integration, we have that

$$H'_1(t) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\operatorname{Im} z = \delta} \chi(\operatorname{Re}(z^2)) e^{-tz^2} (\bar{\partial}^2 - z^2)^{-1} z dz. \tag{8.21}$$

We know from [35] that $(\bar{\partial}^2 - z^2)^{-1}$ extends meromorphically to \mathbb{C} with values in the calculus with bounds. It has a double pole at $z = 0$ with coefficient of $1/z^2$ equal to the projection onto the L^2 -kernel of $\bar{\partial}^2$ and with residue, i.e., the coefficient of $1/z$ equal to

$$\sum_{\ell} U_{\ell} \bar{U}_{\ell} dg_b. \tag{8.22}$$

Here, $U_{\ell} \in C^\infty(\phi^{-1}(b); E_b) + \rho^\delta H_b^\infty(\phi^{-1}(b); E)$ for some $\delta > 0$ is a basis of those solutions of $\bar{\partial}^2 U = 0$ orthogonal to the subspace of L^2 -solutions which have boundary values orthonormal in $L^2(\partial\phi^{-1}(b); E_b)$.

Only $(\operatorname{Id} - \Pi_0)H'_1(t)(\operatorname{Id} - \Pi_0)$ is really used in the definition of $p_{i,t}$, so that it is only necessary to deal with $(\operatorname{Id} - \Pi_0)(\bar{\partial}^2 - z^2)^{-1}(\operatorname{Id} - \Pi_0)$. This has a simple pole at

$z = 0$ with residue given by (8.22). In particular, provided that the constant C used in the definition of χ above is sufficiently small so that $(\bar{\partial}^2 - z^2)$ has only a pole at $z = 0$ on the support of $\chi(\text{Re}(z^2))$, we see that

$$P(z) = z\chi(\text{Re } z^2)(\text{Id} - \Pi_0)(\bar{\partial}^2 - z^2)^{-1}(\text{Id} - \Pi_0)$$

is a family of operators which is smooth down to $\text{Im } z \searrow 0$ and with values in the calculus with bounds $\Psi_{b,os,\infty}^{m,0,0}(\phi^{-1}(b); E_b)$ (see [35, (5.107)]). This induces a family of operators

$$P(z) : \mathcal{N}_1^\epsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1^\epsilon$$

which is uniformly bounded as $\text{Im } z \searrow 0$. Taking the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$ and making the change of variable $Z = z/s, s = 1/t^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we see that

$$(\text{Id} - \Pi_0)H'_1(t)(\text{Id} - \Pi_0) = \frac{s}{\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-Z^2} P(sZ)dZ.$$

After removing the factor s on the right, this integral is a differentiable family in the argument s^2 with values in the space of bounded operators on \mathcal{N}_1^ϵ (acting by composition on the left or on the right). By the discussion above, this shows that $t^{\frac{1}{2}}p_{i,t}$ is uniformly bounded as t tends to infinity. Moreover, using Duhamel’s formula, the same argument can be applied to show the uniform boundedness of the horizontal derivatives of $t^{\frac{1}{2}}p_{i,t}$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Proposition 8.1. The formula (8.4) follows from [35, Proposition 7.37]. For (8.5), Lemma 8.2 gives that

$$e^{-t\delta_t(\mathcal{F})} = \delta_t(A)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-t\delta_t(U)} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t\delta_t(V)} \end{pmatrix} \delta_t(A).$$

Using Lemmas 8.2 and 8.3, and since $A - \text{Id} \in \mathcal{N}_1^\epsilon$, we see that if $k > 0$, then $(e^{-t\delta_t(\mathcal{F})})_{[k]}$ is a differential form with values in the space of trace-class operators such that

$$(e^{-t\delta_t(\mathcal{F})})_{[k]} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-t\delta_t(U)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-R_{[2]}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Consequently, for $k > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} {}^b\text{Ch}(\mathbb{A}_t)_{[2k]} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} {}^b\text{Str}((e^{-t\delta_t(\mathcal{F})})_{[2k]}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{Str}((e^{-t\delta_t(\mathcal{F})})_{[2k]}) \\ &= \text{Str}(e^{-R_{[2]}})_{[2k]} = \text{Ch}(\ker_{L^2} \bar{\partial}, \nabla^{L^2})_{[2k]}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.23}$$

\square

Combining this result with [36, Proposition 11 and Proposition 16], we obtain a formula for the Chern character of the L^2 -kernel bundle with respect to the connection ∇^{L^2} .

Theorem 8.4. *The Chern character of the L^2 -kernel bundle of $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}$ with respect to the connection ∇^{L^2} is given by*

$$\text{Ch}(\ker_{L^2} \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}, \nabla^{L^2})_{[2n]} = \left[\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{\frac{m}{2}}} \int_{N/B} \widehat{A}(N/B; g_b) \text{Ch}'(\mathcal{E}) - d_B \gamma \right]_{[2n]}, \quad n > 0.$$

Here, $m = \dim \widetilde{M}$ and

$$\gamma = \int_0^\infty {}^b\text{STr} \left(\frac{d\mathbb{A}_t}{dt} e^{-\mathbb{A}_t^2} \right) dt.$$

Proof. By [36, Proposition 11],

$$\frac{d}{dt} {}^b\text{Ch}(\mathbb{A}_t) = -d_B \gamma(t) - \hat{\eta}(t),$$

where

$$\gamma(t) = {}^b\text{STr} \left(\frac{d\mathbb{A}_t}{dt} e^{-\mathbb{A}_t^2} \right), \quad \hat{\eta}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \text{Str}_{\text{Cl}(1)} \left(\frac{d\mathbb{B}_t}{dt} e^{-\mathbb{B}_t^2} \right).$$

Here, \mathbb{B}_t is the rescaled odd superconnection associated to the family of Dirac-type operators on $\partial\widetilde{N}$, see [36, p.38]. By assumption, this family is trivial, so the only non-zero contribution is in degree 0. Thus in fact,

$$\frac{d}{dt} {}^b\text{Ch}(\mathbb{A}_t)_{[2k]} = -(d_B \gamma(t))_{[2k]} \quad \text{for } k > 0. \tag{8.24}$$

