Affine crystals, one-dimensional sums and parabolic Lusztig *q***-analogues**

Cédric Lecouvey · Masato Okado · Mark Shimozono

Received: 25 February 2010 / Accepted: 23 April 2011 / Published online: 4 June 2011 © Springer-Verlag 2011

Abstract This paper is concerned with one-dimensional sums in classical affine types. We prove a conjecture of Shimozono and Zabrocki (J Algebra 299:33–61, [2006\)](#page-46-0) by showing they all decompose in terms of one-dimensional sums related to affine type *A* provided the rank of the root system considered is sufficiently large. As a consequence, any onedimensional sum associated to a classical affine root system with sufficiently large rank can be regarded as a parabolic Lusztig *q*-analogue.

Contents

C. Lecouvey (\boxtimes)

M. Okado

LMPT, Université François Rabelais, UFR Sciences, Parc Grammont, 37200 Tours, France e-mail: cedric.lecouvey@lmpt.univ-tours.fr

Department of Mathematical Science, Graduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-8531, Japan

1 Introduction

Consider λ and μ two partitions with at most *n* parts. Schur duality asserts that the Kostka number $K_{\lambda,\mu}$ counts both the dimension of the weight space μ in the irreducible \mathfrak{sl}_n representation *V*(λ) of highest weight λ and the multiplicity of *V*(λ) in the tensor product $S^{\mu_1}(V) \otimes \cdots \otimes S^{\mu_n}(V)$ of the symmetric powers of the vector representation. Using the Weyl character formula, the Kostka numbers may be expressed in terms of the Kostant partition function. The *q*-deformation of this partition function gives rise to the Kostka polynomials. The Kostka polynomials are Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials for the affine Weyl group and thus their coefficients are nonnegative integers, being dimensions of stalks of intersection cohomology sheaves on Schubert varieties in the affine flag variety. They also admit a nice combinatorial description in terms of the Lascoux-Schützenberger charge statistic on semistandard tableaux.

The Kostka polynomials also appear in the representation theory of the quantum affine nice combinatorial description in terms of the Lascoux-Schutzenberger charge statistic on
semistandard tableaux.
The Kostka polynomials also appear in the representation theory of the quantum affine
algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_$ charge statistic to the energy function, a fundamental grading defined on tensor products of Kashiwara crystals associated to Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules. Their result can be regarded as a quantum analogue of Schur duality. It is also worth mentioning that the energy function naturally appears in solvable lattice models in statistical physics.

The aim of this paper is to establish a generalization of the connection observed in [\[24\]](#page-45-0). On the weight multiplicity side, we consider parabolic Lusztig *q*-analogues. These are polynomials which quantize the branching coefficients given by the restriction of an irreducible representation of a simple Lie algebra g_0 to a Levi subalgebra. In the case that the Levi is the Cartan subalgebra, these are Lusztig's *q*-analogue of weight multiplicity, and in the further special case that $g_0 = \mathfrak{s}l_n$ they are Kostka polynomials. We consider *stable* parabolic Lusztig *q*-analogues, which are defined when g_0 is of classical type and the weights λ and μ do not involve spin weights and stay away from a certain hyperplane. The stable parabolic Lusztig *q*-analogues have a well-defined large rank limit.

On the other side we consider tensor products of Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules, which afford the action of the quantum enveloping algebra associated to an affine algebra g. Their restriction to the canonical simple Lie subalgebra g_0 has a natural grading by the energy function, and taking isotypic components, we obtain polynomials called one-dimensional sums. A stable one-dimensional sum is one in which $g₀$ is of classical type and the tensor factors do not involve spin weights. They are so named because they are stable in the large rank limit.

Our key result is Theorem [10.1](#page-33-2) (previously conjectured in [\[32\]](#page-46-0)) giving the decomposition of the one-dimensional sums for any classical affine type in terms of those of affine type *A*. It then suffices to observe that this decomposition is the same as the decomposition of the stable parabolic Lusztig *q*-analogues obtained in [\[18](#page-45-1)].

Let us give a more detailed description of our results. For an affine Lie algebra g with classical subalgebra g_0 , there is a finite-dimensional $U'_q(g)$ -module with crystal graph given
by the tensor product of Kirilloy-Reshetikhin (KR) crystals by the tensor product of Kirillov-Reshetikhin (KR) crystals

$$
B = B^{r_1, s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes B^{r_p, s_p}.
$$
\n
$$
(1.1)
$$

A KR crystal $B^{r,s}$ is indexed by a pair $(r, s) \in I_0 \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ where $I = \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ is the affine Dynkin node set and $I_j = I \setminus \{j\}$ for $j \in I$. The crystal graph *B* has a I_0 -equivariant grading by the coenergy function $\overline{D}_B : B \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Given a dominant \mathfrak{g}_0 -weight λ , the onedimensional (1-d) sum $\overline{X}_{\lambda,B}(q)$ is the graded multiplicity of the irreducible highest weight *I*₀-crystal *B*(λ) in *B*.

Throughout the paper we shall assume that g belongs to one of the nonexceptional families of affine root systems. Fix the sequence $((r_1, s_1), \ldots, (r_p, s_p))$ representing *B* and *I*₀-crystal *B*(λ) in *B*.

Throughout the paper we shall assume that $\mathfrak g$ belongs to one of the nonexceptional families of affine root systems. Fix the sequence $((r_1, s_1), \ldots, (r_p, s_p))$ representing *B* and the se weight of g_0 . Throughout the paper $r \in I_0$ is called a spin node if $r = n$ when $g_0 = B_n$, C_n and $r = n - 1$, *n* when $g_0 = D_n$. In order to take a large rank limit of the 1-d sum $X_{\lambda,B}(q)$, we assume that no spin weights appear: none of the r_i are spin nodes, and $d_i = 0$ if $i \in I_0$ is a spin node. A "spinless" sequence representing *B* makes sense for large rank, and the sequence (d_1, d_2, \ldots) for λ also makes sense provided that we append zeros as necessary. We associate with the dominant g_0 -weight λ the partition (also denoted λ) that has d_i columns of height *i* for all *i*.

It was observed in [\[32](#page-46-0)] that the 1-d sum has a large rank limit which we shall call a stable 1-d sum, and moreover, that they fall into only four distinct kinds, which are labeled by the four partitions with at most two cells: \varnothing (the empty partition), (1), (2), and (1, 1). We write $\overline{X}_{\lambda,B}^{\diamond}(q)$ for the stable 1-d sum of kind $\diamondsuit \in \{\emptyset, (1), (2), (1, 1)\}.$

We now describe the kind \diamond associated to each nonexceptional affine family. Let $\mathcal{P}^{\diamondsuit}$ denote the set of partitions whose diagrams can be tiled (without rotation) by the partition diagram of \Diamond . Then \mathcal{P}^{\emptyset} is the singleton consisting of the empty partition, $\mathcal{P}^{(1)}$ is the set of all partitions, $\mathcal{P}^{(2)}$ is the set of partitions with even row lengths, and $\mathcal{P}^{(1,1)}$ is the set of partitions with even column lengths. Let P_n denote the set of partitions with at most *n* parts. Write $\mathcal{P}_n^{\diamondsuit} = \mathcal{P}^{\diamondsuit} \cap \mathcal{P}_n$. For $(r, s) \in I_0 \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that *n* is large with respect to $r (n \geq r + 2)$ suffices) define $\mathcal{P}_n^{\diamond}(r, s)$ to be the set of partitions $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$ such that the 180° rotation of the complement of λ in the $r \times s$ rectangular partition (s^r) , is in the set $\mathcal{P}^{\diamondsuit}$. We say the affine family of g is of kind \Diamond if the KR crystal $B^{r,s}$ (for *n* large with respect to *r*) has the *I*0-decomposition

$$
B^{r,s} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamondsuit}(r,s)} B(\lambda)
$$
 (1.2)

where $B(\lambda)$ is the irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -crystal of highest weight λ . All nonexceptional affine families are of one of the four kinds [\[32](#page-46-0)], and note that the kind depends precisely on the attachment of the affine Dynkin node 0 to the rest of the Dynkin diagram. We use the notation of [\[11](#page-45-2)].

The main purpose of this paper is to establish a conjecture of [\[32\]](#page-46-0). To state this conjecture, we require some notation. The partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ (with $\lambda_{n-1} = \lambda_n = 0$ to The main purpose of this paper is to establish a conjecture of [32]. To state this conjecture,
we require some notation. The partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n)$ (with $\lambda_{n-1} = \lambda_n = 0$ to
avoid spin weights) encodes the dominan The main purpose of this paper is to establish a conjecture of [32]. To state this conjecture,
we require some notation. The partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n)$ (with $\lambda_{n-1} = \lambda_n = 0$ to
avoid spin weights) encodes the dominan coefficient [\[22](#page-45-3)].

Conjecture 1.1 [\[32](#page-46-0)] *For* \diamond ∈ {(1), (2), (1, 1)}

$$
For \diamondsuit \in \{(1), (2), (1, 1)\}\
$$

$$
\overline{X}_{\lambda, B}^{\diamondsuit}(q) = q^{\frac{|B|-|\lambda|}{|\diamondsuit|}} \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n} \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamondsuit}} c_{\delta\lambda}^{\nu} \, \overline{X}_{\nu, B}^{\varnothing}(q^{\frac{2}{|\diamondsuit|}}).
$$
 (1.4)

Conjecture [1.1](#page-3-0) gives a simple formula for all stable 1-d sums in terms of the type $A_n^{(1)}$ 1-d sums, which are fairly well-understood [\[29](#page-45-4)[,31\]](#page-46-1). In the case that *B* has tensor factors of the form $B^{1,s}$, Conjecture [1.1](#page-3-0) was proved in [\[30\]](#page-45-5) for $\diamondsuit \in \{(1), (2)\}$ and in [\[20](#page-45-6)] for $\diamondsuit = (1, 1)$. This is much easier than the general case: for the KR crystals $B^{1,s}$ all computations can be done explicitly.

The purpose of this paper is to prove Conjecture [1.1](#page-3-0) in full generality (for arbitrary nonspin KR tensor factors). This is achieved in Theorem [10.1.](#page-33-2) We choose specific affine root systems \mathfrak{g}^{\diamond} for each $\diamond \in \{(1), (2), (1, 1)\}$. This choice, the classical subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\diamond}_0$, and the affine Dynkin diagram $Y(\mathfrak{g}^{\diamond})$ are given below. affine Dynkin diagram $X(\mathfrak{g}^{\diamondsuit})$ are given below.

We shall call the three nonexceptional affine root systems $\mathfrak{g}^{\diamondsuit}$ *reversible*, since their affine Dynkin diagrams admit the automorphism

$$
\sigma(i) = n - i \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \le i \le n. \tag{1.6}
$$

Reversible root systems possess the following properties. There is an associated automorphism σ on KR crystals $B^{r,s}$ for r nonspin (Sect. [5.3\)](#page-21-1). One then extends σ to tensor products

of KR crystals by applying it to each factor. This map has a remarkable property: it sends all of the *I*0-highest weight vertices in any tensor product *B* of nonspin KR crystals, into the subcrystal (called max(*B*)) of I_0 -components whose highest weights λ correspond to partitions with the maximum number of boxes (Theorem [7.1\)](#page-28-2). Surprisingly, one can compute the precise change in the energy function (grading) under σ acting on I_0 -highest weight vertices (Theorem [8.1\)](#page-28-3). Finally, near the I_0 -highest weight vertices in max(*B*), the crystal *B* looks like a similar tensor product B_A of type $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ crystals and moreover the gradings coincide (Theorem [9.7\)](#page-32-1). Along the way we make use of some I_0 -crystal embeddings we call splitting maps: row splitting $B^{r,s} \to B^{r-1,s} \otimes B^{1,s}$ (Sect. [6.1\)](#page-25-0) and box splitting $B^{1,s} \to (B^{1,1})^{\otimes s}$ (Sect. [6.3\)](#page-27-0). These embeddings exist for any nonexceptional g and nonspin $r \in I_0$. When applied to the first tensor factor in a tensor product of KR crystals, row splitting preserves energy (Theorem [11.3\)](#page-39-2) and box splitting preserves coenergy. We also employ a kind of row splitting map in Sect. [4](#page-15-1) which embeds the highest weight I_0 -crystals $B(\lambda)$ of classical type, into a tensor product of I_0 -crystals of the form $B(s\omega_1)$. This encoding, which we call the row tableau realization, allows us to see the shadow (that is, the image under σ) of the I_0 crystal decomposition of a KR crystal. For this purpose the well-known Kashiwara– Nakashima tableau realization [\[15\]](#page-45-7) of $B(\lambda)$ is less illuminating.

2 Some classical multiplicity formulae

2.1 Notation on classical Lie groups

In the sequel *G* is one of the complex Lie groups GL_n , Sp_{2n} , SO_{2n+1} , or SO_{2n} . We follow the convention of [\[17](#page-45-8)] to realize *G* as a subgroup of GL_N and its Lie algebra g as a subalgebra
of σ _{*N*} where of \mathfrak{gl}_N where

$$
N = \begin{cases} n & \text{when } G = GL_n, \\ 2n & \text{when } G = Sp_{2n}, \\ 2n + 1 & \text{when } G = SO_{2n+1}, \\ 2n & \text{when } G = SO_{2n}. \end{cases}
$$

With this convention the maximal torus T_G of *G* and the Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h}_G of g coincide respectively with the subgroup and the subalgebra of diagonal matrices of *^G* and g. Similarly the Borel subgroup B_G of *G* and the Borel subalgebra b_G of g coincide respectively with the subgroup and subalgebra of upper triangular matrices of *^G* and g. There is an embedding of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{gl}_n \to \mathfrak{g}$ that restricts to an embedding $\mathfrak{h}_{GL_n} \to \mathfrak{h}_G$ of Cartan subalgebras and of their real forms $\mathfrak{h}_{GL_n}^{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathfrak{h}_G^{\mathbb{R}}$. Via restriction, there is an isomorphism of the real form of the weight lattice of g with that of \mathfrak{gl}_n . For any $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, let $\varepsilon_i : \mathfrak{h}_{GL_n}^{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R}$ be the (i, i) matrix entry function. The functions $\{c_1, i \in [1, \ldots, n]\}$ form a \mathbb{Z} hosis of the the (i, i) matrix entry function. The functions $\{\varepsilon_i \mid i \in \{1, ..., n\}\}\$ form a \mathbb{Z} -basis of the and of their real forms $\mathfrak{h}_{GL_n}^{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathfrak{h}_G^{\mathbb{R}}$. Via restriction, there is an isomorphism of the real form
of the weight lattice of g with that of \mathfrak{gl}_n . For any $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$, let $\varepsilon_i : \mathfrak{h}_{GL_n}^{\mathbb{R$ way we may regard weights of g as elements in \mathbb{R}^n . Let Σ_G^+ and R_G^+ be the sets of sim-
ple and positive roots of G respectively. As usual α is the half sum of the positive roots ple and positive roots of *G*, respectively. As usual ρ_G is the half sum of the positive roots of *G*. The set \mathcal{P}_n is contained in the cone of dominant weights of *G*. Let $V^G(\lambda)$ be the finite dimensional irreducible *G*-module of highest weight λ . Let W_G be the Weyl group of *G*. Then $W_{GL_n} = S_n$ can be regarded as a subgroup of any W_G for $G = GL_n$, Sp_{2n} , SO_{2n+1} or SO_{2n} . Given $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ (the weight lattice of GL_n), let $\overline{\lambda} = (-\lambda_n, \ldots, -\lambda_1) = -w_0^{A_{n-1}}(\lambda)$ where $w_0^{A_{n-1}} \in W_{GL_n}$ is the longest element and let $\overline{\mathcal{P}_n}$ denote the image of \mathcal{P}_n under $\lambda \mapsto \overline{\lambda}$.

Note that for $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$, the contragredient dual of the polynomial GL_n -module $V^{GL_n}(\lambda)$ is isomorphic to $V^{GL_n}(\overline{\lambda})$.

2.2 Decomposition of classical tensor product multiplicities

For $G = Sp_{2n}$, SO_{2n+1} , or SO_{2n} , $\diamondsuit \in \{(1), (2), (1, 1)\}$, and $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n$, define the *G*-module

Let us consider the following equations:

\n
$$
SO_{2n}, \diamondsuit \in \{(1), (2), (1, 1)\},
$$
\n
$$
W^G_{\diamondsuit}(v) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} \bigoplus_{\delta \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamondsuit}} V^G(\lambda)^{\oplus c_{\delta\lambda}^v}.
$$

The module $W^G_{\diamondsuit}(\nu)$ is defined specifically to have irreducible decomposition which mimics the decomposition of KR modules of kind \diamond into their classical components.

Let $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_p)$ be a *p*-tuple of positive integers summing to *n*. Consider $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $(\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(p)})$ a *p*-tuple of partitions such that $\mu^{(k)} \in \mathcal{P}_{\eta_k}$ for any $k = 1, \dots, p$. Define
the coefficients $c^{\lambda}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(p)}}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{\lambda, \diamondsuit}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(p)}}$ by
 $V^{GL_n}(\mu^{(1)}) \otimes \dots \otimes V^{GL_n}(\mu^{(p)}) \simeq \bigoplus$ the coefficients $c^{\lambda}_{\mu^{(1)},\dots,\mu^{(p)}}$ and $\mathfrak{K}^{\lambda,\diamondsuit}_{\mu^{(1)},\dots,\mu^{(p)}}$ by

$$
V^{GL_n}(\mu^{(1)}) \otimes \cdots \otimes V^{GL_n}(\mu^{(p)}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} V^{GL_n}(\lambda)^{\oplus c_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(p)}}^{\lambda^{(2)}}}
$$
(2.1)

$$
W^G_{\diamondsuit}(\mu^{(1)}) \otimes \cdots \otimes W^G_{\diamondsuit}(\mu^{(p)}) \simeq \bigoplus V^G(\lambda)^{\oplus \mathfrak{K}^{\lambda, \diamond}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(p)}}}. \qquad (2.2)
$$

$$
W_{\diamondsuit}^G(\mu^{(1)}) \otimes \cdots \otimes W_{\diamondsuit}^G(\mu^{(p)}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} V^G(\lambda)^{\oplus \mathfrak{K}_{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(p)}}^{\lambda, \diamondsuit}}.
$$
 (2.2)

We have the following proposition obtained by specializing at $q = 1$ Theorem 4.4.2 in [\[18\]](#page-45-1). It shows that the coefficients $\mathcal{R}_{\mu^{(1)},\dots,\mu^{(p)}}^{\lambda,\diamondsuit}$ do not depend on the Lie group $G = Sp_{2n}$, SO_{2n+1} or SO_{2n} . or SO_{2n} .

Proposition 2.1 *For n sufficiently large, we have*

sufficiently large, we have
\n
$$
\mathfrak{K}^{\lambda,\diamondsuit}_{\mu^{(1)},\ldots,\mu^{(p)}} = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n} \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamond}} c^{\nu}_{\lambda,\delta} c^{\lambda}_{\mu^{(1)},\ldots,\mu^{(p)}}.
$$

We also recall Littlewood's formula $[21]$ (see also $[10]$): Write P_n for the set of pairs (γ^+, γ^-) such that γ^- and γ^+ are partitions with respectively r^+ and r^- nonzero parts, and $r^+ + r^- \le n$. We identify each $(\gamma^+, \gamma^-) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_n$ with the GL_n -dominant weight and $r + r \leq n$. We definity each $(\gamma^+, \gamma^+) \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with the GL_n -dominant weight $(\gamma^+, \dots, \gamma^+_r, 0^{n-r^+-r^-}, -\gamma^-_r, \dots, -\gamma^-_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and denote by $V^{GL_n}(\gamma^+, \gamma^-)$ the corresponding GL_n -module with highest weight $(\gamma^+, \$ responding GL_n -module with highest weight (γ^+, γ^-) . For all $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $(\gamma^+, \gamma^-) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_n$

$$
[\downarrow_{GL_n}^G V^G(\nu) : V^{GL_n}(\gamma^+,\gamma^-)] = \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamond}, \kappa \in \mathcal{P}_n} c_{\gamma^+,\gamma^-}^{\kappa} c_{\delta,\kappa}^{\nu}
$$
(2.3)

where $G = SO_{2n+1}$, Sp_{2n} , SO_{2n} corresponds to $\diamondsuit = (1)$, (2), (1, 1) respectively, $\downarrow_{GL_n}^G$ *V* is a *G*-module *V* restricted to GL_n , and $[W: V]$ is the multiplicity of the irreducible module *V* in *W*.

Remark 2.2 For λ , μ , $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with $n \ge \max(\ell(\lambda) + \ell(\mu), \ell(\nu)) + 2$, if $[V^G(\lambda) \otimes V^G(\mu))$: *V* $G(v)$ $V(G(v)) > 0$ then $|v| \le |\lambda| + |\mu|$, and if equality occurs then the multiplicity is the LR coefficient $c_{\lambda\mu}^v$. This can be easily deduced from the following formula due to King [12] $[V^G(\lambda) \otimes V^G(\mu) : V^G(\nu)] = \sum c_{\$ coefficient $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}$. This can be easily deduced from the following formula due to King [\[12\]](#page-45-11)

$$
[V^G(\lambda) \otimes V^G(\mu) : V^G(\nu)] = \sum_{\delta,\xi,\eta} c_{\delta,\xi}^{\nu} c_{\delta,\eta}^{\lambda} c_{\xi,\eta}^{\mu}
$$

which holds in particular under the assumption $n \geq \max(\ell(\lambda) + \ell(\mu), \ell(\nu)) + 2$. The multiplicities are then independent of the group *G* considered.

3 Crystal generalities

3.1 Affine root systems

Let $I = \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ be the set of nodes of the affine Dynkin diagram X with generalized Cartan matrix $(a_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$, all associated with the affine Lie algebra g. We use the labeling of affine Dynkin diagrams in [\[11\]](#page-45-2). Let (a_0, \ldots, a_n) and $(a_0^{\vee}, \ldots, a_n^{\vee})$ be the unique sequences of relatively prime positive integers such that

$$
\sum_{j \in I} a_{ij} a_j = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \in I \tag{3.1}
$$

$$
\sum_{i \in I} a_i^{\vee} a_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{for all } j \in I. \tag{3.2}
$$

Then

$$
a_0^{\vee} = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{for } \mathfrak{g} = A_{2n}^{(2)} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$
 (3.3)

Let *P* be the affine weight lattice, $P^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P, \mathbb{Z})$, and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : P^* \times P \to \mathbb{Z}$ the evaluation pairing. By definition *P* has Z-basis denoted $\{\delta/a_0, \Lambda_0, \Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n\}$ and P^* has dual Z-basis $\{d, \alpha_0^\vee, \alpha_1^\vee, \ldots, \alpha_n^\vee\}$. In particular

$$
\langle \alpha_i^\vee, \ \Lambda_j \rangle = \chi(i = j) \quad \text{for } i, j \in I. \tag{3.4}
$$

Here $\chi(P) = 1$ if *P* is true and $\chi(P) = 0$ otherwise. The Λ_i are called affine fundamental weights, δ is called the null root, *d* is called the degree derivation, and α_i^{\vee} are the simple coroots. Let $P^+ = \{\Lambda \in P \mid \langle \alpha_i, \Lambda \rangle \ge 0 \text{ for all } i \in I\}$ be the set of dominant weights.
Define the elements $\alpha_j \in P$ (the simple roots) by
 $\alpha_j = \chi(j = 0) \delta/a_0 + \sum a_{ij} \Lambda_i \text{ for } j \in I.$ (3.5) Define the elements $\alpha_j \in P$ (the simple roots) by

$$
\alpha_j = \chi(j=0)\,\delta/a_0 + \sum_{i \in I} a_{ij}\,\Lambda_i \quad \text{for } j \in I. \tag{3.5}
$$

One may check that

$$
\langle \alpha_i^{\vee}, \alpha_j \rangle = a_{ij} \quad \text{for all } i, j \in I \tag{3.6}
$$

$$
a_{ij} = a_{ij} \text{ for all } i, j \in I
$$
\n
$$
\delta = \sum_{j \in I} a_j \alpha_j \tag{3.6}
$$

and that $\{\alpha_i \mid i \in I\}$ is a linearly independent set. The canonical central element $c \in P^*$ is defined by
 $c = \sum a_i^{\vee} \alpha_i^{\vee}$. (3.8) defined by

$$
c = \sum_{i \in I} a_i^{\vee} \alpha_i^{\vee}.
$$
 (3.8)

The *level* of a weight $\lambda \in P$ is defined by

$$
lev(\lambda) = \langle c, \lambda \rangle.
$$
 (3.9)

By (3.3) and (3.4) we have

$$
lev(\Lambda_i) = a_i^{\vee}
$$
 (3.10)

$$
lev(\Lambda_0) = 1.
$$
 (3.11)

 $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}$ Springer

Define the lattice $P' = P/(\mathbb{Z}\delta/a_0)$. For $i \in I$, write α'_i for the image of α_i under the natural projection $P \to P'$. Then $\alpha'_0 = -\theta/a_0$. Since $\langle \alpha_i^{\vee}, \delta \rangle = 0$ for all $i \in I$, lev : $P' \to \mathbb{Z}$ is well-defined. Denote $P^0 = {\lambda \in P' \mid \text{lev}\lambda = 0}.$

Let g_0 be the simple Lie algebra obtained from g by "omitting the 0 node". Let P_0 be the weight lattice of g_0 . There is a natural projection $P \to P_0$ with kernel $\mathbb{Z}(\delta/a_0) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\Lambda_0$. well-defined. Denote $P^0 = \{ \lambda \in P' \mid \text{lev}\lambda = 0 \}.$
Let \mathfrak{g}_0 be the simple Lie algebra obtained from \mathfrak{g} by "omitting the 0 node". Let P_0 be the weight lattice of \mathfrak{g}_0 . There is a natural projection $P \to$ dual lattice $P_0^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P_0, \mathbb{Z})$ has dual \mathbb{Z} -basis denoted α_i^{\vee} for $i \in I_0$. There is a natural inclusion $P_0^* \to P^*$ defined by $\alpha_i^{\vee} \mapsto \alpha_i^{\vee}$ for $i \in I_0$. There is a natural projection $P' \to P_0$ with section

$$
P_0 \to P'
$$

\n
$$
\omega_i \to \Lambda_i - \text{lev}(\Lambda_i)\Lambda_0 = \Lambda_i - a_i^{\vee}\Lambda_0 \text{ for } i \in I_0.
$$
\n(3.12)

The image of this section is *P*0.

