

Nilpotent extensions of blocks

Lluís Puig

Received: 18 November 2009 / Accepted: 1 May 2010 / Published online: 23 May 2010
© Springer-Verlag 2010

1 Introduction

1.1

The *nilpotent blocks* over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$ were introduced in [2] as a translation for blocks of the well-known Frobenius Criterion on p -nilpotency for finite groups. They correspond to the simplest situation with respect to the so-called *fusion* inside a defect group, and the structure of the source algebras of the nilpotent blocks determined in [9] confirms that these blocks represent indeed the easiest possible situation.

1.2

However, when the field of coefficients is not algebraically closed, together with Fan Yun we have seen in [3] that, in the general situation, the structure of the source algebra of a block which, after a suitable scalar extension, decomposes in a sum of nilpotent blocks—a structure that we determine in [3]—need not be so simple.

1.3

At that time, we already knew some examples of a similar fact in group extensions, namely that a *non-nilpotent* block of a normal subgroup H of a finite group G may decompose in a sum of nilpotent blocks of G . In this case, we also have been able to describe the source algebra structure, which is quite similar to (but easier than) the structure described in [3]. With a big delay, we explain this result here.

L. Puig (✉)
CNRS, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, 6 Av Bizet, 94340 Joinville-le-Pont, France
e-mail: puig@math.jussieu.fr

1.4

Actually, this phenomenon is perhaps better described by saying that a *normal sub-block of a nilpotent block need not be nilpotent*. However, the normal sub-blocks of nilpotent blocks are quite special: they are *basically Morita equivalent* [15, §7] to the corresponding block of their *inertial subgroup*. Then, as a matter of fact, a normal sub-block of such a block still fulfills the same condition.

1.5

Thus, let us call *inertial block* any block of a finite group that is *basically Morita equivalent* [15, §7] to the corresponding block of its *inertial subgroup*; as a matter of fact, in [12, Corollaire 3.6], we already exhibit a large family of inertial blocks; see also [14, Appendix]. The main purpose of this paper is to prove that *a normal sub-block of an inertial block is again an inertial block*. Since a nilpotent block is *basically Morita equivalent* to its defect group [9, Theorem 1.6 and (1.8.1)], and the corresponding block of its *inertial subgroup* is also nilpotent, a nilpotent block is, in particular, an inertial block and thus, our main result applies.

2 Quoted results and inertial blocks

2.1

Throughout this paper p is a fixed prime number, k an algebraically closed field of characteristic p and \mathcal{O} a complete discrete valuation ring of characteristic zero having the *residue field* k . Let G be a finite group; following Green [5], a G -algebra is a torsion-free \mathcal{O} -algebra A of finite \mathcal{O} -rank endowed with a G -action; we say that A is *primitive* if the unity element is primitive in A^G . A G -algebra homomorphism from A to another G -algebra A' is a *not necessarily unitary algebra homomorphism* $f: A \rightarrow A'$ compatible with the G -actions. We say that f is an *embedding* whenever

$$\text{Ker}(f) = \{0\} \text{ and } \text{Im}(f) = f(1_A)A'f(1_A), \tag{2.1.1}$$

and that f is a *strict semicovering* if f is *unitary*, the *radical* $J(A)$ of A contains $\text{Ker}(f)$ and, for any p -subgroup P of G , $J(A'^P)$ contains $f(J(A^P))$ and $f(i)$ is primitive in A'^P for any primitive idempotent i of A^P [6, §3].

2.2

Recall that, for any subgroup H of G , a *point* α of H on A is an $(A^H)^*$ -conjugacy class of primitive idempotents of A^H and the pair H_α is a *pointed group* on A [7, 1.1]; if $H = \{1\}$, we simply say that α is a *point* of A . For any $i \in \alpha$, iAi has an evident structure of H -algebra and we denote by A_α one of these mutually $(A^H)^*$ -conjugate H -algebras and by $A(H_\alpha)$ the *simple quotient* of A^H determined by α ; we call *multiplicity* of α the *square root* of the dimension of $A(H_\alpha)$. If $f: A \rightarrow A'$ is a G -algebra homomorphism and α' a point of H on A' , we call *multiplicity* $m(f)_{\alpha'}^\alpha$ of f at (α, α') the dimension of the image of $f(i)A'^H i'$ in $A'(H_{\alpha'})$ for $i \in \alpha$ and $i' \in \alpha'$; we still consider the H -algebra $A'_\alpha = f(i)A'f(i)$ together with the unitary H -algebra homomorphism induced by f and the embedding of H -algebras

$$A_\alpha \longrightarrow A'_\alpha \longleftarrow A'_{\alpha'}. \tag{2.2.1}$$

A second pointed group K_β on A is contained in H_α if $K \subset H$ and, for any $i \in \alpha$, there is $j \in \beta$ such that [7, 1.1]

$$ij = j = ji; \tag{2.2.2}$$

then, it is clear that the $(A^K)^*$ -conjugation induces K -algebra embeddings

$$f_\beta^\alpha : A_\beta \longrightarrow \text{Res}_K^H(A_\alpha). \tag{2.2.3}$$

2.3

Following Broué, for any p -subgroup P of G we consider the Brauer quotient and the Brauer homomorphism [1, 1.2]

$$\text{Br}_P^A : A^P \longrightarrow A(P) = A^P / \sum_Q A_Q^P, \tag{2.3.1}$$

where Q runs over the set of proper subgroups of P , and call local any point γ of P on A not contained in $\text{Ker}(\text{Br}_P^A)$ [7, 1.1]. Recall that a local pointed group P_γ contained in H_α is maximal if and only if $\text{Br}_P(\alpha) \subset A(P_\gamma)_P^{N_H(P_\gamma)}$ [7, Proposition 1.3] and then the P -algebra A_γ —called a source algebra of A_α —is Morita equivalent to A_α [17, 6.10]; moreover, the maximal local pointed groups P_γ contained in H_α —called the defect pointed groups of H_α —are mutually H -conjugate [7, Theorem 1.2].

2.4

Let us say that A is a p -permutation G -algebra if a Sylow p -subgroup of G stabilizes a basis of A [1, 1.1]. In this case, recall that if P is a p -subgroup of G and Q a normal subgroup of P then the corresponding Brauer homomorphisms induce a k -algebra isomorphism [1, Proposition 1.5]

$$(A(Q))(P/Q) \cong A(P); \tag{2.4.1}$$

moreover, choosing a point α of G on A , we call Brauer (α, G) -pair any pair (P, e_A) formed by a p -subgroup P of G such that $\text{Br}_P^A(\alpha) \neq \{0\}$ and by a primitive idempotent e_A of the center $Z(A(P))$ of $A(P)$ such that

$$e_A \cdot \text{Br}_P^A(\alpha) \neq \{0\}; \tag{2.4.2}$$

note that any local pointed group Q_δ on A contained in G_α determines a Brauer (α, G) -pair (Q, f_A) fulfilling $f_A \cdot \text{Br}_Q^A(\delta) \neq \{0\}$.

2.5

Then, it follows from Theorem 1.8 in [1] that the inclusion between the local pointed groups on A induces an inclusion between the Brauer (α, G) -pairs; explicitly, if (P, e_A) and (Q, f_A) are two Brauer (α, G) -pairs then we have

$$(Q, f_A) \subset (P, e_A) \tag{2.5.1}$$

whenever there are local pointed groups P_γ and Q_δ on A fulfilling

$$Q_\delta \subset P_\gamma \subset G_\alpha, \quad f_A \cdot \text{Br}_Q^A(\delta) \neq \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad e_A \cdot \text{Br}_P^A(\gamma) \neq \{0\}. \tag{2.5.2}$$

Actually, according to the same result, for any p -subgroup P of G , any primitive idempotent e_A of $Z(A(P))$ fulfilling $e_A \cdot \text{Br}_P^A(\alpha) \neq \{0\}$ and any subgroup Q of P , there is a unique primitive idempotent f_A of $Z(A(Q))$ fulfilling

$$e_A \cdot \text{Br}_P^A(\alpha) \neq \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad (Q, f_A) \subset (P, e_A). \tag{2.5.3}$$

Once again, *the maximal Brauer (α, G) -pairs are pairwise G -conjugate* [1, Theorem 1.14].

2.6

Here, we are specially interested in the G -algebras A endowed with a group homomorphism $\rho: G \rightarrow A^*$ inducing the action of G on A , called *G -interior algebras*; in this case, for any pointed group H_α on A , $A_\alpha = iAi$ has a structure of *H -interior algebra* mapping $y \in H$ on $\rho(y)i = i\rho(y)$; moreover, setting $x \cdot a \cdot y = \rho(x)a\rho(y)$ for any $a \in A$ and any $x, y \in G$, a G -interior algebra homomorphism from A to another G -interior algebra A' is a G -algebra homomorphism $f: A \rightarrow A'$ fulfilling

$$f(x \cdot a \cdot y) = x \cdot f(a) \cdot y. \tag{2.6.1}$$

2.7

In particular, if H_α and K_β are two pointed groups on A , we say that an injective group homomorphism $\varphi: K \rightarrow H$ is an *A -fusion from K_β to H_α* whenever there is a K -interior algebra *embedding*

$$f_\varphi: A_\beta \longrightarrow \text{Res}_K^H(A_\alpha) \tag{2.7.1}$$

such that the inclusion $A_\beta \subset A$ and the composition of f_φ with the inclusion $A_\alpha \subset A$ are A^* -conjugate; we denote by $F_A(K_\beta, H_\alpha)$ the set of H -conjugacy classes of A -fusions from K_β to H_α and, as usual, we write $F_A(H_\alpha)$ instead of $F_A(H_\alpha, H_\alpha)$. If $A_\alpha = iAi$ for $i \in \alpha$, it follows from [8, Corollary 2.13] that we have a group homomorphism

$$F_A(H_\alpha) \longrightarrow N_{A_\alpha^*}(H \cdot i) / H \cdot (A_\alpha^H)^* \tag{2.7.2}$$

and then we consider the k^* -group $\hat{F}_A(H_\alpha)$ defined by the *pull-back*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_A(H_\alpha) & \longrightarrow & N_{A_\alpha^*}(H \cdot i) / H \cdot (A_\alpha^H)^* \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \hat{F}_A(H_\alpha) & \longrightarrow & N_{A_\alpha^*}(H \cdot i) / H \cdot (i + J(A_\alpha^H)). \end{array} \tag{2.7.3}$$