Next, by [36, (15.17)],

$$\gamma(t) = \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0^+.$$

On the other hand, using Proposition 8.1 and its proof, we can argue as in the proof of [1, Theorem 9.23] to conclude that for $k > 0$,

$$\gamma(t)_{[k]} = \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{3}{2}}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Finally, [36, (15.15)] tells us that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} {}^b\text{Ch}(\mathbb{A}_t)_{[2k]} = \left[\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{\frac{m}{2}}} \int_{N/B} \widehat{A}(N/B; g_b) \text{Ch}'(\mathcal{E}) \right]_{[2k]} \quad \text{for } k > 0,$$

so the result follows by integrating (8.24) with respect to t . \square

9. The Curvature of the Quillen Connection

In this section, we suppose that $\tilde{N} = [\bar{N}; \bar{D}]$, where \bar{N} is a complex orbifold and \bar{D} is an effective orbifold divisor with (\bar{N}, \bar{D}) satisfying hypotheses (i) and (ii) of Sect. 4. We also assume that B is a complex manifold and that $\phi : N \rightarrow B$ is induced by a holomorphic fibration $\bar{\phi} : \bar{N} \rightarrow B$. In this case, E is a Hermitian vector bundle over \bar{N} such that the family of Dirac-type operators is the family of Dolbeault operators

$$\bar{\partial} = \sqrt{2}(\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*) \tag{9.1}$$

associated to the Clifford bundle $\mathcal{E} = \Omega^{0,*}(N/B) \otimes E$. We will also assume that g_b is a family of Kähler metrics inducing a structure of Kähler fibration on $\phi : N \rightarrow B$ in the sense of [4], with associated connection $T_H N$ for $\phi : \tilde{N} \rightarrow B$. Finally, we assume not only that the family of nullspaces $\ker_{L^2} \bar{\partial}$ is a bundle over B , but also that the L^2 -kernels of $\bar{\partial}$ acting on $\Omega^{0,q}(N/B) \otimes E$ determine a bundle over B in each degree q .

With these extra assumptions, we now consider the L^2 -determinant bundle associated to the family (9.1). This is the complex line bundle over B given by

$$\det(\bar{\partial}^+) = (\Lambda^{\max} \ker_{L^2}(\bar{\partial}^+))^{-1} \otimes \Lambda^{\max} \ker_{L^2}(\bar{\partial}^-). \tag{9.2}$$

The L^2 -connection ∇^{L^2} induces a connection $\nabla^{\det(\bar{\partial}^+)}$ on $\det(\bar{\partial}^+)$. By Theorem 8.4, the curvature of this connection is given by

$$(\nabla^{\det(\bar{\partial}^+)})^2 = \left[\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{\frac{m}{2}}} \int_{N/B} \widehat{A}(N/B; g_b) \text{Ch}'(\mathcal{E}) - d_B \gamma \right]_{[2]}. \tag{9.3}$$

A more natural choice of connection on $\nabla^{\det(\bar{\partial}^+)}$ is the Quillen connection. To describe it, we introduce the $\bar{\partial}$ -torsion of the family of operators $\bar{\partial}$, following the approach in [35]. We first define the determinant of the restriction Δ_q of the Laplacian $\Delta = \bar{\partial}^2 = 2(\bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^*)$ to elements of type $(0, q)$.

First consider the function

$$\zeta_0(\Delta_q, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^1 t^{s-1} {}^b\text{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta_q}) dt \quad \text{for } \text{Re } s \gg 0.$$

Since ${}^b\text{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta_q})$ admits a short-time asymptotic expansion,

$${}^b\text{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta_q}) \sim \sum_{k=-m}^{\infty} a_k t^{\frac{k}{2}} \quad \text{as } t \searrow 0,$$

the ζ -function $\zeta_0(\Delta_q, s)$ extends to a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} with only simple poles. Because of the $\Gamma(s)$ factor, $\zeta_0(\Delta_q, s)$ is holomorphic near $s = 0$. For $t \nearrow \infty$, we also consider the ζ -function

$$\zeta_{\infty}(\Delta_q, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_1^{\infty} t^{s-1} {}^b\text{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta_q}) dt \quad \text{for } \text{Re } s \ll 0.$$

There is an expansion

$${}^b\text{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta_q}) \sim \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k t^{-k/2} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

so $\zeta_\infty(\Delta_q, s)$ extends meromorphically to \mathbb{C} with at most simple poles. As before, this extension is holomorphic near $s = 0$, so altogether,

$$\zeta(\Delta_q, s) = \zeta_0(\Delta_q, s) + \zeta_\infty(\Delta_q, s)$$

is holomorphic near $s = 0$. We then define the regularized determinant of Δ_q by the usual formula

$$\log \det(\Delta_q) := -\zeta'(\Delta_q, 0).$$

The $\bar{\partial}$ -torsion of the family $\bar{\partial}$ is now defined by

$$\log T(\bar{\partial}) = \sum_q (-1)^q q \log \det(\Delta_q).$$

Alternatively, we can define the $\bar{\partial}$ -torsion in terms of one ζ -function using the regularized supertrace and the number operator \mathcal{Q} , which acts on $\Omega^{0,q}(N/B) \otimes E$ as multiplication by q , namely

$$\log T(\bar{\partial}) = \zeta'(\bar{\partial}, 0),$$

where $\zeta(\bar{\partial}, s) = \zeta_0(\bar{\partial}, s) + \zeta_\infty(\bar{\partial}, s)$ with

$$\zeta_0(\bar{\partial}, s) = \sum_q (-1)^q q \zeta_0(\Delta_q, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^1 t^{s-1} {}^b\text{STr}(\mathcal{Q}e^{-t\Delta}) dt \quad \text{for } \text{Re } s \gg 0.$$

$$\zeta_\infty(\bar{\partial}, s) = \sum_q (-1)^q q \zeta_\infty(\Delta_q, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_1^\infty t^{s-1} {}^b\text{STr}(\mathcal{Q}e^{-t\Delta}) dt \quad \text{for } \text{Re } s \ll 0.$$

(9.4)

We now define the **Quillen metric** of $\det(\bar{\partial}^+)$ by

$$\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Q}} = T(\bar{\partial})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\cdot\|_{L^2},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{L^2}$ is the metric induced by the L^2 -norm on $\ker_{L^2} \bar{\partial}$.