Let $P_0^+ = \{ \lambda \in P_0 \mid \langle \alpha_i^{\vee}, \lambda \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \in I_0 \}$ be the dominant weights in P_0 . Let The image of this section is P^0 .

Let $P_0^+ = {\lambda \in P_0 \mid \langle \alpha_i^\vee, \lambda \rangle \ge 0 \text{ for all } i \in I_0}$ be the do $Q_0 = \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{Z} \alpha_i$ be the sublattice of P_0 given by the root lattice.

3.2 The extended affine Weyl group and Dynkin automorphisms

The affine Weyl group *W* is the subgroup of the group Aut(*P*) of linear automorphisms of *P* generated by the maps

$$
s_i \lambda = \lambda - \langle \alpha_i^{\vee}, \, \alpha \rangle \, \alpha_i \quad \text{for } \lambda \in P \quad \text{and} \quad i \in I.
$$

The action of *W* on P^* is defined by either of the equivalent formulae:

$$
\langle w \cdot \mu, w \cdot \lambda \rangle = \langle \mu, \lambda \rangle \text{ for } w \in W, \lambda \in P, \mu \in P^*
$$

$$
s_i \mu = \mu - \langle \mu, \alpha_i \rangle \alpha_i^{\vee} \text{ for } \mu \in P^*, i \in I.
$$

We write W_0 for the Weyl group of \mathfrak{g}_0 , which is the subgroup of *W* generated by s_i for $i \in I_0$. *W*⁰ acts on P_0 and P_0^* .

Let Aut(*X*) be the group of automorphisms of the affine Dynkin diagram *X*. Let $\tau \in$ Aut(*X*). By definition τ is a permutation of the Dynkin node set *I* of *X* such that there is a bond of multiplicity *m* from $i \in I$ to $j \in I$ if and only if there is a bond of multiplicity *m* from $\tau(i)$ to $\tau(j)$, for all $i, j \in I$. In particular,

$$
a_{\tau(i)} = a_i \quad \text{and} \tag{3.13}
$$

$$
a_{\tau(i)}^{\vee} = a_i^{\vee} \quad \text{for } i \in I \tag{3.14}
$$

$$
a_{\tau(i),\tau(j)} = a_{ij} \quad \text{for } i, j \in I. \tag{3.15}
$$

 $\tau \in$ Aut(*X*) induces $\tau \in$ Aut(*P*) by $\tau(\delta/a_0) = \delta/a_0$ and $\tau(\Lambda_i) = \Lambda_{\tau(i)}$ for all $i \in I$. This satisfies $\tau(\alpha_i) = \alpha_{\tau(i)}$ for all $i \in I$. $\tau \in Aut(X)$ also induces $\tau \in Aut(P^*)$ by

$$
\langle \tau(\mu), \tau(\lambda) \rangle = \langle \mu, \lambda \rangle \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in P \quad \text{and} \quad \mu \in P^*. \tag{3.16}
$$

It satisfies $\tau(d) = d$ and $\tau(\alpha_i^{\vee}) = \alpha_i^{\vee}$ for all $i \in I$. $\tau \in Aut(X)$ induces an automorphism τ on *W* denoted $w \mapsto w^{\tau}$ where $s_i^{\tau} = s_{\tau(i)}$ for all $i \in I$.

Define the subset of *special nodes* $I^s \subset I$ to be the orbit of $0 \in I$ under Aut(*X*). Every ment of Aut(*X*) is determined by its action on I^s . Let $\theta = \sum a_i \alpha_i = \delta - a_0 \alpha_0$. (3.17) element of $Aut(X)$ is determined by its action on I^s . Let

$$
\theta = \sum_{i \in I_0} a_i \alpha_i = \delta - a_0 \alpha_0. \tag{3.17}
$$

 \mathcal{L} Springer

If g is untwisted then θ is the highest root of g_0 . Let $M \subset P_0$ be the sublattice generated by the W_0 -orbit of θ/a_0 ; the *W*₀-orbit of θ/a_0 : hest root of $M = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$

$$
M = \sum_{w \in W_0} \mathbb{Z} w \cdot (\theta/a_0). \tag{3.18}
$$

The semidirect product $W_0 \lt P_0$ acts on P' by

$$
(wt_{\lambda}) \cdot \Lambda = w(\Lambda + \text{lev}(\Lambda)\lambda) \quad \text{for } w \in W_0, \lambda \in P_0, \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda \in P' \tag{3.19}
$$

where λ is regarded as an element of $P^0 \subset P'$ via [\(3.12\)](#page-7-1) and t_λ is the translation corresponding to λ . We have

$$
W \cong W_0 \ltimes M
$$

\n
$$
s_0 \mapsto s_{\theta} t_{-\theta/a_0}.
$$
\n(3.20)

For each $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ the action of $W_0 \times P_0$ on *P'* stabilizes the affine subspace $\ell \Lambda_0 + P^0 \subset P'$ of level ℓ weights. Therefore for each $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, the level ℓ action is defined by the representation $\pi_{\ell}:$ level ℓ weights. Therefore for each $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, the level ℓ action is defined by the representation

$$
\pi_{\ell}(wt_{\lambda}) \cdot \beta = -\ell \Lambda_0 + wt_{\lambda}(\ell \Lambda_0 + \beta)
$$

= $w(\beta + \ell \lambda)$ for $w \in W_0, \lambda, \beta \in P_0$. (3.21)

For $r \in I_0$ define [\[9](#page-45-12)]

$$
c_r = \max(1, a_r/a_r^{\vee}).
$$
 (3.22)

Remark 3.1 We have $c_r = 1$ except that $c_r = 2$ for $\mathfrak{g} = B_n^{(1)}$ with $r = n$, $\mathfrak{g} = C_n^{(1)}$ with 1 ≤ *r* ≤ *n* − 1, $\mathfrak{g} = F_4^{(1)}$ with $r \in \{3, 4\}$, and $c_r = 3$ if $\mathfrak{g} = G_2^{(1)}$ with $r = 2$. In particular $c_i = 1$ for $i \in I^s$.

Define the sublattices of P_0 given by

by
\n
$$
M' = \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{Z}c_i \alpha_i
$$
\n
$$
\tilde{M} = \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}c_i \omega_i.
$$
\n(3.23)

$$
\tilde{M} = \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{Z}c_i \omega_i.
$$
\n(3.24)

We have $\tilde{M} \supset M \supset M'$ with $M = M'$ except for $g = A_{2n}^{(2)}$ where $M' \subset M$ is a sublattice of index 2. We define an injective group bomomorphism index 2. We define an injective group homomorphism

$$
\tilde{M}/M \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(X) \tag{3.25}
$$

with image denoted Σ . First, there is a bijection $I^s \to \tilde{M}/M$ given by $i \mapsto c_i \omega_i + M$. Subtraction by $c_i\omega_i + M$ induces a permutation of \tilde{M}/M . The induced permutation of I^s under the above bijection, extends to $\tau^{i} \in Aut(X)$. We define $\Sigma = {\tau^{i}} \mid i \in I^{s}$; it is the group of *special automorphisms*.

Define the extended affine Weyl group (in particular for twisted affine types) by

$$
\tilde{W} = W \rtimes \Sigma \tag{3.26}
$$

via $\tau w \tau^{-1} = w^{\tau}$ for $\tau \in \Sigma$ and $w \in W$. We have $\tilde{W} \cong W_0 \ltimes \tilde{M}$ with

$$
\tau^{i} = w_0^{\omega_i} t_{-c_i \omega_i} \quad \text{for } i \in I^s, \text{ where}
$$
\n(3.27)

$$
w_0^{\lambda} \in W_0 \text{ is the shortest element such that } w_0^{\lambda} \lambda = w_0 \lambda. \tag{3.28}
$$

 $\circled{2}$ Springer

Remark 3.2 In untwisted type one may identify *M* with the coroot lattice Q_0^{\vee} and \tilde{M} with the coweight lattice P_0^{\vee} , although these identifications may involve some uniform dilation.

Example 3.3

^g *^A*(1) *ⁿ ^B*(1) *ⁿ ^C*(1) *ⁿ ^D*(1) *ⁿ ^A*(2) ²*n*−¹ *^A*(2) ²*ⁿ ^D*(2) *n*+1 *^I^s* {0, ¹,..., *ⁿ*} {0, ¹} {0, *ⁿ*} {0, ¹, *ⁿ* [−] ¹, *ⁿ*} {0, ¹} {0} {0, *ⁿ*} (3.29)

For $A_{n}^{(1)}$ and $i \in I^s$, τ^i subtracts *i* mod $n + 1$.

For $D_n^{(1)}$, in terms of permutations of I^s , are defined as follows. τ^0 is the identity and $\tau^{1} = (0, 1)(n - 1, n)$. If *n* is odd, $\tau^{n-1} = (0, n, 1, n - 1)$ and $\tau^{n} = (0, n - 1, 1, n)$ and if *n* is even, $\tau^{n-1} = (0, n-1)(1, n)$ and $\tau^n = (0, n)(1, n-1)$.

Note that *M*/*M* admits an involution given by negation. The corresponding affine Dynkin involution is given as follows. Let $w_0 \in W_0$ be the longest element. The map $\alpha \mapsto -w_0\alpha$ is an involution on the set of positive roots of g_0 that sends sums to sums, and therefore restricts to an involution on the set of simple roots. So there is an involutive automorphism of the Dynkin diagram of g_0 denoted $i \mapsto i^*$, defined by

$$
-w_0 \alpha_i = \alpha_{i^*} \quad \text{for } i \in I_0. \tag{3.30}
$$

This extends to an element denoted $* \in Aut(X)$ by defining $0^* = 0$. The induced automorphism of *P* is given by

$$
\lambda \mapsto -w_0 \lambda \quad \text{for } \lambda \in P. \tag{3.31}
$$

In particular

$$
- w_0 \omega_i = \omega_{i^*} \quad \text{for } i \in I_0. \tag{3.32}
$$

By (3.13) , (3.14) , and (3.22) , we see that

$$
c_{i^*} = c_i \quad \text{for } i \in I. \tag{3.33}
$$

Therefore $-w_0c_i\omega_i = c_i * \omega_i *$. Since $w_0c_i\omega_i + M = c_i\omega_i + M$, we have $c_i * \omega_i * + M =$ $-c_i\omega_i + M$ in the group $\dot{M}/M \cong \Sigma$. It follows that for all $i \in I^s$, negation in \dot{M}/M corresponds to the involution $i \mapsto i^*$ on I^s , and that

$$
\tau^{i}(0) = i^{*}
$$

$$
(w_0^{\omega_i})^{-1} = w_0^{\omega_{i^*}}.
$$
 (3.34)

Example 3.4 We have $i^* = i$ except in the following cases. For A_{n-1} we have $i^* = n - i$. For D_n and *n* odd, $(n-1)^* = n$ and $n^* = n-1$. For E_6 *i* $\mapsto i^*$ is the unique nontrivial automorphism.

3.3 Crystals

Let g be an affine Lie algebra. We consider the following categories of crystal graphs of modules over a quantum affine algebra $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$: $C_h(\mathfrak{g})$, direct sums of affine highest weight crystals and $C(\mathfrak{g})$, tensor products of Kirillov, Beshatikhin (KB) crystals. For KB crystals crystals, and *^C*(g), tensor products of Kirillov–Reshetikhin (KR) crystals. For KR crystals we refer to [\[3\]](#page-45-13). Let $C_h(g_0)$ be the category of direct sums of crystal graphs of highest weight $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -modules.

Let *B* be a crystal in one of the above categories. *B* is a graph with vertex set also denoted *^B* and directed edges labeled by the elements of the set *^K* of Dynkin nodes of g. We call

B a *K*-crystal. For $K' \subset K$ write $B_{K'}(b)$ for the *K*'-connected component of $b \in B$, that is, the connected component of the graph in which all directed edges are removed except those labeled by K' . For $i \in K$, each $\{i\}$ -connected component is a finite directed path called an *i*-string. Then for $b \in B$, $f_i(b)$ (resp. $e_i(b)$) is the next (resp. previous) vertex on the *i*-string of *b* if it exists, and is declared to be the special symbol 0 otherwise. Let $\varphi_i(b)$ and $\varepsilon_i(b)$ denote the number of steps to the end (resp. start) of the *i*-string of *b*. For a sequence $\mathbf{a} = (i_1, \ldots, i_p)$ of indices in *K* define

$$
e_{\mathbf{a}}(b) = e_{i_1}(e_{i_2}(\cdots e_{i_p}(b)\cdots))
$$

and $f_a(b)$ similarly.

For $B \in C(\mathfrak{g})$ or $B \in C_h(\mathfrak{g})$, let $K = I$ and define the functions $\varphi, \varepsilon : B \to P'$ by

$$
K = I \text{ and define the functions } \varphi, \varepsilon : B \to P' \text{ by}
$$

\n
$$
\varphi(b) = \sum_{i \in I} \varphi_i(b) \Lambda_i
$$
\n
$$
\varepsilon(b) = \sum_{i \in I} \varepsilon_i(b) \Lambda_i.
$$
\n(3.36)

$$
\varepsilon(b) = \sum_{i \in I} \varepsilon_i(b) \Lambda_i.
$$
 (3.36)

For $B \in C_h(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ we have $\varphi, \varepsilon : B \to P_0$ with *I* replaced by I_0 and Λ_i replaced by ω_i .

For $B \in C(\mathfrak{g})$ or $B \in C_h(\mathfrak{g})$ we define the weight function wt : $B \to P'$ by

$$
wt(b) = \varphi(b) - \varepsilon(b). \tag{3.37}
$$

For $B \in C(\mathfrak{g})$ the values of wt lie in the level zero sublattice $P^0 \subset P'$. For $B \in C_h(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ we have $wt : B \to P_0$ defined by (3.37) have wt : $B \rightarrow P_0$ defined by [\(3.37\)](#page-10-0).

For $B \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g})$ or $B \in \mathcal{C}_h(\mathfrak{g})$ we have

$$
wt(e_i(b)) = wt(b) + \alpha'_i \text{ for } i \in I \text{ if } e_i(b) \neq 0
$$
\n(3.38)

$$
\text{wt}(f_i(b)) = \text{wt}(b) - \alpha'_i \quad \text{for } i \in I \text{ if } f_i(b) \neq 0. \tag{3.39}
$$

For $B \in C_h(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ the same conditions hold with $\alpha'_i = \alpha_i$ and $i \in I_0$.
For $K' \subset K$ the set of K'-highest weight vertices in the K-cry

For $K' \subset K$, the set of K' -highest weight vertices in the K -crystal B is defined by

$$
\mathrm{hw}_{K'}(B) = \{b \in B \mid e_i(b) = 0 \text{ for all } i \in K'\}.
$$

Let $hw_{K'}(b)$ denote the unique K'-highest weight vertex in the K'-component of *b*.

If λ is a K[']-dominant weight then define

$$
hw_{K'}^{\lambda}(B) = \{b \in hw_{K'}(B) \mid wt_{K'}(b) = \lambda\}
$$
\n(3.40)

for the subset of $hw_{K'}(B)$ of vertices of weight λ and $B(\lambda) = B_{K'}(\lambda)$ for the irreducible K' -crystal of highest weight λ .

Let B_1 , B_2 be *K*-crystals. Then $B_1 \otimes B_2$ is a *K*-crystal via Kashiwara's tensor convention

$$
e_i(b_1 \otimes b_2) = \begin{cases} e_i(b_1) \otimes b_2 & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) \ge \varepsilon_i(b_2) \\ b_1 \otimes e_i(b_2) & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) < \varepsilon_i(b_2). \end{cases} \tag{3.41}
$$

Lemma 3.5 *Let* B_1 , B_2 *be K*-*crystals and* b_1 , $c_1 \in B_1$ *and* b_2 , $c_2 \in B_2$ *such that* $c_1 \otimes c_2 \in$ $h w_K (B_1 \otimes B_2)$ *and* $b_1 \otimes b_2 \in B_K (c_1 \otimes c_2)$ *. Then* $c_1 \in h w_K (B_1)$ *and* $b_1 \in B_K (c_1)$ *.*

Proof $c_1 \in \text{hw}_K(B_1)$ holds by [\(3.41\)](#page-10-1). Let $\mathbf{a} = (i_1, \ldots, i_m)$ be a sequence of elements in K such that $e_{a}(b_1 \otimes b_2) = c_1 \otimes c_2$. By [\(3.41\)](#page-10-1) there is a subsequence **b** of **a** such that $e_{b}(b_1) = c_1$. \Box

3.4 KR crystal generalities

Let $C = C(g)$ be the tensor category of tensor products of KR crystals $B^{r,s}$. An *I*-crystal *B* is *regular* if for all subsets $K \subset I$ with $|K| = 2$, the *K*-components of *B* are isomorphic to crystal graphs of $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_K)$ -crystals where \mathfrak{g}_K is the subalgebra of g corresponding to K.

Theorem 3.6 *Let* g *be of nonexceptional affine type.*

- 1. [\[26](#page-45-14)] *For every* $(r, s) \in I_0 \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, there is an irreducible $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$ *-module* $W_s^{(r)}$ *with affine* crystal hasis $R^{r,s}$. In particular every $R \in \mathcal{C}$ is requier *crystal basis* $B^{r,s}$ *. In particular every* $B \in \mathcal{C}$ *is regular.*
- *2.* [\[3\]](#page-45-13) *The affine crystal structure on Br*,*^s is determined.*

Proposition 3.7 *Let* B_1 , $B_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ *.*

- (1) *There is an I-crystal isomorphism* $R = R_{B_1,B_2}$: $B_1 \otimes B_2 \rightarrow B_2 \otimes B_1$ *called the combinatorial R-matrix. By uniqueness, for* $B \in \mathcal{C}$ *,* $R_{B,B}$ *is the identity on* $B \otimes B$ *.*
- (2) *There is a unique map* $\overline{H} = \overline{H}_{B_1,B_2}$ *:* $B_1 \otimes B_2 \to \mathbb{Z}$ *, called coenergy function up to additive constant, such that H is constant on* I_0 -*components, and for* $b = b_1 \otimes b_2 \in B_1 \otimes B_2$,

$$
\overline{H}(e_0(b)) = \overline{H}(b) + \begin{cases}\n-1 & \text{in case LL} \\
0 & \text{in case LR or RL} \\
1 & \text{in case RR}\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(3.42)

where in case LL, when e_0 *<i>is applied to* $b_1 \otimes b_2$ *and to* $R_{B_1,B_2}(b_1 \otimes b_2) = b'_2 \otimes b'_1$ *as in* [\(3.41\)](#page-10-1)*, it acts on the left factor both times, in case RR e₀ <i>acts on the right factor both times, etc.*

Proof Arguing as in [\[13\]](#page-45-15) one may deduce these properties from the existence of the universal R-matrix, the Yang–Baxter relation for *R*, and Theorem [3.6\(](#page-11-1)1).

Let *B* be regular. An element $b \in B$ is called an *extremal vector* of weight λ if wt(b) = λ and there exist elements ${b_w}_{w \in W}$ such that

- $b_w = b$ for $w = e$,
- if $\langle \alpha_i^{\vee}, w \lambda \rangle \ge 0$ then $e_i(b_w) = 0$ and $f_i^{\langle \alpha_i^{\vee}, w \lambda \rangle}(b_w) = b_{s_iw}$,
- if $\langle \alpha_i^{\vee}, w \lambda \rangle \le 0$ then $f_i(b_w) = 0$ and $e_i^{-(\alpha_i^{\vee}, w \lambda)}(b_w) = b_{s_i w}$.

A finite regular crystal *B* with weights in P^0 is called *simple* [\[1](#page-44-1),[23\]](#page-45-16) if there exists $\lambda \in P^0$ such that the weight of any extremal vector is contained in $W\lambda$ and *B* contains a unique element of weight λ . Here *W* is the affine Weyl group, which acts on $P^0 \cong P_0$ by the level zero action.

Proposition 3.8 (1) *Every B* \in *C is simple. In particular B contains a unique extremal vector* $u(B)$ *with* $wt(u(B)) \in P_0^+$ *. Moreover* $u(B^{r,s}) \in B^{r,s}$ *is the unique vector of weight s* ω_r *and* $u(B_1 \otimes B_2) = u(B_1) \otimes u(B_2)$ *for* $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ *.*

(2) *For every* $B \in \mathcal{C}$, *B* is *I*-connected.

Proof By [\[1\]](#page-44-1) a simple crystal is connected and the tensor product of simple crystals is also simple. In [\[23](#page-45-16), Section 4.2] Naito and Sagaki proved that a finite regular crystal *B* with coenergy function $\overline{H}_{B\ B}$ is simple.^{[1](#page-11-2)} The equality $u(B_1 \otimes B_2) = u(B_1) \otimes u(B_2)$ follows from the fact that the r.h.s is extremal. \square

¹ Although they assume that *B* is realized as a fixed point crystal, their proof is valid under the given condition.

- *Remark* 3.9 (1) Proposition [3.8](#page-11-3) implies that if there is an *I*-crystal isomorphism $g : B \rightarrow$ *B*^{\prime} for *B*, *B*^{\prime} \in *C*, then it is unique: it must satisfy $g(u(B)) = u(B')$ and the rest of its values are determined since *B* is *I*-connected.
- (2) For $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ we normalize the coenergy function \overline{H} by $\overline{H}(u(B_1 \otimes B_2)) = 0$.

The *level* of $B \in C$ is defined by

$$
\text{lev}(B) = \min_{b \in B} \text{lev}(\varphi(b)) = \min_{b \in B} \text{lev}(\varepsilon(b)).\tag{3.43}
$$

The subset $B_{\text{min}} \subset B$ is defined by

$$
B_{\min} = \{b \in B \mid \text{lev}(\varphi(b)) = \text{lev}(B)\}
$$

$$
= \{b \in B \mid \text{lev}(\varepsilon(b)) = \text{lev}(B)\}.
$$

The crystal *B* is said to be *perfect* (in the sense of [\[23\]](#page-45-16); compare with [\[13](#page-45-15)]) if *B* is the crystal graph of a $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module, *B* is simple, and the maps φ and ε are bijections from B_{min}
to the set of weights $\lambda \in P'$ that are dominant and have $\vert \text{ev}(\lambda) \rangle = \vert \text{ev}(R) \rangle$ to the set of weights $\lambda \in P'$ that are dominant and have $lev(\lambda) = lev(B)$.

Theorem 3.10 [\[4\]](#page-45-17) *With cr as in* [\(3.22\)](#page-8-0)*,*

(1) $lev(B^{r,s}) = \lceil \frac{s}{c_r} \rceil$. (2) $B^{r,s}$ *is perfect if and only if* $s/c_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ *.*

Lemma 3.11 *Let* g *be of nonexceptional affine type,* $(r, s) \in I_0 \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \ell = lev(B^{r,s})$ *and* $i \in I^s$. Then there is a unique element $u \cdot (r, s) \in B^{r,s}$ such that $s(u \cdot (r, s)) = \ell \Lambda \cdot M$ are over $j \in I^s$. Then there is a unique element $u_j(r, s) \in B^{r,s}$ such that $\varepsilon(u_j(r, s)) = \ell \Lambda_j$. Moreover, *writing* $t_{-c_r * \omega_{r^*}} = w\tau$ *for* $w \in W$ *and* $\tau \in \Sigma$ *with* $*$ *as in* [\(3.30\)](#page-9-1) *we have*

$$
\varphi(u_j(r,s))) = \begin{cases} \ell \Lambda_{\tau(j)} & \text{if } B^{r,s} \text{ is perfect} \\ (\ell-1)\Lambda_n + \Lambda_{n-r} & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = C_n^{(1)}, 1 \le r \le n-1, j = n \\ (\ell-1)\Lambda_{\tau(j)} + \Lambda_r & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$
(3.44)

Proof Suppose first that $B^{r,s}$ is perfect. Then $c_r \ell = s$ and $u_i(r, s)$ is unique. Moreover the value of $\varphi(u)$ is verified by [\[4](#page-45-17)]. Explicitly:

(1) $g = A_n^{(1)} \cdot u_j(r, s)$ consists of *s* copies of the same column that consists of the elements $j + 1$, $j + 2$, ..., $j + r$ (mod $n + 1$), sorted into increasing order.

