ORIGINAL PAPER

Phylogenetic study of Lactobacillus acidophilus group, L. casei group and L. plantarum group based on partial hsp60, pheS and tuf gene sequences

Jie Yu • Zhihong Sun • Wenjun Liu • Qiuhua Bao • Jiachao Zhang • Heping Zhang

Received: 10 November 2011 / Revised: 28 February 2012 / Accepted: 5 March 2012 / Published online: 20 March 2012 © Springer-Verlag 2012

Abstract The partial nucleotide sequences encoding elongation factor Tu (tuf gene), 60-kDa heat shock protein (hsp60 gene) and phenylalanyl-tRNA synthase (pheS gene) were determined to assess the suitability as phylogenetic markers for discriminating the closely related species in Lactobacillus acidophilus group, L. casei group and L. plantarum group. A total of 234 lactobacilli were chosen from traditional fermented dairy products that were not exactly assigned to species based on biochemical tests and 16S rRNA gene sequences. The sequencing of partial tuf, hsp60 and pheS gene of all strains was performed, and then, the phylogenetic trees were constructed by neighborjoining method. Phylogenetic tree revealed three genes provided better resolution of each Lactobacillus species than 16S rDNA, and all of strains were clearly identified as L. casei (63 strains), L. plantarum (58 strains) and L. helveticus (113 strains) by comparison of sequences with the type strains. From our results, the partial sequences of three genes had a higher discriminatory power than 16S rRNA gene sequences and were an alternative molecular tool for the taxonomical analysis of L. casei group, L. plantarum group and L. acidophilus group.

Keywords Lactobacillus \cdot hsp60 gene \cdot pheS gene \cdot tuf gene

Introduction

Lactobacilli is an important taxa involved in food microbiology and human nutrition owing to their role in food and feed production and preservation [\[1\]](#page-6-0). Therefore, precise identification and classification of lactobacilli to the species level are required. Established methodologies, routinely used for assessing the evolution of lactic acid bacteria (LAB), rely on morphological descriptors and phenotypic methods based on biochemical systems such as API 50CH carbohydrate tests (API Products, Bio-Merieux, France) and phenotypic arrays (Biolog, Hayward, CA, USA) [\[2](#page-6-0), [3](#page-6-0)]. Although phenotypic tests provide evidence of the metabolic capabilities of different strains, these methods have problems such as nonreproducibility and lack of discriminatory power [[4](#page-6-0)].

Identification of LAB is most commonly based on the variability in their ribosomal RNA genes. Comparison of the gene sequences of bacterial species showed that the 16S rRNA gene is highly conserved within a species and among species of the same genus, so 16S rRNA gene can be used as the ''gold standard'' for the determination of the bacteria [\[5\]](#page-6-0). However, genotypic identification based on 16S rRNA sequences has limited discriminating power for closely related Lactobacillus species [\[6,](#page-6-0) [7](#page-6-0)]. Recently, more protein encoding genes have been applied for classification of closely related species: the tuf gene (encoding elongation factor Tu) $[8]$ $[8]$, the $hsp60$ gene (encoding 60-kDa heat shock protein) [[9](#page-6-0)], the htrA gene (encoding stress-inducible trypsin-like serine protease) [[10\]](#page-6-0), the recA gene (encoding recombinase A) [[11](#page-6-0)], and the $rpoA$ gene (encoding RNA polymerase beta subunit) [\[12\]](#page-6-0).

The *tuf* gene encodes the elongation factor Tu, involved in protein biosynthesis, which facilitates the elongation of polypeptides from the ribosome and aminoacyl-tRNA during translation. It is universally distributed, and in most gram-positive bacteria, only one tuf gene per genome has been

