



# Some remarks on the convergence of the Dirichlet series of $L$ -functions and related questions

Jerzy Kaczorowski<sup>1,2</sup> · Alberto Perelli<sup>3</sup>

Received: 3 December 2014 / Accepted: 11 December 2015 / Published online: 28 September 2016  
© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2016

**Abstract** First we show that the abscissae of uniform and absolute convergence of Dirichlet series coincide in the case of  $L$ -functions from the Selberg class  $\mathcal{S}$ . We also study the latter abscissa inside the extended Selberg class, indicating a different behavior in the two classes. Next we address two questions about majorants of functions in  $\mathcal{S}$ , showing links with the distribution of the zeros and with independence results.

**Keywords** Dirichlet series · Selberg class · Almost periodic functions

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 11M41 · 30B50 · 40A05 · 42A75

## 1 Introduction

Let

$$F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(n)}{n^s}$$

be a Dirichlet series which converges somewhere in the complex plane. It is well known that there are four classical abscissae associated with  $F(s)$ : the abscissa of *convergence*  $\sigma_c(F)$ , of *uniform convergence*  $\sigma_u(F)$ , of *absolute convergence*  $\sigma_a(F)$  and of *boundedness*  $\sigma_b(F)$ . It may well be, in general, that  $\sigma_c(F) = -\infty$ , in which case the other three abscissae equal

---

✉ Alberto Perelli  
perelli@dima.unige.it

Jerzy Kaczorowski  
kjerzy@amu.edu.pl

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, A. Mickiewicz University, 61-614 Poznań, Poland

<sup>2</sup> Institute of Mathematics of the Polish Academy of Sciences, 00-956 Warsaw, Poland

<sup>3</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Genova, via Dodecaneso 35, 16146 Genova, Italy

$-\infty$  as well. From the theory of Dirichlet series we know that

$$\sigma_c(F) \leq \sigma_b(F) = \sigma_u(F) \leq \sigma_a(F),$$

and in general this is best possible, i.e. inequalities cannot be replaced by equalities. We refer to Maurizi and Queffelec [15] for a modern reference for this sort of problems.

Our first result is that  $\sigma_b(F) = \sigma_a(F)$  for an important class of Dirichlet series, namely those defining the *L*-functions of the Selberg class  $\mathcal{S}$ . We recall that the axiomatic class  $\mathcal{S}$  contains, at least conjecturally, most *L*-functions from number theory and automorphic forms theory, and that  $\sigma_b(F) = \sigma_a(F)$  is known in some special cases like the Riemann or the Dedekind zeta functions. The Selberg class  $\mathcal{S}$  is defined, roughly, as the class of Dirichlet series absolutely convergent for  $\sigma > 1$ , having analytic continuation to  $\mathbb{C}$  with at most a pole at  $s = 1$ , satisfying a functional equation of Riemann type and having an Euler product representation. Moreover, their coefficients satisfy the Ramanujan condition  $a(n) \ll n^\varepsilon$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We also recall that the *extended Selberg class*  $\mathcal{S}^\sharp$  is the larger class obtained by dropping the Euler product and Ramanujan condition requirements in the definition of  $\mathcal{S}$ . We refer to our survey papers [7, 9, 17–19] and to the forthcoming book [12] for definitions, examples and the basic theory of the Selberg classes  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{S}^\sharp$ . In particular, we refer to these surveys for the definition of *degree*  $d_F$ , *conductor*  $q_F$  and *standard twist* of  $F(s)$ .

**Theorem 1** *Suppose that  $F(s)$  belongs to the Selberg class. Then*

$$\sigma_b(F) = \sigma_u(F) = \sigma_a(F). \tag{1}$$

Several months after submitting this result, the note by Brevig and Heap [3] appeared, where the authors prove the same theorem in the much more general framework of Dirichlet series with multiplicative coefficients. Trying to understand Brevig-Heap’s proof, based on Bohr’s theory, we noticed that their result was already known to Bohr himself in 1913 (see [1], Satz XI, p. 480); incidentally, Bohr’s paper [1] appears as item [5] of the reference list in Brevig-Heap [3]. We wish to thank Dr. Mattia Righetti for bringing [1, 3] to our attention and for his advice concerning these papers. We decided to keep Theorem 1 since our proof is different, easier and more direct; moreover, some points in the proof will be useful for the other results in the paper.

We expect that actually  $\sigma_a(F) = 1$  for all  $F \in \mathcal{S}$ . This is known for most classical *L*-functions and, in the general case of the class  $\mathcal{S}$ , under the assumption of the *Selberg orthonormality conjecture*; however, an unconditional proof is missing at present. See again the above quoted references for definitions and results about such a conjecture.

