#### TRENDS



# Trends in surface plasmon resonance biosensing: materials, methods, and machine learning

Daniel D. Stuart<sup>1</sup><sup>(1)</sup> · Westley Van Zant<sup>1</sup><sup>(1)</sup> · Santino Valiulis<sup>1</sup><sup>(1)</sup> · Alexander S. Malinick<sup>1</sup><sup>(1)</sup> · Victor Hanson<sup>1</sup><sup>(1)</sup> · Quan Cheng<sup>1</sup><sup>(1)</sup>

Received: 3 April 2024 / Revised: 13 May 2024 / Accepted: 27 May 2024 / Published online: 6 June 2024 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH, DE part of Springer Nature 2024

## Abstract

Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) proves to be one of the most effective methods of label-free detection and has been integral for the study of biomolecular interactions and the development of biosensors. This trend delves into the latest SPR research and progress built upon the Kretschmann configuration, a pivotal platform, and highlights three key developments that have enhanced the capabilities of the technique. We will first cover a range of explorations of novel plasmonic materials that have shaped SPR performance. Innovative signal transduction and collection, which leverages traditional materials and emerging alternatives, will then be discussed. Finally, the evolving landscape of data analysis, including the integration of machine learning algorithms to navigate complex SPR datasets, will be reviewed. We will also discuss the implementation of these improvements that have enabled new biosensing functions. These advancements not only pave the way for enhanced biosensing in general but also open new avenues for the technique to play a more significant role in research concerning human health.

**Keywords** Surface plasmon resonance  $\cdot$  Plasmonic materials  $\cdot$  Machine learning  $\cdot$  Biosensing  $\cdot$  The Kretschmann configuration

# Introduction

Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) has seen extensive growth and adoption since the milestone paper by Liedberg et al. in 1983 [1] based upon the Kretschmann account of surface plasmon polaritons in 1968 [2]. SPR biosensors have found broad use in a wide range of human health-related research including drug discovery [3–5], pharmacokinetics [6–8], clinical diagnosis [9, 10], environmental monitoring [11], and biophysical investigations [12, 13]. SPR's ability to monitor biological interactions sensitively in real-time without the need of reporters or labels has motivated its widespread adoption. Technological advances over the past decades have impacted the field through new materials and sensing arrangements that have deastically changed the function of SPR. Materials that have been recently implemented generally come from three areas: alternative plasmonic

Quan Cheng quan.cheng@ucr.edu metals [14], 2D substrates [15], and biomimetic interfaces [12]. Further exploration of these advanced materials relies upon improvements in SPR sensing methodologies. Regarding expansions of SPR methodologies, SPR imaging (SPRi) utilizes the same Kretschmann configuration but significantly improved throughput by turning each pixel into a measurement element, allowing arrays of interactions to be visualized simultaneously [16]. Additional methodologies have utilized changes in the excitation source to perform multiwavelength [17] and phase-sensitive [18] measurements, providing more information and higher sensitivity for the SPR sensor systems. Furthermore, by taking advantage of the large and complex datasets provided by SPR, SPRi, and these new methodologies, machine learning becomes a key tool in furthering sensor development. This article aims to discuss the recent trends in SPR biosensing, which is encompassed by material advancements, novel data collection, and mathematical tools, to provide a survey of how these improvements have been implemented to expand the capabilities of SPR biosensors.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521, USA

# SPR methods

SPR is a label-free analytical technique built upon the fundamental photon-electron interactions on a plasmonic material as demonstrated by Ritchie [19]. These interactions can be modeled by Fresnel Eqs. [20] based on the properties of the materials and incident light. The coupling of the photons to a thin metal film through an optical coupler (i.e., a prism in the Kretschmann configuration) leads to a dipping in the reflection spectrum, and the angular shift of the incident minimum depends on the refractive index change above the plasmonic metal (Fig. 1) [2]. This relationship provides a highly sensitive detection of refractive index differences caused by the molecular binding events occurring at the sensor surface [21]. Measurement can be realized through a collection of reflected intensity for an array at a fixed angle, or through tracing the minimum of the reflection spectra. SPR sensors have been regularly utilized in pharmaceutical research to screen affinities between biomolecules [22]. Innovations in the field have generated a variety of sensors for a range of tasks including protein analysis [9, 23], environmental monitoring [24], diagnostics [25], and even food analysis [26]. The intrinsic sensitivity of SPR methods has allowed analysis of large biomolecules such as antibodies with exceptional performance; however, for small molar mass molecules, amplification of the detection signals [27] and/or improvement of signal transduction may be necessary. To this end, a plethora of innovative work has appeared and is discussed in this trend to show the substantial efforts undertaken in recent years to address technical issues associated with low signal and complex media.

## New materials

Gold films have been standard for SPR biosensing using the Kretschmann configuration for decades and have proven to be highly effective for interrogating biological interactions. However, gold is not the only plasmonic substrate for this application; there are many other plasmonically active elements, alloys, and materials that have the potential to expand the capabilities of SPR sensors. These materials provide signal enhancement, antifouling properties, and new surface chemistries for functionalization, each enabling sensors to be tuned in a transformative way. There are two major approaches in material development: a compositional method that explores material property itself, and a structural method that manipulates 2D and 3D constructs to derive new functions. Many research efforts bridge both methods, taking advantage of new structures derived from novel substrates.

