

# On symplectic cobordisms

John B. Etnyre · Ko Honda

Received: 26 March 2001 / Revised version: 1 May 2001 /

Published online: 28 February 2002 – © Springer-Verlag 2002

**Abstract.** In this note we make several observations concerning symplectic cobordisms. Among other things we show that every contact 3-manifold has infinitely many concave symplectic fillings and that all overtwisted contact 3-manifolds are “symplectic cobordism equivalent”.

*Mathematics Subject Classification (2000):* 53D35, 57R17

---

## 1. Introduction

In this note we make several observations concerning (directed) symplectic cobordisms, Stein cobordisms, and *concave* symplectic fillings for contact 3-manifolds. Symplectic and Stein cobordisms have recently come to the foreground of symplectic and contact geometry, largely due to the introduction of symplectic field theory (SFT) by Eliashberg, Hofer and Givental [12]. The goal of SFT is to associate an algebraic structure to a given symplectic cobordism. Though clearly a central notion in symplectic and contact geometry, there is surprisingly little concerning symplectic cobordisms in the literature.

We will assume our 3-manifolds are closed and oriented, and our contact structures are oriented and positive. A contact 3-manifold  $(M_1, \xi_1)$  is *symplectically cobordant* to another contact manifold  $(M_2, \xi_2)$ , if there exists a symplectic 4-manifold  $(X, \omega)$  with  $\partial X = M_2 - M_1$  and a vector field  $v$  defined on a neighborhood of  $(M_1 \cup M_2) \subset X$  for which  $\mathcal{L}_v \omega = \omega$ ,  $v \lrcorner (M_1 \cup M_2)$ , the normal orientation of  $M_1 \cup M_2$  agrees with  $v$  and the 1-form  $\alpha = \iota_v \omega$  is a contact form for  $\xi_i$  when restricted to  $M_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . If there is, moreover, an almost complex structure  $J$  on  $X$  and a strictly plurisubharmonic function  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\omega = -dJ^*d\phi$  and  $M_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are non-critical level sets of  $\phi$ , then we say  $(M_1, \xi_1)$  is *strictly complex cobordant* to  $(M_2, \xi_2)$ . Such cobordisms have been studied in [9] [13] and can be thought of as the cobordism analog of a Stein manifold. Hence we shall abuse terminology and refer to strictly complex cobordisms as “Stein cobordisms”. We say  $(M_1, \xi_1)$  is the *concave end* of the

---

JOHN B. ETNYRE

Department of Mathematics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

KO HONDA

Department of Mathematics, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA

cobordism, while  $(M_2, \xi_2)$  is the *convex end*. We denote the existence of such a cobordism by  $(M_1, \xi_1) \prec (M_2, \xi_2)$  — in the paper we implicitly assume that  $\prec$  refers to a Stein cobordism, unless specified otherwise. Note that symplectic (and Stein) cobordism is not an equivalence relation. For example, a *Stein fillable* contact structure  $(M, \xi)$  (= one satisfying  $\emptyset \prec (M, \xi)$ ) cannot be symplectically cobordant to an overtwisted contact structure, but the opposite is possible. Our first result is:

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $(M_1, \xi_1)$  be a contact 3-manifold. Then there exists a Stein fillable contact 3-manifold  $(M_2, \xi_2)$  and a Stein cobordism  $(M_1, \xi_1) \prec (M_2, \xi_2)$ .*

Though this result indicates the overall structure of the “partial order” on contact 3-manifolds induced by cobordisms, there is very little control over the target contact manifold  $(M_2, \xi_2)$ . On the other hand, when  $(M_1, \xi_1)$  is overtwisted, there is complete freedom in choosing  $(M_2, \xi_2)$ :

**Theorem 1.2** *Let  $(M_1, \xi_1)$  be an overtwisted contact 3-manifold and  $(M_2, \xi_2)$  any contact 3-manifold, tight or overtwisted. Then there exists a Stein cobordism  $(M_1, \xi_1) \prec (M_2, \xi_2)$ .*

In particular, all overtwisted contact structures are equivalent under symplectic or Stein cobordism!

