



On certain degenerate Whittaker Models for cuspidal representations of $GL_{k \cdot n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$

Ofir Gorodetsky¹ · Zahi Hazan¹

Received: 18 February 2018 / Accepted: 7 May 2018 / Published online: 5 June 2018
© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract Let π be an irreducible cuspidal representation of $GL_{kn}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Assume that $\pi = \pi_\theta$, corresponds to a regular character θ of \mathbb{F}_q^{*kn} . We consider the twisted Jacquet module of π with respect to a non-degenerate character of the unipotent radical corresponding to the partition (n, n, \dots, n) of kn . We show that, as a $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -representation, this Jacquet module is isomorphic to $\pi_\theta \downarrow_{\mathbb{F}_n^*} \otimes \text{St}^{\otimes(k-1)}$, where St is the Steinberg representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. This generalizes a theorem of D. Prasad, who considered the case $k = 2$. We prove and rely heavily on a formidable identity involving q -hypergeometric series and linear algebra.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{F} := \mathbb{F}_q$ be the finite field of size q . We fix a nontrivial character ψ_0 of \mathbb{F} . Denote by $\mathbb{F}_m := \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ the unique degree m field extension of \mathbb{F} . For a positive integer r , we denote the diagonal subgroup of $(GL_\ell(\mathbb{F}))^r$ by

$$\Delta^r(GL_\ell(\mathbb{F})) := \{(g, \dots, g) \in (GL_\ell(\mathbb{F}))^r \mid g \in GL_\ell(\mathbb{F})\}.$$

For a partition $\rho = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s)$ of ℓ , denote by P_ρ the corresponding standard parabolic subgroup of $GL_\ell(\mathbb{F})$. Let M_ρ and N_ρ be the corresponding standard Levi subgroup and unipotent radical.

Fix $k \geq 1$. Let $\rho = (n, n, \dots, n)$ be the partition of kn consisting of k parts of size n . In this paper we denote $G := GL_{kn}(\mathbb{F})$, $P := P_\rho$, $M := M_\rho$ and $N := N_\rho$. We have the Levi

✉ Zahi Hazan
zahi.hazan@gmail.com

Ofir Gorodetsky
ofir.goro@gmail.com

¹ Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Mathematical Sciences, Tel Aviv University, 6997801
Tel Aviv, Israel

decomposition $P = M \rtimes N$. We write $U \in N$ in the form

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & X_{1,1} & X_{1,2} & \cdots & X_{1,k-2} & X_{1,k-1} \\ 0 & I_n & X_{2,2} & \cdots & X_{2,k-2} & X_{2,k-1} \\ 0 & 0 & I_n & \cdots & X_{3,k-2} & X_{3,k-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & I_n & X_{k-1,k-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & I_n \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.1}$$

where the matrices $X_{i,j}$ ($1 \leq i \leq j \leq k - 1$) are elements of $M_n(\mathbb{F})$.

Definition 1.1 A character $\psi : N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ is said to be non-degenerate if it is of the form

$$\psi(U) := \psi_0 \left(\text{tr} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} A_i X_{i,i} \right) \right) = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \psi_0(\text{tr}(A_i X_{i,i})),$$

where the matrices A_i are invertible.

Let $\psi : N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be a non-degenerate character. Let π be an irreducible representation of G , acting on a space V_π . We denote by $V_{\pi_{k,N,\psi}}$ the largest subspace of V_π , on which N operates through ψ , i.e.

$$V_{\pi_{k,N,\psi}} = \{v \in V_\pi \mid \pi(U)v = \psi(U)v, \forall U \in N\}.$$

This is the (N, ψ) -isotypic subspace of V_π and it is the image of the canonical projection of V_π on $V_{\pi_{k,N,\psi}}$ given by

$$P_{k,N,\psi}(v) = \frac{1}{|N|} \sum_{U \in N} \overline{\psi}(U) \pi(U)v. \tag{1.2}$$

Since M normalizes N , it acts on the characters of N as follows. If $m \in M$, then for all $U \in N$

$$(m \cdot \psi)(U) = \psi(m^{-1}Um).$$

We have, for $m \in M$,

$$\pi(m)V_{\pi_{k,N,\psi}} = V_{\pi_{k,N,m \cdot \psi}}.$$

Let us compute the stabilizer of ψ in M . If

$$m = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & B_k \end{pmatrix},$$

where $B_i \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, then

$$(m \cdot \psi)(U) = \psi_0 \left(\text{tr} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} A_i B_i^{-1} X_{i,i} B_{i+1} \right) \right).$$

Thus, $m \cdot \psi = \psi$ if and only if $B_i = B_{i+1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$. In other words,

$$\text{stab}_M \psi = \Delta^k (\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})) \cong \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}).$$

Therefore, $V_{\pi_{k,N,\psi}}$ is a $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ -module. We denote by $\pi_{k,N,\psi}$ the resulting representation of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ on $V_{\pi_{k,N,\psi}}$. It is easy to see that by conjugation with an element in the standard

Levi subgroup, we may simply take all the A_i to be the identity matrix. The corresponding twisted Jacquet modules are isomorphic. In the rest of the paper we assume $A_i = I_n$ and fix

$$\psi(U) := \psi_0 \left(\text{tr} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} X_{i,i} \right) \right).$$

The goal of this paper is to calculate the character of $\pi_{k,N,\psi}$, and to describe it in terms of more familiar representations, for an irreducible, cuspidal representation $\pi = \pi_\theta$ of $\text{GL}_{kn}(\mathbb{F})$, associated to a regular character θ of \mathbb{F}_{kn}^* . The paper generalizes Prasad’s result for the case $k = 2$ stated below.

Theorem [11, Thm. 1] *Let π be an irreducible cuspidal representation of $\text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$ obtained from a character θ of \mathbb{F}_{2n}^* . Then*

$$\pi_{2,N,\psi} \cong \text{Ind}_{\mathbb{F}_n^*}^{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})} \theta \downarrow_{\mathbb{F}_n^*}. \tag{1.3}$$

Prasad proved this theorem by an explicit calculation of the characters of $\pi_{2,N,\psi}$ and of the induced representation $\text{Ind}_{\mathbb{F}_n^*}^{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})} \theta \downarrow_{\mathbb{F}_n^*}$. At any element of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ the characters are the same. Therefore, the two representations are equivalent.

The methods used in this paper are generalizations of the methods used by the second author in his thesis [7] for the case $k = 3$. From the character calculation, done in Theorem 3 below, we are able to describe in Theorem 4 $\pi_{k,N,\psi}$ in terms of the representations $\text{Ind}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell^*}^{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})} \theta \downarrow_{\mathbb{F}_\ell^*}$, where $\ell \mid n$. This reduces immediately to Prasad’s result when $k = 2$. Furthermore, we give a compact description of $\pi_{k,N,\psi}$ in terms of the Steinberg representation in the following theorem.

Theorem 1 *Let $k \geq 1$. Let π_θ be an irreducible cuspidal representation of $\text{GL}_{kn}(\mathbb{F})$ obtained from a character θ of \mathbb{F}_{kn}^* . Then*

$$\pi_{k,N,\psi} \cong \pi_\theta \downarrow_{\mathbb{F}_n^*} \otimes \text{St}^{\otimes(k-1)},$$

where $\pi_\theta \downarrow_{\mathbb{F}_n^*}$ is the irreducible cuspidal representation of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ obtained from $\theta \downarrow_{\mathbb{F}_n^*}$, and $\text{St}^{\otimes(k-1)}$ is the $(k - 1)$ -fold tensor product of the Steinberg representation of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ with itself.

Note that for $n = 1$, Theorem 1 gives $\pi_{k,N,\psi} \cong \theta \downarrow_{\mathbb{F}^*}$, which also follows from Gel’fand–Graev [4] in case of $\text{GL}_k(\mathbb{F})$ (cf. [12, Ch. 8.1]).

We are currently investigating an analogous construction for a non-Archimedean local field.

1.1 Structure of the paper

In Sect. 2 we set the background material from several topics that are needed in the paper: linear algebra, representation theory, q -hypergeometric identities and arithmetic identities.

In Sect. 3 we calculate the dimension of $\pi_{k,N,\psi}$. Green’s formula allows us to express the dimension as rather complicated sum. We use q -hypergeometric identities and linear algebra to show that this sum admits the following compact form.

Theorem 2 *Let $k \geq 2$. We have*

$$\dim(\pi_{k,N,\psi}) = q^{(k-2)\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \frac{|\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})|}{q^n - 1}.$$

In Sect. 4 we compute the character of $\pi_{k,N,\psi}$, denoted by $\Theta_{k,N,\psi}$. Apart from the tools used in Theorem 2 this requires understanding of some conjugacy classes of $GL_n(\mathbb{F})$. When $d \mid m$, we have an embedding $\mathbb{F}_d^* \hookrightarrow GL_m(\mathbb{F})$ (see Sect. 2.1). The elements in $GL_m(\mathbb{F})$ conjugate to an element in the image of this embedding are said to come from \mathbb{F}_d .

Theorem 3 *Let $k \geq 2$. Let $g = s \cdot u$ be the Jordan decomposition of an element g in $GL_n(\mathbb{F})$, where s and u are the semisimple part and unipotent part, respectively.*

(I) *If s does not come from \mathbb{F}_n , then*

$$\Theta_{k,N,\psi}(g) = 0.$$

(II) *If the $u \neq I_n$, then*

$$\Theta_{k,N,\psi}(g) = 0.$$

(III) *Assume that $u = I_n$ and s comes from $\mathbb{F}_d \subseteq \mathbb{F}_n$ and $d \mid n$ is minimal. Let λ be an eigenvalue of s which generates \mathbb{F}_d over \mathbb{F} . Then,*

$$\Theta_{k,N,\psi}(s) = (-1)^{k(n-d')} q^{(k-2)\frac{n(d'-1)}{2}} \cdot \left[\sum_{i=0}^{d'-1} \theta(\lambda^{q^i}) \right] \cdot \frac{|GL_{d'}(\mathbb{F}_d)|}{q^n - 1},$$

where $d' = n/d$.

In Sect. 5 we obtain from Theorem 3 and Lemma 2.10 an isomorphism of representation relating between $\pi_{k,N,\psi}$ and $\text{Ind}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell^*}^{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})} \theta$ for all $\ell \mid n$. We write $a|b|c$ for $a|b$ and $b|c$. For any ℓ dividing n and any $k \geq 2$, let

$$a_{k;n,\ell}(q) = \frac{q^\ell - 1}{q^n - 1} \sum_{m:\ell|m|n} \mu\left(\frac{m}{\ell}\right) (-1)^{k(n-\frac{n}{m})} q^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}(\frac{n}{m}-1)}, \tag{1.4}$$

where μ is the Möbius function.