2.8

Recall that, for any subgroup H of G and any H -interior algebra B , the *induced G -interior algebra* is the induced bimodule

$$\text{Ind}_H^G(B) = k_*G \otimes_{k_*H} B \otimes_{k_*H} k_*G, \tag{2.8.1}$$

endowed with the distributive product defined by the *formula*

$$(x \otimes b \otimes y)(x' \otimes b' \otimes y') = \begin{cases} x \otimes b.yx'.b' \otimes y' & \text{if } yx' \in H \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{2.8.2}$$

where $x, y, x', y' \in G$ and $b, b' \in B$, and with the structural homomorphism

$$G \longrightarrow \text{Ind}_H^G(B) \tag{2.8.3}$$

mapping $x \in G$ on the element

$$\sum_y xy \otimes 1_B \otimes y^{-1} = \sum_y y \otimes 1_B \otimes y^{-1}x \tag{2.8.4}$$

where $y \in G$ runs over a set of representatives for G/H .

2.9

Obviously, the *group algebra* $\mathcal{O}G$ is a p -permutation G -interior algebra and, for any primitive idempotent b of $Z(\mathcal{O}G)$ —called a \mathcal{O} -*block* of G —the conjugacy class $\alpha = \{b\}$ is a *point* of G on $\mathcal{O}G$. Moreover, for any p -subgroup P of G , the Brauer homomorphism $\text{Br}_P = \text{Br}_P^{kG}$ induces a k -algebra isomorphism [10, 2.8.4]

$$kC_G(P) \cong (\mathcal{O}G)(P); \tag{2.9.1}$$

thus, up to identification throughout this isomorphism, in a Brauer $(\{b\}, G)$ -pair (P, e) as defined above—called *Brauer (b, G) -pair* from now on— e is nothing but a k -block of $C_G(P)$ such that $e\text{Br}_P(b) \neq 0$. Setting

$$\bar{C}_G(P) = C_G(P)/Z(P), \tag{2.9.2}$$

recall that the image \bar{e} of e in $k\bar{C}_G(P)$ is a k -block of $\bar{C}_G(P)$ and that the *Brauer First Main Theorem* affirms that (P, e) is maximal if and only if the k -algebra $k\bar{C}_G(P)\bar{e}$ is simple and the inertial quotient

$$E = N_G(P, e)/P \cdot C_G(P) \tag{2.9.3}$$

is a p' -group [17, Theorem 10.14].

2.10

For any p -subgroup P of G and any subgroup H of $N_G(P)$ containing $P \cdot C_G(P)$, we have

$$\text{Br}_P \left((\mathcal{O}G)^H \right) = (\mathcal{O}G)(P)^H \tag{2.10.1}$$

and therefore any k -block e of $C_G(P)$ determines a unique point β of H on $\mathcal{O}G$ (cf. 2.2) such that H_β contains P_γ for a local point γ of P on $\mathcal{O}G$ fulfilling [9, Lemma 3.9]

$$e \cdot \text{Br}_P(\gamma) \neq \{0\}. \tag{2.10.2}$$

Recall that, if Q is a subgroup of P such that $C_G(Q) \subset H$ then the k -blocks of $C_G(Q) = C_H(Q)$ determined by (P, e) from G and from H coincide [1, Theorem 1.8]. Note that if P is normal in G then the kernel of the obvious k -algebra homomorphism $kG \rightarrow k(G/P)$ is contained in the radical $J(kG)$ and contains $\text{Ker}(\text{Br}_P)$; thus, in this case, isomorphism 2.9.1 implies that any point of P on kG is local.

2.11

Moreover, for any local pointed group P_γ on $\mathcal{O}G$, the action of $N_G(P_\gamma)$ on the simple algebra $(\mathcal{O}G)(P_\gamma)$ (cf. 2.2) determines a central k^* -extension or, equivalently, a k^* -group $\hat{N}_G(P_\gamma)$ [10, §5] and it is clear that the Brauer homomorphism Br_P determines a $N_G(P_\gamma)$ -stable injective group homomorphism from $C_G(P)$ to $\hat{N}_G(P_\gamma)$. Then, up to a suitable identification, we set

$$E_G(P_\gamma) = N_G(P_\gamma)/P \cdot C_G(P) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{E}_G(P_\gamma) = \hat{N}_G(P_\gamma)/P \cdot C_G(P); \quad (2.11.1)$$

recall that from [8, Theorem 3.1] and [10, Proposition 6.12] we obtain a *canonical* k^* -group isomorphism (cf. 2.7.3)

$$\hat{E}_G(P_\gamma)^\circ \cong \hat{F}_{\mathcal{O}G}(P_\gamma). \quad (2.11.2)$$

2.12

In particular, a maximal local pointed group P_γ on $\mathcal{O}Gb$ determines a k -block e of $C_G(P)$, which is still a k -block of the group

$$N = N_G(P_\gamma) = N_G(P, e), \quad (2.12.1)$$

called the *inertial subgroup* of b , and also determines a unique point ν of N on $\mathcal{O}Gb$ such that $P_\gamma \subset N_\nu$ (cf. 2.10); obviously, we have $E = E_G(P_\gamma)$ (cf. 2.9.3), P_γ is still a *defect pointed group* of N_ν and (P, e) is a maximal Brauer (\hat{e}, N) -pair, where \hat{e} denotes the \mathcal{O} -block of N lifting e . As above, N acts on the simple k -algebra (cf. 2.9)

$$k\bar{C}_G(P)\bar{e} \cong (\mathcal{O}G)(P_\gamma) \quad (2.12.2)$$

and therefore we get k^* -groups \hat{N} and $\hat{E}^\circ = \hat{E}_G(P_\gamma)$.

2.13

Moreover, since E is a p' -group, it follows from [17, Lemma 14.10] that the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow P/Z(P) \longrightarrow N/C_G(P) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 1 \quad (2.13.1)$$

splits and that all the splittings are conjugate to each other; thus, any splitting determines an action of E on P and it is easily checked that the semidirect products

$$L = P \rtimes E \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{L} = P \rtimes \hat{E} \quad (2.13.2)$$

do not depend on our choice. At this point, it follows from [10, Proposition 14.6] that the source algebra of the block \hat{e} of N is isomorphic to the P -interior algebra $\mathcal{O}_*\hat{L}$, and therefore it follows from [3, Proposition 4.10] that the multiplication in $\mathcal{O}Gb$ by a suitable idempotent $\ell \in \nu$ determines an injective unitary P -interior algebra homomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_*\hat{L} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}G)_\gamma. \quad (2.13.3)$$

2.14

On the other hand, a *Dade P -algebra* over \mathcal{O} is a p -permutation P -algebra S which is a *full matrix algebra over \mathcal{O}* and fulfills $S(P) \neq \{0\}$ [11, 1.3]. For any subgroup Q of P , setting $\bar{N}_P(Q) = N_P(Q)/Q$ we have (cf. 2.4.1)

$$(S(Q)) (\bar{N}_P(Q)) \cong S(N_P(Q)) \tag{2.14.1}$$

and therefore $\text{Res}_Q^P(S)$ is a Dade Q -algebra; moreover, it follows from [11, 1.8] that the Brauer quotient $S(Q)$ is a Dade $\bar{N}_P(Q)$ -algebra; thus, Q has a unique local point on S . In particular, if S is primitive (cf. 2.1) then $S(P) \cong k$ and therefore we have

$$\dim(S) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \tag{2.14.2}$$

so that the action of P on S can be lifted to a unique group homomorphism from P to the kernel of the determinant \det_S over S ; at this point, it follows from [11, 3.13] that the action of P on S always can be lifted to a well-determined P -interior algebra structure for S .

2.15

Recall that a block b of G is called *nilpotent* whenever the quotients $N_G(Q, f)/C_G(Q)$ are p -groups for all the Brauer (b, G) -pairs (Q, f) [2, Definition 1.1]; by the main result in [9], the block b is nilpotent if and only if, for a maximal local pointed group P_γ on $\mathcal{O}Gb$, P stabilizes a unitary primitive Dade P -subalgebra S of $(\mathcal{O}Gb)_\gamma$ fulfilling

$$(\mathcal{O}Gb)_\gamma = SP \cong S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}P \tag{2.15.1}$$

where we denote by SP the obvious \mathcal{O} -algebra $\bigoplus_{u \in P} Su$ and, for the right-hand isomorphism, we consider the well-determined P -interior algebra structure for S .

2.16

Now, with the notation in 2.12 above, we say that the block b of G is *inertial* if it is *basically Morita equivalent* [15, 7.3] to the corresponding block \hat{e} of the inertial subgroup N of b or, equivalently, if there is a primitive Dade P -algebra S such that we have a P -interior algebra embedding [15, Theorem 6.9 and Corollary 7.4]

$$(\mathcal{O}G)_\gamma \longrightarrow S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_* \hat{L}. \tag{2.16.1}$$

Note that, in this case, in fact we have a P -interior algebra isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{O}G)_\gamma \cong S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_* \hat{L} \tag{2.16.2}$$

and the Dade P -algebra S is uniquely determined; indeed, the uniqueness of S follows from [19, Lemma 4.5] and it is easily checked that

$$(S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_* \hat{L})(P) \cong S(P) \otimes_k (\mathcal{O}_* \hat{L})(P) \cong kZ(P) \tag{2.16.3}$$

and that the kernel of the Brauer homomorphism $\text{Br}_P^{S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_* \hat{L}}$ is contained in the radical of $S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_* \hat{L}$, so that this P -interior algebra is also primitive.