J. Yu ⋅ Z. Sun ⋅ W. Liu ⋅ Q. Bao ⋅ J. Zhang ⋅ H. Zhang (⊠) Key Laboratory of Dairy Biotechnology and Engineering, Ministry of Education, Inner Mongolia Agricultural University, Huhhot, Inner Mongolia 010018, People's Republic of China e-mail: hepingdd@vip.sina.com

found [[13\]](#page-6-0); thus, it is ideally suited for phylogenetic studies. The tuf gene has been used as a target gene for phylogenetic studies [\[14\]](#page-6-0). The hsp60 gene, which encodes a 60-kDa subunit (known as GroEL, 60-kDa chaperonin, and heat shock protein 60) of a complex that assists with the three-dimensional folding of bacterial proteins, has the potential to serve as a general phylogenetic marker because of its ubiquity and conservation in nature. Recent studies [[9,](#page-6-0) [15](#page-6-0), [16](#page-6-0)] have shown that hsp60 gene may be an alternate DNA target for speciesspecific identification of microbial species. PhenylalanyltRNA synthase gene (pheS) also been proven to be a valuable tool for the identification of Lactobacillus species and the delineation of novel taxa [\[17,](#page-6-0) [18](#page-6-0)].

The objective of this study was to design an accurate and rapid method to identify closely related lactobacilli isolated from traditional fermented dairy samples in six different regions and to assess the usefulness of the partial sequence of tuf, hsp60 and pheS for the differentiation of closely related species. The combination of tuf, hsp60 and pheS sequences alignments and phylogenetic analysis proved that the three genes were able to identify the species of L. casei group, L. plantarum group and L. acidophilus group at the species level.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains

All lactobacilli were isolated from traditional fermented dairy samples collected from Tibet, Qinghai, Inner Mongolia, Yunnan and Xinjiang province of China and Mongolia. A total of 234 lactobacilli were chosen from isolates (Table 1), which were preliminary classified and identified as L. casei group, L. plantarum group and L. acidophilus group by biochemical tests and 16S rRNA gene sequences in our laboratory [[19–22\]](#page-6-0).

DNA extraction

Total genomic DNA was extracted from overnight cultures by the previous method [[23\]](#page-6-0). Briefly, 1 ml liquid culture of each strain incubated overnight in MRS broth was pelleted by centrifugation at 8,000g for 5 min. The pellets were washed with 500 μ l TE buffer (10 mM Tris-Cl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) in a clean 1.5-ml microcentrifuge tube and repelleted by centrifugation. Washed cell pellets were resuspended in 500 µl TE buffer; tubes were frozen for 5 min by liquid nitrogen and then incubated at 65° C for 5 min. This freezing–thawing step was repeated 4 times; 10 ll proteinase K solution (20 mg proteinase K/ml in TE; Amresco Inc.) and 60 μ I SDS solution (10 %) were added. After incubated at 37 °C for 1 h, 100 µl NaCl (5 M) and 80 µl CTAB/NaCl (10 % cetyltrimethylammonium bromide, 0.7 M NaCl) were added, and tubes were incubated at 65° C for 30 min. The mixture was extracted with an equal volume of phenol/chloroform/isoamylalcohol. After centrifugation, DNA was obtained by the addition of isopropanol (one equal volume) and then washed in $500 \mu l$ ethanol (70 %). DNA was pelleted, dried and dissolved in 100 μ l RNase solution (100 μ g/ml RNase in TE; Sigma-Aldrich). After incubation for 1 h at 37 \degree C, the sample volumes were adjusted to 400 µl with TE. Then, phenol/ chloroform extraction was performed, and DNA was precipitated with 0.5 M NaAc (final concentration) and two volumes of ethanol (99 %). Pellets were washed in 500 μ l ethanol (70 %). Finally, DNA was solubilized as above in 50–250 µl TE, and stock solution were stored at -20 °C.

PCR amplification

For each strain, the genomic DNA was used as a template for PCR amplification of a segment of tuf, hsp60 and pheS genes on the automatic thermal cycler (PTC-200, MJ Research, Waltham, MA). The sequences of the primers

Table 1 Strains and numbers of lactobacilli used in this study

| References |
|--------------------|
| |
| $\lceil 8 \rceil$ |
| |
| $\lceil 33 \rceil$ |
| |
| |
| |

Table 2 Oligonucleotide primers used in this study

were listed in Table 2. For each target, PCR mixture (50 µl) contained 200 ng template DNA, 5 µl 10 \times buffer with $3.0 \text{ mM } MgCl₂$, $6.0 \text{ unit } Taq$ DNA polymerase, 0.4 mM of dNTP and 20 pmol of each primer.

