## **On Reducibility of Schrödinger Equations with Quasiperiodic in Time Potentials**

**Håkan L. Eliasson**1**, Sergei B. Kuksin**2,<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Paris 7, Case 7052, 2 place Jussieu, Paris, France. E-mail: hakane@math.jussieu.fr

Paris, France. E-mail: hakanew C-mail: hakane. E-mail: kuksin@math.polytechnique.fr 2 École polytechnique, Palaiseau, France. E-mail: kuksin@math.polytechnique.fr 3 Department of Mathematics, Heriot-Watt University, Edinbu

Received: 7 December 2007 / Accepted: 26 August 2008 Published online: 20 November 2008 – © Springer-Verlag 2008

**Abstract:** We prove that a linear *d*-dimensional Schrödinger equation with an *x*-periodic and *t*-quasiperiodic potential reduces to an autonomous equation for most values of the frequency vector. The reduction is made by means of a non-autonomous linear transformation of the space of *x*-periodic functions. This transformation is a quasiperiodic function of *t*.

## **1. Results**

We consider a linear Schrödinger equation on a *d*-dimensional torus with a nonautonomous potential which is a quasiperiodic function of time:

$$
\dot{u} = -i(\Delta u - \varepsilon V(\varphi_0 + t\omega, x; \omega)u), \qquad u = u(t, x), \ x \in \mathbb{T}^d = \mathbb{R}^d / 2\pi \mathbb{Z}^d. \tag{1.1}
$$

<span id="page-0-0"></span>Here  $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$  and the frequency vector  $\omega$  is regarded as a parameter:  $\omega \in U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , where *U* is an open subset of the ball  $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid |y| \leq C\}$ . The function  $V(\varphi, x; \omega)$ ,  $(\varphi, x, \omega) \in \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{T}^d \times U$ , is  $C^1$ -smooth in all its variables and is analytic in  $(\varphi, x)$ . For some  $\rho > 0$  it analytically in  $\varphi$ , *x* extends to the domain

$$
\mathbb{T}^n_\rho\times\mathbb{T}^d_\rho\times U,\qquad \mathbb{T}^n_\rho=\{(a+ib)\in\mathbb{C}^n/2\pi\mathbb{Z}^n\mid |b|<\rho\},
$$

where it is bounded by  $C_1$ , as well as its gradient in  $\omega$ . We regard [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) as a linear non-autonomous equation in the complex Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d) = L^2(\mathbb{T}^d; \mathbb{C})$ . By  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ we denote the Hermitian  $L^2$ -scalar product in  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ .

In this work we prove that Eq.  $(1.1)$  reduces to constant coefficients for 'most values of the parameter  $\omega$ '. The result is stated in the theorem below. There by  $H^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$  and  $H^p(\mathbb{T}^d; \mathbb{R})$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{R}$ , we denote the complex and real Sobolev spaces with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_p$ , where

$$
||u||_p^2 = \int |(-\Delta + 1)^{p/2} u(x)|^2 dx = \langle (-\Delta + 1)^p u, u \rangle,
$$

and by  $\|\cdot\|_{p,p}$  denote the norm in the space of linear operators in  $H^p$ . The exponential functions  ${e_s \mid s \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ ,  $e_s(x) = (2\pi)^{-d/2}e^{is \cdot x}$ , form a Hilbert basis of the space  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$  and form an orthogonal basis of each Sobolev space. For any linear operator *B* between Sobolev spaces (real or complex) we denote by  $(B_{ab}, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^d)$  its matrix with respect to this basis. By  $|\cdot|$  we denote the Euclidean norm and the operator-norms of finite-dimensional matrices.

<span id="page-1-6"></span>**Theorem 1.1.** *For any*  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ , where  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  is sufficiently small, there exists a Borel *set*  $U_{\varepsilon} \subset U$ , mes $(U \setminus U_{\varepsilon}) \leq K \varepsilon^{\varkappa}$ , such that for  $\omega \in U_{\varepsilon}, \varphi \in \mathbb{T}^n$  in the space  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ *exists a complex-linear isomorphism*  $\Psi(\varphi) = \Psi(\varphi)_{\varepsilon,\omega}$  *which analytically depends on*  $\varphi \in \mathbb{T}^n_{\rho/2}$  and a bounded Hermitian operator  $Q = Q_{\varepsilon,\omega}$  with the following property: a *curve*  $v(t) = v(t, \cdot) \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$  *satisfies the autonomous equation* 

$$
\dot{v} = -i\,\Delta v + i\varepsilon Qv \tag{1.2}
$$

<span id="page-1-1"></span>*if and only if*  $u(t, \cdot) = \Psi(\varphi_0 + t\omega)v(t, \cdot)$  *is a solution of* [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0)*. The matrix* (*Qab*) *of operator Q satisfies*

$$
Q_{ab} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad |a| \neq |b|. \tag{1.3}
$$

<span id="page-1-0"></span>*For any p*  $\in$  *N operators Q and*  $\Psi(\varphi)$  *meet the estimates* 

$$
\|Q\|_{p,p} = \|Q\|_{0,0} \le K_1,\tag{1.4}
$$

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
\|\Psi(\varphi) - \mathrm{id}\|_{p,p} \le \varepsilon K_2 \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathbb{T}_{\rho/2}^n. \tag{1.5}
$$

*Moreover,*  $Q_{\varepsilon,\omega}$  *and*  $\Psi(\varphi)_{\varepsilon,\omega}$  *are operator-valued Lipschitz functions of*  $\omega \in U_{\varepsilon}$  *and* 

$$
\|\nabla_{\omega}\mathcal{Q}\|_{p,p} \leq K_1, \quad \|\nabla_{\omega}\Psi(\varphi)\|_{p,p} \leq \varepsilon K_2,\tag{1.6}
$$