3 Normal sub-blocks of inertial blocks

3.1

Let G be a finite group, b an \mathcal{O} -block of G and (P, e) a maximal Brauer (b, G) -pair (cf. 2.9). Let us say that an \mathcal{O} -block c of a normal subgroup H of G is a *normal sub-block* of b if we have $cb \neq 0$; we are interested in the relationship between the source algebras of b and c , specially in the case where b is *inertial*.

3.2

Note that we have $b\text{Tr}_{G_c}^G(c) = b$ where G_c denotes the stabilizer of c in G ; since we know that $e\text{Br}_P(b) \neq 0$ (cf. 2.9), up to modifying our choice of (P, e) we may assume that P stabilizes c ; then, considering the G -stable semisimple k -subalgebra $\sum_x \mathcal{O} \cdot bc^x$ of $\mathcal{O}G$, where $x \in G$ runs over a set of representatives for G/G_c , it follows from [19, Proposition 3.5] that bc is an \mathcal{O} -block of G_c and that P remains a defect p -subgroup of this block, and then from [19, Proposition 3.2] that we have

$$\mathcal{O}Gb \cong \text{Ind}_{G_c}^G(\mathcal{O}G_c bc), \tag{3.2.1}$$

so that the source algebras of the \mathcal{O} -block b of G and of the block bc of G_c are isomorphic.

3.3

Thus, from now on we assume that G fixes c , so that we have $bc = b$. Then, note that $\alpha = \{c\}$ is a point of G on $\mathcal{O}H$ (cf. 2.2), so that, choosing a block e^H of $C_H(P)$ such that $e^H e \neq 0$, (P, e^H) is a Brauer (α, G) -pair (cf. 2.4 and 2.9.1) and it follows from the proof of [18, Proposition 15.9] that we may choose a maximal Brauer (c, H) -pair (Q, f^H) fulfilling

$$(Q, f^H) \subset (P, e^H), \quad Q = H \cap P \quad \text{and} \quad e\text{Br}_P(f^H) \neq 0. \tag{3.3.1}$$

Now, denote by γ^G and δ the respective local points of P and Q on $\mathcal{O}G$ and $\mathcal{O}H$ determined by e and f^H ; as above, let us denote by F the inertial quotient of c ; that is to say, we set (cf. 2.9 and 2.11)

$$F = E_H(Q_\delta) = F_{\mathcal{O}H}(Q_\delta) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{F} = \hat{E}_H(Q_\delta)^\circ \cong \hat{F}_{\mathcal{O}H}(Q_\delta). \tag{3.3.2}$$

3.4

Since we have $e\text{Br}_P(f^H) \neq 0$ and f^H is P -stable, from the obvious commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{O}H)(Q) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{O}G)(Q) \\ \cup & & \cup \\ (\mathcal{O}H)(Q)^P & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{O}G)(Q)^P \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathcal{O}H)(P) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{O}G)(P) \end{array} \tag{3.4.1}$$

we get a local point δ^G of Q on $\mathcal{O}G$ such that the multiplicity $m_\delta^{\delta^G}$ of the inclusion $(\mathcal{O}H)^Q \subset (\mathcal{O}G)^Q$ at (δ, δ^G) (cf. 2.2) is not zero and Q_{δ^G} is contained in P_{γ^G} ; similarly, we get a local point γ of P on $\mathcal{O}H$ fulfilling

$$m_\gamma^{\gamma^G} \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Q_\delta \subset P_\gamma. \tag{3.4.2}$$

At this point, the following commutative diagram (cf. 2.2.1)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Res}_Q^P(\mathcal{O}H)_\gamma & \longrightarrow & \text{Res}_Q^P(\mathcal{O}G)_\gamma \\ \nearrow & & \nearrow \uparrow \\ (\mathcal{O}H)_\delta & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{O}G)_\delta \quad \text{Res}_Q^P(\mathcal{O}G)_{\gamma^G} \\ & & \uparrow \nearrow \\ & & (\mathcal{O}G)_{\delta^G} \end{array}, \tag{3.4.3}$$

where all the Q -interior algebra homomorphisms but the horizontal ones are embeddings, already provides some relationship between the source algebras of b and c (cf. 2.2).

3.5

If R_ε is a local pointed group on $\mathcal{O}H$, we set

$$C_G(R_\varepsilon) = C_G(R) \cap N_G(R_\varepsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad E_G(R_\varepsilon) = N_G(R_\varepsilon)/R \cdot C_G(R_\varepsilon) \quad (3.5.1)$$

and denote by $b(\varepsilon)$ the block of $C_H(R)$ determined by ε , and by $\bar{b}(\varepsilon)$ the image of $b(\varepsilon)$ in $k\bar{C}_H(R) = k(C_H(R)/Z(R))$; recall that we have a canonical $\bar{C}_G(R)$ -interior algebra isomorphism [19, Proposition 3.2]

$$k\bar{C}_G(R) \text{Tr}_{\bar{C}_G(R_\varepsilon)}^{\bar{C}_G(R)}(\bar{b}(\varepsilon)) \cong \text{Ind}_{\bar{C}_G(R_\varepsilon)}^{\bar{C}_G(R)}(k\bar{C}_G(R_\varepsilon)\bar{b}(\varepsilon)). \quad (3.5.2)$$

Moreover, note that if ε^G is a local point of R on $\mathcal{O}G$ such that $m_{\varepsilon^G} \neq 0$ then we have

$$E_G(R_{\varepsilon^G}) \subset E_G(R_\varepsilon); \quad (3.5.3)$$

indeed, the restriction to $C_H(R)$ of a simple $kC_G(R)$ -module determined by ε^G is semisimple (cf. 2.9.1) and therefore $C_G(R)$ acts transitively on the set of local points ε' of R on $\mathcal{O}H$ such that $m_{\varepsilon'^G} \neq 0$, so that we have

$$N_G(R_{\varepsilon^G}) \subset C_G(R) \cdot N_G(R_\varepsilon). \quad (3.5.4)$$

Then, we also consider $E_H(R_{\varepsilon^G}) = E_H(R_\varepsilon) \cap E_G(R_{\varepsilon^G})$.

3.6

Since (Q, f^H) is a maximal Brauer (c, H) -pair, we have (cf. 2.12.2)

$$k\bar{C}_H(Q)\bar{f}^H \cong (\mathcal{O}H)(Q_\delta) \quad (3.6.1)$$

and, according to the very definition of the k^* -group $\hat{N}_G(Q_\delta)$, we also have a k^* -group homomorphism

$$\hat{N}_G(Q_\delta) \longrightarrow \left(k\bar{C}_H(Q)\bar{f}^H\right)^*; \quad (3.6.2)$$

then, denoting by $\hat{C}_G(Q_\delta)$ the corresponding k^* -subgroup of $\hat{N}_G(Q_\delta)$ and setting

$$Z = C_G(Q_\delta)/C_H(Q) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{Z} = \hat{C}_G(Q_\delta)/C_H(Q), \quad (3.6.3)$$

it follows from [19, Theorem 3.7] that we have a canonical $\bar{C}_G(Q_\delta)$ -interior algebra isomorphism

$$k\bar{C}_G(Q_\delta)\bar{f}^H \cong k\bar{C}_H(Q)\bar{f}^H \otimes_k (k_*\hat{Z})^\circ. \quad (3.6.4)$$

Now, this isomorphism and the corresponding isomorphism 3.5.2 determine a k -algebra isomorphism

$$Z(k\bar{C}_G(Q)) \text{Tr}_{\bar{C}_G(Q_\delta)}^{\bar{C}_G(Q)}(\bar{f}^H) \cong Z(k_*\hat{Z}), \quad (3.6.5)$$

and induce a bijection between the set of local points δ^G of Q on $\mathcal{O}Gb$ such that $m_{\delta^G} \neq 0$ and the set of points of the k -algebra $(k_*\hat{Z})^\circ \hat{b}_\delta$ where we denote by $\bar{\text{Br}}_Q(b)$ the image of

$\text{Br}_Q(b)$ in $k\bar{C}_G(Q)$ and by \hat{b}_δ the image of $\bar{\text{Br}}_Q(b)\text{Tr}_{\bar{C}_G(Q_\delta)}^{\bar{C}_G(Q)}(\bar{f}^H)$ in the right-hand member of isomorphism 3.6.5.

Proposition 3.7 *With the the notation above, the idempotent \hat{b}_δ is primitive in $Z(k_*\hat{Z})^{E_G(Q_\delta)}$. In particular, if $E_G(Q_\delta)$ acts trivially on \hat{Z} then P_{γ^G} contains Q_{δ^G} for any local point δ^G of Q on $\mathcal{O}Gb$ such that $m_\delta^{\delta^G} \neq 0$.*

Proof Since $Q = H \cap P$, for any $a \in (\mathcal{O}G)^P$ it is easily checked that

$$\text{Br}_Q\left(\text{Tr}_P^G(a)\right) = \text{Tr}_P^{N_G(Q)}\left(\text{Br}_Q(a)\right) \tag{3.7.1}$$

and, in particular, we have $\text{Br}_Q\left((\mathcal{O}G)_P^G\right) \cong kC_G(Q)_P^{N_G(Q)}$ (cf. 2.9.1); consequently, since the idempotent $b \in (\mathcal{O}G)_P^G$ is primitive in $Z(\mathcal{O}G)$, setting $E_G(Q) = N_G(Q)/(Q \cdot C_G(Q))$, $\text{Br}_Q(b)$ is still primitive in [17, Proposition 3.23]

$$kC_G(Q)^{N_G(Q)} = Z(kC_G(Q))^{E_G(Q)}, \tag{3.7.2}$$

which amounts to saying that $N_G(Q)$ acts transitively over the set of k -blocks of $C_G(Q)$ involved in $\text{Br}_Q(b)$; hence, since any k -block of $C_G(Q)$ maps on a k -block of $\bar{C}_G(Q)$ (cf. 2.9), $\text{Br}_Q(b)$ is also primitive in $Z(k\bar{C}_G(Q))^{E_G(Q)}$ and then, it suffices to apply isomorphism 3.6.5.