(2)
$$
\mathfrak{g} = A_{2n}^{(2)} \cdot u_0(r, s) = \text{hw}_{I_0}(B(0)).
$$

- (3) $g = D_{n+1}^{(2)}$. Suppose $r \notin I^s$. $u_0(r, s) = hw_{I_0}(B(0))$. For $s = 2s', u_n(r, s) \in B(s\omega_r)$ is the KN tableau with *s'* columns $(n - r + 1) \cdots (n - 1)n$ and *s'* columns $\overline{n} \overline{n-1} \cdots$ $\overline{n-r+1}$. For $s = 2s' + 1$, $u_n(r, s) \in B(s\omega_r)$ has, in addition to the columns for $u_n(r, 2s')$, a middle column of height *r* is given by $0 \cdots 0$. For $r = n \in I^s$, $u_0(n, s)$ (resp. $u_n(n, s)$) is the unique element of $B(s\omega_n)$ of weight $s\omega_n$ (resp. $-s\omega_n$).
- (4) $\mathfrak{g} = C_n^{(1)}$. For $r \notin I^s$, since $c_r = 2$ and we are in the perfect case, *s* must be even (say $s = 2\ell$), and $u_j(r, 2\ell)$ is given as for $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$. For $r = n \in I^s$, again $u_j(n, s)$ is given as for $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$.
- (5) $g \in \{B_n^{(1)}, B_n^{(1)}, A_{2n-1}^{(2)}\}$. Recall that for $g = B_n^{(1)}$, $r = nB^{r,s}$ is perfect of level ℓ when $s = 2\ell$. First let $r \in I_0$ not be a type $D_n^{(1)}$ spin node. $u_0(2i, s) = hw_{I_0}(B(0))$ and $u_1(2i, s) \in B(\ell \omega_2)$ has ℓ' columns $1\overline{2}$ and ℓ' columns $2\overline{1}$ for $\ell = 2\ell'$, and in addition a middle column $2\overline{2}$ for $\ell = 2\ell' + 1$. $u_0(2i + 1, s) = hw_{I_0}(B(\ell\omega_1))$ and $u_1(2i+1, s) \in B(\ell\omega_1)$ is the tableau $\overline{1}^{\ell}$. $D_n^{(1)}$ has additional special nodes $j \in \{n-1, n\}$. Suppose *r* is even. For $s = 2s'$, $u_n(r, s) \in B(s\omega_r)$ has s' columns $(n - r + 1) \cdots (n - 1)n$

and *s'* columns \overline{n} $\overline{n-1}$ \cdots $\overline{n-r+1}$. For $s = 2s' + 1$, $u_n(r, s)$ has, in addition to the columns for $u_n(r, 2s')$, a middle column given by $\overline{n}n\overline{n}n \cdots$. If *r* is odd, replace *s'* columns $(n - r + 1) \cdots (n - 1)n$ with $(n - r + 1) \cdots (n - 1)\overline{n}$. $u_{n-1}(r, s) \in B(s\omega_r)$ is given from $u_n(r, s)$ above by interchanging *n* and \overline{n} . Now let us set $r = n$ for type $D_n^{(1)}$, $u_i(n, s)$ for $j = 0, 1, n - 1, n$ is given by the unique element of $B(s\omega_n)$ of weight $s\omega_n$, $s(\omega_{n-1}-\omega_1)$, $s((1-\gamma)\omega_1-\omega_{n-1})$, $s(\gamma\omega_1-\omega_n)$ where $\gamma=0, 1, \gamma\equiv n \pmod{2}$. If $r = n - 1$, we interchange ω_n and ω_{n-1} in the above description.

We enumerate the nonperfect cases [\[4](#page-45-17)].

- (1) $g = B_n^{(1)}$, $r = n$ and $s = 2\ell 1$. For *n* even, $u_0(n, 2\ell 1) = hw_{I_0}(\omega_n)$ and $u_1(n, 2\ell 1)$ 1) ∈ *B*(ω_n) is defined by wt(u) = $\omega_n - \omega_1$. For *n* odd, $u_0(n, 2\ell - 1) = \text{hw}_{I_0}(B((\ell 1)\omega_1 + \omega_n$)). $u_1(n, 2\ell - 1)$ has a half-column consisting of $23 \cdots (n-1)n\overline{1}$ and $\ell - 1$ columns consisting of a single $\overline{1}$.
- (2) $\mathfrak{g} = C_n^{(1)}$ for $1 \le r \le n 1$ and $s = 2\ell 1$. $u_0(r, 2\ell 1) = h w_{I_0}(B(\omega_r))$. $u_n(r, 2\ell 1)$
has $\ell 1$ columns $(n r + 1) \cdots (n 1)n$ and ℓ columns $\overline{n}n 1 \cdots n r + 1$.

By Lemma [3.11](#page-12-0) we may define $m(B^{r,s}) = u_0(r, s) \in B^{r,s}$ or equivalently

$$
\varepsilon(m(B^{r,s})) = \text{lev}(B^{r,s})\Lambda_0. \tag{3.45}
$$

Similarly, there exists a unique element $m'(B^{r,s}) \in B^{r,s}$ such that

$$
\varphi(m'(B^{r,s})) = \text{lev}(B^{r,s})\Lambda_0. \tag{3.46}
$$

Define

$$
b(r, s, \lambda) = \mathrm{hw}_{I_0}^{\lambda}(B^{r,s}) \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamond}(r, s). \tag{3.47}
$$

Remark 3.12 Suppose $\diamondsuit \neq \emptyset$ and $r \in I_0$ is not a spin node. By [\(1.2\)](#page-3-1) the right hand side of [\(3.47\)](#page-13-1) is a singleton. We have

$$
u(B^{r,s}) = b(r, s, (s^r))
$$
\n(3.48)

$$
m(B^{r,s}) = b(r, s, \lambda_{\min}^{\diamondsuit}(r, s))
$$
\n(3.49)

where $\lambda_{\min} = \lambda_{\min}^{\diamond}(r, s) \in \mathcal{P}^{\diamond}(r, s)$ is the partition with $|\lambda_{\min}|$ minimum. Explicitly $^{\prime}$

$$
\lambda_{\min}^{\diamondsuit}(r, s) = \begin{cases}\n(s) & \text{if } r \text{ is odd and } \diamondsuit = (1, 1) \\
(1^r) & \text{if } s \text{ is odd and } \diamondsuit = (2) \\
\varnothing & \text{otherwise.} \n\end{cases}
$$
\n(3.50)

3.5 Grading by intrinsic coenergy

Each $B \in \mathcal{C}$ has a canonical *I*₀-equivariant grading by the intrinsic coenergy function \overline{D} : $B \to \mathbb{Z}$ which is defined as follows.

(1) If $B = B^{r,s}$ is a KR crystal then define

$$
\overline{D}_B(b) = \overline{H}_{B,B}(m'(B) \otimes b) - \overline{H}_{B,B}(m'(B) \otimes u(B)).
$$
\n(3.51)

(2) If $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ then

$$
\overline{D}_{B_1 \otimes B_2}(b_1 \otimes b_2) = \overline{D}_{B_1}(b_1) + \overline{D}_{B_2}(b'_2) + \overline{H}_{B_1, B_2}(b_1 \otimes b_2)
$$
(3.52)

where $R_{B_1, B_2}(b_1 \otimes b_2) = b'_2 \otimes b'_1$.

 \mathcal{L} Springer

The resulting grading satisfies

$$
\overline{D}_{(B_1 \otimes B_2) \otimes B_3} = \overline{D}_{B_1 \otimes (B_2 \otimes B_3)}
$$

for all $B_1, B_2, B_3 \in \mathcal{C}$ [\[27](#page-45-18)]. For $B_1, \ldots, B_p \in \mathcal{C}$ one may prove by induction that

$$
B_1 \otimes B_2 \otimes B_3 = B_1 \otimes (B_2 \otimes B_3)
$$

\n
$$
B_3 \in \mathcal{C}
$$
 [27]. For $B_1, \dots, B_p \in \mathcal{C}$ one may prove by induction that
\n
$$
\overline{D}_{B_1 \otimes \dots \otimes B_p}(b) = \sum_{i=1}^p \overline{D}_{B_i}(b_i^{(1)}) + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le p} \overline{H}_{B_i, B_j}(b_i \otimes b_j^{(i+1)}) \tag{3.53}
$$

where $b = b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_p$ with $b_i \in B_i$ for $1 \le i \le p$ and $b_j^{(k)}$ is the *k*th tensor factor of the element obtained from *b* by the composition of combinatorial *R*-matrices that swaps the *j*th tensor factor to the *k*th position. We have

$$
\overline{D}_B = \overline{D}_{B'} \circ g \quad \text{for any } g : B \cong B' \text{ with } B, B' \in \mathcal{C}.
$$
 (3.54)

Lemma 3.13 *Let B be a KR crystal of level . Then*

- 1. \overline{D}_B *is constant on I*₀-components.
- 2. $\overline{D}_B(e_0(b)) = \overline{D}_B(b) + 1$ *if* $\varepsilon_0(b) > l$.
- 3. $\overline{D}_B(u(B)) = 0.$

Proof Follows immediately from [\(3.51\)](#page-13-2), the properties of $\overline{H}_{B,B}$, and [\(3.41\)](#page-10-1).

Lemma 3.14 *Let* B_1 , $B_2 \in C$, and let $b_1 \in B_1$ and $b_2 \in B_2$ be such that $e_0(b_1 \otimes b_2) \neq 0$ *and let* $R_{B_1, B_2}(b_1 \otimes b_2) = b'_2 \otimes b'_1$. Assume that

$$
D(e_0(b_1)) = D(b_1) + 1 \text{ if } e_0(b_1) \neq 0
$$

$$
\overline{D}(e_0(b'_2)) = \overline{D}(b'_2) + 1 \text{ if } e_0(b'_2) \neq 0.
$$

Then $\overline{D}(e_0(b_1 \otimes b_2)) = \overline{D}(b_1 \otimes b_2) + 1$.

Proof This follows from (3.52) , computing the four cases of (3.42) .

We shall prove the following explicit formula for $\overline{D}_{B^{r,s}}$ at the end of Sect. [5.3.](#page-21-1)

Proposition 3.15 *For* g *nonexceptional of kind* $\diamond \in \{(1), (2), (1, 1)\}$ *and* $(r, s) \in I_0 \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ *with r nonspin, we have*

$$
\overline{D}_{B^{r,s}}(b(r,s,\lambda)) = \frac{rs - |\lambda|}{|\diamondsuit|} \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamondsuit}(r,s). \tag{3.55}
$$

3.6 Affine highest weight crystals

Let $B(\Lambda)$ be the crystal graph of the irreducible integrable highest weight module of highest weight $\Lambda \in P^+$. hw_I($B(\Lambda)$) is a singleton denoted u_{Λ} . The enhanced weight func-Let $B(\Lambda)$ be the crystal graph of the irreducible integrable highest weight module of highest weight $\Lambda \in P^+$. hw_I($B(\Lambda)$) is a singleton denoted u_Λ . The enhanced weight function $\hat{w}t : B(\Lambda) \to P$ is defined by $\hat{$ is replaced by the affine simple root $\alpha_i \in P$. Alternatively, let $b \in B(\Lambda)$. Then there is a sequence $\mathbf{a} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_p)$ of elements of *I* such that $u_\Lambda = e_\mathbf{a}(b)$. Define $\widehat{D}(b)$ to be between $\mathbf{a} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_p)$ of elements of *I* such that $u_{\Lambda} = e_{\mathbf{a}}(b)$. Define $D(b)$ to be the number of times that 0 occurs in the sequence **a**. This yields a well-defined Z-grading $\widehat{D}: B(\Lambda) \to \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\widehat{D}: B(\Lambda) \to \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$
\widehat{\text{wt}}(b) = (\langle d \, , \, \Lambda \rangle - \widehat{D}(b))(\delta/a_0) + \sum_{i \in I} (\varphi_i(b) - \varepsilon_i(b))\Lambda_i. \tag{3.56}
$$

The following theorem is fundamental to the Kyoto path model for affine highest weight crystals.

Theorem 3.16 [\[13](#page-45-15), Proposition 2.4.4] *Let* g *be an affine algebra,* $B \in C(\mathfrak{g})$ *the crystal graph* of a $U'(\mathfrak{g})$ -module, and $A \in P^+$ a dominant weight with lev(A) $-$ lev(B). Then there is an *of a* $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$ *-module, and* $\Lambda \in P^+$ *a dominant weight with lev*(Λ) = *lev*(B)*. Then there is an affine crystal isomorphism affine crystal isomorphism*

$$
B(\Lambda) \otimes B \cong \bigoplus_{u} B(\varphi(u)) \tag{3.57}
$$

where u runs over the elements of B such that $\varepsilon(u) = \Lambda$.

3.7 One-dimensional sums and stability

For $B \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\lambda \in P_0^+$, define the one-dimensional sum

stationary

\nthe one-dimensional sum

\n
$$
\overline{X}_{\lambda,B}(q) = \sum_{b \in \text{hw}_{q_0}^{\lambda}(B)} q^{\overline{D}(b)}.
$$
\n(3.58)

Notation 3.17 Let

$$
B = B^{r_1, s_1} \otimes B^{r_2, s_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes B^{r_p, s_p}.
$$
\n
$$
(3.59)
$$

We write $R_i = (s_i^{r_i})$, which is a rectangular partition with r_i rows and s_i columns. Let $R = (R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_p)$. We write $B = B^R$ if we wish to emphasize the indexing set of rectangles.

For nonexceptional g, let $n = \text{rank}(g_0)$ and define

$$
\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{ B = B^R \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}) \mid \sum_i r_i \le n - 2 \}
$$
 (3.60)

$$
\mathcal{P}_n^{\infty} = \{ \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n \mid \ell(\lambda) \le n - 2 \}
$$
\n(3.61)

$$
\mathcal{C}_h^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g}_0) = \{ B \in \mathcal{C}_h(\mathfrak{g}_0) \mid \text{if } B_{I_0}(\nu) \text{ appears in } B \text{ then } \nu \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\infty} \}.
$$
 (3.62)

These restrictions have the effect of guaranteeing that spin weights do not appear.

For $\diamondsuit \in \{(1), (2), (1, 1)\}$ and fixed *R* and λ define the stable 1-d sum $\overline{X}^{\diamondsuit}_{\lambda, B^R}(q)$ to be \overline{X}_{λ} , $_{\beta}R(q)$ of type g where g is chosen such that $n = \text{rank}(g_0)$ is large enough so that $B^R \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^\infty$. Without loss of generality we may choose \mathfrak{g} to be reversible [that is of the form \mathfrak{g}^\diamond : see (1.5)] is, of the form \mathfrak{g}^{\diamond} ; see [\(1.5\)](#page-3-2)].

4 α ^{*∲*}, *I*₀, and *A*_{*n*−1}-crystals</sub>

In this section we assume g is one of the reversible affine algebras \mathfrak{g}^{\diamond} . Its classical subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_0^{\diamond}$ [see [\(1.5\)](#page-3-2)] contains the subalgebra \mathfrak{sl}_n of type A_{n-1} given by restricting to the Dynkin pode subset $I_{\epsilon} = \{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$. Using the notation of Sect 3 we write Dynkin node subset $I_{A_{n-1}} = \{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$. Using the notation of Sect. [3](#page-6-0) we write $B(b) := B_{I_0}(b), B_{A_{n-1}}(b) := B_{I_{A_{n-1}}}(b)$, and $hw_{A_{n-1}}(b) := hw_{I_{A_{n-1}}}(b)$. In fact $\mathfrak{gl}_n \subset \mathfrak{g}_0^{\diamond}$ and we use the gl*ⁿ* weights below.

4.1 Some subcrystals

For \mathfrak{g}_0 of type B_n , C_n , or D_n and $B \in C_h^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$, define the I_0 -subcrystal

and
$$
B \in C_h^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g}_0)
$$
, define the I_0 -subcrystal
max $(B) = \bigcup_{\substack{b \in \text{hw}_{I_0}(B) \\ |\text{wt}(b)| = M(B)}} B_{I_0}(b)$ (4.1)

 \mathcal{L} Springer

where $M(B)$ is the maximum value of $|v|$ over $v \in \mathcal{P}_n$ such that $B_{I_0}(v)$ is a component of *B*. Define tops(*B*) = \bigcup

$$
tops(B) = \bigcup_{b \in hw_{I_0}(B)} B_{A_{n-1}}(b).
$$
\n(4.2)

It is an A_{n-1} -subcrystal of *B* given by taking all the A_{n-1} -components of I_0 -highest weight vertices in *B*. These A_{n-1} -components sit at the top of their respective I_0 -components.

Remark 4.1 For $v \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\infty}$ we have tops $(B(v)) \cong B_{A_{n-1}}(v)$. Moreover this is the only A_{n-1} -component of *B*(*v*) of highest weight *v*. Therefore there is a canonical inclusion i_A^{ν} : $B_{A_{n-1}}(\nu) \rightarrow B_{I_0}(\nu)$. This isomorphism just says that a type A_{n-1} tableau can be regarded as an KN tableau for g_0 .

For $B \in C_h^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$, define

$$
\widehat{B} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} \bigcup_{c \in \text{hw}_{A_{n-1}}^{\overline{\lambda}}(B)} B_{A_{n-1}}(c).
$$
\n(4.3)

B is the *A_{n−1}*-subcrystal of *B* given by the dual polynomial part of *B* regarded as an *A_{n−1}*-crystal. The terminology "dual polynomial part" makes sense: $\mathfrak{g}_0 \supset \mathfrak{gl}_n$ so that *B* admits a gl*ⁿ* weight function.

For $v \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\infty}$, write

$$
\widehat{B}(\nu) := \widehat{B(\nu)}.\tag{4.4}
$$

It is an A_{n-1} -subcrystal of the irreducible highest weight I_0 -crystal $B(v)$. It is an A_{n-1} -subcrystal of the irre
4.2 Row tableaux realization of \widehat{B}

4.2 Row tableaux realization of $\widehat{B}(v)$

This section only concerns crystals of types B_n , C_n , and D_n , and herein we let $\diamondsuit =$ (1), (2), (1, 1) correspond to B_n , C_n , and D_n respectively; they coincide with $\mathfrak{g}_0^{\diamondsuit}$ but we do not employ any affine algebra here do not employ any affine algebra here.

In [\[15](#page-45-7)], the classical type crystal graph $B(v)$ was realized by tableaux which we will call Kashiwara–Nakashima (KN) tableaux. These tableaux are based on the unique *I*0-crystal embedding

$$
B(v) \hookrightarrow B(\omega_{v'_1}) \otimes B(\omega_{v'_2}) \otimes \cdots
$$

where v'_j is the size of the *j*th column of the partition v .

However we shall use a different realization of $B(v)$ (which we call "row tableaux") which where v'_j is the size of the *j*th column of the partition v .

However we shall use a different realization of $B(v)$ (which we call "row tableaux") which

is better suited for the study of $\widehat{B}(v)$. For $v \in \mathcal{P}_n^$

$$
rowtab_{\nu}: B(\nu) \hookrightarrow B(\nu_1 \omega_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes B(\nu_p \omega_1) \tag{4.5}
$$

where $p = \ell(v)$. The image of rowtab_v is the connected component

Im(rowtab_v) =
$$
B_{I_0}(1^{\nu_1} \otimes 2^{\nu_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes p^{\nu_p}).
$$

Here a^m denotes the word consisting of *m* copies of the symbol *a*. The image of $b \in B(v)$ is a tensor product rowtab(*b*) = $R_1 \otimes R_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes R_p$ with $R_i \in B(\nu_i \omega_1)$; it is called the *row tableau* associated with the element $b \in B(v)$ and may be depicted as a tableau of shape v whose *i*th row is R_i . Each R_i is a KN tableau of the single-row shape (v_i) . In general rowtab(b) does not coincide with the corresponding KN tableau of shape v . We are not aware

of a simple characterization of the image of rowtab_{ν}. Nevertheless we characterize the image $\frac{355}{\text{of a s}}$
of \hat{B} of $B(v)$ under rowtab_v.

For a tableau *c* of shape ν and $D \subset \nu$ a skew shape, let $c|D$ denote the restriction of *c* to the subshape *D*.

For $\delta \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamondsuit}$ with $\delta \subset \nu$, let $L^{\diamondsuit}(\nu, \delta) \subset B(\nu_1 \omega_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes B(\nu_p \omega_1)$ be the set of vertices $b = R_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes R_p$ such that:

(1) *b*|^{v\ δ} is a skew semistandard tableau on $\{\overline{n}, \ldots, \overline{1}\}.$

(2) $b\vert^{\delta} = C_{\delta}^{\diamond}$, where the latter tableau is the unique tableau such that:

- For $\diamondsuit = (1)$, the *i*th row equals $n^a 0^{\delta_i 2a} \bar{n}^a$ where $a = \delta_i/2$.
- For $\diamondsuit = (2)$, the *i*th row equals $n^a \bar{n}^a$ where $a = \delta_i/2$.
- For $\diamondsuit = (1, 1)$, the *j*th column consists of $\delta'_j/2$ copies of $\frac{n}{\overline{n}}$. • For $\diamond = ($
• For $\diamond = ($
Let $L^\diamondsuit(v) = \bigcup$

 $\delta \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamond}$ $L^{\diamondsuit}(\nu, \delta)$.

Example 4.2 For $\diamondsuit = (1, 1), v = (4, 4, 4, 2, 1, 1)$ and $\delta = (3, 3, 1, 1),$

is a row tableau in hw_{An−1} ($L^{\diamondsuit}(\nu, \delta)$).

Remark 4.3

- (1) Given any $b \in L^{\diamondsuit}(\nu)$, the unbarred letters in *b* determine the unique $\delta \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamondsuit}$ such that $b \in L^{\diamondsuit}(\nu, \delta)$, and *b* is determined by δ and $b|^{v \setminus \delta}$. By definition $b \in L^{\diamondsuit}(\nu)$ contains no letters in $\{1,\ldots,n-1\}$.
- (2) Let b^{ν} be the lowest weight vector of $L^{\diamondsuit}(\nu)$. Then rowtab $(b^{\nu}) \in L^{\diamondsuit}(\nu, \emptyset)$ where $\delta = \emptyset$ is the empty partition.

Proposition 4.4 *The map* rowtab_v *restricts to an isomorphism*

$$
\widehat{B}(\nu) \cong L^{\diamondsuit}(\nu). \tag{4.6}
$$

Proposition [4.4](#page-17-1) will be deduced from Proposition [4.5](#page-17-0) below.

The *reading word* of a single-rowed tableau is obtained by reading its letters from *right to left*. The reading word of a tableau obtained by reading its rows from top to bottom. A word $w = x_1x_2 \cdots x_\ell$ with $x_i \in {\{\overline{n}, \overline{n-1}, \ldots, \overline{1}\}}$ is *Yamanouchi* if for all *j*, in the subword $x_1x_2 \cdots x_j$ there at least as many letters $\overline{i+1}$ as there are letters \overline{i} for $1 \le i \le n-1$.

Proposition 4.5 *Let* $b \in L^{\diamondsuit}(\nu, \delta)$ *for some* $\delta \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamondsuit}$ *.*

- *1.* $L^{\diamondsuit}(\nu, \delta)$ *is an A_{n−1}-crystal.*
- *2. b* ∈ hw_{An−1}($L^{\diamondsuit}(v, \delta)$) *if and only if the row-reading word of the skew semistandard subtableau of b of shape* ν/δ *, is Yamanouchi of weight* $\overline{\lambda}$ *for some* $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$ *.*
- *3.* If $\varphi_n(b) > 0$ then $f_n(b) \in L^{\diamondsuit}(v)$.
- *4. There exists a finite sequence* $\mathbf{a} = (j_1, j_2, \dots)$ *in* I_0 *such that* $b = e_{\mathbf{a}}(\text{rowtab}(b^{\nu}))$ *. In particular* $L^{\diamondsuit}(\nu) \subset \text{Im}(\text{rowtab}_{\nu}).$

5. Assume $b \in \text{hw}_{A_{n-1}}^{\lambda}(L^{\diamondsuit}(v, \delta))$ *for some* $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$ *and let* **a** *be as above. Then*

card
$$
\{k \mid j_k = n\} = \frac{|\nu| - |\lambda|}{|\diamondsuit|}
$$
. (4.7)

The proof of Proposition [4.5](#page-17-0) is deferred to Appendix A.

Proof of Proposition [4.4](#page-17-1) rowtab_v($\widehat{B}(v)$) and $L^{\diamond}(v)$ are both *A_{n−1}*-subcrystals of $Im(rowtab_v)$, by definition and Proposition [4.5\(](#page-17-0)4) respectively. Therefore it suffices to show they have the same *An*−1-highest weight vertices. All such vertices have weight of the form $\overline{\lambda}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$. For $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $\delta \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamond}$, $|\text{hw}_{A_{n-1}}^{\lambda}(L^{\diamondsuit}(\nu, \delta))| = c_{\delta \lambda}^{\nu}$ by Proposition [4.5\(](#page-17-0)2) and the Littlewood–Richardson Rule [\[6](#page-45-19)]. All of these highest weight vertices are in rowtab_v (hw_{A_{n−1}} ($\widehat{B}^{\diamondsuit}(\nu)$)). The result follows by summing over $\delta \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamondsuit}$ and using [\(2.3\)](#page-5-1). P_n .
Lit
 (\widehat{B}) \Box in rowtab_{*v*} (hw_{A_{n-1}} ($B^{\diamond}(\nu)$)). T
4.3 $\widehat{B}(\nu)$ when ν is a rectangle

We assume $g = g^{\diamond}$ is reversible, and apply the previous results to max($B^{r,s}$) $\cong B(s\omega_r)$ for $B^{r,s} \in C^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g}^{\diamondsuit})$. For the rectangular partition $v = (s^r) \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\infty}$ let

$$
\overline{b}(r, s, \lambda) = \mathrm{hw}_{A_{n-1}}^{\overline{\lambda}}(B_{I_0}(s^r)) \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamond}(r, s)
$$
\n
$$
(4.8)
$$

$$
\overline{b}_{\min}^{\diamond}(r,s) = \overline{b}(r,s,\lambda_{\min}^{\diamond}(r,s))
$$
\n(4.9)

where $\lambda_{\min}^{\diamond}(r, s)$ is defined in [\(3.50\)](#page-13-4). Note that the set on the right hand side of [\(4.8\)](#page-18-1) is a singleton, by [\(2.3\)](#page-5-1) and the Littlewood–Richardson Rule. We regard the elements $\overline{b}(r, s, \lambda)$ as being in $B^{r,s}$ since $B^{r,s}$ contains a unique I_0 -component $B_{I_0}(s^r)$. We note that d the Little
B^{r,s} contain
hw_{*A_{n−1}* (\widehat{B}}

$$
\text{hw}_{A_{n-1}}(\widehat{B}(s^r)) = \{ \overline{b}(r, s, \lambda) \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamond}(r, s) \}. \tag{4.10}
$$

Remark 4.6 For $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamondsuit}(r, s)$, let $\delta \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamondsuit}$ be the partition complementary to λ in the rectangle (s^r) . Then by Propositions [4.4](#page-17-1) and [4.5\(](#page-17-0)2), rowtab_(s^{*r*})($\overline{b}(r, s, \lambda)$) is explicitly given by the row tableau of shape (s^r) whose restriction to the shape δ , is the canonical tableau C_{δ}^{\diamond} and whose restriction to $(s^r)/\delta$ is the unique Yamanouchi tableau of that shape in the letters ${\overline{n}, \ldots, \overline{2}, \overline{1}}$; each column of the latter subtableau consists of letters $\overline{n}, \overline{n-1}$, etc., reading from bottom to top. ..., 2, 1}; each column of the latter subtableau consists of letters \bar{n} , $n - 1$, etc., reading m bottom to top.
For $v = (s^r)$ we are going to see that every A_{n-1} -highest weight vertex in $\hat{B}(v)$ is reach-

able by *I*0-lowering operators, starting with a certain fixed element. This is not true for a general partition $v \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\infty}$.