## **Alternative metals**

One exciting advancement is shown in the development of alternative metals as plasmonic substrates against the traditional gold and silver. Aluminum has emerged as a particularly promising substrate due to its high plasmonic response under a wide range of excitation wavelengths, with Tanabe et al. demonstrating its effectiveness in the UV region [28] and Lambert et al. establishing aluminum thin film–based SPR sensing at 650 nm [14]. The aluminum thin-film SPR has demonstrated improved sensitivity as compared to traditional gold films due to the steeper slope of the aluminum reflectivity dip, as shown in Fig. 2. Inherent antifouling

Fig. 1 a Scheme of the Kretschmann configuration SPR sensors. b Shift in reflectivity curve from analyte binding to the sensor surface. c Sensorgram of SPR real-time analysis of binding interactions showing association and dissociation of analyte



properties of the native oxide layer on aluminum were reported, which can be highly advantageous for biosensing applications. Other metals such as copper [29] and palladium/platinum [30] have also been explored, but compared to gold they demonstrate limited benefits. Thiol chemistry has been the traditional functionalization method for gold [31], while other metals could be functionalized similarly [32]. For aluminum substrates a shift to silane [33] or phosphonic acid [34] based SAM formation would be necessary, both of which have been employed extensively, including an example on SPR sensor chips with a thin layer of silica oxide [35]. Nonetheless, the disclosed plasmonic properties from these metal films prove to be valuable as they provide insights into key factors towards performance enhancement when searching for new alloy or layered SPR surfaces.

## **2D materials**

In addition to novel metals, surface manipulation/functionalization of thin films is an integral step in the development of new SPR sensors. 2D materials have seen frequent usage as SPR substrates due to their high uniformity, a necessary trait for the Kretschmann configuration of SPR. Modification with 2D materials has been employed to increase sensitivity due to larger surface area, better analyte binding, and greater antifouling capability [36]. A multitude of 2D materials have been explored including graphene [37, 38], molybdenum disulfide [39, 40], tungsten disulfide [15], and black phosphorus [41]. Cai et al. demonstrated the combination of graphene and MoS<sub>2</sub>, taking advantage of the increased surface area by graphene and the improved sensitivity from MoS<sub>2</sub> that stems from increased absorption of the excitation source [42], which showed 1.85 times higher signal than traditional gold substrates. In addition, these materials provide a unique set of chemistries that expand the applicability of SPR sensing, through their unique  $\pi - \pi$  stacking interactions [43] or silane-based SAMs, for graphene oxide [44], molybdenum disulfide [45], tungsten disulfide [46], and black phosphorus [47]. Clearly, the surface functionalization strategy is not limited to the 2D material space, and improvements have been made beyond plasmonic materials.

#### **Biological materials**

Biomimetic surfaces for SPR have seen an increasing development due to the controlled environment favoring the study of interactions in biologically relevant events. Thus, enabling SPR biosensors to closely mimic the interactions used for detection allows for a more accurate assessment of biomarkers. In particular, the usage of lipid bilayers has been increasingly cited in literature due to its passivating effects reducing nonspecific interactions from complex media [42] and as a convenient host environment to probe interactions native to cellular membranes [48]. Furthermore, the addition of functionally modified lipids allows for the display of capture moieties enabling specified binding of the analyte targets [49, 50]. Recently, these lipid platforms have been employed to investigate complex systems such as curvature-sensing proteins [12, 51]. Chadli et al. demonstrated the incorporation of transmembrane proteins, obtained from cell-free expression, into lipid vesicles that were then spread on the SPR surface [52]. Biomimetic surfaces are not limited to lipids; peptide polymers have also been employed as an effective avenue for the functionalization of the sensor surfaces. Ozgur et al. showed the use of peptide polymer in the design of molecularly imprinted polymers (MIPs) for the detection of whole E. coli [53]. The biologically inspired surfaces on SPR biosensors play an equally critical role as the plasmonic thin films by providing the desired presentation of the binding sites needed for effective sensing. They also furnish new platforms to investigate challenging protein targets such as transmembrane proteins through new hosting environments.

# **Expanding methodologies**

Another area that has seen marked progress towards SPR improvement is the implementation of new sensing methodologies that can provide more information than traditional methods. While the concepts of multiwavelength [54] and phase-sensitive [55] SPR sensors have floated for some time, only recently have advancements in technology made them available for applications with SPR biosensing.

## **Multiwavelength SPR**

While the concept of multiwavelength SPR sensing has been understood for many years [56], the complexity of instruments to monitor multiple SPR wavelengths at once was high. Therefore, very few multiwavelength SPR systems were reported. Multiwavelength measurements have seen considerable growth in recent years, largely owing to the availability of BioNavis' instrument. By detecting at two wavelengths, key information associated with the thickness and dielectric properties of the organic layer/film can be collected, as described by Peterlinz et al. [54]. This expanded information enabled the analysis of many systems previously inaccessible, such as extracellular vesicles of different sizes, as demonstrated by Rupert et al. [17]. More recently, it has been applied to monitor cellular uptake of extracellular vesicles that focus solely on intracellular events [57]. Multiwavelength measurements have also been employed to study mechanisms of the interaction between liposomes and influenza virus peptides [58]. The thickness calculation from this work suggests distinct morphological differences upon peptide introduction, showing a peptide insertion into the liposome surface at pH 4.5 while at pH 8 the peptides induced a decrease



5225

◄Fig. 2 a Kretschmann configuration SPR with aluminum thin films. b Comparison between the theoretically calculated optical response and experimentally collected response to plasmon excitation. c Theoretical optimization of aluminum thin-film thickness based upon wavelength. d and e Aluminum thin-film microarray with SPR imaging. f and g Comparison in the refractive index sensitivity between aluminum and gold. Reprinted with permission from [14]

in signal associated with morphological changes. Clearly, dualwavelength SPR measurements can provide new insights into the properties of the membranes, facilitating elucidation of the interaction mechanisms.