On the other hand, identifying $(\mathcal{O}G)(Q)$ with $kC_G(Q)$ (cf. 2.9.1), it is easily checked that $\text{Br}_Q((\mathcal{O}G)^P) = kC_G(Q)^P$ and therefore, for any $i \in \gamma^G$, the idempotent $\text{Br}_Q(i)$ is primitive in $kC_G(Q)^P$ [17, Proposition 3.23]; thus, since the canonical P -algebra homomorphism $kC_G(Q) \rightarrow k\bar{C}_G(Q)$ is a *strict semicovering* [16, Theorem 2.9], it follows from [6, Proposition 3.15] that the image $\bar{\text{Br}}_Q(i)$ of $\text{Br}_Q(i)$ in $k\bar{C}_G(Q)^P$ remains a primitive idempotent and that, denoting by $\bar{\gamma}^G$ the point of P on $k\bar{C}_G(Q)$ determined by $\bar{\text{Br}}_Q(i)$, $P_{\bar{\gamma}^G}$ remains a maximal local pointed group on $k\bar{C}_G(Q)$.

Moreover, since P fixes f^H (cf. 3.3), we may choose $i \in \gamma^G$ fulfilling $\text{Br}_Q(i) = \text{Br}_Q(i)f^H$; in this case, it follows from isomorphism 3.5.2 and from [19, Proposition 3.5] that $\bar{\text{Br}}_Q(i)$ is a primitive idempotent of $(k\bar{C}_G(Q_\delta)\bar{f}^H)^P$ and that $P_{\bar{\gamma}^G}$ is also a maximal local pointed group on $k\bar{C}_G(Q_\delta)\bar{f}^H$.

But, it follows from isomorphism 3.6.4 that we have

$$\left(k\bar{C}_G(Q_\delta)\bar{f}^H\right)(P) \cong \left(k\bar{C}_H(Q)\bar{f}^H\right)(P) \otimes_k (k_*\hat{Z})^\circ(P) \tag{3.7.3}$$

and therefore, since evidently $ib = i$, $P_{\bar{\gamma}^G}$ determines a maximal local pointed group $P_{\bar{\gamma}^G}$ on $(k_*\hat{Z})^\circ\hat{b}_\delta$ [9, Theorem 5.3]; moreover, if $E_G(Q_\delta)$ acts trivially on \hat{Z} then \hat{b}_δ is a block of \hat{Z} and therefore all the maximal local pointed groups on $(k_*\hat{Z})^\circ\hat{b}_\delta$ are mutually conjugate (cf. 2.5). Then, any idempotent $\hat{i} \in \hat{\gamma}^G$ has a nontrivial image in all the simple quotient of $(k_*\hat{Z})^\circ$ (cf. 2.2.2); now, the last statement follows from 3.6. □

Proposition 3.8 *Let δ^G be a local point of Q on $\mathcal{O}G$ such that $m_\delta^{\delta^G} \neq 0$. The commutator in $\hat{N}_G(Q_\delta)/Q \cdot C_H(Q)$ induces a group homomorphism*

$$\varpi : F \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(Z, k^*) \tag{3.8.1}$$

and $\text{Ker}(\varpi)$ is contained in $E_H(Q_{\delta^G})$. In particular, $E_H(Q_{\delta^G})$ is normal in F , $F/E_H(Q_{\delta^G})$ is an Abelian p' -group and, denoting by \hat{K}^δ and \hat{K}^{δ^G} the respective converse images in

$\hat{C}_G(Q_\delta)$ of the fixed points of F and $E_H(Q_{\delta^G})$ over \hat{Z} , we have the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \hat{K}^\delta \longrightarrow \hat{K}^{\delta^G} \longrightarrow \text{Hom}\left(F/E_H(Q_{\delta^G}), k^*\right) \longrightarrow 1. \tag{3.8.2}$$

Proof It is quite clear that F and Z are normal subgroups of the quotient $N_G(Q_\delta)/Q \cdot C_H(Q)$ and therefore their converse images \hat{F} and \hat{Z} in the quotient $\hat{N}_G(Q_\delta)/Q \cdot C_H(Q)$ still normalizes each other; but, since we have

$$N_H(Q_\delta) \cap C_G(Q_\delta) = C_H(Q), \tag{3.8.3}$$

their commutator is contained in k^* ; hence, indentifying $\text{Hom}(Z, k^*)$ with the group of the automorphisms of the k^* -group \hat{Z} which act trivially on Z , we easily get homomorphism 3.8.1.

In particular, $\text{Ker}(\varpi)$ acts trivially on the k^* -group \hat{Z} and therefore, since its action is compatible with the bijection in 3.6 above, it is contained in $E_H(Q_{\delta^G})$; hence, since the p' -group $\text{Hom}(Z, k^*)$ is Abelian, $E_H(Q_{\delta^G})$ is normal in $E_H(Q_\delta)$ (cf. 3.5.3) and $F/E_H(Q_{\delta^G})$ is Abelian.

Symmetrically, the commutator in $\hat{N}_G(Q_\delta)/Q \cdot C_H(Q)$ also induces surjective group homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{C}_G(Q_\delta) &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}\left(F/\text{Ker}(\varpi), k^*\right) \\ \hat{C}_G(Q_\delta) &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}\left(E_H(Q_{\delta^G})/\text{Ker}(\varpi), k^*\right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.8.4}$$

and it is quite clear that the kernels, respectively, coincide with \hat{K}^δ and \hat{K}^{δ^G} ; consequently, the kernel of the surjective group homomorphism

$$\hat{C}_G(Q_\delta)/\hat{K}^\delta \longrightarrow \hat{C}_G(Q_\delta)/\hat{K}^{\delta^G} \tag{3.8.5}$$

is canonically isomorphic to $\text{Hom}(F/E_H(Q_{\delta^G}), k^*)$. We are done.

3.9

Assume that b is an inertial block of G or, equivalently, that there is a primitive Dade P -algebra S such that, with the notation in 2.13 above, we have a P -interior algebra isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{O}G)_{\gamma G} \cong S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_* \hat{L} \tag{3.9.1}$$

where we consider S endowed with the unique P -interior algebra structure fulfilling $\det_S(P) = \{1\}$ (cf. 2.14). In this case, it follows from [6, Lemma 1.17] and [8, Proposition 2.14 and Theorem 3.1] that

$$E = F_{\mathcal{O}G}(P_{\gamma G}) = F_S(P_{\{1_S\}}) \cap F_{\mathcal{O}_* \hat{L}}(P_{\{1_{\hat{L}}\}}) \tag{3.9.2}$$

and, in particular, that S is E -stable [8, Proposition 2.18]. Moreover, since we have a P -interior algebra embedding (cf. 2.14)

$$\mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(S) \cong S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} S, \tag{3.9.3}$$

we still have a P -interior algebra embedding

$$\mathcal{O}_* \hat{L} \longrightarrow S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} (\mathcal{O}G)_{\gamma G}. \tag{3.9.4}$$

3.10

Conversely, always with the notation in 2.13, assume that S is an E -stable Dade P -algebra or, equivalently, that E is contained in $F_S(P_\pi)$ where π denotes the unique local point of P on S (cf. 2.14); since we have [9, Proposition 5.9]

$$F_S(P_\pi) \cap F_{\mathcal{O}G}(P_{\gamma^G}) \subset F_{S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}G}(P_{\pi \times \gamma^G}) \tag{3.10.1}$$

where $\pi \times \gamma^G$ denotes the local point of P on $S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}G$ determined by π and γ^G [9, Proposition 5.6], and we still have [18, Theorem 9.21]

$$\hat{F}_S(P_\pi) \cong k^* \times F_S(P_\pi), \tag{3.10.2}$$

it follows from [9, proposition 5.11] that the k^* -group \hat{E} is isomorphic to a k^* -subgroup of $\hat{F}_{S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}G}(P_{\pi \times \gamma^G})$; then, since E is a p' -group, it follows from [10, Proposition 7.4] that there is an injective unitary P -interior algebra homomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_* \hat{L} \longrightarrow (S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}G)_{\pi \times \gamma^G} \tag{3.10.3}$$

and, in particular, we have

$$|P||E| \leq \text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}}(S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}G)_{\pi \times \gamma^G}. \tag{3.10.4}$$

□

Proposition 3.11 *With the notation above, the block b is inertial if and only if there is an E -stable Dade P -algebra S such that*

$$\text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}}(S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}G)_{\pi \times \gamma^G} = |P||E| \tag{3.11.1}$$

Proof If b is inertial then the equality 3.11.1 follows from the existence of embedding 3.9.4.

□

Conversely, we claim that if equality 3.11.1 holds then the corresponding homomorphism 3.10.3 is an isomorphism; indeed, since this homomorphism is injective and we have $\text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{O}_* \hat{L}) = |P||E|$, it suffices to prove that the reduction to k of homomorphism 3.10.3 remains injective; but, it also follows from [10, Proposition 7.4] that, setting ${}^k S = k \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} S$, there is an injective unitary P -interior algebra homomorphism

$$k_* \hat{L} \longrightarrow \left({}^k S^\circ \otimes_k kG \right)_{\bar{\pi} \times \bar{\gamma}^G}, \tag{3.11.2}$$

where $\bar{\pi}$ and $\bar{\gamma}^G$ denote the respective images of π and γ^G in ${}^k S^\circ$ and kG , which is a conjugate of the reduction to k of homomorphism 3.10.3.