Proposition 4.7 *Let* $(r, s) \in I_0 \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ *with* $B^{r,s} \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^\diamond)$ *and* $\ell = lev(B^{r,s})$ *. Then for any* $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamond}(r, s)$ *there exists a finite sequence* $\mathbf{b} = (j_1, j_2, \dots)$ *in* I_0 *such that*

$$
u_{\ell \Lambda_n} \otimes \overline{b}(r, s, \lambda) = f_{\mathbf{b}}(u_{\ell \Lambda_n} \otimes \overline{b}_{\min}^{\diamond}(r, s)) \tag{4.11}
$$

$$
\operatorname{card}\{k \mid j_k = n\} = \frac{|\lambda| - |\lambda_{\min}^{\diamondsuit}(r, s)|}{|\diamondsuit|}.
$$
\n(4.12)

This result follows by induction using Lemma [4.9](#page-19-2) below. For $h \ge 2$ if \diamond = (1, 1) and $h \geq 1$ if $\diamond \in \{(1), (2)\}\)$, define the following sequences (the semicolons are just for

 $\circled{2}$ Springer

readability): ⎩

 $\overline{}$

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{a}}'(h) = \begin{cases}\n(n-2, n-3, \dots, n-h+1; n-1, n-2, \dots, n-h+2) & \text{for } \diamondsuit = (1, 1) \\
(n-1, n-2, \dots, n-h+1) & \text{for } \diamondsuit = (1) \\
((n-1)^2, (n-2)^2, \dots, (n-h+1)^2) & \text{for } \diamondsuit = (2) \\
\tilde{\mathbf{a}}(h) = (n; \tilde{\mathbf{a}}'(h)). & (4.13)\n\end{cases}
$$

Notation 4.8 Given $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamondsuit}(r, s)$ with $\lambda \neq \lambda_{\min} = \lambda_{\min}^{\diamondsuit}(r, s)$, we define a canonical smaller element $\lambda^- \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamond}(r, s)$ obtained from λ by removing a particular copy of the shape \diamondsuit . Suppose the rightmost column in which λ and λ_{\min} differ, is the *p*th. Let $h = \lambda'_p$ be the height of that column. Let $\lambda^- \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamondsuit}(r, s)$ be obtained from λ by removing a vertical domino from the *p*th column if $\Diamond = (1, 1)$, removing a cell from the *p*th column if $\Diamond = (1)$, and removing a cell from the *p*th and $(p - 1)$ th columns if $\diamondsuit = (2)$.

We note that if $\delta \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamondsuit}$ is a nonempty partition then $\delta^- \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamondsuit}$ can be defined similarly.

Lemma 4.9 *Let* $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamondsuit}(r, s)$ *with* $\lambda \neq \lambda_{\min}^{\diamondsuit}(r, s)$ *. Then* $u_{\ell\Lambda_n} \otimes \overline{b}(r, s, \lambda) = f_{\tilde{a}(h)}(u_{\ell\Lambda_n} \otimes \overline{b}(r, s, \lambda^{-}))$. (4.14)

The proof of Lemma [4.9](#page-19-2) is deferred to Appendix A.

5 Affine crystals and the involution *σ*

In this section we summarize necessary facts on a single KR crystal $B^{r,s}$ belonging to $C^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g}^{\diamondsuit})$ and show that a tensor product *B* of such KR crystals has an automorphism σ , which we call the reversing crystal automorphism. This σ will be effectively used to show our main theorem (Theorem [10.1\)](#page-33-2).

5.1 KR crystal *Br*,*^s*

We consider a single KR crystal $B^{r,s} \in C^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g}^{\diamondsuit})$. Note that $r \in I_0$ is nonspin. We recall the crystal structure of $B^{r,s}$. Firstly, the $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0^{\diamondsuit})$ -crystal structure is described as follows. As we
explained in Sect 1, $B^{r,s}$ decomposes into a multiplicity-free direct sum of bighest weight explained in Sect. [1,](#page-1-0) $B^{r,s}$ decomposes into a multiplicity-free direct sum of highest weight crystals $B(\lambda)$, where λ runs over $\mathcal{P}_n^{\diamond}(r, s)$, the set of partitions obtained by removing \diamondsuit 's from (s^r) . The action of Kashiwara operators e_i , f_i ($i \in I_0$) on $B^{r,s}$ is given by realizing its elements by KN tableaux. Hence, we are left to describe the action of e_0 and f_0 . To do this we explain the notion of \pm -diagrams and a certain automorphism ζ on $B^{r,s}$ for $\diamondsuit = (1, 1)$ introduced in [\[28](#page-45-20)]. From here to Lemma [5.2](#page-20-0) we assume $\diamondsuit = (1, 1)$.

A \pm -diagram *P* of shape Λ/λ is a sequence of partitions $\lambda \subset \mu \subset \Lambda$ such that Λ/μ and μ/λ are horizontal strips (i.e. every column contains at most one box). We depict this \pm -diagram by the skew tableau of shape Λ/λ in which the cells of μ/λ are filled with the symbol + and those of Λ/μ are filled with the symbol –. Write $\Lambda = \text{outer}(P)$ and λ = inner(*P*) for the outer and inner shapes of the \pm -diagram *P*. We call μ the middle shape. Set $J = \{2, 3, ..., n\}$. There is a bijection $\Phi : P \mapsto b$ from \pm -diagrams P of shape Λ/λ to the set of *J*-highest weight elements *b* of *J*-weight λ . For details refer to section 4.2 of [\[28](#page-45-20)].

Now suppose $b \in B^{r,s}$ is a *J*-highest weight element corresponding to a \pm -diagram *P* of shape Λ/λ . Let $c_i = c_i(\lambda)$ be the number of columns of height *i* in λ for all $1 \le i < r$ with $c_0 = s - \lambda_1$. If $i \equiv r - 1 \pmod{2}$, then in *P*, above each column of λ of height *i*, there must be a + or a −. Interchange the number of such + and $-$ symbols. If $i \equiv r \pmod{2}$, then in *P*, above each column of λ of height *i*, either there are no signs or a \mp pair. Suppose there are $p_i \mp$ pairs above the columns of height *i*. Change this to $(c_i - p_i) \mp$ pairs. The result is $\mathfrak{S}(P)$, which has the same inner shape λ as P but a possibly different outer shape. The columns of height *r* in *P* are not changed by \Im . The following map ς (called σ in [\[28\]](#page-45-20)) is an automorphism on $B^{r,s}$ corresponding to interchanging the nodes 0 and 1 of the Dynkin diagram of $D_n^{(1)}$.

Definition 5.1 Let $b \in B^{r,s}$ and **a** be a sequence of elements of *J* such that $e_a(b)$ is a J -highest weight element. Let a' be the reverse sequence of a . Then

$$
\varsigma(b) := f_{\mathbf{a}'} \circ \Phi \circ \mathfrak{S} \circ \Phi^{-1} \circ e_{\mathbf{a}}(b). \tag{5.1}
$$

With this ζ the Kashiwara operators e_0 and f_0 are given by

$$
f_0 = \zeta \circ f_1 \circ \zeta,
$$

\n
$$
e_0 = \zeta \circ e_1 \circ \zeta.
$$
\n(5.2)

By [\(5.1\)](#page-20-1) and [\(5.2\)](#page-20-2) e_0 and f_0 commutes with e_i or f_i for $J' = \{3, 4, \ldots, n\}$. Hence, the calculation of the actions of e_0 and f_0 are reduced to J' -highest weight elements. Note that J' -highest weight elements are in one-to-one correspondence with pairs of \pm -diagrams (P, p) , where the inner shape of P is the outer shape of p. To calculate the action of e_0 it suffices to know the action of e_1 on (P, p) , that is described in [\[28\]](#page-45-20).

- (1) Successively run through all + in *p* from left to right and, if possible, pair it with the leftmost yet unpaired $+$ in *P* weakly to the left of it.
- (2) Successively run through all − in *p* from left to right and, if possible, pair it with the rightmost yet unpaired $-$ in P weakly to the left.
- (3) Successively run through all yet unpaired + in *p* from left to right and, if possible, pair it with the leftmost yet unpaired $-$ in p .

Lemma 5.2 [\[28](#page-45-20), Lemma 5.1] *If there is an unpaired* $+$ *in p, e₁ moves the rightmost unpaired* + *in p to P. Else, if there is an unpaired* − *in P*, *e*¹ *moves the leftmost unpaired* − *in P* to *p*. Else e_1 *annihilates* (P, p) *.*

For types $\diamondsuit = (2)$, (1), we use a construction of $B^{r,s}$ in section 4.3 and 4.4 of [\[3](#page-45-13)] (where it is called *V^{r,s}*). As above we can assume $b \in B^{r,s}$ is *J*-highest. Let $p = \Phi^{-1}(b)$ and let \hat{p} be *p* itself if \diamond = (2), and the \pm -diagram whose inner, middle and outer shapes are all doubled rowwise if \diamond = (1). Let c_i (1 $\leq i \leq r$) be the number of columns of height *i* in outer(\hat{p}). We also set $c_0 = \gamma s$ – outer(\hat{p})₁ where $\gamma = 2/|\diamondsuit|$. Note that c_i is even except when $\diamondsuit = (2)$, $i = r$ and r is odd. There exists a unique \pm -diagram P such that $\text{inner}(P) = \text{outer}(\hat{p})$, the length of inner $(P) \leq r$ and there are equal number $c_i/2$ of columns with \mp and \cdot in *P* if $i < r$, $i \equiv r$ (2), with $+$ and $-$ if $i \not\equiv$ (2). Then the pair of \pm -diagrams (P, \hat{p}) can be considered to correspond to a $\{3, 4, \ldots, n\}$ -highest element of $B^{r, \gamma s}$ of type $\diamondsuit = (1, 1)$. We now apply $e_1 \circ \varsigma \circ e_1$ to (P, \hat{p}) following the procedure explained previously to get (P', \hat{p}') . Let p' be \hat{p}' if \diamond = (2), and the \pm -diagram whose inner, middle and outer shapes are all halved rowwise. (This is possible by Lemma 4.7 (1) in [\[3\]](#page-45-13).) Finally, setting $b' = \Phi(p')$ we obtain $e_0b = b'$. To calculate the action of f_0 we replace $e_1 \circ \varsigma \circ e_1$ with $f_1 \circ \varsigma \circ f_1$.

5.2 The reversing crystal automorphism σ

Recall $\sigma \in Aut(X)$ from [\(1.6\)](#page-3-3).

Theorem 5.3 *For every B that is a tensor product of KR crystals in* $C^{\infty}(\alpha^{\diamond})$ *, there is a unique map* $\sigma = \sigma_B : B \to B$ *such that*

$$
\sigma \circ e_i = e_{\sigma(i)} \circ \sigma \tag{5.3}
$$

for all $i \in I$ *and* $b \in B$ *. Moreover*

$$
wt(\sigma(b)) = -w_0^{A_{n-1}}(wt(b))
$$
\n(5.4)

$$
\sigma^2 = id \tag{5.5}
$$

$$
\sigma_{B'} \circ g = g \circ \sigma_B \text{ for any } g : B \cong B' \text{ for } B, B' \in \mathcal{C}.
$$
 (5.6)

Here $w_0^{A_{n-1}} \in W$ *is the longest element of the type* A_{n-1} *Weyl group generated by* s_1 *through* s_{n-1} *.*

First we assume the existence of σ satisfying [\(5.3\)](#page-21-2) and deduce [\(5.4\)](#page-21-3), [\(5.5\)](#page-21-3), and [\(5.6\)](#page-21-3).

For [\(5.4\)](#page-21-3) we recall the discussion of the weight function on KR crystals (and therefore on *B*) in Sect. [3.4](#page-11-0) and associated notation. By [\(5.3\)](#page-21-2) and [\(3.37\)](#page-10-0) we have $\sigma (wt(b)) = wt(\sigma(b))$, computing in the lattice *P'*. Now wt takes values in $P^0 \cong P$ and one may check that the action of σ on P^0 agrees with that of $-w_0^{A_{n-1}}$ on *P*.

For [\(5.5\)](#page-21-3), σ^2 is an *I*-crystal isomorphism $B \to B$. By connectedness and the fact that *B* contains a unique element $u(B)$ of its weight, there is only one such isomorphism, namely, the identity.

For [\(5.6\)](#page-21-3), by the connectedness of *B* the proof reduces to verifying the relation for a single value. However the value of both sides on $u(B)$ must agree, for the answer must be the unique element of *B'* whose weight is $-w_0^{A_{n-1}}(\text{wt}(u(B))).$

Next, we prove the uniqueness of σ assuming its existence. Since $B \in \mathcal{C}$ is connected we need only show that [\(5.3\)](#page-21-2) uniquely specifies some single value of σ . The vertex $u(B)$ is the only element of its weight in *B*. The weight $w_0^{A_{n-1}}(u(B))$ occurs in *B* since *B* is an *A_{n−1}*-crystal. Since *B* is an *I*₀-crystal (of classical type *B_n*, *C_n*, or *D_n*) with no spin weight, it is self-dual, so its weights are closed under negation. In particular the weight $-w_0^{A_{n-1}}(u(B))$ must also occur in *B*. Since wt($u(B)$) occurs exactly once, the weight $-w_0^{A_{n-1}}(wt(u(B)))$ also occurs exactly once. By [\(5.4\)](#page-21-3) $\sigma(u(B))$ must be the unique element of *B* of weight $-w_0^{A_{n-1}}(\text{wt}(u(B)))$. It follows that σ is unique.

It only remains to prove the existence of σ . By [\(3.41\)](#page-10-1) we may reduce to the case $B = B^{r,s}$. The existence of σ on $B^{r,s}$ is proved in the next several subsections.

5.3 Definition of σ on KR crystals

Define the sequences

$$
\mathbf{a}'(h) = \begin{cases} (2, 3, \dots, h-1; 1, 2, \dots, h-2) & \text{if } \diamondsuit = (1, 1) \\ (1, 2, \dots, h-1) & \text{if } \diamondsuit = (1) \\ (1^2, 2^2, \dots, (h-1)^2) & \text{if } \diamondsuit = (2) \end{cases} \tag{5.7}
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{a}(h) = (0; \mathbf{a}'(h)).
$$

 \circledcirc Springer

Recalling $\tilde{a}(h)$ from [\(4.13\)](#page-19-3) we have

$$
\sigma(\mathbf{a}(h)) = \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(h). \tag{5.8}
$$

Lemma 5.4 *Let* $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(r, s)$ *and* $\lambda \neq \lambda_{\min}^{\diamond}(r, s)$ *. Let* $\ell = \text{lev}(B^{r,s})$ *and* λ^{-} *be as in Notation* [4.8](#page-19-4)*. Then*

$$
u_{\ell\Lambda_0}\otimes b(r,s,\lambda)=f_{\mathbf{a}(h)}(u_{\ell\Lambda_0}\otimes b(r,s,\lambda^-)).\tag{5.9}
$$

Proof We first treat the case $\diamondsuit = (1, 1)$. Suppose *r* is even. We apply $f_{\mathbf{a}'(h)}$. Then $b(r, s, \lambda^{-})$ changes to the KN tableau t_1 of shape λ^- whose columns are filled with 123 ···, except the rightmost, which is filled with 34 \cdots instead. Now we want to apply f_0 to $u_{s\Lambda_0}\otimes t_1$. To do this we first go to the *J*-highest element $e_{(h-1,...,3,2)}(t_1)$ of t_1 , where we have set $J = \{2, 3, ..., n\}$. Then we have $P = \Phi^{-1}(e_{(h-1,\ldots,3,2)}(t_1))$ is the \pm -diagram such that there is no sign in the rightmost column and only + in the other ones. Hence $\mathfrak{S}(P)$ is the \pm -diagram described as follows. Denote the position of the rightmost column of λ by a. The height of the outer shape from the 1st to the $(a - 1)$ th column is the same as *P*, but from the *a*th to the *s*th column the height is larger than *P* by 2. There is only $-$ from the 1st to the $(a - 1)$ th column, and $τ$ from the *a*th to the *s*th column. Now we have $\varsigma(t_1) = f_{(2,3,\dots,h-1)}\Phi(\mathfrak{S}(P))$ described as follows. The shape of $\zeta(t_1)$ is the same as the outer shape of $\mathfrak{S}(P)$. To get contents we first place the string $23 \cdots k\overline{1}$ in each column and then reading from left to right, top to bottom we change 1 to $\overline{2}$ and 2 to $1(s - a + 1)$ times. Note that $\varepsilon_1(\varsigma(t_1)) = s + a - 1$. One finds $f_1 \zeta(t_1)$ is a *J*-highest element corresponding to the \pm -diagram that differs from $\mathfrak{S}(P)$ only in the *a*th column where there is only –. Hence we have $f_0t_1 = b(r, s, \lambda)$ by definition. Since $\varepsilon_0(t_1) = s + a - 1 \geq s$, we also have $f_0(u_{sA_0} \otimes t_1) = u_{sA_0} \otimes f_0 t_1 = u_{sA_0} \otimes b(r, s, \lambda)$.

Next suppose *r* is odd. In this case the first row of λ has *s* nodes. Denote the position of the rightmost column with height greater than 1 by *a*. The calculation goes similarly to the *r* even case. The \pm -diagram *P* is given as follows. The outer shape is the same as λ^- . There is no sign in the *a*th column and only + in the other columns. Applying $f_{(2,3,\ldots,h-1)} \circ \Phi \circ \mathfrak{S}$, one obtains $\zeta(t_1)$ described as follows. The shape of $\zeta(t_1)$ is the same as t_1 except in the *a*th column where the height of $\zeta(t_1)$ is larger than that of t_1 by 2. To get contents we place the string $23 \cdots k\overline{1}$ ($\overline{1}$ in the column of height 1) in each column. Only in the leftmost column we put 2 instead of 1. Note that $\varepsilon_1(\varsigma(t_1)) = s + a - 1$. We obtain $f_0t_1 = b(r, s, \lambda)$, and since $\varepsilon_0(t_1) \geq s$, we again have $f_0(u_{s\Lambda_0} \otimes t_1) = u_{s\Lambda_0} \otimes b(r, s, \lambda)$.

Next we treat the case \diamond = (2). (Since the case \diamond = (1) is similar, we omit its proof.) Applying $f_{\mathbf{a}'(h)}$ makes $b(r, s, \lambda^{-})$ change to the KN tableau t_2 of shape λ^{-} whose columns are filled with $123 \cdots$, except the rightmost two, which is filled with $23 \cdots$ instead. Note that *t*₂ is *J*-highest. $p = \Phi^{-1}(t_2)$ is the \pm -diagram such that there is no sign in the rightmost two columns and only $+$ in the other ones. From this *p* construct *P* as prescribed in the previous subsection. We want to apply $f_1 \circ \varsigma \circ f_1$ to this pair (P, p) of \pm -diagrams. Denote the position of the rightmost column of λ by *a*. By Lemma [5.2](#page-20-0) the application of f_1 changes (*P*, *p*) as follows. In the $(a - 1)$ th column there is + (resp. \mp) when $h \equiv r$ (2) (resp. $h \not\equiv r$ (2)) in *P* and no sign in *p*. f_1 moves $+$ in *P* to *p*. Denote this new pair by (P', p') . Next ζ changes *P'* as follows. In the columns of *P'* of height *h*, the number of columns with \mp (resp. +) increases by 1 while the number of those with \cdot (resp. –) decreases by 1 when $h \equiv r(2)$ (resp. *h* \neq *r* (2)). By applying f_1 again, we obtain (*P''*, *p''*) described as follows. *p''* differs from *p* only at the $(a - 1)$ th and *a*th positions. outer (p'') is of height *h* there with +'s. *P''* is a unique \pm -diagram determined from p'' as in the previous subsection. To show [\(5.9\)](#page-22-0) we still need to check $\varepsilon_0(b(r, s, \lambda^{-})) \ge \ell$. Since the application of $e_0(= e_1 \circ \varsigma \circ e_1)$ is similar to above, we only give its value. Let c_i ($1 \le i \le r$) be the number of columns of λ of height *i* and set $c_0 = s - \lambda_1$. Then we have

$$
\varepsilon_0(b(r,s,\lambda^-)) = c_r + c_{r-1} + \cdots + c_h - 1 + c_0/2.
$$

Noting that $(c_r + c_{r-1} + \cdots + c_h + c_0 + \bar{r})/2 = \ell (\bar{r} = 0 \text{ or } 1, \bar{r} \equiv r (2))$ and $c_h > 2$, we obtain $\varepsilon_0(b(r, s, \lambda^{-})) \geq \ell$.

For a KR crystal *B* of level ℓ , say that the *i*-arrow $b \rightarrow b' = f_i(b)$ is good if either $i \in I_0$ or $i = 0$ and $\varepsilon_0(b) > l$. Traversing the above edge backwards (using a raising operator), going from *b'* to $e_i(b') = b$ is good if $i \in I_0$ or $i = 0$ and $\varepsilon_0(b') > l$.

Lemma 5.5 *Let* $B^{r,s}$ *be a KR crystal of level* ℓ *. Then for every* $b \in B^{r,s}$ *there is a sequence of good arrows from b to m*($B^{r,s}$).

Proof Noting that from (3.45) $u_{\ell \Lambda_0} \otimes m(B^{r,s})$ is an affine highest weight vector in $B(\ell \Lambda_0) \otimes$ $B^{r,s} \simeq B(\varphi(m(B^{r,s})))$, the lemma is clear from the previous one.

We obtain the following for KR crystals $B^{r,s}$ for g of kind $(1, 1), (2), (1)$ where $r \in I_0$ is nonspin.

Corollary 5.6 *For a KR crystal B of level* ℓ *, there is a unique function* \overline{D}_B *satisfying the* $conditions$ of Lemma [3.13](#page-14-1). Moreover, identifying elements of $u_{\ell\Lambda_0}\otimes B$ with their images in $B(\varphi(m(B)))$ *under the isomorphism* [\(3.57\)](#page-15-3)*, we have*

$$
\overline{D}_{B(\varphi(m(B)))}(u_{\ell\Lambda_0}\otimes b) + \overline{D}_B(b) = \overline{D}_B(m(B))\tag{5.10}
$$

where m(*B*) *is defined in Lemma* [3.11](#page-12-0)*.*

Proof By Lemma [5.5](#page-23-0) *B* is connected by good arrows. But properties (1) and (2) of Lemma [3.13](#page-14-1) specify how \overline{D}_B must change across good arrows. Therefore a single value completely specifies D_B . This is furnished by property (3) of Lemma 3.13 . The left hand side of [\(5.10\)](#page-23-1), viewed as a function of $b \in B$, is invariant under good arrows in *B*. But *B* is connected by good arrows so this function is constant, and its value is obtained by setting $b = m(B)$ and using that $\hat{D} = 0$ on the affine highest weight vector.

Let $\ell = \text{lev}(B^{r,s})$ and let $u \in B^{r,s}$ be as in Lemma [3.11](#page-12-0) using $j = 0$. From Theorem [3.16](#page-14-2) there are bijections

$$
B(\ell \Lambda_0) \otimes B^{r,s} \cong B(\varphi(u)) \longrightarrow B(\sigma(\varphi(u))) \cong B(\ell \Lambda_n) \otimes B^{r,s}.
$$
 (5.11)

The first and third maps are isomorphisms given by Theorem [3.16](#page-14-2) and the middle maps are the unique automorphism in highest weight crystals induced by relabeling everything according to $\sigma \in Aut(X)$.

Lemma 5.7 *Let* $\overline{b}(r, s, \lambda)$ *be as in* [\(4.8\)](#page-18-1)*. For* $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{\diamond}(r, s)$, $u_{\ell \Lambda_0} \otimes b(r, s, \lambda)$ *is sent to* $u_{\ell \Lambda_n} \otimes \overline{b}(r, s, \lambda)$ *under the previous bijection.*

Proof The proof proceeds by induction on $\mathcal{P}^{\diamondsuit}(r, s)$. The claim holds for $\lambda_{\min} (= \lambda_{\min}^{\diamondsuit}(r, s))$ since these elements are the unique affine highest weight elements of both sides of [\(5.11\)](#page-23-2). For $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{\diamond}(r,s)$ with $\lambda \neq \lambda_{\min}$ the claim follows from Lemmas [4.9,](#page-19-2) [5.4,](#page-22-1) [\(5.8\)](#page-22-2) and induction.

Proposition 5.8 *For B^{<i>r*},^{*s*} $\in C^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g}^{\diamond})$ *there is a unique map* $\sigma : B^{r,s} \to B^{r,s}$ *such that*

- *1. Equation* [\(5.3\)](#page-21-2) *holds for good arrows.*
- 2. $\sigma(m(B^{r,s})) = \overline{b}_{\min}^{\diamond}(r,s)$ *.*

Proof Such a map σ is necessarily unique. Assertion 2 specifies one value of σ . By Lemma [5.5](#page-23-0) $B^{r,s}$ is connected by good arrows, so Assertion 1 determines all other values of σ . So it suf-fices to prove existence. Consider the bijection [\(5.11\)](#page-23-2). For an element $b \in B^{r,s}$ the image of *u*_{*l*}∆₀ ⊗ *b* by the bijection should belong to *u*_{*l*}∆_{*n*} ⊗ *B^{<i>r*},^{*s*} by Lemma [5.7.](#page-23-3) Denote this image by *u*_{*l*}</sub> ⊗ σ *(b)*. This map σ satisfies the two conditions. by $u_{\ell \Lambda_n} \otimes \sigma(b)$. This map σ satisfies the two conditions.

Proposition 5.9 *The map* σ *of Proposition* [5.8](#page-24-1) *satisfies* [\(5.3\)](#page-21-2) *for all* $i \in I$ *and* $b \in B^{r,s}$ *.*

The proof of Proposition [5.9](#page-24-2) for $\diamondsuit = (1, 1)$ is deferred to Appendix B. For $\diamondsuit = (1)$, (2) the map σ constructed in Theorem 7.1 of [\[3](#page-45-13)] is the one we need.

