Digital Object Identifier (DOI) 10.1007/s002080100303

# **Champagne subregions of the disk whose bubbles carry harmonic measure**

# **John R. Akeroyd**

Received: 10 March 2000 / Revised version: 6 November 2001/ Published online: 28 February 2002 – © Springer-Verlag 2002

**Abstract.** We show that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any region G whose outer boundary equals { $z : |z|$  = 1), there is a sequence  $\{\Delta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of pairwise disjoint closed disks in G such that  $\{z : |z| = 1\}$  is the set of accumulation points of  $\{\Delta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  radius( $\Delta_n$ ) <  $\varepsilon$  and  $\omega_{\Omega}$  (harmonic measure on the boundary of  $\Omega := \{z : |z| < 1\} \setminus (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_n)$  for evaluation at some  $z_o$  in  $\Omega$ ) is supported on ∪ $_{n=1}^{\infty}$  (∂∆<sub>n</sub>).

*Mathematics Subject Classification (1991):* 30C85, 31A15, 30D55; 30E10, 46E15

## **1 Introduction**

Let  $\Lambda = \{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of distinct points in  $\mathbf{D} := \{z : |z| < 1\}$ , and let  $\Lambda'$  be its set of accumulation points in  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ . We assume here that  $\Lambda \cap \Lambda' = \emptyset$ and that **D** ∩  $\Lambda'$  is finite. Choose a sequence  $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of positive real numbers so that the closed disks  $\Delta_n := \{z : |z - z_n| \le r_n\}$   $(n = 1, 2, 3, ...)$  are pairwise disjoint and are contained in **D**. Then  $\Omega := \mathbf{D} \setminus (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_n)$  is called a *champagne* (*bubbles*) subregion of **D**. Notice that  $\Omega$  is a Dirichlet region and that  $\partial \mathbf{D} \subseteq \partial \Omega$ ; we let  $\omega_{\Omega}$  denote harmonic measure on  $\partial\Omega$  for evaluation at some point in  $\Omega$ . If  ${r_n}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  tends to zero sufficiently fast, then, by various methods, including an argument involving the Maximum Principle and estimates concerning  $log|B(z)|$ , where  $B(z)$  is a finite Blaschke product, one finds that  $\omega_{\Omega}(\partial \mathbf{D}) > 0$ . In the other direction, if the points of  $\Lambda$  are sufficiently dispersed and if they do not tend to  $\partial$ **D** too quickly, then one may choose the radii  $r_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, 3, ...$ ) so that  $\omega_{\Omega}(\partial \mathbf{D}) = 0$ . What we mean by "dispersed" and "not tending to  $\partial \mathbf{D}$  too quickly" relate closely to conditions that characterize so-called sampling sequences for Bergman spaces. Recall that a sequence  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in **D** that has no accumulation points in **D** is said to be a *sampling sequence* for the Bergman space  $L_a^2(\mathbf{D}) :=$  ${f : f$  is analytic in **D** and  $\int |f|^2 dA < \infty$  – A denotes area measure on **D** – if there is a constant  $M > 1$  and there is a summable sequence  ${c_n}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of positive constants such that

J.R. Akeroyd

Department of Mathematics, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR 72701, USA (e-mail: jakeroyd@comp.uark.edu)

$$
\frac{1}{M}||p||_{L^{2}(A)} \leq \{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n} |p(z_{n})|^{2}\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq M||p||_{L^{2}(A)}
$$

for all polynomials  $p$ . This notion of sampling sequences extends to a wide variety of Banach spaces of analytic functions in **D**. Sequences in **D** that are interpolating for  $H^{\infty}(\mathbf{D})$  have already been interpreted in terms of champagne subregions of **D** (see [GGJ]). Most of our methods make a case for a strong link between sampling sequences and champagne subregions  $\Omega$  of **D** for which  $\omega_{\Omega}(\partial \mathbf{D}) = 0$ . Yet, rather than focusing on this link, our primary objective in this paper is to establish the existence of champage subregions  $\Omega$  of **D** such that  $\omega_{\Omega}(\partial \mathbf{D}) = 0$ , even under the strictest conditions; that is, when  $\partial \Omega$  is rectifiable and the bubbles of  $\Omega$  are forced to reside in some prescribed subregion G of **D** whose outer boundary equals ∂**D** (Theorem 3.4). Recall that the outer boundary of G (denoted  $d_{\infty}G$ ) is the boundary of the unbounded component of  $C\setminus\overline{G}$ . This result has consequences in the contexts of potential theory, sampling sequences and cyclic vectors for the shift on Hardy spaces; we explore some of these in Proposition 4.2 and Corollaries 4.3 and 4.4.

## **2 Preliminaries**

Let G be a bounded Dirichlet region and let  $z_0$  be a point in G. If  $h \in C_{\mathbf{R}}(\partial G)$ , then there is a continuous extension  $\hat{h}$  of h to  $\overline{G}$  such that  $\hat{h}$  is harmonic in G. By the Maximum Principle,  $\hat{h}(z_0) \le ||h||_{\infty}$ . Evidently,  $z_0 \longrightarrow \hat{h}(z_0)$  defines a bounded linear functional (of norm one) on  $C_{\mathbf{R}}(\partial G)$ . So, by the Riesz Representation Theorem, there is a unique probability measure  $\omega(\cdot, G, z_o)$  with support in ∂G such that  $\hat{h}(z_o) = \int_{\partial G} h(z) d\omega(z, G, z_o)$  (for all h in  $C_{\mathbf{R}}(\partial G)$ );  $\omega(\cdot, \hat{G}, z_o)$ is called *harmonic measure* on ∂G for evaluation at  $z_o$ . If  $z_1$  is any other point in G, then, by Harnack's Inequality,  $\omega(\cdot, G, z_1)$  and  $\omega(\cdot, G, z_0)$  are boundedly equivalent. For this reason, we often suppress the point  $z<sub>o</sub>$  in our notation and abbreviate  $\omega(\cdot, G, z_o)$  by  $\omega_G(\cdot)$ . Notice that if E is a Borel subset of ∂G, then  $z \rightarrow \omega(E, G, z)$  is harmonic in G and has "boundary values"  $\chi_E$  a.e.  $\omega_G$ . We end this section with two results concerning harmonic measure that are useful to us later in the paper. For any compact subset  $K$  of the complex plane  $C$ , we follow convention and let  $K^{\hat{}}$  denote the *polynomially convex hull* of  $K$  — that is,  $\{z \in \mathbf{C} : |p(z)| \leq \sup |p(w)| \text{ for all polynomials } p\}.$  If  $K = K$ , then K is  $w\in\overline{K}$ said to be *polynomially convex*.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let G be a bounded Dirichlet region and let K be a compact subset *of* G such that  $E := G\backslash K$  *is a Dirichlet region. Then*  $\omega_{E|_{\partial G}}$  *and*  $\omega_G$  *are boundedly equivalent as measures on* ∂G*.*