Note that the abscissa of convergence  $\sigma_c(F)$  can be smaller than 1 for functions in  $\mathcal{S}$ . For example, the Dirichlet *L*-functions  $L(s, \chi)$  with a primitive non-principal character  $\chi$  are convergent in the half-plane  $\sigma > 0$ . Actually, several general results are known about the abscissa  $\sigma_c(F)$  for functions  $F(s)$  in the extended Selberg class  $\mathcal{S}^\sharp$ . First of all

$$\text{if } F \in \mathcal{S}^\sharp \text{ is entire with degree } d \geq 1, \text{ then } \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2d} \leq \sigma_c(F) \leq 1 - \frac{2}{d+1} \tag{2}$$

(recall that *there exist no functions*  $F \in \mathcal{S}^\sharp$  *with degree*  $0 < d < 1$ , see [8] and Conrey and Ghosh [5]). Indeed, the first inequality in (2) is Corollary 3 in [11] and is based on the properties of the standard twist, while the second inequality follows from a well known theorem of Landau [13]. Moreover, in accordance with classical degree 2 conjectures and with the general  $\Omega$ -theorem in Corollary 2 of [11], we expect that equality holds in the left inequality in (2). Further

$$\sigma_c(F) = -\infty \quad \text{if and only if} \quad d_F = 0,$$

since the degree 0 functions of  $S^\sharp$  are Dirichlet polynomials (see [8]). From (2) we also deduce that

$$\sigma_c(F) = 1 \text{ if and only if } F(s) \text{ has a pole at } s = 1.$$

We also remark that if the Lindelöf Hypothesis holds for  $F \in S^\sharp$ , then  $\sigma_c(F) \leq 1/2$ .

The behavior of  $\sigma_a(F)$  in the extended class  $S^\sharp$  is different from the expected behavior in  $S$ . Indeed, in the next section, which is also of independent interest, we show that

$$\text{there exist functions } F \in S^\sharp \text{ with } \sigma_a(F) \text{ arbitrarily close to } 1/2.$$

We conclude this section with the following

**Question.** Does (1) hold for the functions in the extended Selberg class? □

A variant of the question is: does (1) hold for linear combinations

$$F(s) = \sum_{j=1}^N c_j F_j(s)$$

with  $F_j \in S$  and  $c_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ? If needed, one may assume that  $F(s)$  belongs to  $S^\sharp$ .

Since  $\sigma_a(F) = 1$  for most classical  $L$ -functions  $F(s)$ , Theorem 1 prevents the possibility of getting information on the non-trivial zeros exploiting the properties of the abscissa of uniform convergence. On the other hand, if  $F \in S$  is bounded for  $\sigma > 1 - \delta$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , then its Dirichlet series is absolutely convergent for  $\sigma > 1 - \delta$  and hence  $F(s) \neq 0$  by Euler's identity. In the next theorems we replace boundedness by more general majorants and deduce some consequences.

Let  $F \in S$  be of degree  $d$ ,  $N_F(\sigma, T)$  be the number of zeros  $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$  with  $\beta > \sigma$  and  $|\gamma| \leq T$ , and denote the *density abscissa*  $\sigma_D(F)$  by

$$\sigma_D(F) = \inf\{\sigma : N_F(\sigma, T) = o(T)\}.$$

An inspection of the proof of Lemma 3 in [10], obtained by a rudimentary version of Montgomery's zero-detecting method, shows that

$$N_F(\sigma, T) \ll T^{4(d+3)(1-\sigma)+\varepsilon}.$$

Hence in general

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma_D(F) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{4(d+3)},$$

although it is well known that the classical  $L$ -functions  $F(s)$  of degree 1 and 2 have  $\sigma_D(F) = 1/2$ , see e.g. Luo [14]. Actually, one can prove that  $\sigma_D(F) = 1/2$  for all  $F \in S$  with degree  $0 < d \leq 2$ . Further, let  $f(s)$  be holomorphic in  $\sigma > 1 - \delta$  for some  $\delta > 0$  and almost periodic on the line  $\sigma = A$  for some  $A > 1$ . We say that  $f(s)$  is a  $\delta$ -almost periodic majorant of  $F(s)$  if

$$|F(s)| \leq c(\sigma)|f(s)| \tag{3}$$

in the half-plane  $\sigma > 1 - \delta$ , where  $c(\sigma) > 0$  is a continuous function for  $\sigma > 1 - \delta$ .