<span id="page-1-3"></span>*for all*  $\varphi \in \mathbb{T}^n_{\rho/2}$  *and a.a.*  $\omega \in U_{\varepsilon}$ *.* 

*The positive constants*  $\varepsilon_0$ *, K and k depend only on n*, *d*, *C*, *C*<sub>1</sub> *and ρ*, *while K*<sub>1</sub> *and*  $K_2$  *also depend on*  $\omega$  *and*  $K_2$  *depends on*  $p$ *.* 

Since operator  $Q$  is Hermitian and satisfies  $(1.3)$ , then the spectrum of the linear oper-ator in the r.h.s. of [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1) is pure point and imaginary. So all solutions  $v(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$  of [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1) are almost-periodic functions of *t*. Estimates [\(1.4\)](#page-1-2), [\(1.5\)](#page-1-2) imply that these solutions are well localised in the Fourier presentation:

<span id="page-1-7"></span>**Corollary 1.2.** *For any p there exists*  $\varepsilon'_0 > 0$  *and*  $K_3 > 0$  *such that for*  $\omega \in U_\varepsilon$  *every solution*  $u(t)$  *of* [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) *with*  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0'$  *satisfies* 

$$
(1 - K_3 \varepsilon) \|u(0)\|_p \le \|u(t)\|_p \le (1 + K_3 \varepsilon) \|u(0)\|_p \quad \forall t.
$$
 (1.7)

<span id="page-1-4"></span>*Apart from p, the constant* ε <sup>0</sup> *depends on n*, *d*,*C*,*C*<sup>1</sup> *and* ρ*, while K*<sup>3</sup> *also depends on* ω*.*

 $\sum u_s(t)e^{is\cdot x}$ , then In particular, if  $u(0) = u(0, x)$  is a finite trigonometrical polynomial and  $u(t, x) =$ 

$$
\sup_{t} |u_s(t)| \le C_p |s|^{-p} \quad \forall s, \ \forall p. \tag{1.8}
$$

<span id="page-1-5"></span>Such behaviour of solutions for a dynamical equation is called *dynamical localisation*.

*Remark 1*. The linear operators in the r.h.s. of linear Hamiltonian equations [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) and [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1) are complex-linear Hermitian transformations. So the flow-maps of these equations are complex-linear, symplectic and unitary. The conjugating transformations  $\Psi(\varphi)$  are complex linear. It can be shown that they also are symplectic. Hence, they are unitary. So the conjugations respect all three structures, preserved by Eqs. [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) and [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1).

*Remark 2.* In fact, the constant  $K_1$  does not depend on  $\omega$ . Moreover, if we replace [\(1.5\)](#page-1-2) by the weaker estimate

$$
\|\Psi(\varphi) - \mathrm{id}\|_{p,p} \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon} K_2' \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathbb{T}_{\rho/2}^n,
$$

and similarly with [\(1.6\)](#page-1-3), then the constant  $K'_2$  can be chosen  $\omega$ -independent. See footnote 2 below.

*Remark 3.* The estimates [\(1.5\)](#page-1-2)–[\(1.7\)](#page-1-4) remain true with arbitrary  $p \ge 0$  if we replace the Sobolev norms  $\|\cdot\|_p$  and the operator norms  $\|\cdot\|_{p,p}$  by the stronger norm  $[\cdot]_p$ , where

$$
[u]_p^2 = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |u_s|^2 e^{2 (\ln(|s|+1))^p}, \qquad u(x) = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}^d} u_s e^{is \cdot x},
$$

and by the corresponding operator-norm  $[\cdot]_{p,p}$ . Again the constants  $K_2$ ,  $K_3$  and  $\varepsilon'$  depend on *p*. In particular, [\(1.8\)](#page-1-5) remains true if we replace its r.h.s. by  $C'_p \exp\left(-\left(\ln(|s|+1)\right)^p\right)$  $(p > 0$  is any).

In the next section we derive Theorem [1.1](#page-1-6) from an abstract theorem in [\[EK](#page-10-0)], prove Corollary [1.2](#page-1-7) and discuss Remark 3.

*Related results*. It was observed by N. Bogolyubov in 1960's (see in [\[BMS69](#page-10-1)]) that KAM-techniques apply to prove reducibility of non-autonomous finite-dimensional linear systems to constant coefficient equations. Such results are also contained in [\[Mos67](#page-10-2)]. Since then establishing the reducibility of finite-dimensional systems by means of the KAM tools is an active field of research. For the case of partial differential equations the techniques from 'KAM for PDE' theory were used by Bambusi and Graffi in [\[BG01\]](#page-10-3) to prove reducibility of the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation  $(1.1)$  to constant in time coefficients. Their results are similar to those in Theorem [1.1](#page-1-6) with  $d = 1$ .

The problem of growth of solutions for the linear Schrödinger equation with timequasiperiodic and with smooth bounded potentials was considered by J. Bourgain in [\[Bou99a\]](#page-10-4) and [\[Bou99b](#page-10-5)], respectively. In the first work it is shown that for a Diophantine frequency vector  $\omega$  Sobolev norms of any solution for [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) grow with *t* at most logarithmically, while results of the second work imply that for any  $\omega$  each Sobolev norm grows slower than any positive degree of *t*. Corollary [1.2](#page-1-7) specify these results for 'typical' vectors  $\omega$ .