*Proof.* Choose  $z_o$  in E. Since  $E \subseteq G$ , it follows from the Maximum Principle that  $\omega(\cdot, E, z_o) \leq \omega(\cdot, G, z_o)$  on  $\partial G$ . For a reverse inequality, we first observe that  $(\partial E) \setminus (\partial G) = \partial K$ . By our hypothesis, there is a Jordan curve  $\gamma$  in E such that  $K \subseteq \gamma \subseteq G$ ;  $\gamma$  can be constructed from a grid of sufficiently small diameter — see [C1], Chapter VIII, Proposition 1.1 for a similar construction. Choose  $h$ in  $C_{\bf R}(\partial G)$  such that  $0 \le h \le 1$ ; we let  $\hat{h}$  denote the continuous extension of h to  $\overline{G}$  that is harmonic on G. By the Maximum Principle, there exists  $w_0$  in  $\gamma$ such that  $\hat{h}(w_o) \ge \hat{h}(w)$  for all w in  $\hat{\gamma}$ . Therefore,

$$
\int_{\partial G} h(z) d\omega(z, G, w_o) = \hat{h}(w_o)
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\partial E} \hat{h}(z) d\omega(z, E, w_o)
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\partial G} h(z) d\omega(z, E, w_o) + \int_{\partial K} \hat{h}(z) d\omega(z, E, w_o)
$$
\n
$$
\leq \int_{\partial G} h(z) d\omega(z, E, w_o) + \hat{h}(w_o) \omega(\partial K, E, w_o)
$$
\n
$$
\leq \int_{\partial G} h(z) d\omega(z, E, w_o) + c \cdot \int_{\partial G} h(z) d\omega(z, G, w_o),
$$

where  $c := \max{\omega(\partial K, E, w) : w \in \gamma} < 1$ . So,

$$
\int_{\partial G} h(z) d\omega(z, G, w_o) \leq \frac{1}{1-c} \cdot \int_{\partial G} h(z) d\omega(z, E, w_o).
$$

By Harnack's Inequality, we can now find a positive constant M (independent of  $h: 0 \leq h \leq 1$ ) such that

$$
\int_{\partial G} h(z) d\omega(z, G, z_o) \leq M \cdot \int_{\partial G} h(z) d\omega(z, E, z_o).
$$

Consequently,  $\omega(\cdot, G, z_o) \leq M \omega(\cdot, E, z_o)$  on  $\partial G$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Choose*  $z$  *in*  $\mathbf{D}$   $(z \neq 0)$ *, let*  $w = \frac{z}{|z|}$  *and let*  $[z, w] = \{(1-t)z + tw : z \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  $0 \le t \le 1$ }. Then,  $\omega([z, w], \mathbf{D} \setminus [z, w], 0) \le 1 - |z|$ .

*Proof.* Under a rotation of **D**, we may assume that  $z = r$  ( $0 < r < 1$ ). Let  $\eta$ denote the sweep of  $\omega_r := \omega(\cdot, \mathbf{D} \setminus [r, 1], 0)$  to  $\partial \mathbf{D}$ . Then, by definition,

$$
d\eta = d\omega_r|_{\partial \mathbf{D}} + hdm,
$$

where m denotes normalized Lebesgue measure on ∂**D** and

$$
h(\zeta) = \int_r^1 \frac{1-t^2}{|\zeta-t|^2} d\omega_r(t).
$$

Since  $\omega_r$  is harmonic measure for evaluation at 0, it follows that  $\eta = m$ . Notice that h is continuous on  $\partial$ **D** and since  $\eta = m$ , we must have:  $0 \le h \le 1$ . Therefore,

$$
1 \ge h(1) = \int_r^1 \frac{1+t}{1-t} d\omega_r(t) \ge \frac{1+r}{1-r} \cdot \omega_r([r,1]),
$$

and so  $\omega_r([r, 1]) \leq 1 - r$ .

#### **3 Champagne subregions of the disk**

The first result of this section is an important ingredient in the proof of our main result and is derived from the properties of a function that was developed by K. Seip (see [S]) to establish sets of sampling and interpolation in Bergman spaces.

**Theorem 3.1.** *For any*  $\varepsilon > 0$ , *there is a sequence*  $\{\Delta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  *of pairwise disjoint closed disks in* **D** *such that* ∂**D** *is the set of accumulation points of*  $\{\Delta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ *closed disks in* **D** *such that*  $\partial$ **D** *is the set of accumulation points of*  $\{\Delta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ,<br> $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  *radius*( $\Delta_n$ ) < *ε and*  $\omega_{\Omega}(\partial$ **D**) = 0*, where*  $\Omega :=$  **D**  $\setminus (\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_n)$ .

*Proof.* Following [S], we let  $\Gamma(2, 1) = \{2^m(n + i) : m \text{ and } n \text{ are integers} \}$  and define h on  $H^+ := \{z : Im(z) > 0\}$  by:

$$
h(z)=\left(\prod_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\sin(\pi(i-2^{-k}z))}{\sin(\pi(i+2^{-k}z))}\right)\cdot\left(\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}e^{2\pi}\frac{\sin(\pi(2^mz-i))}{\sin(\pi(2^mz+i))}\right).
$$

As was observed in [S], h is analytic in  $H^+$  and its zero-set there is  $\Gamma(2, 1)$ . For nonnegative integers m, let  $\alpha_{m,0} = \{z : |z - i2^m| = \frac{1}{4}\}\$  and for negative integers m, let  $\alpha_{m,0} = \{z : |z - i2^m| = 4^{m-1}\}\)$ . Replicate these circles horizontally for all integers n by letting  $\alpha_{m,n} = \alpha_{m,0} + n2^m$ ; notice that  $\alpha_{m,n}$  has center  $2^m(n+i)$ and  $\{\alpha_{m,n}^{\dagger} : m \text{ and } n \text{ are integers}\}$  is a pairwise disjoint collection of closed disks in  $H^+$  whose set of accumulation points in **C** is **R**. We let  $W = H^+ \setminus \{\bigcup \alpha_{m,n}^{\hat{}}\}.$ 