**Theorem 2** *Let  $F \in S$  and  $f(s)$  be a  $\delta$ -almost periodic majorant of  $F(s)$ . Then  $F(s)$  and  $f(s)$  have the same zeros, with the same multiplicity, in the half-plane  $\sigma > \max(1 - \delta, \sigma_D(F))$ .*

*Remark* Clearly, in view of (3) each zero of  $f(s)$  is also a zero of  $F(s)$ ; the non-trivial part of Theorem 2 says that the opposite assertion holds true as well. Note that we do not require that  $f(s)$  is almost periodic for  $\sigma > 1 - \delta$ , but only on some vertical line far on the right. We already noticed that, as a consequence of Theorem 1,  $F(s) \neq 0$  in every right half-plane where it is bounded. An immediate consequence of Theorem 2 is that  $F(s) \neq 0$  for  $\sigma > \max(1 - \delta, \sigma_D(F))$  if  $f(s)$  is a non-vanishing  $\delta$ -almost periodic majorant. In particular, from the density estimates reported above when  $d \leq 2$ , if  $\delta = 1/2$  then the Riemann Hypothesis holds for such  $F(s)$ .  $\square$

Our final result is a kind of new independence statement for  $L$ -functions from the Selberg class. Several forms of independence are known in  $\mathcal{S}$ , such as the linear independence, the multiplicity one property and the orthogonality conjecture and some of its consequences; see our above quoted surveys on the Selberg class. The new independence result is expressed in terms of majorants as follows.

**Theorem 3** *Let  $F, G \in \mathcal{S}$  be such that  $F(s) \ll |G(s)|$  for  $\sigma > 1/2$ . Then  $F(s) = G(s)$ .*

The special nature of the majorant is very important here. Indeed, suppose that  $G(s)$  is entire; then Theorem 2 gives only that  $F(s)$  and  $G(s)$  have the same zeros for  $\sigma > \sigma_D(F)$ . Instead, exploiting the information that  $G \in \mathcal{S}$ , Theorem 3 shows that actually  $F(s) = G(s)$ . In other words, no function from  $\mathcal{S}$  can dominate in  $\sigma > 1/2$  another function from  $\mathcal{S}$ . We may regard this as a weak form of a well known result obtained, under stronger assumptions, by Selberg [20] and Bombieri and Hejhal [2] about the statistical independence of the values of  $L$ -functions.

## 2 The lift operator

Let  $Q > 0$ ,  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$  with  $\lambda_j > 0$ ,  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r)$  with  $\mu_j \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $|\omega| = 1$ . We denote by  $W(Q, \lambda, \mu, \omega)$  the  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear space of the Dirichlet series  $F(s)$  solutions of the functional equation

$$Q^s \prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma(\lambda_j s + \mu_j) F(s) = \omega Q^{1-s} \prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma(\lambda_j(1-s) + \overline{\mu_j}) \overline{F(1-\bar{s})}. \tag{4}$$

Given an integer  $k \geq 1$ , we define the  $k$ -lift operator by

$$F(s) \mapsto F_k(s) = F\left(ks + \frac{1-k}{2}\right);$$

clearly, the operator is trivial for  $k = 1$ . A simple computation shows that

$$\text{if } F \in W(Q, \lambda, \mu, \omega) \text{ then } F_k \in W\left(Q^k, k\lambda, \mu + \frac{1-k}{2}\lambda, \omega\right). \tag{5}$$

In particular, from (5) we have that degree  $d_{F_k}$  and conductor  $q_{F_k}$  of  $F_k(s)$  satisfy

$$d_{F_k} = kd_F \quad q_{F_k} = q_F^k k^{kd_F}. \tag{6}$$

We recall (see the above references) that the class  $\mathcal{S}^\sharp$  consists of the Dirichlet series satisfying a functional equation of type (4), where now  $\Re \mu_j \geq 0$ , with the following properties:

$F(s)$  is absolutely convergent for  $\sigma > 1$  and  $(s - 1)^m F(s)$  is entire of finite order for some integer  $m \geq 0$ . Therefore we consider

$$B_F = 2 \min_{1 \leq j \leq r} \frac{\Re \mu_j}{\lambda_j} + 1,$$

which is an invariant of  $S^\sharp$  (see again the above references) since a simple computation shows that

$$B_F = -2 \max_{\rho} \Re \rho + 1,$$

where  $\rho$  runs over the trivial zeros of  $F(s)$ . From the definition of the  $k$ -lift operator and (5) we see that, given  $F \in S^\sharp$ , the lifted function  $F_k(s)$  also belongs to  $S^\sharp$  provided  $1 \leq k \leq B_F$  and, if  $B_F \geq 2$ ,  $F(s)$  is entire. Indeed, if  $k \geq 2$ ,  $F(s)$  has to be holomorphic at  $s = 1$  otherwise the pole of  $F_k(s)$  is not at  $s = 1$ , and the bound  $k \leq B_F$  is needed to have non-negative real part of the  $\mu$ 's data of  $F_k(s)$ . Therefore, defining  $V(Q, \lambda, \mu, \omega)$  to be the  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear space of the entire functions  $F \in S^\sharp$  satisfying (4) (again with  $\Re \mu_j \geq 0$ ), we have that