To introduce the corresponding Quillen connection, we need a bit more preparation. Following [1], first consider the Fréchet bundle $\phi_*\mathcal{E} \rightarrow B$ with fibre

$$\phi_*\mathcal{E}|_b = \dot{C}^\infty(\phi^{-1}(b); \mathcal{E} \times {}^b\Omega^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi^{-1}(b)));$$

${}^b\Omega^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi^{-1}(b))$ is the half b -density bundle and $\dot{C}^\infty(\phi^{-1}(b); \mathcal{E} \times {}^b\Omega^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi^{-1}(b)))$ is the space of smooth sections with rapid decay at infinity. The choices of connection for $\phi : N \rightarrow B$ and \mathcal{E} induces a connection $\nabla^{\phi_*\mathcal{E}}$ for $\phi_*\mathcal{E}$ (cf. [1, Proposition 9.13]). In fact, $\mathbb{A}_{[1]} = \nabla^{\phi_*\mathcal{E}}$ (see [1, Proposition 10.16]). It is convenient to consider a truncated version of the Bismut superconnection involving only the terms of degree 0 and 1,

$$\tilde{\mathbb{A}} = \mathbb{A}_{[0]} + \mathbb{A}_{[1]} = \bar{\partial} + \nabla^{\phi_*\mathcal{E}},$$

which has the rescaling

$$\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_t = t^{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{\partial} + \nabla^{\phi_*\mathcal{E}}.$$

For $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, define the following differential forms on B :

$$\alpha^+(t) = \frac{1}{2t^{\frac{1}{2}}} b \text{STr} \left(\sqrt{2\bar{\partial}^*} e^{-\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_t^2} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha^-(t) = \frac{1}{2t^{\frac{1}{2}}} b \text{STr} \left(\sqrt{2\bar{\partial}} e^{-\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_t^2} \right).$$

In degree 1,

$$\begin{aligned} (e^{-\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_t^2})_{[1]} &= (e^{-\mathbb{A}_t^2})_{[1]} = -t \int_0^1 e^{-(1-\sigma)t\bar{\partial}^2} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} [\nabla\phi_*\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}] e^{-\sigma t\bar{\partial}^2} d\sigma \\ &= -t^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^1 e^{-(1-\sigma)t\bar{\partial}^2} [\nabla\phi_*\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}] e^{-\sigma t\bar{\partial}^2} d\sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{9.5}$$

Due to our assumptions on the restriction of the family $\bar{\partial}$ to ∂N , $[\nabla\phi_*\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}]$ has vanishing indicial family, so the integrand in (9.5) is trace class, and we can thus define $\alpha^\pm(t)_{[1]}$ without using the b -supertrace:

$$\alpha^+(t)_{[1]} = \frac{1}{2t^{\frac{1}{2}}} \text{STr}(\sqrt{2\bar{\partial}^*} (e^{-\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_t^2})_{[1]}) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha^-(t)_{[1]} = \frac{1}{2t^{\frac{1}{2}}} \text{STr}(\sqrt{2\bar{\partial}} (e^{-\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_t^2})_{[1]}).$$

Lemma 9.1. *The 1-form components of the differential forms $\alpha^\pm(t)$ satisfy*

$$\overline{\alpha^+(t)_{[1]}} = -\alpha^-(t)_{[1]}.$$

These are rapidly decreasing (with all derivatives in B) as t tends to infinity and have short-time asymptotics

$$\alpha^\pm(t)_{[1]} \sim \sum_{k=-N}^\infty t^{\frac{k}{2}} a_k^\pm \quad \text{as } t \searrow 0.$$

Proof. Since $(e^{-\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_t^2})_{[1]}$ is trace class, the first assertion follows as in [1, Lemma 9.42], using the fact that $\bar{\partial}^*$ is the formal adjoint of $\bar{\partial}$ and that $\nabla\phi_*\mathcal{E}$ respects the metric on $\phi_*\mathcal{E}$. To see that $\alpha^+(t)_{[1]}$ is rapidly decreasing as t tends to infinity, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^+(t)_{[1]} &= -\text{STr}(\sqrt{2\bar{\partial}^*} [\nabla\phi_*\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}] e^{-t\bar{\partial}^2}) \\ &= -\text{STr}(\sqrt{2\bar{\partial}^*} [\nabla\phi_*\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}] (\text{Id} - \Pi_0) e^{-t\bar{\partial}^2} (\text{Id} - \Pi_0)), \end{aligned}$$

so we can use the decay properties of $p_{i,t} : \mathcal{N}_1^\epsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1^\epsilon$ established in the proof of Lemma 8.3 to conclude that $\alpha^\pm(t)_{[1]}$ is rapidly decreasing as t tends to infinity. The short-time asymptotics follow from the corresponding asymptotics of the heat kernel. Taking the complex conjugate of $\alpha^+(t)_{[1]}$, we get the corresponding statement for $\alpha^-(t)_{[1]}$. \square

This lemma shows that the 1-forms

$$\beta^\pm(s) = 2 \int_0^\infty t^s \alpha^\pm(t)_{[1]} dt$$

are well-defined and holomorphic in s for $\text{Re } s \gg 0$. The short-time asymptotics of $\alpha^\pm(t)$ also allow us to extend $\beta^\pm(s)$ to a meromorphic function in s for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with at most simple poles. In particular, we can define the finite part at $s = 0$ by

$$\beta^\pm = \left. \frac{d}{ds} \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \beta^\pm(s) \right|_{s=0}.$$

Lemma 9.2. *As a function on B , the differential of $\log T(\bar{\partial}) = \zeta'(\bar{\partial}, 0)$ equals*

$$d\zeta'(\bar{\partial}, 0) = \beta^+ - \beta^-.$$

Proof. Using Duhamel’s formula,

$$d\zeta'_\infty(\bar{\partial}, s) = \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_1^\infty \left(-t^{s-1} \text{STr} \left(\mathcal{Q} \int_0^t e^{-(t-\sigma)\bar{\partial}^2} [\nabla\phi_*\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}^2] e^{-\sigma\bar{\partial}^2} d\sigma \right) \right) dt \right) \tag{9.6}$$

for $\text{Re } s \ll 0$. Since $[\nabla\phi_*\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}^2]$ has vanishing indicial family, the term inside the b -supertrace is trace class, so we can replace the b -supertrace with the usual supertrace. The decay properties of $p_{i,t}$ show that the integrand is rapidly decreasing (with all derivatives in B) as t tends to infinity, so the differential $d\zeta'_\infty(\bar{\partial}, s)$ extends to an entire function of s . In particular,

$$d\zeta'_\infty(\bar{\partial}, 0) = - \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_1^\infty t^s \text{STr}(\mathcal{Q}[\nabla\phi_*\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}^2] e^{-t\bar{\partial}^2}) dt \right) \Big|_{s=0}.$$

Similarly, for $\text{Re } s \gg 0$, we have that

$$d\zeta'_0(\bar{\partial}, s) = - \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^1 t^s \text{STr}(\mathcal{Q}[\nabla\phi_*\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}^2] e^{-t\bar{\partial}^2}) dt \right).$$