Corollary [1.2](#page-1-7) shows that Sobolev norms of solutions for Eq. [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) remain bounded in time, provided that the frequency vector  $\omega$  is 'typical'. In particular, it should be non-resonant with the numbers  $\{|s|^2 \mid s \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ , forming the spectrum of the operator  $-\Delta$ . It turns out that the norms of the solutions may stay bounded also in the opposite case when  $\omega$  is completely resonant with the spectrum. Namely, W.-M. Wang [\[Wan07\]](#page-10-6) proved this for Eq. [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) where  $n = d = 1$  and  $\omega = 1$ .

## **2. Proofs**

*Proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-6)* The operator  $\Delta$  on torus has zero in its spectrum. This is inconvenient for some technical reasons. So we make the substitution  $\hat{u} := e^{-it/2}u$  and re-write Eq.  $(1.1)$  as

$$
\dot{u} = -i\left((\Delta - \frac{1}{2})u - \varepsilon V(\varphi_0 + t\omega, x; \omega)u\right).
$$
 (2.1)

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Below we usually do not indicate dependence of functions on the parameter  $\omega$ .

Firstly we re-interpret Eq. [\(2.1\)](#page-3-0) as an autonomous Hamiltonian system in an extended **Pristry we re-interpret Eq. (2.1) as an autonomous Frammonian system in an extended** phase-space. To do this we write  $u(x) = (\xi(x) + i\eta(x))/\sqrt{2}$ , where  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are real functions. Then [\(2.1\)](#page-3-0) becomes

$$
\dot{\xi} = -\left((-\Delta + \frac{1}{2})\eta + \varepsilon V(\varphi_0 + t\omega, x)\eta\right),\
$$
  
\n
$$
\dot{\eta} = \left(-\Delta + \frac{1}{2}\right)\xi + \varepsilon V(\varphi_0 + t\omega, x)\xi.
$$
\n(2.2)

<span id="page-3-1"></span>Let us consider the space

$$
\mathcal{Z} = H^1(\mathbb{T}^d; \mathbb{R}) \times H^1(\mathbb{T}^d; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n = (\xi, \eta, \varphi, r).
$$

We provide it with a symplectic structure, given by the two-form  $\alpha_2 \oplus (dr \wedge d\varphi)$ , where  $\alpha_2[(\xi_1, \eta_1), (\xi_2, \eta_2)] = \langle \eta_1, \xi_2 \rangle - \langle \xi_1, \eta_2 \rangle$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  stands for the usual  $L^2$ -scalar product.

The function  $h^{\varepsilon}_{\omega}(\xi, \eta, \varphi, r)$ ,

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
h^{\varepsilon}_{\omega} = \omega \cdot r + \frac{1}{2} \int \left( (|\nabla \xi|^{2} + |\nabla \eta|^{2}) + \frac{1}{2} (|\xi|^{2} + |\eta|^{2}) + \varepsilon V(\varphi, x) (\xi^{2} + \eta^{2}) \right) dx \tag{2.3}
$$

is analytic in *Z*. The symplectic structure above corresponds to the function  $h^{\varepsilon}_{\omega}$  the Hamiltonian equation

$$
\dot{\xi} = -\nabla_{\eta} h_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} = -\left((-\Delta + \frac{1}{2})\eta + \varepsilon V(\varphi)\eta\right),
$$
\n
$$
\dot{\eta} = \nabla_{\xi} h_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} = \left((-\Delta + \frac{1}{2})\xi + \varepsilon V(\varphi)\xi\right),
$$
\n
$$
\dot{\varphi} = \nabla_r h_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} = \omega,
$$
\n
$$
\dot{r} = -\nabla_{\varphi} h_{\omega}^{\varepsilon}.
$$
\n(2.4)

The first three equations are independent from  $r$  and are equivalent to Eq.  $(2.2)$ .

The Hamiltonian  $h^{\varepsilon}_{\omega}$  is a perturbation of the integrable Hamiltonian  $h^0_{\omega} = h^0_{\omega}(\zeta, r) =$  $h_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} |_{\varepsilon=0}$  (which corresponds to the Schrödinger equation *iu*<sup>†</sup> =  $(\Delta - 1/2)u$ ) by the quadratic in  $(\xi, \eta)$  function  $\varepsilon f$ . The function f is the quadratic form, corresponding to the linear operator  $\frac{1}{2}F_{\varphi}$ , where

$$
F_{\varphi} : (\xi(x), \eta(x)) \mapsto (V(\varphi, x)\xi(x), V(\varphi, x)\eta(x))
$$

(this operator depends on the parameter  $\omega$ ). Write  $V(\varphi, x)$  as  $V = \sum V_s(\varphi) e^{is \cdot x}$ . Then  $F_\varphi$ , regarded as an operator on vectors  $\zeta = \begin{pmatrix} \xi & \xi \\ \xi & \xi \end{pmatrix}$ η  $\Big) \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d;\mathbb{R}) \times L^2(\mathbb{T}^d;\mathbb{R})$  (or on complex vectors  $\zeta \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d) \times L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ ) has a matrix, formed by 2 × 2-blocks  $F_{ab}(\varphi)$  =  $V_{b-a}(\varphi)$   $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . By the analyticity assumption,

$$
|V_s(\varphi)|, \ |\nabla_\omega V_s(\varphi)| \leq C_1 e^{-\rho|s|} \quad \forall s, \ \forall \varphi \in \mathbb{T}^n_\rho, \ \forall \omega \in U.
$$

We see that  $F = (F_{ab})$  is a Töplitz matrix, formed by diagonal  $2 \times 2$ -blocks, which has finite exponential norm  $|F|_0$ ,

$$
|F|_{\rho} = \sup_{a,b} \left| e^{\rho|a-b|} |F_{ab}| \right|.
$$
 (2.5)

<span id="page-4-1"></span>In the space of complex 2  $\times$  2-matrices, provided with the scalar product Tr (<sup>t</sup> $\overline{A}B$ ), consider the orthogonal projection  $\pi$  on the subspace, generated by the matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

and  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . For a matrix *G*, formed by 2 × 2-blocks *G*<sub>ab</sub>, we define  $\pi G$  as the matrix

$$
(\pi G)_{ab} = (\pi G_{ab}).
$$

Note that a real matrix *G*, operating on vectors  $\zeta = \begin{pmatrix} \xi & \xi \\ \xi & \xi \end{pmatrix}$ η ), corresponds to a complexlinear transformation, operating on complex vectors  $u = (\xi + i\eta)/\sqrt{2}$ , if and only if  $\pi G = G$ . In particular, the matrix *F* satisfies  $\pi F = F$ .