## **Phase-sensitive SPR**

While multiwavelength SPR provides more information about the properties of the surface, phase-based SPR analysis has been exploited to enable highly sensitive measurement by monitoring the sharp phase shift that occurs at the SPR angle. However, phase shift measurement has met many technical problems as the complex optical configurations required to collect phase changes would limit the reproducibility of the sensor and thus its acceptance [18]. In recent years, multiple advancements in phase-based SPR sensing have been made, expanding the capabilities of the system by alleviating the main problems in sensor variability. Wu et al. showed an approach to mitigate the inconsistencies in film thickness and angular variations through an algorithm to build a phase-mapping function in data collection [59]. Using this algorithm, they were able to identify the optical parameters that enabled optimal data collection for a multilayer model and maintained the sensitivity and reproducibility of the measurements. The platform was successfully applied to monitor lung-tropic exosomes, eliminating the difference caused by film thickness variation that would normally impact sensor reproducibility in a negative way. Sang et al. have reported a multiplexed phase interrogating SPR by employing a wavelength-sequential selection technique to enable analysis across channels with reduced sampling time by optimizing the wavelength for each individual channel [60]. The binding interactions between human transferrin and its antibody were utilized to demonstrate the feasibility of the platform for monitoring interactions across six different channels (Fig. 3). Further fine-tuning of the technique will no doubt facilitate the expansion and adoption of phase-based SPR measurements due to the improved sensitivity.

# Enabling SPR sensors through machine learning

Aside from new materials and novel sensing methodologies, there is an emerging in silico component that aids significantly in SPR measurements and processing of complex data from the sensors. The introduction of machine learning models has considerably improved the development, collection, and analysis of SPR sensors. These models facilitate the utility of new materials and deconvolution of signal complexities, enabling the sensing of increasingly complex samples and the development of novel surface chemistry. Machine learning has clearly shown the potential to motivate substantial advancements in SPR experimental design and analysis.

# **Enhancing experimental design**

Recent research has provided a few good examples of using machine learning algorithms to improve sensor performance. For example, the design of new materials can be enhanced through ML models as demonstrated by Sebek et al., who utilized a genetic algorithm to generate highly sensitive SPR films composed of 2D materials based on a materials database [61]. The algorithm identified a unique dual-mode SPR structure and was utilized to design an ideal substrate for SPR sensing at 633 and 785 nm excitation wavelengths. With machine learning assistance, the sensor surfaces provided ideal starting points for experimental validation, which can then be fed back into algorithms to fine-tune material recommendations.

Machine learning has also been used to estimate and quantify biological interactions measured on SPR biosensors. Palai et al. investigated the adsorption of serum proteins on various polymer films [62]. By choosing descriptors of polymer structural and chemical properties, the machine learning algorithms predicted the structure-property relationship, providing key information and properties for blocking serum adsorption. They found that the hydrophobic nature of the polymer was most critical to antifouling behavior, followed by film thickness, number of C-H bonds, net charge, and polymer density. The potential for machine learning algorithms to predict interaction patterns and properties has a large impact on experimental design and can be instrumental to future SPR studies aiming at revealing insights into identifying the most impactful parameters, including key structural and chemical dimensions, for effective sensing.

#### **Data interpretation**

Aside from aiding in experimental design, machine learning can also assist in extraction of important information from experimental data. For example, SPR is regularly used to assess the binding kinetics of biomolecular interactions. To streamline data acquisition, Chang et al. have used deep learning models to build a system for rapid determination of binding affinity [63], which proved to Fig. 3 Multichannel phase interrogation SPRi system with wavelength selection. **a** The optimal wavelength determinization for the six channels. **b** SPR phase shifts observed for different samples of TF antibody and goat antihuman IgG. Reprinted with permission from [60]



be highly useful in bioassay work that requires fast turnaround times. Different from works using machine learning models for in silico systems, Malinick et al. have applied machine learning to analyze experimental data, allowing the identification of cross-reactive species and separation of the response signals [35]. The study involved an array of gangliosides to sense multiple sclerosis-specific antibodies, where the signals were heavily convoluted due to substantial cross-reactivity arising from the high structural similarity between the glycolipids. Machine learning algorithms yielded accurate identification of correct ganglioside-antibody pairs using the whole sensorgram data (Fig. 4). Similarly, Jobst et al. have recently employed deep learning models to classify small molecule purines bound to graphene oxide sensors [64], which enabled small molecules with similar structures to be separated based on the minute differences in their binding affinities.

The significant advancements in machine learning-assisted biosensing in recent years have changed the way how complicated and demanding detection is conducted, allowing identification of material combinations, prediction of surface fouling, and isolation of individual interactions in an array system with ease. However, ML may mask the reasoning behind the results and becomes dangerous if the

algorithms are blindly trusted [65]. The training of ML models on biological datasets is prone to overfitting, yielding the illusion of effective classification that quickly collapses upon expansion to other samples [66]. Therefore, ML application into SPR systems needs to be carefully implemented and appropriately controlled for future sensor analysis.

