The Lieb-Liniger Model as a Limit of Dilute Bosons in Three Dimensions

Robert Seiringer, Jun Yin

Department of Physics, Jadwin Hall, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08542-0708, USA. E-mail: rseiring@princeton.edu; junyin@princeton.edu

Received: 12 October 2007 / Accepted: 14 January 2008 Published online: 4 June 2008 – © The Authors 2008

Abstract: We show that the Lieb-Liniger model for one-dimensional bosons with repulsive δ -function interaction can be rigorously derived via a scaling limit from a dilute three-dimensional Bose gas with arbitrary repulsive interaction potential of finite scattering length. For this purpose, we prove bounds on both the eigenvalues and corresponding eigenfunctions of three-dimensional bosons in strongly elongated traps and relate them to the corresponding quantities in the Lieb-Liniger model. In particular, if both the scattering length *a* and the radius *r* of the cylindrical trap go to zero, the Lieb-Liniger model with coupling constant $g \sim a/r^2$ is derived. Our bounds are uniform in *g* in the whole parameter range $0 \le g \le \infty$, and apply to the Hamiltonian for three-dimensional bosons in a spectral window of size $\sim r^{-2}$ above the ground state energy.

1. Introduction

Given the success of the Lieb-Liniger model [11,12], both as a toy model in statistical mechanics and as a concrete model of dilute atomic gases in strongly elongated traps, it is worth investigating rigorously its connection to three-dimensional models with genuine particle interactions. A first step in this direction was taken in [16,17], where it was shown that in an appropriate scaling limit the ground state energy of a dilute threedimensional Bose gas is given by the ground state energy of the Lieb-Liniger model. The purpose of this paper is to extend this result to excited energy eigenvalues and the corresponding eigenfunctions.

The Lieb-Liniger model has recently received a lot of attention as a model for dilute Bose gases in strongly elongated traps [2,3,6,9,16,21–24]. Originally introduced as a toy model of a quantum many-body system, it has now become relevant for the description of actual quasi one-dimensional systems. The recent advances in experimental techniques

^{© 2008} by the authors. This paper may be reproduced, in its entirety, for non-commercial purposes.

have made it possible to create such quasi one-dimensional systems in the laboratory [5,7,10,19,20,26,28]. These provide a unique setting for studying matter under extreme conditions where quantum effects dominate.

The Lieb-Liniger model describes n non-relativistic bosons in one spatial dimension, interacting via a δ -function potential with strength $g \ge 0$. In appropriate units, the Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_{1d}^{n,\ell,g} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(-\partial_i^2 + \ell^{-2} V^{\parallel}(z_i/\ell) \right) + g \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \delta(z_i - z_j) \,. \tag{1.1}$$

Here, we use the notation $\partial_i = \partial/\partial z_i$ for brevity. The trap is represented by the potential V^{\parallel} , which is assumed to be locally bounded and to tend to infinity as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$. The scaling parameter ℓ is a measure of the size of the trap. Instead of the trap potential V^{\parallel} , one can also confine the system to an interval of length ℓ with appropriate boundary conditions; in fact, periodic boundary conditions were considered in [11,12].

The Lieb-Liniger Hamiltonian $H_{1d}^{n,\ell,g}$ acts on totally symmetric wavefunctions $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e., square-integrable functions satisfying $\phi(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = \phi(z_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, z_{\pi(n)})$ for any permutation π . In the following, all wavefunctions will be considered symmetric unless specified otherwise.

In the case of periodic boundary conditions on the interval $[0, \ell]$, Lieb and Liniger have shown that the spectrum and corresponding eigenfunctions of $H_{1d}^{n,\ell,g}$ can be obtained via the Bethe ansatz [12]. In [11] Lieb has specifically studied the excitation spectrum, which has an interesting two-branch structure. This structure has recently received a lot of attention [3,9] in the physics literature. Our results below show that the excitation spectrum of the Lieb-Liniger model is a genuine property of dilute three-dimensional bosons in strongly elongated traps in an appropriate parameter regime.

In the following, we shall consider dilute three-dimensional Bose gases in strongly elongated traps. Here, *dilute* means that $a\varrho^{1/3} \ll 1$, where *a* is the scattering length of the interaction potential, and ϱ is the average particle density. *Strongly elongated* means that $r \ll \ell$, where *r* is the length scale of confinement in the directions perpendicular to *z*. We shall show that, for fixed *n* and ℓ , the spectrum of a three dimensional Bose gas in an energy interval of size $\sim r^{-2}$ above the ground state energy is approximately equal to the spectrum of the Lieb-Liniger model (1.1) as long as $a \ll r$ and $r \ll \ell$. The effective coupling parameter *g* in (1.1) is of the order $g \sim a/r^2$ and can take any value in $[0, \infty]$. The same result applies to the corresponding eigenfunctions. They are approximately given by the corresponding eigenfunctions of the Lieb-Liniger Hamiltonian, multiplied by a product function of the variables orthogonal to *z*. The precise statement of our results will be given in the next section.

We remark that the problem considered here is somewhat analogous to the onedimensional behavior of atoms in extremely strong magnetic fields, where the Coulomb interaction behaves like an effective one-dimensional δ -potential when the magnetic field shrinks the cyclotron radius of the electrons to zero. For such systems, the asymptotics of the ground state energy was studied in [1], and later the excitation spectrum and corresponding eigenfunctions were investigated in [4]. In this case, the effective onedimensional potential can be obtained formally by integrating out the variables transverse to the magnetic field in a suitably scaled Coulomb potential. Our case considered here is much more complicated, however. The correct one-dimensional physics emerges only if the kinetic and potential parts of the Hamiltonian are considered together.

2. Main Results

Consider the Hamiltonian for *n* spinless bosons in three space dimensions, interacting via a pair-potential *v*. We shall write $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}^{\perp}, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, with $\mathbf{x}^{\perp} \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Let $V^{\perp} \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $V^{\parallel} \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ denote the (real-valued) confining potentials in the \mathbf{x}^{\perp} and the *z*-direction, respectively. Then

$$H_{3d}^{n,r,\ell,a} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(-\Delta_i + r^{-2} V^{\perp} (\mathbf{x}_i^{\perp}/r) + \ell^{-2} V^{\parallel} (z_i/\ell) \right) + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} a^{-2} v(|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j|/a).$$
(2.1)

The trap potentials V^{\parallel} and V^{\perp} confine the motion in the longitudinal (*z*) and the transversal (\mathbf{x}^{\perp}) directions, respectively, and are assumed to be locally bounded and tend to ∞ as |z| and $|\mathbf{x}^{\perp}|$ tend to ∞ . More precisely, $V^{\parallel} \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ and $V^{\perp} \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, with $\lim_{R\to\infty} \inf_{|z|\geq R} V^{\parallel}(z) = \lim_{R\to\infty} \inf_{|\mathbf{x}^{\perp}|\geq R} V^{\perp}(\mathbf{x}^{\perp}) = +\infty$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $V^{\parallel} \geq 0$. The scaling parameters *r* and ℓ measure the size of the traps.

The interaction potential v is assumed to be a measurable, nonnegative function with finite range R_0 , i.e., v(r) = 0 for $r > R_0$. We assume that its scattering length equals 1; the scaled potential $a^{-2}v(|\cdot|/a)$ then has scattering length a [14,15] and range aR_0 . We do not assume any smoothness or even integrability of v. In particular, we allow v to take the value $+\infty$ on an interval [0, R_1], corresponding to hard-sphere particles. In this case, the Hamiltonian (2.1) has to be restricted to the subset of \mathbb{R}^{3n} , where $|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j| \ge aR_1$ for any pair $i \neq j$, with Dirichlet boundary conditions on the boundary of this set.

To be precise, let us assume that $v(r) = \infty$ for $0 \le r \le R_1$ for some $0 \le R_1 \le R_0$, and that v(r) is bounded on $[R_1 + \varepsilon, \infty)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. The Hamiltonian (2.1) is then defined as the Friedrichs extension [25, Thm. X.23] of the operator on $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$, where Ω denotes the open set $\mathbb{R}^{3n} \setminus \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{3n} : |\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j| \le aR_1$ for some $i \ne j\}$. In general, all the operators considered here are defined via the Friedrichs extension of the associated quadratic forms, and all estimates are meant in the form sense.

Let e^{\perp} and $\hat{b}(\mathbf{x}^{\perp})$ denote the ground state energy and the normalized ground state wave function of $-\Delta^{\perp} + V^{\perp}(\mathbf{x}^{\perp})$, respectively. Note that *b* is a bounded and strictly positive function and, in particular, $b \in L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{2})$ for any $2 \leq p \leq \infty$. Let also $\tilde{e}^{\perp} > 0$ denote the gap above the ground state energy of $-\Delta^{\perp} + V^{\perp}(\mathbf{x}^{\perp})$. The corresponding quantities for $-\Delta^{\perp} + r^{-2}V^{\perp}(\mathbf{x}^{\perp}/r)$ are then given by e^{\perp}/r^{2} , \tilde{e}^{\perp}/r^{2} and $b_{r}(\mathbf{x}^{\perp}) = r^{-1}b(\mathbf{x}^{\perp}/r)$, respectively.