Theorem 4 *Let $k \geq 2$.*

(I) *If k is even or n is odd, we have*

$$\pi_{k,N,\psi} \cong \bigoplus_{\ell|n} a_{k;n,\ell}(q) \cdot \text{Ind}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell^*}^{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})} \theta \upharpoonright_{\mathbb{F}_\ell^*}. \tag{1.5}$$

(II) *If k is odd and n is even, we have*

$$\left(\pi_{k,N,\psi} \oplus \bigoplus_{\ell:\ell|n, 2 \nmid \frac{n}{\ell}} (-a_{k;n,\ell}(q)) \cdot \text{Ind}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell^*}^{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})} \theta \upharpoonright_{\mathbb{F}_\ell^*} \right) \cong \bigoplus_{\ell:\ell|n, 2 \mid \frac{n}{\ell}} a_{k;n,\ell}(q) \cdot \text{Ind}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell^*}^{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})} \theta \upharpoonright_{\mathbb{F}_\ell^*}. \tag{1.6}$$

We note that the coefficients in Theorem 4 are non-negative integers. Indeed, when $k = 2$, it is easily shown (see Lemma 2.10) that $a_{2;n,\ell}(q) = \delta_{\ell,n}$, which gives (1.3). If $k > 2$ we show in Lemma 2.10 that $a_{k;n,\ell}(q)$ is a positive integer, except when k is odd, n is even and $2 \nmid \frac{n}{\ell}$, in which case $-a_{k;n,\ell}(q)$ is a positive integer.

In Sect. 6 we deduce Theorem 1 from Theorem 3.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Cuspidal representations

We review the irreducible cuspidal representations of $GL_m(\mathbb{F})$ as in Gel'fand [3, Sect. 6] (originally in Green [5]). Irreducible cuspidal representations of $GL_m(\mathbb{F})$, from which all the other irreducible representations of $GL_m(\mathbb{F})$ are obtained via the process of parabolic induction, are associated to regular characters of \mathbb{F}_m^* . A multiplicative character θ of \mathbb{F}_m^* is called *regular* if, under the action of the Galois group of \mathbb{F}_m over \mathbb{F} , the orbit of θ consists of m distinct characters of \mathbb{F}_m^* .

We denote the irreducible cuspidal representation of $GL_m(\mathbb{F})$ associated to a regular character θ of \mathbb{F}_m^* by π_θ and the character of the representation π_θ by Θ_θ .

Given $a \in \mathbb{F}_m$, consider the map $m_a : \mathbb{F}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_m$, defined by $m_a(x) = ax$. The map $a \mapsto m_a$ is an injective homomorphism of algebras $\mathbb{F}_m \hookrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}_m)$. This way, every element of \mathbb{F}_m^* gives rise to a well-defined conjugacy class in $GL_m(\mathbb{F})$. The elements in the conjugacy classes in $GL_m(\mathbb{F})$, which are so obtained from elements of \mathbb{F}_m^* , are said to come from \mathbb{F}_m^* .

We summarize the information about the character Θ_θ in the following theorem. We refer to the paper [11, Thm. 2] for the statement of this theorem in this explicit form, which is originally due to Green [5, Thm. 14] (cf. [3, 14]).

Theorem 2.1 (Green [5]) *Let Θ_θ be the character of a cuspidal representation π_θ of $GL_m(\mathbb{F})$ associated to a regular character θ of \mathbb{F}_m^* . Let $g = s \cdot u$ be the Jordan decomposition of an element g in $GL_m(\mathbb{F})$ (s is a semisimple element, u is unipotent and s, u commute). If $\Theta_\theta(g) \neq 0$, then the semisimple element s must come from \mathbb{F}_m^* . Suppose that s comes from \mathbb{F}_m^* . Let λ be an eigenvalue of s in \mathbb{F}_m^* , and let $t = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_m} \ker(g - \lambda I)$. Then*

$$\Theta_\theta(s \cdot u) = (-1)^{m-1} \left[\sum_{\alpha=0}^{d-1} \theta(\lambda^{q^\alpha}) \right] (1 - q^d)(1 - (q^d)^2) \cdots (1 - (q^d)^{t-1}) \tag{2.1}$$

where q^d is the cardinality of the field generated by λ over \mathbb{F} , and the summation is over the various distinct Galois conjugates of λ .

Corollary 2.2 *The value $\Theta_\theta(g)$ is determined by the eigenvalue of g and the number of Jordan blocks of g , which, in turn, is determined by $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_m} \ker(g - \lambda I)$.*

2.2 Characters induced from subfields

The following lemma summarizes the information about the character of $\text{Ind}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell^*}^{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})}(\theta \upharpoonright_{\mathbb{F}_\ell^*})$, where $\ell \mid n$ and θ is a character of \mathbb{F}_n^* .

Lemma 2.3 [7, Lem. 2.4] *Let θ be a character of \mathbb{F}_n^* . Suppose that $s \in GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ comes from $\mathbb{F}_d \subseteq \mathbb{F}_\ell$ ($d \mid \ell$ is minimal). Let λ be an eigenvalue of s in \mathbb{F}_d^* . Then, the character Θ_{Ind_ℓ} of $\text{Ind}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell^*}^{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})}(\theta \upharpoonright_{\mathbb{F}_\ell^*})$ at s is given by*

$$\Theta_{\text{Ind}_\ell}(s) = \frac{1}{q^\ell - 1} \sum_{\substack{g \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}) \\ g^{-1}sg \in \mathbb{F}_\ell^*}} \theta(g^{-1}sg) \tag{2.2}$$

$$= \frac{|\text{GL}_{d'}(\mathbb{F}_d)|}{q^\ell - 1} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \theta(\lambda^{q^i}) \right], \tag{2.3}$$

where $d' = n/d$, and the last sum is over the various distinct Galois conjugates of λ . The value of the character Θ_{Ind_ℓ} at an element of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ which does not come from \mathbb{F}_ℓ is zero.

Remark 2.4 Recall that in (2.2) \mathbb{F}_ℓ^* is considered a subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ by the injective map $a \mapsto [m_a]$, where $[m_a]$ is the representing matrix of m_a with respect to a fixed basis of \mathbb{F}_n over \mathbb{F} . Note that the choice of basis for $[m_a]$ does not affect the values of Θ_{Ind_ℓ} .

2.3 On some conjugacy classes of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$

2.3.1 Analogue of Jordan form

Let $g \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ and $g = s \cdot u$ be its Jordan decomposition. Assume that s comes from $\mathbb{F}_d \subseteq \mathbb{F}_n$ ($d \mid n$ is minimal). Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_d^*$ be an eigenvalue of s , which generates the field \mathbb{F}_d over \mathbb{F} . Denote by f the characteristic polynomial of λ (of degree d), and by $L_f \in \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{F})$ the companion matrix of f . For $\ell \geq 1$ we denote

$$L_{f,\ell} = \begin{pmatrix} L_f & I_d & & \\ & L_f & & \\ & & \ddots & I_d \\ & & & L_f \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_{\ell \cdot d}(\mathbb{F}).$$

This is an analogue of a Jordan block. As in [3,5], there exists $\rho = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_r)$, a partition of $\frac{n}{d}$, $\ell_1 \geq \ell_2 \geq \dots \geq \ell_r$, such that g is conjugate to

$$L_\rho(f) := \begin{pmatrix} L_{f,\ell_1} & & & \\ & L_{f,\ell_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & L_{f,\ell_r} \end{pmatrix},$$

i.e. there exists $R \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ such that

$$R^{-1}gR = L_\rho(f). \tag{2.4}$$

Notice that in case $u = I_n$ (g is semisimple), we have $\rho = (1^{n/d})$ and there exists $R \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ such that $R^{-1}gR$ is a block diagonal matrix with $d' = n/d$ times L_f on the diagonal. Otherwise, $\ell_1 > 1$ and, in particular, there exists $R \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ such that the upper $2d \times 2d$ left corner of $R^{-1}gR$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} L_f & I_d \\ & L_f \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, s (and so g) has d different eigenvalues obtained by applying the Frobenius automorphism σ , which generates the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_d/\mathbb{F})$, namely

$$\{\lambda, \sigma(\lambda), \dots, \sigma^{d-1}(\lambda)\} = \{\lambda, \lambda^q, \dots, \lambda^{q^{d-1}}\},$$

all of multiplicity $d' = n/d$ in the characteristic polynomial of s . Let $0 \neq v_0 \in \mathbb{F}_d^d$ satisfy $L_f \cdot v_0 = \lambda v_0$. So $L_f \cdot \sigma^i(v_0) = \lambda^{q^i} \sigma^i(v_0)$, for $0 \leq i \leq d - 1$. Hence, $B = \{v_0, \sigma(v_0), \dots, \sigma^{d-1}(v_0)\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_d^d$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{F}_d , since its elements are eigenvectors of L_f for different eigenvalues. Let $T \in \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{F}_d)$ be the diagonalizing matrix of L_f obtained by B , i.e.

$$T^{-1}L_fT = D, \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$D := \text{diag}(\lambda, \dots, \lambda^{q^{d-1}}).$$

Denote by $\Delta^{d'}(T)$ the block diagonal matrix with d' times T on the diagonal. Explicitly, the columns of $\Delta^{d'}(T)$ are the vectors of the basis

$$C = \{v_0(i, j)\}_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq d'-1 \\ 0 \leq i \leq d-1}}, \tag{2.6}$$

whose $(j \cdot d + i)$ -th vector is given by

$$v_0(i, j) = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{j \cdot d} \\ \sigma^i(v_0) \\ 0_{n-(j+1) \cdot d} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}_d^n,$$

where $0 \leq i \leq d - 1$ and $0 \leq j \leq d' - 1$. Thus, in case $u = I_n$

$$\Delta^{d'}(T^{-1})R^{-1}gR\Delta^{d'}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} D & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & D \end{pmatrix}.$$

Otherwise

$$\Delta^{d'}(T^{-1})R^{-1}gR\Delta^{d'}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} D & I_d & & \\ & D & & \\ & & D & * \\ & & & \ddots & * \\ & & & & D \end{pmatrix},$$

where $*$ means either I_d or 0_d above the diagonal. We denote

$$g_\rho := g_{\rho,R} = \Delta^{d'}(T^{-1})R^{-1}gR\Delta^{d'}(T). \tag{2.7}$$

The matrix g_ρ is sometimes referred to as an analogue of the Jordan form of g [3, Sect. 0].