These properties of matrix *F* imply that it is a special case of the Töplitz–Lipschitz matrices, defined in [\[EK08](#page-10-7), EK], and that for any  $\Lambda \in \mathbb{N}$  its Töplitz–Lipschitz norm<sup>[1](#page-4-0)</sup> satisfies the estimates

$$
\langle F \rangle_{\Lambda,\rho}, \ \langle \nabla_{\omega} F \rangle_{\Lambda,\rho} \le C_1 \qquad \forall \varphi \in \mathbb{T}_\rho^n, \ \omega \in U. \tag{2.6}
$$

In [\[EK](#page-10-0)] we study nonlinear Hamiltonian perturbations of infinite-dimensional linear systems. Results of that work apply to perturbations  $H^{\varepsilon}_{\omega}$  of the Hamiltonian  $h^0_{\omega}$  as above,

$$
H_{\omega}^{\varepsilon}(\zeta, \varphi, r; \omega) = h_{\omega}^{0}(\zeta, r) + \varepsilon f(\zeta, \varphi, r; \omega), \quad \zeta = \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix}.
$$

The real valued function *f* is  $C^1$ -smooth in  $(\zeta, \varphi, r; \omega)$ , is analytic in  $\mathfrak{h} = (\zeta, \varphi, r)$  and analytically in h extends to the complex domain  $\mathcal{O}^0(\sigma, \rho)$ , where it is bounded by a constant *C*<sub>1</sub>. Here for  $\kappa > 0$  and  $\sigma$ ,  $\rho > 0$  we denote

$$
\mathcal{O}^{k}(\sigma, \rho) = \{ \mathfrak{h} \mid ||\zeta||'_{k} < \sigma, \, |\mathrm{Im}\,\varphi| < \rho, \, |r| < \sigma^{2} \},
$$

where  $\|\eta\| = \sum_{s} \eta_s e_s\|_{\kappa}^{\gamma} = \left(\sum_{s} |\eta_s|^2 e^{2\kappa|s|} \langle s \rangle^2\right)^{1/2}$  with  $\langle s \rangle = \max\{|s|, 1\}$ . It is assumed that there exists  $\gamma > 0$  such that for any  $0 \le \gamma' \le \gamma$  and any  $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathcal{O}^{\gamma'}(\sigma, \rho)$  we have

$$
|a = a' + tc| \ge \Lambda(|a'| + |c|)|c|, \quad |b = b' + tc| \ge \Lambda(|b'| + |c|)|c|
$$

and  $\frac{|a|}{|c|}$ ,  $\frac{|b|}{|c|} \ge 2\Lambda^2$ . If *X* is Töplitz at  $\infty$ , we define

$$
\langle X \rangle_{\Lambda,\rho} = \sup_{c \neq 0} \sup_{(a,b) \in \mathcal{D}_{\Lambda}(c)} |X_{ab} - X_{ab}(c)| \cdot \max \left( \frac{|a|}{|c|}, \frac{|b|}{|c|} \right) e^{\rho|a-b|} + |X|_{\rho}.
$$

Note that if *X* is Töplitz, then it is Töplitz at infinity and the first term in the r.h.s. vanishes. So in this case  $\langle X \rangle_{\Lambda,\rho} = |X|_{\rho}.$ 

<span id="page-4-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For the reader's convenience we now define the Töplitz-Lipschitz norm  $\langle X \rangle_{\Lambda,\rho}$  of a matrix X, assuming for simplicity that  $d = 2$  and X satisfies  $\pi X = X$ . A matrix X is called Töplitz at  $\infty$  if the limit  $X_{ab}(c) = \lim_{t \to \infty} X_{a+tc, b+tc}$  exists for all  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}_{\Lambda}(c)$  be the set of all  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d$  such that

 $\|\nabla_{\zeta} f(\mathfrak{h}; \omega)\|_{\gamma'} \leq C_1$  and that the Hessian  $\nabla_{\zeta}^2 f$  satisfies  $\langle \nabla_{\zeta}^2 f \rangle_{\Lambda, \gamma'} \leq C_1$  for some  $\Lambda \geq 3$ . Moreover it is also assumed that each component of the gradient  $\nabla_{\omega} f$  possesses the same properties.