Now, embedding 3.9.3 and the structural embedding

$$(S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}G)_{\pi \times \gamma^G} \longrightarrow S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} (\mathcal{O}G)_{\gamma^G} \tag{3.11.3}$$

determine P -interior algebra embeddings

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} (S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}G)_{\pi \times \gamma^G} & \longrightarrow & S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} (\mathcal{O}G)_{\gamma^G} \\ \wr \parallel & & \uparrow \\ S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_* \hat{L} & & (\mathcal{O}G)_{\gamma^G} \end{array}; \tag{3.11.4}$$

thus, since P has a unique local point on $S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} (\mathcal{O}G)_{\gamma^G}$ [9, Theorem 5.3], we get a P -interior algebra embedding

$$(\mathcal{O}G)_{\gamma^G} \longrightarrow S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_* \hat{L} \tag{3.11.5}$$

which proves that b is inertial. We are done.

3.12

With the notation above, assume that the block b is inertial; then, denoting by χ the unique local point of Q on S (cf. 2.14) and by δ^G a local point of Q on $\mathcal{O}Gb$ such that $m_{\delta^G} \neq 0$, there is a unique local point $\hat{\delta}^L$ of Q on $\mathcal{O}_*\hat{L}$ such that isomorphism 3.9.1 induces a Q -interior algebra embedding [9, Proposition 5.6]

$$(\mathcal{O}G)_{\delta^G} \longrightarrow S_{\chi} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} (\mathcal{O}_*\hat{L})_{\hat{\delta}^L}; \tag{3.12.1}$$

but, the image of Q in $(S_{\chi})^*$ need not be contained in the kernel of the corresponding *determinant map*. Note that, as above, it follows from this embedding and from [6, Lemma 1.17] and [8, Proposition 2.14 and Theorem 3.1] that

$$E_G(Q_{\delta^G}) = F_{\mathcal{O}G}(Q_{\delta^G}) = F_S(Q_{\chi}) \cap F_{\mathcal{O}_*\hat{L}}(Q_{\hat{\delta}^L}), \tag{3.12.2}$$

so that the Dade Q -algebra S_{χ} is $E_G(Q_{\delta^G})$ -stable; as in 2.13 above, let us consider the corresponding semidirect products

$$M = Q \rtimes F \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{M} = Q \rtimes \hat{F}. \tag{3.12.3}$$

We are ready to state our main result.

Theorem 3.13 *With the notation above, assume that the block b of G is inertial. Then, there is a Q -interior algebra isomorphism*

$$(\mathcal{O}H)_{\delta} \cong S_{\chi} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_*\hat{M} \tag{3.13.1}$$

and, in particular, the block c of H is inertial too.

Proof We argue by induction on $|G/H|$; in particular, if H' is a proper normal subgroup of G which properly contains H , it suffices to choose a block c' of H' fulfilling $c'b \neq 0$ to get $c'c \neq 0$ and the induction hypothesis successively proves that the block c' of H' is inertial and then that the block c is inertial too; moreover, setting $Q' = H' \cap P$, the corresponding Dade Q' -algebra comes from S and therefore the final Dade Q -algebra also comes from S . Consequently, since G fixes c , it follows from the *Fratini argument* that we have (cf. 2.3)

$$G = H \cdot N_G(Q_{\delta}) \tag{3.13.2}$$

and therefore we may assume that either $C_G(Q_{\delta}) \subset H$ or $G = H \cdot C_G(Q_{\delta})$.

Firstly assume that $C_G(Q_{\delta}) \subset H$; in this case, it follows from [18, Proposition 15.10] that $b = c$; moreover, since $C_G(Q_{\delta}) = C_H(Q)$, it follows from 3.6 above that Q has a unique local point δ^G on $\mathcal{O}Gb$ such that $m_{\delta^G} \neq 0$, and from isomorphism 3.6.4 that we have

$$(\mathcal{O}H)(Q_{\delta}) \cong k\bar{C}_H(Q)\bar{f}^H \cong k\bar{C}_G(Q_{\delta})\bar{f}^H; \tag{3.13.3}$$

in particular, $N_G(Q_{\delta})$ normalizes Q_{δ^G} and therefore the inclusion 3.5.3 becomes an equality

$$E_G(Q_{\delta^G}) = E_G(Q_{\delta}); \tag{3.13.4}$$

thus, since F is obviously contained in $E_G(Q_{\delta})$, S_{χ} is F -stable too. Consequently, according to Proposition 3.11, it suffices to prove that

$$\text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}}(S_{\chi}^{\circ} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}H)_{\chi \times \delta} = |Q||F|. \tag{3.13.5}$$

As in 3.12 above, the P -interior algebra embedding 3.9.4 induces a Q -interior algebra embedding [9, Theorem 5.3]

$$(\mathcal{O}_* \hat{L})_{\delta L} \longrightarrow S_{\chi}^{\circ} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} (\mathcal{O}G)_{\delta G} \tag{3.13.6}$$

and it suffices to apply again [6, Lemma 1.17] and [8, Proposition 2.14 and Theorem 3.1] to get

$$E_L(Q_{\delta L}) = F_{\mathcal{O}_* \hat{L}}(Q_{\delta L}) = F_S(Q_{\chi}) \cap F_{\mathcal{O}G}(Q_{\delta G}), \tag{3.13.7}$$

so that we obtain

$$E_L(Q_{\delta L}) = E_G(Q_{\delta G}) \subset F_S(Q_{\chi}). \tag{3.13.8}$$

In particular, it follows from [8, Proposition 2.12] that for any $x \in N_G(Q_{\delta})$ there is $s_x \in (S_{\chi})^*$ fulfilling

$$s_x \cdot u = u^x \cdot s_x \tag{3.13.9}$$

for any $u \in Q$, and therefore, choosing a set of representatives $X \subset N_G(Q_{\delta})$ for G/H (cf. 3.13.2), we get an $\mathcal{O}Q$ -bimodule direct sum decomposition

$$S_{\chi}^{\circ} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}G = \bigoplus_{x \in X} (s_x \otimes x)(S_{\chi}^{\circ} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}H). \tag{3.13.10}$$

But, for any $x \in N_G(Q_{\delta})$, the element $s_x \otimes x$ normalizes the image of Q in $S_{\chi}^{\circ} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}H$ and it is clear that it also normalizes the local point $\chi \times \delta$ of Q on this Q -interior algebra; more precisely, if $S_{\chi} = \ell S \ell$ for $\ell \in \chi$ and $(\mathcal{O}H)_{\delta} = j(\mathcal{O}H)j$ for $j \in \delta$, there is $j' \in \chi \times \delta$ such that [9, Proposition 5.6]

$$j'(\ell \otimes j) = j' = (\ell \otimes j)j'; \tag{3.13.11}$$

thus, for any $x \in N_G(Q_{\delta})$ the idempotent $j'^{s_x \otimes x}$ still belongs to $\chi \times \delta$ and therefore there is an invertible element a_x in $(S_{\chi}^{\circ} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}H)^Q$ fulfilling

$$j'^{s_x \otimes x} = j'^{a_x}, \tag{3.13.12}$$

so that we get the new $\mathcal{O}Q$ -bimodule direct sum decomposition

$$j'(S^{\circ} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}G)j' = \bigoplus_{x \in X} (s_x \otimes x)(a_x)^{-1} j'(S^{\circ} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}H)j'. \tag{3.13.13}$$

Moreover, the equality in 3.13.8 forces the group $E_G(Q_{\delta}) = E_G(Q_{\delta G})$ to have a normal Sylow p -subgroup and therefore, since we are assuming that $C_G(Q_{\delta}) \subset H$, it follows from equality 3.13.2 that the quotient G/H also has a normal Sylow p -subgroup. At this point, arguing by induction, we may assume that G/H is either a p -group or a p' -group.

Firstly assume that G/H is a p -group or, equivalently, that $G = H \cdot P$ [9, Lemma 3.10]; in this case, it follows from [6, Proposition 6.2] that the inclusion homomorphism $\mathcal{O}H \rightarrow \mathcal{O}G$ is a *strict semicovering* of Q -interior algebras (cf. 2.1) and, in particular, we have $\delta \subset \delta^G$ since $m_{\delta}^{\delta^G} \neq 0$; similarly, since for any subgroup R of Q we have [9, Proposition 5.6]

$$\begin{aligned} (S^{\circ} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}H)(R) &\cong S(R)^{\circ} \otimes_k (\mathcal{O}H)(R) \\ (S^{\circ} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}G)(R) &\cong S(R)^{\circ} \otimes_k (\mathcal{O}G)(R), \end{aligned} \tag{3.13.14}$$

it follows from [6, Theorem 3.16] that the corresponding Q -interior algebra homomorphism $S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}H \rightarrow S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}G$ is also a *strict semicovering* and, in particular, we have $\chi \times \delta \subset \chi \times \delta^G$, so that j' belongs to $\chi \times \delta^G$.

But, since $Q_{\delta^G} \subset P_{\gamma^G}$ (cf. 3.4), it is easily checked that $Q_{\chi \times \delta^G} \subset P_{\pi \times \gamma^G}$, where as above π is the unique local point of P on S , and therefore we get the Q -interior algebra embedding (cf. embeddings 2.2.3 and 3.9.4)

$$(S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}G)_{\chi \times \delta^G} \longrightarrow \text{Res}_Q^P(S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}G)_{\pi \times \gamma^G} \cong \text{Res}_Q^P(\mathcal{O}_* \hat{L}); \tag{3.13.15}$$

in particular, it follows from equality 3.13.13 that we have

$$|X| \text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}}(S^\circ_{\chi} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}H)_{\chi \times \delta} \leq |L|. \tag{3.13.16}$$

Moreover, we have $|X| = |G/H| = |P/Q|$ and, since $C_P(Q) \subset Q$, it follows from [4, Ch. 5, Theorem 3.4] that $E \subset L$ acts faithfully on $Q = H \cap P$; in particular, δ^L is the unique local point of Q on $\mathcal{O}_* \hat{L}$ (actually, we have $\delta^L = \{1_{\mathcal{O}_* \hat{L}}\}$) and therefore, since (cf. 3.13.4 and 3.13.8)

$$E_L(Q_{\delta^L}) = E_G(Q_{\delta^G}) = E_G(Q_{\delta}) \supset F \tag{3.13.17}$$

and $E_G(Q_{\delta})/F$ is a p -group, the p' -group E is actually isomorphic to F .