Proof of Theorem [5.3](#page-21-4) As noted at the end of Sect. [5.2,](#page-21-0) it suffices to establish the case of a single KR crystal. The map σ in Proposition [5.8](#page-24-1) works by Proposition [5.9.](#page-24-2)

The following lemma is used later.

Lemma 5.10 *For any* $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{\diamondsuit}(r, s)$ *, there is a sequence* $\mathbf{a} = (i_1, \ldots, i_m)$ *of indices in* I_n *such that*

$$
e_{\mathbf{a}}(u_{\ell\Lambda_0}\otimes b(r,s,\lambda))=u_{\ell\Lambda_0}\otimes m(B^{r,s}),\tag{5.12}
$$

where $\ell = lev(B^{r,s})$ *. Moreover*

card {j |
$$
i_j = 0
$$
} = $\frac{|\lambda| - |\lambda_{\min}^{\diamond}(r, s)|}{|\diamondsuit|}$. (5.13)

Proof This follows from Proposition [4.7,](#page-18-2) [\(5.3\)](#page-21-2), and Lemma [5.7.](#page-23-3) □

Proof of Proposition [3.15](#page-14-3) Equation [\(5.13\)](#page-24-3) yields -

$$
\widehat{D}_{B(\varphi(u_0(r,s)))}(u_{\ell\Lambda_0}\otimes b(r,s,\lambda))=\frac{|\lambda|-|\lambda_{\min}^{\diamondsuit}(r,s)|}{|\diamondsuit|}.
$$

By Corollary [5.6](#page-23-4) we have

$$
\overline{D}_{B^{r,s}}(b(r,s,\lambda)) = \overline{D}_{B^{r,s}}(m(B^{r,s})) - \frac{|\lambda| - |\lambda_{\min}^{\diamond}(r,s)|}{|\diamondsuit|}.
$$
\n(5.14)

Applying this for $\lambda = (s^r)$ we have

$$
\overline{D}_{B^{r,s}}(b(r,s,(s^r))) = \overline{D}_{B^{r,s}}(m(B^{r,s})) - \frac{rs - |\lambda_{\min}^{\diamond}(r,s)|}{|\diamondsuit|}.
$$
\n(5.15)

Subtracting [\(5.15\)](#page-24-4) from [\(5.14\)](#page-24-5) and using Lemma [3.13\(](#page-14-1)3) and the fact that $u(B^{r,s}) =$ $b(r, s, (s^r))$, we obtain [\(3.55\)](#page-14-4) as required.

6 Splittings

In this section we define maps that embed a KR crystal into the tensor product of KR crystals. These maps are I_0 -crystal embeddings which are compatible with the grading. These results hold for any nonexceptional affine algebra g and any $r \in I_0$ with $r \neq 1$ and *r* nonspin.

6.1 Row splitting

In this section we construct a map which we call row splitting, because in type *A*, the map simply splits off the top row of a rectangular tableau.

Proposition 6.1 *For* g *nonexceptional,* $r \in I_0$ *not a spin node and* $r \neq 1$ *, there exists a unique map*

$$
S: B^{r,s} \longrightarrow B^{r-1,s} \otimes B^{1,s}
$$

satisfying

$$
S(ei(b)) = ei(S(b)) \text{ for any good arrow } b \to ei(b).
$$
 (6.1)

Proof By Lemma [5.5,](#page-23-0) $B^{r,s}$ is connected by good arrows. By [\(6.1\)](#page-25-1) it follows that S is completely determined by any single value. Again by [\(6.1\)](#page-25-1), S is an *I*0-crystal embedding. But $S(u(B^{r,s})) = u(B^{r-1,s}) \otimes u'$ where *u'* is the unique element in $B^{1,s}$ of weight $s(\omega_r - \omega_{r-1}),$ since these elements are the only ones in their respective crystals that are *I*0-highest weight vertices of weight $s\omega_r$. So it remains to show existence.

Let $\ell = \text{lev}(B^{r,s})$ be the common level of $B^{i,s}$ for $i \in I_0$ nonspin. By Lemma [3.11](#page-12-0) and Theorem [3.16](#page-14-2) there are isomorphisms

$$
B(\ell \Lambda_0) \otimes B^{r,s} \cong B(\varphi(m(B^{r,s}))) \tag{6.2}
$$

$$
B(\ell \Lambda_0) \otimes B^{r-1,s} \otimes B^{1,s} \cong \bigoplus_{u'} B(\varphi(u')) \tag{6.3}
$$

where $u' \in B^{1,s}$ satisfies

$$
\varepsilon(u') = \varphi(m(B^{r-1,s})).\tag{6.4}
$$

In the nonperfect case there may be more than one such *u* . However there is a unique $u' \in B^{1,s}$ such that [\(6.4\)](#page-25-2) holds and also

$$
\varphi(u') = \varphi(m(B^{r,s})).\tag{6.5}
$$

First suppose $B^{i,s}$ is perfect for $i \in I_0$ nonspin. Since $m(B^{r-1,s}) \in B^{r-1,s}_{\min}$, *u'* satisfying (6.4) is unique, in which case we must show this u' satisfies (6.5) .

For every $i \in I_0$ define $t_{-c_i * \omega_i * } = w_i \tau_i$ where $w_i \in W$ and $\tau_i \in \Sigma$. One may verify that $\tau_r = \tau_{r-1} \tau_1$. Perfectness yields the isomorphism

$$
B(\ell \Lambda_0) \otimes B^{r,s} \cong B(\ell \Lambda_{\tau_r(0)}) \cong B(\ell \Lambda_0) \otimes B^{r-1,s} \otimes B^{1,s} \tag{6.6}
$$

with $u_{\ell \Lambda_0} \otimes m(B^{r,s}) \mapsto u_{\ell \Lambda_0} \otimes m(B^{r-1,s}) \otimes u'$. Equation [\(6.5\)](#page-25-3) follows by applying φ to these highest weight vectors.

Suppose $B^{1,s}$ is not perfect. Then $g = C_n^{(1)}$, $s = 2\ell - 1$ and lev $(B^{1,s}) = \ell$. In this case $\varphi(m(B^{r-1,s})) = (\ell-1)\Lambda_0 + \Lambda_{r-1}$ and $\varphi(m(B^{r,s})) = (\ell-1)\Lambda_0 + \Lambda_r$. There are exactly three elements $u' \in B^{1,s}$ with $\varepsilon(u') = \varphi(m(B^{r-1,s})) = (\ell-1)\Lambda_0 + \Lambda_{r-1}$. Namely, $r, \overline{r-1} \in B(\omega_1)$ and $1(r-1)\overline{r-1} \in B(3\omega_1)$. (If $s = 1$, neglect the last one.) The values of φ are $(\ell - 1)\Lambda_0 + \Lambda_r$, $(\ell - 1)\Lambda_0 + \Lambda_{r-2}$, $(\ell - 2)\Lambda_0 + \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_{r-1}$, respectively. Let $u' = r$. $B(\Lambda') \cong B(\ell \Lambda_0) \otimes B^{r,s}$ in $B(\ell \Lambda_0) \otimes B^{r-1,s} \otimes B^{1,s}$ such that $u_{\ell \Lambda_0} \otimes m(B^{r,s}) \mapsto$ $u_{\ell\Lambda_0}\otimes m(B^{r-1,s})\otimes u'.$

Since $B^{r,s}$ is connected by good arrows, we may define S by

$$
S(b) = b_1 \otimes b_2 \quad \text{where } u_{\ell \Lambda_0} \otimes b \mapsto u_{\ell \Lambda_0} \otimes b_1 \otimes b_2 \text{ under (6.6).}
$$
 (6.7)

Equation [\(6.1\)](#page-25-1) follows immediately.

$$
\Box
$$

6.2 Splitting *B* ∈ *C* into rows

Let g be nonexceptional. We use Notation [3.17](#page-15-4) for $B = B^R$. Let $B^{\text{rows}(R)} \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ be defined by replacing each $B^{r,s}$ in *B* by $(B^{1,s})^{\otimes r}$. We define a map

$$
\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_R : B^R \to B^{\text{rows}(R)} \tag{6.8}
$$

as follows. Starting B^R we define a sequence of maps that go through various crystals in *C*, ending with $B^{\text{rows}(R)}$. We repeat the following step. We locate the leftmost tensor factor of the form $B^{r,s}$ with $r > 1$, apply a sequence of combinatorial *R*-matrices to swap it to the left, and apply S \otimes id (which we will sometimes by abuse of notation also denote S), which trades in $B^{r,s}$ for $B^{r-1,s} \otimes B^{1,s}$. Eventually the current crystal consists tensor factors of the form $B^{1,s}$, and we apply a sequence of combinatorial R -matrices to reorder the tensor factors, obtaining $B^{\text{rows}(R)}$. Call the composite map \mathcal{S}_R . It is an *I*₀-crystal morphism, being the composition of such [see (6.1)].

Remark 6.2 One can apply splitting of the *first* tensor factor and combinatorial *R*-matrices in any order until $B^{\text{rows}(R)}$ is reached. We conjecture that the resulting map is independent of the order that these steps were taken.

Proposition 6.3 For
$$
\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^{\diamondsuit}
$$
 reversible, $B^R \in C^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g}^{\diamondsuit})$, and $b \in \text{tops}(B^R)$ *we have*

$$
\mathbb{S}_R \circ \sigma_{B^R}(b) = \sigma_{B^{\text{rows}(R)}} \circ \mathbb{S}_R(b).
$$
 (6.9)

Proof By [\(5.6\)](#page-21-3) we may reduce to the case $B = B^{r,s}$ and $\mathbb{S}_R = S$. Let $\ell = \text{lev}(B^{r,s})$. By [\(5.3\)](#page-21-2) and the fact that *S* is an *I*₀-crystal morphism, we may assume $b \in hw_{I_0}(tops(B^{r,s}))$. By Lemma [5.10,](#page-24-6) there is a sequence $\mathbf{a} = (i_1, \ldots, i_p)$ of indices in I_n such that $e_{\mathbf{a}}(u_{\ell \Lambda_0} \otimes b) =$ $u_{\ell\Lambda_0} \otimes m(B^{r,s})$. Therefore we have

$$
e_{\mathbf{a}}(b) = m(B^{r,s})\tag{6.10}
$$

and moreover this sequence consists of good arrows. Applying σS we obtain

$$
\sigma(S(m(B^{r,s}))) = \sigma(S(e_{\mathbf{a}}(b)))
$$

= $e_{\sigma(\mathbf{a})}\sigma(S(b))$

using [\(6.1\)](#page-25-1) and [\(5.3\)](#page-21-2). Applying S σ to [\(6.10\)](#page-26-1) we have

$$
S(\sigma(m(B^{r,s})) = S(\sigma(e_{a}(b)))
$$

= $e_{\sigma(a)}S(\sigma(b))$

using [\(5.3\)](#page-21-2), the fact that σ (**a**) has indices in *I*₀, and [\(6.1\)](#page-25-1). Since e_{σ} (**a**) has a left inverse, we may assume that $b = m(B^{r,s})$. We have $S(m(B^{r,s})) = m(B^{r-1,s}) \otimes u'$ for some $u' \in B^{1,s}$. By Proposition $5.8(2)$ $5.8(2)$ we reduce to the equality

$$
S(\overline{b}_{\min}^{\diamondsuit}(r,s)) = \overline{b}_{\min}^{\diamondsuit}(r-1,s) \otimes \sigma(u'). \tag{6.11}
$$

Since $\overline{b}_{\min}^{\diamond}(r, s) \in B_{I_0}(s\omega_r)$, we may apply rowtab = rowtab_(*s^r*) and similarly for $\overline{b}_{\min}^{\diamond}(r -$ 1,*s*). By definition $(\text{rowtab}_{(s^{r-1})} \otimes 1_{B(s\omega_1)})(S(\overline{b}_{\min}^{\diamond}(r,s))) = \text{rowtab}_{(s^r)}(\overline{b}_{\min}^{\diamond}(r,s)) =$ $\text{rowtab}_{(s^{r-1})}(\overline{b}_{\min}^{\diamondsuit}(r-1, s)) ⊗ u''$ where $u'' ∈ B_{I_0}(sω_1)$ is the last row of rowtab_(s^{*r*})($\overline{b}_{\min}^{\diamondsuit}(r, s)$). So it remains to show $u'' = \sigma(u')$. Using the explicit form of rowtab($\overline{b}_{\min}^{\diamond}(r, s)$) given in Remark [4.6](#page-18-3) one has

 $\circled{2}$ Springer

$$
\varepsilon(u'') = \begin{cases}\n\ell \Lambda_{n-1} & (\diamondsuit = (1, 1), r : \text{even}) \\
(\ell - 1)\Lambda_n + \Lambda_{n-r+1} & (\diamondsuit = (2), s : \text{odd}) \\
\ell \Lambda_n & \text{(otherwise)}\n\end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
\varphi(u'') = \begin{cases}\n\ell \Lambda_{n-1} & (\diamondsuit = (1, 1), r : \text{odd}) \\
(\ell - 1)\Lambda_n + \Lambda_{n-r} & (\diamondsuit = (2), s : \text{odd}) \\
\ell \Lambda_n & \text{(otherwise)}.\n\end{cases}
$$

Therefore $\varepsilon(\sigma(u''))$ and $\varphi(\sigma(u''))$ are given by replacing every Λ_j with Λ_{n-j} in the above table. But $\varepsilon(\sigma(u'')) = \varphi(m(B^{r-1,s}))$ and $\varphi(\sigma(u'')) = \varphi(m(B^{r,s}))$. Therefore by [\(6.7\)](#page-25-4) $u' = \sigma(u'')$ for there is a unique element in $B^{1,s}$ having such values of ε and φ , and we are done since σ is an involution.

6.3 Box splitting

Let g be of affine type such that g₀ is of type B_n , C_n , or D_n .
Define a man $B^{1,s} \hookrightarrow B^{1,s-1} \otimes B^{1,1}$ as follows. For $b-$ ⎨

Ξ

Define a map $B^{1,s} \hookrightarrow B^{1,s-1} \otimes B^{1,1}$ as follows. For $b = x_1 \cdots x_p \in B(p\omega_1) \subset B^{1,s}$,

$$
b \mapsto \begin{cases} 1b \otimes \bar{1} & \text{if } s \ge p+2 \\ b \otimes \varnothing & \text{if } s = p+1 \\ x_2 \cdots x_p \otimes x_1 & \text{if } s = p. \end{cases} \tag{6.12}
$$

Here \emptyset denotes the element of $B(0) \subset B^{1,1}$ for g of kind (1). This map is evidently an *I*₀-crystal embedding. Iterating this map on the first tensor factor, we obtain the following

 *I*₀-crystal embedding $S_{\Box} : B^{1,s} \hookrightarrow (B^{1,1})^{\otimes s}$:

 $S_{\Box}(b) = x_p \otimes \cdots \otimes x_2 \otimes x_1 \otimes \underline{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \underline{1} \otimes \underline{\otimes$ *I*₀-crystal embedding *S*_{\Box} : *B*^{1,*s*} \hookrightarrow (*B*^{1,1})^{⊗*s*}:
 *S*_{\Box}(*b*) = *x*_{*p*} ⊗ ··· ⊗ *x*₂ ⊗ *x*₁ ⊗ 1 ⊗ ··· ⊗ 1

$$
S_{\square}(b) = x_p \otimes \cdots \otimes x_2 \otimes x_1 \otimes \underbrace{1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1}_{m \text{ times}} \otimes \underbrace{\otimes}_{k \text{ times}} \otimes \underbrace{\overline{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{1}}_{m \text{ times}} \qquad (6.13)
$$

where $m = \lfloor \frac{s-p}{2} \rfloor$ and k is 0 or 1 according as $s - p$ is even or odd.

Define a map $\mathbb{S}_{\Box}: B^R \to (B^{1,1})^{\otimes |R|}$ as follows. First apply $\mathbb{S}: B^R \to B^{\text{rows}(R)}$. Then do the following repeatedly until $(B^{1,1})^{\otimes |R|}$ is reached. Find the leftmost factor of the form $B^{1,s}$ with $s > 1$ and swap it to the left end using combinatorial *R*-matrices and then apply *S*_□ ⊗ id to replace this $B^{1,s}$ with $(B^{1,1})^{\otimes s}$. Write S_□ for the composite map. We have

$$
\mathbb{S}_{\Box} \circ e_i = e_i \circ \mathbb{S}_{\Box} \quad \text{for } i \in I_0 \tag{6.14}
$$

since \mathbb{S}_{\square} is the composition of *I*₀-crystal morphisms \mathbb{S} and $S_{\square} \otimes 1$.

Remark 6.4 If *R* consists of tensor factors of the form $B^{1,s}$ then $\overline{D}_{B^R} = \overline{D}_{(B^{1,1})\otimes |R|} \circ S_{\square}$.

Proposition 6.5 *For* $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^{\diamondsuit}$ *reversible and* $b \in \text{tops}(B^R)$ *,*

$$
\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\sigma(b)) = \sigma(\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Z}}(b)).\tag{6.15}
$$

Proof By Proposition [6.3,](#page-26-2) [\(5.6\)](#page-21-3), [\(6.14\)](#page-27-1), and [\(5.3\)](#page-21-2) it suffices to prove [\(6.15\)](#page-27-2) for $b \in$ tops($B^{1,s}$). Consider the case $\diamondsuit = (1)$ where tops($B^{1,s}$) consists of elements $1^p = hw_{I_0}(B(p\omega_1)) \subset B^{1,s}$ for $0 \leq p \leq s$. With notation as in [\(6.13\)](#page-27-3) we have

$$
\sigma(S_{\Box}(1^p)) = \sigma(1^{\otimes p+m} \otimes \varnothing^{\otimes k} \otimes \bar{1}^m)
$$

= $\bar{n}^{\otimes p+m} \otimes 0^k \otimes n^{\otimes m}$
= $S_{\Box}(n^m 0^k \bar{n}^{p+m})$
= $S_{\Box}(\sigma(1^p)).$

The cases $\diamondsuit \in \{(1, 1), (2)\}$ are easier.

7 Correspondence on *An***−1-highest weight vertices**

Again we assume that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^{\diamondsuit}$ is reversible.

Let $\widehat{\max}(B) = \widehat{\max}(B)$ in the notation of Sect. [4.1.](#page-15-2) The goal of this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 7.1 *For B* $\in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g}^{\diamondsuit})$ *and every* $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$, $\sigma : B \to B$ *restricts to a bijection*

$$
\mathrm{hw}_{A_{n-1}}^{\lambda}(\mathrm{tops}(B)) \stackrel{\sigma}{\simeq} \mathrm{hw}_{A_{n-1}}^{\overline{\lambda}}(\widehat{\max}(B)).\tag{7.1}
$$

Lemma 7.2

$$
\operatorname{card} \operatorname{hw}_{A_{n-1}}^{\lambda}(\operatorname{tops}(B)) = \operatorname{card} \operatorname{hw}_{A_{n-1}}^{\overline{\lambda}}(\widehat{\max}(B)). \tag{7.2}
$$

Proof There is an *I*0-crystal isomorphism

$$
A_{n-1}(\text{tops}(B)) = \text{Card } \text{inv } A_{n-1}(\text{in}
$$

isomorphism
$$
\max(B^R) \simeq \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n} B(\nu)^{\oplus c_{R_1,\dots,R_p}^{\nu}}.
$$

By (2.3) we have

$$
\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n
$$

ave

$$
\operatorname{card} \operatorname{hw}_{A_{n-1}}^{\overline{\lambda}}(\widehat{\max}(B^R)) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n} c_{R_1,\dots,R_p}^{\nu} \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamond}} c_{\delta\lambda}^{\nu} = \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{R_1,\dots,R_p}^{\lambda,\diamondsuit}
$$

where the last equality follows from Proposition [2.1.](#page-5-2) We have $X_{\lambda, B}R(1) = \mathcal{R}_{R_1,\dots,R_p}^{\lambda, \diamondsuit}$ by $\mathcal{R}_{R_1,\dots,R_p}^{\lambda, \diamondsuit}$ by [\(3.58\)](#page-15-5), [\(1.2\)](#page-3-1), and [\(2.2\)](#page-5-3). Therefore [\(7.2\)](#page-28-4) holds.

Proposition 7.3 *The map* $\sigma : B \to B$ *sends* tops(*B*) *into* max(*B*).

Proof Let *b* ∈ tops(*B*). By [\(6.14\)](#page-27-1) S_D(*b*) ∈ tops(($B^{1,1})^{\otimes |R|}$). Assuming the Proposition holds for tensor powers of $B^{1,1}$ and using Proposition [6.5](#page-27-4) we have $\mathbb{S}_{\square}(\sigma(b)) \in \max((B^{1,1})^{\otimes |R|})$. By [\(6.14\)](#page-27-1), we deduce that $\sigma(b) \in \max(B^R)$.

We now assume $B = (B^{1,1})^{\otimes m}$ and $b \in \text{tops}(B)$. We may assume that $b \in$ $hw_{A_{n-1}}(\text{tops}(B))$. By induction on *m*, the letters of *b* lie in the set $\{1, 2, ..., m\} \cup \{\bar{m}, ..., \bar{1}\}.$ Thus the letters of $\sigma(b)$ belong to $\{n - m + 1, \ldots, n, \overline{n}, \ldots, \overline{n - m + 1}\}$. When *n* is sufficiently large, this implies that $\sigma(b) \in \max(B)$. This can either be proved by induction on *m* or more directly by using the insertion procedure described in [\[19\]](#page-45-21). \Box

Proof of Theorem [7.1](#page-28-2) By Proposition [7.3](#page-28-5) σ sends tops(*B*) into max(*B*). Since tops(*B*) is an *A_{n−1}*-crystal whose weights lie in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ and σ sends such weights to $\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^n$ by [\(5.4\)](#page-21-3), σ must send tops(*B*) into $\widehat{\max}(B)$. By [\(5.3\)](#page-21-2) and [\(5.4\)](#page-21-3) σ sends hw_{A_{n-1}} (tops(*B*)) into hw_{A_{n-1}} ($\widehat{\max}(B)$). Theorem [7.1](#page-28-2) follows due to Lemma [7.2](#page-28-6) and the injectivity of σ [which holds by [\(5.5\)](#page-21-3)].

8 A relation between \overline{D} and $\overline{D} \circ \sigma$

In this section we assume $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^{\diamondsuit}$ is reversible. Define the map $B \to \mathcal{P}_n$ by $b \mapsto \lambda(b)$ where

$$
B_{I_0}(b) \cong B(\lambda(b)). \tag{8.1}
$$

The goal of this section is to prove the following theorem.

 $\circled{2}$ Springer

Theorem 8.1 *For* $B^R \in C(\mathfrak{g}^{\diamond})$ *and* $b \in \text{tops}(B^R)$

$$
\overline{D}(b) = \overline{D}(\sigma(b)) + \frac{|R| - |\lambda(b)|}{|\diamondsuit|}.
$$
\n(8.2)

We use Notation [3.17.](#page-15-4) For $b \in C(g^{\diamond})$ set $v(b) = w(t) = (v_1(b), v_2(b), ...)$ and $|v(b)| = \sum_{v_1}(b)$. Note that some $v_1(b)$'s may be negative. Hence $|v(b)| = \sum_{v_1}(b)$ may also become $\overline{D}(b) = \overline{D}(\sigma(b)) + \frac{|K| - |\lambda(b)|}{|\diamondsuit|}$. (8.2)

e use Notation 3.17. For $b \in C(\mathfrak{g}^{\diamondsuit})$ set $v(b) = \text{wt}(b) = (v_1(b), v_2(b), ...)$ and $|v(b)| =$
 i $v_i(b)$. Note that some $v_i(b)$'s may be negative. Hence $|v(b)| = \sum_i v_i(b)$ may also bec negative. We prepare a lemma.

Lemma 8.2 *Let* $B_1, B_2 \in C(\mathfrak{g}^{\diamondsuit})$ *. Let* $b_1 \otimes b_2$ *be an element of* $B_1 \otimes B_2$ *and suppose it is mapped to* $b'_2 \otimes b'_1$ *by the combinatorial R-matrix. Then we have*

$$
\overline{H}(b_1 \otimes b_2) - \overline{H}(\sigma(b_1) \otimes \sigma(b_2)) = \frac{|\nu(b_2')| - |\nu(b_2)|}{|\diamondsuit|}.
$$
\n(8.3)

Proof Since $B_1 \otimes B_2$ is connected, it is sufficient to show

- (i) if $b_1 = u(B_1)$, $b_2 = u(B_2)$, [\(8.3\)](#page-29-0) holds, and
- (ii) [\(8.3\)](#page-29-0) with $b_1 \otimes b_2$ replaced by $e_i(b_1 \otimes b_2)$ holds, provided that (8.3) holds and e_i $(b_1 \otimes b_2) \neq 0.$

For (i) recall $b'_1 = b_1, b'_2 = b_2$ if $b_1 = u(B_1), b_2 = u(B_2)$. Since $u(B_1) \otimes u(B_2)$ can be reached from $\sigma(u(B_1)) \otimes \sigma(u(B_2))$ by applying e_i ($i \neq 0$), we have $\overline{H}(u(B_1) \otimes u(B_2)) =$ $\overline{H}(\sigma(u(B_1)) \otimes \sigma(u(B_2))) = 0$. Hence (i) is verified.

For (ii) recall $|v(e_i b)| - |v(b)| = -|\diamondsuit| (i = 0) = |\diamondsuit| (i = n) = 0$ (otherwise). If *i* ≠ 0, *n*, both sides do not change when we replace $b_1 \otimes b_2$ with $e_i(b_1 \otimes b_2)$. If $i = 0$, the first term of the l.h.s decreases by one in case LL, increases by one in case RR, and does not change in case LR or RL. (For the meaning of LL, etc, see Proposition [3.7\(](#page-11-5)2).) The second term does not change, while the r.h.s varies in the same way as the first term of the l.h.s. The $i = n$ case is similar.

Proof of Theorem [8.1](#page-28-3) We may reduce to the case that $b \in hw_{A_{n-1}}(tops(B))$ since tops(*B*) is an A_{n-1} -crystal and the entire Eq. [\(8.2\)](#page-29-1) is invariant under A_{n-1} -arrows.