Results of [\[EK](#page-10-0)] imply the following assertions concerning Hamiltonians *H*<sup>ε</sup><sub>ω</sub>:

**Theorem 2.1.** *There is*  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  *and for every*  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$  *there is a Borel set*  $U_{\varepsilon} \subset U$ *, satisfying mes*  $(U\setminus U_{\varepsilon}) \leq K\varepsilon^{\varkappa}$ , such that for all  $\omega \in U_{\varepsilon}$  the following holds: there exists an *analytical symplectic diffeomorphism*  $\Phi : \mathcal{O}^0(\sigma/2, \rho/2) \to \mathcal{O}^0(\sigma, \rho)$  *and a vector*  $\omega'$  $such that (h<sub>\omega</sub><sup>0</sup> + \varepsilon f) \circ \Phi$  equals (*modulo a constant*)

$$
h_{\omega'}^{0}(\zeta,r)+\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\langle\tilde{H}(\omega')\zeta,\zeta\rangle+f'(\mathfrak{h},\omega')=:\tilde{h}_{\omega'}^{\varepsilon}.
$$

<span id="page-5-3"></span>*Here*

$$
\nabla_{\zeta} f' = \nabla_r f' = \nabla_{\zeta}^2 f' = 0 \text{ for } \zeta = r = 0,
$$
 (2.7)

*and*  $\tilde{H} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 & Q_2 \\ Q_1^t & Q_2 \end{pmatrix}$  $Q_2^t$   $Q_1$ ), where the operator  $Q = Q_1 + i Q_2$  is a Hermitian operator in the *space*  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$  *such that its matrix satisfies* [\(1.3\)](#page-1-0)*. The transformation*  $\Phi = (\Phi_{\zeta}, \Phi_{\varphi}, \Phi_r)$ *satisfies*

$$
\|\Phi_{\zeta} - \zeta\|_{0}' + |\Phi_{\varphi} - \varphi| + |\Phi_{r} - r| \le \beta \varepsilon \tag{2.8}
$$

<span id="page-5-2"></span>*for all*  $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathcal{O}^0(\sigma/2, \rho/2)$ *, and* 

 $\|\tilde{H}\|_{0,0} \leq \beta.$ 

*The positive constants*  $\varepsilon_0$ ,  $\varkappa$ , *K* depend on n, d, C, C<sub>1</sub>,  $\sigma$  and  $\rho$ , while  $\beta$  also depends *on* ω*.* [2](#page-5-0)

*Remark.* The assertions of the theorem directly follow from Theorem 7.1 in [\[EK\]](#page-10-0). That theorem deals with perturbations of integrable infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian systems of a rather general form and it applies to Hamiltonians  $H_{\omega}^{\varepsilon}$  as above if we specify parameters of the theorem as follows:

$$
H = 0
$$
,  $|\mathcal{A}| = n$ ,  $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,  $\Omega_a(\omega) = |a|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (a \in \mathbb{Z}^d)$ ,  $m_* = 1$ ,  $\mu = \sigma^2$ 

(we use the notations of  $[EK]$  $[EK]$ ).

It is assumed in Theorem 7.1 that the eigenvalues  $\Omega_a(\omega)$  of the quadratic in  $\zeta$  part of the integrable Hamiltonian  $h_{\omega}^0$  are exponentially close to squares  $|a|^2$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ .<sup>[3](#page-5-1)</sup> Now the eigenvalues are those of the operator  $-\Delta + 1/2$ . So they are the shifted squares  $|a|^2 + 1/2$ and do not have the required form. We claim that the arguments in [\[EK\]](#page-10-0) remain valid in this case. Indeed, the assumptions on eigenvalues  $\Omega_a$  are needed to estimate from below the quantities  $|D^{\alpha}|$ ,

$$
D^{\alpha} = \Omega_{a_1} + \alpha \Omega_{a_2} + s \cdot \omega, \quad \alpha = -1, 0, 1,
$$

<span id="page-5-1"></span><span id="page-5-0"></span> $\frac{2}{\beta}$  *β* may be chosen ω-independent if in the r.h.s.'s of [\(1.8\)](#page-1-5) we replace  $\beta \varepsilon$  by  $\beta \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ . This is a well known property of the KAM arguments and it follows directly from the proof in [\[EK](#page-10-0)].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> That is,  $\Omega_a(\omega) = |a|^2 + o(e^{-\text{const }|a|}).$ 

where  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $s \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . The arguments, exploited in [\[EK\]](#page-10-0) to estimate  $D^1$ and  $D^0$ , use only the asymptotics  $\Omega_a \sim |a|^2$ , so they as well apply to the eigenvalues  $\Omega_a = |a|^2 + 1/2$ . The arguments, used to estimate  $D^{-1}$ , are more delicate. But the quantities  $D^{-1}$ , calculated for eigenvalues  $\Omega_a = |a|^2 + 1/2$ , are the same as for eigenvalues  $\Omega_a = |a|^2$ . So the arguments still apply.  $\Box$ 

The transformation  $\Phi$  is obtained as a composition of infinitely many symplectic transformations  $\Phi^j$ :  $\mathfrak{h} \mapsto \mathfrak{h}$  which iteratively put the Hamiltonian  $H^{\varepsilon}_{\omega}$  to forms, more and more close to  $\tilde{h}^{\varepsilon}_{\omega'}$ , and change a bit the original frequency vector  $\omega$ . Each transformation  $\Phi^j(\mathfrak{h}) = (\Phi^j_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{h}), \Phi^j_{\varphi}(\mathfrak{h}), \Phi^j_r(\mathfrak{h})$  has the form

$$
\Phi_{\zeta}^{j}(\mathfrak{h}) = z^{j}(\varphi) + D^{j}(\varphi)\zeta,
$$
  
\n
$$
\Phi_{\varphi}^{j}(\mathfrak{h}) = a^{j}(\varphi),
$$
  
\n
$$
\Phi_{r}^{j}(\mathfrak{h}) = b^{j}(\zeta, \varphi) + c^{j}(\varphi)r,
$$
\n(2.9)

<span id="page-6-0"></span>where  $b(\zeta, \varphi)$  is quadratic in  $\zeta$  and  $D^j(\varphi)$  and  $c^j(\varphi)$  are linear operators which are real for real  $\varphi$ . The composition  $\Phi = \Phi^1 \circ \Phi^2 \circ \dots$  also has the form [\(2.9\)](#page-6-0). So

$$
\Phi_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{h}) = z(\varphi) + D(\varphi)\zeta.
$$