Consequently, it follows from the inequalities 3.10.4 and 3.13.16 that

$$|F||Q| \leq \text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}}(S^\circ_{\chi} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}H)_{\chi \times \delta} \leq |L|/|X| = |F||Q| \tag{3.13.18}$$

which forces equality in 3.13.6.

Secondly assume that G/H is a p' -group; in this case, we have $Q = P$, $\delta = \gamma$ and $\delta^G = \gamma^G$; in particular, since we are assuming that

$$C_G(Q_{\delta}) \subset H \quad \text{and} \quad E_G(Q_{\delta^G}) = E_G(Q_{\delta}), \tag{3.13.19}$$

we actually get

$$|X| = |G/H| = |E_G(P_{\gamma^G})|/|E_H(Q_{\delta})| = |E|/|F|. \tag{3.13.20}$$

Moreover, we claim that, as above, the idempotent j' remains primitive in $(S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}G)^{P^1}$, so that it belongs to $\pi \times \gamma^G$; indeed, setting

$$A' = j'(S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}G)j' \quad \text{and} \quad B' = j'(S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}H)j', \tag{3.13.21}$$

let i' be a primitive idempotent of A'^P such that $\text{Br}_P(i') \neq 0$; in particular, i' belongs to $\pi \times \gamma^G$ and we may assume that

$$i'A'i' = (S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}G)_{\pi \times \gamma^G} \cong \mathcal{O}_* \hat{L}. \tag{3.13.22}$$

It is clear that the multiplication by B' on the left and the action of P by conjugation endows A' with a $B'P$ -module structure and, since the idempotent j' is primitive in B'^P , equality 3.13.13 provides a direct sum decomposition of A' in indecomposable $B'P$ -modules. More explicitly, note that B' is an indecomposable $B'P$ -module since we have $\text{End}_{B'P}(B') = B'^P$; but, for any $x \in X$, the invertible element

$$a'_x = (s_x \otimes x)(a_x)^{-1}j' \tag{3.13.23}$$

¹ The corresponding argument has been forgotten in [18] at the end of the proof of Proposition 15.19!

of A' together with the action of x on P determine an automorphism g_x of $B'P$; thus, equality 3.13.13 provides the following direct sum decomposition on indecomposable $B'P$ -modules

$$A' \cong \bigoplus_{x \in X} \text{Res}_{g_x}(B'). \tag{3.13.24}$$

Moreover, we claim that the $B'P$ -modules $\text{Res}_{g_x}(B')$ and $\text{Res}_{g_{x'}}(B')$ for $x, x' \in X$ are isomorphic if and only if $x = x'$; indeed, a $B'P$ -module isomorphism

$$\text{Res}_{g_x}(B') \cong \text{Res}_{g_{x'}}(B') \tag{3.13.25}$$

is necessarily determined by the multiplication on the right by an invertible element b' of B' fulfilling

$$(xux^{-1}) \cdot b' = b' \cdot (x'ux'^{-1}) \tag{3.13.26}$$

or, equivalently, $(u \cdot j')^{b'} = u^{xx'^{-1}} \cdot j'$ for any $u \in P$, which amounts to saying that the automorphism of P determined by the conjugation by $x'x^{-1}$ is a B' -fusion from P_γ to P_γ [8, Proposition 2.12]; but, once again from [6, Lemma 1.17] and [8, Proposition 2.14 and Theorem 3.1] we get

$$F_{A'}(P_{\gamma G}) = E_G(P_{\gamma G}) = E \quad \text{and} \quad F_{B'}(P_\gamma) = E_H(P_\gamma); \tag{3.13.27}$$

hence our claim now follows from equalities 3.13.20.

On the other hand, it is clear that $A'i'$ is a direct summand of the $B'P$ -module A' and therefore there is $x \in X$ such that $\text{Res}_{g_x}(B')$ is a direct summand of the $B'P$ -module $A'i'$; but, it follows from [8, Proposition 2.14] that we have

$$F_{i'A'i'}(P_{\gamma G}) = F_{A'}(P_{\gamma G}) = E \tag{3.13.28}$$

and therefore, once again applying [8, Proposition 2.12], for any $y \in N_G(P_{\gamma G})$ there is an invertible element c'_y in A' fulfilling

$$c'_y(u \cdot i')(c'_y)^{-1} = yuy^{-1} \cdot i' \tag{3.13.29}$$

for any $u \in P$; then, for any $x' \in X$, it is clear that $A'i' = A'i'c'_{x^{-1}x'}$ has a direct summand isomorphic to $\text{Res}_{g_{x'}}(B')$, which forces the equality of the \mathcal{O} -ranks of $A'i'$ and A' , so that $A'i' = A'$ and $i' = j'$, which proves our claim. Consequently, it follows from the equalities 3.13.13 and 3.13.20 that

$$\text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}}(S_\chi^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}H)_{\chi \times \delta} = |L|/|X| = |F||Q|, \tag{3.13.30}$$

so that equality holds in 3.13.6.

From now on, we assume that $H \cdot C_G(Q_\delta) = G$; in particular, $C_G(Q)$ stabilizes δ , we have $E_G(Q_\delta) = E_H(Q_\delta) = F$ and we can choose the set of representatives X for G/H contained in $C_G(Q)$ so that this time we get the $\mathcal{O}Q$ -bimodule direct sum decomposition

$$S_\chi^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}G = \bigoplus_{x \in X} (1_\delta \otimes x)(S_\chi^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}H). \tag{3.13.31}$$

Since any $z \in C_G(Q)$ stabilizes δ choosing again $\ell \in \chi, j \in \delta$ and $j' \in \chi \times \delta$ such that [9, Proposition 5.6]

$$j'(\ell \otimes j) = j' = (\ell \otimes j)j', \tag{3.13.32}$$

there is an invertible element a_z in $(\mathcal{O}H)^Q$ fulfilling $j^z = j^{a_z}$; consequently, with the notation above, from these choices and equality 3.13.31 we have

$$A' = \bigoplus_{x \in X} (1_s \otimes x(a_x)^{-1})B'. \tag{3.13.33}$$

As in Proposition 3.8, denote by \hat{K}^δ the converse image in $\hat{C}_G(Q)$ of the fixed points of F in \hat{Z} and by K^δ the k^* -quotient \hat{K}^δ/k^* of \hat{K}^δ ; since \hat{K}^δ is a normal k^* -subgroup of $\hat{C}_G(Q)$, $H \cdot K^\delta$ is a normal subgroup of G and therefore, arguing by induction, we may assume that it coincides with H or with G .

Firstly assume that $H \cdot K^\delta = G$; in this case, since we have $K^\delta = C_G(Q)$, F acts trivially on \hat{Z} and we have $F = E_H(Q_{\delta^G})$ for any local point δ^G of Q on $\mathcal{O}Gb$ such that $m_{\delta^G}^\delta \neq 0$, so that S_χ is F -stable (cf. 3.12.2); consequently, according to Proposition 3.11, once again it suffices to prove that

$$\text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}}(S_\chi^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}H)_{\chi \times \delta} = |Q||F|. \tag{3.13.34}$$

For any $z \in C_G(Q)$, the element $z(a_z)^{-1}$ stabilizes $j(\mathcal{O}H)j = (\mathcal{O}H)_\delta$ and actually it induces a Q -interior algebra automorphism g_z of the source algebra $(\mathcal{O}H)_\delta$; but, symmetrically, $C_G(Q)$ acts trivially on [8, Proposition 2.14 and Theorem 3.1]

$$\hat{F} = \hat{E}_H(Q_\delta)^\circ \cong \hat{F}_{(\mathcal{O}H)_\delta}(Q_\delta); \tag{3.13.35}$$

hence, it follows from [10, Proposition 14.9] that g_z is an *inner automorphism* and therefore, up to modifying our choice of a_z , we may assume that $z(a_z)^{-1}$ centralizes $(\mathcal{O}H)_\delta$; then, for any $x \in X$ the element $1_s \otimes x(a_x)^{-1}$ centralizes

$$B' = j'(S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}H)j' \tag{3.13.36}$$

and therefore, denoting by C the centralizer of B' in A' , it follows from equality 3.13.33 that we have

$$A' = C \otimes_{Z(B')} B'; \tag{3.13.37}$$

in particular, we get $A'^Q = C \otimes_{Z(B')} B'^Q$ which induces a k -algebra isomorphism [10, 14.5.1]

$$A'(Q) \cong C \otimes_{Z(B')} kZ(Q) \tag{3.13.38}$$

and then it follows from isomorphism 3.6.4 that

$$k \otimes_{Z(B')} C \cong (k_*\hat{Z})^\circ. \tag{3.13.39}$$

At this point, for any local point δ^G of Q on $\mathcal{O}Gb$ such that $m_{\delta^G}^\delta \neq 0$, it follows from Proposition 3.7 that $Q_{\delta^G} \subset P_{\gamma^G}$, so that $Q_{\chi \times \delta^G} \subset P_{\pi \times \gamma^G}$ [9, Proposition 5.6] and therefore $\chi \times \delta^G$ is also a local point of Q on the P -interior algebra (cf. embedding 3.9.4)

$$(S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}G)_{\pi \times \gamma^G} \cong \mathcal{O}_*\hat{L}; \tag{3.13.40}$$

actually, since $N_G(P)$ normalizes $Q = H \cap P$, Q is normal in L and therefore all the points of Q on $\mathcal{O}_*\hat{L}$ are local (cf. 2.10). In conclusion, since $\{1_L\}$ is the unique point of P on $\mathcal{O}_*\hat{L}$, isomorphism 3.13.40 induces a bijective correspondence between the sets of local points of Q on

$$j'(S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}Gb)j' = A'(1 \otimes b) \tag{3.13.41}$$

and on $\mathcal{O}_*\hat{L}$; moreover, note that if two local points $\chi \times \delta^G$ and $\chi \times \varepsilon^G$ of Q on the left-hand member of 3.13.40 correspond to two local points $\hat{\delta}^G$ and $\hat{\varepsilon}^G$ of Q on $\mathcal{O}_*\hat{L}$, choosing suitable $j^G \in \delta^G, k^G \in \varepsilon^G, \hat{j}^G \in \hat{\delta}^G$ and $\hat{k}^G \in \hat{\varepsilon}^G$, from isomorphism 3.13.40 we still get an $\mathcal{O}Q$ -bimodule isomorphism

$$j^G A' k^G \cong \hat{j}^G (\mathcal{O}_*\hat{L}) \hat{k}^G. \tag{3.13.42}$$

Consequently, since we have $A'^Q = C \otimes_{Z(B')} B'^Q$ and C is a free $Z(B')$ -module, for suitable primitive idempotents \bar{j}^G and \bar{k}^G of C we have (cf. 3.13.37 and 3.13.38)