Let  $\varphi$  be the Möbius transformation from  $H^+$  onto **D** given by  $\varphi(z) = \frac{z-i}{z+i}$  and let  $\beta_{m,n} = \varphi(\alpha_{m,n})$ . A brief analysis of  $\varphi'$  (or, instead, an application of [Go], Theorem 4, page 52) gives a positive constant  $M$  (independent of  $m$  and  $n$ ) such that  $radius(\beta_{m,n}) \leq M |\varphi'(2^m(n+i))|$  radius( $\alpha_{m,n}$ ). So, for  $m < 0$ , there are positive constants  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$ , and  $C_4$  (independent of m) such that

$$
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} radius(\beta_{m,n}) < 2 \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} radius(\beta_{m,n})
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq C_1 \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{4^m}{|2^m(n+i)+i|^2}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq C_2 \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|n+i2^{-m}|^2}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq C_3 \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+2^{-m})^2}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq C_4 2^m.
$$

And, for  $m \ge 0$ , there are positive constants  $C_5$  and  $C_6$  such that

$$
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} radius(\beta_{m,n}) \leq C_5 \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|2^m(n+i)+i|^2}
$$
  

$$
\leq C_6 \frac{1}{4^m}.
$$

Evidently, therefore,  $\sum$ m  $\sum$ n  $radius(\beta_{m,n}) < \infty$ . So,  $V := \varphi(W)$  is a champagne subregion of **D**, and V has rectifiable boundary.

## **Claim.**  $\omega_{\Omega}(\partial \mathbf{D}) = 0$ .

For  $n = 1, 2, 3, ...$ , let  $W_n = \{z = x + iy : -n < x < n$  and  $0 < y < n\} \cap W$ . By the conformal invariance of harmonic measure and the *exhaustion*  ${W_n}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of W, the proof of our claim reduces to showing that  $\omega_{W_n}([-n, n]) = 0$ . To this end, we define  $W_{n,m}$  (for negative integers m) to be { $z \in W_n : Im(z) > 3 \cdot 2^{m-2}$ } and (for the same m) we let  $I_{n,m} = \{z = x + iy : -n < x < n$  and  $y = 3 \cdot 2^{m-2}\}$ ; notice that  $I_{n,m} \subseteq W_n$ . By [S], estimate (3) on page 214, there is a positive constant  $\delta$  such that

$$
|h(z)| \geq \delta \varrho(z, \Gamma(2, 1)) y^{\frac{-2\pi}{\ln(2)}},
$$

where  $0 < y = Im(z)$  and  $\rho(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the pseudohyperbolic metric on  $H^+$ . Thus, there is a positive constant  $\lambda$  that depends only on n, such that  $|h(z)| \geq \lambda$ whenever  $z \in \overline{W}_{n,m}$ . And, by the same estimate, there is another positive constant C (independent of m and n) such that  $|h(z)| \geq C4^{\pi(2-m)}$  for all z in  $I_{n,m}$ . Select  $z_o$  in  $\hat{W}_{n,-1}$  and let  $\omega_{n,m}(\cdot) = \omega(\cdot, W_{n,m}, z_o)$ . Since  $log|h|$  is continuous and harmonic on  $\overline{W}_{n,m}$ ,

$$
log|h(z_o)| = \int log|h|d\omega_{n,m}
$$
  
\n
$$
\ge log(\lambda) \cdot \omega_{n,m}((\partial W_{n,m}) \setminus I_{n,m}) + [log(C) + \pi(2-m)]\omega_{n,m}(I_{n,m})
$$
  
\n
$$
\ge log(\lambda) + [log(C) + \pi(2-m)]\omega_{n,m}(I_{n,m}).
$$

Evidently, therefore,  $\omega_{n,m}(I_{n,m}) \longrightarrow 0$ , as  $m \rightarrow -\infty$ . Since, by the Maximum Principle,  $\omega([-n, n], W_n, z_o) \leq \omega_{n,m}(I_{n,m})$  (independent of m and n), we conclude that  $\omega_{W_n}([-n, n]) = 0$ . And so our claim holds. To this point, we have produced a champagne region  $V = \mathbf{D} \setminus (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_n)$ , where  $\{\Delta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a pairwise disjoint sequence of closed disks in **D** such that ∂**D** is the set of accumulation points of  $\{\Delta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  radius( $\Delta_n$ ) <  $\infty$  and  $\omega_V(\partial \mathbf{D}) = 0$ . So, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a positive integer N such that  $\sum_{n=N}^{\infty}$  radius( $\Delta_n$ ) <  $\varepsilon$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $Ω := **D** \setminus (\cup_{n=N}^{\infty} Δ_n)$  satisfies the conclusion of our theorem.  $□$ 

**Corollary 3.2.** *Suppose*  $0 < r < \frac{1}{4}$  *and let*  $G = D \setminus \Delta$ *, where*  $\Delta := \{z :$  $|z-\frac{3}{4}|$  ≤ r}. Then, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any  $\rho$ ,  $r < \rho \leq \frac{1}{4}$ , there is a finite, pairwise disjiont collection  $\{\Delta_n\}_{n=1}^N$  of closed disks in  $A_\rho := \{z : r < |z - \frac{3}{4}| < \rho\}$  such *that:*

*(i)*  $\sum_{n=1}^{N} radius(\Delta_n) < \varepsilon$  *and* (*ii*)  $\omega(\partial \Delta, W, 0) < \varepsilon$ , where  $W := G \setminus (\cup_{n=1}^N \Delta_n)$ .