It is interesting to compare the previous two theorems with recent work of Epstein-Henkin [13] and de Oliveira [5] which deal with cobordisms between CR-structures. (Here “CR-structure” will mean “strictly pseudoconvex CR-structure”.) On any 3-manifold  $M$ , there is a 1-1 correspondence between CR-structures and pairs  $(\xi, J)$  consisting of a contact structure  $\xi$  and an almost complex structure  $J$  on  $\xi$ . We say a CR-structure  $(\xi, J)$  on  $M$  is *fillable*, if there is a compact, connected, complex manifold  $X$  with  $\partial X = M$ , so that the complex tangencies to  $M$  are  $\xi$  and the induced complex structure on  $\xi$  is  $J$ . In [13] it was shown that if a CR-manifold  $(M_1, \xi_1, J_1)$  is Stein cobordant to a fillable CR-manifold  $(M_2, \xi_2, J_2)$ , then  $(M_1, \xi_1, J_1)$  is also fillable. Here we assume Stein cobordisms of CR-manifolds respect complex structures. Thus, if  $(M_1, \xi_1, J_1) \prec (M_2, \xi_2, J_2)$  is a Stein cobordism but  $(M_1, \xi_1)$  is not Stein fillable, then  $(M_2, \xi_2, J_2)$  cannot be a fillable CR-structure, even if  $(M_2, \xi_2)$  is a Stein fillable contact structure. De Oliveira [5] gave some interesting examples of complex (but not Stein) cobordisms from non-fillable CR-structures to fillable ones, thus showing the necessity of having a Stein cobordism in the Epstein-Henkin result.

Our last result is:

**Theorem 1.3** *Any contact 3-manifold has infinitely many concave symplectic fillings which are mutually non-isomorphic and are not related to each other by a sequence of blow-ups and blow-downs.*

A *convex (resp. concave) symplectic filling* of  $(M, \xi)$  is a symplectic cobordism  $(X, \omega)$  from  $\emptyset$  to  $(M, \xi)$  (resp. from  $(M, \xi)$  to  $\emptyset$ ). The phrase “symplectic filling”, without modifiers, is usually reserved for “convex symplectic filling”. Having a (convex) filling is quite restrictive for a contact 3-manifold — for instance, it implies the contact structure is tight. (Note, however, that there are many tight contact structures without such fillings due to Eliashberg [11], Ding-Geiges [6], and Etnyre-Honda [14].) We show that, on the contrary, concave fillings are not restrictive at all. Though this was believed for a long time, and specific isolated contact manifolds with infinitely many such fillings are easy to come by, the degree to which concave fillings are not restrictive is perhaps a little surprising.

We assume the reader is more or less familiar with contact geometry and hence we do not include any background material here. We refer the reader to [2] for the basics of contact geometry, [8] for Lutz twisting, and [1] [12] [9] for the notions of Stein and symplectic cobordisms.

## 2. Legendrian surgeries

In this section we give a description of *Legendrian surgery*, both on the 3-manifold level and as a source of Stein filling on the 4-manifold level. There is some related material in [21] for Legendrian surgeries.

Let  $(M, \xi)$  be a contact manifold and  $L \subset M$  a closed Legendrian curve. Let  $N(L)$  be a *standard tubular neighborhood* of the Legendrian curve  $L$ , with convex boundary and two parallel dividing curves. Choose a framing for  $L$  (and a concomitant identification  $\partial N(L) \simeq \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ ) so that the meridian has slope 0 and the dividing curves have slope  $\infty$ . With respect to this choice of framing, a Legendrian surgery is a  $-1$  surgery, where a copy of  $N(L)$  is glued to  $M \setminus N(L)$  so that the new meridian has slope  $-1$ . Here, even though the boundary characteristic foliations may not exactly match up a priori, we use Giroux’s Flexibility Theorem [15] [20] and the fact that they have the same dividing set to make the characteristic foliations agree. This gives us a new manifold  $(M', \xi')$ .

The following proposition describes Legendrian surgery on the 4-manifold level.

**Proposition 2.1** *Let  $(M', \xi')$  be a contact manifold obtained by Legendrian surgery along  $L$  in  $(M, \xi)$ , in a 3-dimensional manner. Then there exists a Stein cobordism from  $(M, \xi)$  to  $(M', \xi')$ , obtained by attaching a 2-handle along  $N(L)$ .*

*Proof.* We apply Lemma 2.2 below to obtain a Stein cobordism  $X = M \times [0, 1]$ . Then Legendrian surgery corresponds to attaching a 2-handle along  $N(L) \subset M \times \{1\}$  in a Stein (resp. symplectic) manner, which yields a Stein (resp. symplectic) cobordism from  $(M, \xi)$  to  $(M', \xi')$ . (See Eliashberg [9].)