The eigenvalues of $H_{3d}^{n,r,\ell,a}$ will be denoted by $E_{3d}^k(n,r,\ell,a)$, with $k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$. Moreover, the eigenvalues of $H_{1d}^{n,\ell,g}$ in (1.1) will be denoted by $E_{1d}^k(n,\ell,g)$. Theorem 1 shows that $E_{3d}^k(n,r,\ell,a)$ is approximately equal to $E_{1d}^k(n,\ell,g) + ne^{\perp}/r^2$ for small a/r and r/ℓ , for an appropriate value of the parameter g. In fact, g turns out to be given by

$$g = \frac{8\pi a}{r^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |b(\mathbf{x}^{\perp})|^4 d^2 \mathbf{x}^{\perp} \,. \tag{2.2}$$

Theorem 1. Let g be given in (2.2). There exist constants C > 0 and D > 0, independent of a, r, ℓ , n and k, such that the following bounds hold:

(a)

$$E_{3d}^{k}(n, r, \ell, a) \ge \frac{ne^{\perp}}{r^{2}} + E_{1d}^{k}(n, \ell, g) \left(1 - \eta_{L}\right) \left(1 - \frac{r^{2}}{\tilde{e}^{\perp}} E_{1d}^{k}(n, \ell, g)\right) \quad (2.3)$$

as long as $E_{1d}^k(n, \ell, g) \leq \tilde{e}^{\perp}/r^2$, with

$$\eta_{\rm L} = D\left[\left(\frac{na}{r}\right)^{1/8} + n^2 \left(\frac{na}{r}\right)^{3/8}\right].$$
 (2.4)

(b)

$$E_{3d}^{k}(n, r, \ell, a) \le \frac{ne^{\perp}}{r^{2}} + E_{1d}^{k}(n, \ell, g) \left(1 - \eta_{\mathrm{U}}\right)^{-1}$$
(2.5)

whenever $\eta_{\rm U} < 1$, where

$$\eta_{\rm U} = C \left(\frac{na}{r}\right)^{2/3} \,. \tag{2.6}$$

We note that $E_{1d}^k(n, \ell, g)$ is monotone increasing in g, and uniformly bounded in g for fixed k. In fact, $E_{1d}^k(n, \ell, g) \le E_{f}^k(n, \ell)$ for all $g \ge 0$ and for all k, where $E_{f}^k(n, \ell)$ are the eigenenergies of n non-interacting fermions in one dimension [8]. Using this property of uniform boundedness, we can obtain the following corollary from Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. Fix k, n and ℓ . If $r \to 0$, $a \to 0$ in such a way that $a/r \to 0$, then

$$\lim \frac{E_{3d}^k(n, r, \ell, a) - ne^{\perp}/r^2}{E_{1d}^k(n, \ell, g)} = 1.$$
 (2.7)

Note that by simple scaling $E_{3d}^k(n, r, \ell, a) = \ell^{-2} E_{3d}^k(n, r/\ell, 1, a/\ell)$, and likewise $E_{1d}^k(n, \ell, g) = \ell^{-2} E_{1d}^k(n, 1, \ell g)$. Hence it is no restriction to fix ℓ in the limit considered in Corollary 1. In fact, ℓ could be set equal to 1 without loss of generality. Note also that the convergence stated in Corollary 1 is uniform in g, in the sense that $g \sim ar^{-2}$ is allowed to go to $+\infty$ as $r \to 0$, $a \to 0$, as long as $gr \sim a/r \to 0$.

In order to state our results on the corresponding eigenfunctions of $H_{3d}^{n,r,\ell,a}$ and $H_{1d}^{n,\ell,g}$, we first have to introduce some additional notation to take into account the possible degeneracies of the eigenvalues. Let $k_1 = 1$, and let k_i be recursively defined by

$$k_i = \min \left\{ k : E_{1d}^k(n, \ell, g) > E_{1d}^{k_{i-1}}(n, \ell, g) \right\} .$$

Then $E_{1d}^{k_i}(n, \ell, g) < E_{1d}^{k_{i+1}}(n, \ell, g)$, while $E_{1d}^{k_i+j}(n, \ell, g) = E_{1d}^{k_i}(n, \ell, g)$ for $0 \le j < k_{i+1} - k_i$. That is, *k* counts the energy levels including multiplicities, while *i* counts the levels without multiplicities. Hence, if $k_i \le k < k_{i+1}$, the energy eigenvalue $E_{1d}^k(n, \ell, g)$ is $k_{i+1} - k_i$ fold degenerate. Note that k_i depends on n, ℓ and g, of course, but we suppress this dependence in the notation for simplicity.

Our main result concerning the eigenfunctions of $H_{3d}^{n,r,\ell,a}$ is as follows.

Theorem 2. Let g be given in (2.2). Let Ψ_k be an eigenfunction of $H_{3d}^{n,r,\ell,a}$ with eigenvalue $E_{3d}^k(n, r, \ell, a)$, and let ψ_l ($k_i \leq l < k_{i+1}$) be orthonormal eigenfunctions of $H_{1d}^{n,\ell,g}$ corresponding to eigenvalue $E_{1d}^k(n, \ell, g)$. Then

$$\sum_{l=k_{i}}^{k_{i+1}-1} \left| \left\langle \Psi_{k} \left| \psi_{l} \prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{r}(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{\perp}) \right\rangle \right|^{2} \geq 1 - \left(\frac{\left(1 - \left(r^{2}/\tilde{e}^{\perp}\right)E_{1d}^{k}(n,\ell,g)\right)^{-1}}{(1 - \eta_{U})(1 - \eta_{L})} - 1 \right) \\ \times \left[\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{k_{i+1}-1}E_{1d}^{j}(n,\ell,g)}{E_{1d}^{k_{i+1}}(n,\ell,g) - E_{1d}^{k_{i}}(n,\ell,g)} + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{k_{i}-1}E_{1d}^{j}(n,\ell,g)}{E_{1d}^{k_{i}}(n,\ell,g) - E_{1d}^{k_{i}}(n,\ell,g)} \right]$$
(2.8)

as long as $\eta_{\rm U} < 1$, $\eta_{\rm L} < 1$ and $E^k_{1\rm d}(n, \ell, g) < \tilde{e}^{\perp}/r^2$.

In particular, this shows that $\Psi_k(\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_n)$ is approximately of the product form $\psi_k(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \prod_i b_r(\mathbf{x}_i^{\perp})$ for small r/ℓ and a/r, where ψ_k is an eigenfunction of $H_{1d}^{n,\ell,g}$ with eigenvalue $E_{1d}^k(n, \ell, g)$. Note that although Ψ_k is close to such a product in the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3n})$ sense, it is certainly not close to a product in a stronger norm involving the energy (more precisely, the kinetic or interaction energy). In fact, a product wavefunction will have infinite energy if the interaction potential v contains a hard core; in any case, even if v is smooth, the energy of a product wave function will be too big and not related to the scattering length of v at all.

Corollary 2. Fix k, n, ℓ and $g_0 \geq 0$. Let $P_{g_0,1d}^k$ denote the projection onto the eigenspace of H_{1d}^{n,ℓ,g_0} with eigenvalue $E_{1d}^k(n, \ell, g_0)$, and let P_r^{\perp} denote the projection onto the function $\prod_{i=1}^n b_r(\mathbf{x}_i^{\perp}) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. If $r \to 0$, $a \to 0$ in such a way that $g = 8\pi \|b\|_4^4 a/r^2 \to g_0$, then

$$\lim \langle \Psi_k | P_r^{\perp} \otimes P_{g_0, 1d}^k | \Psi_k \rangle = 1.$$
(2.9)

Here, the tensor product refers to the decomposition $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3n}) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into the transversal (\mathbf{x}^{\perp}) and longitudinal (*z*) variables.

We note that Corollary 2 holds also in case $g_0 = \infty$. In this case, $P_{g_0,1d}^k$ has to be defined as the spectral projection with respect to the limiting energies $\lim_{g\to\infty} E_{1d}^k(n, \ell, g)$. Using compactness, it is in fact easy to see that the limit of $P_{g_0,1d}^k$ as $g_0 \to \infty$ exists in the operator norm topology. Alternatively, one may directly define $H_{1d}^{n,\ell,\infty}$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions replacing the δ -function interaction, and $P_{\infty,1d}^k$ as its spectral projections. We omit the details.

Our results imply, in particular, that $H_{3d}^{n,r,\ell,a}$ converges to $H_{1d}^{n,\ell,g}$ in a certain normresolvent sense. In fact, if $a \to 0$ and $r \to 0$ in such a way that $g = 8\pi ||b||_4^4 a/r^2 \to g_0$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [E_{1d}^1(n, \ell, g_0), \infty)$ is fixed, then it follows easily from Corollaries 1 and 2 that

$$\lim \left\| \frac{1}{\lambda + ne^{\perp}/r^2 - H_{3d}^{n,r,\ell,a}} - P_r^{\perp} \otimes \frac{1}{\lambda - H_{1d}^{n,\ell,g_0}} \right\| = 0.$$
(2.10)

In this sense, the Hamiltonian $H_{3d}^{n,r,\ell,a}$ is close to $P_r^{\perp} \otimes H_{1d}^{n,\ell,g}$. We emphasize, however, that $H_{1d}^{n,\ell,g}$ can *not* be obtained by simply projecting $H_{3d}^{n,r,\ell,a}$ onto the function $\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_r(\mathbf{x}_i^{\perp})$. For instance, of v is not integrable, any eigenfunction of P_r^{\perp} is necessarily outside of the form domain of $H_{3d}^{n,r,\ell,a}$, and hence has infinite energy! In this respect, the problem studied in this paper is different and more difficult than seemingly similar problems where, e.g., the Feshbach projection method could be employed.