*Proof.* Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\rho, r < \rho < \frac{1}{4}$ . By Theorem 3.1 and the application of a Möbius transformation, there is a sequence  $\{\Delta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of pairwise disjoint closed disks in  $A_\rho$  such that  $\partial \Delta$  is the set of accumulation points of  $\{\Delta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ closed disks in  $A_\rho$  such that  $\partial \Delta$  is the set of accumulation points of  $\{\Delta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ,<br> $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  radius( $\Delta_n$ ) <  $\varepsilon$  and  $\omega_V(\partial \Delta) = 0$ , where  $V := G \setminus (\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_n)$ . By the Maximum Principle, if we choose a positive integer N so that  $\nabla^{\infty}$  (1.2.4 M) of  $\Omega$  and  $N = C \setminus (N_A, \Lambda_A)$  satisfies the conclusion  $\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \omega(\partial \Delta_n, V, 0) < \varepsilon$ , then  $W := G \setminus (\cup_{n=1}^{N} \Delta_n)$  satisfies the conclusion of our corollary.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $G = D \setminus K$ , where K is a compact, connected, polynomially *convex subset of* **D** *that contains more than one point. Suppose*  $z_o \in G$  *and*  $0 < \delta < \text{dist}(z_o, K)$ *, and let*  $G_{\delta} = \{z \in G : \text{dist}(z, K) < \delta\}$ *. Then, for any*  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there are finitely many pairwise disjoint closed disks  $\{\Delta_n\}_{n=1}^N$  in  $G_\delta$  such  $that \sum_{n=1}^{N} radius(\Delta_n) < \varepsilon and \omega(\partial K, W, z_o) < \varepsilon, where W := G \setminus (\cup_{n=1}^{N} \Delta_n).$ 

*Proof.* Placing a square grid of sufficiently small diameter on the complex plane **C**, we can find finitely many closed squares  $\{S_k\}_{k=1}^m$  (of equal sidelength) in  $G_\delta$ so that  $S_k$  shares a side with  $S_{k-1}$  and a side with  $S_{k+1}$  (for  $2 \le k \le m-1$ ), and  $S_1$  and  $S_m$  also share a side. Moreover, these are the only sides that are common to two squares in the collection  $\{S_k\}_{k=1}^m$ , and  $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\cup_{k=1}^m S_k)$  has two components – the bounded component contains K. So,  $\{S_k\}_{k=1}^m$  forms a collar in  $G_\delta$  about K. Let  $D_k$  be the closed disk of largest area in  $S_k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq m$ ); notice that  $\cup_{k=1}^{m} D_k$  disconnects **C** and K is in the bounded component of **C** \ ( $\cup_{k=1}^{m} D_k$ ). Slightly reduce the radius of each disk  $D_k$  ( $1 \le k \le m$ ) to get a pairwise disjoint collection of closed disks  $\{D_k^*\}_{k=1}^m$  – each of the same radius – in  $G_\delta$ . There are small "gaps" between successive disks in the collection  $\{D_k^*\}_{k=1}^m$ , and also there is a small gap between  $D_1^*$  and  $D_m^*$ , all produced by this reduction of the radii. By the Maximum Principle and majorants for harmonic measure derived from the notion of extremal length (see [B], pages 361-385), if the reduction of the radii is sufficiently slight, then we have  $\omega(\partial K, E, z_0) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ , where  $E := G \setminus (\bigcup_{k=1}^m D_k^*)$ . By the proof of Corollary 3.2 applied to each disk  $D_k^*$  ( $1 \le k \le m$ ) successively, we can produce a finite collection  ${\{\Delta_n\}}_{n=1}^N$  of pairwise disjoint closed disks in  $E \cap G_{\delta}$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{N} radius(\Delta_n) < \varepsilon$  and  $\omega(\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} \partial D_k^*, F, z_o) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ where  $F := E \setminus (\cup_{n=1}^N \Delta_n)$ . From the Maximum Principle, it now follows that  $\omega(\partial K, W, z_o) < \varepsilon$ , where  $W := G \setminus (\cup_{n=1}^N \Delta_n)$ .

We are now in a position to establish the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let* G *be a region whose outer boundary is*  $\partial$ **D** *and choose*  $\varepsilon > 0$ *. Then there is a sequence*  ${\{\Delta_n\}}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  *of pairwise disjoint closed disks in* G *such that*  $\partial$ **D** *is the set of accumulation points of*  $\{\Delta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  radius( $\Delta_n$ ) <  $\varepsilon$  and  $\omega_{\Omega}(\partial \mathbf{D}) = 0$ , where  $\Omega := \mathbf{D} \setminus (\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_n)$ .

*Proof.* We first produce a sequence  $\{\gamma_{n,k}\}\$  of pairwise disjoint Jordan arcs in G such that any compact subset of **D** has nonempty intersection with at most finitely many of the arcs and such that  $\omega_V(\partial \mathbf{D}) = 0$ , where  $V := \mathbf{D} \setminus (\bigcup \gamma_{n,k})$ ; so,  $\omega_V$  $n,k$ 

is supported on  $\vert \ \vert$ n,k  $\gamma_{n,k}$ .

## **The construction of**  $\{\gamma_{n,k}\}\$

By a Möbius transformation from **D** onto **D**, we may assume that  $0 \in G$ . For  $n = 5, 6, 7, ...$  and  $k = 1, 2, 3, ..., 2^{n+1}$ , let  $R_{n,k} = \{re^{i\theta}: 1 - 2^{-n}$  $r < 1$  and  $\frac{(k-1)\pi}{2^n} < \theta < \frac{k\pi}{2^n}$ . Notice that  $\{R_{n,k}\}_{k=1}^{2^{n+1}}$  is a pairwise disjoint collec-

tion of open Carleson rectangles and  $\bigcup^{2^{n+1}} \overline{R}_{n,k} = \{z : 1 - 2^{-n} \leq |z| \leq 1\};$ 