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $(M, \xi)$  be a contact structure. Then there exists a thickening of  $M$  to  $X = M \times [0, 1]$  and a Stein cobordism from  $(M, \xi)$  to itself.*

A proof of this fact appears in [7].

### 3. Open book decompositions

Recall an *open book decomposition* of a 3-manifold  $M$  consists of a link  $K$ , called the *binding*, and a fibration  $f: (M \setminus K) \rightarrow S^1$  such that each fiber  $F$  in the fibration is a Seifert surface for  $K$ . The manifold  $M \setminus K$  is obtained by taking  $F \times [0, 1]$  with coordinates  $(x, t)$  and identifying  $(x, 0) \sim (\phi(x), 1)$  via the monodromy map  $\phi: F \xrightarrow{\sim} F$ . Following Thurston and Winkelnkemper [26], we construct a contact structure on  $M$  from an open book decomposition: Let  $\lambda$  be a primitive for an area form on  $F$  and let  $\lambda_t = t \cdot \lambda + (1 - t) \cdot \phi^* \lambda$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ . The 1-form  $\alpha = dt + \lambda_t$  is a contact 1-form on  $F \times [0, 1]$  which glues to give a contact structure on  $M \setminus K$ . One easily checks that  $\alpha$  extends over  $K$ . If  $(M, \xi)$  is obtained in this manner, then we say that the open book decomposition of  $M$  is *adapted to  $\xi$* . We now have the following recent result of Giroux [16]:

**Theorem 3.1** *Any contact structure  $\xi$  on a closed 3-manifold  $M$  admits an open book decomposition of  $M$  which is adapted to  $\xi$ .*

The following lemma (and more importantly its converse) is due to the efforts of many people, beginning with the work of Loi and Piergallini [23] (also see [25] for an earlier effort), and recently culminating in the work of Giroux [16] (see also [3] [24]).

**Lemma 3.2** *If the monodromy  $\phi: F \rightarrow F$  for an open book can be expressed as a product of positive Dehn twists, then the adapted contact structure is Stein fillable.*

*Proof.* If a manifold  $M_n$  has an open book decomposition with fiber  $F$ , an  $m$ -times punctured genus  $g$  surface, and monodromy  $\phi = id$ , then the manifold is the connected sum of  $n = 2g + m - 1$  copies of  $S^1 \times S^2$ . (To see this, note that  $M_n$  with the binding removed is  $F \times S^1$  and the co-core of each 1-handle in  $F$  gives rise to an annulus. Now, when the binding is replaced, these annuli become essential 2-spheres.) This open book decomposition can be seen as the boundary of a (positive) Lefschetz fibration on a 4-manifold  $X$  that  $M_n$  bounds. From this one may easily conclude that the contact structure  $\xi_n$ , adapted to the open book decomposition, is Stein filled by  $X$  (cf. [3] [23]).

Assume  $\phi$  consists of a single positive Dehn twist along a closed curve  $\gamma \subset F$ . Then the manifold  $M$  is obtained from  $M_n$  by a Dehn surgery along  $\gamma$  with surgery coefficient one less than the framing induced on  $\gamma$  by the fiber. But we can also make  $\gamma$  a Legendrian curve in  $F$  so that the framings given by the contact structure

and the fibers agree. (In other words, the twisting number of  $\gamma$  relative to  $F$  is zero.) This is made possible by applying (a variant of) the Legendrian Realization Principle (for details see [20]). Although  $\partial F$  is not Legendrian, for the purposes of the Legendrian Realization Principle we may assume that  $\partial F$  is the dividing set of the convex surface  $F$  and realize any closed curve  $\gamma \subset \text{int } F$  as a Legendrian curve, provided  $\gamma$  is *non-isolating*, i.e., every component of  $F \setminus \gamma$  nontrivially intersects  $\partial F$ . Thus  $(M, \xi)$  is obtained from  $(M_n, \xi_n)$  by a Legendrian surgery and hence is Stein fillable, provided  $\gamma$  is non-isolating. The only way our  $\gamma$  could be isolating is if it were separating but then we use the argument in Lemma 1 of [23] and write a positive Dehn twist about the separating curve  $\gamma$  as a product of positive Dehn twists about non-separating curves. Thus we are left with the case where  $\phi$  is the product of  $k > 1$  positive Dehn twists about non-separating curves and we just perform  $k$  Legendrian surgeries on different leaves.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1. It should be pointed out that the strategy of proof is similar to the proof strategy in [6], where it is proved that “most” universally tight contact structures on torus bundles over the circle are not (strongly) symplectically fillable.