for  $1 \leq k \leq B_F$ , the  $k$ -lift operator maps  $V(Q, \lambda, \mu, \omega)$  into

$$V\left(Q^k, k\lambda, \mu + \frac{1-k}{2}\lambda, \omega\right).$$

Note that  $B_F$  depends only on  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , so it is the same for all functions in  $V(Q, \lambda, \mu, \omega)$ . Note also that the Selberg class  $\mathcal{S}$  is not preserved under the above mappings since the Ramanujan condition is not (necessarily) satisfied by  $F_k(s)$  even if  $F(s)$  does; see the examples below. Further, a simple computation shows that the  $k$ -lift operator commutes with the map sending  $F(s)$  to its standard twist. We also remark that the requirement  $\Re \mu_j \geq 0$  in the definition of  $S^\sharp$ , which is responsible for the limitation  $k \leq B_F$  in (6), is apparently not of primary importance in the theory of the Selberg class. Hence, although formally not belonging to  $S^\sharp$ , the lifts  $F_k(s)$  of entire  $F \in S^\sharp$  with  $k > B_F$  are further examples of Dirichlet series with continuation over  $\mathbb{C}$  and functional equation. A similar remark applies to the other condition in the definition of  $V(Q, \lambda, \mu, \omega)$ , namely the holomorphy at  $s = 1$ .

*Example* The Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s)$  cannot be lifted inside  $S^\sharp$  since it has  $B_\zeta = 1$ . The same holds for the Dirichlet  $L$ -functions with even primitive characters, while those associated with odd primitive characters may be lifted inside  $S^\sharp$  for  $k = 2$  and  $k = 3$ . However, after lifting their Dirichlet coefficients do not satisfy the Ramanujan condition, hence the lifted Dirichlet  $L$ -functions do not belong to  $\mathcal{S}$ . Note that, once suitably normalized, the lifts with  $k = 2$  become the  $L$ -functions associated with half-integral weight modular forms; see the books by Hecke [6] and Ogg [16]. Concerning degree 2, we consider the  $L$ -functions associated with holomorphic eigenforms of level  $N$  and integral weight  $K$ ; see Ogg [16]. Denoting by  $F(s)$  their normalization satisfying a functional equation reflecting  $s \mapsto 1 - s$  (instead of the original  $s \mapsto K - s$ ), we have that

$$B_F = K.$$

Hence the normalized  $L$ -functions of eigenforms of weight  $K$  may be lifted inside  $S^\sharp$  with  $k$  up to their weight. Here we consider only eigenforms since in general the  $L$ -functions of modular forms of level  $N$  satisfy a slightly different functional equation, not of  $S^\sharp$  type. □

We finally turn to the problem of the absolute convergence abscissa in  $S^\sharp$ . Let  $F \in S^\sharp$  be of degree  $d \geq 1$ . Then, thanks again to the properties of the standard twist, we know that

$$\sigma_a(F) \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2d}; \tag{7}$$

this follows from Theorem 1 of [11]. On the other hand, if  $F \in \mathcal{S}^\sharp$  we have that the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a(n)|}{n^{k\sigma+(1-k)/2}}$$

converges for  $\sigma > 1/2 + 1/(2k)$ . Hence from (6) and (7) we obtain that if both  $F(s)$  of degree  $d \geq 1$  and  $F_k(s)$  belong to  $\mathcal{S}^\sharp$ , then

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2kd} \leq \sigma_a(F_k) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2k}. \tag{8}$$

Since the above examples show that there exist functions  $F \in \mathcal{S}^\sharp$  with arbitrarily large  $B_F$  (e.g. the holomorphic eigenforms with arbitrarily large weight  $K$ ), (8) shows that  $\sigma_a(F)$  can be arbitrarily close to  $1/2$  inside  $\mathcal{S}^\sharp$ . Hence the behavior of  $\sigma_a(F)$  in the extended class  $\mathcal{S}^\sharp$  is definitely different from its expected behavior in the class  $\mathcal{S}$ .

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1

Observe that the case  $d = 0$  is trivial, since  $F(s)$  is identically 1; see Conrey and Ghosh [5]. For  $d$  positive we have  $\sigma_b(F) \geq 1/2$ , since  $F(s)$  is unbounded for  $\sigma < 1/2$  by the functional equation and the properties of the  $\Gamma$  function. Therefore, to prove the assertion it suffices to show the following fact: if for a certain  $1/2 < \sigma_0 \leq 1$  the function  $F(s)$  is bounded for  $\sigma > \sigma_0$ , then  $\sigma_a(F) \leq \sigma_0$ .