# **Biosensor applications**

New materials and machine learning algorithms have spurred a new round of applications towards disease diagnostics by SPR, as reflected by a growing number of SPR studies on biomarkers in biological media. By applying the innovative techniques described in previous sections, high-performing multiplexed diagnostics could be realized. SPR can be an extraordinary clinical tool; the small size, simple operation, and quick generation of data make the technique well suited for rapid diagnostics in a clinical setting. Complex media and desired modes of direct analysis of patient samples, however, add significant complications in sample preparation and data analysis. More efforts for compelling clinical application of SPR detection have been seen, with studies being conducted on blood, sera,



Fig. 4 Multiplexed SPR imaging sensor for detection of multiple sclerosis antibodies with a ganglioside array. A Representative SPRi sensorgrams of surface setup and binding of three different antibodies to a GT1b ganglioside surface. B The column plot of the binding signal for corresponding antibodies on three different ganglioside

surfaces (GA1, GM1, and GT1b). **C** and **E** Neural network analysis used to identify ganglioside-antibody interactions based on SPR data. **D** and **F** K nearest neighbor models trained on whole sensorgrams for classification based on antibody or ganglioside. Reprinted with permission from [35]

and cell lysate to demonstrate its capability for diagnostic purposes. The COVID-19 pandemic has also stimulated much new work with the urgent need to detect the virus and important markers associated with the infection.

# **COVID-19 biosensors**

In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, extensive efforts were made in the biosensor field to search for methodologies for the detection and characterization of SARS-CoV-2 binding. Earlier sensing work had focused on SARS-CoV-1 antibodies [67], which required new development to be applied to the COVID-19 pandemic. SPR proved to be effective due to its straightforward operation, adaptable surface properties, and potential for deployment in the field. Aside from pathogen/marker detection, SPR has found applications in other endeavors that aimed to control the pandemic. Abouhajar et al. investigated the sequence-specific binding variances of ACE-2  $\alpha$  1-helix-mimicking peptides [68], which matched well with molecular docking predictions and proved well suited for studying mutable viral proteins. SPR sensors could function as an alternative method for diagnostics aside from conventional RT-PCR and ELISA for detecting either SARS-CoV-2 antigens or antibodies. Yano et al. reported a CoV-2 antigen biosensor that utilized nucleocapsid-capturing antibodies followed by antibodyconjugated gold nanoparticles for signal amplification [69]. The sensitivity reported by this work was similar to most RT-PCR assays, while SPR-based sensors offer simpler operation and quicker turnaround times of the test. Basso et al. developed COVID-19 antibody sensors with spike and nucleocapsid proteins anchored to the chip surface [70], capable of identifying IgG antibodies in patient sera and producing results in 10 min. SPR sensors are not limited to the SARS-Cov-2 virus as work by Sharma et al. has shown its effectiveness for sensing of the Ebola virus [71]. The quick adaptability and rapid turnover of diagnostic data demonstrated for SARS CoV-2 could serve equally well in analysis and diagnosis in other epidemiological settings.

## Biosensing in complex media and clinical samples

There have been many studies targeting biomarkers in complex media for a broad range of diseases and health

concerns [72-74], paving a solid path for SPR's adoption in clinical diagnosis. Recent work on cancer biomarkers, as shown in Fig. 5, demonstrated the potential to rapidly detect HER2 cancer cells using an SPRi platform [75]. The sensor can monitor the binding of cells and distinctly differentiate between HER2 positive and negative cells based on nanobody-specific interactions. Similarly, Eletxigerra et al. utilized SPR to identify ErbB2 breast cancer biomarkers in both patient sera and lysates from breast cancer cell lines [76]. Wong et al. have reported an SPRi sensor for micro-RNA cancer biomarkers in patient samples, further showcasing SPR's capability for clinical diagnosis [77]. Others have employed SPR to monitor the changes of serum proteins between a control group and patients with non-metastatic or metastatic breast cancer [78], where data from the posttreatment metastatic patients provided insight into factors leading to biomarker protein's up- or down-regulation. The study identified significant upregulation of proteins for patients with ER + and HER2 + cancers and considerable downregulation in the metastatic group after 3 months of therapy, providing important information about treatment impact and outcome.



SPR sensors have also been developed for various other diseases including Alzheimer's [23, 79] and cardiovascular disease [80]. Oldak et al. employed an SPRi immunosensor to quantify phosphor-Tau 181 in human plasma samples [81]. Lee et al. demonstrated the detection of TNF- $\alpha$  and NT-proBNP cardiac disease markers in patient serum using aptamers [82]. While these studies highlight SPR's potential for clinical biosensing, it has yet to be formally implemented as a standalone tool in a clinical diagnostic setting. Nonetheless, the promising results from these studies suggest that we may soon be entering a stage where SPR devices will find more applications in clinical research or hospitals.

## Small molecule biosensors

The broad sensing capability of SPR has been further reflected in recent efforts in the detection of small molecule biomarkers and metabolites. Li et al. developed an electrochemical-SPR system using electrically polymerized dopamine to capture several amphetamines in both urine and serum samples [83], and reached nanomolar detection limits. The work demonstrates SPR's promise not only as a clinical diagnostic method, but also as a competent forensic tool. Yao et al. reported a portable SPR biosensor for the detection of methamphetamine and cocaine in saliva samples [84]. SPR sensors have also been employed to detect antibiotic contamination of river water and milk in efforts to monitor the overuse that has led to antibiotic resistance [85]. Food allergen detection is another area that shows SPR's involvement in small molecule sensing. Small allergens such as histamine can be detected in food-based media of dairy and fish products, as demonstrated by Rahtuvanoğlu et al [86]. In this case, amplification of the tiny histamine binding shifts was achieved using molecularly imprinted nanoparticles. In addition, SPR has been used to detect other small molecules, such as aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> [87], okadaic acid [42], and glucose [88]. These examples point to a level of considerable shift in SPR's development and applications. Converging with the end goal of serving clinical testing, the development of SPR sensors has aimed to improve performance when dealing with complex media, which will eventually lead to its transformation into a major technical platform for disease diagnosis and other human health-related assessments.