*Proof (Proof of Theorem 1.1).* If  $(M_1, \xi_1)$  is Stein fillable, then we are done by Lemma 2.2. Therefore, let  $(M_1, \xi_1)$  be a contact structure which is not Stein fillable. By Theorem 3.1, there exists an open book decomposition for  $M_1$  which is adapted to  $\xi_1$ . Let  $K$  be the binding,  $f: (M_1 \setminus K) \rightarrow S^1$  the fibering of the complement,  $F$  the fiber, and  $\phi$  the monodromy map. Since  $(M_1, \xi_1)$  is not Stein fillable, any product decomposition of  $\phi$  into Dehn twists must contain some negative Dehn twists. We view each Dehn twist as being done on a separate fiber. On a fiber just after one on which a negative Dehn twist was done along  $\gamma$ , we can take a parallel copy of  $\gamma$  and perform a positive Dehn twist, which is tantamount to a Legendrian surgery. If a compensatory positive Dehn twist is added whenever there is a negative Dehn twist, then we will have a new monodromy map  $\phi'$  with only positive Dehn twists. Of course  $\phi'$  will define a different manifold  $M_2$  and a different contact structure  $\xi_2$ . However, since the difference in between the monodromy for  $M_1$  and for  $M_2$  is just several positive Dehn twists, we can get from  $(M_1, \xi_1)$  to  $(M_2, \xi_2)$  by a sequence of Legendrian surgeries. Thus we have a Stein cobordism from  $(M_1, \xi_1)$  to  $(M_2, \xi_2)$ .

#### 4. Overtwisted contact structures

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2. The proof will be broken down into two propositions.

**Proposition 4.1** *Any overtwisted contact manifold is Stein cobordant to any overtwisted contact manifold.*

*Proof.* Let  $(M_i, \xi_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  be two overtwisted contact manifolds. It is a well-known fact in 3-manifold topology that we can find a link  $L$  in  $M_1$  such that a certain integer Dehn surgery on  $L$  will yield  $M_2$ . Thus we can construct a topological cobordism  $X$  from  $M_1$  to  $M_2$  by attaching 2-handles with the appropriate framing to  $M_1 \times [0, 1]$ . Moreover, one can adapt the proof of Lemma 4.4 in [19] to show that we may assume that  $X$  has an almost complex structure with complex tangencies  $\xi_i$  on  $M_i$ . We now apply the following theorem of Eliashberg (Theorem 1.3.4 in [9]):

**Theorem 4.2 (Eliashberg)** *Let  $(X, J)$  be a compact, almost complex (real) 4-manifold with boundary  $\partial X = M_2 - M_1$ . Assume  $M_1$  is  $J$ -concave,  $J$  is integrable near  $M_1$ , and the corresponding contact structure  $(M_1, \xi_1)$  is overtwisted. If the cobordism  $(X, J)$  from  $M_1$  to  $M_2$  consists of only 2-handle attachments, then there exists a deformation of  $J$  (rel  $M_1$ ) to an integrable complex structure  $\tilde{J}$  on  $X$  for which  $M_2$  is  $\tilde{J}$ -convex.*

Using this theorem, we obtain a Stein structure on  $X$  for which the complex tangencies on  $M_1$  are  $\xi_1$  and on  $M_2$  are some contact structure  $\xi'$  homotopic to  $\xi_2$  as a 2-plane field. Now, we are done if  $\xi'$  is overtwisted, since overtwisted contact structures are classified by their 2-plane field homotopy type [8]. But we can easily ensure that the contact structure on  $M_2$  is overtwisted by adding some extra Lutz twists to  $(M_1, \xi_1)$  that are disjoint from the regions where the 2-handles are attached.

**Proposition 4.3** *Given a tight contact manifold  $(M, \xi)$ , there exists an overtwisted contact structure  $\xi'$  on  $M$  in the same homotopy class as  $\xi$  and which satisfies  $(M, \xi') \prec (M, \xi)$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $(M, \xi)$ , take a Legendrian curve  $L \subset M$  and its standard neighborhood  $N(L)$ . Choose a framing as in Sect. 2 so that the slope of the dividing set of  $\partial N(L)$  is  $\infty$ . Now, identify slopes  $s \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  with their respective “angles”,  $[\theta_s] \in \mathbb{R}/\pi\mathbb{Z}$ . In order to distinguish the different amounts of “wrapping around”, we will choose a lift  $\theta_s \in \mathbb{R}$  instead. There exists an exhaustion of  $N(L)$  by concentric  $T^2$ , where the angles of the dividing curves on the tori monotonically increase over the interval  $[\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$  as the  $T^2$  move towards the core.