By the short-time asymptotics of the heat kernel, we extend this differential meromorphically in $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with only simple poles; the result is regular at $s = 0$. This shows that

$$\begin{aligned} d\zeta'(\bar{\partial}, 0) &= d\zeta'_0(\bar{\partial}, 0) + d\zeta'_\infty(\bar{\partial}, 0) \\ &= - \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty t^s \text{STr}(\mathcal{Q}[\nabla\phi_*\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}^2] e^{-t\bar{\partial}^2}) dt \right) \Big|_{s=0}. \end{aligned} \tag{9.7}$$

Now, using the relation

$$[\nabla\phi_*\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}^2] = [\nabla\phi_*\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}]\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}[\nabla\phi_*\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}],$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{STr}(\mathcal{Q}[\nabla\phi_*\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}^2] e^{-t\bar{\partial}^2}) &= \text{STr}(\mathcal{Q}[\nabla\phi_*\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}]\bar{\partial} e^{-t\bar{\partial}^2}) + \text{STr}(\mathcal{Q}\bar{\partial}[\nabla\phi_*\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}] e^{-t\bar{\partial}^2}) \\ &= \text{STr}(\mathcal{Q}[\nabla\phi_*\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}] e^{-t\bar{\partial}^2} \bar{\partial}) + \text{STr}(\mathcal{Q}\bar{\partial}[\nabla\phi_*\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}] e^{-t\bar{\partial}^2}) \\ &= \text{STr}([\mathcal{Q}, \bar{\partial}][\nabla\phi_*\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}] e^{-t\bar{\partial}^2}), \end{aligned} \tag{9.8}$$

where in the last step, we use that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \text{STr}([\mathcal{Q}[\nabla\phi_*\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}] e^{-t\bar{\partial}^2}, \bar{\partial}]) \\ &= \text{STr}(\mathcal{Q}[\nabla\phi_*\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}] e^{-t\bar{\partial}^2} \bar{\partial}) + \text{STr}(\bar{\partial}\mathcal{Q}[\nabla\phi_*\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}] e^{-t\bar{\partial}^2}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since $[\mathcal{Q}, \bar{\partial}] = \sqrt{2}(\bar{\partial} - \bar{\partial}^*)$, (9.7) and (9.8) show that

$$\begin{aligned} d\zeta'(\bar{\partial}, 0) &= - \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty t^s \text{STr}(\sqrt{2}(\bar{\partial} - \bar{\partial}^*)[\nabla\phi_*\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}] e^{-t\bar{\partial}^2}) dt \right) \Big|_{s=0} \\ &= \beta^+ - \beta^-, \end{aligned} \tag{9.9}$$

which gives the claimed result. \square

The **Quillen connection** on $\det(\bar{\partial}^+)$ is given by

$$\nabla^{\mathcal{Q}} = \nabla^{\det(\bar{\partial}^+)} + \beta^+.$$

As the terminology suggests, $\nabla^{\mathcal{Q}}$ is compatible with the Quillen metric.

Proposition 9.3. *The Quillen connection is the Chern connection of $\det(\bar{\partial}^+)$ with respect to the Quillen metric.*

Proof. We know that $\nabla^{\det(\bar{\partial}^+)}$ is the Chern connection of $\det(\bar{\partial}^+)$ with respect to the L^2 -metric. Since $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Q}} = e^{\frac{\zeta'(\bar{\partial}, 0)}{2}} \|\cdot\|_{L^2}$, we see that $\nabla^{\mathcal{Q}}$ is compatible with the Quillen metric provided

$$\beta^+ = \frac{d\zeta'(\bar{\partial}, 0)}{2} + \omega$$

with ω an imaginary 1-form. But by Lemma 9.2, $\omega = \frac{\beta^+ + \beta^-}{2}$, and by Lemma 9.1, this is imaginary. To see that $\nabla^{\mathcal{Q}}$ is the Chern connection of the Quillen metric, we note that β^+ is a $(1, 0)$ form on B , which follows from the fact (see [4, Theorem 1.14]) that $[\nabla^{\phi_*\mathcal{E}}, \bar{\partial}]$ is an operator valued $(1, 0)$ -form. \square

Theorem 9.4. *The curvature of the Quillen connection equals*

$$(\nabla^{\mathcal{Q}})^2 = \left[\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{\frac{m}{2}}} \int_{N/B} \text{Td}(T^{1,0}(N/B), g_b) \text{Ch}(E) \right]_{[2]}, \quad \text{where } m = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} M.$$

Proof. The curvature of $\nabla^{\det(\bar{\partial}^+)}$ is given by (9.3). On the other hand, since $\beta^+ - \beta^- = d\zeta'(\bar{\partial}, 0)$ is a closed form, we have

$$(\nabla^{\mathcal{Q}})^2 = (\nabla^{\det(\bar{\partial}^+)})^2 + d\beta^+ = (\nabla^{\det(\bar{\partial}^+)})^2 + \frac{d(\beta^+ + \beta^-)}{2}. \tag{9.10}$$

Since $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ equals \mathbb{A} up to terms of order 2, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(\beta^+ + \beta^-) &= \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty t^s (\alpha^+(t)_{[1]} + \alpha^-(t)_{[1]}) dt \right) \Big|_{s=0} \\ &= \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty t^s \text{STr} \left(\frac{d\mathbb{A}_t}{dt} e^{-\mathbb{A}_t^2} \right)_{[1]} dt \right) \Big|_{s=0} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \text{STr} \left(\frac{d\mathbb{A}_t}{dt} e^{-\mathbb{A}_t^2} \right)_{[1]} dt, \end{aligned} \tag{9.11}$$

where in the last step we have used that $\text{STr} \left(\frac{d\mathbb{A}_t}{dt} e^{-\mathbb{A}_t^2} \right)_{[1]}$ is integrable in t . Thus, by combining (9.3), (9.10) and (9.11), we see that

$$(\nabla^{\mathcal{Q}})^2 = \left[\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{\frac{m}{2}}} \int_{N/B} \widehat{A}(N/B; g_b) \text{Ch}'(\mathcal{E}) \right]_{[2]}. \tag{9.12}$$

Taking advantage of the fact that $\phi : N \rightarrow B$ is a Kähler fibration, this integral can be rewritten in terms of the Todd form of $T^{1,0}(N/B)$ and the Chern character form of the Hermitian bundle E . \square

Remark 9.5. Instead of using the $\bar{\partial}$ -torsion as in [5] to define the Quillen metric, we could as well have used the approach of Bismut–Freed [3] and defined the metric as

$$\| \cdot \|_{BF} := \det(\bar{\partial}^- \bar{\partial}^+)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \| \cdot \| = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \zeta'(\bar{\partial}^- \bar{\partial}^+, 0)} \| \cdot \|_{L^2}$$

with a compatible connection ∇^{BF} whose curvature is given by (9.12). The advantage of the Bismut–Freed approach is that it works in non-holomorphic settings, but its disadvantage is that in holomorphic settings, the connection ∇^{BF} is typically not the Chern connection of the metric $\| \cdot \|_{BF}$.