Let us fix an  $\varepsilon \in (0, \sigma_0 - 1/2)$ , and let  $c_0 = c_0(\varepsilon)$  be such that  $|a(n)| \leq c_0 n^{\varepsilon/2}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $c_0 \geq 3$ . Consider the finite set of primes

$$S_\varepsilon = \{p : |a(p)| > p^{\varepsilon/2} \text{ or } p < c_0^{2/\varepsilon}\}.$$

Let

$$F_p(s) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a(p^m)}{p^{ms}} \tag{9}$$

denote the  $p$ th Euler factor of  $F(s)$ . We split the Euler product as

$$\begin{aligned} F(s) &= \prod_{p \notin S_\varepsilon} \left(1 + \frac{a_F(p)}{p^s}\right) \prod_{p \in S_\varepsilon} F_p(s) \prod_{p \notin S_\varepsilon} \left(F_p(s) \left(1 + \frac{a_F(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1}\right) \\ &= P_1(s) P_2(s) P_3(s), \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

say. Both  $P_2(s)$  and its inverse  $1/P_2(s)$  have Dirichlet series representations which converge absolutely for  $\sigma > \theta$  for some  $\theta < 1/2$ . This is a simple consequence of the definition of the Selberg class; see the above quoted references. Therefore,  $P_2(s)$  and  $1/P_2(s)$  are bounded for  $\sigma > \sigma_0$ .

In view of (9) we have

$$P_3(s) = \prod_{p \notin S_\varepsilon} \left(1 + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{b(p^m)}{p^{ms}}\right)$$

with

$$b(p^m) = \sum_{l=0}^m (-1)^l a(p)^l a(p^{m-l}).$$

Hence, recalling that  $p \notin S_\varepsilon$ ,  $m \geq 2$  and  $c_0 \geq 3$ , we have

$$|b(p^m)| \leq \sum_{l=0}^m |a(p)^l| |a(p^{m-l})| \leq c_0 m p^{m\varepsilon/2} \leq p^{m\varepsilon}.$$

Thus for  $\sigma > 1/2 + \varepsilon$  and  $p \notin S_\varepsilon$  we have

$$\sum_{m=2}^\infty \frac{|b(p^m)|}{p^{m\sigma}} < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{p \notin S_\varepsilon} \sum_{m=2}^\infty \frac{|b(p^m)|}{p^{m\sigma}} \ll 1.$$

Hence both  $P_3(s)$  and  $1/P_3(s)$  are bounded and have Dirichlet series representations which converge absolutely for  $\sigma > \sigma_0$  (recall that  $\sigma_0 > 1/2 + \varepsilon$ ).

We therefore see that  $P_1(s) = F(s)/(P_2(s)P_3(s))$  is bounded for  $\sigma > \sigma_0$ . Let us write

$$P_1(s) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{c(n)}{n^s}.$$

The coefficients  $c(n)$  are completely multiplicative, and the series converges for  $\sigma > \sigma_0$ . Fix such a  $\sigma$ , and a positive  $\delta < \sigma - \sigma_0$ . Consider the following familiar Mellin's transform

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{c(n)}{n^{\sigma+it}} e^{-n/Y} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1-i\infty}^{1+i\infty} \frac{F(w + \sigma + it)}{P_2(w + \sigma + it)P_3(w + \sigma + it)} \Gamma(w) Y^w dw.$$

We shift the line of integration to  $\Re(w) = -\delta$  and obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{c(n)}{n^{\sigma+it}} e^{-n/Y} = \frac{F(\sigma + it)}{P_2(\sigma + it)P_3(\sigma + it)} + O(Y^{-\delta}) \ll 1$$

uniformly in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $Y \geq 1$ . Since  $|c(n)| \leq n^{\varepsilon/2}$ , due to the decay of the exponential we may cut the sum on the left hand side to  $n \leq 3Y \log Y$ , say, producing an extra error term of size  $O(1/Y)$ . Thus

$$\sum_{n \leq 3Y \log Y} \frac{c(n)}{n^{\sigma+it}} e^{-n/Y} \ll 1 \tag{11}$$

uniformly in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $Y \geq 1$ .

Now we apply Kronecker's theorem in the following form, see Theorem 8 of Ch. VIII of Chandrasekharan [4]. If  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k \in \mathbb{R}$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $T, \eta > 0$ , then there exist  $t > T$  and  $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$|t\theta_\ell - n_\ell - \beta_\ell| < \eta \quad \ell = 1, \dots, k. \tag{12}$$