2.3.2 Conjugating an arbitrary matrix

We use the notation of Sect. 2.3.1. In particular, we have a fixed $g \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ and corresponding R and T as defined in (2.4) and (2.5). Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$. We study the following conjugation

$$A_\rho := A_{\rho,R} = \Delta^{d'}(T^{-1})R^{-1}AR\Delta^{d'}(T) \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_d).$$

Define A_R by $A_R = R^{-1}AR$, and so $A_\rho = \Delta^{d'}(T^{-1})A_R\Delta^{d'}(T)$.

Let $B \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_d)$. Let us represent the vectors $B \cdot v_0(0, m)$, for any $0 \leq m \leq d' - 1$, as a linear combination of the basis C given in (2.6):

$$B \cdot v_0(0, m) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq d-1 \\ 0 \leq j \leq d'-1}} a_{m,i;j} \cdot v_0(i, j), \quad a_{m,i;j} \in \mathbb{F}_d.$$

A necessary and sufficient condition for $B \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ is that for all $0 \leq m \leq d' - 1, 0 \leq r \leq d - 1,$

$$B \cdot v_0(r, m) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq d-1 \\ 0 \leq j \leq d'-1}} \sigma^r(a_{m,i;j}) \cdot v_0(i + r \pmod{d}, j). \tag{2.8}$$

By taking $B = A_R \in M_n(\mathbb{F}),$ we get that (2.8) holds for $A_R.$ Therefore, $[A_R]_C = A_\rho$ is a $d' \times d'$ matrix with entries from $M_d(\mathbb{F}_d).$ For $0 \leq m, j \leq d' - 1,$ the m -th row and j -th column of $A_\rho,$ denoted by $A_{m,j},$ is given by

$$A_{m,j} = (\sigma^r(a_{m,i-r \pmod{d};j}))_{0 \leq i,r \leq d-1}, \tag{2.9}$$

i.e. $A_{m,j} \in M_d(\mathbb{F}_d)$ and for $0 \leq i, r \leq d - 1,$ the i -th row and r -th column of $A_{m,j}$ is $\sigma^r(a_{m,i-r \pmod{d};j}).$ The above discussion can be summarized in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5 *In the above notations, the map $A \mapsto A_\rho$ induces an \mathbb{F} -linear isomorphism $M_n(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow M_{n \times d'}(\mathbb{F}_d) \cong [M_{d \times d'}(\mathbb{F}_d)]^{d'}.$ It is given by*

$$A \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} (a_{0,i;j})_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq d-1 \\ 0 \leq j \leq d'-1}} \\ \vdots \\ (a_{d'-1,i;j})_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq d-1 \\ 0 \leq j \leq d'-1}} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the $(m \cdot d + i)$ -th row and j -th column of the image of A is $a_{m,i;j} \in \mathbb{F}_d,$ for $0 \leq m, j \leq d' - 1$ and $0 \leq i \leq d - 1.$

2.3.3 Trace under conjugation

For $g \in GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ and $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ we shall be interested in $\text{tr}(g^{-1}A).$ We use the notation of Sects. 2.3.1 and 2.3.2. By (2.7), we have

$$\text{tr}(g^{-1}A) = \text{tr}(g_\rho^{-1}A_\rho).$$

The inverse of an analogue of a Jordan block of order $d \cdot \ell$ is given by

$$\left(\left(\begin{pmatrix} D & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & D \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1} \right)_{i,j} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{j-i} D^{-j+i-1}, & i \leq j \\ 0, & i > j, \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

for $0 \leq i, j \leq \ell,$ where the LHS of (2.10) denotes the block matrix in the i -th row and j -th column. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(g_\rho^{-1}A_\rho) &= \sum_{m=0}^{d'-1} \text{tr}(D^{-1}A_{m,m} + D^{-2}\alpha_m(g, D^{-1}, A_\rho)) \\ &= \text{tr}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{d'-1} D^{-1}A_{m,m}\right) + \sum_{m=0}^{d'-1} \text{tr}(D^{-2}\alpha_m(g, D^{-1}, A_\rho)), \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where $\alpha_m(g, D^{-1}, A_\rho),$ for $0 \leq m \leq d' - 1$ are determined by the analogous Jordan form of $g.$ Notice, that in case g is semisimple, then $\alpha_m(g, D^{-1}, A_\rho) = 0$ for all $0 \leq m \leq d' - 1.$ Otherwise, for $0 \leq m \leq d' - 1, D^{-2}\alpha_m(g, D^{-1}, A_\rho)$ equals to a sum of terms of the form $(-1)^\ell D^{-\ell-1}A_{\ell,m},$ where $m < \ell \leq d' - 1.$

By (2.9) we have

$$D^{-1}A_{m,m} = \left((\lambda^{-1})^{q^r} \sigma^r(a_{m,i-r \pmod{d};m}) \right)_{1 \leq i,r \leq d-1}.$$

So the first sum in the RHS of (2.11) becomes

$$\sum_{m=0}^{d'-1} \sum_{r=0}^{d-1} (\lambda^{-1})^{q^r} \sigma^r (a_{m,0;m}) = \sum_{r=0}^{d-1} \sigma^r \left(\lambda^{-1} \sum_{m=0}^{d'-1} a_{m,0;m} \right) = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_d/\mathbb{F}} \left(\lambda^{-1} \cdot \sum_{m=0}^{d'-1} a_{m,0;m} \right).$$

On the other hand, for each $0 \leq m \leq d' - 1$, the term $\text{tr} (D^{-2} \alpha_m (g, D^{-1}, A_\rho))$ in (2.11) does not depend on the elements $a_{\ell,0;m}$, where $\ell = m$. Each such term depends only on λ and on $a_{\ell,i,m}$ where $\ell > m$. We summarize the above results in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.6 *In the above notations,*

$$\text{tr} (g^{-1} A) = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_d/\mathbb{F}} \left(\lambda^{-1} \cdot \sum_{m=0}^{d'-1} a_{m,0;m} \right) + \sum_{m=0}^{d'-1} \text{tr} (D^{-2} \alpha_m (g, D^{-1}, A_\rho)),$$

and each summand $\text{tr} (D^{-2} \alpha_m (g, D^{-1}, A_\rho))$ is independent of $a_{m,0;m}$ appearing in the first summand, for all $0 \leq m \leq d' - 1$.

In case $g = s$ is semisimple we have

$$\text{tr} (g^{-1} A) = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_d/\mathbb{F}} \left(\lambda^{-1} \cdot \sum_{m=0}^{d'-1} a_{m,0;m} \right).$$

2.4 q -Hypergeometric identity

In order to calculate the dimension of $\pi_{k,N,\psi}$, we need a combinatorial identity related to ranks of triangular block matrices. We first prove a lemma that is a special case of a q -analogue of the Chu–Vandermonde identity, phrased in a manner that we use in the proof of the combinatorial identity. We recall the definition of the q -Pochhammer symbol:

$$(a; q)_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^i).$$

Lemma 2.7 *Let $R_q(n, m, r)$ be the number of $n \times m$ matrices of rank r over the finite field of size q (n, m may be 0, with the convention that the empty matrix has rank 0). Let a be an integer greater or equal to $n + m$. Then*

$$\sum_{r \geq 0} R_q(n, m, r)(q; q)_{a-r} = q^{nm} \frac{(q; q)_{a-n}(q; q)_{a-m}}{(q; q)_{a-n-m}}.$$

Proof We start by stating a q -analogue of the Chu–Vandermonde identity [2, Eq. (1.5.2)]:

$$\sum_{r=0}^i \frac{(q^{-i}; q)_r (b; q)_r}{(c; q)_r (q; q)_r} \left(\frac{cq^i}{b} \right)^r = \frac{(c/b; q)_i}{(c; q)_i},$$

where i is a non-negative integer, and b, c are complex numbers that satisfy $b \neq 0$ and $c \notin \{q^{-1}, \dots, q^{-(i-1)}\}$. Choosing $i = n, b = q^{-m}, c = q^{-a}$, we obtain

$$\sum_{r=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}; q)_r (q^{-m}; q)_r}{(q^{-a}; q)_r (q; q)_r} q^{(n+m-a)r} = \frac{(q^{m-a}; q)_n}{(q^{-a}; q)_n}. \tag{2.12}$$

We have the following formula for $R_q(n, m, r)$ by Landsberg [9]:

$$R_q(n, m, r) = \frac{(-1)^r (q^{-n}; q)_r (q^{-m}; q)_r q^{(n+m)r - \binom{r}{2}}}{(q; q)_r}.$$

By expressing the r -th summand of (2.12) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(-1)^r (q^{-n}; q)_r (q^{-m}; q)_r q^{(n+m)r - \binom{r}{2}}}{(q; q)_r} \cdot \frac{q^{-ar + \binom{r}{2}}}{(-1)^r (q^{-a}; q)_r} \\ &= R_q(n, m, r) \cdot \frac{q^{-ar + \binom{r}{2}}}{(-1)^r (q^{-a}; q)_r}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain that

$$\sum_{r=0}^n R_q(n, m, r) \frac{q^{-ar + \binom{r}{2}} (-1)^r}{(q^{-a}; q)_r} = \frac{(q^{m-a}; q)_n}{(q^{-a}; q)_n}. \tag{2.13}$$

The proof is concluded by applying to (2.13) the simple identity

$$(q^{-x}; q)_y = (-1)^y q^{\binom{y}{2} - xy} \frac{(q; q)_x}{(q; q)_{x-y}}$$

with $(x, y) \in \{(a, n), (a - m, n), (a, r)\}$. □

We now state our main combinatorial identity needed for computing the dimension. Let k be a positive integer. We define the following family of functions.

$$f_{k,q}\left(a; \begin{matrix} n_1, \dots, n_k \\ m_1, \dots, m_k \end{matrix}\right) = \sum_A (q; q)_{a - \text{rk } A}, \tag{2.14}$$

where $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^k, \{m_j\}_{j=1}^k$ are sequences of non-negative integers, a is an integer such that

$$a \geq \max \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^i n_j + \sum_{j=i}^k m_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq k \right\} \tag{2.15}$$

and the sum is over all matrices $A \in M_{(\sum_{i=1}^k n_i) \times (\sum_{j=1}^k m_j)}(\mathbb{F})$ of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{1,1} & Y_{1,2} & \cdots & Y_{1,k} \\ 0 & Y_{2,2} & \cdots & Y_{2,k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & Y_{k,k} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.16}$$

where $Y_{i,j} \in M_{n_i \times m_j}(\mathbb{F})$ for all $1 \leq i \leq j \leq k$.