we call  ${R_{n,k}}_{k=1}^{2^{n+1}}$  the n<sup>th</sup> generation of rectangles. For  $n = 5, 6, 7, ...$ , let  $\Gamma_n = \{z : |z| = 1 - \frac{1}{n}\}.$  We construct the sequence of arcs  $\{\gamma_{n,k}\}\$  inductively, starting with  $n = 5$  and  $k = 1$ . Now since  $\partial_{\infty} G = \partial \mathbf{D}$ ,  $0 \in G$  and G is connected, it follows that  $G \cap R_{5,1} \neq \emptyset$  and that  $G \cap \Gamma_5 \neq \emptyset$ . So, there is a rectifiable Jordan arc  $\gamma_{5,1}$  contained in G that has one endpoint in  $\Gamma_5$  and the other endpoint in  $R_{5,1}$ ; we may assume that  $|z| \ge \frac{4}{5}$  for all  $z$  in  $\gamma_{5,1}$ . Let  $G_{5,1} = G \setminus \gamma_{5,1}$ ; notice that  $G_{5,1}$ is a subregion of **D**,  $\partial_{\infty} G_{5,1} = \partial \mathbf{D}$  and  $0 \in G_{5,1}$ . Consequently,  $G_{5,1} \cap R_{5,2} \neq \emptyset$ and  $G_{5,1} \cap \Gamma_5 \neq \emptyset$ . So, there is a rectifiable Jordan arc  $\gamma_{5,2}$  contained in  $G_{5,1}$  that has one endpoint in  $\Gamma_5$  and the other endpoint in  $R_{5,2}$ ; again, we may assume that  $|z| \ge \frac{4}{5}$  for all z in  $\gamma_{5,2}$ . Let  $G_{5,2} = G_{5,1} \setminus \gamma_{5,2}$  and proceed as before. Once the arcs  $\gamma_{5,1}, \gamma_{5,2}, ..., \gamma_{5,64}$  have been chosen, let  $G_{6,0} = G_{5,63} \setminus \gamma_{5,64}$ . Since  $G_{6,0}$ is a subregion of **D**,  $\partial_{\infty} G_{6,0} = \partial \mathbf{D}$  and  $0 \in G_{6,0}$ , it follows that  $G_{6,0} \cap R_{6,1} \neq \emptyset$ and that  $G_{6,0} \cap \Gamma_6 \neq \emptyset$ . So, there is a rectifiable Jordan arc  $\gamma_{6,1}$  contained in  $G_{6,0}$  that has one endpoint in  $\Gamma_6$  and its other endpoint in  $R_{6,1}$ ; we may assume that  $|z| \ge \frac{5}{6}$  for all z in  $\gamma_{6,1}$ . Let  $G_{6,1} = G_{6,0} \setminus \gamma_{6,1}$  and proceed as before to define  $\gamma_{6,2}, \ldots, \gamma_{6,128}$ . Continuing in this way, we get a pairwise disjoint sequence  $\{\gamma_{n,k} : n = 5, 6, 7, \dots \text{ and } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 2^{n+1}\}\$  of rectifiable Jordan arcs in G such that  $\gamma_{n,k}$  has one endpoint in  $\Gamma_n$  and its other endpoint in  $R_{n,k}$ , and  $|z| \geq 1-\frac{1}{n}$ for all z in  $\gamma_{n,k}$ . Observe that  $V := \mathbf{D} \setminus (\bigcup \gamma_{n,k})$  is a Dirichlet region,  $0 \in V$  and  $n,k$ 

$$
\partial V = (\partial \mathbf{D}) \cup (\bigcup_{n,k} \gamma_{n,k}).
$$

#### **Claim.**  $\omega_V(\partial \mathbf{D}) = 0$ .

By Harnack's Inequality, we may assume that  $\omega_V(\cdot) = \omega(\cdot, V, 0)$ . Now, since  $V ⊂ **D**$ , the Maximum Principle gives us:  $ω_V|_{∂D} < ω(·, **D**, 0) = m$  (normalized Lebesgue measure on ∂**D**). So, to establish our claim, it is sufficient (by [R], Definitions 8.2 and 8.3, and Theorem 8.6) to show that, for any  $e^{i\theta}$  in  $\partial$ **D**, there is a sequence  ${E_k}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of Borel subsets of  $\partial$ **D** that "shrink to  $e^{i\theta}$  nicely" such that  $\frac{\omega_V(E_k)}{m(E_k)}$  $\longrightarrow$  0 as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . We show this in the case that  $e^{i\theta} = 1$ ; the argument for any other  $e^{i\theta}$  proceeds in a similar way. Now choose a sequence of (Carleson) rectangles – one from each generation – such that the closure of each contains 1; we may choose the sequence  ${R_{n,1}}_{n=5}^{\infty}$ . Corresponding to this sequence of rectangles is the sequence of Jordan arcs { $\gamma_{n,1}$ }; recall that  $\gamma_{n,1}$  has one endpoint in  $\Gamma_n$  and has its other endpoint in  $R_{n,1}$ , such that  $|z| \geq 1 - \frac{1}{n}$  for all z in  $\gamma_{n-1}$ . Since  $\gamma_{n-1} \cap \overline{R}_{n-1}$  is a compact subset of **D**, there is a point  $\zeta_n$  in  $\gamma_{n,1} \cap \overline{R}_{n,1}$  such that  $1 - |\zeta_n| = dist((\gamma_{n,1} \cap \overline{R}_{n,1}), \partial \mathbf{D})$ ; let  $a_n = \frac{\zeta_n}{|\zeta_n|}$ . Traverse  $\gamma_{n,1}$  from its endpoint in  $\Gamma_n$  until one first encounters  $\zeta_n$  and let  $\gamma_{n,1}^{*}$  denote that Jordan subarc of  $\gamma_{n,1}$ . Let  $\tau_n$  be the Jordan arc consisting of  $\gamma_{n,1}^*$  along with the radial segment  $[\zeta_n, a_n] := \{(1-t)\zeta_n + ta_n : 0 \le t \le 1\}$ ; we can by no means assert that  ${\{\tau_n\}}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a pairwise disjoint collection or that  $\tau_n \setminus \{a_n\} \subseteq G$ . Now  $a_n \in \overline{R}_{n,1} \subseteq \{z : |z-1| < \frac{1}{n}\}$  (since  $n \geq 5$ ), and furthermore,  $\tau_n$  has an endpoint in  $\Gamma_n$ . Therefore,  $\tau_n$  intersects the circle  $\{z : |z - 1| = \frac{1}{n}\}$ . Traverse  $\tau_n$  from  $a_n$ until one first intersects  $\{z : |z - 1| = \frac{1}{n}\}\$  and let  $\sigma_n$  denote that subarc of  $\tau_n$ . Let  $A_n = \mathbf{D} \setminus \gamma_{n,1}$ , let  $B_n = \mathbf{D} \setminus \gamma_{n,1}^*$ , let  $O_n = \mathbf{D} \setminus \tau_n$  and let  $P_n = \mathbf{D} \setminus \sigma_n$ . Now,  $V \subseteq A_n \subseteq B_n$ ,  $O_n \subseteq P_n$  and  $1 - |\zeta_n| < 2^{-n}$ . Therefore, by the Maximum Principle and Lemma 2.2, if E is any Borel subset of ∂**D**, then

$$
\omega_V(E) \leq \omega(E, A_n, 0) \leq \omega(E, B_n, 0)
$$
\n
$$
= \omega(E, O_n, 0) + \int_{[\zeta_n, a_n]} \omega(E, B_n, z) d\omega(z, O_n, 0)
$$
\n
$$
\leq \omega(E, O_n, 0) + \omega([\zeta_n, a_n], O_n, 0)
$$
\n
$$
\leq \omega(E, O_n, 0) + 2^{-n}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \omega(E, P_n, 0) + 2^{-n}.
$$
\n(1)