We choose the  $\theta$ 's as  $-\frac{1}{2\pi} \log p$  with the primes  $p \leq 3Y \log Y$  not in  $S_\varepsilon$  and, correspondingly, the  $\beta$ 's such that  $|c(p)| = c(p)e^{2\pi i\beta_p}$  for each such  $p$ . Hence by (12) there exists a sequence of real numbers  $t_v \rightarrow +\infty$  such that

$$c(p)p^{-it_v} \rightarrow |c(p)| \quad v \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly for the primes  $p \leq 3Y \log Y$  not in  $S_\varepsilon$ . By the complete multiplicativity of  $c(n)$  we infer that

$$c(n)n^{-it_v} \rightarrow |c(n)| \quad v \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly for  $n \leq 3Y \log Y$ . Thus putting  $t = t_v$  in (11) and making  $v \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain

$$\sum_{n \leq Y} \frac{|c(n)|}{n^\sigma} \leq e \sum_{n \leq 3Y \log Y} \frac{|c(n)|}{n^\sigma} e^{-n/Y} = e \lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n \leq 3Y \log Y} \frac{c(n)}{n^{\sigma+it_v}} e^{-n/Y} \ll 1$$

uniformly for  $Y \geq 1$ . Letting  $Y \rightarrow \infty$ , we see that the Dirichlet series of  $P_1(s)$  converges absolutely for  $\sigma > \sigma_0$ .

Summarizing, we have shown that the Dirichlet series of  $P_1(s)$ ,  $P_2(s)$  and  $P_3(s)$  are absolutely convergent for  $\sigma > \sigma_0$ , hence the Dirichlet series of  $F(s)$  is also absolutely convergent for  $\sigma > \sigma_0$  thanks to (10), and the result follows.

### 4 Proof of Theorem 2

As in Theorem 1 the case  $d = 0$  is trivial, hence we assume  $d > 0$  and consider the function

$$h(s) = \frac{F(s)}{f(s)}$$

for  $\sigma > 1 - \delta$ . From (3) we have that  $h(s)$  is holomorphic for  $\sigma > 1 - \delta$ , bounded on every closed vertical strip inside  $\sigma > 1 - \delta$  and almost periodic on the line  $\sigma = A$ . For a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $\tau$  be an  $\varepsilon$ -almost period of  $h(A + it)$ , namely for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|h(A + i(t + \tau)) - h(A + it)| < \varepsilon.$$

Then, by the convexity following from Phragmén-Lindelöf’s theorem applied to  $h(s + i\tau) - h(s)$ , given  $\eta > 1 - \delta$  and any  $\eta < \sigma < A$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |h(\sigma + i(t + \tau)) - h(\sigma + it)| &\leq \left( \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |h(\eta + i(t + \tau)) - h(\eta + it)| \right)^{\frac{A-\sigma}{A-\eta}} \\ &\quad \times \left( \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |h(A + i(t + \tau)) - h(A + it)| \right)^{\frac{\sigma-\eta}{A-\eta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |h(\sigma + i(t + \tau)) - h(\sigma + it)| \ll \varepsilon^c$$

uniformly in any closed strip contained in  $\eta < \sigma < A$ , where  $c > 0$  depends on the strip. Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrarily small,  $h(s)$  is uniformly almost periodic in such strips. Suppose now that  $h(\rho) = 0$  for some  $\rho$  with  $\Re \rho > 1 - \delta$ . Then by a well known argument based on Rouché’s theorem we have that for any  $1 - \delta < \eta < \Re \rho$

$$T \ll N_h(\eta, T) \leq N_F(\eta, T) = o(T)$$

if  $\eta > \sigma_D(F)$ , a contradiction. Thus  $h(s) \neq 0$  for  $\sigma > \max(1 - \delta, \sigma_D(F))$ , hence every zero of  $F(s)$  in this half-plane is a zero of  $f(s)$ . Theorem 2 is therefore proved, since the opposite implication is a trivial consequence of (3).



### 5 Proof of Theorem 3

Again the case  $d = 0$  is trivial, since in this case  $F(s) \equiv 1$  and so  $G(s)$  does not vanish inside the critical strip, thus its degree is 0 and hence  $G(s) \equiv 1$  as well. Let  $F, G \in \mathcal{S}$  be with positive degrees and coefficients  $a_F(n)$  and  $a_G(n)$ , respectively, and consider the function

$$H(s) = \frac{F(s)}{G(s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{h(n)}{n^s},$$

say. By our hypothesis  $H(s)$  is bounded, and hence holomorphic, for  $\sigma > 1/2$ . We modify the proof of Theorem 1 at several points. By Lemma 1 of [10] we have that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an integer  $K = K(\varepsilon)$  such that the coefficients  $a_G^{-1}(n)$  of  $1/G(s)$  satisfy

$$a_G^{-1}(n) \ll n^\varepsilon \quad (n, K) = 1,$$

and hence

$$h(n) \ll n^{2\varepsilon} \quad (n, K) = 1.$$

Therefore the set

$$S = \{p : |h(p^m)| > p^{m/10} \text{ for some } m \geq 1 \text{ or } p \leq 10^4\}$$