# Outlook

Today there is an increasing number of SPR biosensors focused on solving clinical problems, but they all face some limitations. The sensitivity limits of SPR with small molecular mass, which directly affects many of the emerging biomarkers, necessitate new strategies for improvement. Moving towards clinical applications entails effective sensing in complex matrices, which require new materials or methods to deal with surface fouling and nonspecific signals. Expansion into diagnostic use demands fast data processing and streamlined analysis. The recent advances in SPR sensors, which are driven by the introduction of new materials, methods, and the implementation of machine learning algorithms, begin to provide solutions to these problems. Many of the limitations in SPR systems are seeing marked improvements. However, much of the work is still in its early stages and will require continuous investigation and improvement. In recent years, machine learning algorithms have shown great potential in lifting SPR biosensing into a new stage. For example, the possibility to identify yet untested material combinations that have the potential to enhance sensitivity is groundbreaking. Experimentally testing new materials pinpointed by algorithms to iteratively revise the datasets and parameters used for predictions could generate highly desirable conditions for sensing work. ML can also enhance new sensing configurations such as those recently introduced in multiwavelength and phase-based detection. As these improvements are integrated into commercial instruments, an expedited expansion of SPR adoption and utility in biomarker sensing can be forecast. Therefore, the collective improvements in surface chemistries, novel methodologies, and computational models discussed here will make SPR sensors more versatile and powerful, facilitating its transformation into a practical, simple, and fast diagnostic tool in clinical detection.

Author contribution DDS: conceptualization; literature search; writing—original draft; writing—review and editing. WV: literature search; writing—original draft; writing—review and editing. SV: literature search; writing—original draft; writing—review and editing. ASL: literature search; writing—original draft. VH: literature search; writing—original draft; writing—review and editing. QC: conceptualization; funding acquisition; supervision; writing—review and editing.

Funding This work was supported by the US National Science Foundation (CHE-2109042) and NIH (R21GM151651).

#### Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

# References

- Liedberg B, Nylander C, Lunström I. Surface plasmon resonance for gas detection and biosensing. Sensors and Actuators. 1983;4:299–304.
- Kretschmann E, Raether H. Notizen: radiative decay of non radiative surface plasmons excited by light. Zeitschrift für Naturforschung A (1968);23(12):2135–2136. https://doi.org/10.1515/ zna-1968-1247.

- Gorgulla C, Boeszoermenyi A, Wang Z-F, Fischer PD, Coote PW, Padmanabha Das KM, et al. An open-source drug discovery platform enables ultra-large virtual screens. Nature. 2020;580(7805):663–8.
- Roy MJ, Winkler S, Hughes SJ, Whitworth C, Galant M, Farnaby W, et al. SPR-measured dissociation kinetics of PROTAC ternary complexes influence target degradation rate. ACS Chem Biol. 2019;14(3):361–8.
- Schröder M, Renatus M, Liang X, Meili F, Zoller T, Ferrand S, et al. DCAF1-based PROTACs with activity against clinically validated targets overcoming intrinsic- and acquired-degrader resistance. Nat Commun. 2024;15(1):275.
- Li Z, Xu J, Lang Y, Fan X, Kuo L, D'Brant L, et al. JMX0207, a niclosamide derivative with improved pharmacokinetics, suppresses Zika virus infection both in vitro and in vivo. ACS Infectious Diseases. 2020;6(10):2616–28.
- Ferlenghi F, Giorgio C, Incerti M, Guidetti L, Chiodelli P, Rusnati M, Tognolini M, Vacondio F, Mor M, Lodola A. Metabolic Soft Spot and Pharmacokinetics: Functionalization of C-3 Position of an Eph–Ephrin Antagonist Featuring a Bile Acid Core as an Effective Strategy to Obtain Oral Bioavailability in Mice Pharmaceuticals. 2022;15(1): 41. https://doi.org/10.3390/ph150 10041.
- Sy SKB, Tanaka C, Grosch K. Population pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of crizanlizumab in healthy subjects and patients with sickle cell disease. Clin Pharmacokinet. 2023;62(2):249–66.
- Das S, Devireddy R, Gartia MR. Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) sensor for cancer biomarker detection. Biosensors. 2023;13(3):396. https://doi.org/10.3390/bios13030396.
- Peláez EC, Estevez MC, Domínguez R, Sousa C, Cebolla A, Lechuga LM. A compact SPR biosensor device for the rapid and efficient monitoring of gluten-free diet directly in human urine. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2020;412(24):6407–17.
- Tan J, Dai Z, Zhou K, Zhang L, He M, Tan Y, et al. An ultrasensitive and universal surface plasmonic biosensor for detection of micropollutants in aquatic environments. Environ Sci Technol. 2023;57(22):8313–22.
- 12. Malinick AS, Stuart DD, Lambert AS, Cheng Q. Curved membrane mimics for quantitative probing of protein–membrane interactions by surface plasmon resonance. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 2024;16(1):84–94.
- Harrison OJ, Brasch J, Katsamba PS, Ahlsen G, Noble AJ, Dan H, et al. Family-wide structural and biophysical analysis of binding interactions among non-clustered δ-protocadherins. Cell Rep. 2020;30(8):2655-71.e7.
- Lambert AS, Valiulis SN, Malinick AS, Tanabe I, Cheng Q. Plasmonic biosensing with aluminum thin films under the Kretschmann configuration. Anal Chem. 2020;92(13):8654–9.
- Rahman MS, Hasan MR, Rikta KA, Anower MS. A novel graphene coated surface plasmon resonance biosensor with tungsten disulfide (WS2) for sensing DNA hybridization. Opt Mater. 2018;75:567–73.
- Wang D, Loo JFC, Chen J, Yam Y, Chen S-C, He H, et al. Recent advances in surface plasmon resonance imaging sensors. 2019;19(6):1266.
- Rupert DLM, Shelke GV, Emilsson G, Claudio V, Block S, Lässer C, et al. Dual-wavelength surface plasmon resonance for determining the size and concentration of sub-populations of extracellular vesicles. Anal Chem. 2016;88(20):9980–8.
- Deng S, Wang P, Yu X. Phase-sensitive surface plasmon resonance sensors: recent progress and future prospects. 2017;17(12):2819.
- Ritchie RH. Plasma losses by fast electrons in thin films. Phys Rev. 1957;106(5):874–81.
- Fresnel A. Note sur le calcul des teintes que la polarisation développe dans les lames cristallisées. 1821:pp 102–11.