A DNA fragment corresponding to partial of tuf gene was amplified; each PCR cycling profile consisted of an initial denaturation step of 3 min at 95 \degree C, followed by amplification for 35 cycles as follows: denaturation (30 s at 95 °C), annealing (30 s at 52 °C) and extension (2 min at 72 °C). Amplification was completed with an elongation phase (10 min at 72 $^{\circ}$ C).

Universal hsp60 oligonucleotide primers H729 and H730 (Table 2) were used to amplify the hsp60 gene. The cycling conditions were 5 min at 95 \degree C for 1 cycle, followed by 30 cycles of 1 min at 95 °C, 30 s at 37 °C and 1 min at 72 °C. The last cycle was performed at 10 min at 72 $^{\circ}$ C.

For the convenience of sequencing, 24-bp nucleotide sequences were inserted in front of the primers PheS-21FA and PheS-21RA as described in the study conducted by Naser et al. [\[24](#page-6-0)], and they were used to amplify the *pheS* gene. The program consisted of 30 cycles of 30 s at 94 $^{\circ}C$, 30 s at 35 °C and 2 min at 72 °C. PCR products were electrophoresed in a 1.0 % agarose gel and visualized by UV transillumination after ethidium bromide staining.

Nucleotide sequencing and phylogeny study

Sequencing of the PCR products was performed in Shanghai Sangni Biosciences Corporation. To confirm the species, the nucleotide sequences of the *tuf, hsp60* and *pheS* genes of all the tested strains were analyzed and determined by BLAST program on NCBI. Consensus sequences were imported into MEGA version 4.0 software ([http://www.megasoftware.net\)](http://www.megasoftware.net) [\[25](#page-6-0)], with which a sequence alignment and the representative sequences of each group were selected for phyletic tree construction based on neighbor-joining method, Bifidobacterium longum was considered as an outlier.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers

All sequences determined in this study were deposited in GenBank under the following accession numbers:

FJ983574 to FJ984182, FJ825030 to FJ825054, FJ825056 to FJ825086, FJ825088 to FJ825118 and FJ825120 to FJ825125.

Results

The three genomic regions of 234 isolates targeted were successfully amplified. The expected fragment lengths were observed for the PCR products. Removed the biased primer regions and ambiguous single-strand data, about 760 bp for tuf, 450 bp for pheS and 570 bp for hsp60 were subjected to phylogenetic analysis.

hsp60 sequence and phylogenetic analysis

Partial hsp60 gene was determined from closely related species including L. casei group, L. acidophilus group and L. plantarum group. Furthermore, nucleotide sequence homology searches of databases available through the BLAST yielded the highest matching scores for the corresponding reference strains; 113 strains shared 100 % identity with the hsp60 gene sequence of L. helveticus $ATCC15009^T$, and 63 isolates showed a similarity of 100 % to L. paracasei ATCC 25302^T, and less than 90 % to the other species (*L. rhamnosus* ATCC 7469^T, 88 %; L. casei ATCC 393^T, 85 %; L. zeae ATCC 15820^T, 86 %); 58 isolates was close to L. plantarum ATCC 14917^T, and they shared 99.9 % homology; however, all isolates only shared 95 and 94 % homology with L. paraplantarum DSM 10667^T and *L. pentosus* ATCC 8041^T, respectively. The phylogenetic tree constructed from the alignment of the representative hsp60 gene sequences is shown in Fig. [1.](#page-3-0) All strains were divided into two large branches, the first branch contained two groups, the L. acidophilus group and the L. casei group. In the L. acidophilus group, representative IMAU60068 and type strain L. helveticus $ATCC15009^T$ were clustered into a group, IMAU10126 of L. casei group was closely related to L. paracasei ATCC 25302^T in 100 % of bootstrap analyses. The second branch contained L. plantarum group, where representative IMAU50045 was placed in the cluster of L. plantarum

Fig. 1 Neighbor-joining tree showing the phylogenetic relationships between representative strains from each group and the type strains based on hsp60 gene sequences. Bifidobacterium longum was considered as an outlier. Bootstrap values based on 100 replications are given at the nodes

ATCC 14917^T, which recovered in 100 % of bootstrap analyses. According to the phylogenetic analysis based on the hsp60 gene, all isolates could be clearly identified as L. casei (63 strains), L. plantarum (58 strains) and L. helveticus (113 strains).