is finite and we write

$$\begin{aligned} H(s) &= \prod_p \frac{F_p(s)}{G_p(s)} = \prod_p H_p(s) \\ &= \prod_{p \notin S} \left(1 + \frac{h(p)}{p^s} + \frac{h(p^2)}{p^{2s}}\right) \prod_{p \in S} H_p(s) \prod_{p \notin S} \left(H_p(s) \left(1 + \frac{h(p)}{p^s} + \frac{h(p^2)}{p^{2s}}\right)^{-1}\right) \\ &= Q_1(s) Q_2(s) Q_3(s), \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

say. As in the prof of Theorem 1,  $Q_2(s)$  and  $1/Q_2(s)$  are holomorphic and bounded for  $\sigma \geq 1/2$ . Moreover we have

$$Q_3(s) = \prod_{p \notin S} \left(1 + \frac{\sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \frac{h(p^m)}{p^{ms}}}{1 + \frac{h(p)}{p^s} + \frac{h(p^2)}{p^{2s}}}\right) = \prod_{p \notin S} \left(1 + \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \frac{k(p^m)}{p^{ms}}\right),$$

say, and a computation shows that for  $\sigma \geq 1/2$

$$\sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \frac{|k(p^m)|}{p^{m\sigma}} \leq \frac{1}{3} \quad \text{for every } p \notin S \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{p \notin S} \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \frac{|k(p^m)|}{p^{m\sigma}} \ll 1.$$

Therefore, no factor of the product vanishes, and  $Q_3(s)$  and  $1/Q_3(s)$  are holomorphic and bounded for  $\sigma \geq 1/2$  as well.

In order the treat  $Q_1(s)$  we need the following elementary lemma.

**Lemma** *For every  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  there exists  $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\theta| = 1$  such that*

$$|1 + \theta a + \theta^2 b| \geq 1 + \frac{1}{24}(|a| + |b|).$$

*Proof* Suppose first that  $|a| \leq |b|/2$ . Then

$$\max_{|\theta|=1} |1 + \theta a + \theta^2 b| \geq 1 + |b| - |a| \geq 1 + \frac{1}{2}|b| \geq 1 + \frac{1}{3}(|a| + |b|),$$

and the result follows in this case. In the opposite case  $|a| > |b|/2$  we apply the maximum modulus principle to the function  $f(z) = 1 + az + bz^2$ , thus obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{|\theta|=1} |1 + \theta a + \theta^2 b| &\geq \max_{|\theta|=1} \left| 1 + \frac{1}{4}\theta a + \frac{1}{16}\theta^2 b \right| \\ &\geq 1 + \frac{1}{4}|a| - \frac{1}{16}|b| \geq 1 + \frac{1}{24}(|a| + |b|), \end{aligned}$$

and the Lemma follows. Note that the constant  $1/24$  is neither optimal nor important in what follows; moreover, in general it cannot be made arbitrarily close to 1.  $\square$

From (13), our hypothesis and the above information on  $Q_2(s)$  and  $Q_3(s)$  we deduce that there exists  $M > 0$  such that for  $\sigma > 1/2$

$$|Q_1(s)| = \prod_{p \notin S} \left| 1 + p^{-it} \frac{h(p)}{p^\sigma} + p^{-2it} \frac{h(p^2)}{p^{2\sigma}} \right| \leq M.$$

By the Lemma, for every  $\sigma$  and  $p$  there exists  $|\theta_{p,\sigma}| = 1$  such that

$$\left| 1 + \theta_{p,\sigma} \frac{h(p)}{p^\sigma} + \theta_{p,\sigma}^2 \frac{h(p^2)}{p^{2\sigma}} \right| \geq 1 + \frac{1}{24} \left( \frac{|h(p)|}{p^\sigma} + \frac{|h(p^2)|}{p^{2\sigma}} \right).$$

Assuming that  $\sigma > 1/2$  and  $p \notin S$ , applying Kronecker’s theorem as in the last part of the proof of Theorem 1 we find that

$$\prod_{p \notin S} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{24} \left( \frac{|h(p)|}{p^\sigma} + \frac{|h(p^2)|}{p^{2\sigma}} \right) \right) \leq M.$$

Then, letting  $\sigma \rightarrow 1/2^+$ , we deduce that the product

$$\prod_{p \notin S} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{24} \left( \frac{|h(p)|}{p^{1/2}} + \frac{|h(p^2)|}{p} \right) \right)$$

is convergent. Thus the series

$$\sum_{p \notin S} \left( \frac{|h(p)|}{p^{1/2}} + \frac{|h(p^2)|}{p} \right)$$

is convergent as well and, in turn, the product

$$\prod_{p \notin S} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{|h(p)|}{p^{1/2}} + \frac{|h(p^2)|}{p} \right) \right)$$

converges. Hence  $Q_1(s)$  and  $Q_1(s)^{-1}$  are non-vanishing for  $\sigma \geq 1/2$ .