Our main results, Theorems 1 and 2, can be extended in several ways, as we will explain now. For simplicity and transparency, we shall not formulate the proofs in the most general setting.

- Instead of allowing the whole of \mathbb{R}^2 as the configuration space for the \mathbf{x}^{\perp} variables, one could restrict it to a subset, with appropriate boundary conditions for the Laplacian Δ^{\perp} . For instance, if V^{\perp} is zero and the motion is restricted to a disk with Dirichlet boundary conditions, the corresponding ground state function *b* in the transversal directions is given by a Bessel function.
- Similarly, instead of taking R as the configuration space for the *z* variables, one can work on an interval with appropriate boundary conditions. In particular, the case V^{||} = 0 with periodic boundary conditions on [0, ℓ] can be considered, which is the special case studied by Lieb and Liniger in [11, 12].
- As noted in previous works on dilute Bose gases [14,15], the restriction of v having a finite range can be dropped. Corollaries 1 and 2 remain true for all repulsive interaction potentials v with finite scattering length. Also Theorems 1 and 2 remain valid, with possibly modified error terms, however, depending on the rate of decay of v at infinity. We refer to [14,15] for details.
- Our results can be extended to any symmetry type of the 1D wavefunctions, not just symmetric ones. In particular, one can allow the particles to have internal degrees of freedom, like spin.
- As mentioned in the Introduction, in the special case k = 1, i.e., for the ground state energy, similar bounds as in Theorem 1 have been obtained in [17]. In spite of the fact that the error terms are not uniform in the particle number n, these bounds have then been used to estimate the ground state energy in the thermodynamic limit, using the technique of Dirichlet–Neumann bracketing. Combining this technique with the results of Theorem 1, one can obtain appropriate bounds on the free energy at positive temperature and other thermodynamic potentials in the thermodynamic limit as well. In fact, since our energy bounds apply to all (low-lying) energy eigenvalues, bounds on the free energy in a finite volume are readily obtained. The technique employed in [17] then allows for an extension of these bounds to infinite volume (at fixed particle density).

In the following, we shall give the proof of Theorems 1 and 2. The next Sect. 3 gives the proof of the upper bound to the energies $E_{3d}^k(n, r, \ell, a)$, as stated in Theorem 1(b). The corresponding lower bounds in Theorem 1(a) are proved in Sect. 4. Finally, the proof of Theorem 2 will be given in Sect. 5.

3. Upper Bounds

This section contains the proof of the upper bounds to the 3D energies $E_{3d}^k(n, r, \ell, a)$, stated in Theorem 1(b). Our strategy is similar to the one in [17, Sect. 3.1] and we will use some of the estimates derived there. The main improvements presented here concern the extension to excited energy eigenvalues, and the derivation of a bound that is uniform in the effective coupling constant g in (2.2). In contrast, the upper bound in [17, Thm. 3.1] applies only to the ground state energy $E_{3d}^1(n, r, \ell, a)$, and is not uniform in g for large g.

Before proving the upper bounds to the 3D energies $E_{3d}^k(n, r, \ell, a)$, we will prove a simple lemma that will turn out to be useful in the following.

Lemma 1. Let *H* be a non-negative Hamiltonian on a Hilbertspace \mathcal{H} , with eigenvalues $0 \le E_1 \le E_2 \le E_3 \le \ldots$ For $k \ge 1$, let $f_1, \ldots, f_k \in \mathcal{H}$. If, for any $\{a_i\}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^k |a_i|^2 = 1$ we have

$$\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i f_i\right\|^2 \ge 1 - \varepsilon \quad \text{for } \varepsilon < 1$$

and

$$\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i f_i \right| H \left| \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i f_i \right\rangle \leq E,$$

then $E_k \leq E(1-\varepsilon)^{-1}$.

Proof. For any f in the k-dimensional subspace spanned by the f_i , we have

$$\langle f|H|f\rangle \le E(1-\varepsilon)^{-1}\langle f|f\rangle$$

by assumption. Hence $E_k \leq E/(1-\varepsilon)$ by the variational principle. \Box

Pick $\{a_i\}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^k |a_i|^2 = 1$, and let $\phi = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \psi_i$, where the ψ_i are orthonormal eigenfunctions of $H_{1d}^{n,\ell,g}$ with eigenvalues $E_{1d}^i(n, \ell, g)$. Consider a 3D trial wave function of the form

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{x}_n)=\phi(z_1,\ldots,z_n)F(\mathbf{x}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{x}_n)\prod_{k=1}^n b_r(\mathbf{x}_k^{\perp}),$$

where F is defined by

$$F(\mathbf{x}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{x}_n) = \prod_{i < j} f(|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j|)$$

Here, f is a function with $0 \le f \le 1$, monotone increasing, such that f(t) = 1 for $t \ge R$ for some $R \ge aR_0$. For $t \le R$ we shall choose $f(t) = f_0(t)/f_0(R)$, where f_0 is the solution to the zero-energy scattering equation for $a^{-2}v(|\cdot|/a)$ [14,15]. That is,

$$\left(-\frac{d^2}{dt^2} - \frac{2}{t}\frac{d}{dt} + \frac{1}{2a^2}v(t/a)\right)f_0(t) = 0,$$

normalized such that $\lim_{t\to\infty} f_0(t) = 1$. This f_0 has the properties that $f_0(t) = 1 - a/t$ for $t \ge aR_0$, and $f'_0(t) \le t^{-1} \min\{1, a/t\}$.

To be able to apply Lemma 1, we need a lower bound on the norm of Φ . This can be obtained in the same way as in [17, Eq. (3.9)]. Let $\rho_{\phi}^{(2)}$ denote the two-particle density of ϕ , normalized as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varrho_\phi^{(2)}(z, z') dz dz' = 1.$$

Since *F* is 1 if no pair of particles is closer together than a distance *R*, we can estimate the norm of Φ by

$$\begin{split} \langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle &\geq 1 - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \varrho_{\phi}^{(2)}(z, z') b_r(\mathbf{x}^{\perp})^2 b_r(\mathbf{y}^{\perp})^2 \theta(R - |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) dz dz' d^2 \mathbf{x}^{\perp} d^2 \mathbf{y}^{\perp} \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} b_r(\mathbf{x}^{\perp})^2 b_r(\mathbf{y}^{\perp})^2 \theta(R - |\mathbf{x}^{\perp} - \mathbf{y}^{\perp}|) d^2 \mathbf{x}^{\perp} d^2 \mathbf{y}^{\perp} \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \frac{\pi R^2}{r^2} \|b\|_4^4, \end{split}$$
(3.1)

where Young's inequality [13, Thm. 4.2] has been used in the last step. Since $F \le 1$, the norm of Φ is less than 1, and hence

$$1 \ge \langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle \ge 1 - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \frac{\pi R^2}{r^2} \| b \|_4^4.$$
(3.2)

Next, we will derive an upper bound on $\langle \Phi | H_{3d}^{n,r,\ell,a} | \Phi \rangle$. Define G by $\Phi = GF$. Using partial integration and the fact that F is real-valued, we have

$$\langle \Phi | -\Delta_j | \Phi \rangle = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3n}} F^2 \overline{G} \Delta_j G + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3n}} |G|^2 |\nabla_j F|^2.$$

Using $-\Delta^{\perp}b_r = (e^{\perp}/r^2)b_r - r^{-2}V^{\perp}(\cdot/r)b_r$, we therefore get

$$\langle \Phi | H_{3d}^{n,r,\ell,a} | \Phi \rangle = \frac{ne^{\perp}}{r^2} \langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3n}} F^2 \prod_{j=1}^n b_r(\mathbf{x}_j^{\perp})^2 \overline{\phi} \left(H_{1d}^{n,\ell,g} \phi \right) -g \left\langle \Phi \left| \sum_{i < j} \delta(z_i - z_j) \right| \Phi \right\rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3n}} |G|^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |\nabla_j F|^2 + \sum_{i < j} a^{-2} v(|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j|/a)|F|^2 \right).$$
(3.3)

With the aid of Schwarz's inequality for the integration over the z variables, as well as $F \leq 1$,

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3n}} F^2 \prod_{j=1}^n b_r(\mathbf{x}_j^{\perp})^2 \,\overline{\phi} \left(H_{1d}^{n,\ell,g} \phi \right) \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3n}} F^2 \prod_{j=1}^n b_r(\mathbf{x}_j^{\perp})^2 |\phi|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3n}} F^2 \prod_{j=1}^n b_r(\mathbf{x}^{\perp})^2 \left| H_{1d}^{n,\ell,g} \phi \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \|\phi\|_2 \|H_{1d}^{n,\ell,g} \phi\|_2 \leq E_{1d}^k(n,\ell,g) \,. \end{split}$$
(3.4)

Here, we have used that ϕ is a linear combination of the first *k* eigenfunctions of $H_{1d}^{n,\ell,g}$ to obtain the final inequality. The term in the second line of (3.3) is bounded by

$$\left\langle \Phi \left| \sum_{i < j} \delta(z_i - z_j) \right| \Phi \right\rangle \ge \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varrho_{\phi}^{(2)}(z, z) dz \left(1 - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \frac{\pi R^2}{r^2} \|b\|_4^4 \right),$$

$$(3.5)$$

as an argument similar to (3.1) shows.