Proposition 2.8 *Let $k \geq 1$. For any sequences of non-negative integers, $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^k$ and $\{m_j\}_{j=1}^k$, and for any integer a satisfying (2.15), we have*

$$f_{k,q}\left(a; \begin{matrix} n_1, \dots, n_k \\ m_1, \dots, m_k \end{matrix}\right) = q^{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} n_i m_j} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i=0}^k (q; q)_{a - \sum_{j=1}^{k-i} n_j - \sum_{j=k-i+1}^k m_j}}{\prod_{i=1}^k (q; q)_{a - \sum_{j=1}^{k-i+1} n_j - \sum_{j=k-i+1}^k m_j}}. \tag{2.17}$$

Proof We use the following notation:

$$I_{r,n,m} = \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0_{m-r} \\ 0_{n-r} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (r \leq \min\{n, m\}). \tag{2.18}$$

We prove the proposition by induction on k . Let $k = 1$. Then

$$f_{1,q}\left(a; \begin{matrix} n \\ m \end{matrix}\right) = \sum_{A \in M_{n \times m}(\mathbb{F})} (q; q)_{a-\text{rk}A} = \sum_{r \geq 0} R_q(n, m, r) (q; q)_{a-r}.$$

By Lemma 2.7 we find that

$$f_1\left(a; \begin{matrix} n \\ m \end{matrix}\right) = q^{nm} \frac{(q; q)_{a-n} (q; q)_{a-m}}{(q; q)_{a-n-m}},$$

as needed. We now perform the induction step, i.e. assume that (2.17) holds for $k - 1$ in place of k , and prove it for k . We split the sum defining $f_{k,q}\left(a; \begin{matrix} n_1, \dots, n_k \\ m_1, \dots, m_k \end{matrix}\right)$ as follows:

$$f_{k,q}\left(a; \begin{matrix} n_1, \dots, n_k \\ m_1, \dots, m_k \end{matrix}\right) = \sum_{\substack{Y_{i,i} \in M_{n_i \times m_i}(\mathbb{F}) \\ 1 \leq i \leq k}} \sum_{\substack{Y_{i,j} \in M_{n_i \times m_j}(\mathbb{F}) \\ 1 \leq i < j \leq k}} (q; q)_{a-\text{rk}A}. \tag{2.19}$$

In the inner sum of (2.19) the ranks of $Y_{i,i}$ are fixed for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, so we set $r_i = \text{rk}(Y_{i,i})$. There exist invertible matrices E_i, C_i such that $Y_{i,i} = E_i I_{r_i, n_i, m_i} C_i$, for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. So, one can write A in the inner sum of (2.19) as $\text{diag}(E_1, \dots, E_k) \cdot \tilde{A} \cdot \text{diag}(C_1, \dots, C_k)$, where

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{r_1, n_1, m_1} & \tilde{Y}_{1,2} & \cdots & \tilde{Y}_{1,k} \\ 0 & I_{r_2, n_2, m_2} & \cdots & \tilde{Y}_{2,k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & I_{r_k, n_k, m_k} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.20}$$

and $\tilde{Y}_{i,j} = E_i^{-1} Y_{i,j} C_j^{-1}$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq k$. Together with the fact that rank is invariant under elementary operations, (2.19) becomes

$$f_{k,q}\left(a; \begin{matrix} n_1, \dots, n_k \\ m_1, \dots, m_k \end{matrix}\right) = \sum_{\substack{\forall 1 \leq i \leq k: \\ r_i \geq 0}} \prod_{i=1}^k R_q(n_i, m_i, r_i) \sum_{\tilde{A}} (q; q)_{a-\text{rk}\tilde{A}}, \tag{2.21}$$

where the inner sum is over matrices \tilde{A} of the form (2.20). We can use Gaussian elimination operations on $\tilde{Y}_{i,j}$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ (which do not affect the rank of \tilde{A}) as follows: the first r_i rows of each $\tilde{Y}_{i,j}$ are being canceled by the pivot elements in $I_{r_i, n}$ (using elementary row operations) and the first r_j columns of each $\tilde{Y}_{i,j}$ are being canceled by the pivot elements in $I_{r_j, n}$ (using elementary column operations). Formally, the composition of these elementary operations maps the sequence of matrices $\{\tilde{Y}_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq k}$ \mathbb{F} -linearly to a sequence of matrices

$$\left\{ \hat{Y}_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Z_{i,j} \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq k}, \tag{2.22}$$

where $Z_{i,j} \in M_{(n_i-r_i) \times (m_j-r_j)}(\mathbb{F})$. This linear map is a projection by construction. Its kernel is of size $q^{\sum_{t=1}^{k-1} r_t \sum_{\ell=t+1}^k m_\ell + \sum_{t=2}^k r_t \sum_{\ell=1}^{t-1} (n_\ell-r_\ell)}$. The dimension of the kernel corresponds to the number of elements which we canceled. Equation (2.21) becomes

$$f_{k,q} \left(a; \begin{matrix} n_1, \dots, n_k \\ m_1, \dots, m_k \end{matrix} \right) = \sum_{\substack{\forall 1 \leq i \leq k: \\ r_i \geq 0}} \prod_{i=1}^k R_q(n_i, m_i, r_i) q^{\sum_{t=1}^{k-1} r_t \sum_{\ell=t+1}^k m_\ell + \sum_{t=2}^k r_t \sum_{\ell=1}^{t-1} (n_\ell-r_\ell)} \cdot \sum_{\widehat{A}} (q; q)_{a-\text{rk}\widehat{A}}, \tag{2.23}$$

where the inner sum is over matrices of the form

$$\widehat{A} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{r_1, n_1, m_1} & \widehat{Y}_{1,2} & \cdots & \widehat{Y}_{1,k} \\ 0 & I_{r_2, n_2, m_2} & \cdots & \widehat{Y}_{2,k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & I_{r_k, n_k, m_k} \end{pmatrix},$$

and $\widehat{Y}_{i,j}$ are as defined in (2.22). Note that $\text{rk}\widehat{A} = \sum_{j=1}^k r_j + \text{rk}Z$, where

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{1,2} & \cdots & Z_{1,k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & Z_{k-1,k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, from (2.23) we obtain the following recursive relation:

$$f_{k,q} \left(a; \begin{matrix} n_1, \dots, n_k \\ m_1, \dots, m_k \end{matrix} \right) = \sum_{\substack{\forall 1 \leq i \leq k: \\ r_i \geq 0}} \prod_{i=1}^k R_q(n_i, m_i, r_i) q^{\sum_{t=1}^{k-1} r_t \sum_{\ell=t+1}^k m_\ell + \sum_{t=2}^k r_t \sum_{\ell=1}^{t-1} (n_\ell-r_\ell)} \cdot f_{k-1,q} \left(a - \sum_{j=1}^k r_j; \begin{matrix} n_1-r_1, \dots, n_{k-1}-r_{k-1} \\ m_2-r_2, \dots, m_k-r_k \end{matrix} \right). \tag{2.24}$$

Plugging the induction assumption in (2.24) we get that $f_{k,q} \left(a; \begin{matrix} n_1, \dots, n_k \\ m_1, \dots, m_k \end{matrix} \right)$ equals

$$\sum_{\substack{\forall 1 \leq i \leq k: \\ r_i \geq 0}} \prod_{i=1}^k R_q(n_i, m_i, r_i) q^{\sum_{t=1}^{k-1} r_t \sum_{\ell=t+1}^k m_\ell + \sum_{t=2}^k r_t \sum_{\ell=1}^{t-1} (n_\ell-r_\ell)} \cdot q^{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k-1} (n_i-r_i) \cdot (m_{j+1}-r_{j+1})} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (q; q)_{a-\sum_{j=1}^k r_j - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1-i} (n_j-r_j) - \sum_{j=k-i}^{k-1} (m_{j+1}-r_{j+1})}}{\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (q; q)_{a-\sum_{j=1}^k r_j - \sum_{j=1}^{k-i} (n_j-r_j) - \sum_{j=k-i}^{k-1} (m_{j+1}-r_{j+1})}}. \tag{2.25}$$

Rearranging (2.25), we see that the sum over r_1, \dots, r_k may be written as a product over k sums, where the i -th sum is over r_i :

$$f_{k,q} \left(a; \begin{matrix} n_1, \dots, n_k \\ m_1, \dots, m_k \end{matrix} \right) = \frac{q^{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k-1} n_i m_{j+1}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (q; q)_{a-\sum_{j=1}^k r_j - \sum_{j=k-i}^{k-1} m_{j+1}}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{r_i \geq 0} R_q(n_i, m_i, r_i) (q; q)_{a-r_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} n_j - \sum_{j=i}^{k-1} m_{j+1}} \right). \tag{2.26}$$

Using Lemma 2.7 we substitute each inner sum of (2.26) with

$$q^{n_i \cdot m_i} \frac{(q; q)_{a - \sum_{j=1}^i n_j - \sum_{j=i}^{k-1} m_{j+1}} (q; q)_{s - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} n_j - \sum_{j=i-1}^{k-1} m_{j+1}}}{(q; q)_{a - \sum_{j=1}^i n_j - \sum_{j=i-1}^{k-1} m_{j+1}}},$$

and by simplifying we complete the induction step and obtain the desired identity. □

Remark 2.9 Solomon [13] proved a relation between the following two quantities: the number of placements of k non-attacking rooks on a $n \times n$ chessboard, counted with certain weights depending on q , and the number of matrices in $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ of rank k . Haglund generalized Solomon’s result to any “Ferrers board” [6, Thm. 1], which means that the number of matrices of the form (2.16) over \mathbb{F} of rank k is related to the q -rook polynomial $R_k(B, q)$, where B is a certain Ferrers board associated with (2.16). For the definition of a Ferrers board and $R_k(B, q)$, see the introduction to the paper by Garsia and Remmel [1]. In particular, Proposition 2.8 may be deduced from a result of Garcia and Remmel on q -rook polynomials, see [6, Cor. 2]. Our proof of Proposition 2.8 is direct and so we believe it is more accessible. More importantly, the ideas used in the proof reappear in the proofs of Theorems 2 and 3.