10. The Weil–Petersson Metric on the Moduli Space of Asymptotically Cylindrical Calabi–Yau Manifolds

Let (Z, g_b) be a compactifiable asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau manifold with compactification \bar{Z} such that $Z = \bar{Z} \setminus \bar{D}$ for some divisor \bar{D} in \bar{Z} as in Definition 5.2. Assume that $H^1(\bar{Z}; \mathbb{R}) = 0$. This is the case for instance if $H^1(Z; \mathbb{R}) = 0$. Let $L \rightarrow \bar{Z}$ be an ample line bundle over \bar{Z} with induced Kähler class $\bar{\ell} \in H^{1,1}(\bar{Z}) \subset H^2(\bar{Z}; \mathbb{R})$ and denote by $\ell \in H^2(Z; \mathbb{R})$ the restriction of $\bar{\ell}$ to Z . By Theorem 7.7, there is a well-defined relative moduli space in a neighborhood of Z ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathfrak{X} \\ & & \downarrow \phi \\ & & \mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}}, \end{array} \tag{10.1}$$

with $\phi^{-1}(m_0) = Z$ and

$$\begin{aligned} T_{m_0} \mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}} &\cong L^2 \mathcal{H}^{0,1}(Z; {}^b T^{1,0} Z) \\ &\cong \text{Im} \left(H^1(\bar{Z}; T_{\bar{Z}}(\log(\bar{D}))(-\bar{D})) \rightarrow H^1(\bar{Z}; T_{\bar{Z}}(\log(\bar{D}))) \right). \end{aligned}$$

As the complex structure is deformed, the line bundle $K_{\bar{Z}}(\bar{D}) = K_{\bar{Z}} \otimes L_{\bar{D}}$ automatically remains topologically trivial. Thus, since $H^{0,1}(\bar{Z}) = 0$, we conclude that $K_{\bar{Z}}(\bar{D})$ also remains **holomorphically** trivial. This means that a global non-zero meromorphic volume form with a simple pole at D continues to exist as we deform the complex structure. Consequently, we can apply the construction of Haskins–Hein–Nordström and obtain the existence of Calabi–Yau metrics on the deformed spaces. The following result allows us to make a canonical choice.

Lemma 10.1. *The class $\bar{\ell}$ on Z remains a Kähler class as the complex structure is deformed in \mathcal{M}_{rel} .*

Proof. Any class $\xi \in \text{Im} \left(H^1(\bar{Z}; T_{\bar{Z}}(\log(\bar{D}))(-\bar{D})) \rightarrow H^1(\bar{Z}; T_{\bar{Z}}(\log(\bar{D}))) \right)$ can be paired with $\bar{\ell}$ to obtain an element in

$$\text{Im} \left(H^2(\bar{Z}; T_{\bar{Z}}^* \otimes T_{\bar{Z}}(\log(\bar{D}))(-\bar{D})) \rightarrow H^2(\bar{Z}; T_{\bar{Z}}^* \otimes T_{\bar{Z}}(\log(\bar{D}))) \right).$$

Since $T_{\bar{Z}}(\log(\bar{D}))$ is naturally a subsheaf of $T_{\bar{Z}}$, there is a canonical map $H^2(\bar{Z}; T_{\bar{Z}}^* \otimes T_{\bar{Z}}(\log(\bar{D}))) \rightarrow H^2(\bar{Z}; T_{\bar{Z}}^* \otimes T_{\bar{Z}})$. Composing with the map

$H^2(\bar{Z}; T_{\bar{Z}}^* \otimes T_{\bar{Z}}) \rightarrow H^{0,2}(Z)$ induced by the trace $T_{\bar{Z}}^* \otimes T_{\bar{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{Z}}$, we obtain from ξ and $\bar{\ell}$ a class

$$\iota_{\xi} \bar{\ell} \in H^{0,2}(\bar{Z}).$$

This encodes how $\bar{\ell}$ changes when the complex structure is varied in the direction ξ . Restricting to Z , again using the sheaf map $T_{\bar{Z}}(\log \bar{D}) \rightarrow T_{\bar{Z}}$, we see that the restriction of $\iota_{\xi} \bar{\ell}$ to Z comes from an element of

$$\text{Im}(H^2(\bar{Z}; \mathcal{O}_{\bar{Z}}(-\bar{D})) \rightarrow H^2(\bar{Z}; \mathcal{O}_{\bar{Z}})) \cong L^2\mathcal{H}^{0,2}(Z).$$

But by Corollary 4.7, this latter space is trivial so $\iota_{\xi} \bar{\ell}|_Z = 0$.

On the other hand, consider the long exact sequence associated to the pair $(\bar{Z}, \bar{Z} \setminus \mathcal{N}_{\bar{D}})$, where $\mathcal{N}_{\bar{D}}$ is a tubular neighborhood of \bar{D} in \bar{Z} , which is of course diffeomorphic to the normal bundle of \bar{D} . Depending on whether or not $H^1(Z; \mathbb{R})$ is trivial, we deduce that either

$$\ker(H^2(\bar{Z}; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^2(Z; \mathbb{C})) = 0$$

or that

$$\ker(H^2(\bar{Z}; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^2(Z; \mathbb{C})) \cong H_c^2(\mathcal{N}_{\bar{D}}; \mathbb{C}) \cong H^0(D; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

In the first case, we have automatically that $\iota_{\xi} \bar{\ell} = 0$. In the second case, $H_c^2(\mathcal{N}_{\bar{D}}; \mathbb{C})$ is generated by a $(1, 1)$ -current supported on \bar{D} . Since $\iota_{\xi} \bar{\ell}$ is of type $(0, 2)$ and lies in this space, we conclude that $\iota_{\xi} \bar{\ell} = 0$. Since the class ξ was arbitrary, $\bar{\ell}$ must remain unchanged as the complex structure is deformed in \mathcal{M}_{rel} . \square