The remaining last term in (3.3) can be bounded in the similar way as in [17, Eqs. (3.12)–(3.19)]. For completeness, we repeat the arguments here. Since $0 \le f \le 1$ and f is monotone increasing by assumption, $F^2 \le f(|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j|)^2$, and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\nabla_{j}F|^{2} \leq 2 \sum_{i < j} f'(|\mathbf{x}_{i} - \mathbf{x}_{j}|)^{2} + 4 \sum_{k < i < j} f'(|\mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{x}_{i}|) f'(|\mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{x}_{j}|) .$$
(3.6)

Consider the first term on the right side of (3.6), together with the last term in (3.3) containing the interaction potential v. These terms are bounded above by

$$2\sum_{i< j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3n}} |G|^2 \left(f'(|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j|)^2 + \frac{1}{2}a^{-2}v(|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j|/a)f(|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j|)^2 \right)$$

= $n(n-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} b_r(\mathbf{x}^{\perp})^2 b_r(\mathbf{y}^{\perp})^2 \varrho_{\phi}^{(2)}(z, z')$
 $\times \left(f'(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|)^2 + \frac{1}{2}a^{-2}v(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|/a)f(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|)^2 \right) d^3\mathbf{x} d^3\mathbf{y}.$ (3.7)

Let

$$h(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(f'(|\mathbf{x}|)^2 + \frac{1}{2}a^{-2}v(|\mathbf{x}|/a)f(|\mathbf{x}|)^2 \right) d^2\mathbf{x}^{\perp}.$$

Note that *h* is supported in [-R, R], and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} h(z)dz = 4\pi a(1-a/R)^{-1}$. Using Young's inequality for the integration over the \perp -variables, we get

$$(3.7) \le \frac{n(n-1)}{r^2} \|b\|_4^4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varrho_{\phi}^{(2)}(z, z') h(z-z') dz dz' \,. \tag{3.8}$$

Consider now the contribution from the last term in (3.6). We can write it as

$$4\sum_{k(3.9)

$$\times \int_{\mathbb{R}^9} f'(|\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2|) f'(|\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_3|) b_r(\mathbf{x}_1^{\perp})^2 b_r(\mathbf{x}_2^{\perp})^2 b_r(\mathbf{x}_3^{\perp})^2 \varrho_{\phi}^{(3)}(z_1, z_2, z_3)$$

$$\times d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 d^3 \mathbf{x}_2 d^3 \mathbf{x}_3,$$$$

where $\rho_{\phi}^{(3)}$ denotes the three-particle density of ϕ , normalized to have integral 1. Let

$$m(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f'(|\mathbf{x}|) d^2 \mathbf{x}^{\perp} \,.$$

(The function *m* was called *k* in [17].) Like *h*, also *m* is supported in [-R, R]. For the integration over \mathbf{x}_1^{\perp} we use

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f'(|\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2|) b_r(\mathbf{x}_1^{\perp})^2 d^2 \mathbf{x}_1^{\perp} \le \frac{\|b\|_{\infty}^2}{r^2} m(z_1 - z_2) \le \frac{\|b\|_{\infty}^2}{r^2} \|m\|_{\infty}.$$

For the remaining integrations, we proceed as in (3.8) to obtain

$$(3.9) \le \frac{2}{3}n(n-1)(n-2)\frac{\|b\|_{\infty}^2}{r^2}\frac{\|b\|_4^4}{r^2}\|m\|_{\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\varrho_{\phi}^{(2)}(z,z')m(z-z')dzdz'.$$
 (3.10)

Altogether, we have thus shown that

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3n}} |G|^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |\nabla_j F|^2 + \sum_{i < j} a^{-2} v(|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j|/a)|F|^2 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{n(n-1)}{r^2} \|b\|_4^4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varrho_{\phi}^{(2)}(z, z')h(z-z')dzdz' \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{3}n(n-1)(n-2) \frac{\|b\|_{\infty}^2}{r^2} \frac{\|b\|_4^4}{r^2} \|m\|_{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varrho_{\phi}^{(2)}(z, z')m(z-z')dzdz'. \end{split}$$
(3.11)

We now proceed differently than in [17]. Our analysis here has the advantage of yielding an upper bound that is uniform in g. Let $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| |\varphi(z)|^{2} - |\varphi(z')|^{2} \right| &= \left| \int_{z'}^{z} \frac{d|\varphi(t)|^{2}}{dt} dt \right| \\ &\leq 2|\varphi(z')| \int_{z'}^{z} \left| \frac{d\varphi(t)}{dt} \right| dt + 2\left(\int_{z'}^{z} \left| \frac{d\varphi(t)}{dt} \right| dt \right)^{2} \qquad (3.12) \\ &\leq 2|\varphi(z')||z - z'|^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{d\varphi}{dz} \right|^{2} \right)^{1/2} + 2|z - z'| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{d\varphi}{dz} \right|^{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we used $|\varphi(t)| \le |\varphi(z')| + \int_{z'}^{z} \left| \frac{d\varphi(t)}{dt} \right| dt$ for $z' \le t \le z$ for the first inequality and applied Schwarz's inequality for the second.

We apply the bound (3.12) to $\rho_{\phi}^{(2)}(z, z')$ for fixed z'. Using the fact that the support of *h* is contained in [-R, R], we get

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varrho_{\phi}^{(2)}(z,z')h(z-z')dzdz' - \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(z)dz \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varrho_{\phi}^{(2)}(z,z)dz \\ &\leq 2R^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(z)dz \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \partial_z \sqrt{\varrho_{\phi}^{(2)}(z,z')} \right|^2 dz \right)^{1/2} \sqrt{\varrho_{\phi}^{(2)}(z',z')} dz' \\ &\quad + 2R \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(z)dz \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \partial_z \sqrt{\varrho_{\phi}^{(2)}(z,z')} \right|^2 dzdz' \\ &\leq \frac{2}{n} E_{1d}^k(n,\ell,g) \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(z)dz \left[\left(\frac{2R}{(n-1)g} \right)^{1/2} + R \right]. \end{split}$$

Here, we used Schwarz's inequality and the fact that $g\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varrho_{\phi}^{(2)}(z,z)dz \leq E_{1d}^{k}(n, \ell, g)$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \partial_z \sqrt{\varrho_{\phi}^{(2)}(z,z')} \right|^2 dz dz' \le \left\langle \phi \left| -\frac{d^2}{dz_1^2} \right| \phi \right\rangle \le E_{1d}^k(n,\ell,g)/n.$$

The same argument is used with *h* replaced by *m*. Now $\int_{\mathbb{R}} h(z) dz = 4\pi a (1-a/R)^{-1}$, and [17, Eq. (3.22)]

$$\|m\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{2\pi a}{1-a/R} \left(1+\ln(R/a)\right) ,$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} m(z) dz \leq \frac{2\pi a R}{1-a/R} \left(1-\frac{a}{2R}\right) .$$

Therefore

$$(3.11) \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}g\frac{1+K}{1-a/R}$$

$$\times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varrho_{\phi}^{(2)}(z,z)dz + \frac{2}{n} \left[\left(\frac{2R}{(n-1)g}\right)^{1/2} + R \right] E_{1d}^{k}(n,\ell,g) \right),$$

$$(3.13)$$

where we denoted

$$K = \frac{2\pi}{3}(n-2)\frac{a}{R}\frac{1+\ln(R/a)}{1-a/R}\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^2 \|b\|_{\infty}^2.$$

Putting together the bounds (3.4), (3.5) and (3.13), and using again the fact that $g\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varrho_{\phi}^{(2)}(z,z)dz \leq E_{1d}^{k}(n,\ell,g)$, we obtain the upper bound

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \Phi \left| H_{3d}^{n,r,\ell,a} - \frac{ne^{\perp}}{r^2} \right| \Phi \right\rangle &\leq E_{1d}^k(n,\ell,g) \left(1 + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \frac{\pi R^2}{r^2} \|b\|_4^4 + \frac{a/R+K}{(1-a/R)} \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{1+K}{1-a/R} \left[(2Rg(n-1))^{1/2} + (n-1)Rg \right] \right) \,. \end{split}$$

It remains to choose R. If we choose

$$R^3 = \frac{ar^2}{n^2},$$

then

$$\left\langle \Phi \left| H_{\mathrm{3d}}^{n,r,\ell,a} - \frac{ne^{\perp}}{r^2} \right| \Phi \right\rangle \le E_{\mathrm{1d}}^k(n,\,\ell,\,g) \left(1 + C \left(\frac{na}{r} \right)^{2/3} \right)$$

for some constant C > 0. Moreover, from (3.2) we see that

$$\langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle \ge 1 - C \left(\frac{na}{r}\right)^{2/3}$$

•

Hence the upper bound (2.5) of Theorem 1 follows with the aid of Lemma 1.