2.5 Arithmetic properties of certain polynomials

For any d dividing n and any $k \geq 2$, let

$$a_{k;n,d}(x) = \frac{x^d - 1}{x^n - 1} \sum_{m:d|m|n} \mu\left(\frac{m}{d}\right) (-1)^{k(n-\frac{n}{m})} x^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}(\frac{n}{m}-1)} \in \mathbb{Q}(x), \tag{2.27}$$

where $\mu : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the Möbius function, defined by $\mu(1) = 1$ and

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p^2 \mid n \text{ for some prime } p, \\ (-1)^m & \text{if } n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_m, \text{ where } p_i \text{ are distinct primes.} \end{cases}$$

We recall the following properties of μ [8, Ch. 2].

- The divisor sum $\sum_{d|n} \mu(d)$ is given by

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = \delta_{1,n}. \tag{2.28}$$

- The Möbius function is multiplicative.

Lemma 2.10 *Let $k \geq 2$. The following hold.*

- (I) *For any $d \mid n$, $a_{k;n,d}(x)$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Furthermore, in case $d \notin \{n, \frac{n}{2}\}$, $a_{k;n,d}(x)$ is divisible by $x^d - 1$. In the remaining cases we have*

$$a_{k;n,d}(x) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{k(n-1)} & \text{if } d = n, \\ x^{\frac{(k-2)n}{2} + (-1)^{k+1}} & \text{if } d = \frac{n}{2}. \end{cases} \tag{2.29}$$

- (II) *If $k > 2$ we have $\deg(a_{k;n,d}) = \frac{(n(k-2)-2d)(n-d)}{2d}$, and $a_{k;n,d}$ has leading coefficient $(-1)^{k(n-\frac{n}{d})}$. If $k = 2$, we have $a_{k;n,d} = \delta_{n,d}$.*
- (III) *Assume $k > 2$. For any prime power q , $a_{k;n,d}(q)$ is a non-zero integer. Its sign equals the sign of $(-1)^{k(n-\frac{n}{d})}$, i.e. it is a positive integer unless k is odd, n is even and $2 \nmid \frac{n}{d}$.*

Proof We begin by proving the first part of the lemma. If $d \in \{n, \frac{n}{2}\}$, a short calculation reveals that (2.29) holds. From now on we assume that $d \notin \{n, \frac{n}{2}\}$. We shall show that

$$x^n - 1 \mid \sum_{m: d|m|n} \mu\left(\frac{m}{d}\right) (-1)^{k(n-\frac{n}{m})} x^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}(\frac{n}{m}-1)} \tag{2.30}$$

in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, which implies that $a_{k;n,d}(x)$ is a polynomial divisible by $x^d - 1$. Gauss’s lemma, applied to (2.30), implies that $a_{k;n,d}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. We now prove (2.30).

Let z be a root of unity of order dividing n . Assume first that n is odd or that k is even. Then for all $m \mid n$ we have

$$z^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}(\frac{n}{m}-1)} = (z^n)^{(k-2)\frac{\frac{n}{m}-1}{2}} = 1.$$

Hence, using (2.28),

$$\sum_{m: d|m|n} \mu\left(\frac{m}{d}\right) (-1)^{k(n-\frac{n}{m})} z^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}(\frac{n}{m}-1)} = \sum_{m: d|m|n} \mu\left(\frac{m}{d}\right) = \sum_{a: a|\frac{n}{d}} \mu(a) = \delta_{d,n} = 0. \tag{2.31}$$

Now we assume instead that n is even and k is odd. We are led to consider two cases.

- If $z^{\frac{n}{2}} = -1$ then for all $m \mid n$ we have,

$$z^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}(\frac{n}{m}-1)} = (-1)^{\frac{n}{m}-1}.$$

Hence, using (2.28),

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m: d|m|n} \mu\left(\frac{m}{d}\right) (-1)^{k(n-\frac{n}{m})} z^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}(\frac{n}{m}-1)} = - \sum_{m: d|m|n} \mu\left(\frac{m}{d}\right) \\ &= - \sum_{a|\frac{n}{d}} \mu(a) = -\delta_{d,n} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

- If $z^{\frac{n}{2}} = 1$ then for all $m \mid n$ we have,

$$z^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}(\frac{n}{m}-1)} = 1.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m: d|m|n} \mu\left(\frac{m}{d}\right) (-1)^{k(n-\frac{n}{m})} z^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}(\frac{n}{m}-1)} \\ &= \sum_{m: d|m|n} \mu\left(\frac{m}{d}\right) (-1)^{\frac{n}{m}} = \sum_{a|\frac{n}{d}} \mu(a) (-1)^{\frac{n}{ad}} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{a|\frac{n}{d} \\ 2|\frac{n}{ad}}} \mu(a) - \sum_{\substack{a|\frac{n}{d} \\ 2 \nmid \frac{n}{ad}}} \mu(a) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 - \sum_{a|\frac{n}{d}} \mu(a) & \text{if } 2 \nmid \frac{n}{d} \\ \sum_{a|\frac{n}{2d}} \mu(a) - \sum_{\substack{a|\frac{n}{d} \\ 2|a}} \mu(2 \cdot \frac{a}{2}) & \text{if } 2 \mid \frac{n}{d}, 4 \nmid \frac{n}{d} \\ \sum_{a|\frac{n}{2d}} \mu(a) - \sum_{\substack{a|\frac{n}{d} \\ 2 \nmid \frac{n}{ad}}} \mu(4 \cdot \frac{a}{4}) & \text{if } 4 \mid \frac{n}{d} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \begin{cases} -\delta_{d,n} & \text{if } 2 \nmid \frac{n}{d} \\ \delta_{2d,n} - \mu(2)\delta_{2d,n} & \text{if } 2 \mid \frac{n}{d}, 4 \nmid \frac{n}{d} \\ \delta_{2d,n} & \text{if } 4 \mid \frac{n}{d} \end{cases} \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

Equations (2.31), (2.32) and (2.33) show that the RHS of (2.30) vanishes on each root of the separable polynomial $x^n - 1$, which establishes (2.30). This concludes the proof of the first part of the lemma.

The second part of the lemma for $k > 2$ follows from the observation that the numerator of $a_{k;n,d}(x)$ has degree $d + (k - 2)\frac{n}{2}(\frac{n}{d} - 1)$ (arising from the term corresponding to $m = d$) and leading coefficient equal to $(-1)^{k(n-\frac{n}{d})}$, while the denominator of $a_{k;n,d}(x)$ has degree n and leading coefficient equal to 1.

When $k = 2$, all terms in the sum in (2.27) are constants, and we have

$$a_{2;n,d}(x) = \frac{x^d - 1}{x^n - 1} \sum_{m: d|m|n} \mu\left(\frac{m}{d}\right) = \frac{x^d - 1}{x^n - 1} \delta_{n,d} = \delta_{n,d}.$$

We now turn to the third part of the lemma. Since $a_{k;n,d}(x)$ has integer coefficients, $a_{k;n,d}(q)$ is an integer. We now determine its sign when $k > 2$, and in particular show that it is non-zero.

Since $q^d - 1, q^n - 1, q^{\frac{n}{2}}$ are positive, we deal with the expression

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{a}_{k;n,d}(q) &:= \frac{q^n - 1}{q^d - 1} q^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}} \cdot a_{k;n,d}(q) \\
 &= \sum_{m: d|m|n} \mu\left(\frac{m}{d}\right) (-1)^{k(n-\frac{m}{d})} (q^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}})^{\frac{n}{m}} \\
 &= \sum_{a|n} a_1^{\frac{n}{a}} \mu(a) (-1)^{k(n-\frac{n}{ad})} (q^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}})^{\frac{n}{ad}},
 \end{aligned}$$

whose sign is the same as the sign of $a_{k;n,d}(q)$. If $d = n$ then

$$(-1)^{k(n-\frac{n}{d})} \tilde{a}_{k;n,d}(q) = q^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}} > 0.$$

If $d = \frac{n}{2}$ then

$$(-1)^{k(n-\frac{n}{d})} \tilde{a}_{k;n,d}(q) = (q^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}})^2 + (-1)^{k+1} q^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}} > 0.$$

If $\frac{n}{d} \geq 3$, we set $t = q^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}}$. Then, $t \geq 2^{\frac{3}{2}} > 2$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (-1)^{k(n-\frac{n}{d})} \tilde{a}_{k;n,d}(q) &\geq (q^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}})^{\frac{n}{d}} - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2d}} (q^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}})^i \geq (q^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}})^{\frac{n}{d}} - \frac{(q^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}})^{\frac{n}{d}}}{1 - q^{-(k-2)\frac{n}{2}}} \\
 &= (q^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}})^{\frac{n}{2d}} \left((q^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}})^{\frac{n}{2d}} - \frac{1}{1 - q^{-(k-2)\frac{n}{2}}} \right) \\
 &\geq (q^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}})^{\frac{n}{2d}} \left((q^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}})^{\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{1}{1 - q^{-(k-2)\frac{n}{2}}} \right) \\
 &= \frac{(q^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}})^{\frac{n}{2d}}}{1 - q^{-(k-2)\frac{n}{2}}} \left(t^{\frac{1}{2}}(t - 1) - 1 \right) > 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.11 The polynomials $a_{k;n,d}(x)$ may be expressed using the necklace polynomials (see Moreau [10]), defined by

$$M_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) x^{\frac{n}{d}}.$$

Indeed,

$$a_{k;n,d}(x) = \frac{x^d - 1}{x^n - 1} \cdot \left(\frac{(-1)^n}{x^{\frac{n}{2}}}\right)^{k-2} \cdot M_{\frac{n}{d}} \left(\left(-x^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)^{k-2}\right).$$

3 Calculation of the dimension of $\pi_{k,N,\psi}$

Here we prove Theorem 2. Recall that Θ_θ is the character of the irreducible cuspidal representation π_θ associated to a regular character θ of \mathbb{F}_n^* . Given $U \in N$, we write it in the notation of (1.1). From (1.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\pi_{k,N,\psi}) &= \frac{1}{|N|} \sum_{U \in N} \Theta_\theta(U) \overline{\psi}(U) = \frac{1}{q^{\binom{k}{2}n^2}} \sum_{U \in N} \Theta_\theta(U) \overline{\psi}(U) \\ &= \frac{1}{q^{\binom{k}{2}n^2}} \sum_{\substack{X_{i,i} \in M_n(\mathbb{F}) \\ 1 \leq i \leq k-1}} \sum_{\substack{X_{i,j} \in M_n(\mathbb{F}) \\ 1 \leq i < j \leq k-1}} \Theta_\theta(U) \overline{\psi}(U). \end{aligned}$$