By this lemma, we can use the class $\bar{\ell}$ and Theorem 5.3 with $\lambda = 1$ to define for each $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}}$ a unique asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau metric g_m on $Z_m = \phi^{-1}(m)$ with Kähler form ω_m belonging to the class $\ell = \bar{\ell}|_Z \in H^2(Z; \mathbb{R})$. This family of Calabi–Yau metrics gives ϕ the structure of a Kähler fibration in the sense of [4, Definition 1.4]. Indeed, let h_m be the Hermitian metric on the polarization L over the fibre $\phi^{-1}(m)$ with first Chern form on $\phi^{-1}(m)$ equal to the Kähler form ω_m . Let ω be the corresponding first Chern form of the line bundle $L \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ with Hermitian metric h_m . Clearly, ω is a closed $(1, 1)$ -form which restricts to ω_m on $\phi^{-1}(m)$ for each m . Define $T_H \mathfrak{X}$ as the orthogonal complement of the vertical tangent bundle $T(\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}})$ with respect to the symmetric 2-form $g = \omega(J \cdot, \cdot)$, where J is the complex structure on \mathfrak{X} . Notice that g is not necessarily positive-definite on \mathfrak{X} , but it is when restricted to the fibres of ϕ , so $T_H \mathfrak{X}$ is a well-defined vector bundle inducing the decomposition $T\mathfrak{X} = T_H \mathfrak{X} \oplus T(\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}})$. Since the action of J preserves g and $T\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}}$, it preserves $T_H \mathfrak{X}$. This means that the triple $(\phi, g_m, T_H \mathfrak{X})$ is a Kähler fibration.

More importantly, the family of Calabi–Yau metrics g_m induces a metric on the moduli space \mathcal{M}_{rel} .

Definition 10.2. The **Weil–Petersson metric** on \mathcal{M}_{rel} is defined by

$$g_{\text{WP}}(u, v) = \int_{Z_m} \langle u, v \rangle_{g_m} d\mu(g_m) \quad \text{for } u, v \in L^2\mathcal{H}^{0,1}(Z_m, g_m; {}^bT^{1,0}\tilde{Z}_m) \cong T_m \mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}}.$$

For compact Calabi–Yau manifolds, the volume is a natural quantity which appears in many computations related to this Weil–Petersson metric. Although the volume of an asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau manifold is infinite, there is a notion of renormalized volume which is an adequate replacement. This is defined by

$${}^R\text{Vol}(Z_m, g_m, \rho) := \text{FP}_{s=0} \int_{Z_m} \rho^s d\mu(g_m), \tag{10.2}$$

where $\rho \in C^\infty(Z)$ is a choice of boundary defining function for Z and $\text{FP}_{s=0} f(s)$ denotes the finite part at $s = 0$ of the meromorphic function f . This uses the fact that $s \mapsto \int_{Z_m} \rho^s d\mu(g_m)$ is meromorphic in s with at most a simple pole at $s = 0$. This definition depends on the choice of ρ . However, replacing ρ by $c\rho$ for some positive constant c , a simple computation shows that

$${}^R\text{Vol}(Z_m, g_m, c\rho) = {}^R\text{Vol}(Z_m, g_m, \rho) + \text{Vol}(\partial\tilde{Z}_m; g_m) \log c.$$

Thus, choosing ρ appropriately, we can assume that

$${}^R\text{Vol}(Z_{m_0}, g_{m_0}, \rho) = 1, \quad \text{where } m_0 \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}} \text{ is such that } Z = \phi^{-1}(m_0). \tag{10.3}$$

The good news is that by doing so, nearby Calabi–Yau manifolds in this family also have renormalized volume 1 for this same choice of ρ .

Lemma 10.3. *Suppose that $\rho \in C^\infty(\tilde{Z})$ is a boundary defining function such that (10.3) holds. Then*

$${}^R\text{Vol}(Z_m, g_m, \rho) = 1 \quad \forall m \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}}.$$

Proof. Let ω_m be the Kähler form of g_m . Then, by Lemma 10.1 and Corollary 5.4, we know that

$$\omega_m = \omega_{m_0} + du$$

for some polyhomogeneous L^2 1-form u . Using Stokes’ theorem, we thus obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} {}^R\text{Vol}(Z_m, g_m, \rho) - {}^R\text{Vol}(Z_{m_0}, g_{m_0}, \rho) &= \int_{Z_m} \frac{\omega_m^n - \omega_{m_0}^n}{n!} \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \int_{Z_m} d \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!} u \wedge (du)^{j-1} \wedge \omega_{m_0}^{n-j} \right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 10.4. *The Weil–Petersson metric is Kähler. Furthermore, the first Chern form of the bundle ${}^bT^{1,0}(\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}})$ with Hermitian structure induced by the family of Calabi–Yau metrics associated to the class $\tilde{\ell}$ is given by*

$$c_1({}^bT^{1,0}(\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}})) = -c_1(\Lambda^n({}^bT^{1,0}(\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}})^*)) = \frac{\phi^* \omega_{WP}}{\pi},$$

where ω_{WP} is the Kähler form of g_{WP} .

Proof. We know that $\Lambda^n(({}^bT^{1,0}(\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}}))^*)$ has a global holomorphic section which is flat with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of g_m , hence

$$c_1({}^bT^{1,0}(\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}})) = -\phi^* c_1(H^0(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}}; \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}}}^n(\log \overline{D}))).$$

Let Ω be a local non-vanishing holomorphic section of $H^0(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}}; \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}}}^n(\log \overline{D}))$ on \mathcal{M}_{rel} . Then on Z_m ,

$$\Omega(m) \wedge \overline{\Omega(m)} = c_m (-i)^{n^2} d\mu(g_m)$$

for some constant $c_m > 0$, or equivalently,

$$|\Omega(m)|_{g_m}^2 = c_m,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(H^0(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}}; \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}}}^n(\log \overline{D}))) &= \frac{i}{2\pi} \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}}} \partial_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}}} \log |\Omega(m)|_{g_m}^2 \\ &= \frac{i}{2\pi} \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}}} \partial_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}}} \log c_m. \end{aligned} \tag{10.4}$$

Now, the constant c_m can be conveniently rewritten using the renormalized volume,

$$c_m = (-i)^{-n^2} \frac{\text{FP}_{s=0} \int_{Z_m} \rho^s \Omega(m) \wedge \overline{\Omega(m)}}{{}^R\text{Vol}(Z_m, g_m, \rho)} = (-i)^{-n^2} \text{FP}_{s=0} \int_{Z_m} \rho^s \Omega(m) \wedge \overline{\Omega(m)},$$

where, by Lemma 10.3, we can assume that ρ is chosen so that ${}^R\text{Vol}(Z_m, g_m, \rho) = 1$. Substituting this expression in (10.4), we compute that

$$c_1(H^0(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}}; \Omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}}}^n(\log \overline{D}))) (\xi, \overline{\eta}) = -\frac{i}{2\pi} \frac{1}{(-i)^{n^2} c_m} \int_{Z_m} \iota_\xi \Omega(m) \wedge \overline{\iota_\eta \Omega(m)}.$$