4. Lower Bounds

In this section, we will derive a lower bound on the operator $H_{3d}^{n,r,\ell,a}$ in terms of the Lieb-Liniger Hamiltonian $H_{1d}^{n,\ell,g}$. In particular, this will prove the desired lower bounds on the energies $E_{3d}^k(n, r, \ell, a)$. Our method is based on [17, Thm. 3.1], but extends it in several important ways which we shall explain.

Let Φ be a normalized wavefunction in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3n})$. We define $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$f(z_1,\ldots,z_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \Phi(\mathbf{x}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{x}_n) \prod_{k=1}^n b_r(\mathbf{x}_k^{\perp}) d^2 \mathbf{x}_k^{\perp}.$$
 (4.1)

Moreover, we define F by

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{x}_n)=F(\mathbf{x}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{x}_n)\prod_{k=1}^n b_r(\mathbf{x}_k^{\perp})\,.$$

Note that F is well-defined, since b_r is a strictly positive function. Finally, let G be given by

$$G(\mathbf{x}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{x}_n) = \Phi(\mathbf{x}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{x}_n) - f(z_1,\ldots,z_n) \prod_{k=1}^n b_r(\mathbf{x}_k^{\perp}).$$
(4.2)

Using partial integration and the eigenvalue equation for b_r , we obtain

$$\left\langle \Phi \left| H_{3d}^{n,r,\ell,a} - \frac{ne^{\perp}}{r^2} \right| \Phi \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3n}} \left[|\nabla_i F|^2 + \ell^{-2} V^{\parallel}(z_i/\ell)|F|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j, j \neq i} a^{-2} v(|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j|/a)|F|^2 \right] \prod_{k=1}^n b_r(\mathbf{x}_k^{\perp})^2 d^3 \mathbf{x}_k.$$

$$(4.3)$$

Now choose some $R > aR_0$, and let

$$U(r) = \begin{cases} 3(R^3 - aR_0^3)^{-1} \text{ for } aR_0 \le r \le R\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(4.4)

For $\delta > 0$ define $\mathcal{B}_{\delta} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$\mathcal{B}_{\delta} = \left\{ \mathbf{x}^{\perp} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : b(\mathbf{x}^{\perp})^2 \ge \delta \right\} \;.$$

In the following, we proceed along the same lines as in [17, Eqs. (3.31)–(3.36)]. Consider, for fixed *i* and \mathbf{x}_j , $j \neq i$, the Voronoi cell Ω_j around particle *j*, i.e.,

$$\Omega_j = \{ \mathbf{x} : |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j| \le |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_k| \text{ for all } k \ne j \}.$$

Denote by B_i the ball of radius R around \mathbf{x}_i . We can then bound

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega_j \cap B_j} b_r(\mathbf{x}_i^{\perp})^2 \left(|\nabla_i F|^2 + \frac{1}{2}a^{-2}v(|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j|/a)|F|^2 \right) d^3 \mathbf{x}_i \\ &\geq \min_{\mathbf{x} \in B_j} b_r(\mathbf{x}^{\perp})^2 a \int_{\Omega_j \cap B_j} U(|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j|)|F|^2 d^3 \mathbf{x}_i \\ &\geq \frac{\min_{\mathbf{x} \in B_j} b_r(\mathbf{x}^{\perp})^2}{\max_{\mathbf{x} \in B_j} b_r(\mathbf{x}^{\perp})^2} a \int_{\Omega_j \cap B_j} b_r(\mathbf{x}_i^{\perp})^2 U(|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j|)|F|^2 d^3 \mathbf{x}_i \; . \end{split}$$

Here, we used Lemma 1 of [18], which states that

$$\int_D \left(|\nabla F(\mathbf{x})|^2 + \frac{1}{2}a^{-2}v(|\mathbf{x}|/a)|F(\mathbf{x})|^2 \right) d^3\mathbf{x} \ge a \int_D U(|\mathbf{x}|)|F(\mathbf{x})|^2 d^3\mathbf{x}$$

for any convex domain D containing the origin. Estimating $\max_{\mathbf{x}\in B_j} b_r(\mathbf{x}^{\perp})^2 \leq \min_{\mathbf{x}\in B_j} b_r(\mathbf{x}^{\perp})^2 + 2(R/r^3) \|\nabla b^2\|_{\infty}$, we obtain

$$\frac{\min_{\mathbf{x}\in B_j} b_r(\mathbf{x}^{\perp})^2}{\max_{\mathbf{x}\in B_j} b_r(\mathbf{x}^{\perp})^2} \ge \chi_{\mathcal{B}_{\delta}}(\mathbf{x}_j^{\perp}/r) \left(1 - 2\frac{R}{r} \frac{\|\nabla b^2\|_{\infty}}{\delta}\right).$$

(It is easy to see that ∇b^2 is a bounded function; see, e.g., the proof of Lemma 1 in the Appendix in [17].) Here $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_{\delta}}$ denotes the characteristic function of \mathcal{B}_{δ} . If $\mathbf{x}_{k(i)}$ denotes the nearest neighbor of \mathbf{x}_i among the \mathbf{x}_j with $j \neq i$, and $\mathbf{x}_{k(i)}^{\perp}$ is its \perp -component, we conclude that, for $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3n}} \left[|\nabla_{i}F|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j, \ j \neq i} a^{-2} v(|\mathbf{x}_{i} - \mathbf{x}_{j}|/a)|F|^{2} \right] \prod_{k=1}^{n} b_{r}(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{\perp})^{2} d^{3}\mathbf{x}_{k}$$

$$\geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3n}} \left[\varepsilon |\nabla_{i}^{\perp}F|^{2} + a'U(|\mathbf{x}_{i} - \mathbf{x}_{k(i)}|) \chi_{\mathcal{B}_{\delta}}(\mathbf{x}_{k(i)}^{\perp}/r)|F|^{2} \right] \prod_{k=1}^{n} b_{r}(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{\perp})^{2} d^{3}\mathbf{x}_{k}$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3n}} \left[\varepsilon |\partial_{i}\Phi|^{2} + (1 - \varepsilon)|\partial_{i}\Phi|^{2} \chi_{\min_{k}|\mathbf{x}_{i} - \mathbf{x}_{k}| \geq R}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) \right] \prod_{k=1}^{n} d^{3}\mathbf{x}_{k}, \qquad (4.5)$$

where $a' = a(1 - \varepsilon)(1 - 2R ||\nabla b^2||_{\infty} / r\delta)$. Here, the factor $\chi_{\min_k |\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_k| \ge R}$ restricts the \mathbf{x}_i integration to the complement of the balls of radius *R* centered at the \mathbf{x}_k for $k \neq i$. That is, for the lower bound in (4.5) only the kinetic energy inside these balls gets used. Part of the kinetic energy in the \mathbf{x}^{\perp} direction has been dropped, which is legitimate for a lower bound.

For a lower bound, the characteristic function $\chi_{\min_k |\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_k| \ge R}$ could be replaced by the smaller quantity $\chi_{\min_k |z_i - z_k| \ge R}$, as was done in [17]. We do not do this here, however, and this point will be important in the following. In particular, it allows us to have the full kinetic energy (in the *z* direction) at our disposal in the effective one-dimensional problem that is obtained after integrating out the \mathbf{x}^{\perp} variables. In contrast, only the kinetic energy in the regions $|z_i - z_k| \ge R$ was used in [17] to derive a lower bound on the ground state energy. The improved method presented in the following leads to an operator lower bound, however. We now give a lower bound on the two terms on the right side of (4.5). We start with the second term, which is bounded from below by

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3n}} |\partial_i \Phi|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n d^3 \mathbf{x}_k \\ &-(1-\varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3n}} |\partial_i \Phi|^2 \chi_{\min_k |z_i - z_k| \le R}(z_i) \chi_{\min_k |\mathbf{x}_i^\perp - \mathbf{x}_k^\perp| \le R}(\mathbf{x}_i^\perp) \prod_{k=1}^n d^3 \mathbf{x}_k \,. \end{split}$$

To estimate the last term from below, consider first the integral over the \mathbf{x}^{\perp} variables for fixed values of z_1, \ldots, z_n . Using (4.2), this integral equals