tuf sequence and phylogenetic analysis

The partial tuf gene sequences (760 bp) of all strains were determined. Resulting sequences were compared with related bacteria sequences in the GenBank, and sequence similarities were determined using BLAST program. Phylogenetic trees were constructed with the *tuf* gene sequences (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)). In this way, 234 lactobacilli belong to three different tuf clusters. One tuf cluster has showed the highest sequence similarity (100 %) with the type strain of L. paracasei ATCC 25302^T and clearly distinct from other species (Similarity: L. rhamnosus ATCC 7469^T, 93 %; L. casei ATCC 393^T, 91 %; L. zeae ATCC 15820^T, 92 %). The other tuf cluster has showed the highest sequence similarity of 100 $%$ with the type strain of *L. plantarum* ATCC 14917^T and similarity of 98 % with the other two species. Moreover, the isolates IMAU60068 have high similarity to L. helveticus $ATCC15009^T$ (100 %). Phylogenetic analysis showed the same result with hsp60 gene and provided higher resolution than the 16S rRNA gene.

pheS sequence and phylogenetic analysis

Phylogenetic analysis of the pheS gene sequences showed distinct positions of the species (Fig. [3](#page-4-0)). IMAU60068 shared 100 % homology with L. helveticus $ATCC15009^T$.

Strain IMAU50054 and type strain L. plantarum ATCC 14917^T were clustered into a group with a similarity of 100 %, and its pheS gene sequence showed a similarity of 90 % to L. paraplantarum DSM 10667^T and 84 % to L. pentosus ATCC 8041^T . IMAU60068 was placed in the cluster of L. casei group, which recovered in 100 % of bootstrap analyses, and its pheS gene sequence showed a similarity of less than 93 % to the other type strains. The topology of the phylogenetic tree from pheS sequences showed a distribution of lactobacilli similar to that based on hsp60 gene and tuf gene sequence analysis. However, it is more discriminatory than $hsp60$ gene and tuf gene.

Comparative sequence analyses

Partial DNA sequences of tuf, pheS and hsp60 genes were obtained for 113 L. helveticus, 63 L. casei and 58 L. plantarum isolates. Our data clearly shown that hsp60, tuf and pheS genes sequences are more discriminatory than 16S rRNA, especially in *L. plantarum* group. The average nucleotide sequence similarities of hsp60, tuf and pheS genes among the L. plantarum group type strains was significantly less than that of 16S rRNA (96, 98.6, 91.3 and 99.4 %, respectively). On the 16S rRNA gene tree (Fig. [4](#page-5-0)), L. paraplantarum, L. pentosus and L. plantarum could not be distinguished due to few nucleotide differences in the variable region. However, sequencing of hsp60, tuf and pheS genes from the investigated lactobacilli confirmed the clustering of the L. plantarum, which were clearly and easily separated from L. pentosus and L. paraplantarum. Within *L. casei* group, the average nucleotide sequence similarities of hsp60, tuf and pheS genes were significantly

Fig. 2 Neighbor-joining tree showing the phylogenetic relationships between representative strains from each group and the type strains based on tuf gene sequences. Bifidobacterium longum was considered as an outlier. Bootstrap values based on 100 replications are given at the nodes

Fig. 3 Neighbor-joining tree showing the phylogenetic relationships between representative strains from each group and the type strains based on pheS gene sequences. Bifidobacterium longum was considered as an outlier. Bootstrap values based on 100 replications are given at the nodes

less than that of 16S rRNA gene (89.8, 94, 88.3 and 99.1 %, respectively). Although the 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis of *L. acidophilus* group showed the representative strains form a well-defined cluster with their type strains in phylogenetic tree, the average nucleotide sequence similarities of hsp60, tuf and pheS genes among the type strains in L. acidophilus group were less than that of 16S rRNA gene (93.8, 97, 92.3 and 98.5 %, respectively). Therefore, the sequence analysis of hsp60 gene and pheS gene was useful and exact taxonomic criteria for L. acidophilus group.