Estimate [\(2.8\)](#page-5-2) implies that  $z(\varphi) \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^d; \mathbb{R})$  and that  $D(\varphi)$  is a bounded linear operator in  $H^1$  (note that the norm  $\|\cdot\|_0'$  is equivalent to the Sobolev norm  $\|\cdot\|_1$ ). In fact,  $z(\varphi)$  and  $D(\varphi)$  are smoother than that:

**Lemma 2.2.** *For any integer*  $p \ge 0$  *<i>there exists*  $K = K(p)$  *(depending on*  $\omega$ *) such that for any*  $\varphi \in \mathbb{T}^n_{\rho/2}$  *the maps*  $z(\varphi)$  *and*  $D(\varphi)$  *from the representation* [\(2.9\)](#page-6-0) *for the map*  $\Phi$ *satisfy*

$$
\|z(\varphi)\|_{p}, \quad \|D(\varphi) - \mathrm{id}\|_{p,p}, \quad \|\pi D(\varphi) - \mathrm{id}\|_{p,p} \leq \mathcal{K}\varepsilon; \tag{2.10}
$$

<span id="page-6-2"></span><span id="page-6-1"></span>*and*

$$
\|\nabla_{\omega}z(\varphi)\|_{p}, \quad \|\nabla_{\omega}D(\varphi)\|_{p,p}, \quad \|\nabla_{\omega}\pi D(\varphi)\|_{p,p} \leq \mathcal{K}\varepsilon. \tag{2.11}
$$

*Remark 4.* As in Theorem [2.1,](#page-1-6) if we replace the r.h.s's of the two estimates by  $K\sqrt{\epsilon}$ , then  $K$  may be chosen  $\omega$ -independent.

*Remark 5.* Due to [\(2.7\)](#page-5-3) the analytical torus  $\Phi({0} \times \mathbb{T}^n \times {0}) \subset \mathcal{O}^0(\sigma, p)$  is invariant for the Hamiltonian system with the Hamiltonian  $H_{\omega}^{\varepsilon}$ . Since [\(2.10\)](#page-6-1) holds for any  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , then this torus is smooth in *x*. That is, it lies in  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^d; \mathbb{R}^2) \times \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Proof of the lemma.* The maps  $D^{j}(\varphi)$ ,  $z^{j}(\varphi)$  and other maps, entering the decomposi-tion [\(2.9\)](#page-6-0) for  $\Phi^j$  are analysed in Proposition 8.1, Corollary 8.2 and Proposition 8.4 of [\[EK](#page-10-0)]. Let us define inductively the sequences  $\varepsilon_j \to 0$ ,  $\sigma_j \to 0$ ,  $\rho_j \to \rho/2$  and  $\gamma_j \to 0$ as follows:

$$
\varepsilon_1=\varepsilon,\ \sigma_1=\sigma,\ \rho_1=\rho,\ \gamma_1=\gamma:=\rho/2\,,
$$

and for  $j \geq 1$ 

$$
\varepsilon_{j+1} = \exp(-\tau (\log \varepsilon_j^{-1})^2), \ \sigma_{j+1} = \varepsilon_{j+1}^{1/3+\tau} \sigma_j,
$$
  

$$
\gamma_{j+1} = (\log \varepsilon_j^{-1})^{-c_1} \gamma_j^{c_2}, \ \rho_j = (2^{-1} + 2^{-j})\rho,
$$

where  $\tau = 1/30$  and  $c_1, c_2$  are some positive constants. Also for  $j \ge 1$  we set  $\Lambda_j =$ const  $\gamma_j^{-2}$ . It is equivalent, up to constant factors, to the definition of these constants in Sect. 8.3 of [\[EK](#page-10-0)], where  $\mu_j = \sigma_j^2$  for all *j*. These relations easily imply that for any  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k > 0$  we have

$$
\exp(\ln \gamma_j^{-1})^M \le C(M, k)\varepsilon_j^{-k}, \qquad \forall j \ge 1,
$$
\n(2.12)

<span id="page-7-0"></span>We want to estimate the maps  $\Phi_{\zeta}^{j}$ .

For any  $j \ge 1$  the map  $\Phi^j$  is constructed in Proposition 8.1 of [\[EK](#page-10-0)] as a composition of  $n_j = [\log \varepsilon_j^{-1}]$  canonical transformations which are time-1-maps for additional Hamiltonians  $s_l(\mathfrak{h})$ ,  $l = 1, \ldots, n_i$ . The Hamiltonians are functions of  $\mathfrak{h}$ , quadratic in  $\zeta$ . Norms of these functions, of their gradients and Hessians in ζ are estimated in Proposition 8.1. The  $\zeta$ -components of flow-maps of such Hamiltonians are affine functions of  $\zeta$  and are studied in Sect. 8.1 of [\[EK](#page-10-0)] (see there estimates (49) and (50)). Combining these results implies that the map  $\Phi_{\zeta}^{j}(\mathfrak{h}) = z^{j}(\varphi) + D^{j}(\varphi)\zeta$  satisfies

$$
||z^j(\varphi)||'_{\gamma_j} \le \text{const } \gamma_j^{-1} \sigma_j^{-1} \varepsilon_j \le \begin{cases} C\varepsilon_j, & j = 1, \\ C\varepsilon_j^{1/2}, & j \ge 2, \end{cases}
$$
 (2.13)