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \left| \partial_i f \prod_{k=1}^n b_r(\mathbf{x}_k^{\perp}) + \partial_i G \right|^2 \chi_{\min_k |\mathbf{x}_i^{\perp} - \mathbf{x}_k^{\perp}| \le R}(\mathbf{x}_i^{\perp}) \prod_{k=1}^n d^2 \mathbf{x}_k^{\perp} \\ &\leq \left(1 + \eta^{-1} \right) |\partial_i f|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \chi_{\min_k |\mathbf{x}_i^{\perp} - \mathbf{x}_k^{\perp}| \le R}(\mathbf{x}_i^{\perp}) \prod_{k=1}^n b_r(\mathbf{x}_k^{\perp})^2 d^2 \mathbf{x}_k^{\perp} \\ &+ (1 + \eta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} |\partial_i G|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n d^2 \mathbf{x}_k^{\perp} \end{split}$$

for any $\eta > 0$, by Schwarz's inequality. It is easy to see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \chi_{\min_{k} |\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\perp} - \mathbf{x}_{k}^{\perp}| \leq R}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\perp}) \prod_{k=1}^{n} b_{r}(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{\perp})^{2} d^{2} \mathbf{x}_{k}^{\perp} \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \frac{\pi R^{2}}{r^{2}} \|b\|_{4}^{4}$$

using Young's inequality, as in (3.1). Now

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3n}} |\partial_i \Phi|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n d^3 \mathbf{x}_k = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3n}} |\partial_i f|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n b_r (\mathbf{x}_k^{\perp})^2 d^3 \mathbf{x}_k + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3n}} |\partial_i G|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n d^3 \mathbf{x}_k ,$$

since, by the definition of *G* in (4.2), $\partial_i G$ is orthogonal to any function of the form $\xi(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \prod_k b_r(\mathbf{x}_k^{\perp})$. We have thus shown that the second term on the right side of (4.5) satisfies the lower bound

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3n}} \left[\varepsilon |\partial_i \Phi|^2 + (1-\varepsilon) |\partial_i \Phi|^2 \chi_{\min_k |\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_k| \ge R}(\mathbf{x}_i) \right] \prod_{k=1}^n d^3 \mathbf{x}_k$$

$$\geq \left(1 - (1-\varepsilon) \left(1 + \eta^{-1} \right) \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \frac{\pi R^2}{r^2} ||b||_4^4 \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_i f|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n dz_k$$

$$+ (1 - (1-\varepsilon)(1+\eta)) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3n}} |\partial_i G|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n d^3 \mathbf{x}_k$$
(4.6)

for any $\eta > 0$. We are going to choose η small enough such that $(1 - \varepsilon)(1 + \eta) \le 1$, in which case the last term is non-negative and can be dropped for a lower bound. Note that the right side of (4.6) contains the full kinetic energy of the function f. Had we replaced $\chi_{\min_k |\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_k| \ge R}$ by the smaller quantity $\chi_{\min_k |z_i - z_k| \ge R}$ on the left side of (4.6), as in [17], only the kinetic energy for $|z_i - z_k| \ge R$ would be at our disposal. This is sufficient for a lower bound on the ground state energy, as in [17], but would not lead to the desired operator lower bound which is derived in this section.

We now investigate the first term on the right side of (4.5). The bound we shall derive on this term is obtained in the same way as in [17, Eqs. (3.39)–(3.46)]. Consider, for fixed z_1, \ldots, z_n , the expression

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \left[\varepsilon |\nabla_{i}^{\perp} F|^{2} + a' U(|\mathbf{x}_{i} - \mathbf{x}_{k(i)}|) \chi_{\mathcal{B}_{\delta}}(\mathbf{x}_{k(i)}^{\perp}/r) |F|^{2} \right] \prod_{k=1}^{n} b_{r}(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{\perp})^{2} d^{2} \mathbf{x}_{k}^{\perp}.$$
 (4.7)

To estimate this term from below, we use Temple's inequality [27], as in [18], which says that for any Hamiltonian H with lowest eigenvalues $E_0 < E_1$ and expectation value $\langle H \rangle < E_1$ in some state,

$$E_0 \ge \langle H \rangle - \frac{\langle (H - \langle H \rangle)^2 \rangle}{E_1 - \langle H \rangle} .$$
(4.8)

Recall that \tilde{e}^{\perp} denotes the gap above zero in the spectrum of $-\Delta^{\perp} + V^{\perp} - e^{\perp}$, i.e., the lowest non-zero eigenvalue. By scaling, \tilde{e}^{\perp}/r^2 is the gap in the spectrum of $-\Delta^{\perp} + V_r^{\perp} - e^{\perp}/r^2$. Note that under the transformation $\phi \mapsto b_r^{-1}\phi$ this latter operator is unitarily equivalent to $\nabla^{\perp*} \cdot \nabla^{\perp}$ as an operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, b_r(\mathbf{x}^{\perp})^2 d^2 \mathbf{x}^{\perp})$, which appears in (4.7). Hence also this operator has \tilde{e}^{\perp}/r^2 as its energy gap. For $l \in \mathbb{N}$, denote

$$\langle U^l \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n U(|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_{k(i)}|) \chi_{\mathcal{B}_\delta}(\mathbf{x}_{k(i)}^\perp/r) \right)^l \prod_{k=1}^n b_r(\mathbf{x}_k^\perp)^2 d^2 \mathbf{x}_k^\perp$$

Temple's inequality (4.8) implies (under the assumption that the denominator in the last term is positive)

$$(4.7) \ge a' \langle U \rangle \left(1 - a' \frac{\langle U^2 \rangle}{\langle U \rangle} \frac{1}{\varepsilon \tilde{e}^{\perp} / r^2 - a' \langle U \rangle} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} |\Phi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n d^2 \mathbf{x}_k^{\perp}.$$
(4.9)

Now, using (4.4) and Schwarz's inequality, $\langle U^2 \rangle \leq 3n(R^3 - (aR_0)^3)^{-1} \langle U \rangle$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U \rangle &\leq n(n-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} U(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) b_r(\mathbf{x}^{\perp})^2 b_r(\mathbf{y}^{\perp})^2 d^2 \mathbf{x}^{\perp} d^2 \mathbf{y}^{\perp} \\ &\leq n(n-1) \frac{\|b\|_4^4}{r^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} U(|\mathbf{x}|) d^2 \mathbf{x}^{\perp} \leq n(n-1) \frac{\|b\|_4^4}{r^2} \frac{3\pi R^2}{R^3 - R_0^3} \,. \end{aligned}$$
(4.10)

Using this bound, as well as $a' \leq a$ in the error term, we obtain

$$(4.9) \geq a'' \langle U \rangle \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} |\Phi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n d^2 \mathbf{x}_k^{\perp},$$

where

$$a'' = a' \left(1 - \frac{3n}{\varepsilon \tilde{e}^{\perp}} \frac{ar^2}{R^3} \frac{1}{1 - (aR_0/R)^3} \left[1 - \frac{n^2}{\varepsilon \tilde{e}^{\perp}} \frac{a}{R} 3\pi \|b\|_4^4 \frac{1}{1 - (aR_0/R)^3} \right]^{-1} \right),$$

with the understanding that the term in square brackets is positive. Now let

$$d(z-z') = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} b_r(\mathbf{x}^{\perp})^2 b_r(\mathbf{y}^{\perp})^2 U(|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|) \chi_{\mathcal{B}_\delta}(\mathbf{y}^{\perp}/r) d^2 \mathbf{x}^{\perp} d^2 \mathbf{y}^{\perp} .$$

Note that d(z) = 0 if $|z| \ge R$. We bound $\langle U \rangle$ from below by

$$\begin{split} \langle U \rangle &\geq \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} U(|\mathbf{x}_{i} - \mathbf{x}_{j}|) \chi_{\mathcal{B}_{\delta}}(\mathbf{x}_{j}^{\perp}/r) \prod_{k, k \neq i, j} \theta(|\mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{x}_{i}| - R) \prod_{l=1}^{n} b_{r}(\mathbf{x}_{l}^{\perp})^{2} d^{2} \mathbf{x}_{l}^{\perp} \\ &\geq \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} U(|\mathbf{x}_{i} - \mathbf{x}_{j}|) \chi_{\mathcal{B}_{\delta}}(\mathbf{x}_{j}^{\perp}/r) \left(1 - \sum_{k, k \neq i, j} \theta(R - |\mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{x}_{i}|) \right) \prod_{l=1}^{n} b_{r}(\mathbf{x}_{l}^{\perp})^{2} d^{2} \mathbf{x}_{l}^{\perp} \\ &\geq \sum_{i \neq j} d(z_{i} - z_{j}) \left(1 - (n-2) \frac{\pi R^{2}}{r^{2}} \|b\|_{\infty}^{2} \right). \end{split}$$

$$(4.11)$$

If we denote

$$a''' = a'' \left(1 - (n-2) \frac{\pi R^2}{r^2} \|b\|_{\infty}^2 \right),$$

we thus conclude that

$$(4.7) \ge a'' \sum_{i < j} d(z_i - z_j) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} |\Phi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n d^2 \mathbf{x}_k^{\perp}.$$

By using (4.1)–(4.2),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} |\Phi(\mathbf{x}_i,\ldots,\mathbf{x}_n)|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n d^2 \mathbf{x}_k^{\perp} \ge |f(z_1,\ldots,z_n)|^2.$$