We proceed by induction on the number *p* of tensor factors in B^R . When $p = 1$ we have $B = B^{r,s}$. By [\(1.2\)](#page-3-1) $b = b(r, s, \lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(r, s)$. By Theorem [7.1,](#page-28-2) $\sigma(b) \in \widehat{\max}(B) \subset$ $max(B) = B((s^r)) \subset B^{r,s}$. But \overline{D} is 0 on $B((s^r))$ by the definition of $\overline{D}_{B^{r,s}}$. Therefore $\overline{D}(\sigma(b)) = 0$. Then [\(8.2\)](#page-29-1) holds by Proposition [3.15.](#page-14-3)

Let $B = B' \otimes B^{r_p, s_p}$ and $b_1 \otimes b_2 \in B' \otimes B^{r_p, s_p}$ is mapped to $b'_2 \otimes b'_1 \in B^{r_p, s_p} \otimes B'$ by the affine crystal isomorphism. Then $\sigma(b_1) \otimes \sigma(b_2)$ should be mapped to $\sigma(b'_2) \otimes \sigma(b'_1)$. Using (3.52) we have

$$
\overline{D}(b) = \overline{D}(b_1) + \overline{D}(b'_2) + \overline{H}(b_1 \otimes b_2), \n\overline{D}(\sigma(b)) = \overline{D}(\sigma(b_1)) + \overline{D}(\sigma(b'_2)) + \overline{H}(\sigma(b_1) \otimes \sigma(b_2)).
$$

On the other hand, by the previous lemma we have

$$
\overline{H}(b_1 \otimes b_2) - \overline{H}(\sigma(b_1) \otimes \sigma(b_2)) = \frac{|\lambda(b'_2)| - |\lambda(b_2)|}{|\diamondsuit|}.
$$

Using the induction hypothesis we obtain

$$
\overline{D}(b) - \overline{D}(\sigma(b)) = \frac{|B'| - |\lambda(b_1)|}{|\diamondsuit|} + \frac{|B^{r_p, s_p}| - |\lambda(b_2')|}{|\diamondsuit|} + \frac{|\lambda(b_2')| - |\lambda(b_2)|}{|\diamondsuit|}
$$

$$
= \frac{|B| - |\lambda(b)|}{|\diamondsuit|}
$$

as desired.

 \mathcal{L} Springer

9 Energy function on max elements

9.1 Highest elements in max $(B^{r_1,s_1} \otimes B^{r_2,s_2})$

Proposition 9.1 *Let* $b_1 \otimes b_2 \in hw_{I_0}(max(B^{r_1,s_1} \otimes B^{r_2,s_2}))$ *and* $r = min(r_1, r_2)$ *.*

- (1) *Then* $b_1 = b(r_1, s_1, (s_1^{r_1}))$ *and there exists a partition* $\lambda \subset (s_2^{r_2})$ *such that* $\ell(\lambda) \leq r$ *and* $\lambda_r \geq s_2 - s_1$, and $b_2 \in B(s_2^{r_2})$ *is the tableau whose entries are i in the ith row in* λ *and* $r_1 + 1, r_1 + 2, \ldots$ *from bottom to top outside of* λ *.*
- (2) Let λ *be as in (1). Suppose* $b_1 \otimes b_2$ *is sent to* $b'_2 \otimes b'_1$ *by the combinatorial R. Then the corresponding partition* μ *of* b'_1 *is obtained from* λ *by adding* $s_1 - s_2$ *(resp. removing* $s_2 - s_1$) columns of height r if $s_1 \geq s_2$ (resp. $s_1 \leq s_2$).

Proof (1) is immediate from [\(3.41\)](#page-10-1). For (2) note that the combinatorial *R* preserves the weight. Given a highest element $b_1 \otimes b_2$ as in (1), there is a unique highest element in $max(B^{r_2,s_2} \otimes B^{r_1,s_1})$ of the same weight.

Example 9.2

is the unique element of hw_{I0} (max($B^{4,4} \otimes B^{5,5}$)) with associated $\lambda = (4, 4, 3, 1)$. By the combinatorial *R* it is sent to

Our goal in this section is to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 9.3 *Assume s*₁ \geq *s*₂ *and let r* = min(*r*₁, *r*₂)*. Let b*₁ \otimes *b*₂ \in hw_{*I*0} (max(*B^{r₁, s₁* \otimes} *Br*2,*s*²)) *whose partition is* λ*. Then*

$$
\overline{H}(b_1 \otimes b_2) = \frac{2}{|\diamondsuit|} (rs_2 - |\lambda|).
$$

Let $e_i^{\max}(b) = e_i^{\varepsilon_i(b)}(b)$.

Lemma 9.4 *Let* $B^{r,s}$ *be a KR crystal of type* $D_n^{(1)}$ *. Let* $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ *sum to s and let b be the element of* $max(B^{r,s})$ *with* α *columns whose entries are* $1, 2, \ldots, r$ *from bottom to top,* β *columns with* 2, 3,...,*r* + 1 *and* γ *columns with* 3, 4,...,*r* + 2*. Then*

(1) $\varepsilon_0(b) = 2\alpha + \beta$, $\varphi_0(b) = 0$, and

(2) $e_0^{\max}(b)$ *is the tableau with* γ *columns with* 3, 4, ..., $r + 2$, β *columns with* 3, 4, ..., $r +$ $\overline{1}$, $\overline{1}$ *and* α *columns with* 3, 4, ..., r, $\overline{2}$, $\overline{1}$.

Proof b is a $\{3, 4, \ldots, n\}$ -highest weight vertex. As is explained in section 4.2 of $\{3\}$, such elements are in one-to-one correspondence with pairs of \pm -diagrams (P, p) , where the inner shape of *P* is the outer shape of *p*. *b* corresponds to (P, p) , where *P* has the outer shape (*s^r*) and the inner shape (s^{r-1} , $s - \alpha$), and *p* has the inner shape (s^{r-2} , $s - \alpha$, $s - \alpha - \beta$). The signs in *P* and *p* are all $+$. Once we have the corresponding pair of \pm -diagrams, it is easy to see ε_0 , φ_0 , and the action of e_0 . As a result we see $e_0^{\max}(b)$ corresponds to the pair of ±-diagrams with all + in (*P*, *p*) being replaced with −. In turn this yields the above tableau. \Box

Lemma 9.5 *Let b and* λ *be as in Proposition* [9.1](#page-30-2)*(1). Let* $r = \min(r_1, r_2)$ *and let* w_0^r *be the longest element of the symmetric group* S_r ⊂ *W generated by* s_1 *through* s_{r-1} *. Then* $h w_{I_0}(e_0^{\max}(b^{w_0'}))$ *has associated partition* λ^- *obtained by adding* $\min(2, r - \lambda'_j)$ *(resp.* $\min(1, r - \lambda'_j)$ *) boxes to the jth column for* $1 ≤ j ≤ s_2$ *for* $\diamondsuit = (1, 1)$ *(resp.* $\diamondsuit = (1)$ *,* (2)*)*.

Example 9.6 Let *b* be the element of max($B^{4,4} \otimes B^{5,5}$) of Example [9.2.](#page-30-3)

We indicate λ and λ^- by boldface entries.

Proof of Lemma [9.5](#page-31-0) We first treat the case of $\diamondsuit = (1, 1)$. b^{w_0} is obtained from *b* by modifying the λ -part of the second component of *b* as follows. The column of entries $1, 2, \ldots, h$ $(h \leq r)$ reading from bottom to top is replaced by $r - h + 1, r - h + 2, \ldots, r$.

Next we want to apply e_0^{max} . Suppose $r_1 \le r_2$. (The other case is similar.) Write b^{w_0} = $\tilde{b}_1 \otimes \tilde{b}_2$. From Lemma [9.4](#page-30-4) we have $\varphi_0(\tilde{b}_1) = 0$, and $e_0^{\max}(\tilde{b}_1)$ is the tableau with s_1 columns of entries 3, 4, ..., r_1 , $\overline{2}$, $\overline{1}$. To calculate $e_0^{\max}(\tilde{b}_2)$ define a sequence $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}_{r_2} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathbf{a}_2 \sqcup \mathbf{a}_1$ where

$$
\boldsymbol{a}_j = ((j+2)^{s_2-\lambda_{r_1-2}}, \ldots, (r_1+j-2)^{s_2-\lambda_2}, (r_1+j-1)^{s_2-\lambda_1})
$$

for $j = 1, 2, ..., r_2$, and set $\tilde{b}'_2 = e_a(\tilde{b}_2)$. Then \tilde{b}'_2 is the tableau with λ_{r_1} columns of entries 1, 2, ..., r_2 , $\lambda_{r_1-1} - \lambda_{r_1}$ columns of entries 2, 3, ..., $r_2 + 1$ and $s_2 - \lambda_{r_1-1}$ columns of entries 3, 4, ..., $r_2 + 2$. Again from Lemma [9.4](#page-30-4) $e_0^{\max}(\tilde{b}'_2)$ is the tableau with $s_2 - \lambda_{r_1-1}$ columns of 3, 4, ..., $r_2 + 2$, $\lambda_{r_1-1} - \lambda_{r_1}$ columns of 3, 4, ..., $r_2 + 1$, $\overline{1}$ and λ_{r_1} columns of 3, 4, ..., r_2 , $\overline{2}$, $\overline{1}$. Since e_i , f_i for $3 \le i \le n$ commutes with e_0 , we have $\tilde{b}_2'' = e_0^{\max}(\tilde{b}_2)$ $f_{\text{Rev}(a)} e_0^{\text{max}}(\tilde{b}_2)$, where $\text{Rev}(a)$ is the reverse sequence of *a*. The *j*th row of \tilde{b}_2'' from bottom $(1 \le j \le r_2)$ is given by

$$
(j+2)^{\lambda_{r_1-2}}(j+3)^{\lambda_{r_1-3}-\lambda_{r_1-2}}\cdots(r_1+j-1)^{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}(r_1+j)^{s_2-\lambda_1} \quad \text{for } 1 \le j \le r_2-2
$$

\n
$$
(r_2+1)^{\lambda_{r_1-2}-\lambda_{r_1}}(r_2+2)^{\lambda_{r_1-3}-\lambda_{r_1-2}}\cdots(r_1+r_2-1)^{s_2-\lambda_1}\overline{2}^{\lambda_{r_1}} \quad \text{for } j=r_2-1
$$

\n
$$
(r_2+2)^{\lambda_{r_1-2}-\lambda_{r_1-1}}(r_2+3)^{\lambda_{r_1-3}-\lambda_{r_1-2}}\cdots(r_1+r_2)^{s_2-\lambda_1}\overline{1}^{\lambda_{r_1-1}} \quad \text{for } j=r_2.
$$

Thus we have $e_0^{\max}b^{w_0^r} = e_0^{\max}(\tilde{b}_1) \otimes \tilde{b}_2^{\prime\prime}$.

Finally, we want to calculate the I_0 -highest vertex of $e_0^{\max}b^{w_0}$. This calculation is long but not difficult, and it is checked that the statement is true.

For the proof for $\diamondsuit = (1)$, (2) we use the construction of a KR crystal in [\[3](#page-45-13), §4.3&§4.4]. Namely, $B^{r,s}$ is realized as a suitable subset of an $A_{2n+1}^{(2)}$ -KR crystal where 0 actions are defined in the same way as $D_n^{(1)}$. Hence we do not repeat the proof.

Proof of Proposition [9.3](#page-30-5) Let $b'_2 \otimes b'_1$ be the image of $b_1 \otimes b_2$ by the combinatorial *R*. We apply w_0^r to both $b_1 \otimes b_2$ and $b_2^r \otimes b_1^r$ as prescribed in Lemma [9.5.](#page-31-0) Noting that e_0 commutes with *e_j* and *f_j* for *j* \geq 3 we find the 0-signature of these elements are $-\frac{2s_1}{s_2}$ ⊗ $-{}^{\lambda_r+\lambda_{r-1}}$ and $-{}^{2s_2}$ ⊗ $-{}^{\lambda_r+\lambda_{r-1}+2s_1-2s_2}$ for $\diamondsuit = (1, 1), -{}^{s_1}$ ⊗ $-{}^{\lambda_r}$ and $-{}^{s_2}$ ⊗ $-{}^{\lambda_r+s_1-s_2}$ for \diamond = (2), $-^{2s_1} \otimes -^{2\lambda_r}$ and $-^{2s_2} \otimes -^{2\lambda_r+2s_1-2s_2}$ for \diamond = (1) by Lemma [9.4.](#page-30-4) Setting $b_1^{\circ} \otimes b_2^{\circ} = \text{hw}_{I_0}(e_0^{\text{max}}((b_1 \otimes b_2)^{w_0^r}))$ and recalling [\(3.42\)](#page-11-4) we have

$$
\overline{H}(b_1^\circ \otimes b_2^\circ) = \overline{H}(b_1 \otimes b_2) + \begin{cases} (\lambda_r + \lambda_{r-1}) - 2s_2 & \text{for } \diamondsuit = (1, 1) \\ \lambda_r - s_2 & \text{for } \diamondsuit = (2) \\ 2\lambda_r - 2s_2 & \text{for } \diamondsuit = (1). \end{cases}
$$

This formula implies the desired result.

9.2 The general case

In this section let g be an affine algebra such that g_0 is of type B_n , C_n , or D_n . Using Remark [4.1](#page-16-1) with $v = (s^r) \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\infty}$ there is a unique embedding of A_{n-1} -crystals

$$
B_A^{r,s} \cong B_{A_{n-1}}(s^r) \xrightarrow{i_A} B_{I_0}(s^r) \subset B^{r,s},\tag{9.1}
$$

which yields an *An*−1-crystal isomorphism

$$
B_A^{r,s} \cong \text{tops}(\max(B^{r,s})).\tag{9.2}
$$

We use Notation [3.17.](#page-15-4) Define

$$
B_A = B_A^R = B_A^{r_1, s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes B_A^{r_p, s_p}
$$
 (9.3)

where $B_A^{r,s}$ is the type $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ KR crystal. There is an embedding

$$
i_A^R : B_A^R \to B^R \tag{9.4}
$$

given by the tensor product of embeddings [\(9.1\)](#page-32-2), inducing the isomorphism of *An*−1-crystals

$$
B_A^R \cong \text{tops}(\max(B^R)).\tag{9.5}
$$

Theorem 9.7 *For* $\diamondsuit \in \{(1), (2), (1, 1)\}$, $B^R \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$ *and* $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n^\infty$ *such that* $|\nu| = |R|$ *we have*

$$
\overline{X}_{\nu,B}^{\diamondsuit} R(q) = \overline{X}_{\nu,B_A^R}^{\emptyset}(q^{\frac{2}{|\diamondsuit|}}). \tag{9.6}
$$

Proof Immediate from [\(9.5\)](#page-32-3) and Proposition [9.10](#page-33-3) below.

Lemma 9.8 *Let R and R be sequences of rectangles that are reorderings of each other with* B^R , $B^{R'} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g})$ *and let* $g : B^R \to B^{R'}$ be the unique isomorphism of I-crystals. Denote *by* $g_A: B_A^R \to B_A^{R'}$ the corresponding isomorphism of crystals of type $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$. Then on B_A^R *we have*

$$
g \circ i_A^R = i_A^{R'} \circ g_A \tag{9.7}
$$

 \mathcal{L} Springer

Proof One may reduce to the case that $R = (R_1, R_2)$ and $R' = (R_2, R_1)$ and further to considering only A_{n-1} -highest weight vertices. But then the two sides must agree since $B_A^{R_1} \otimes B_A^{R_2}$ is *A_{n−1}*-multiplicity-free.

Lemma 9.9 For $B^{R_1} \otimes B^{R_2} \in C^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g})$, we have $\overline{H}_{B^{R_1}_A \otimes B^{R_2}_A} = \overline{H}_{B^{R_1} \otimes B^{R_2}} \circ i_A^{R_1, R_2}$.

Proof This follows from Proposition [9.3](#page-30-5) and the analogous type $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ result [\[29](#page-45-4)[,31\]](#page-46-1). □

Proposition 9.10 $\overline{D}_A = \overline{D} \circ i_A$ on B_A .

Proof By [\(3.52\)](#page-13-3), induction, and Lemmata [9.8](#page-32-4) and [9.9,](#page-33-4) we may reduce to the case of a single tensor factor $B^{r,s}$. Since $B^{r,s}_A \cong B_{A_{n-1}}(s^r)$ as A_{n-1} -crystals [\[14](#page-45-22)], $\overline{D}_{B^{r,s}_A} = 0$. But i_A sends the A_{n-1} -highest weight vertex of $B_A^{r,s}$ to $b(r, s, (s^r)) = u(B^{r,s})$, on which $\overline{D}_{B^{r,s}}$ has value 0 by definition.

10 Main results

10.1 The decomposition theorem

We prove Conjecture [1.1](#page-3-0) and any tensor product of KR crystals.

Theorem 10.1 *Let* $B^R \in C^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g})$ *where* \mathfrak{g} *is of kind* $\diamondsuit \in \{(1), (2), (1, 1)\}$ *. Then for any*
 $\overline{\chi}^{\diamondsuit}_{\lambda} \circ \mathcal{E}^{\beta}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g} \frac{|R| - |\lambda|}{|\diamondsuit|} \sum_{\mathbf{S} \subset \mathcal{S}^{\vee} \circ \overline{\chi}^{\beta} \circ \overline{\chi}^{\beta}(\mathfrak{g$ $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$ *we have*

$$
\overline{X}_{\lambda,B^R}^{\diamond}(q) = q^{\frac{|R|-|\lambda|}{|\diamondsuit|}} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}_n} \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamondsuit}} c_{\lambda,\delta}^v \, \overline{X}_{v,B_A^R}^{\emptyset}(q^{\frac{2}{|\diamondsuit|}}).
$$

$$
\overline{X}_{\lambda,B^R}^{\diamondsuit}(q) = q^{\frac{|R|-|\lambda|}{|\diamondsuit|}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_n} q^{\overline{D}(\sigma(b))}
$$

Proof We have

$$
\overline{X}_{\lambda, B^{R}}^{\diamondsuit}(q) = q^{\frac{|R| - |\lambda|}{|\diamondsuit|}} \sum_{b \in \text{hw}_{I_{0}}^{\lambda}(B^{R})} q^{\overline{D}(\sigma(b))}
$$
\n
$$
= q^{\frac{|R| - |\lambda|}{|\diamondsuit|}} \sum_{b \in \text{hw}_{A_{n-1}}^{\overline{\lambda}}(\widehat{\text{max}}(B^{R}))} q^{\overline{D}(b)}
$$
\ns 8.1 and 7.1. max(*B*^R) has *I*₀-decomposition
\nmax(*B*^R) = \bigoplus \bigoplus $B(c)$.

by [\(3.58\)](#page-15-5) and Theorems [8.1](#page-28-3) and [7.1.](#page-28-2) max(B^R) has $I₀$ -decomposition

$$
\max(B^R) = \bigoplus_{\substack{v \in \mathcal{P}_n \\ |v| = |R|}} \bigoplus_{c \in \text{hw}_{I_0}^v(B^R)} B(c).
$$

For $c \in \text{hw}_{I_0}(\text{max}(B))$, let $\widehat{B}(c) := \widehat{B}(c)$ for the dual polynomial part of $B(c)$; see
Sect. 4.1. Taking the dual polynomial part, we have
 $\widehat{\text{max}}(B^R) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \widehat{B}(c)$. Sect. [4.1.](#page-15-2) Taking the dual polynomial part, we have

$$
\widehat{\max}(B^R) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n \\ |\nu| = |R|}} \bigoplus_{c \in \text{hw}_{l_0}^{\nu}(B^R)} \widehat{B}(c).
$$

Taking $hw_{A_{n-1}}^{\lambda}$, we have

$$
|v|=|R| \qquad^{i_0}
$$

we have

$$
hw_{A_{n-1}}^{\overline{\lambda}}(\widehat{\max}(B^R)) = \bigsqcup_{\substack{v \in \mathcal{P}_n \\ |v|=|R|}} \bigsqcup_{c \in hw_{I_0}^{\overline{\lambda}}(B^R)} hw_{A_{n-1}}^{\overline{\lambda}}(\widehat{B}(c)).
$$

 \mathcal{L} Springer

For *c* ∈ hw^{*V*}_{*I*}(*B*^{*R*}), observe that $\overline{D}(b) = \overline{D}(c)$ for $b \in \text{hw}_{A_{n-1}}^{\overline{\lambda}}(\widehat{B}(c))$ since these vertices *b* all belong to the same classical component *B*(*c*). This gives $\overline{X}_{\lambda, B^R}^{\diamond} (q) = q^{\frac$ all belong to the same classical component $B(c)$. This gives

$$
\overline{X}_{\lambda, B^R}^{\diamondsuit}(q) = q^{\frac{|R|-|\lambda|}{|\diamondsuit|}} \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n \\ |\nu|=|R|}} \sum_{c \in \mathrm{hw}_{I_0}^{\nu}(B^R)} q^{\overline{D}(c)} \mathrm{card} \, \mathrm{hw}_{A_{n-1}}^{\overline{\lambda}}(\widehat{B}(c)).
$$

But by (2.3) we have

$$
|v|=|K| \qquad \circ
$$

$$
card \,hw^{\overline{\lambda}}_{A_{n-1}}(\widehat{B}(c)) = \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamond}} c_{\lambda,\delta}^v.
$$

By Theorem [9.7](#page-32-1) we have

e have
\n
$$
\overline{X}_{\lambda, B^{R}}^{\diamondsuit}(q) = q^{\frac{|R|-|\lambda|}{|\diamondsuit|}} \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{n} \\ |\nu| = |R|}} \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{P}_{n}^{\diamondsuit}} c_{\lambda, \delta}^{\nu} \sum_{c \in \text{hw}_{l_{0}}^{\nu}(B^{R})} q^{\overline{D}(c)}
$$
\n
$$
= q^{\frac{|R|-|\lambda|}{|\diamondsuit|}} \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{n} \\ \delta \in \mathcal{P}_{n}^{\diamond}}} c_{\lambda \delta}^{\nu} \, \overline{X}_{\nu, B^{R}}^{\emptyset}(q^{\frac{2}{|\diamondsuit|}}).
$$

10.2 Link with parabolic Lusztig *q*-analogues

We now give a brief overview on parabolic Lusztig q -analogues in type A_{n-1} , B_n , C_n and D_n . Assume $G = GL_n$, SO_{2n+1} , SP_{2n} or SO_{2n} . Consider *U* a subset of Σ_G^+ and denote by π_U the standard parabolic subgroup of *G* (that is, containing the Borel subgroup B_G) defined by *U*. Write L_U for the Levi subgroup of the parabolic π_U and I_U its corresponding Lie algebra. Let R_U be the subsystem of roots spanned by *U* and R_U^+ the subset of positive roots in R_U . Then R_U and R_U^+ are respectively the set of roots and the set of positive roots of I_{U} .

The Levi subgroup L_U corresponds to the removal, in the Dynkin diagram of G , of the nodes which are not associated to a simple root belonging to *U*. When $U \neq \Sigma_G^+$, write

$$
V = \Sigma_G^+ \setminus U = \{ \alpha_{j_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{j_p} \}
$$

where for any $k = 1, ..., p, \alpha_{jk}$ is a simple root of Σ_G^+ and $j_1 < \cdots < j_p$. Then set $l_1 = j_1, l_k = j_k - j_{k-1}, k = 2, \ldots, p$ and $l_{p+1} = n - j_p$. The Levi group L_U is isomorphic to a direct product of classical Lie groups determined by the $(p+1)$ -tuple $l_U = (l_1, \ldots, l_{p+1})$ to a direct product or classical Lie groups determined by u
of nonnegative integers summing to *n*. Namely, we have

$$
L_U \simeq \begin{cases} GL_{l_1} \times \cdots \times GL_{l_p} & \text{if } G = GL_n \\ GL_{l_1} \times \cdots \times GL_{l_p} \times SO_{2l_{p+1}+1} & \text{if } G = SO_{2n+1} \\ GL_{l_1} \times \cdots \times GL_{l_p} \times Sp_{2l_{p+1}} & \text{if } G = Sp_{2n} \\ GL_{l_1} \times \cdots \times GL_{l_p} \times SO_{2l_{p+1}} & \text{if } G = SO_{2n}. \end{cases}
$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_U = \mathcal{P}_{l_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{P}_{l_{p+1}}$. Then each $(p + 1)$ -partition of \mathcal{P}_U can be regarded as a dominant weight for L_U . For any $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_U$, let $V^{L_U}(\mu)$ be the finite dimensional irreducible representation of L_U with highest weight μ . We denote by $\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n$ the concatenation of the parts of the partitions $\mu^{(k)}$, $k = 1, \ldots, p$.

 $\circled{2}$ Springer

Define the partition function \mathcal{P}^U by the formal identity <u>—</u>

tion
$$
\mathcal{P}^U
$$
 by the formal identity
\n
$$
\prod_{\alpha \in R_G^+ \backslash R_U^+} \frac{1}{1 - e^{\alpha}} = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathcal{P}^U(\beta) e^{\beta}.
$$

Consider $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_U$ then we have [\[7](#page-45-23), Theorem 8.2.1]

$$
\alpha \in R_G^+ \setminus R_U^+
$$

and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_U$ then we have [7, Theorem 8.2.1]

$$
[V^G(\lambda) : V^{L_U}(\mu)] = \sum_{w \in W_G} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \mathcal{P}^U(w \circ \lambda - \mu).
$$

Here $[V^G(\lambda) : V^{L_U}(\mu)]$ is the branching multiplicity of the irreducible L_U -module $V^{L_U}(\mu)$ in the restriction of $V^G(\lambda)$ to L_U . For GL_n , SO_{2n+1} , SP_{2n} , SO_{2n} , we define the *q*-partition function \mathcal{P}_q^U from the formal identity
 $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-qe^{\alpha}} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \mathcal{P}_q^U(\beta) e^{\beta}.$ (10.1) function \mathcal{P}_q^U from the formal identity

$$
\prod_{\alpha \in R_G^+ \setminus R_U^+} \frac{1}{1 - q e^{\alpha}} = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathcal{P}_q^U(\beta) e^{\beta}.
$$
 (10.1)

In type B_n , we shall also need another partition function. Consider the weight function L on the set $R_{SO_{2n+1}}^+$ of positive roots of SO_{2n+1} such that $L(\alpha) = 2$ (resp. $L(\alpha) = 1$) on the long (resp. short) roots. The partition function $\mathcal{P}_q^{U,L}$ is defined by SO_{2n+1} such that $L(\alpha)$
 $\frac{1}{1 - q^{L(\alpha)} e^{\alpha}} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$

$$
\prod_{\alpha \in R_{SO_{2n+1}}^+ \backslash R_U^+} \frac{1}{1 - q^{L(\alpha)} e^{\alpha}} = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathcal{P}_q^{U,L}(\beta) e^{\beta}.
$$

Definition 10.2 Let λ be a partition of \mathcal{P}_n and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_U$.