- Capelli D, Scognamiglio V, Montanari R. Surface plasmon resonance technology: recent advances, applications and experimental cases. TrAC, Trends Anal Chem. 2023;163: 117079.
- Akgönüllü S, Denizli A. Plasmonic nanosensors for pharmaceutical and biomedical analysis. J Pharm Biomed Anal. 2023;236:115671. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2023.115671.
- 23. Yi X, Chen H, He Y, Wang J. Assay of biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease by surface plasmon resonance. J Anal Test. 2024.
- Daniyal W, Fen YW, Fauzi NIM, Hashim HS, Ramdzan NSM, Omar NASJSM. Recent advances in surface plasmon resonance optical sensors for potential application in environmental monitoring. 2020;32(12):4191–200.
- 25. Janith GI, Herath HS, Hendeniya N, Attygalle D, Amarasinghe DAS, Logeeshan V, et al. Advances in surface plasmon resonance biosensors for medical diagnostics: an overview of recent developments and techniques. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis Open. 2023;2: 100019.
- Ravindran N, Kumar S, M Y, S R, C A M, Thirunavookarasu S N, et al. Recent advances in surface plasmon resonance (SPR) biosensors for food analysis: a review. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2023;63(8):1055–77.
- 27. Kausaite-Minkstimiene A, Popov A, Ramanaviciene A. Ultra-sensitive SPR immunosensors: a comprehensive review of labeling and interface modification using nanostructures. TrAC, Trends Anal Chem. 2024;170: 117468.
- Tanabe I, Tanaka YY, Watari K, Hanulia T, Goto T, Inami W, et al. Far- and deep-ultraviolet surface plasmon resonance sensors working in aqueous solutions using aluminum thin films. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):5934.
- Barchiesi D, Gharbi T, Cakir D, Anglaret E, Fréty N, Kessentini S, et al. Performance of surface plasmon resonance sensors using copper/copper oxide films: influence of thicknesses and optical properties. 2022;9(2):104.
- Andersson J, Svirelis J, Ferrand-Drake del Castillo G, Sannomiya T, Dahlin A. Surface plasmon resonance sensing with thin films of palladium and platinum – quantitative and real-time analysis. Phys Chem Chem Phys. 2022;24(7):4588–94.
- Topor C-V, Puiu M, Bala C. Strategies for surface design in surface plasmon resonance (SPR) sensing. 2023;13(4):465.
- Love JC, Estroff LA, Kriebel JK, Nuzzo RG, Whitesides GM. Self-assembled monolayers of thiolates on metals as a form of nanotechnology. Chem Rev. 2005;105(4):1103–70.
- Wang R, Guo J, Muckleroy EA, Antao DS. Robust silane selfassembled monolayer coatings on plasma-engineered copper surfaces promoting dropwise condensation. Int J Heat Mass Transf. 2022;194: 123028.
- Yeo RJ, Bleich JN, Guérin M, Morganella D, Berner M, Frauenrath H. Multifunctional aluminum pre-treatments from end-functionalized phosphonic acid self-assembled monolayers. Langmuir. 2024;40(6):2872–82.
- Malinick AS, Stuart DD, Lambert AS, Cheng Q. Surface plasmon resonance imaging (SPRi) in combination with machine learning for microarray analysis of multiple sclerosis biomarkers in whole serum. Biosensors and Bioelectronics: X. 2022;10: 100127.
- Tan J, Chen Y, He J, Occhipinti LG, Wang Z, Zhou X. Twodimensional material-enhanced surface plasmon resonance for antibiotic sensing. J Hazard Mater. 2023;455: 131644.
- Wu L, Chu HS, Koh WS, Li EP. Highly sensitive graphene biosensors based on surface plasmon resonance. Opt Express. 2010;18(14):14395–400.
- Daher MG, Taya SA, Colak I, Patel SK, Olaimat MM, Ramahi O. Surface plasmon resonance biosensor based on graphene layer for the detection of waterborne bacteria. 2022;15(5): e202200001.
- Maurya JB, Prajapati YK, Tripathi R. Effect of molybdenum disulfide layer on surface plasmon resonance biosensor for the detection of bacteria. SILICON. 2018;10(2):245–56.