Discussion

The genus Lactobacillus is the largest group among the Lactobacteriaceae and contains more than 170 species [\(http://www.bacterio.cict.fr/\)](http://www.bacterio.cict.fr/). Significant changes have occurred in bacterial taxonomy since the introduction of molecular techniques. Currently, several molecular targets have been exploited for the molecular identification of Lactobacillus species. The identification of many species can be accomplished by 16S rRNA gene, which is considered an important molecular marker of modern bacterial

Fig. 4 Neighbor-joining tree showing the phylogenetic relationships between representative strains from each group and the type strains based on 16S rRNA gene sequences. Bifidobacterium longum was

considered as an outlier. Bootstrap values based on 100 replications are given at the nodes

taxonomy. Although the comparison of the 16S rRNA gene sequences has been useful in phylogenetic studies at the species level, in some cases, closely related species cannot be differentiated from each other by 16S rRNA gene. Therefore, the use of highly conserved protein encoding genes as evolutionary chronometers might have strong applications in the identification and differentiation of species [\[8](#page-6-0)]. We studied the partial sequences of $hsp60$, pheS and tuf genes of 234 isolates with the aim of exploiting a rapid and reliable tool for discrimination very closely related species.

Lactobacillus plantarum group include L. plantarum, L. pentosus and L. paraplantarum. These three closely related species have very similar fermentation abilities and cannot be distinguished by 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis because they show 99 % sequence similarity $[26]$ $[26]$. In view of its demonstrated effectiveness, sequence analysis of protein coding genes as alternative phylogenetic markers was applied to difference L. plantarum group. Torriani et al. [\[11](#page-6-0)] designed species-specific primers based on recA gene to distinguish species in L. plantarum group. Huang et al. $[27]$ $[27]$ reported that a molecular marker, *dnaK* gene, was used for discriminating phylogenetic relationships among L. plantarum group, and the data indicated that phylogenetic relationships between 22 strains are easily resolved using sequencing of the *dnaK* gene. In this study, sequencing of tuf, hsp60 and pheS gene of 58 L. plantarum was performed, and reliable phylogenetic trees based on those genes were clearly shown for three species of L. plantarum groups (Figs. [1](#page-3-0), [2](#page-4-0) and [3\)](#page-4-0). The species L. zeae, L. rhamnosus, L. paracasei and L. casei are phylogenetically and phenotypically closely related and are regarded as the L. casei group. Some strains in this group have been shown to be probiotic and are widely used in the food and feed industries [\[28](#page-7-0)]; however, more than 28 % of commercial probiotic products are mislabeled at the genus or species level due to the use of methods that have limited taxonomic resolution or that are unsuitable for reliable identification to the species level [[29,](#page-7-0) [30](#page-7-0)]. In this study, 63 isolates tentatively identified as belonging to the L. casei group by prior sugar fermentation profiles and 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis were examined using hsp60, tuf and pheS genes sequence analysis; three phylogenetic trees based on the partly hsp60, tuf and pheS gene sequences clearly display all isolates belonging to L. paracasei.

Lactobacillus helveticus is an important species used as a starter in the dairy industry for many hard cheese productions [\[31](#page-7-0)]. However, a clear identification of L. helveticus within the genus Lactobacillus is sometimes ambiguous and complicated [\[32](#page-7-0)]. Therefore, rapid and accurate identification of L. helveticus is important. L. acidophilus, L. gallinarum, L. crispatus and L. helveticus form a cluster of closely related species based on 16S rRNA gene and those strains that showed more than 98 % homology with each other; we analyzed the *hsp60*, tuf and pheS genes of 113 L. helveticus strains to provide a more accurate method and prove the feasibility of three genes for distinguishing L. acidophilus group. The results of phylogenetic analysis confirmed that those genes showed better resolution with a high discrimination level than 16S rRNA gene to differentiate the L. acidophilus group species. Similar to our results, Sun et al. [[22\]](#page-6-0) used hsp60, tuf and pheS gene to distinct 32 L. helveticus strains, and the result shown that the analysis of tuf, hsp60, especially pheS partial gene sequences, effectively allows L. helveticus group to be differentiated at a higher discrimination level. However, based on a large amount of data, we demonstrated hsp60, tuf and pheS genes analysis showed better resolution not only among the L. acidophilus group species, but also among the L. casei group and L. plantarum group species.

In conclusion, in this study, we determined the protein encoding genes sequences of a large number of lactobacilli, increasing the already existent sequence databases of LAB species. We demonstrated a higher distinctness of the tuf, hsp60 and pheS sequences than of the 16S rRNA sequences and offered a valid molecular marker for inferring phylogeny among closely related taxa. Moreover, owing to its specificity, manageability and rapidity, this approach proposed in this study can be considered a valid strategy for typing at the species level lactobacilli isolated from food samples.