Just as for the moduli space of compact Calabi–Yau manifolds, see for instance [42, p.640–641], we also have that

$$\int_{Z_m} \iota_\xi \Omega(m) \wedge \overline{\iota_\eta \Omega(m)} = c_m (-i)^{n^2} \frac{2}{i} \omega_{\text{WP}}(\xi, \overline{\eta}),$$

and the result follows from this. \square

Consider the holomorphic vector bundle over \mathfrak{X} with coefficients

$$E = \bigoplus_{p=1}^n (-1)^p p \Omega^p(\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}}). \tag{10.5}$$

This has a Hermitian structure induced by the family of Calabi–Yau metrics g_m associated to the polarization $\overline{\ell}$. Let $\overline{\partial} = \sqrt{2}(\overline{\partial} + \overline{\partial}^*)$ be the corresponding family of Dolbeault operators. To apply Theorem 9.4 to this family, we must check that the family $\overline{\partial}$ satisfies the hypotheses of Sects. 8 and 9. Since we are on the moduli space of relative deformations, it is clear from Theorem 7.7 and Corollary 5.4 that the indicial family $I(\overline{\partial}, \lambda)$ is unchanged as we move on \mathcal{M}_{rel} . On the other hand, we have shown that the family of Calabi–Yau metrics g_m gives ϕ the structure of a Kähler fibration $(\phi, g_m, T_H \mathfrak{X})$. It follows from the next result that the L^2 -kernels of $\overline{\partial}$ acting on $\Omega^{0,q}(\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}}) \otimes E$ form a bundle over \mathcal{M}_{rel} .

Lemma 10.5. *The Hodge numbers $h^{p,q}(m) := \dim L^2\mathcal{H}^{p,q}(\phi^{-1}(m), g_m)$ are independent of $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}}$.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{M}_{rel} is assumed to be connected, it suffices to show that $h^{p,q}$ is locally constant. For $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, $L^2\mathcal{H}^{p,q}(\phi^{-1}(m), g_m)$ corresponds to the kernel of the Fredholm operator

$$\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} : \rho^\epsilon H_b^2(\phi^{-1}(m), \Omega^{p,q}(\phi^{-1}(M))) \rightarrow \rho^\epsilon L_b^2(\phi^{-1}(m), \Omega^{p,q}(\phi^{-1}(M))).$$

Hence, there is a small neighborhood \mathcal{U} of any $m_0 \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}}$ such that $h^{p,q}(m) \leq h^{p,q}(m_0)$ for all $m \in \mathcal{U}$. On the other hand,

$$\dim L^2\mathcal{H}^k(\phi^{-1}(m), g_m) = \sum_{p+q=k} h^{p,q}.$$

But by the result of [35], see also [19], the number $\dim L^2\mathcal{H}^k(\phi^{-1}(M), g_m)$ depends only on the topology of $\tilde{Z} = [\bar{Z}; \bar{D}]$, the blow-up of \bar{Z} at \bar{D} in the sense of [35], so it is independent of m . This means that none of the individual Hodge numbers $h^{p,q}$ can decrease, so $h^{p,q}(m) = h^{p,q}(m_0)$ for all $m \in \mathcal{U}$. \square

The family $\bar{\partial}$ thus has a well-defined determinant line bundle. We can use Theorem 9.4 to compute its curvature.

Theorem 10.6. *The curvature of the determinant line bundle of the family of Dolbeault operators $\bar{\partial} = \sqrt{2}(\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*)$ associated to the bundle (10.5) is*

$$\frac{i}{2\pi}(\nabla\mathcal{Q})^2 = \frac{\chi(Z)}{12\pi}\omega_{WP}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 9.4,

$$(\nabla\mathcal{Q})^2 = \left[\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{N/B} \text{Td}(T^{1,0}(\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}}), g_b) \text{Ch}(E) \right]_{[2]}, \quad \text{where } n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Z. \tag{10.6}$$

On the other hand, by [2, p.374],

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Td}(T^{1,0}(\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}}) \text{Ch}(E)) &= -(2\pi i)^{n-1}c_{n-1} + (2\pi i)^n \frac{n}{2}c_n - \frac{(2\pi i)^{n+1}}{12}c_1c_n \\ &\quad + (\text{higher order terms}), \end{aligned} \tag{10.7}$$

where $c_i = c_i(T^{1,0}(\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}}))$ are the Chern forms of the Hermitian bundle $T^{1,0}(\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}})$ and the $2\pi i$ factors appear because we follow the convention of [1] for the definitions of the Todd form and the Chern character form. On the other hand, by Proposition 10.4,

$$c_1(T^{1,0}(\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{M}_{\text{rel}})) = \frac{\phi^*\omega_{WP}}{\pi}. \tag{10.8}$$

Hence, combining (10.6), (10.7) and (10.8), the result follows from the Chern-Gauss-Bonnet theorem for manifolds with cylindrical ends (see [35]),

$$\int_{Z_m} c_n = \chi(Z_m) = \chi(Z).$$

\square

Acknowledgements. R.M. was partially supported by the NSF under DMS-1105050; F.R. was partially supported by NSERC, FRQNT and a Canada research chair.