From (13) and the above properties of  $Q_j(s)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , we immediately see that  $H(s)$  is holomorphic and non-vanishing for  $\sigma \geq 1/2$ . Denoting by  $\gamma_F(s)$  and  $\gamma_G(s)$  the  $\gamma$ -factors of  $F(s)$  and  $G(s)$ , thanks to the functional equation we deduce that

$$\frac{\gamma_F(s)}{\gamma_G(s)} H(s)$$

is a non-vanishing entire function of order  $\leq 1$ , and hence by Hadamard's theory we have

$$H(s) = \frac{\gamma_G(s)}{\gamma_F(s)} e^{as+b} \quad (14)$$

with some  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ . Now we can conclude by means of the almost periodicity argument that we used in our proof of the multiplicity one property of  $\mathcal{S}$ . For this we refer to Lemma 2.1 of [9] and to Theorem 2.3.2 of [7]; in particular, (14) is exactly the last displayed formula of p. 167 of [7]. This way we get that  $H(s) \equiv 1$ , hence Theorem 3 is proved.

**Acknowledgements** This research was partially supported by Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica and by Grant 2013/11/B/ST1/02799 *Analytic Methods in Arithmetic* of the National Science Centre.

## References

- Bohr, H.: Über die Bedeutung der Potenzreihen unendlich vieler Variablen in der Theorie der Dirichletschen Reihen  $\sum \frac{a_n}{n^s}$ . Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen Math. Phys. Kl. 441–488 (1913); see also *Collected Mathematical Works*, vol. I, Dansk Matematisk Forening (1952)
- Bombieri, E., Hejhal, D.A.: On the distribution of zeros of linear combinations of Euler products. *Duke Math. J.* **80**, 821–862 (1995)
- Brevig, O.F., Heap, W.: Convergence abscissas for Dirichlet series with multiplicative coefficients. *Expo. Math.* (2015, to appear). doi:[10.1016/j.exmath.2015.10.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exmath.2015.10.005)
- Chandrasekharan, K.: *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*. Springer, New York (1968)
- Conrey, J.B., Ghosh, A.: On the Selberg class of Dirichlet series: small degrees. *Duke Math. J.* **72**, 673–693 (1993)
- Hecke, E.: *Lectures on Dirichlet Series, Modular Functions and Quadratic Forms*. Vanderhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen (1983)
- Kaczorowski, J.: Axiomatic theory of  $L$ -functions: the Selberg class. In: Perelli, A., Viola, C. (eds.) *Analytic Number Theory*, C.I.M.E. Summer School, Cetraro (Italy). *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 1891, pp. 133–209. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (2006)
- Kaczorowski, J., Perelli, A.: On the structure of the Selberg class, I:  $0 \leq d \leq 1$ . *Acta Math.* **182**, 207–241 (1999)
- Kaczorowski, J., Perelli, A.: The Selberg class: a survey. In: Györy, K. et al. (eds.) *Proceedings of the Conference in Honor of A. Schinzel on Number Theory in Progress*, pp. 953–992. de Gruyter (1999)
- Kaczorowski, J., Perelli, A.: On the prime number theorem for the Selberg class. *Arch Math.* **80**, 255–263 (2003)
- Kaczorowski, J., Perelli, A.: On the structure of the Selberg class, VI: non-linear twists. *Acta Arith.* **116**, 315–341 (2005)
- Kaczorowski, J., Perelli, A.: *Introduction to the Selberg Class of  $L$ -Functions (in preparation)*
- Landau, E.: Über die Anzahl der Gitterpunkte in gewissen Bereichen (Zweite Abhandlung). *Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen Math.-Phys. Kl.* 209–243 (1915)
- Luo, W.: Zeros of Hecke  $L$ -functions associated with cusp forms. *Acta Arith.* **71**, 139–157 (1995)
- Maurizi, B., Queffélec, H.: Some remarks on the algebra of bounded Dirichlet series. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **16**, 676–692 (2010)
- Ogg, A.: *Modular Forms and Dirichlet Series*. Benjamin, New York (1969)
- Perelli, A.: A survey of the Selberg class of  $L$ -functions, part I. *Milan J. Math.* **73**, 19–52 (2005)
- Perelli, A.: A survey of the Selberg class of  $L$ -functions, part II. *Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma* **3**(7), 83–118 (2004)
- Perelli, A.: Non-linear twists of  $L$ -functions: a survey. *Milan J. Math.* **78**, 117–134 (2010)
- Selberg, A.: Old and new conjectures and results about a class of Dirichlet series. In: Bombieri, E. et al. (eds.) *Proceedings of the Amalfi Conference on Analytic Number Theory*, pp. 367–385. Università di Salerno 1992; *Collected Papers* vol. II, pp. 47–63. Springer (1991)