Acknowledgments This research was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 31025019), the Earmarked Fund for Modern Agro-industry Technology Research System (Grant No. nycytx-0501), the Innovation Team Development of the Ministry of Education of China (Grant No. IRT0967), and Hi-Tech Research and Development Program of China (863 Planning, Grant No. 2011AA100901, 2011AA100902).

References

- 1. Bernardeau M, Guguen M, Vernoux JP (2006) Beneficial lactobacilli in food and feed: long-term use, biodiversity and proposals for specific and realistic safety assessments. FEMS Microbiol Rev 30:487–513
- 2. Antony-Babu S, Stach JEM, Goodfellow M (2008) Genetic and phenotypic evidence for Streptomyces griseus ecovars isolated from a beach and dune sand system. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 94:63–74
- 3. Lafon-Lafourcade S, Joyeux A (1979) Techniques simplifiées pour le dénombrement et l'identification des microorganismes vivants dans les moûts et les vins. Conn Vigne Vin 13:295-310
- 4. Martín-Platero AM, Valdivia E, Maqueda M, Martín-Sánchez I, Martínez-Bueno M (2008) Polyphasic approach to bacterial dynamics during the ripening of Spanish farmhouse cheese, using culture-dependent and-independent methods. Appl Environ Microbiol 74(18):5662–5673
- 5. Woo PC, Fung AM, Lau SK, Yuen KY (2002) Identification by 16S rRNA gene sequencing of Lactobacillus salivarius bacteremic cholecystitis. J Clin Microbiol 40:265–267
- 6. Ostlie HM, Eliassen L, Florvaag A, Skeie S (2004) Phenotypic and PCR-based characterization of the microflora in Norvegia cheese during ripening. Int J Food Microbiol 94:287–299
- 7. Schleifer KH, Ludwig W (1995) Phylogeny of the genus Lactobacillus and related genera. Syst Appl Microbiol 18:461–467
- 8. Ventura M, Canchaya C, Meylan V, Klaenhammer TR, Zink R (2003) Analysis, characterization, and loci of the tuf genes in Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium species and their direct application for species identification. Appl Environ Microbiol 69:6908–6922
- 9. Blaiotta G, Fusco V, Ercolini D, Aponte M, Pepe O, Villani F (2008) Lactobacillus strain diversity based on partial hsp60 gene

sequences and design of PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism assays for species identification and differentiation. Appl Environ Microbiol 74:208–215