<span id="page-7-1"></span>and

$$
\left| D^j(\varphi) - \mathrm{id} \right|_{\frac{1}{2}\gamma_j} \le \mathrm{const} \,\Lambda_j^2 \gamma_j^{-1} \sigma_j^{-2} \varepsilon_j \le \begin{cases} C\varepsilon_j, & j = 1, \\ C\varepsilon_j^{1/4}, & j \ge 2, \end{cases} \tag{2.14}
$$

for any  $\varphi \in \mathbb{T}_{\rho/2}^n$  (we use [\(2.12\)](#page-7-0) and notation [\(2.5\)](#page-4-1)). The matrix-norm  $|\cdot|_{\gamma}$  majorises the Sobolev operator-norms up to a factor:

$$
||G||_{m,m} \le C_m \gamma^{-m-d} |G|_{\gamma} \quad \forall m \ge 0 \tag{2.15}
$$

<span id="page-7-5"></span>(see [\[EK08](#page-10-7)] and estimate (2) in [\[EK\]](#page-10-0), where  $\gamma' = 0$ ). Combining [\(2.14\)](#page-7-1), [\(2.12\)](#page-7-0) and the last inequality we get that

$$
||D_j(\varphi) - id||_{p,p} \leq \begin{cases} C_p \varepsilon_j, & j = 1, \\ C_p \varepsilon_j^{1/5}, & j \geq 2, \end{cases}
$$
 (2.16)

<span id="page-7-2"></span>for any  $\varphi \in \mathbb{T}_{\rho/2}^n$ . Since  $||u||_p \leq C_p \gamma^{2(1-p)} ||u||'_\gamma$  for any  $\gamma > 0$ , then, similarly,

$$
||z^j(\varphi)||_p \le \begin{cases} C_p \varepsilon_j, & j = 1, \\ C_p \varepsilon_j^{1/3}, & j \ge 2, \end{cases}
$$
 (2.17)

<span id="page-7-3"></span>for any  $\varphi \in \mathbb{T}_{\rho/2}^n$ . Since clearly  $|\pi G|_{\gamma} \leq C|G|_{\gamma}$ , then the matrix  $\pi D_j(\varphi)$  also satisfies estimates  $(2.16)$ .

As

$$
\Phi_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{h}) = z(\varphi) + D(\varphi)\zeta = \Phi_{\zeta}^{1} \circ \Phi_{\zeta}^{2} \circ \dots, \quad \Phi_{\zeta}^{j} = z^{j}(\varphi) + D^{j}(\varphi)\zeta
$$

and

$$
\pi(AB) = \pi A \pi B + (1 - \pi)A(1 - \pi)B, \tag{2.18}
$$

<span id="page-7-4"></span>then [\(2.16\)](#page-7-2), its analogy for  $\pi D^j(\varphi)$  and [\(2.17\)](#page-7-3) imply [\(2.10\)](#page-6-1). The maps  $D^j(\varphi)$  and the map  $D(\varphi)$  are real for real  $\varphi$ .

Relations [\(2.11\)](#page-6-2) follow from similar estimates on  $\nabla_{\omega} z^j$  and  $\nabla_{\omega} D^j(\varphi)$  which can be derived from the corresponding results in  $[EK]$  in the same way as above.  $\Box$ 

It was pointed out in a remark to Theorem 7.1 in  $[EK]$  $[EK]$  that if the perturbation  $f$  is independent from *r* and is quadratic in  $\zeta$  (e.g. if  $H_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} = h_{\omega}^{\varepsilon}$ , see [\(2.3\)](#page-3-2)), then

- i) the vector  $\omega$  stays constant during the transformations  $\Phi^j$ ;
- ii) in formula [\(2.9\)](#page-6-0) for  $\Phi^{j}$  we have  $z^{j} = 0$ ,  $a^{j} = 0$  and  $c^{j} = 0$ . So each transformation  $\Phi^j$  has the form

$$
(\zeta, \varphi, r) \mapsto \left( D_{\omega}(\varphi)\zeta, \varphi, r + \frac{1}{2} \langle \zeta, B_{\omega}(\varphi)\zeta \rangle \right) \tag{2.19}
$$

<span id="page-8-0"></span>with suitable linear operators  $D_{\omega}(\varphi)$  and  $B_{\omega}(\varphi)$ .

Accordingly the limiting transformation  $\Phi = \Phi^1 \circ \Phi^2 \circ \dots$  also has the form [\(2.19\)](#page-8-0) and  $\omega' = \omega$ . So the transformed Hamiltonian  $\tilde{h}^{\varepsilon}_{\omega} = \tilde{h}^{\varepsilon}_{\omega'}$ , as well as the original Hamiltonian  $H_{\omega}^{\varepsilon}$ , is linear in *r* and quadratic in *ζ*. Hence, in the expression for  $h_{\omega}^{\varepsilon}$  we have  $f' = 0.$ 

<span id="page-8-1"></span>= 0.<br>The equation with the Hamiltonian  $\tilde{h}^{\varepsilon}_{\omega}$  implies for  $v(t) = (\xi(t) + i\eta(t))/\sqrt{2}$  equation

$$
\dot{v} = -i(\Delta - \frac{1}{2})v + i\varepsilon Qv.
$$
\n(2.20)

That is, we established reducibility of Eq.  $(2.1)$  to Eq.  $(2.20)$  by means of the linear over real numbers operator  $\Psi^0(\varphi)$ , defined as the composition

$$
\Psi^{0}(\varphi): u(x) = \frac{\xi + i\eta}{\sqrt{2}} \mapsto D_{\omega}(\varphi) \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi' \\ \eta' \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \frac{\xi' + i\eta'}{\sqrt{2}} = v(x).
$$

Next we replace the maps  $\Psi^0(\varphi)$  by complex-linear transformations which still conjugate Eqs.  $(2.20)$  and  $(2.1)$ . Let us rewrite these two equations as

$$
\dot{X} = \mathcal{Q}X
$$

and

$$
\dot{Y}=\mathcal{P}_tY,
$$

respectively. Now we regard them as equations on operator-valued curves  $X(t)$  and  $Y(t)$ , formed by linear isomorphisms of the space  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ . Consider the third equation

$$
\dot{W} = \mathcal{P}W - W\mathcal{Q}.\tag{2.21}
$$

<span id="page-8-2"></span>Let *X*, *Y*, *W* be three operator-valued curves, formed by isomorphisms of  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ , satisfying

$$
YX^{-1}=W.
$$

Then if any two of them satisfy the corresponding equations, then the third one satisfies the third equation.