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \left(k \otimes_{Z(B')} (\bar{j}^G C \bar{k}^G) \right) \text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}}(B') &= \text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}} \left(\hat{j}^G (\mathcal{O}_*\hat{L}) \hat{k}^G \right) \\ \dim \left(k \otimes_{Z(B')} (\bar{j}^G C \bar{k}^G) \right) &= \text{rank}_{kZ(Q)} \left(\hat{j}^G (\mathcal{O}_*\hat{L}) \hat{k}^G \right) (Q); \end{aligned} \tag{3.13.43}$$

thus, since the respective multiplicities (cf. 2.2) of points $\hat{\delta}^G$ and $\text{Br}_Q^{\mathcal{O}_*\hat{L}}(\hat{\delta}^G)$ of Q on $\mathcal{O}_*\hat{L}$ and on $(\mathcal{O}_*\hat{L})(Q) \cong k_*C_{\hat{L}}(Q)$ coincide with each other, we finally get

$$|L| = \text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{O}_*\hat{L}) = |\bar{C}_L(Q)| \text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}}(B'). \tag{3.13.44}$$

But, according to 3.5.4, $N_G(P_{\gamma^G})$ normalizes γ which determines f^H (cf. 3.3.1) and therefore γ determines the unique local point δ of Q on $\mathcal{O}H$ associated with f^H ; thus, $N_G(P_{\gamma^G})$ is contained in $N_G(Q_{\delta})$ which acts trivially on \hat{Z} , and therefore $N_G(P_{\gamma^G})$ stabilizes all the local points δ^G of Q on $\mathcal{O}G$ fulfilling $m_{\delta^G}^{\delta^G} \neq 0$ (cf. 3.6); hence, it follows from isomorphism 3.13.40 above that, denoting by $\hat{\delta}^G$ the point of Q on $\mathcal{O}_*\hat{L}$ determined by δ^G , L normalizes $Q_{\hat{\delta}^G}$; in particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F &= E_G(Q_{\delta}) = E_G(Q_{\delta^G}) = F_{(\mathcal{O}G)_{\gamma^G}}(Q_{\delta^G}) \\ &= E_L(Q_{\hat{\delta}^G}) = L/Q \cdot C_L(Q) \end{aligned} \tag{3.13.45}$$

and therefore from equality 3.13.44 we get

$$|F||Q| = |L|/|\bar{C}_L(Q)| = \text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}}(B'), \tag{3.13.46}$$

which proves that c is inertial.

Finally, assume that $K^{\delta} = C_H(Q)$; in this case, since the commutator in $\hat{N}_G(Q_{\delta})/(Q \cdot C_H(Q))$ induces a group isomorphism

$$\hat{C}_G(Q_{\delta})/\hat{K}^{\delta} \cong \text{Hom}(F/\text{Ker}(\varpi), k^*), \tag{3.13.47}$$

the quotient G/H is an Abelian p' -group and, in particular, we have $P = Q$. But, since with our choices above we still have (cf. 3.13.33)

$$(\mathcal{O}G)_{\delta} = j(\mathcal{O}G)j = \bigoplus_{x \in X} x(a_x)^{-1}(\mathcal{O}H)_{\delta} \tag{3.13.48}$$

where the element $x(a_x)^{-1}$ determines a Q -interior algebra automorphism of $(\mathcal{O}H)_{\delta}$, it suffices to consider the k^* -group

$$\hat{U} = \bigcup_{x \in X} x(a_x)^{-1} \left((\mathcal{O}H)_{\delta}^Q \right)^* \tag{3.13.49}$$

to get the Q -interior algebra $(\mathcal{O}G)_\delta$ as the *crossed product* [3, 1.6]

$$(\mathcal{O}G)_\delta \cong (\mathcal{O}H)_\delta \otimes_{((\mathcal{O}H)_\delta^Q)^*} \hat{U}. \tag{3.13.50}$$

Then, since G/H is a p' -group, denoting by U the k^* -quotient of \hat{U} it follows from [10, Proposition 4.6] that the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow j + J \left((\mathcal{O}H)_\delta^Q \right) \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow G/H \longrightarrow 1 \tag{3.13.51}$$

is *split* and therefore, for a suitable central k^* -extension $\widehat{G/H}$ of G/H , we still get an evident Q -interior algebra isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{O}G)_\delta \cong (\mathcal{O}H)_\delta \otimes_{k^*} \widehat{G/H}; \tag{3.13.52}$$

at this point, it suffices to compute the *Brauer quotients* at Q of both members to get

$$k \otimes_{kZ(Q)} (\mathcal{O}G)_\delta(Q) \cong k_* \widehat{G/H} \tag{3.13.53}$$

and therefore, comparing this k -algebra isomorphism with isomorphism 3.6.4, we obtain a Q -interior algebra isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{O}G)_\delta \cong (\mathcal{O}H)_\delta \otimes_{k^*} \hat{Z}^\circ \tag{3.13.54}$$

for a suitable action of Z over $(\mathcal{O}H)_\delta$ defined, up to *inner automorphisms* of the Q -interior algebra $(\mathcal{O}H)_\delta$, by the group homomorphism

$$Z \longrightarrow \text{Aut}_{k^*} \left(\hat{E}_H(Q_\delta) \right) \tag{3.13.55}$$

induced by the commutator in $\hat{N}_G(Q_\delta)/(Q \cdot C_H(Q))$ [10, Proposition 14.9].

Similarly, considering the trivial action of Z over S , we also obtain the Q -interior algebra isomorphism

$$S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} (\mathcal{O}G)_\delta \cong (S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} (\mathcal{O}H)_\delta) \otimes_{k^*} \hat{Z}^\circ; \tag{3.13.56}$$

since $\chi \times \delta$ is the unique local point of Q on $S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} (\mathcal{O}H)_\delta$, we have $j^{\bar{z}} = j^{b\bar{z}}$ for a suitable invertible element $b\bar{z}$ in $(S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} (\mathcal{O}H)_\delta)^Q$; hence, arguing as above, we finally obtain a Q -interior algebra isomorphism

$$(S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}G)_{\chi \times \delta} \cong (S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}H)_{\chi \times \delta} \otimes_{k^*} \hat{Z}^\circ. \tag{3.13.57}$$

Moreover, since the k -algebra $k_* \hat{Z}$ is now semisimple, for any pair of primitive idempotents \hat{i} and \hat{i}' of $\mathcal{O}_* \hat{Z}$ we have $\hat{i}(\mathcal{O}_* \hat{Z})\hat{i}' = \mathcal{O}$ or $\{0\}$, and, since $\mathcal{O}_* \hat{Z}$ is contained in $(S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}G)_{\chi \times \delta} \subset S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}G$, in the first case from isomorphism 3.13.56 we get

$$\text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}} (\hat{i}(S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}G)\hat{i}') \leq \text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}} (S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}H)_{\chi \times \delta}; \tag{3.13.58}$$

hence, since isomorphism 3.13.57 implies that

$$\text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}} (S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}G)_{\chi \times \delta} = \text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}} (S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}H)_{\chi \times \delta} |Z|, \tag{3.13.59}$$

all the inequalities 3.13.58 are actually equalities and, in particular, we get (cf. embedding 3.9.4)

$$|L| = \text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}} (S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}G)_{\pi \times \gamma^G} = \text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}} (S^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}H)_{\chi \times \delta} \tag{3.13.60}$$

since $P = Q$ and $\pi \times \gamma^G = \chi \times \delta^G$ (cf. 3.4). Consequently, according to Proposition 3.11, it suffices to prove that S is F -stable.

On the other hand, it follows from Proposition 3.7 that F acts transitively over the set of primitive idempotents of $Z(k_*\hat{Z})\hat{b}_\delta$; but, since $k_*\hat{Z}$ is semisimple, this set is canonically isomorphic to the set of points of this k -algebra (cf. 2.2), so that F acts transitively over the set of local points δ^G of Q on $\mathcal{O}Gb$ fulfilling $m_\delta^{\delta^G} \neq 0$ (cf. 3.6). More precisely, choosing $\delta^G = \gamma^G$ and denoting by \hat{K}^{δ^G} the converse image in $\hat{C}_G(Q)$ of the fixed points of $E_H(Q_{\delta^G})$ in \hat{Z} and by K^{δ^G} the k^* -quotient of \hat{K}^{δ^G} , as above $H \cdot K^{\delta^G}$ is a normal subgroup of G and therefore, arguing by induction, we may assume that either $C_H(Q) = K^{\delta^G}$ or $G = H \cdot K^{\delta^G}$.