Let $g' = a''' \int_{\mathbb{R}} d(z) dz$. A simple bound as in [17, Eqs. (3.49)–(3.53)] shows that, for any $\varkappa > 0$ and $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$,

$$a''' \int_{\mathbb{R}} d(z - z') |\varphi(z)|^2 dz$$

$$\geq g' \max_{z, |z - z'| \leq R} |\varphi(z)|^2 \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{2g'R}{\varkappa}}\right) - \varkappa \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial \varphi|^2 dz$$

for fixed z'. In particular, applying this estimate to f for fixed z_j , $j \neq i$,

$$a''' \sum_{i < j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d(z_i - z_j) |f|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n dz_k$$

$$\geq g'' \sum_{i < j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta(z_i - z_j) |f|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n dz_k - (n-1)\varkappa \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_i f|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n dz_k,$$

with

$$g'' = g'\left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{2g'R}{\varkappa}}\right). \tag{4.12}$$

Hence we have shown that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int \left[\varepsilon |\nabla_{i}^{\perp} F|^{2} + a' U(|\mathbf{x}_{i} - \mathbf{x}_{k(i)}|) \chi_{\mathcal{B}_{\delta}}(\mathbf{x}_{k(i)}^{\perp}/r) |F|^{2} \right] \prod_{k=1}^{n} b_{r}(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{\perp})^{2} d^{3} \mathbf{x}_{k}$$

$$\geq g'' \sum_{i < j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \delta(z_{i} - z_{j}) |f|^{2} \prod_{k=1}^{n} dz_{k} - (n-1)\varkappa \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\partial_{i} f|^{2} \prod_{k=1}^{n} dz_{k}. \quad (4.13)$$

This concludes our bound on the first term on the right side of (4.5).

For the term involving V^{\parallel} in (4.3), we can again use the orthogonality properties of *G* in (4.2), as well as the assumed positivity of V^{\parallel} , to conclude that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3n}} \ell^{-2} V^{\parallel}(z_{i}/\ell) |\Phi(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{n})|^{2} \prod_{k=1}^{n} d^{3} \mathbf{x}_{k}$$

$$\geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \ell^{-2} V^{\parallel}(z_{i}/\ell) |f(z_{1}, \dots, z_{n})|^{2} \prod_{k=1}^{n} dz_{k}.$$
(4.14)

By combining (4.3) and (4.5) with the estimates (4.6), (4.13) and (4.14), we thus obtain

$$\left\{ \Phi \left| H_{3d}^{n,r,\ell,a} - \frac{ne^{\perp}}{r^2} \right| \Phi \right\}$$

$$\geq \left(1 - (1 - \varepsilon) \left(1 + \eta^{-1} \right) \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \frac{\pi R^2}{r^2} \|b\|_4^4 - (n-1)\varkappa \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_i f|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n dz_k$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \ell^{-2} V^{\parallel}(z_i/\ell) + g'' \sum_{i < j} \delta(z_i - z_j) \right] |f|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n dz_k .$$

$$(4.15)$$

Recall that g'' is given in (4.12). This estimate is valid for all $0 \le \varepsilon \le 1$, $\varkappa > 0$ and $\eta > 0$ such that $(1 - \varepsilon)(1 + \eta) \le 1$ in order to be able to drop the last term in (4.6).

To complete the estimate, it remains to give a lower bound to $\int_{\mathbb{R}} d(z)dz$. As in [17, Eq. (3.48)], we can use $|b(\mathbf{x}^{\perp})^2 - b(\mathbf{y}^{\perp})^2| \le R ||\nabla b^2||_{\infty}$ for $|\mathbf{x}^{\perp} - \mathbf{y}^{\perp}| \le R$ to estimate

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d(z) dz &\geq \frac{4\pi}{r^2} \left(\int_{\mathcal{B}_{\delta}} b(\mathbf{x}^{\perp})^4 d^2 \mathbf{x}^{\perp} - R \|\nabla b^2\|_{\infty} / r \right) \\ &\geq \frac{4\pi}{r^2} \left(\|b\|_4^4 - \delta - R \|\nabla b^2\|_{\infty} / r \right) \,. \end{split}$$

This leads to the bound

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{g''}{g} &\geq \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{2gR}{\varkappa}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\delta}{\|b\|_{4}^{4}} - \frac{R\|\nabla b^{2}\|_{\infty}/r}{\|b\|_{4}^{4}}\right) \\ &\times (1 - \varepsilon) \left(1 - 2R\|\nabla b^{2}\|_{\infty}/r\delta\right) \left(1 - (n - 2)\frac{\pi R^{2}}{r^{2}}\|b\|_{\infty}^{2}\right) \\ &\times \left(1 - \frac{3n}{\varepsilon \tilde{e}^{\perp}} \frac{ar^{2}}{R^{3}} \frac{1}{1 - (aR_{0}/R)^{3}} \left[1 - \frac{n^{2}}{\varepsilon \tilde{e}^{\perp}} \frac{a}{R} 3\pi \|b\|_{4}^{4} \frac{1}{1 - (aR_{0}/R)^{3}}\right]^{-1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to choose the free parameters R, δ , \varkappa , ε and η . If we choose

$$R = n \left(\frac{na}{r}\right)^{1/4}, \quad \delta = \varepsilon = \eta = \left(\frac{na}{r}\right)^{1/8}, \quad \varkappa = \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{na}{r}\right)^{5/12},$$

Eq. (4.15) implies

$$\left\langle \Phi \left| H_{3d}^{n,r,\ell,a} - \frac{ne^{\perp}}{r^2} \right| \Phi \right\rangle \ge \left(1 - D\left(\frac{na}{r}\right)^{1/8} - Dn^2\left(\frac{na}{r}\right)^{3/8} \right) \left\langle f \left| H_{1d}^{n,\ell,g} \right| f \right\rangle$$

for some constant D > 0. In particular, if P_r^{\perp} denotes the projection onto the function $\prod_{k=1}^{n} b_r(\mathbf{x}_k^{\perp}) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, we have the operator inequality

$$H_{\mathrm{3d}}^{n,r,\ell,a} - \frac{ne^{\perp}}{r^2} \ge (1 - \eta_{\mathrm{L}}) P_r^{\perp} \otimes H_{\mathrm{1d}}^{n,\ell,g}$$

in the sense of quadratic forms. (Here, η_L is defined in (2.4).)

Recall that the gap above the ground state energy of $-\Delta^{\perp} + r^{-2}V^{\perp}(\mathbf{x}^{\perp}/r)$ is \tilde{e}^{\perp}/r^2 . Hence, using the positivity of both V^{\parallel} and v,

$$H^{n,r,\ell,a}_{\mathrm{3d}} - \frac{ne^{\perp}}{r^2} \geq \frac{\widetilde{e}^{\perp}}{r^2} \left(\mathbb{1} - P_r^{\perp}\right) \otimes \mathbb{1}.$$

In particular,

$$H_{3d}^{n,r,\ell,a} - \frac{ne^{\perp}}{r^2} \ge (1-\gamma) \left(1-\eta_{\rm L}\right) P_r^{\perp} \otimes H_{1d}^{n,\ell,g} + \gamma \frac{\tilde{e}^{\perp}}{r^2} \left(\mathbb{1} - P_r^{\perp}\right) \otimes \mathbb{1} \quad (4.16)$$

for any $0 \le \gamma \le 1$. If we choose $\gamma = (r^2/\tilde{e}^{\perp})E_{1d}^k(n, \ell, g)$, the lowest k eigenvalues of the operator on the right side of (4.16) are given by $(1 - \gamma)(1 - \eta_L)E_{1d}^i(n, \ell, g)$, $1 \le i \le k$. This implies the lower bound (2.3) of Theorem 1.

5. Estimates on Eigenfunctions

In this final section, we shall show how the estimates in the previous two sections can be combined to yield a relation between the eigenfunctions Ψ_k of $H_{3d}^{n,r,\ell,a}$ and the eigenfunctions ψ_k of $H_{1d}^{n,\ell,g}$. We start with the following simple lemma.

Lemma 2. Let *H* be a non-negative Hamiltonian on a Hilbertspace \mathcal{H} , with eigenvalues $0 \le E_1 \le E_2 \le ...$ and corresponding (orthonormal) eigenstates ψ_i . For $k \ge 1$, let $f_i, 1 \le i \le k$, be orthonormal states in \mathcal{H} , with the property that $\langle f_i | H | f_i \rangle \le \eta E_i$ for some $\eta > 1$. If $E_{k+1} > E_k$, then

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{k} |\langle f_i | \psi_j \rangle|^2 \ge k - \frac{(\eta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{k} E_i}{E_{k+1} - E_k} \,.$$

Proof. Let P_k be the projection onto the first k eigenfunctions of H. Then $H \ge HP_k + E_{k+1}(1 - P_k)$. By the variational principle,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \langle f_i | HP_k + E_k (1 - P_k) | f_i \rangle \ge \sum_{i=1}^{k} E_i ,$$

and hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \langle f_i | H | f_i \rangle \ge \sum_{i=1}^{k} E_i + (E_{k+1} - E_k) \sum_{i=1}^{k} \langle f_i | 1 - P_k | f_i \rangle.$$

By assumption, $\langle f_i | H | f_i \rangle \leq \eta E_i$, implying the desired bound

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \langle f_i | P_k | f_i \rangle \ge k - \frac{(\eta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{k} E_i}{E_{k+1} - E_k} \,.$$