To finish the proof of our claim, it is helpful to divide the problem into two cases, based on whether or not certain portions of the arcs  $\sigma_n$  ( $n = 5, 6, 7, ...$ ) are contained in one of a particular class of lens-shaped regions. For  $0 < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$ , let  $W(\alpha) = \{re^{i\theta} : r > 0 \text{ and } -\alpha < \theta < \alpha\}$ . Let  $\varphi$  be the Möbius transformation from  $\{z : Re(z) > 0\}$  onto **D** given by  $\varphi(z) = \frac{z-1}{z+1}$  and let  $S(\alpha) = \varphi(W(\alpha))$ . Notice that  $S(\alpha)$  is a lens-shaped region in **D**,  $S(\alpha)$  is symmetric with respect to **R** and  $\partial(S(\alpha))$  consists of two arcs of circles that form an angle of 2 $\alpha$  at both -1 and 1. Now, either:

- Case 1. there exists  $\alpha$  such that  $\{z \in \sigma_n : \frac{n}{2^n} \leq |1-z| \leq \frac{1}{n}\} \subseteq S(\alpha)$  for  $n = 5, 6, 7, \dots$ , or
- Case 2. there is a subsequence  $\{\sigma_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of  $\{\sigma_n\}_{n=5}^{\infty}$  and a sequence of points  ${z_k}_{k=1}^{\infty}$   $(z_k \in \sigma_{n_k}$  and  $|1-z_k| \geq \frac{n_k}{2^{n_k}}$  such that  $\frac{1-|z_k|}{|1-z_k|} \longrightarrow 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ ; let  $w_k = \frac{z_k}{|z_k|}$ .

We first address Case 2. Let  $E_k$  be the shortest arc of  $\partial$ **D** that has endpoints  $a_{n_k}$ and  $w_k$ ; notice that  $E_k$  shrinks to 1 nicely as  $k \to \infty$ . By the Maximum Principle and Lemma 2.2,  $\omega(E_k, P_{n_k}, 0) \leq 1 - |z_k|$ . Therefore, by (1), if k is sufficiently large, then

$$
\frac{\omega_V(E_k)}{m(E_k)} \le \frac{2[(1-|z_k|)+2^{-n_k}]}{|1-z_k|} \le \frac{2(1-|z_k|)}{|1-z_k|} + \frac{2}{n_k} \longrightarrow 0, \text{ as } k \to \infty.
$$

We now turn to Case 1. For  $n = 5, 6, 7, ...$ , let  $E_n$  be the subarc of  $\partial$ **D** that extends from  $a_n$  counterclockwise until one first encounters  $\{z : |1-z| = \frac{n}{2^n}\}\;$ notice that  $E_n$  shrinks to 1 nicely as  $n \to \infty$ . For the same n and  $\frac{n}{2^n} \le r \le \frac{1}{n}$ , let  $\beta_n(r) = \{1 + re^{i\theta} : \theta_1 \leq \theta \leq \theta_2\}$ , where  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are the smallest numbers in [0,  $2\pi$ ) such that  $1+re^{i\theta_1} \in \partial \mathbf{D}$  and  $1+re^{i\theta_2} \in \sigma_n$ . By our hypothesis for Case 1, there is a constant  $c > 1$  (independent of *n* and *r*) such that  $length(\beta_n(r)) \leq \frac{\pi}{c}r$ . So, we can apply [B], pages 361-385, and find a positive constant  $M$  (independent of  $n)$  such that

$$
\omega(E_n, P_n, 0) \leq M \cdot exp\left(-\pi \cdot \int_{\frac{n}{2^n}}^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{1}{length(\beta_n(r))} dr\right)
$$
  

$$
\leq M \cdot exp\left(-c \cdot \int_{\frac{n}{2^n}}^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{1}{r} dr\right)
$$
  

$$
= M\left(\frac{n^2}{2^n}\right)^c.
$$

It now follows from (1) that

$$
\frac{\omega_V(E_n)}{m(E_n)} \le \frac{M(\frac{n^2}{2^n})^c + 2^{-n}}{n2^{-n-1}} \longrightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty.
$$

By Cases 1 and 2, our claim is established. Now, since  $\{\gamma_{n,k}\}$  is countable, we can rename these Jordan arcs and enumerate them  $\{\gamma_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ . Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By applying Lemma 3.3 to  $V_m := \mathbf{D} \setminus \gamma_m$  successively (for  $m = 1, 2, 3, ...$ ), we can produce a sequence  ${\{\Delta_n\}}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of pairwise disjoint closed disks in { $z \in G$  :

 $|z| > \frac{1}{2} \} \setminus (\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \gamma_m)$  such that  $\partial \mathbf{D}$  contains the accumulation points of  $\{\Delta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ,<br> $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} radius(\Delta_n) < \varepsilon$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  radius( $\Delta_n$ ) < ε and

$$
\omega(\gamma_m, U_m, 0) \le \frac{1}{2^m}, \tag{2}
$$

where  $U_m := V_m \setminus (\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_n)$ ; we let  $U = \cap_{m=1}^{\infty} U_m (= V \setminus (\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_n)$ . By our claim and the Maximum Principle,  $\omega(\partial \mathbf{D}, U, 0) = 0$ . So, by Lemma 2.1,  $\omega(\partial \mathbf{D}, U_n^*, 0) = 0$  (for  $n = 1, 2, 3, ...$ ), where  $U_n^* := \bigcap_{m=n}^{\infty} U_m$ . Moreover, by (2) and the Maximum Principle,  $\omega(\bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} \gamma_m, U_n^*, 0) < 2^{1-n}$ . Once again applying the Maximum Principle, we can now conclude that  $\omega(\partial \mathbf{D}, \Omega, 0) < 2^{1-n}$  (for  $n = 1, 2, 3, ...$ , where  $\Omega := \mathbf{D} \setminus (\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_n)$ . Consequently,  $\omega(\partial \mathbf{D}, \Omega, 0) = 0$ .  $\Box$ 