1. The parabolic Lusztig *q*-analogue $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,U}(q)$ is the polynomial

a partition of
$$
P_n
$$
 and $\mu \in P_U$.
\ng q-analogue $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,U}(q)$ is the polynomial
\n
$$
K_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,U}(q) = \sum_{w \in W_G} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \mathcal{P}_q^U(w \circ \lambda - \mu)
$$
\n(10.2)

where $w \circ \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho_G) - \rho_G$.

2. The stable parabolic Lusztig *q*-analogue ${}^{\infty} K_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,U}(q)$ is the polynomial

$$
w \circ \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho_G) - \rho_G.
$$

\nable parabolic Lusztig *q*-analogue $\propto K_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,U}(q)$ is the polynomial
\n
$$
\propto K_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,U}(q) = \sum_{w \in S_n} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \mathcal{P}_q^U(w \circ \lambda - \mu) \text{ for } G = GL_n, SP_{2n}, SO_{2n} (10.3)
$$

\n
$$
\propto K_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,U}(q) = \sum_{w \in S_n} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \mathcal{P}_q^{U,L}(w \circ \lambda - \mu) \text{ for } G = SO_{2n+1}.
$$
 (10.4)

$$
\alpha K_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,U}(q) = \sum_{w \in S_n} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \mathcal{P}_q^{U,L}(w \circ \lambda - \mu) \quad \text{for } G = SO_{2n+1}.
$$
 (10.4)

Remark (i) When $U = \Sigma_G^+$, I_U is the Cartan subalgebra of g and $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,U}(q)$ is the usual lust is *a*-analogue. Lusztig *q*-analogue.

(ii) The terminology for the polynomials ${}^{\infty}K_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,U}(q)$ is motivated by the following identities proved in [\[18\]](#page-45-1)

$$
\begin{aligned}\n&\propto & K_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,U}(q) = \infty K_{\lambda+\kappa,\mu+\kappa}^{G,U}(q) \quad \text{for } G = GL_n, SO_{2n+1}, SP_{2n}, SO_{2n} \\
&\propto & K_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,U}(q) = K_{\lambda+k\kappa,\mu+k\kappa}^{G,U}(q) \quad \text{for } G = GL_n, SP_{2n}, SO_{2n} \text{ and } k \text{ sufficiently large}\n\end{aligned}
$$

where $\kappa = (1, \ldots, 1) \in \mathcal{P}_n$. In particular ${}^{\infty} K_{\lambda,\mu}^{GL_n,U}(q) = K_{\lambda,\mu}^{GL_n,U}(q)$.

The problem of the positivity of the coefficients appearing in the polynomials $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,U}(q)$ has been barely addressed in the literature.

Conjecture 10.3 *Let* λ *be partition of* \mathcal{P}_n *and* $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_U$ *such that* μ *is a partition. Then* $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,U}(q)$ has nonnegative coefficients.

We have the following result due to Broer [\[2](#page-45-24)].

Theorem 10.4 *Let* λ *be a partition of* \mathcal{P}_n *and* $\mu = (\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(p)})$ *a dominant weight of L_U* such that the $\mu^{(k)}$'s are rectangular partitions of decreasing widths with $\mu^{(p)} = 0$ when L_U *is not a direct product of linear groups. Then* $K_{\lambda,\mu}^{G,U}(q)$ *has nonnegative coefficients.*

This theorem has been recently extended in [\[8\]](#page-45-25). Nevertheless, as far as we are aware, Conjecture [10.3](#page-35-0) has not been completely proved yet.

Let $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_p)$ be a *p*-tuple of positive integers summing *n*. Consider $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $\mu = (\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(p)})$ a *p*-tuple of partitions such that $\mu^{(k)}$ belongs to \mathcal{P}_{η_k} for any $k = 1, \ldots, p$. Recall that $\mathcal{R}_{\mu^{(1)},\ldots,\mu^{(p)}}^{\lambda,\diamond}$ is the multiplicity of $V^G(\lambda)$ in $W^G(\mu^{(1)}) \otimes \cdots \otimes$ $W^G(\mu^{(p)})$. Write $\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n$ for the *n*-tuple obtained by reading successively the parts of the partitions $\mu^{(1)}, \ldots, \mu^{(p)}$ defining μ from left to right. Let *a* be the minimal integer such that

$$
a \ge \frac{|\mu| - |\lambda|}{2} \text{ and}
$$

\n
$$
\widehat{\lambda} = (a - \lambda_n, \dots, a - \lambda_1) \in \mathbb{N}^n, \quad \widehat{\mu} = (a - \mu_n, \dots, a - \mu_1) \in \mathbb{N}^n.
$$

\nThen $\widehat{\lambda}$ is a partition of length *n*.

 $\lambda = (a - \lambda_n, \dots, a - \lambda_1) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $\mu = (a - \mu_n, \dots, a - \mu_1) \in \mathbb{N}^n$.

en $\hat{\lambda}$ is a partition of length *n*.

For any $k = 1, \dots, p$, set $\hat{\eta}_k = \eta_{p-k+1}$ and $\hat{\eta} = (\hat{\eta}_1, \dots, \hat{\eta}_p)$. Denote by Then $\hat{\lambda}$ is a partition of length *n*.

For any $k = 1, ..., p$, set $\hat{\eta}_k = \eta_{p-k+1}$ and $\hat{\eta} = (\hat{\eta}_1, ..., \hat{\eta}_p)$. Denote by $\hat{\mu} = (\hat{\mu}^{(1)}, ..., \hat{\mu}^{(p)})$ the *p*-tuple of partitions such that $\hat{\mu}^{(1)} = (\mu_1, ..., \mu_{\hat{\eta}_1}) \in \math$ For any $k = 1, ..., p$, set $\hat{\eta}_k = \eta_{p-k+1}$
 $\hat{\mu} = (\hat{\mu}^{(1)}, ..., \hat{\mu}^{(p)})$ the *p*-tuple of partitions s

and $\hat{\mu}^{(k)} = (\mu_{\hat{\eta}_1 + ... + \hat{\eta}_{k-1} + 1}, ..., \mu_{\hat{\eta}_1 + ... + \hat{\eta}_k}) \in \mathcal{P}_{\hat{\eta}_1}$ and $\widehat{\mu}^{(k)} = (\mu_{\widehat{\eta}_1 + \dots + \widehat{\eta}_{k-1} + 1}, \dots, \mu_{\widehat{\eta}_1 + \dots + \widehat{\eta}_k}) \in \mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\eta}_k}$ for any $k = 2, \dots, p$. The Lie groups GL_n , SO_{2n+1} ,

 GL_n , SO_{2n+1} ,
 SL_n , SO_{2n+1} ,
 SP_{2n} , SO_{2n+1} ,
 SP_{2n} , SO_{2n} contain Levi subgroups L_U isomorphic to $GL_{\hat{\eta}_1} \times \cdots \times GL_{\hat{\eta}_p}$. With the above

terminology, the corresponding subset of simple roots is
 U terminology, the corresponding subset of simple roots is *SO*_{2n} contain Levi subgroups L_U isomorphic to *G*
blogy, the corresponding subset of simple roots is
 $U = \{0 < \alpha_i < \hat{\eta}_1\} \cup_{1 \leq k \leq p-1} {\{\alpha_i | \hat{\eta}_1 + \cdots + \hat{\eta}_k\}}$

$$
U = \{0 < \alpha_i < \widehat{\eta}_1\} \cup_{1 \leq k \leq p-1} \{\alpha_i \mid \widehat{\eta}_1 + \cdots + \widehat{\eta}_k < i < \widehat{\eta}_1 + \cdots + \widehat{\eta}_{k+1}\}.\tag{10.6}
$$

In particular when $G = SO_{2n+1}$, SP_{2n} or SO_{2n} , U never contains the simple root α_n .

Example 10.5 Consider $\mu^{(1)} = (5, 4, 4), \mu^{(2)} = (6, 3, 2)$ and $\mu^{(3)} = (4, 3)$. Take $\lambda =$ (4, 4, 3, 2, 2, 1, 0, 0). Then $a = 8$, $\hat{\mu}^{(1)} = (5, 4, 4)$, $\mu^{(2)} = (6, 3, 2)$ and $\mu^{(3)} = (4, 4, 3, 2, 2, 1, 0, 0)$. Then $a = 8$, $\hat{\mu}^{(1)} = (5, 4, 4)$, $\hat{\mu}^{(2)} = (6, 5, 2)$, $\hat{\mu}^{(3)} = (4, 4, 3, 2, 2, 1, 0, 0)$. $(4, 4, 3, 2, 2, 1, 0, 0)$. Then $a = 8$, $\hat{\mu}^{(1)} = (5, 4), \hat{\mu}^{(2)} = (6, 5, 2), \hat{\mu}^{(3)} = (4, 4, 3)$ and $\widehat{\lambda} = (8, 8, 7, 6, 6, 5, 4, 4).$

The coefficients $\mathcal{R}_{\mu}^{\lambda,\diamondsuit}$, $\mathcal{R}_{\mu}^{(p)}$ defined in [\(2.1\)](#page-5-3) can in fact be regarded as branching coefficients corresponding to the restriction to Levi subgroup isomorphic to a direct product of linear groups. The following duality was established in [\[18](#page-45-1)]. ficients corresponding to the restriction to Levi subgroup isomorphic to a direct product of
linear groups. The following duality was established in [18].
Proposition 10.6 *With the previous notation for* $\hat{\lambda}$, $\hat{\mu$

 SO_{2n}^{η} $\mathfrak{K}_{\mu^{(1)},\dots,\mu^{(p)}}^{\lambda,\diamond} = \infty$ $K_{\widehat{\lambda},\widehat{\mu}}^{G,\widehat{U}}$ the prev.
 G,U
 $\widehat{\lambda},\widehat{\mu}$ (1).

We then define for $G = SO_{2n+1}$, SP_{2n} and SO_{2n} the *q*-analogue $\mathcal{R}^{\lambda,\diamondsuit}_{\mu^{(1)},\dots,\mu^{(p)}}(q)$ of $\mathfrak{K}^{\lambda,\diamondsuit}_{\mu^{(1)},\dots,\mu^{(p)}}$ by setting

$$
\mathfrak{K}^{\lambda,\diamondsuit}_{\mu^{(1)},\ldots,\mu^{(p)}}(q) = \propto K_{\widehat{\lambda},\widehat{\mu}}^{G,U}(q).
$$

 $\circled{2}$ Springer

Theorem 10.7 [\[18](#page-45-1)]

1 *We have the decomposition*

$$
\mathfrak{K}^{\lambda,\diamondsuit}_{\mu^{(1)},\ldots,\mu^{(p)}}(q) = q^{\frac{|\mu|-|\lambda|}{|\diamondsuit|}} \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_n} \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamondsuit}} c_{\lambda,\delta}^{\nu} K_{\nu,\mu}^{GL_n,U}(q^{\frac{2}{|\diamondsuit|}}). \tag{10.7}
$$

- 2 *The polynomial* $\mathbb{R}^{\lambda,\infty}_{\mu(1),\ldots,\mu(p)}(q)$ *has nonnegative integer coefficients when the* $\mu^{(k)}$'s are *rectangular partitions of decreasing widths*
- *Remark* (i) Assertion 2 of the previous theorem follows directly from Theorem [10.4](#page-36-0) for $G = SP_{2n}$ and SO_{2n} . For $G = SO_{2n+1}$, we have to use Assertion 1 and Theorem [10.4](#page-36-0) for $G = GL_n$.
- (ii) Proposition [10.6](#page-36-1) generalizes a similar duality result in type A_{n-1} . For $(\mu^{(1)}, \ldots, \mu^{(p)})$ a *p* -tuple of partitions, we have $K_{\nu,\mu}^{GL_n, U}(1) = c_{\mu^{(1)},\dots,\mu^{(p)}}^{\nu}$ where $c_{\mu^{(1)},\dots,\mu^{(p)}}^{\nu}$ is the multiplicity of $V^{GL_n}(v)$ in $V^{GL_n}(\mu^{(1)}) \otimes \cdots \otimes V^{GL_n}(\mu^{(p)})$. We set for completion

$$
\mathfrak{K}^{\lambda,\emptyset}_{\mu^{(1)},\dots,\mu^{(p)}}(q) = K^{GL_n,U}_{\nu,\mu}(q). \tag{10.8}
$$

Recall the following theorem connecting one-dimensional sums in affine type $A_n^{(1)}$ with parabolic Lusztig *q*-analogues for *GLn*.

Theorem 10.8 [\[30](#page-45-5)] *Let B be the tensor product of type* $A_n^{(1)}$ *KR crystals associated to the p-tuple of rectangular partitions* (*R*(1) ,..., *R*(*p*)) *of decreasing widths. Then for any partition* λ *in Pn, we have*

$$
\overline{X}_{\lambda,B}^{\emptyset}(q) = q^{\|R\|} \mathfrak{K}_{R^{(1)},...,R^{(p)}}^{{\lambda,\emptyset}(q^{-1})} = q^{\|R\|} K_{\nu,\mu}^{GL_n,U}(q^{-1})
$$

 led in (10.6) *and*

$$
||R|| = \sum |R_i \cap R_j|.
$$

where U is defined in [\(10.6\)](#page-36-2) *and*

$$
||R|| = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le p} |R_i \cap R_j|.\tag{10.9}
$$

Theorem 10.9 *Let B be a tensor product of p KR crystals. Assume the widths of the rectan*gles $R^{(1)}, \ldots, R^{(p)}$ associated to B are decreasing and the large rank hypothesis is satisfied. *Then, for any* $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$

$$
\overline{X}_{\lambda,B}^{\diamondsuit}(q) = q^{\frac{2(||R||+|R|-|\lambda|)}{|\diamondsuit|}} \mathfrak{K}_{R^{(1)},...,R^{(p)}}^{\lambda,\diamondsuit}(q^{-1}) = q^{\frac{2(||R||+|R|-|\lambda|)}{|\diamondsuit|}} \propto K_{\widehat{\lambda},\widehat{\mu}}^{G,U}(q^{-1})
$$

where U is defined in [\(10.6\)](#page-36-2) *and* ||*R*|| *in* [\(10.9\)](#page-37-0)*.*

Proof This follows from Theorems [10.8,](#page-37-1) [10.7](#page-37-2) and [10.1.](#page-33-2) □

Theorem 10.10 *Let B be a tensor product of KR crystals. Assume the large rank hypothesis is satisfied. Then, for any* $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$

$$
\overline{X}_{\lambda^t,B^t}^{\diamondsuit^t}(q) = q^{\frac{2(||R||+|R|-|\lambda|)}{|\diamondsuit|}} \overline{X}_{\lambda,B}^{\diamondsuit}(q^{-1}).
$$

Proof For $\diamondsuit = \emptyset$, the equality $\mathfrak{K}_{(R^{(1)})^t,\dots,(R^{(p)})^t}^{\lambda^t,\emptyset}(q) = q^{\|B\|} \mathfrak{K}_{R^{(1)},\dots,R^{(p)}}^{\lambda,\diamondsuit}(q^{-1})$ was proved in [\[16](#page-45-26)]. By using Theorem [10.8,](#page-37-1) one obtains $\overline{X}_{\lambda^t, B^t}^{0}(q) = q^{\|B\|} \overline{X}_{\lambda, B}^{0}(q^{-1})$. Theorem [10.1](#page-33-2) gives

$$
\overline{X}_{\lambda^t,B^t}^{\diamondsuit^t}(q)=q^{\frac{|B|-|\lambda|}{|\diamondsuit|}}\sum_{v\in\mathcal{P}_n}\sum_{\delta\in\mathcal{P}_n^{\diamondsuit}}c_{\lambda^t,\delta^t}^{v^t}\ \overline{X}_{v^t,B^t}^{\emptyset}(q^{\frac{2}{|\diamondsuit|}}).
$$

 \circledcirc Springer

But $c_{\lambda^t, \delta^t}^{v^t} = c_{\lambda, \delta}^v$. Thus by using the previous identity for $\diamondsuit = \emptyset$

$$
\overline{X}_{\lambda^t,B^t}^{\diamondsuit'}(q) = q^{\frac{2||B||}{|\diamondsuit|} + \frac{|B|-|\lambda|}{|\diamondsuit|}} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}_n} \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamondsuit}} c_{\lambda,\delta}^v \overline{X}_{v,B}^{\emptyset}(q^{-\frac{2}{|\diamondsuit|}}) = q^{\frac{2(||R||+|R|-|\lambda|)}{|\diamondsuit|}} \overline{X}_{\lambda,B}^{\diamondsuit}(q^{-1}).
$$

11 Splitting preserves energy

In this section we assume g is of affine type with g_0 of type B_n , C_n , or D_n .

For $B \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g})$ we define the opposite grading $D : B \to \mathbb{Z}$ (the intrinsic energy) to \overline{D}_B . We show in Theorem [11.3](#page-39-2) that it is invariant under the row-splitting map *S*. The normalization of *D* is somewhat subtle. For example, \overline{D} is nonnegative with minimum value zero, while *D* may be negative. Also, if $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ are both tensor products of KR crystals, then the formula relating H_{B_1,B_2} and \overline{H}_{B_1,B_2} , requires knowledge of all the KR tensor factors in B_1 and B_2 .

For this reason, instead of an inductive definition analogous to that of \overline{D}_B we make the following definitions.

For
$$
B_i = B^{R_i} = B^{r_i, s_i} \in C^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g})
$$
 for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ we define

$$
H_{B_1,B_2}(b_1 \otimes b_2) = |R_1 \cap R_2| - H_{B_1,B_2}(b_1 \otimes b_2)
$$
\n(11.1)

for $b_1 \in B_1$ and $b_2 \in B_2$, where $|R_1 \cap R_2| = \min(r_1, r_2) \min(s_1, s_2)$ is the number of cells in the rectangular partition given by the intersection of the Young diagrams of the rectangular partitions R_1 and R_2 . We define

$$
D_{B^{R_1}}(b) = -\overline{D}_{B^{R_1}}(b) \quad \text{for } b \in B^{R_1}.
$$
 (11.2)

Analogous to (3.53) we define

$$
D_{B}k_{1}(b) = -D_{B}k_{1}(b) \text{ for } b \in B
$$
\n
$$
5.53) \text{ we define}
$$
\n
$$
D_{B}k(b) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} D_{B}k_{i}(b_{i}^{(1)}) + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le p} H_{B_{i},B_{j}}(b_{i} \otimes b_{j}^{(i+1)}).
$$
\n
$$
(11.3)
$$

We make the same definitions [\(11.1\)](#page-38-1), [\(11.2\)](#page-38-2), and [\(11.3\)](#page-38-3) for type $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ also. Then (11.2) reads $D_{B_A^{R_1}} = -\overline{D}_{B_A^{R_1}} \equiv 0$. Using [\(3.53\)](#page-14-5) we deduce that

$$
D_{B^R}(b) = ||R|| - \overline{D}_{B^R}(b) \quad \text{for } b \in B^R \tag{11.4}
$$

$$
D_{B_A^R}(b) = ||R|| - \overline{D}_{B_A^R}(b) \quad \text{for } b \in B_A^R \tag{11.5}
$$

where $||R||$ is defined in [\(10.9\)](#page-37-0). $D_{B_A^R}$ has nonnegative values with minimum value 0 in the large rank case, while D_{B^R} has negative values in general.

Proposition 11.1 *For any sequence of rectangles R such that* $B^{R_i} \in C^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g})$ *,*

$$
D_{B_A^R} = D_{B^R} \circ i_A^R. \tag{11.6}
$$

Proof As in the proof of Proposition [9.10,](#page-33-3) we reduce to checking the case $R = (R_1)$ and

$$
H_{B_A^{R_1}, B_A^{R_2}} = H_{B^{R_1}, B^{R_2}} \circ i_A^{R_1, R_2}.
$$
\n(11.7)

For [\(11.6\)](#page-38-4) for $R = (R_1)$ we see that both sides yield zero by definition. Equation [\(11.7\)](#page-38-5) follows from Lemma [9.9](#page-33-4) and the definitions.

 $\circled{2}$ Springer

Let S_A : $B_A^R \to B_A^{S(R)}$ be type $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ row-splitting of the first tensor factor and \mathbb{S}_A : $B_A^R \to B_A^{\text{rows}(R)}$ the type A complete splitting into rows (split first factor if possible and use R-matrices).

Proposition 11.2 *With R such that* $B^{R_i} \in C^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g})$ *,*

$$
i_A^{S(R)} \circ S_A = S \circ i_A^R
$$

\n
$$
i_A^{\text{rows}(R)} \circ S_A = S \circ i_A^R.
$$
\n(11.8)

Proof By Lemma [9.8](#page-32-4) and the definitions, we may reduce the statement on S to that of *S* and check *S* only in the single tensor factor case $B = B^R = B^{r,s}$. In this case tops(max(*B*)) is the *A_{n−1}*-component of *b*(*r*, *s*, (*s^{<i>r*})) ∈ *B*(*s^{<i>r*}) ⊂ *B^{<i>r*,*s*}, tops(max(*B*)) consists of type *B_n*, *C_n*, or D_n KN tableaux of shape (s^r) with no barred letters, and (11.8) is easily verified.

Theorem 11.3 *For* $B = B^R \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^\diamondsuit)$,

$$
D_{B^R} = D_{B^{S(R)}} \circ S \tag{11.9}
$$

$$
D_{B^R} = D_{B^{\text{rows}(R)}} \circ \mathbb{S}.
$$
 (11.10)

Proof We need only prove [\(11.9\)](#page-39-4). Since energy functions are constant on *I*₀-components, it suffices to check [\(11.9\)](#page-39-4) on $b \in \text{tops}(B^R)$. We have

$$
D(b) = D(\sigma(b)) - \frac{|R| - |\lambda(b)|}{|\diamondsuit|}
$$

by Theorem [8.1](#page-28-3) and [\(11.4\)](#page-38-6). Since *S* is an embedding of I_0 -crystals, $S(b) \in \text{tops}(B^{S(R)})$. Applying the previous argument to *S*(*b*) we have

$$
D(S(b)) = D(\sigma(S(b))) - \frac{|S(R)| - |\lambda(S(b))|}{|\diamondsuit|}.
$$

But $|S(R)|=|R|$ and $|\lambda(S(b))|=|\lambda(b)|$ since *S* is an embedding of *I*₀-crystals. So it suffices to prove that $D(\sigma(b)) = D(\sigma(S(b)))$. By Proposition [6.3,](#page-26-2) this is equivalent to $D(\sigma(b)) = D(S(\sigma(b)))$. So by Theorem [7.1](#page-28-2) we are reduced to prove the equality $D(c) = D(S(c))$ for any $c \in \widehat{\max}(B^R)$. Since *D* is constant on *I*₀-components we need only show *D*(*c*) = *D*(*S*(*c*)) for *c* ∈ hw_{I0}(max(*B*^{*R*})) = hw_{A_{n−1}}(tops(max(B ^{*R*}))). By Prop-osition [11.1](#page-38-7) applied for *R* and *S*(*R*), the desired equality reduces to the identity $D_A(a)$ = $D_A(S_A(a))$ for any $a \in B_A^R$ which was established in [\[29](#page-45-4)].

Remark 11.4 In the statement of Theorem [11.3,](#page-39-2) it should be unnecessary to assume that g is reversible and $B^R \in C^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g})$. However for *S* to make sense there cannot be spin nodes in the *Ri* .

Acknowledgments The authors thank Masaki Kashiwara and staff of RIMS, Kyoto University during their visit in January, 2009, where this work was started. M.O. and M.S. thank Ghislain Fourier and Anne Schilling for their earlier collaboration related to this work. C.L. is partially supported by ANR-09-JCJC-0102-01, M.O. by JSPS grant No. 20540016, and M.S. by NSF DMS-0652641 and DMS-0652648.

Appendix A: Proofs for Section [4](#page-15-1)

A.1. Proof of Proposition [4.5](#page-17-0)

Proof of Proposition [4.5](#page-17-0) Let $b \in L^{\diamondsuit}(\nu, \delta)$ for $\delta \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamondsuit}$. Observe that the letters of the canonical subtableau C_δ^{\diamond} collectively do not affect any A_{n-1} -string. Now $b|v\rangle^{\delta}$ is a semistandard tableau in the alphabet $\{\bar{n},\ldots,\bar{1}\}$. It is well-known that the set of skew tableaux of a fixed shape, form an *An*−1-crystal. This proves 1.

For Assertion 2, based on the above observations, *b* is A_{n-1} -highest weight, if and only if $b|^{v/\delta}$ is A_{n-1} -highest weight as an element of the type A_{n-1} skew tableau crystal. But it is well-known that such a skew tableau is *An*−1-highest weight if and only if its row-reading word is Yamanouchi. Finally, since the tableau has letters in $\{\bar{n}, \ldots, \bar{1}\}$, if it is A_{n-1} -highest weight, then its weight must have the form $\overline{\lambda}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$.

For Assertion 3, suppose *b* admits *fn*.