In the first case, it follows from Proposition 3.8 that

$$F = E_H(Q_{\delta^G}) \subset E_G(Q_{\delta^G}) = E \tag{3.13.61}$$

so that S is indeed F -stable (cf. 3.9). In the second case, since we have (cf. Proposition 3.8)

$$F/E_H(Q_{\delta^G}) \cong K^{\delta^G}/K^\delta \cong G/H \cong Z, \tag{3.13.62}$$

the number of points of $\mathcal{O}_*\hat{Z}$ coincides with its \mathcal{O} -rank which forces the k^* -group isomorphism $\hat{Z} \cong k^* \times Z$; in particular, isomorphism 3.13.54 becomes the Q -interior algebra isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{O}G)_\delta \cong (\mathcal{O}H)_\delta Z = \bigoplus_{z \in Z} (\mathcal{O}H)_\delta \cdot z \tag{3.13.63}$$

and therefore we have $(\mathcal{O}G)_\delta^Q \cong (\mathcal{O}H)_\delta^Q Z$.

Thus, since $Q = P$, we may assume that the image i of $\frac{1}{|Z|} \sum_{z \in Z} z$ in $(\mathcal{O}G)_\delta \subset \mathcal{O}G$ belongs to $\delta^G = \gamma^G$ and then we get (cf. 3.9.1)

$$S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_*\hat{L} \cong i(\mathcal{O}G)i \cong (\mathcal{O}H)_\delta^Z. \tag{3.13.64}$$

But, it follows from [10, Proposition 7.4] that there is a unique $j + J\left((\mathcal{O}H)_\delta^Q\right)$ -conjugacy class of k^* -group homomorphisms

$$\hat{\alpha} : Q \rtimes \hat{F} \longrightarrow ((\mathcal{O}H)_\delta)^* \tag{3.13.65}$$

mapping $u \in Q$ on $u \cdot j$; then, since Z is a p' -group, it follows from [3, Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 3.5] that we can choose α in such a way that Z normalizes $\alpha(\hat{F})$ and then we have $[Z, \alpha(\hat{F})] \subset k^*$. In this case, $\alpha(\hat{F})$ stabilizes $(\mathcal{O}H)_\delta^Z$ and therefore, throughout isomorphism 3.13.64, F acts on $S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_*\hat{L}$ normalizing the structural image of Q ; hence, F acts on

$$S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_*\hat{L} / J(S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_*\hat{L}) \cong S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k_*\hat{E} \tag{3.13.66}$$

stabilizing the simple k -subalgebra $S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$ and the image of Q inside; finally, it follows from [11, 1.5.2] that S is also F -stable. We are done.

4 Normal sub-blocks of nilpotent blocks

4.1

With the notation of sect. 3, assume now that the block b of G is nilpotent; since we already know that $(OG)_\gamma \cong S \otimes_O \mathcal{O}P$ for a suitable Dade P -algebra S [9, Main Theorem], the block b is also inertial and therefore we already have proved that the normal sub-block c of H is inertial too; let us show with the following example—as a matter of fact, the example which has motivated this note—that the block c need not be nilpotent.

Example 4.2 Let \mathfrak{F} be a finite field of characteristic different from p , q the cardinal of \mathfrak{F} and \mathfrak{E} a field extension of \mathfrak{F} of degree $n \neq 1$; denoting by Φ_n the n -th cyclotomic polynomial, assume that p divides $\Phi_n(q)$ but not $q - 1$, that $\Phi_n(q)$ and $q - 1$ have a nontrivial common divisor r —which has to be a prime number²—and that n is a power of r . For instance, the triple (p, q, n) could be $(3, 5, 2), (5, 3, 4), (7, 4, 3) \dots$

Set $G = GL_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{E})$ and $H = SL_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{E})$, and, respectively, denote by T and by W the images in G of the multiplicative group of \mathfrak{E} and of the Galois group of the extension $\mathfrak{E}/\mathfrak{F}$; since p does not divide $q - 1$, $T \cap H$ contains the Sylow p -subgroup P of T and, since p divides $\Phi_n(q)$, we have

$$C_G(P) = T \quad \text{and} \quad N_G(P) = T \rtimes W; \tag{4.2.1}$$

consequently, since W acts regularly on the set of generators of a Sylow r -subgroup of T , a generator φ of the Sylow r -subgroup of $\text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{C}^*)$ determines a local point γ of P on $\mathcal{O}G$ such that

$$N_G(P_\gamma) = T = C_G(P) \tag{4.2.2}$$

and, by the Brauer First Main Theorem, P_γ is a defect pointed group of a block b of G which, according to [13, Proposition 5.2], is nilpotent by equality 4.2.2.

On the other hand, since r divides $q - 1$, the restriction ψ of φ to the intersection $T \cap H = C_H(P)$ has an order strictly smaller than φ and therefore, since we clearly have

$$N_H(P)/C_H(P) \cong W, \tag{4.2.3}$$

r divides $|N_H(P_\delta)/C_H(P)|$ where δ denotes the local point of P on $\mathcal{O}H$ determined by ψ ; once again by the Brauer First Main Theorem, P_δ is a defect pointed group of a block c of H , which is clearly a normal sub-block of the block b of G and it is not nilpotent since r divides $|N_H(P_\delta)/C_H(P)|$.

Corollary 4.3 *A block c of a finite group H is a normal sub-block of a nilpotent block b of a finite group G only if it is inertial and has an Abelian inertial quotient.*

Proof We already have proved that c has to be inertial. For the second statement, we borrow the notation of Proposition 3.8; on the one hand, since the block b is nilpotent, we know that $E_G(Q_{\delta G})$ is a p -group; on the other hand, it follows from this proposition that $E_H(Q_{\delta G})$ is a normal subgroup of F and that $F/E_H(Q_{\delta G})$ is Abelian; since the inertial quotient \bar{F} is a p' -group, we have $E_H(Q_{\delta G}) = \{1\}$ and F is Abelian. We are done. \square

² We thank Marc Cabanes for this remark.

Remark 4.4 Conversely, if P is a finite p -group and E a finite Abelian p' -group acting faithfully on P , the unique block of $\hat{L} = P \rtimes \hat{E}$ for any central k^* -extension of E is a normal sub-block of a nilpotent block of a finite group obtained as follows. Setting

$$Z = \text{Hom}(E, k^*), \quad (4.4.1)$$

it is clear that Z acts faithfully on \hat{E} fixing the k^* -quotient E ; thus, the semidirect product $\hat{E} \rtimes Z$ still acts on P and we finally consider the semidirect product

$$\hat{M} = P \rtimes (\hat{E} \rtimes Z) = \hat{L} \rtimes Z. \quad (4.4.2)$$

Then, we clearly have

$$(\mathcal{O}_* \hat{M})(P) \cong k(Z(P) \times Z) \quad (4.4.3)$$

and therefore any group homomorphism $\varepsilon : Z \rightarrow k^*$ determines a local point of P on $\mathcal{O}_* \hat{M}$ —still noted ε ; but E acts on kZ , regularly permuting the set of its points; hence, we get

$$N_{\hat{M}}(P_\varepsilon) = k^* \times P \times Z. \quad (4.4.4)$$

and therefore P_ε is a defect pointed group of the nilpotent block $\{1_{\mathcal{O}_* \hat{M}}\}$ of \hat{M} .

References

1. Broué, M., Puig, L.: Characters and local structure in G -algebras. *J. Algebra* **63**, 306–317 (1980)
2. Broué, M., Puig, L.: A Frobenius theorem for blocks. *Invent. Math.* **56**, 117–128 (1980)
3. Fan, Y., Puig, L.: On blocks with nilpotent coefficient extensions, vol. 1, pp. 27–73. *Algebras Representation Theory* (1998); Publisher revised form, vol. 2, 209 (1999)
4. Gorenstein, D.: Finite groups. Harper's Series. Harper and Row, USA (1968)
5. Green, J.: Some remarks on defect groups. *Math. Z.* **107**, 133–150 (1968)
6. Külshammer, B., Puig, L.: Extensions of nilpotent blocks. *Invent. Math.* **102**, 17–71 (1990)
7. Puig, L.: Pointed groups and construction of characters. *Math. Z.* **176**, 265–292 (1981)
8. Puig, L.: Local fusions in block source algebras. *J. Algebra* **104**, 358–369 (1986)
9. Puig, L.: Nilpotent blocks and their source algebras. *Invent. Math.* **93**, 77–116 (1988)
10. Puig, L.: Pointed groups and construction of modules. *J. Algebra* **116**, 7–129 (1988)
11. Puig, L.: Affirmative answer to a question of Feit. *J. Algebra* **131**, 513–526 (1990)
12. Puig, L.: Algèbres de source de certains blocks des groupes de Chevalley. In: *Représentations linéaires des groupes finis*, pp. 181–182. Astérisque. Soc. Math. de France (1990)
13. Puig, L.: Une correspondance de modules pour les blocks à groupes de défaut abéliens. *Geometriae Dedicata* **37**, 9–43 (1991)
14. Puig, L.: On Joanna Scopes' criterion of equivalence for blocks of symmetric groups. *Algebra Colloq.* **1**, 25–55 (1994)
15. Puig, L.: On the Morita and Rickard equivalences between Brauer blocks. *Progress in Mathematics*, vol. 178. Birkhäuser, Basel (1999)
16. Puig, L.: Source algebras of p -central group extensions. *J. Algebra* **235**, 359–398 (2001)
17. Puig, L.: *Blocks of Finite Groups*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics Springer, Berlin (2002)
18. Puig, L.: Frobenius categories versus Brauer blocks. *Progress in Mathematics*, vol. 274. Birkhäuser, Basel (2009)
19. Puig, L.: Block source algebras in p -solvable groups. *Michigan Math. J.* **58**, 323–328 (2009)