- 10. Fortina MG, Ricci G, Mora D, Parini C, Manachini PL (2001) Specific identification of Lactobacillus helveticus by PCR with pepC, pepN and htrA targeted primers. FEMS Microbiol Lett 198:85–89
- 11. Torriani S, Felis GE, Dellaglio F (2001) Differentiation of Lactobacillus plantarum, L. pentosus, and L. paraplantarum by recA gene sequence analysis and multiplex PCR assay with recA genederived primers. Appl Environ Microbiol 67:3450–3454
- 12. Naser SM, Dawyndt P, Hoste B, Gevers D, Vandemeulebroecke K, Cleenwerck I, Vancanneyt M, Swings J (2007) Identification of lactobacilli by pheS and rpoA gene sequence analysis. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 57:2777–2789
- 13. Sela S, Yogev D, Razin S, Bercovier H (1989) Duplication of the tuf gene: a new insight into the phylogeny of eubacteria. J Bacteriol 171:581–584
- 14. Chavagnat F, Haueter M, Jimeno J, Casey MG (2002) Comparison of partial tuf gene sequences for the identification of lactobacilli. FEMS Microbiol Lett 217:177–183
- 15. Kwok AYC, Su SC, Reynolds RP, Bay SJ, Av-Gay Y, Dovichi NJ, Chow AW (1999) Species identification and phylogenetic relationships based on partial hsp60 gene sequences within the genus Staphylococcus. Int J Syst Bacteriol 49:1181–1192
- 16. Kwok AYC, Chow AW (2003) Phylogenetic study of Staphylococcus and Macrococcus species based on partial hsp60 gene sequences. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 53:87–92
- 17. Scheirlinck I, Van der Meulen R, Van Schoor A, Vancanneyt M, De Vuyst L, Vandamme P, Huys G (2007) Influence of geographical origin and flour type on the diversity of lactic acid bacteria in traditional Belgian sourdoughs. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:6262–6269
- 18. Vancanneyt M, Naser SM, Engelbeen K, De Wachter M, Van der Meulen R, Cleenwerck I, Hoste B, De Vuyst L, Swings J (2006) Reclassification of Lactobacillus brevis strains LMG 11494 and LMG 11984 as Lactobacillus parabrevis sp. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 56:1553–1557
- 19. Airideng CCK, Chen X, Du XH, Wang WH, Zhang JC, Sun ZH, Liu WJ, Li L, Sun TS, Zhang HP (2010) Isolation and identification of cultivable lactic acid bacteria in traditional fermented milk of Tibet in China. Int J Dairy Technol 63(3):437–444
- 20. Liu WJ, Sun ZH, Zhang JC, Gao W, Wang WH, Wu L, Sun TS, Chen W, Liu XM, Zhang HP (2009) Analysis of microbial composition in acid whey for dairy fan making in Yunnan by conventional method and 16S rRNA sequencing. Curr Microbiol 59(2):199–205
- 21. Sun ZH, Liu WJ, Zhang JC, Yu J, Gao W, Jiri MT, Menghe BLG, Sun TS, Zhang HP (2010) Identification and characterization of the dominant lactic acid bacteria isolated from traditional fermented milk in Mongolia. Folia Microbiol 55(3):270–276
- 22. Sun ZH, Liu WJ, Zhang JC, Yu J, Zhang WY, Airideng CCK, Menghe BLG, Sun TS, Zhang HP (2010) Identification and characterization of the dominant lactobacilli isolated from koumiss in China. J Gen Appl Microbiol 56:257–265
- 23. Yu J, Sun ZH, Liu WJ, Zhang JC, Sun TS, Bao QH, Zhang HP (2009) Rapid identification of lactic acid bacteria isolated from home-made fermented milk in Tibet. J Gen Appl Microbiol 55(3):181–190
- 24. Naser SM, Thompson FL, Hoste B, Gevers D, Dawyndt P, Vancanneyt M, Swings J (2005) Application of multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA) for rapid identification of Enterococcus species based on rpoA and pheS genes. Microbiology 151:2141–2150
- 25. Tamura K, Dudley J, Nei M, Kumar S (2007) MEGA4: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. Mol Biol Evol 24:1596–1599
- 26. Bringel F, Castioni A, Olukoya DK, Felis GE, Torriani S, Dellaglio F (2005) Lactobacillus plantarum subsp. argentoratensis subsp. nov., isolated from vegetable matrices. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 55:1629–1634
- 27. Huang CC, Lee FL, Liou JS (2010) Rapid discrimination and classification of the Lactobacillus plantarum group based on a partial dnaK sequence and DNA fingerprinting techniques. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 97:289–296
- 28. Holzapfel WH, Haberer P, Geisen R, Bjorkroth J, Schillinger U (2001) Taxonomy and important features of probiotic microorganisms in food and nutrition. Am J Clin Nutr 73:365S–373S
- 29. Huang CC, Lee FL (2011) The dnaK gene as a molecular marker for the classification and discrimination of the Lactobacillus casei group. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 99:319–327
- 30. Huys G, Vancanneyt M, D'Haene K, Vankerckhoven V, Goossens H, Swings J (2006) Accuracy of species identity of commercial bacterial cultures intended for probiotic or nutritional use. Res Microbiol 157:803–810
- 31. Torriani S, Vescovo M, Scolari G (1994) An overview on Lactobacillus helveticus. Ann Microbiol 44:163–191
- 32. Klein G, Park A, Bonaparte C, Reuter G (1998) Taxonomy and physiology of probiotic lactic acid bacteria. Int J Food Microbiol 41:105–125
- 33. Dobson CM, Deneer H, Lee S, Hemmingsen S, Glaze S, Ziola B (2002) Phylogenetic analysis of the genus Pediococcus, including Pediococcus claussenii sp. nov., a novel lactic acid bacterium isolated from beer. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 52:2003–2010.