The character $\psi(U) = \psi(X_{1,1}, \dots, X_{k-1,k-1})$ is determined by the traces of $X_{i,i}$, $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. Hence,

$$\dim(\pi_{k,N,\psi}) = \frac{1}{q^{\binom{k}{2}n^2}} \sum_{\substack{X_{i,i} \in M_n(\mathbb{F}) \\ 1 \leq i \leq k-1}} \overline{\psi}(U) \sum_{\substack{X_{i,j} \in M_n(\mathbb{F}) \\ 1 \leq i < j \leq k-1}} \Theta_\theta(U). \tag{3.1}$$

By Corollary 2.2, the value $\Theta_\theta(U)$ is determined by $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \ker(U - I)$ which is in turn determined by $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{F}}(U - I)$. In the inner sum of (3.1) set $r_i = \text{rk}(X_{i,i})$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. We write $I_{r,n} := I_{r,n,n}$ as defined in (2.18). There exist invertible matrices E_i, C_{i+1} such that $X_{i,i} = E_i I_{r_i,n} C_{i+1}$. So, one can write U in the inner sum of (3.1) as I_{kn} plus

$$\text{diag}(E_1, \dots, E_{k-1}, I_n) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{r_1,n} & \cdots & \tilde{X}_{1,k-2} & \tilde{X}_{1,k-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \tilde{X}_{2,k-2} & \tilde{X}_{2,k-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & I_{r_{k-1},n} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{diag}(I_n, C_2, \dots, C_k),$$

where $\tilde{X}_{i,j} = E_i^{-1} X_{i,j} C_{j+1}^{-1}$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq k-1$. Together with the fact that rank is invariant under elementary operations, we now have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\pi_{k,N,\psi}) &= \frac{1}{q^{\binom{k}{2}n^2}} \sum_{\substack{X_{i,i} \in M_n(\mathbb{F}) \\ 1 \leq i \leq k-1}} \overline{\psi}(U) \\ &\cdot \sum_{\substack{\tilde{X}_{i,j} \in M_n(\mathbb{F}) \\ 1 \leq i < j \leq k-1}} \Theta_\theta \left(I_{kn} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{r_1,n} & \cdots & \tilde{X}_{1,k-2} & \tilde{X}_{1,k-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \tilde{X}_{2,k-2} & \tilde{X}_{2,k-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & I_{r_{k-1},n} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

As in the proof of Proposition 2.8, we can use Gaussian elimination operations on $\tilde{X}_{i,j}$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq k-1$ (which do not affect the rank nor dimension of the kernel of the matrix minus I_{kn} , and the number of Jordan blocks is not affected as well) in such a way that the sequence of matrices $\{\tilde{X}_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq k-1}$ is mapped \mathbb{F} -linearly to a sequence of matrices

$$\left\{ \hat{\tilde{X}}_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Y_{i,j} \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq k-1},$$

where $Y_{i,j} \in M_{(n-r_i) \times (n-r_j)}(\mathbb{F})$. The kernel of this mapping is of size $q^{\sum_{i=1}^{k-2} r_i(k-i-1)n + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} r_i \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (n-r_j)}$. The dimension of the kernel corresponds to the number of elements which we cancel. Equation (3.2) becomes

$$\dim(\pi_{k,N,\psi}) = \frac{1}{q^{\binom{k}{2}n^2}} \sum_{\substack{X_{i,i} \in M_n(\mathbb{F}) \\ 1 \leq i \leq k-1}} \overline{\psi}(U) q^{\sum_{i=1}^{k-2} r_i(k-i-1)n + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} r_i \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (n-r_j)} \cdot \sum_{\substack{Y_{i,j} \in M_{(n-r_i) \times (n-r_j)}(\mathbb{F}) \\ 1 \leq i < j \leq k-1}} \Theta_\theta(g), \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$g = I_{kn} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{r_1,n} & \cdots & \widehat{X}_{1,k-2} & \widehat{X}_{1,k-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \widehat{X}_{2,k-2} & \widehat{X}_{2,k-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & I_{r_{k-1},n} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Using the character formula (2.1), we can calculate $\Theta_\theta(g)$. In this case $m = kn$, $g = s \cdot u$ where $s = I_{kn}$, so $\lambda = 1$ and

$$t = \dim \ker(g - I) = kn - \text{rk}(g - I) = kn - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i - \text{rk}A,$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{1,2} & \cdots & Y_{1,k-1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & Y_{k-2,k-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq k-1. \tag{3.4}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_\theta(g) &= (-1)^{kn-1} (1-q)(1-q^2) \cdots (1-q^{kn-\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i - \text{rk}A-1}) \\ &= (-1)^{kn-1} (q; q)_{kn-\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i - \text{rk}A-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Equation (3.3) can now be written as

$$\dim(\pi_{k,N,\psi}) = \frac{1}{q^{\binom{k}{2}n^2}} \sum_{\substack{X_{i,i} \in M_n(\mathbb{F}) \\ 1 \leq i \leq k-1}} \overline{\psi}(U) q^{\sum_{i=1}^{k-2} r_i(k-i-1)n + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} r_i \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (n-r_j)} \cdot (-1)^{kn-1} \sum_A (q; q)_{kn-\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i - \text{rk}A-1}, \tag{3.5}$$

where the inner sum is over all matrices of the form (3.4) and by the definition (2.14) it is equal to

$$f_{k-2,q} \left(kn - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i - 1; \begin{matrix} n-r_1, \dots, n-r_{k-2} \\ n-r_2, \dots, n-r_{k-1} \end{matrix} \right).$$

By applying Proposition 2.8 we replace the inner sum in (3.5) by

$$q^{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k-2} (n-r_i) \cdot (n-r_{j+1})} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{k-2} (q; q)_{kn-\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} r_{j-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{k-2-i} (n-r_j) - \sum_{j=k-i-1}^{k-2} (n-r_{j+1})}}{\prod_{i=1}^{k-2} (q; q)_{kn-\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} r_{j-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{k-i-1} (n-r_j) - \sum_{j=k-i-1}^{k-2} (n-r_{j+1})}},$$

which equals

$$q^{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k-2} (n-r_i) \cdot (n-r_{j+1})} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (q; q)_{2n-1-r_i}}{((q; q)_{n-1})^{(k-2)}}.$$

Now (3.5) becomes

$$\dim(\pi_{k,N,\psi}) = \frac{(-1)^{kn-1}}{((q; q)_{n-1})^{(k-2)} q^{(k-1)n^2}} \sum_{\substack{X_{i,i} \in M_n(\mathbb{F}) \\ 1 \leq i \leq k-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \overline{\psi}_0(\text{tr}(X_{i,i})) (q; q)_{2n-1-r_i}. \tag{3.6}$$

Changing the order of sum and product in (3.6) we get that

$$\dim(\pi_{k,N,\psi}) = \frac{(-1)^{kn-1}}{((q; q)_{n-1})^{(k-2)} q^{(k-1)n^2}} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{X_{i,i} \in M_n(\mathbb{F})} \overline{\psi}_0(\text{tr}(X_{i,i})) (q; q)_{2n-1-r_i}. \tag{3.7}$$

From Sect. 5 of [11], each inner sum in (3.7) is equal to

$$\sum_{X_{i,i} \in M_n(\mathbb{F})} \overline{\psi}_0(\text{tr}(X_{i,i})) (q; q)_{2n-1-r_i} = (-1)^n \cdot q^{n^2} \cdot q^{\binom{n}{2}} (q; q)_{n-1}. \tag{3.8}$$

Plugging (3.8) in (3.7), we obtain

$$\dim(\pi_{k,N,\psi}) = q^{(k-1)\binom{n}{2}} (-1)^{n-1} (q; q)_{n-1} = q^{(k-2)\binom{n}{2}} \frac{|\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})|}{q^n - 1},$$

as needed. □

4 Calculation of the character $\Theta_{k,N,\psi}$

In this section we prove Theorem 3. Namely, we calculate $\Theta_{k,N,\psi}$. From now on we use the following notations:

$$h_{g;U} = \begin{pmatrix} g & X_{1,1} & X_{1,2} & \cdots & X_{1,k-2} & X_{1,k-1} \\ 0 & g & X_{2,2} & \cdots & X_{2,k-2} & X_{2,k-1} \\ 0 & 0 & g & \cdots & X_{3,k-2} & X_{3,k-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & g & X_{k-1,k-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & g \end{pmatrix},$$

where U (and so $X_{i,j}$) is as in (1.1). Note that $h_{I_n,U} = U$. We also define

$$\Delta^r(g) = \text{diag}(g, \dots, g) \in \Delta^r(\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})), \quad g \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}).$$

By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{k,N,\psi}(g) &= \text{tr}(\pi_{k,N,\psi}(g)) = \text{tr}(\pi(\Delta^k(g)) \upharpoonright_{V_{k,N,\psi}}) \\ &= \text{tr}(\pi(\Delta^k(g)) \circ P_{k,N,\psi}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Substituting (1.2) into (4.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{k,N,\psi}(g) &= \text{tr} \left(\frac{1}{q^{\binom{k}{2}n^2}} \sum_{U \in N} \pi [\Delta^k(g) \cdot U] \bar{\psi}(U) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{q^{\binom{k}{2}n^2}} \sum_{U \in N} \text{tr} (\pi [\Delta^k(g) \cdot U]) \bar{\psi}(U). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Now we perform the change of variables

$$X_{i,j} \mapsto g^{-1}X_{i,j}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq j \leq k-1$$

in (4.2) and obtain

$$\Theta_{k,N,\psi}(g) = \frac{1}{q^{\binom{k}{2}n^2}} \sum_{U \in N} \Theta_{\theta}(h_{g,U}) \bar{\psi}(g^{-1}X_{1,1}, \dots, g^{-1}X_{k-1,k-1}). \tag{4.3}$$

In parts Sects. 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 we prove parts (I), (II) and (III) of Theorem 3, respectively.