- 40. Ghodrati M, Mir A, Farmani A. Numerical analysis of a surface plasmon resonance based biosensor using molybdenum disulfide, molybdenum trioxide, and MXene for the diagnosis of diabetes. Diam Relat Mater. 2023;132: 109633.
- Srivastava T, Jha R. Black phosphorus: a new platform for gaseous sensing based on surface plasmon resonance. IEEE Photonics Technol Lett. 2018;30(4):319–322. https://doi.org/10.1109/LPT. 2017.2787057.
- 42. Cai H, Wang M, Wu Z, Liu J, Wang X. Performance enhancement of SPR biosensor using graphene-MoS(2) hybrid structure. Nanomaterials (Basel, Switzerland). 2022;12(13).
- 43. Um JG, Habibpour S, Jun Y-S, Elkamel A, Yu A. Development of  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interaction-induced functionalized graphene oxide on mechanical and anticorrosive properties of reinforced polyurethane composites. Ind Eng Chem Res. 2020;59(8):3617–28.
- Ma W, Wu L, Zhang D, Wang S. Preparation and properties of 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane functionalized graphene/ polyurethane nanocomposite coatings. Colloid Polym Sci. 2013;291(12):2765–73.
- Koh E, Lee YT. Development of hybrid hydrophobic molybdenum disulfide (MoS2) nanoparticles for super water repellent selfcleaning. Prog Org Coat. 2021;153: 106161.
- Shneider M, Dodiuk H, Tenne R, Kenig S. Nanoinduced morphology and enhanced properties of epoxy containing tungsten disulfide nanoparticles. 2013;53(12):2624–32.
- 47. Xu Y, Li X, Song Y, Zhang R, Yuan W, Xia D, et al. End group modification for black phosphorus: simultaneous improvement of chemical stability and gas sensing performance. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 2021;13(42):50270–80.
- 48. Mamer SB, Page P, Murphy M, Wang J, Gallerne P, Ansari A, et al. The convergence of cell-based surface plasmon resonance and biomaterials: the future of quantifying bio-molecular interactions—a review. Ann Biomed Eng. 2020;48(7):2078–89.
- Belkilani M, Shokouhi M, Farre C, Chevalier Y, Minot S, Bessueille F, et al. Surface plasmon resonance monitoring of mono-rhamnolipid interaction with phospholipid-based liposomes. Langmuir. 2021;37(26):7975–85.
- Löffler PMG, Risgaard NA, Svendsen BL, Jepsen KA, Rabe A, Vogel S. Label-free observation of DNA-encoded liposome fusion by surface plasmon resonance. Chem Commun. 2023;59(70):10548–51.
- Chen J, Wang Y, Lin S, Yu Q, Qi Z, Jiang W, et al. Interaction between membrane curvature sensitive factors SpoVM and SpoIVA in bicelle condition. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2024;694: 149395.
- Chadli M, Rebaud S, Maniti O, Tillier B, Cortès S, Girard-Egrot A. New tethered phospholipid bilayers integrating functional G-protein-coupled receptor membrane proteins. Langmuir. 2017;33(39):10385–401.
- Özgür E, Topçu AA, Yılmaz E, Denizli A. Surface plasmon resonance based biomimetic sensor for urinary tract infections. Talanta. 2020;212: 120778.
- Peterlinz KA, Georgiadis R. Two-color approach for determination of thickness and dielectric constant of thin films using surface plasmon resonance spectroscopy. Optics Communications. 1996;130(4):260–6.
- Abelès F. Surface electromagnetic waves ellipsometry. Surf Sci. 1976;56:237–51.
- Boussaad S, Pean J, Tao NJ. High-resolution multiwavelength surface plasmon resonance spectroscopy for probing conformational and electronic changes in redox proteins. Anal Chem. 2000;72(1):222–6.
- Koponen A, Kerkelä E, Rojalin T, Lázaro-Ibáñez E, Suutari T, Saari HO, et al. Label-free characterization and real-time monitoring of cell uptake of extracellular vesicles. Biosens Bioelectron. 2020;168: 112510.

- Belkilani M, Farre C, Chevalier Y, Minot S, Bessueille F, Abdelghani A, et al. Mechanisms of influenza virus HA2 peptide interaction with liposomes studied by dual-wavelength MP-SPR. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 2022;14(29):32970–81.
- Wu T-H, Yang C-H, Chang C-C, Liu H-W, Yang C-Y, Shen T-L, et al. Multi-layer reflectivity calculation based meta-modeling of the phase mapping function for highly reproducible surface plasmon resonance biosensing. 2021;11(3):95.
- 60. Sang W, Huang S, Chen J, Dai X, Liu H, Zeng Y, et al. Wavelength sequential selection technique for high-throughput multichannel phase interrogation surface plasmon resonance imaging sensing. Talanta. 2023;258: 124405.
- Sebek M, Thanh NTK, Su X, Teng J. A genetic algorithm for universal optimization of ultrasensitive surface plasmon resonance sensors with 2D materials. ACS Omega. 2023;8(23):20792–800.
- Palai D, Tahara H, Chikami S, Latag GV, Maeda S, Komura C, et al. Prediction of serum adsorption onto polymer brush films by machine learning. ACS Biomater Sci Eng. 2022;8(9):3765–72.
- 63. Chang Y-F, Chen S-Y, Lee C-C, Chen J, Lai C-S. Easy and rapid approach to obtaining the binding affinity of biomolecular interactions based on the deep learning boost. Anal Chem. 2022;94(29):10427–34.
- 64. Jobst S, Recum P, Écija-Arenas Á, Moser E, Bierl R, Hirsch T. Semi-selective array for the classification of purines with surface plasmon resonance imaging and deep learning data analysis. ACS Sensors. 2023;8(9):3530–7.
- Rudin C. Stop explaining black box machine learning models for high stakes decisions and use interpretable models instead. Nature Machine Intelligence. 2019;1(5):206–15.
- Gygi JP, Kleinstein SH, Guan L. Predictive overfitting in immunological applications: pitfalls and solutions. Hum Vaccin Immunother. 2023;19(2):2251830.
- Park TJ, Hyun MS, Lee HJ, Lee SY, Ko S. A self-assembled fusion protein-based surface plasmon resonance biosensor for rapid diagnosis of severe acute respiratory syndrome. Talanta. 2009;79(2):295–301.
- Abouhajar F, Chaudhuri R, Valiulis SN, Stuart DD, Malinick AS, Xue M, et al. Label-free analysis of binding and inhibition of SARS-Cov-19 spike proteins to ACE2 receptor with ACE2derived peptides by surface plasmon resonance. ACS Appl Bio Mater. 2023;6(1):182–90.
- 69. Yano T-a, Kajisa T, Ono M, Miyasaka Y, Hasegawa Y, Saito A, et al. Ultrasensitive detection of SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein using large gold nanoparticle-enhanced surface plasmon resonance. Sci Rep. 2022;12(1):1060.
- Basso CR, Malossi CD, Haisi A, de Albuquerque PV, Barbosa AN, Grotto RT, et al. Fast and reliable detection of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies based on surface plasmon resonance. Anal Methods. 2021;13(29):3297–306.
- Sharma PK, Kumar JS, Singh VV, et al. Surface plasmon resonance sensing of Ebola virus: a biological threat. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2020;412(17):4101–12. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-020-02641-5.
- Oldak L, Zelazowska-Rutkowska B, Lesniewska A, Mrozek P, Skoczylas M, Lukaszewski Z, Gorodkiewicz E. Two biosensors for the determination of VEGF-R2 in plasma by array SPRi. 2023;28(1):155. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28010155.
- 73. Andersson T, Bläckberg A, Lood R, Ertürk Bergdahl G. Development of a molecular imprinting-based surface plasmon resonance biosensor for rapid and sensitive detection of Staphylococcus aureus alpha hemolysin from human serum. 2020;10.
- Huang Y, Sun T, Liu L, Xia N, Zhao Y, Yi X. Surface plasmon resonance biosensor for the detection of miRNAs by combining the advantages of homogeneous reaction and heterogeneous detection. Talanta. 2021;234: 122622.