In the proof of Theorem 3.1 we used estimates of K. Seip and a special sampling sequence to construct a champagne subregion  $\Omega$  of **D** with rectifiable boundary such that  $\omega_{\Omega}(\partial \mathbf{D}) = 0$ . The next result shows that this construction is somewhat dependent on the nature of sampling sequences; Blaschke sequences are zero sets for  $H^{\infty}(\mathbf{D})$  and therefore cannot be sampling. We conclude this paper with applications of Theorem 3.4 in the contexts of sampling sequences and cyclic vectors for the shift on Hardy spaces.

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let*  $\Omega := \mathbf{D} \setminus (\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_n)$  *be a champagne subregion of* **D***. If there is a Blaschke sequence*  $\Lambda := \{z_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  *in* **D** *and there exists*  $c, 0 < c < 1$ *, such that*  $\rho(z, \Lambda) < c$  *for all*  $z$  *in*  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_n$ *, then*  $\omega_{\Omega}(\partial \mathbf{D}) > 0$ *.* 

*Proof.* By adding some disks to the collection  $\{\Delta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , or by slightly expanding some of the existing disks, we may assume that  $\Lambda \cap \Omega = \emptyset$ . Let B be the Blaschke product corresponding to the sequence  $\Lambda$ . Now there exists  $z_o$  in  $\Omega$ such that  $|B(z_0)| > c$ ; let  $f_m(z) = \left[\frac{B(z)}{B(z_0)}\right]^m$  (for  $m = 1, 2, 3, ...$ ). Since  $z \rightarrow$  $log|f_m(z)|$  is harmonic in  $\Omega$  and has boundary values in  $L^1(d\omega_{\Omega})$ , it follows that  $0 = log|f_m(z_0)| = \int_{\partial \Omega} log|f_m(z)| d\omega(z, \Omega, z_0)$ . Therefore, since  $f_m \longrightarrow 0$ uniformly on  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_n$ , as  $n \to \infty$ , we conclude that  $\omega_{\Omega}(\partial \mathbf{D}) > 0$ .

## **4 Applications**

We now turn to an application that involves some rather standard sampling techniques. To develop the subject properly, we first need to discuss point evaluations. We assume here that  $\mu$  is a finite, positive Borel measure with compact support in **C** and for  $1 \le t < \infty$ , we let  $P^t(d\mu)$  denote the closure of the polynomials in  $L^t(d\mu)$  and let  $Ball(P^t(d\mu)) = \{f \in P^t(d\mu) : ||f||_{L^t(d\mu)} \leq 1\}$ . A point z in **C** is called a *bounded point evaluation* for  $P^t(d\mu)$  if there is a positive constant C such that  $|p(z)| \leq C ||p||_{L^t(d_u)}$  for all polynomials p; the collection of all such points is denoted  $bpe(P^t(d\mu))$ . A point z in C is called an *analytic bounded* 

*point evaluation* for  $P^t(d\mu)$  if there are positive constants  $\delta$  and M such that  $|p(w)| \le M ||p||_{L^t(d_u)}$  whenever p is a polynomial and  $|z-w| < \delta$ ; the collection of all such points z is denoted  $abpe(P^t(d\mu))$ . Now  $abpe(P^t(d\mu))$  is the largest open set in C to which every function f in  $P^t(d\mu)$  has an analytic continuation and, moreover, each component of  $abpe(P^t(d\mu))$  is simply connected.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $\mu$  be a finite, positive Borel measure with support in  $\overline{D}$  such *that*  $\mathbf{D} = abpe(P^t(d\mu))$ *. Then, for*  $0 < r < 1$ *, there is a positive constant*  $M_r$  such that  $\frac{f(w)-f(z)}{w-z}$  *distinct points of moduli no greater than* r*.*  $\left| \frac{w-f(z)}{w-z} \right|$  ≤  $M_r$  whenever  $f \in Ball(P^t(d\mu))$  and z and w are

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma_r = {\zeta : |\zeta| = \frac{1+r}{2}}$ . Now since  $abpe(P^t(d\mu)) = \mathbf{D}$ , there is a positive constant  $C_r$  such that  $|f(\zeta)| \leq C_r$  for all f in  $Ball(P^t(d\mu))$  and all  $\zeta$  in  $\Gamma_r$ . So, if z and w are distinct points of moduli no greater than r, then, by Cauchy's Integral Formula,

$$
\left|\frac{f(w)-f(z)}{w-z}\right| \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \int_{\Gamma_r} \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{|\zeta-z||\zeta-w|} |d\zeta|
$$

$$
\le \frac{4C_r}{(1-r)^2}.
$$

The proof of the next result follows a well-known technique that was pointed out to the author by D. Luecking. The result itself answers, in the affirmative, Question 3.4 of [AS]. However, the sampling methods presented here are by no means "sharp" enough to give the results found in [AS]. In what follows, we will be considering a finite, positive Borel measure  $\mu$  with support in **D**. For the sake of convenience, we let  $\mu_o = \mu|_{\mathbf{D}}$  and let  $\mu_{\infty} = \mu|_{\partial \mathbf{D}}$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** Let  $\mu$  be a finite, positive Borel measure with support in  $\overline{D}$ such that  $\mathbf{D} = abpe(P^t(d\mu))$ . Then there exist an at most countable collection *of points*  $\{z_n\}$  *in*  $\mathbf{D} \cap support(\mu)$ *, where*  $\{z_n\}$  *has no accumulation point in*  $\mathbf{D}$ *, a summable collection*  ${c_n}$  *of positive constants and a constant*  $M > 1$  *such that*  $\nu := \mu_{\infty} + \sum_{n} c_{n} \delta_{z_{n}}$  *satisfies:* 

$$
\frac{1}{M} ||p||_{L^{t}(d\mu)} \leq ||p||_{L^{t}(d\nu)} \leq M ||p||_{L^{t}(d\mu)}
$$

*for all polynomials* p*.*

*Proof.* We assume that  $\mu$  is a probability measure; the general result follows immediately from the proof of this case. By Lemma 4.1, we can partition **D** into sets  $R_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, 3, ...$ ) of the form { $re^{i\theta}$  :  $a \le r < b$  and  $\alpha \le \theta < \beta$ } and with the properties:

 $\Box$ 

- (i)  $0 < d_k := diameter(R_k) < dist(R_k, \partial \mathbf{D}) \longrightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and
- (ii) for each k there exists  $r_k$ ,  $0 < r_k < 1$ , such that  $|z| \le r_k$  for all z in  $R_k$  and  $d_k M_{r_k} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , where  $M_{r_k}$  is the constant provided by Lemma 4.1.