References

- Berline, N., Getzler, E., Vergne, M.: Heat Kernels and Dirac operators. Springer, Berlin (2004)
- Bershadsky, M., Cecotti, S., Ooguri, H., Vafa, C.: Kodaira–Spencer theory of gravity and exact results for quantum string amplitudes. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **165**(2), 311–427 (1994)
- Bismut, J.-M., Freed, D.S.: The analysis of elliptic families. I. Metrics and connections on determinant bundles. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **106**(1), 159–176 (1986)
- Bismut, J.-M., Gillet, H., Soulé, C.: Analytic torsion and holomorphic determinant bundles. II. Direct images and Bott-Chern forms. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **115**(1), 79–126 (1988)
- Bismut, J.-M., Gillet, H., Soulé, C.: Analytic torsion and holomorphic determinant bundles. III. Quillen metrics on holomorphic determinants. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **115**(2), 301–351 (1988)
- Calabi, E.: Métriques kählériennes et fibrés holomorphes. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **12**(2), 269–294 (1979)
- Cheeger, J., Tian, G.: On the cone structure at infinity of Ricci flat manifolds with Euclidean volume growth and quadratic curvature decay. *Invent. Math.* **118**(3), 493–571 (1994)
- Conlon, R.J., Hein, H.-J.: Asymptotically conical Calabi–Yau metrics on quasi-projective varieties. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **25**(2), 517–552 (2015)
- Conlon, R.J., Hein, H.-J.: Asymptotically conical Calabi–Yau manifolds. I. *Duke Math. J.* **162**(15), 2855–2902 (2013)
- Corti, A., Haskins, M., Nordström, J., Pacini, T.: G_2 -manifolds and associative submanifolds via semi-Fano 3-folds. *Duke Math. J.* (to appear)
- Corti, A., Haskins, M., Nordström, J., Pacini, T.: Asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau 3-folds from weak Fano 3-folds. *Geom. Topol.* **17**(4), 1955–2059 (2013)
- Epstein, C.L., Melrose, R.B., Mendoza, G.A.: Resolvent of the Laplacian on strictly pseudoconvex domains. *Acta Math.* **167**(1–2), 1–106 (1991)
- Fang, H., Lu, Z.: Generalized Hodge metrics and BCOV torsion on Calabi–Yau moduli. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **588**, 49–69 (2005)
- Futaki, A., Ono, H., Wang, G.: Transverse Kähler geometry of Sasaki manifolds and toric Sasaki–Einstein manifolds. *J. Differ. Geom.* **83**(3), 585–635 (2009)
- Gell-Redman, J., Rochon, F.: Hodge cohomology of some foliated boundary and foliated cusp metrics. *Mathematische Nachrichten* **19**(3), 719–729 (2015)
- Goto, R.: Calabi–Yau structures and Einstein–Sasakian structures on crepant resolutions of isolated singularities. *J. Math. Soc. Jpn.* **64**(3), 1005–1052 (2012)
- Griffiths, P., Harris, J.: Principle of Algebraic Geometry. Wiley, New York (1994)
- Haskins, M., Hein, H.-J., Nordström, J.: Asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau manifolds. *J. Differ. Geom.* (to appear). [arXiv:1212.6929](https://arxiv.org/abs/1212.6929)
- Hausel, T., Hunsicker, E., Mazzeo, R.: Hodge cohomology of gravitational instantons. *Duke Math. J.* **122**(3), 485–548 (2004)
- Hein, H.-J.: Gravitational instantons from rational elliptic surfaces. *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **25**(2), 355–393 (2012)
- Huybrechts, D.: Complex Geometry. An Introduction. Universitext. Springer, Berlin (2005)
- Jeffres, T., Mazzeo, R., Rubinstein, Y.: Kähler–Einstein metrics with edge singularities. Preprint. [arXiv:1105.5216](https://arxiv.org/abs/1105.5216)
- Joyce, D., Salur, S.: Deformations of asymptotically cylindrical coassociative submanifolds with fixed boundary. *Geom. Topol.* **9**, 1115–1146 (2005)
- Joyce, D.D.: Compact Manifolds with Special Holonomy. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2000)
- Katzarkov, L., Kontsevich, M., Pantev, T.: Hodge theoretic aspects of mirror symmetry. From Hodge theory to integrability and TQFT tt^* -geometry. In: Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, vol. 78, pp. 87–174. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2008)
- Kawamata, Y.: On deformations of compactifiable complex manifolds. *Math. Ann.* **235**(3), 247–265 (1978)
- Kodaira, K.: Complex Manifolds and Deformation of Complex Structures. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 283. Springer, New York (1986). Translated from the Japanese by Kazuo Akao, With an appendix by Daisuke Fujiwara
- Koiso, N.: Einstein metrics and complex structures. *Invent. Math.* **73**(1), 71–106 (1983)
- Kovalev, A.: Twisted connected sums and special Riemannian holonomy. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **565**, 125–160 (2003)

30. Kovalev, A.: Ricci-flat deformations of asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau manifolds. In: Proceedings of Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2005, pp. 140–156. Gökova Geometry/Topology Conference (GGT), Gökova (2006)
31. Kovalev, A., Lee, N.-H.: $K3$ surfaces with non-symplectic involution and compact irreducible G_2 -manifolds. *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **151**(2), 193–218 (2011)
32. LeBrun, C.: Fano manifolds, contact structures, and quaternionic geometry. *Int. J. Math.* **6**(3), 419–437 (1995)
33. Lee, J., Melrose, R.B.: Boundary behavior of the complex Monge–Ampère equation. *Acta Math.* **148**, 159–192 (1982)
34. Mazzeo, R.: Elliptic theory of differential edge operators. I. *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.* **16**(10), 1615–1664 (1991)
35. Melrose, R.B.: *The Atiyah–Patodi–Singer Index Theorem*. A. K. Peters, Wellesley (1993)
36. Melrose, R.B., Piazza, P.: Families of Dirac operators, boundaries and the b -calculus. *J. Differ. Geom.* **46**(1), 99–180 (1997)
37. Mendoza, G.A.: Boundary structure and cohomology of b -complex manifolds. In: *Partial Differential Equations and Inverse Problems*. Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 362, pp. 303–320. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2004)
38. Rochon, F., Zhang, Z.: Asymptotics of complete Kähler metrics of finite volume on quasiprojective manifolds. *Adv. Math.* **231**(5), 2892–2952 (2012)
39. Salur, S.: Asymptotically cylindrical Ricci-flat manifolds. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **134**(10), 3049–3056 (2006) (electronic)
40. Salur, S., Todd, A.J.: Deformations of asymptotically cylindrical special Lagrangian submanifolds. In: *Proceedings of Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2009*, pp. 99–123. International Press, Somerville (2010)
41. Santoro, B.: On the asymptotic expansion of complete Ricci-flat Kähler metrics on quasi-projective manifolds. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **615**, 59–91 (2008)
42. Tian, G.: Smoothness of the universal deformation space of compact Calabi–Yau manifolds and its Petersson–Weil metric. In: *Mathematical Aspects of String Theory* (San Diego, Calif., 1986). Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics, vol. 1, pp. 629–646. World Scientific Publishing, Singapore (1987)
43. Tian, G., Yau, S.-T.: Complete Kähler manifolds with zero Ricci curvature. I. *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **3**(3), 579–609 (1990)
44. Tian, G., Yau, S.-T.: Complete Kähler manifolds with zero Ricci curvature. II. *Invent. Math.* **106**(1), 27–60 (1991)
45. Todorov, A.N.: The Weil–Petersson geometry of the moduli space of $SU(n \geq 3)$ (Calabi–Yau) manifolds. I. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **126**(2), 325–346 (1989)
46. van Coevering, C.: Ricci-flat Kähler metrics on crepant resolutions of Kähler cones. *Math. Ann.* **347**(3), 581–611 (2010)
47. Yau, S.T.: On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge–Ampère equation. I. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **31**(3), 339–411 (1978)

Communicated by S. Zelditch