- (1) $\diamondsuit = (1, 1)$: The application of f_n to *b*, changes an *n* (which by the signature rule, must be in a corner cell of δ) to a $\overline{n-1}$. Since every *n* sits atop a \overline{n} , Assertion 3 follows.
- (2) $\diamondsuit = (1)$: The application of f_n to *b* changes some 0 to \bar{n} or some *n* to 0 (say in row *i*). The tableau $f_n(b)$ contains $C_{\delta^-}^{(1)}$ where $\delta^- \in \mathcal{P}_n^{(1)}$ is obtained from δ by removing a cell in row *i*. The only way that $f_n(b)$ is not in $L^{(1)}(v, \delta^-)$ is if $f_n(b)|^{v/\delta^-}$ contains two letters \bar{n} in the same column, either because the changed letter became \bar{n} and now lies beneath another \bar{n} , or because in *b* there was a pair of letters \bar{n} atop each other but one was in δ and the other not in δ , but now in $f_n(b)$ both are outside δ^- . However the assumption that $\delta_{i+1} \leq \delta_i$ and the signature rule, imply that this cannot occur.
- (3) $\diamondsuit = (2)$: The application of f_n to *b* changes some *n* to \bar{n} (say in the *i*th row). The tableau $f_n(b)$ contains $C_{\delta^-}^{(2)}$ where $\delta^- \in \mathcal{P}_n^{(2)}$ is obtained from δ by removing two cells in row *i*. Similarly to the case $\diamondsuit = (1)$, one may deduce Assertion 3.

We prove Assertions 4 and 5 by induction on $|\delta| = |\nu| - |\lambda|$. Equivalently we find a sequence **a** of indices in I_0 such that $f_a(b) = \text{rowtab}(b^{\nu})$. By Assertion 1 we may assume *b* is a *An*−1-lowest weight vertex.

If $|\delta| = 0$ then $b = \text{rowtab}(b^{\nu})$ and the empty sequence works. Suppose $\delta \neq \emptyset$. Since *b* is *A_{n−1}*-lowest weight and $v \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\infty}$ the skew tableau $b|v \setminus \delta$ admits no A_{n-1} -lowering operator and contains letters in $\{\overline{n-2}, \ldots, \overline{2}, \overline{1}\}$. So the letters outside $b|^{\delta} = C_{\delta}^{\diamond}$ are irrelevant for the *n*-signature. In the various cases we see that $f_n(b) \in L^{\diamondsuit}(\nu, \delta^-)$ where $\delta^- \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\diamondsuit}$ is obtained from δ in the same way that λ^- is obtained from λ in Lemma [4.9.](#page-19-2) Induction completes the \Box proof.

Example A.1 $\lambda = (4, 3, 3, 1, 1) \in \mathcal{P}(5, 4)$ since $\delta = (3, 3, 1, 1) \in \mathcal{P}^{(1,1)}$.

Proof of Lemma [4.9](#page-19-2) Let rowtab = rowtab_(s^{*r*}), b' = rowtab($\overline{b}(r, s, \lambda^{-})$) and b = rowtab($\overline{b}(r, s, \lambda)$). It suffices to show

$$
\varepsilon_n(f_{\tilde{\mathbf{a}}'(h)}(b')) \ge \ell \tag{A.1}
$$

$$
\varphi_n(f_{\tilde{\mathbf{a}}'(h)}(b')) > 0 \tag{A.2}
$$

$$
u_{\ell \Lambda_n} \otimes b = f_{\tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{h})}(u_{\ell \Lambda_n} \otimes b'). \tag{A.3}
$$

Let δ^- ∈ $\mathcal{P}^{(1,1)}$ be the complementary partition to λ^- within (s^r) . We will need to keep track of certain letters that may contribute to the *n*-signature.

Suppose \diamondsuit = (1, 1). By Proposition [4.5\(](#page-17-0)2), the restriction of *b'* to the skew shape $(s^r)\delta^-$, has the letter \bar{n} at the bottom of each column and a letter $\bar{n} - 1$ atop the letter \bar{n} if it fits into (*sr*). We may think that every *n* not in the top row is paired (in the (*n* −1)-signature) with the \bar{n} sitting atop it. The *n*'s in the top row (which may occur if r is even) are unpaired and occur at the end of the rowwise *n*-signature reading. There are *s* unpaired letters \bar{n} in the bottom row, and an unpaired $\overline{n-1}$ in each column of δ that is not of maximum height 2*|r/2*|. We now apply $f_{\mathbf{a}'(h)}$ to *b*'; call the result *b*^{''}. It only changes letters at the top of the *p*th column from the right, from (reading down) $\overline{n-h+3}, \ldots, \overline{n-1}, \overline{n}$, to $\overline{n-h+1}, \ldots, \overline{n-2}$. The bottom row still consists of *s* copies of \overline{n} which occur at the beginning of the *n*-signature, so $(A.1)$ holds. The dominant elements in the *n*-signature of *b''* are the unpaired letters *n* in the top row if*r* is even, and the copy of *n* in the active column, since the relevant letters changed from $\overline{n-1}$, \overline{n} , *n* to $\overline{n-3}$, $\overline{n-2}$, *n*. Therefore [\(A.2\)](#page-40-0) holds. Applying f_n to *b*["] changes the *n* in the active column to the letter $\overline{n-1}$, with final result rowtab($\overline{b}(r, s, \lambda)$).

Now assume $\diamondsuit = (2)$. Similarly, the restriction of *b'* to the skew shape $(s^r) \setminus \delta^-$, has the letter \bar{n} at the bottom of each column and a letter \bar{n} or $n-1$ atop the letter \bar{n} if it fits into (*s^r*). Moreover, each letter *n* is paired with a letter \bar{n} in the $(n - 1)$ -signature of *b*'. Therefore, $b'' = f_{\tilde{\mathbf{a}}'(h)}(b')$ is obtained by changing the letters at the top of the *p*th and $(p+1)$ th columns from (reading down) $\overline{n-h+2}, \ldots, \overline{n-1}, \overline{n}$, to $\overline{n-h+1}, \ldots, \overline{n-2}, \overline{n-1}$. In *b'* and *b''* Moreover, each letter *n* is pain
 $b'' = f_{\tilde{\mathbf{a}}'(h)}(b')$ is obtained by c

from (reading down) $\overline{n-h}$ +

the bottom contains at least $\begin{bmatrix} s \\ s \end{bmatrix}$ $\frac{s}{2}$ letters \bar{n} which are unpaired in the *n*-signature. Thus [\(A.1\)](#page-40-0) holds. In the columns *p* and $p + 1$, the letters \overline{n} are changed in $\overline{n-1}$. Therefore [\(A.2\)](#page-40-0) holds. Applying f_n to b'' changes the *n* in the active column *p* to the letter \overline{n} , with final result rowtab($\overline{b}(r, s, \lambda)$) as desired.

The case $\diamondsuit = (1)$ is similar.

Appendix B: Proofs for Section [5](#page-19-0)

In this appendix we assume $\diamondsuit = (1, 1)$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{\diamondsuit} = D_n^{(1)}$.

B.1. Reduction to relation on automorphisms of *Br*,*^s*

Our first reduction for proving Proposition [5.9](#page-24-2) in the case $\Diamond = (1, 1)$ is to rephrase it in terms of a relation among various automorphisms on $B^{r,s}$. Recall the automorphism ς on $B^{r,s}$ from Sect. [5.1.](#page-19-1)

Let $\varsigma' \in$ Aut $(D_n^{(1)})$ be defined by the permutation of *I^s* given by $(n - 1, n)$. ς' is also not a special automorphism. It coincides with $* ∈ Aut(D_n⁽¹⁾)$ if *n* is odd. There is a unique bijection $\zeta' : B^{r,s} \to B^{\zeta'(r),s}$

$$
\zeta' e_i = e_{\zeta'(i)} \zeta' \quad \text{for all } i \in I. \tag{B.1}
$$

It is explicitly given by exchanging *n*'s with \bar{n} 's in KN tableaux. For $r \in I_0$ nonspin, ζ' is an involution on *Br*,*s*.

Lemma B.1 *If*

$$
\varsigma'\sigma = \sigma\varsigma \tag{B.2}
$$

holds on Br,*s, then Proposition* [5.9](#page-24-2) *holds.*

Proof We have

$$
\varsigma'\sigma e_0 = \sigma \varsigma e_0 = \sigma e_1 \varsigma = e_{n-1}\sigma \varsigma = e_{n-1}\varsigma'\sigma = \varsigma' e_n \sigma.
$$

 \mathcal{L} Springer

Applying the involution ζ' , we have $\sigma e_0 = e_n \sigma$ on $B^{r,s}$. By Proposition [5.8](#page-24-1) it follows that σ satisfies [\(5.3\)](#page-21-2) as required.

B.2. Rule for rowtab($\sigma(\Phi(P))$) for a \pm -diagram *P*

We give a rule for rowtab($\sigma(\Phi(P))$) for any \pm -diagram *P*.

- **Rule** 1. Rotate *P* 180 degrees and place it in the $r \times s$ rectangle so that the NE corners of the rotated *P* and the rectangle coincide.
	- 2. Fill each column of the inner shape of *P* by sequences of the form $\bar{k}, \ldots, \bar{n-2}$, $\overline{n-1}$ reading from the top, place \overline{n} in each node where $+$ is situated, and fill all columns from top to bottom in the rest of the rectangle by sequences of the form $n, \overline{n}, n, \overline{n}, \ldots$, always starting with *n*.
	- 3. In each row perform the following substitution. Suppose there are $k_{+}n$'s and $k = \overline{n}$'s in the row. Then replace them with $(n-1)^{k} - n^{k+1-k} - (n-1)^{k-1}$ if $k + \geq k -$, and

 $(n-1)^{k} + \overline{n}^{k-1} + (\overline{n-1})^{k+}$ otherwise.

Example B.2 Let $n = 9, r = 6, s = 7$.

Proposition B.3 *For any* \pm *-diagram P for B^{r,s}, the above rule gives rowtab*($\sigma(\Phi(P))$)*.*

The proof of this key technical result is given in the following subsection. We use it to finish up the proofs of Sect. [5.](#page-19-0)

Proposition B.4 *For any* $b \in \text{hw}_J(B^{r,s})$ *, we have* $\sigma \zeta(b) = \zeta' \sigma(b)$ *.*

Proof Compare *P* and $\mathfrak{S}(P)$ where \mathfrak{S} is the involution on \pm -diagrams corresponding to the automorphism ζ on $B^{r,s}$. The inner shapes are the same and in each column, if there is + in *P*, then there is no $+$ in $\mathfrak{S}(P)$, and vice versa. Therefore, at the moment when Rule 2 is finished, the number of *n*'s and \overline{n} 's in each row are switched for *P* and $\mathfrak{S}(P)$. Hence, we have $\sigma \Phi(\mathfrak{S}(P)) = c' \sigma \Phi(P)$. This is what we needed to show. have $\sigma \Phi(\mathfrak{S}(P)) = \zeta' \sigma \Phi(P)$. This is what we needed to show.

Proof of Proposition [5.9](#page-24-2) Let $b \in B^{r,s}$. Let $b^{\circ} = hw_J(b)$ and let $\mathbf{b} = (i_1, i_2, \dots)$ be a finite sequence in *J* such that $b = f_b(b°)$. Then

$$
\sigma \varsigma(b) = f_{\sigma \varsigma(b)} \sigma \varsigma(b^{\circ}),
$$

$$
\varsigma' \sigma(b) = f_{\varsigma' \sigma(b)} \varsigma' \sigma(b^{\circ}),
$$

where the Dynkin automorphisms ζ , ζ' , and σ act on sequences of Dynkin nodes in the obvious way. Since σ *ς*(**b**) = *ς'* σ (**b**) and σ *ς*(*b*[°]) = *ς'* σ (*b*[°]) by Lemma [5.7,](#page-23-3) we obtain $\sigma \varsigma(b) = \varsigma' \sigma(b).$ $\sigma(b)$.

B.3. Proof of Proposition [B.3](#page-42-1)

We need some notation. Let $r' = \lfloor r/2 \rfloor$. For $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(r, s)$ and $0 \le j \le r'$, define c_j by $\frac{r}{r'}$

$$
\lambda = \sum_{j=0}^{r'} (c_j - c_{j+1}) \omega_{r-2j}
$$

with $c_0 = s$ and $c_{r'+1} = 0$. Then a sequence $(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{r'})$ such that $s \ge c_1 \ge c_2 \ge \cdots \ge c_r$ c_r \geq 0 is in one-to-one correspondence with the *I*₀-highest element $b(r, s, \lambda) \in B^{r,s}$.

It remains to prove Proposition [B.3.](#page-42-1) First we assume the ±-diagram *P* has no column for which a + can be added. Let λ be the outer shape of *P*, c_i^- the number of columns that has *c_{r'}* ≥ 0 is in one-to-one correspondence with the *I*₀-highest element It remains to prove Proposition B.3. First we assume the ±-diagrau which a + can be added. Let λ be the outer shape of *P*, c_i^- the num a −

$$
\Phi(P) = f_{(1^{a_r},...,(n-1)^{a_r},n^{a_r},(n-2)^{a_r},...,(r+1)^{a_r},r^{a_r},...,2^{a_2},1^{a_1})}b(r,s,\lambda).
$$

(The notation *a_i* in [\[25,](#page-45-27) Prop. 2.2], is equal to $\sum_{j=1}^{t} c_j^-,$ by *r* and the *r* of *r* and the *r* in [25, Prop. 2.2], is equal to $\sum_{j=1}^{t} c_j^-,$ and the *r* in [25, Prop. 2.2], is equal to $\sum_{j=1}^{t} c_j^-,$ He definition of σ we obtain

$$
\sigma(\Phi(P)) = f_{((n-1)^{a_r}, \ldots, 1^{a_r}, 0^{a_r}, 2^{a_r}, \ldots, (n-2)^{a_2}, (n-1)^{a_1})} b(r, s, \lambda).
$$

Lemma B.5 *The row tableau*

$$
t_1 = f_{(2^{a_r},...,(n-r-1)^{a_r},(n-r)^{a_r},...,(n-2)^{a_2},(n-1)^{a_1})} \overline{b}(r,s,\lambda)
$$

differs from b(*r*,*s*, λ) *only in the top row, which is given by*

$$
n^{s-\lambda_1}\overline{n-1}^{\lambda_1-\lambda_3-c_2}\overline{n-3}^{\lambda_3-\lambda_5-c_4^-}\cdots\overline{n-r+1}^{\lambda_{r-1}-c_r^-}\overline{2}^{a_r}
$$

for r is even and

$$
\overline{n}^{s-\lambda_2-c_1}\overline{n-2}^{\lambda_2-\lambda_4-c_3}\overline{n-4}^{\lambda_4-\lambda_6-c_5}\dots\overline{n-r+1}^{\lambda_{r-1}-c_r}\overline{2}^{a_r}
$$

for r is odd.

Proof We consider the *r* even case. Consider the $(n - 1)$ -signature. +'s in the $(2j)$ th row and $-i$ s in the $(2j + 1)$ th row from bottom cancel out for any $j = 1, \ldots, r - 1$. Hence $f((n-1)^{a_1})$ acts only on the top row. We proceed similarly.

Let t be the row tableau constructed by the Rule 3. The following lemma allows us to calculate the action of Kashiwara operators on *t* before applying Rule 3.

Lemma B.6 *Let t*_− *be another row tableau obtained by putting* $n^{k} + \overline{n}^{k}$ *instead of applying Rule 3 in each row. One can formally apply en and fn on t*−*. Then the action of en (resp. fn) commutes with applying Rule* 3*. A similar fact hold also for en*[−]¹ *and fn*[−]¹ *by replacing* $n^{k_+} \overline{n}^{k_-}$ *with* $\overline{n}^{k_-} n^{k_+}$ *.*

Proof It suffices to prove the statement for a one-row tableau. This is done easily.

Lemma B.7 *The row tableau t₂ <i>for*

$$
e_{(1^{a_r},...,(n-2)^{a_r},(n-1)^{a_r})}t
$$

differs from t only in the bottom row, which is given by $1^{a_r} \overline{n}^{s-a_r}$ *.*

Proof In view of the previous lemma we can replace *t* with *t*_−. Note that the lowest row of *t* is given by $n^{a_r} \overline{n}^{s-a_r}$. Since $e_{((n-1)^{a_r}}$ acts only on the lowest row, we get $(n-1)^{a_r} \overline{n}^{s-a_r}$. The application of $e_{(1^a r, \ldots, (n-2)^{a_r}})$ is easier.

Next we want to show $f_{(0^{a_r})}t_1 = t_2$. To do this we calculate the {3, 4, ...}-highest element of t_1 and t_2 . Let $N(\alpha)$ (resp. $N'(\alpha)$) be the number of letter α in t_1 (resp. (t_2)_−) (see Lemma [B.6](#page-43-1) for the definition of *t*−). Define a sequence *a* by $a = a_r \sqcup \cdots \sqcup a_2 \sqcup a_1$ where

$$
\mathbf{a}_j = ((j+2)^{s+N(\overline{3})}, \ldots, (n-2)^{s+N(\overline{n-j-1})}, (n-\delta_j^{(2)})^{s+N(\overline{n-j})})
$$

for $j = 1, 2, ..., r - 1$, and $a_r = ((r + 2)^{s - N(\bar{2})}, ..., (n - 2)^{s - N(\bar{2})}, (n - \delta_r^{(2)})^{s - N(\bar{2})})$. Here $\delta_j^{(2)} = 1$ if *j* is even, = 0 otherwise and \sqcup means the concatenation of sequences. We also define $a' = a'_1 a'_2 \cdots a'_r$ by replacing $N(\overline{k})$ with $N'(\overline{k})$ for $k = 3, 4, \ldots, n - 1$ and $N(\overline{2})$ with $N'(1)$ in a . Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma B.8 *1.* $e_a t_1$ *is a* {3, 4, ...}*-highest element whose jth row from bottom is given by* $(j + 2)^s$ *for* $j = 1, ..., r - 1$ *and* $(r + 2)^{s - a_r} \overline{2}^{a_r}$ *for* $j = r$.

- 2. $e_{a'}t_2$ *is a* {3, 4, ...} *-highest element whose jth row from bottom is given by* $1^{a_r}3^{s-a_r}$ *for j* = 1 *and* $(j + 1)^{a_r} (j + 2)^{s - a_r}$ *for j* = 2, ..., *r*.
- *3.* $N(\overline{k}) = N'(\overline{k})$ for $k = 3, 4, ..., n 1$ and $N(\overline{2}) = N'(1)$.

Proof For (1) and (2) simply calculate the action of e_a and $e_{a'}$ using Lemma [B.6.](#page-43-1) For (3) note that for $1 \le j \le n - \frac{3N(n-j)}{2} = \lambda_j - c_{j+1}$ if *j* is odd, = λ_{j+1} otherwise when *r* is even, and $N(\overline{n-j}) = \lambda_{j+1}$ if *j* is odd, = $\lambda_j - c_{j+1}$ otherwise when *r* is odd. We also have $N(\overline{2}) = N'(1) = a_r$. For the definition of the partition λ , c_j^- or a_r see the paragraph before Lemma [B.5.](#page-43-2) \Box

Now we can prove Proposition [B.3](#page-42-1) under the assumption that *P* has no column for which a + can be added. Using Lemma [9.4](#page-30-4) with $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = a_r$, $\gamma = s - a_r$ and with applying $e_1^{a_r}$, the results in Lemma [B.8](#page-44-0) show that $f_{(0^{a_r})}e_a t_1 = e_a t_2$. Since f_0 commutes with e_j for $3 \leq j \leq n$, we obtain $f_{(0^{q_r})}t_1 = t_2$, but this equality is what we wanted to show.

Finally, we prove Proposition [B.3](#page-42-1) for general ± -diagram *P*. We show by induction on the number of columns for which $a + can$ be added. If there is no such column, the statement is proven already. Now let *P* be a \pm -diagram with at least one column for which a + can be added. Let *c* be the rightmost such column. Let P' be the \pm -diagram obtained from P by adding a + in column *c*. Let *h* be the height of this added +. Then it is known [\[28\]](#page-45-20) that $\Phi(P) = f_{(1,...,h-1,h)} \Phi(P')$. Hence, we have

$$
\sigma(\Phi(P)) = f_{(n-1,\dots,n-h)}\sigma(\Phi(P')).
$$

Since we know the row tableau of $\sigma(\Phi(P'))$ is given by the Rule by induction hypothesis, it suffices to calculate the right hand side and see it agrees with the row tableau of $\sigma(\Phi(P))$ given by the Rule. Careful calculation using Lemma [B.6](#page-43-1) shows that the application of $f_{(n-1,...,n-h)}$ changes the row tableau of $\sigma(\Phi(P'))$ only in the rightmost column with letters $\overline{n-h+1}, \overline{n-h+2}, \ldots, \overline{n}, \ldots$ reading from top to $\overline{n-h}, \overline{n-h+1}, \ldots, \overline{n-1}, \ldots$ This completes the proof of Proposition [B.3.](#page-42-1)

References

1. Akasaka, T., Kashiwara, M.: Finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **33**, 839–867 (1997)

- 2. Broer, A.: Normality of some nilpotent varieties and cohomology of lines bundles on the cotangent bundles of the flag variety. In: Brylinski, J.L., Guillemin, V., Kac, V. (eds.) Lie Theory and Geometry in Honor of Bertrand Kostant. Progess in Mathematics, vol. 123, pp. 1–18 (1994)
- 3. Fourier, G., Okado, M., Schilling, A.: Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals for nonexceptional types. Adv. Math. **222**, 1080–1116 (2009)
- 4. Fourier, G., Okado, M., Schilling, A.: Perfectness of Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals for nonexceptional types. Contemp. Math. **506**, 127–143 (2010)
- 5. Fourier, G., Schilling, A., Shimozono, M.: Demazure structure inside Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals. J. Algebra **309**, 386–404 (2007)
- 6. Fulton, W.: Young Tableaux. With applications to representation theory and geometry. In: London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 35. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1997)
- 7. Goodman, R., Wallach, N.R.: Representations and Invariants of the Classical Groups. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1998)
- 8. Hague, C.: Cohomology of flag varieties and the Brylinski-Kostant filtration. J. Algebra **321**, 3790–3815 (2009)
- 9. Hatayama, G., Kuniba, A., Okado, M., Takagi, T., Tsuboi, Z.: Paths, crystals and fermionic formulae, Math. Phys. Odyssey 2001. In: Prog. Math. Phys. vol. 23, pp. 205–272. Birkhäuser, Boston (2002)
- 10. Howe, R., Tan, E.-C., Willenbring, J.-F.: Stable branching rules for classical symmetric pairs. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **357**, 1601–1626 (2005)
- 11. Kac, V.: Infinite dimensional Lie algebras, 3rd edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1990)
- 12. King, R.C.: Modification rules and products of irreducible representations for the unitary, orthogonal and symplectic groups. J. Math. Phys. **12**, 1588–1598 (1971)
- 13. Kang, S.-J., Kashiwara, M., Misra, K.C., Miwa, T., Nakashima, T., Nakayashiki, A.: Affine crystals and vertex models. Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **7**(suppl 1A), 449–484 (1992)
- 14. Kang, S.-J., Kashiwara, M., Misra, K.C., Miwa, T., Nakashima, T., Nakayashiki, A.: Perfect crystals of quantum affine Lie algebras. Duke Math. J. **68**, 499–607 (1992)
- 15. Kashiwara, M., Nakashima, T.: Crystal graphs for representations of the *q*-analogue of classical Lie algebras. J. Algebra **165**(2), 295–345 (1994)
- 16. Kirillov, A.N., Shimozono, M.: A generalization of the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials. J. Algebraic Combin. **15**, 27–69 (2002)
- 17. Koike, K., Terada, I.: Young diagrammatic methods for the restriction of representations of complex classical Lie groups to reductive subgroups of maximal rank. Adv. Math. **79**, 104–135 (1990)
- 18. Lecouvey, C.: Quantization of branching coefficients for classical Lie groups. J. Algebra **308**, 383–413 (2007)
- 19. Lecouvey, C.: Schensted-type correspondences and plactic monoids for types *Bn* and *Dn*. J. Algebraic Combin. **18**, 99–133 (2003)
- 20. Lecouvey, C., Shimozono, M.: Lusztig's *q*-analogue of weight multiplicity and one-dimensional sums for affine root systems. Adv. Math. **208**, 438–466 (2007)
- 21. Littlewood, D.-E.: The Theory of Group Characters and Matrix Representations of Groups, 2nd edn. Oxford University Press, Oxford (1958)
- 22. Macdonald, I.G.: Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials, 2nd edn. With contributions by A. Zelevinsky. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford Science Publications/The Clarendon Press/Oxford University Press, New York (1995)
- 23. Naito, S., Sagaki, D.: Construction of perfect crystals conjecturally corresponding to Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules over twisted quantum affine algebras. Commun. Math. Phys. **263**(3), 749–787 (2006)
- 24. Nakayashiki, A., Yamada, Y.: Kostka-Foulkes polynomials and energy function in sovable lattice models. Selecta Math. (N. S.) **3**, 547–599 (1997)
- 25. Okado, M., Sakamoto, R.: Combinatorial *R*-matrices for Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals of type $D_n^{(1)}$, $B_n^{(1)}$, $A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$. Int. Math. Res. Not. **2010**, 559–593 (2010)
- 26. Okado, M., Schilling, A.: Existence of Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals for nonexceptional types. Represent. Theory **12**, 186–207 (2008)
- 27. Okado, M., Schilling, A., Shimozono, M.: Virtual crystals and fermionic formulas of type $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$, $A_{2n}^{(2)}$ and $C_n^{(1)}$. Represent. Theory 7, 101–163 (2003)
- 28. Schilling, A.: Combinatorial structure of Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals of type $D_n^{(1)}$, $B_n^{(1)}$, $A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$. J. Algebra **319**, 2938–2962 (2008)
- 29. Shimozono, M.: Affine type A crystal structure on tensor products of rectangles, Demazure characters, and nilpotent varieties. J. Algebraic Combin. **15**(2), 151–187 (2002)
- 30. Shimozono, M.: On the $X = K$ conjecture. arXiv:math.CO/0501353
- 31. Schilling, A., Warnaar, S.: Inhomogeneous lattice paths, generalized Kostka polynomials and *An*−1 supernomials. Commun. Math. Phys. **202**(2), 359–401 (1999)
- 32. Shimozono, M., Zabrocki, M.: Deformed universal characters for classical and affine algebras. J. Algebra **299**, 33–61 (2006)