Let f be a ploynomial of norm 1 in  $P^t(d\mu)$  and choose  $w_k$  in  $R_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, 3, ...$ ); we choose  $w_k$  in support  $(\mu) \cap R_k$  if  $\mu(R_k) \neq 0$ . Then, by Lemma 4.1,

$$
|f(z) - f(w_k)| \le M_{r_k} |z - w_k| \le \frac{1}{2}
$$

for any z in  $R_k$ . Therefore,  $|f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{2} + |f(w_k)|$  for all z in  $R_k$ . Consequently,  $|f(z)|^t \leq 2^{t-1}(2^{-t} + |f(w_k)|^t)$  and hence  $|f(z)|^t - 2^{t-1}|f(w_k)|^t \leq \frac{1}{2}$  for all z in  $R_k$ . Since we are assuming that  $\mu$  is a probability measure and that  $|| f ||_{L^t(d\mu)} = 1$ , it follows that

$$
\int |f|^t d\mu_o - 2^{t-1} \cdot \int |f|^t d\sigma \leq \frac{1}{2} \mu_o(\mathbf{D}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \int |f|^t d\mu,
$$

where  $\sigma := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  $k=1$  $\mu(R_k)\delta_{w_k}$ . Therefore,

$$
\int |f|^t d\mu - 2^{t-1} \cdot \int |f|^t d\nu \leq \frac{1}{2} \int |f|^t d\mu,
$$

where  $v := \mu_{\infty} + \sigma$ . And thus,

$$
||f||_{L^t(d\mu)} \le 2||f||_{L^t(d\nu)}.
$$

In a similar fashion we obtain the inequality:  $|| f ||_{L^t(d_v)} \leq 2|| f ||_{L^t(d_u)}$ . The proof is now complete.

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let* G *be region whose outer boundary is* ∂**D***. Then there exist a sequence*  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  *in* G *and a summable sequence*  $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  *of positive constants such that*  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  *has no accumulation point in* **D** *and*  $\sigma := \sum^{\infty}$  $n=1$  $c_n \delta_{z_n}$  *satisfies:* 

$$
abpe(Pt(d\sigma)) = \mathbf{D} \text{ for } 1 \le t < \infty.
$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.4, there is a sequence  $\{\Delta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of pairwise disjoint closed disks in G such that  $\{\Delta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  has no accumulation point in **D** and  $\omega_{\Omega}(\partial \mathbf{D}) = 0$ , where  $\Omega := \mathbf{D} \setminus (\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_n)$ ; so  $\omega_{\Omega}$  is supported on  $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\partial \Delta_n)$ . Since  $|p|$  is subharmonic in  $C$  for any polynomial  $p$ , it follows from Harnack's Inequality that  $\Omega \subseteq abpe(P^1(d\omega_{\Omega})) \subseteq D$ ). Since the components of  $abpe(P^1(d\omega_{\Omega}))$  are simply connected, we in fact have that  $abpe(P^1(d\omega_{\Omega})) = \mathbf{D}$ . An application of Proposition 4.2 and Jensen's Inequality completes the proof.

Taking the sweep of  $\sigma$  ( $\sigma$  as defined in Corollary 4.3) to  $\partial G$  and using the properties of this sweep (see [C2], Chapter V, Sect. 9), we have:

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let* G *be a simply connected region whose outer boundary is*  $\partial$ **D***. Then there exists* f *in*  $H^1(G)$  *such that*  $f \circ \varphi$  *is an outer function* ( $\varphi$  *is a conformal mapping from*  $\bf{D}$  *onto*  $G$ *) and abpe*( $P^t(|\tilde{f}|d\omega_G)$ ) =  $\bf{D}$  *for*  $1 \le t < \infty$ ;  $|\tilde{f}|d\omega_G$ *is the measure with support in* ∂*G that is "carried" by*  $\varphi$  *from* ∂**D** *as*  $|\widetilde{f \circ \varphi}|$ *dm*, where  $\widetilde{f \circ \varphi}$  denotes the boundary values of  $f \circ \varphi$  on  $\partial \mathbf{D}$ .

*Question 4.5.* Let  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sampling sequence for the Bergman space  $L^2_a(\mathbf{D})$ . Then does there exist a summable sequence  $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of positive constants such that  $\Delta_n := \{z : |z - z_n| \le r_n\}$   $(n = 1, 2, 3, ...)$  are pairwise disjoint in **D** and  $\Omega := \mathbf{D} \setminus (\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_n)$  satisfies:  $\omega_{\Omega}(\partial \mathbf{D}) = 0$ ?

In a recent communication with the author, P. Poggi-Corra has shown evidence of making some progress with this question.

## **References**

- [AS] J. Akeroyd, E. G. Saleeby, A class of  $P^t(d\mu)$  spaces whose point evaluations vary with t, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1999), 537–542
- [B] A. Beurling, Collected Works of Arne Beurling, Birkhäuser, Boston, vol. 1, 1988
- [C1] J. B. Conway, Functions of One Complex Variable, second ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978
- [C2] J.B. Conway, The Theory of Subnormal Operators, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991
- [Ga] T. W. Gamelin, Uniform Algebras, Chelsea, New York, 1984
- [GGJ] J. B. Garnett, F.W. Gehring, P.W. Jones, Conformally invariant length sums, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **32** (1983), pp. 809–829
- [Go] G. M. Goluzin, Geometric Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable, American Mathematical Society, 1969
- [R] W. Rudin, Real and Complex Analysis, McGraw-Hill, 1966
- [S] K. Seip, Regular sets of sampling and interpolation for weighted Bergman spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **117** (1993), 213–220