Let  $X(t)$  be the fundamental solution of the first equation (i.e.,  $X(0) = id$ ) and  $W^0(t) = \Psi^0(\varphi_t)$ , where  $\varphi_t = \varphi_0 + \omega t$ . Then  $Y = W^0 X$  satisfies the second equation. So  $\widetilde{W}^0$  satisfies [\(2.21\)](#page-8-2). Let us apply operator  $\pi$  (written in terms of the complex variable

 $u = (\xi + i\eta)/\sqrt{2}$  to [\(2.21\)](#page-8-2). Since the operators *Q* and  $P_t$  are complex linear, then  $\pi Q = Q$ ,  $\pi P_t = P_t$  and [\(2.18\)](#page-7-4) implies that the complex-linear operator

$$
W(t) := \pi W^0(t) = \pi \Psi^0(\varphi_0 + \omega t)
$$

also satisfies [\(2.21\)](#page-8-2). Relations [\(2.10\)](#page-6-1) imply that the operator  $\Psi(\varphi) = \pi \Psi^0(\varphi)$  satisfies [\(1.5\)](#page-1-2) for any integer  $p \ge 0$ . In particular, the operator  $\Psi(\varphi) : L^2(\mathbb{T}^d) \to L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$  is invertible since  $\varepsilon$  is small. We have seen that  $\Psi(\varphi)$  is a complex-linear transformation which reduces Eq. [\(2.1\)](#page-3-0) to [\(2.20\)](#page-8-1). Inverting the substitution  $u := e^{-it/2}u$  we see that  $\Psi(\varphi)$  also reduces [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) to [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1).

Estimate [\(1.4\)](#page-1-2) with  $p = 0$  follows from the estimate for  $\tilde{H}$  in Theorem [2.1.](#page-1-6) Since the operator Q satisfies [\(1.3\)](#page-1-0), then  $\|Q\|_{p,p} = \|Q\|_{0,0}$  for each p and [\(1.4\)](#page-1-2) follows.

The estimates for  $\nabla_{\omega} Q$  and  $\nabla_{\omega} \Psi$  follow from Theorem [2.1](#page-1-6) by the same arguments.  $\Box$ 

*Proof of Corollary [1.2.](#page-1-7)* For any  $v = \sum v_s e_s \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$  and  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  denote  $V_k = \sum_{|s|^2 = k} v_s e_s$  (if  $d \le 2$ , then  $V_k = 0$  for some *k*). Then  $v = \sum V_k$  and

$$
||v||_p^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1+k)^p ||V_k||_0^2
$$

<span id="page-9-0"></span>for each *p*. Since the operator *Q* is block-diagonal, then

$$
\langle QV_k, V_l \rangle = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad k \neq l. \tag{2.22}
$$

Let  $v(t)$  be a solution of [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1) and  $u(t) = \Psi(\varphi_0 + t\omega)v(t)$  be the corresponding solution of [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0). Take the  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ -scalar product of [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1) with  $V_k$ . The imaginary part of the obtained relation implies that

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} ||V_k||_0^2 = \text{Im}\left(\langle \Delta v, V_k \rangle - \varepsilon \sum_s \langle QV_s, V_k \rangle \right)
$$

$$
= \text{Im}\left(\langle \Delta V_k, V_k \rangle - \varepsilon \langle QV_k, V_k \rangle \right)
$$

(we use  $(2.22)$ ). Since the operators  $\Delta$  and  $Q$  are Hermitian, then the r.h.s. vanishes. So  $||V_k(t)||_0 = \text{const}$  for each *k*. Accordingly  $||v(t)||_p = \text{const}$  for each *p* and [\(1.7\)](#page-1-4) follows from [\(1.5\)](#page-1-2) if we choose  $\varepsilon'_0 \leq 1/2K_2$ .  $\Box$ 

*On Remark 3.* Estimate [\(1.4\)](#page-1-2) is valid for the norm  $[\cdot]_{p,p}$  since the operator *Q* is blockdiagonal. Estimate [\(1.5\)](#page-1-2) holds for the same reason as before if instead of inequality  $(2.15)$  we use its counterpart for the norms  $[\cdot]_{p,p}$ :

$$
[A]_{p,p} \le c_1 \exp\left(c_2 (\ln \gamma^{-1})^p\right) |A|_{\gamma} \quad \forall p, \ \gamma > 0,
$$

where  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  are independent from  $\gamma$ . Finally, estimate [\(1.7\)](#page-1-4) follows from [\(1.4\)](#page-1-2) [\(1.5\)](#page-1-2).

## **References**

<span id="page-10-5"></span><span id="page-10-4"></span><span id="page-10-3"></span><span id="page-10-1"></span>

<span id="page-10-7"></span><span id="page-10-2"></span><span id="page-10-0"></span>[Mos67] Moser, J.: Convergent series expansions for quasiperiodic motions. Math. Ann. **169**, 136–176 (1967)

<span id="page-10-6"></span>[Wan07] Wang, W.-M.: Bounded Sobolev norms for linear Schrödinger equations under resonant perturbations. J. Funct. Anal. **254**, 2926–2946 (2008)

Communicated by A. Kupiainen