4.1 Character at $g = s \cdot u$ such that the semisimple part s does not come from \mathbb{F}_n

Let $g = s \cdot u$. Assume that the semisimple part s does not come from \mathbb{F}_n . The semisimple part of $h_{g,U}$ is $\Delta^k(s)$, which also does not come from \mathbb{F}_n . By Theorem 2.1, we have $\Theta_{\theta}(h_{g,U}) = 0$. Hence, by (4.3) $\Theta_{k,N,\psi}(g) = 0$. □

4.2 Character calculation at a non-semisimple element

Assume that s comes from $\mathbb{F}_d \subseteq \mathbb{F}_n$ and $d \mid n$ is minimal. In addition, $d < n$ since g is not semisimple. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_d^*$ be an eigenvalue of s which generates the field \mathbb{F}_d over \mathbb{F} . We use the notation of Sect. 2.3. Thus, there exist $R \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ and ρ a partition of $d' = n/d$ such that $R^{-1}gR = L_{\rho}(f)$. There exists $\Delta^{d'}(T) \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_d)$ such that

$$g_{\rho} = \Delta^{d'}(T^{-1}) R^{-1}gR \Delta^{d'}(T),$$

the analogue of the Jordan form of g . Recall that by Lemma 2.5, the map

$$A \mapsto A_{\rho} := A_{\rho,R} = \Delta^{d'}(T^{-1}) R^{-1}AR \Delta^{d'}(T)$$

induces an isomorphism. By the notation of Sect. 2.3.2 we have for each

$$X_{a,b}, \quad \forall 1 \leq a \leq b \leq k-1,$$

the corresponding isomorphism of Lemma 2.5

$$X_{a,b} \mapsto (X_{a,b})_{\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} \left(x_{0,i;j}^{(a,b)} \right)_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq d-1 \\ 0 \leq j \leq d'-1}} \\ \vdots \\ \left(x_{d'-1,i;j}^{(a,b)} \right)_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq d-1 \\ 0 \leq j \leq d'-1}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that

$$\Delta^k \left(\Delta^{d'}(T^{-1}) \right) \Delta^k \left(R^{-1} \right) h_{g,U} \Delta^k(R) \Delta^k \left(\Delta^{d'}(T) \right) = h_{g_{\rho};U_{\rho}}, \tag{4.4}$$

where U_{ρ} is the element of N with $(X_{a,b})_{\rho}$ instead of $X_{a,b}$. From (4.4) we obtain

$$\text{rk} (h_{g-\lambda I_n;U}) = \text{rk} (h_{g_{\rho}-\lambda I_n;U_{\rho}}).$$

Since $\bar{\psi}_0 \circ \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_d/\mathbb{F}}$ is a nontrivial character, we have

$$\sum_{z_{1,0;1} \in \mathbb{F}_d} \bar{\psi}_0 (\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_d/\mathbb{F}} (\lambda^{-1} \cdot z_{1,0;1})) = 0.$$

Thus, $\Theta_{k,N,\psi}(g) = 0$. □

4.3 Character calculation at a semisimple element

Here we use (4.3) to calculate the value of $\Theta_{k,N,\psi}(g)$ for $g = s$ where s is semisimple element which comes from a subfield of \mathbb{F}_n ($u = I_n$). Again, we use the notation of Sect. 2.3. Thus, there exist $R \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$, ρ a partition of n/d and $\Delta^{d'}(T) \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_d)$ such that

$$s_\rho = \Delta^{d'}(T^{-1}) R^{-1} s R \Delta^{d'}(T), \tag{4.8}$$

the analogue of the Jordan form of s . We also use the notation of Sect. 2.3.2, and in particular define $(X_{a,b})_\rho$ as in Sect. 4.2.

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_n^*$ be an eigenvalue of s . If $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}^*$ then $s = \lambda I$, and we have by (4.3)

$$\Theta_{k,N,\psi}(\lambda I) = \frac{1}{q^{\binom{k}{2}n^2}} \sum_{U \in N} \Theta_\theta(h_{\lambda I;U}) \bar{\psi}(\lambda^{-1} X_{1,1}, \dots, \lambda^{-1} X_{k-1,k-1}).$$

By the change of variables

$$X_{i,j} \mapsto \lambda X_{i,j},$$

we get

$$\Theta_{k,N,\psi}(\lambda I) = \frac{1}{q^{\binom{k}{2}n^2}} \sum_{U \in N} \Theta_\theta(\lambda h_{I;U}) \bar{\psi}(X_{1,1}, \dots, X_{k-1,k-1}).$$

By Theorem 2.1, we have $\Theta_\theta(\lambda \cdot h_{I;U}) = \theta(\lambda)\Theta_\theta(h_{I;U})$, and so

$$\Theta_{k,N,\psi}(\lambda I) = \theta(\lambda)\Theta_{k,N,\psi}(I) = \theta(\lambda)\dim(\pi_{k,N,\psi}).$$

By Theorem 2, this proves the case $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}^*$.

If $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_d^* \subseteq \mathbb{F}_n^*$ is an eigenvalue of s and $1 < d \mid n$ is such that \mathbb{F}_d is generated by λ over \mathbb{F} , we have by (4.3)

$$\Theta_{k,N,\psi}(s) = \frac{1}{q^{\binom{k}{2}n^2}} \sum_{U \in N} \Theta_\theta(h_{s;U}) \bar{\psi}(s^{-1} X_{1,1}, \dots, s^{-1} X_{k-1,k-1}). \tag{4.9}$$

In order to compute $\Theta_\theta(h_{s;U})$, we need to find conditions for $X_{i,j}$, such that $h_{s;U}$ will have a fixed number of Jordan blocks. This is equivalent to saying that $h_{s;U} - \lambda I_{kn}$ will have a given kernel dimension, or a given rank. Rank and trace are invariant under conjugation, so let us denote by $h_{s_\rho;U_\rho}$, the matrix $h_{s;U}$ conjugated by $\Delta^k(R)\Delta^k(\Delta^{d'}(T))$, where R and T are defined by s in (4.8):

$$h_{s_\rho;U_\rho} := \Delta^k(\Delta^{d'}(T^{-1})) \Delta^k(R^{-1}) h_{s;U} \Delta^k(R) \Delta^k(\Delta^{d'}(T)).$$

We have a matrix in $\text{GL}_{kn}(\mathbb{F}_d)$ and our goal is to find out how many matrices of the form

$$h_{s_\rho;U_\rho} - \lambda I_{kn} = h_{s_\rho - \lambda I_n;U_\rho},$$

where U varies, have a given rank ℓ .

First, notice that by the invariance of rank under elementary row and column operations on $h_{s_\rho - \lambda I_n; U_\rho}$, we can use the nonzero elements on the diagonal of $s_\rho - \lambda I_n$ to cancel the corresponding elements of $(X_{a,b})_\rho$. These elementary operations map the sequence of matrices $\{(X_{a,b})_\rho\}_{1 \leq a \leq b \leq k-1}$ \mathbb{F}_d -linearly to the sequence

$$\left\{ (\widehat{X}_{a,b})_\rho = \begin{pmatrix} x_{0,0;0}^{(a,b)} & \cdots & x_{d'-1,0;0}^{(a,b)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{0,0;d'-1}^{(a,b)} & \cdots & x_{d'-1,0;d'-1}^{(a,b)} \end{pmatrix} \in M_{d'}(\mathbb{F}_d) \right\}_{1 \leq a \leq b \leq k-1} .$$

The dimension of the kernel of this map is $\binom{k}{2}(n - d')d'$, corresponding to the number of elements we canceled. Hence, the number of matrices $h_{s_\rho - \lambda I_n; U_\rho}$ of rank ℓ is $(q^d)^{\binom{k}{2}(n-d')d'}$ times the number of matrices of the form

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} (\widehat{X}_{1,1})_\rho & \cdots & (\widehat{X}_{1,k-2})_\rho & (\widehat{X}_{1,k-1})_\rho \\ 0 & \cdots & (\widehat{X}_{2,k-2})_\rho & (\widehat{X}_{2,k-1})_\rho \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & (\widehat{X}_{k-1,k-1})_\rho \end{pmatrix} \in M_{(k-1)d'}(\mathbb{F}_d) \tag{4.10}$$

of rank $\ell - k(n - d')$. Using the character formula (2.1), we can calculate $\Theta_\theta(h_{s; U})$. In this case $m = kn$, $g = h_{s; U}$ and

$$t = \dim \ker(h_{s; U} - I) = kn - \text{rk}(h_{s; U} - I) = kn - k(n - d') - \text{rk} A = kd' - \text{rk} A.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_\theta(h_{s; U}) &= (-1)^{kn-1} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \theta(\lambda^{q^i}) \right] (1 - q^d)(1 - (q^d)^2) \cdots (1 - (q^d)^{kd' - \text{rk} A - 1}) \\ &= (-1)^{kn-1} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \theta(\lambda^{q^i}) \right] (q^d; q^d)_{kd' - \text{rk} A - 1}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Now, by (4.11) and Lemma 2.6, (4.9) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{k,N,\psi}(s) &= \frac{(-1)^{kn-1} (q^d)^{\binom{k}{2}(n-d')d'}}{q^{\binom{k}{2}n^2}} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \theta(\lambda^{q^i}) \right] \sum_A (q^d; q^d)_{kd' - \text{rk} A - 1} \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \overline{\psi}_0 \left(\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_d/\mathbb{F}} \left(\lambda^{-1} \cdot \sum_{m=0}^{d'-1} x_{m,0;m}^{(i,i)} \right) \right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

where the sum is over matrices A as in (4.10). By the character formula (2.1), the RHS of (4.12) is $(-1)^{k(n-d')} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \theta(\lambda^{q^i}) \right]$ times the RHS of (3.1), when one replaces n with d' , q with q^d and ψ_0 with

$$\psi'_0 : \mathbb{F}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*, \quad \psi'_0(x) = \psi_0(\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_d/\mathbb{F}}(\lambda^{-1}x)).$$

Thus, the RHS of (4.12) is equal to $\dim(\pi_{k,N,\psi})$ (which is calculated in Theorem 2) after the substitution of n, q, ψ_0 with the relevant values. Hence,

$$\Theta_{k,N,\psi}(s) = (-1)^{k(n-d')} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \theta(\lambda^{q^i}) \right] (q^d)^{(k-2)\frac{d'(d'-1)}{2}} \frac{|\text{GL}_{d'}(\mathbb{F}_d)|}{q^{d'-1}},$$

as desired. □

5 Proof of Theorem 4

Notice first that by part (III) of Lemma 2.10, the coefficients in both (1.5) and (1.6) are positive integers, unless $k = 2$ in which case they may also be zero.