Now, let  $(M, \xi')$  be the overtwisted 3-manifold obtained by performing a full Lutz twist along  $L$ . This replaces  $N(L)$  by the solid torus  $N$ , where the angles of the dividing curves of an exhaustion by tori monotonically increase over the interval  $[\frac{\pi}{2}, 3\pi)$ . We claim that a full Lutz twist  $(M, \xi) \xrightarrow{L} (M, \xi')$  is the inverse process of a sequence of Legendrian surgeries along the same core. To see this, take a Legendrian curve  $K$  in  $(M, \xi')$  in the same isotopy class as  $L$ , whose standard neighborhood  $N(K) \subset N$  has an exhausting set of tori which spans the interval  $[3\pi - \frac{3\pi}{4}, 3\pi)$ . Note this implies that  $tb(K) = 1$  (when measured with respect to the trivialization of  $N$  we are using). Thus Legendrian

surgery on  $K$  corresponds to 0-Dehn surgery. Moreover after Legendrian surgery, the new  $N$  “rotates” in the interval  $[\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2})$ . Repeated application (total of 4 times) of Legendrian surgery will get us back to  $(M, \xi)$ . Note, however, that the intermediate manifolds are not necessarily diffeomorphic to  $M$ . We leave it to the reader to check that the four surgeries correspond to Dehn surgery on the link  $K_0 \cup K_1 \cup K_2 \cup K_3$ , where  $K_0$  is  $K$ , each  $K_i$  is a meridian to  $K_{i-1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , (and not linked with  $K_j$  if  $|j - i| > 1$ ) and the surgery coefficients are all 0.

Combining Propositions 4.1 and 4.3, we immediately get Theorem 1.2.

## 5. Concave fillings

In this section we prove Theorem 1.3. Before we set out on the proof, we give a straightforward proof of this theorem for overtwisted contact structures.

**Lemma 5.1** *Theorem 1.3 is true for any overtwisted contact structure.*

*Proof.* Given any overtwisted contact structure  $(M, \xi)$ , we know by Theorem 1.2 that there is a Stein cobordism  $(X, \omega)$  from  $(M, \xi)$  to  $(S^3, \xi_{std})$ . Let  $(Y, \omega')$  be any closed symplectic 4-manifold. Use Darboux’s theorem to excise a small standard ball around a point in  $Y$  and obtain a manifold  $Y'$  with concave boundary  $(S^3, \xi_{std})$ . We then obtain a concave filling of  $(M, \xi)$  by gluing  $(X, \omega)$  to  $(Y', \omega'|_{Y'})$ . It is clear that there are infinitely many choices for  $(Y, \omega')$  that will yield infinitely many different concave fillings for  $(M, \xi)$ .

**Lemma 5.2** *Theorem 1.3 is true for any Stein fillable contact structure.*

*Proof.* Let  $(M, \xi)$  be Stein filled by  $(X, \omega)$ . According to Corollary 3.3 in [22], there is a symplectic embedding of  $(X, \omega)$  into a compact Kähler minimal surface  $S$  of general type. If we take  $Y = \overline{S \setminus X}$ , then  $(Y, \omega|_Y)$  will be a concave symplectic filling of  $(M, \xi)$ .

A slight modification of the above argument will produce infinitely many concave fillings. Specifically, in a small standard 3-ball  $(B^3, \xi_{std}) \subset (M, \xi)$ , there exist a right-handed Legendrian trefoil knot with  $tb = 1$  and a linking Legendrian unknot with  $tb < 0$ . If we add 2-handles to  $X$  along these Legendrian knots, we obtain a new Stein manifold  $(X', \omega')$ . Embed  $X'$  in a compact Kähler surface  $S$  and remove  $X$  to obtain a concave symplectic filling  $(Y', \omega')$  of  $(M, \xi)$ . In the layer  $X' \setminus X$  in  $Y'$  there exists a symplectically embedded torus  $T$ . To see this note that the manifold  $N$  obtained from  $B^3$  by attaching a 2-handle along a right-handed trefoil knot with framing 0 is a “cusp neighborhood”, see [17], and thus it supports a symplectic structure containing many symplectic tori. Now our manifold  $X'$  is symplectomorphic to  $X \cup N$  with a 2-handle attached to an unknot in  $N$  and a 1-handle attached to connect  $N$  and  $X$  (this can be done in a symplectic fashion [9]). Let  $E(n)$  be the elliptic surface obtained by taking the normal sum