Corollary 3. Assume in addition that $E_{l+1} > E_l$ for some $1 \le l < k$. Then

$$\sum_{i,j=l+1}^{k} |\langle f_i | \psi_j \rangle|^2 \ge k - l - \frac{(\eta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{k} E_i}{E_{k+1} - E_k} - \frac{(\eta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{l} E_i}{E_{l+1} - E_l}$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 2 and using the orthonormality of the ψ_i and the f_i , we have

$$\sum_{i,j=l+1}^{k} |\langle f_i | \psi_j \rangle|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{k} |\langle f_i | \psi_j \rangle|^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^{l} |\langle f_i | \psi_j \rangle|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{j=1}^{k} |\langle f_i | \psi_j \rangle|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l} |\langle f_i | \psi_j \rangle|^2 \ge k - \frac{(\eta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{k} E_i}{E_{k+1} - E_k} + l - \frac{(\eta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{l} E_i}{E_{l+1} - E_l} - 2l.$$

Let again P_r^{\perp} denote the projection onto the function $\prod_{k=1}^n b_r(\mathbf{x}_k^{\perp}) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, and recall inequality (4.16), which states that

$$H_{3d}^{n,r,\ell,a} - \frac{ne^{\perp}}{r^2} \ge (1-\gamma)\left(1-\eta_{\rm L}\right)P_r^{\perp} \otimes H_{1d}^{n,\ell,g} + \gamma \frac{\tilde{e}^{\perp}}{r^2}\left(\mathbb{1} - P_r^{\perp}\right) \otimes \mathbb{1} \quad (5.1)$$

•

for any $0 \le \gamma \le 1$. As already noted, the choice

$$\gamma = (r^2 / \tilde{e}^{\perp}) E_{1d}^k(n, \ell, g)$$

implies that the lowest k eigenvalues of the operator on the right side of (5.1) are given by $(1 - \gamma)(1 - \eta_L)E_{1d}^i(n, \ell, g), 1 \le i \le k$. Here, we have to assume that $\tilde{e}^{\perp}/r^2 \ge E_{1d}^k(n, \ell, g)$ in order for γ not to be greater than one. The corresponding eigenfunctions are

$$\psi_i(z_1,\ldots,z_n)\prod_{k=1}^n b_r(\mathbf{x}_k^{\perp}).$$

We can now apply Corollary 3, with *H* equal to the operator on the right side of (5.1), $f_i = \Psi_i$, $l = k_i - 1$ and $k = k_{i+1} - 1$. Since $E_{3d}^k(n, r, \ell, a) \le E_{1d}^k(n, \ell, g)/(1 - \eta_U)$ by Theorem 1(b), we conclude that

$$\sum_{k=k_{i}}^{k_{i+1}-1} \sum_{l=k_{i}}^{k_{i+1}-1} \left| \left\langle \Psi_{k} \left| \psi_{l} \prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{r}(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{\perp}) \right\rangle \right|^{2} \right. \\ \geq k_{i+1} - k_{i} - \left(\frac{1}{(1-\gamma)(1-\eta_{U})(1-\eta_{L})} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k_{i+1}-1} E_{1d}^{i}}{E_{1d}^{k_{i+1}} - E_{1d}^{k_{i}}} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k_{i}-1} E_{1d}^{i}}{E_{1d}^{k_{i}} - E_{1d}^{k_{i}}} \right]$$

as long as $\gamma < 1$, $\eta_U < 1$ and $\eta_L < 1$. Here, we used the notation $E_{1d}^i = E_{1d}^i(n, \ell, g)$ for short. Since

$$\sum_{l=k_i}^{k_{i+1}-1} \left| \left\langle \Psi_k \left| \psi_l \prod_{i=1}^n b_r(\mathbf{x}_k^{\perp}) \right\rangle \right|^2 \le 1$$

for every k, this implies Theorem 2.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Zhenqiu Xie for helpful discussions. R.S. acknowledges partial support by U.S. NSF grant PHY-0652356 and by an A.P. Sloan Fellowship.

References

- Baumgartner, B., Solovej, J.P., Yngvason, J.: Atoms in Strong Magnetic Fields: The High Field Limit at Fixed Nuclear Charge. Commun. Math. Phys. 212, 703–724 (2000)
- Bergeman, T., Moore, M.G., Olshanii, M.: Atom-Atom Scattering under Cylindrical Harmonic Confinement: Numerical and Analytic Studies of the Confinement Induced Resonance. Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 163201 (2003)
- Bloch, I., Dalibard, J., Zwerger, W.: Many-Body Physics with Ultracold Gases. http://arxiv.org/list/0704. 3011, 2007
- Brummelhuis, R., Duclos, P.: Effective Hamiltonians for atoms in very strong magnetic fields. J. Math. Phys. 47, 033501 (2006); On the One-Dimensional Behaviour of Atoms in Intense Homogeneous Magnetic Fields. In: *Partial Differential Equations and Spectral Theory*, *PDE2000 Conference in Clausthal*, Germany, Demuth, M., Schulze, B.-W. (eds.), Basel: Birkhäuser 2001, pp. 25–35
- Dettmer, S. et al.: Observation of Phase Fluctuations in Elongated Bose-Einstein Condensates. Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 160406 (2001)
- Dunjko, V., Lorent, V., Olshanii, M.: Bosons in Cigar-Shaped Traps: Thomas-Fermi Regime, Tonks-Girardeau Regime, and In Between. Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5413–5416 (2001)

- Esteve, J. et al.: Observations of Density Fluctuations in an Elongated Bose Gas: Ideal Gas and Quasicondensate Regimes. Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 130403 (2006)
- Girardeau, M.: Relationship between Systems of Impenetrable Bosons and Fermions in One Dimension. J. Math. Phys. 1, 516–523 (1960)
- Jackson, A.D., Kavoulakis, G.M.: Lieb Mode in a Quasi-One-Dimensional Bose-Einstein Condensate of Atoms. Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 070403 (2002)
- Kinoshita, T., Wenger, T., Weiss, D.S.: Observation of a One-Dimensional Tonks-Girardeau Gas. Science 305, 1125–1128 (2004)
- 11. Lieb, E.H.: Exact Analysis of an Interacting Bose Gas. II. The Excitation Spectrum. Phys. Rev. 130, 1616–1624 (1963)
- Lieb, E.H., Liniger, W.: Exact Analysis of an Interacting Bose Gas. I. The General Solution and the Ground State. Phys. Rev. 130, 1605–1616 (1963)
- 13. Lieb, E.H., Loss, M.: Analysis. Second edition, Providene, RI: American Math Soc. 2001
- 14. Lieb, E.H., Seiringer, R., Solovej, J.P., Yngvason, J.: *The Mathematics of the Bose Gas and its Condensation*. Oberwolfach Seminars, Vol. **34**, Basel-Boston: Birkhäuser 2005
- Lieb, E.H., Seiringer, R., Yngvason, J.: Bosons in a trap: A rigorous derivation of the Gross-Pitaevskii energy functional. Phys. Rev. A. 61, 043602 (2000)
- Lieb, E.H., Seiringer, R., Yngvason, J.: One-dimensional Bosons in Three-dimensional Traps. Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 150401 (2003)
- Lieb, E.H., Seiringer, R., Yngvason, J.: One-Dimensional Behavior of Dilute, Trapped Bose Gases. Commun. Math. Phys. 244, 347–393 (2004)
- Lieb, E.H., Yngvason, J.: Ground State Energy of the Low Density Bose Gas. Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2504–2507 (1998)
- Moritz, H., Stöferle, T., Köhl, M., Esslinger, T.: Exciting Collective Oscillations in a Trapped 1D Gas. Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 250402 (2003)
- Moritz, H., Stöferle, T., Günter, K., Köhl, M., Esslinger, T.: Confinement Induced Molecules in a 1D Fermi Gas. Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 210401 (2005)
- Olshanii, M.: Atomic Scattering in the Presence of an External Confinement and a Gas of Impenetrable Bosons. Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 938–941 (1998)
- 22. Olsahnii, M., Dunjko, V.: Short-Distance Correlation Properties of the Lieb-Liniger System and Momentum Distributions of Trapped One-Dimensional Atomic Gases. Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 090401 (2003)
- Petrov, D.S., Shlyapnikov, G.V., Walraven, J.T.M.: Regimes of Quantum Degeneracy in Trapped 1D Gases. Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3745–3749 (2000)
- Petrov, D.S., Gangardt, D.M., Shlyapnikov, G.V.: Low-dimensional trapped gases. J. Phys. IV. 116, 5–46 (2004)
- Reed, M., Simon, B.: Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics II. Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness. New York: Academic Press, 1975
- Richard, S. et al.: Momentum Spectroscopy of 1D Phase Fluctuations in Bose-Einstein Condensates. Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 010405 (2003)
- Temple, G.: The theory of Rayleigh's Principle as Applied to Continuous Systems. Proc. Roy. Soc. London A. 119, 276–293 (1928)
- Tolra, B.L. et al.: Observation of Reduced Three-Body Recombination in a Correlated 1D Degenerate Bose Gas. Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 190401 (2004)

Communicated by B. Simon