Representations of a finite group are equivalent if the corresponding characters coincide. Hence, both parts of the theorem are equivalent to

$$\forall g \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}) : \Theta_{k;N,\psi}(g) = \sum_{\ell|n} a_{k;n,\ell}(q) \cdot \Theta_{\text{Ind}_\ell}(g), \tag{5.1}$$

where Θ_{Ind_ℓ} is the character of $\text{Ind}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell^*}^{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})}(\theta \upharpoonright_{\mathbb{F}_\ell^*})$. We prove now (5.1) for any $g \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$. If g is not semisimple or does not come from \mathbb{F}_n then the LHS of (5.1) is zero by parts (I) and (II) of Theorem 3. The RHS of (5.1) is also zero on such elements by Lemma 2.3.

Let g be a semisimple element, which comes from $\mathbb{F}_d \subseteq \mathbb{F}_n$ and $d | n$ is minimal. Let λ be an eigenvalue of s , which generates \mathbb{F}_d over \mathbb{F} . For such g , part (III) of Theorem 3 and Lemma 2.3 imply that (5.1) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{k(n-d')} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \theta(\lambda^{q^i}) \right] q^{(k-2)\frac{n(d'-1)}{2}} \cdot \frac{|\text{GL}_{d'}(\mathbb{F}_d)|}{q^n - 1} \\ &= \sum_{\ell: d|\ell|n} a_{k;n,\ell}(q) \frac{|\text{GL}_{d'}(\mathbb{F}_d)|}{q^\ell - 1} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \theta(\lambda^{q^i}) \right], \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where $d' = n/d$. The following identity, which we now prove, establishes (5.2):

$$\frac{(-1)^{k(n-d')} q^{(k-2)\frac{n(d'-1)}{2}}}{q^n - 1} = \sum_{\ell: d|\ell|n} \frac{a_{k;n,\ell}(q)}{q^\ell - 1}. \tag{5.3}$$

Using (1.4), the RHS of (5.3) is

$$\sum_{\ell: d|\ell|n} \sum_{m: \ell|m|n} \frac{\mu\left(\frac{m}{\ell}\right) (-1)^{k(n-\frac{n}{m})} q^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{n}{m}-1\right)}}{q^n - 1}. \tag{5.4}$$

We simplify (5.4) using (2.28) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\ell: d|\ell|n} \sum_{m: \ell|m|n} \frac{\mu\left(\frac{m}{\ell}\right) (-1)^{k(n-\frac{n}{m})} q^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{n}{m}-1\right)}}{q^n - 1} \\ &= \sum_{m: d|m|n} \frac{(-1)^{k(n-\frac{n}{m})} q^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{n}{m}-1\right)}}{q^n - 1} \sum_{\ell: d|\ell|m} \mu\left(\frac{m}{\ell}\right) \\ &= \sum_{m: d|m|n} (-1)^{k(n-\frac{n}{m})} \frac{q^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{n}{m}-1\right)}}{q^n - 1} \delta_{d,m} \\ &= (-1)^{k(n-\frac{n}{d})} \frac{q^{(k-2)\frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{n}{d}-1\right)}}{q^n - 1}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the LHS of (5.3). Hence the proof is complete. □

6 Proof of Theorem 1

Representations of a finite group are equivalent if the corresponding characters coincide. Hence, the theorem is equivalent to

$$\forall g \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}) : \Theta_{k,N,\psi}(g) = \Theta_{\theta|_{\mathbb{F}_n^*}}(g) \cdot (\text{St}(g))^{k-1}, \tag{6.1}$$

where we use the notation St also for the character of the Steinberg representation. We prove now (6.1) for any $g \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$.

We first prove (6.1) for $k = 1$. Note that $N = \{I_n\}$ and so

$$V_{\pi_{1,N,\psi}} = \{v \in V_{\pi_\theta} \mid \pi(I_n)v = v\} = V_{\pi_\theta}.$$

Hence $\pi_{1,N,\psi}(g) = \pi_\theta(g)$ as needed.

Now assume $k \geq 2$. If the semisimple part s of g does not come from \mathbb{F}_n , or g is not semisimple, then $\Theta_{k,N,\psi}(g) = 0$ by Theorem 3. From Theorem 2.1, we have $\Theta_{\theta|_{\mathbb{F}_n^*}}(g) = 0$. Hence, (6.1) is proved in that case.

Otherwise, $g = s$ is a semisimple element which comes from $\mathbb{F}_d \subseteq \mathbb{F}_n$ and $d \mid n$ is minimal. We begin by calculating the character value $\text{St}(g)$. For any prime p , let m_p be the p -part of m . By [[12], Thm. 6.5.9],

$$\text{St}(g) = \varepsilon_{\text{GL}_n} \varepsilon_{C(g)^\circ} \left| C(g)^\mathbb{F} \right|_{\text{char}(\mathbb{F})},$$

where ε_G is (-1) to the power of the \mathbb{F} -rank of G , $C(g)$ is the centralizer of g in $\text{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}})$, $C(g)^\circ$ is its identity component and $C(g)^\mathbb{F}$ is the subgroup of \mathbb{F} -rational points in $C(g)$. The \mathbb{F} -rank of GL_n is n . Let $\rho = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$, a partition of $d' = \frac{n}{d}$ and let f be the characteristic polynomial of s . By Sect. 2.3.1, the centralizer $C(g)^\mathbb{F}$ is isomorphic to $C(L_{f,\rho})^\mathbb{F}$, which in turn is isomorphic to $\text{GL}_{d'}(\mathbb{F}_d)$ (cf. [[5], Lem. 2.4] and the discussion preceding it). Thus, $\varepsilon_{C(g)^\circ} = \varepsilon_{\text{GL}_{d'}} = (-1)^{d'}$ and

$$\left| C(g)^\mathbb{F} \right| = q^{\sum_{i=1}^{d'} d(d'-i)} \prod_{k=1}^{d'} (q^{dk} - 1), \quad \left| C(g)^\mathbb{F} \right|_{\text{char}(\mathbb{F})} = q^{\frac{n(d'-1)}{2}}.$$

The discussion shows that

$$\text{St}(g) = (-1)^{n-d'} q^{\frac{n(d'-1)}{2}}. \tag{6.2}$$

By Theorem 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{\theta|_{\mathbb{F}_n^*}}(g) &= (-1)^{n-1} \left[\sum_{\alpha=0}^{d-1} \theta(\lambda^{q^\alpha}) \right] (1 - q^d)(1 - (q^d)^2) \dots (1 - (q^d)^{d'-1}) \\ &= (-1)^{n-d'} \left[\sum_{\alpha=0}^{d-1} \theta(\lambda^{q^\alpha}) \right] (q^d - 1)(q^{2d} - 1) \dots (q^{n-d} - 1) \frac{q^n - 1}{q^n - 1} \\ &= (-1)^{n-d'} \left[\sum_{\alpha=0}^{d-1} \theta(\lambda^{q^\alpha}) \right] \frac{|\text{GL}_{d'}(\mathbb{F}_d)|}{(q^n - 1)q^{\frac{n(d'-1)}{2}}}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

where λ is an eigenvalue of g . By Theorem 3

$$\Theta_{k,N,\psi}(g) = (-1)^{k(n-d')} q^{(k-2)\frac{n(d'-1)}{2}} \cdot \left[\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \theta(\lambda^{q^i}) \right] \cdot \frac{|\text{GL}_{d'}(\mathbb{F}_d)|}{q^n - 1}. \tag{6.4}$$

Multiplying (6.3) by (6.2) raised to the $(k - 1)$ -th power, we get (6.4) as needed. \square

Acknowledgements We are grateful to the second author's advisor, David Soudry, for suggesting the problem and for many helpful discussions during our work on the case $k = 3$. We are thankful to Dipendra Prasad for interesting discussions. We are indebted to Dror Speiser for useful conversations, and in particular for suggesting the link with the Steinberg representation. We thank the referee for a careful reading of our manuscript and many comments and suggestions.

References

1. Garsia, A.M., Remmel, J.B.: Q -counting rook configurations and a formula of Frobenius. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **41**(2), 246–275 (1986)
2. Gasper, G., Rahman, M.: *Basic Hypergeometric Series*. Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications, vol. 96, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2004). (With a foreword by Richard Askey)
3. Gel'fand, S.I.: Representations of the general linear group over a finite field. *Lie Groups and Their Representations* (Proc. Summer School on Group Representations of the Bolya: János Math. Soc., Budapest, 1971), pp. 119–132 (1975)
4. Gel'fand, I.M., Graev, M.I.: Construction of irreducible representations of simple algebraic groups over a finite field. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **147**, 529–532 (1962)
5. Green, J.A.: The characters of the finite general linear groups. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **80**, 402–447 (1955)
6. Haglund, James: q -rook polynomials and matrices over finite fields. *Adv. Appl. Math.* **20**(4), 450–487 (1998)
7. Hazan, Z.: On certain Degenerate Whittaker Models for $GL(n)$ Over Finite Fields. Master's Thesis, Tel Aviv University (2016). <http://primage.tau.ac.il/libraries/theses/exeng/free/2980107.pdf> Accessed Nov 2016
8. Ireland, K., Rosen, M.: *A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory*, Volume 84 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 2nd edn. Springer, New York (1990)
9. Landsberg, Georg: Ueber eine Anzahlbestimmung und eine damit zusammenhängende Reihe. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **111**, 87–88 (1893)
10. Moreau, C.: Sur les permutations circulaires distinctes. *Nouv. Ann. Math. J. Candidats Aux écoles Polytech Normale* **11**, 309–314 (1872)
11. Prasad, Dipendra: The space of degenerate Whittaker models for general linear groups over a finite field. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2000**(11), 579–595 (2000)
12. Roger, R.W.: *Finite Groups of Lie Type*. Wiley Classics Library. Conjugacy Classes and Complex Characters. Wiley, Chichester (1993). (Reprint of the 1985 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication)
13. Solomon, Louis: The Bruhat decomposition, Tits system and Iwahori ring for the monoid of matrices over a finite field. *Geom. Dedic.* **36**(1), 15–49 (1990)
14. Springer, T.A., Zelevinsky, A.V.: Characters of $GL(n, \mathbf{F}_q)$ and Hopf algebras. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) **30**(1), 27–43 (1984)