[18] of  $n \geq 1$  copies of the rational elliptic surface along regular fibers. Then consider the symplectic manifold  $Y_n = E(n) \#_T Y'$ , obtained by taking the normal sum of  $Y'$  along  $T$  and  $E(n)$  along a regular fiber. These concave fillings of  $(M, \xi)$  are not related by blowing up and down, since if they were, then the compact manifolds  $S_n$ , obtained from  $S$  by normal summing with  $E_n$ , would also be so related. However, this is not the case, as  $b_2^+(S_n) = b_2^+(S) + 2n$  and  $b_2^+$  is unchanged by blowing up and down.

Theorem 1.3 now follows from Lemma 5.2 and Theorem 1.1.

*Acknowledgements.* This work owes its beginnings to some questions raised by Charlie Epstein. The first author gratefully acknowledges the support of an NSF Post-Doctoral Fellowship (DMS-9705949), Stanford University and the American Institute of Mathematics. The second author thanks the NSF (DMS-0072853), the American Institute of Mathematics, and IHES.

## References

1. Adachi, J., Liouville setup and contact cobordism. *Hokkaido Math. J.* **25** (1996), 637–650
2. B. Aebischer, et al., *Symplectic Geometry*. Progress in Math. **124**, Birkhäuser, Basel, Boston and Berlin, 1994
3. S. Akbulut and B. Ozbagci, Lefschetz fibrations on compact Stein surfaces. *Geom. Topol.* **5** (2001), 319–334
4. V. Colin, Chirurgies d'indice un et isotopies de sphères dans les variétés de contact tendues. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **324** (1997), 659–663
5. B. de Oliveira, Complex cobordism and embeddability of CR-manifolds. preprint
6. F. Ding and H. Geiges, Symplectic fillability of tight contact structures on torus bundles. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **1** (2001), 153–172
7. Y. Eliashberg, Complexification of contact structures on 3-dimensional manifolds. *Russian Math. Surveys* **40** (1985), 123–124
8. Y. Eliashberg, Classification of overtwisted contact structures on 3-manifolds. *Invent. Math.* **98** (1989), 623–637
9. Y. Eliashberg, Topological characterization of Stein manifolds of dimension  $> 2$ . *Internat. J. of Math.* **1** (1990), 29–46
10. Y. Eliashberg, Contact 3-manifolds twenty years since J. Martinet's work. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **42** (1992), 165–192
11. Y. Eliashberg, Unique holomorphically fillable contact structure on the 3-torus. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **2** (1996), 77–82
12. Y. Eliashberg, A. Givental and H. Hofer, Introduction to symplectic field theory. *GAF A 2000* (Tel Aviv, 1999) *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 2000, Special Volume, Part II, 560–673
13. C. Epstein and G. Henkin, Can a good manifold come to a bad end? preprint 2000
14. J. Etnyre and K. Honda, Tight contact structures with no symplectic fillings. preprint 2000. [ArXiv:math.GT/0010044](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.GT/0010044)
15. E. Giroux, Convexité en topologie de contact. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **66** (1991), 637–677
16. E. Giroux, in preparation
17. R. Gompf, Nuclei of elliptic surfaces, *Topology* **30** (1991), 479–511
18. R. Gompf, A new construction of symplectic manifolds, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **142** (1995), 527–595



19. R. Gompf, Handlebody construction of Stein surfaces, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **148** (1998), 619–693
20. K. Honda, On the classification of tight contact structures I, *Geom. Topol.* **4** (2000), 309–368
21. K. Honda, Gluing tight contact structures, to appear in *Duke Math. J.*
22. P. Lisca and G. Matić, Tight contact structures and Seiberg-Witten invariants, *Invent. Math.* **129** (1997), 509–525
23. A. Loi and R. Piergallini, Compact Stein surfaces with boundary as branched covers of  $B^4$ , *Invent. Math.* **143** (2001), 325–348
24. R. Matveyev, talk at Stanford, December 2000
25. A. Mori, A note on Thurston-Winkelkemper’s construction of contact forms on 3-manifolds, preprint 2000
26. W. P. Thurston and H. Winkelkemper, On the existence of contact forms, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **52** (1975), 345–347