- Chen R, Li Q, Zeng Y, Sang W, Tang S, Jiao Y, et al. Cellular analyses for label-free and rapid HER2-positive cancer diagnosis based on SPRi-modified with nanobody. Sens Actuators, B Chem. 2023;395: 134490.
- Eletxigerra U, Martinez-Perdiguero J, Barderas R, Pingarrón JM, Campuzano S, Merino S. Surface plasmon resonance immunosensor for ErbB2 breast cancer biomarker determination in human serum and raw cancer cell lysates. Anal Chim Acta. 2016;905:156–62.
- Wong CL, Loke SY, Lim HQ, Balasundaram G, Chan P, Chong BK, Tan EY, Lee ASG, Olivo M. Circulating microRNA breast cancer biomarker detection in patient sera with surface plasmon resonance imaging biosensor. Biophotonics. 2021;14(11):e202100153. https:// doi.org/10.1002/jbio.202100153.
- 78. Singh AK, Batra A, Upadhaya AD, Gupta S, K P H, Dey S. Circulatory level of inflammatory cytoskeleton signaling regime proteins in cancer invasion and metastasis. 2022;12.
- Nangare S, Patil P. Nanoarchitectured bioconjugates and bioreceptors mediated surface plasmon resonance biosensor for in vitro diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: development and future prospects. Crit Rev Anal Chem. 2022;52(5):1139–69.
- Nejati-Koshki K, Fathi F, Arabzadeh A, Mohammadzadeh A. Biomarkers and optical based biosensors in cardiac disease detection: early and accurate diagnosis. Anal Methods. 2023;15(41):5441–58.
- Oldak L, Zielinska Z, Socha K, Bogdan S, Gorodkiewicz E. Phospho-Tau 181 quantification method for Alzheimer's disease based on an array 2D biosensor combined with surface plasmon resonance imaging. Talanta. 2024;271: 125736.
- Lee SH, Back JH, Joo HJ, Lim D-S, Lee JE, Lee HJ. Simultaneous detection method for two cardiac disease protein biomarkers on a single chip modified with mixed aptamers using surface plasmon resonance. Talanta. 2024;267: 125232.

- Li C, Han D, Liang Z, Han F, Fu W, Wang W, et al. Novel electrochemical-surface plasmon resonance (EC-SPR) sensor for amphetamine-type stimulants detection based on molecularly imprinted strategy. Sens Actuators, B Chem. 2022;369: 132258.
- 84. Yao W, Wang B, Wu Y, Wang J, Xu Z, Meng F, et al. Rapid determination of methamphetamine and cocaine in saliva by portable surface plasmon resonance (SPR). Anal Lett. 2022;55(18):2944–53.
- Sullivan MV, Henderson A, Hand RA, Turner NW. A molecularly imprinted polymer nanoparticle-based surface plasmon resonance sensor platform for antibiotic detection in river water and milk. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2022;414(12):3687–96.
- Rahtuvanoğlu A, Akgönüllü S, Karacan S, Denizli A. Biomimetic nanoparticles based surface plasmon resonance biosensors for histamine detection in foods. 2020;5(19):5683–92.
- Bhardwaj H, Sumana G, Marquette CA. A label-free ultrasensitive microfluidic surface plasmon resonance biosensor for Aflatoxin B1 detection using nanoparticles integrated gold chip. Food Chem. 2020;307: 125530.
- Mudgal N, Saharia A, Agarwal A et al. Modeling of highly sensitive surface plasmon resonance (SPR) sensor for urine glucose detection. Opt Quant Electron. 2020;52(6):307. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s11082-020-02427-0.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.