

On a nonlinear Schrödinger equation with periodic potential

Thomas Bartsch¹, Yanheng Ding²

¹ Justus-Liebig-Universität Gießen, Mathematisches Institut, Arndtstraße 2,
D-35392 Gießen, Germany

² Chinese Academy of Sciences, Institute of Mathematics, Zhongguancun, Beijing 100080,
PR China

Received: 4 December 1997 / in revised form: 7 August 1998

Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 35Q55, 58E05

1. Introduction and statement of results

We consider the nonlinear stationary Schrödinger equation

$$(NS) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u = g(x, u) & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N; \\ u(x) \rightarrow 0 & \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$$

This equation appears in several applications from mathematical physics. For instance, standing waves or traveling waves of nonlinear time dependent equations of Schrödinger or Klein-Gordon type correspond to solutions of (NS). Solutions of (NS) can also be interpreted as stationary states of the corresponding reaction-diffusion equation $u_t = \Delta u - V(x)u + g(x, u)$ which models phenomena from chemical dynamics.

Depending on the potential V , the spectrum of the Schrödinger operator $S := -\Delta + V$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ can be quite complicated. In this paper we deal with the case where

$$(V_1) \quad V \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}) \quad \text{is 1-periodic in } x_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

In this case the spectrum $\sigma(S)$ is purely absolutely continuous and bounded below; cf. [16], section XIII.16, in particular Theorem XIII.100. In recent years this case has found considerable interest. In [7] Coti-Zelati and Rabinowitz proved the existence of infinitely many solutions of (NS) for $0 < \min \sigma(S)$, provided g satisfies various growth conditions, of course.

If 0 lies in a gap of $\sigma(S)$ and if the primitive of g is strictly convex Alama and Li [2], [3], Buffoni et al. [5] and Jeanjean [11] found solutions using variational methods. Without the convexity condition the problem becomes more complicated because one has to deal with a strongly indefinite functional whose gradient is not of the form Fredholm + compact. With the help of a special degree theory Troestler and Willem [19] found at least one solution of (NS). Their result has been improved by Kryszewski and Szulkin [12] who found one solution under weaker conditions on g , and infinitely many if g is odd in u . Also interesting is the work of Heinz, Küpper and Stuart who considered a parameter dependent situation with $V(x)$ replaced by $V(x) - \lambda$. For $\lambda \notin \sigma(S)$ they found solutions u_λ converging towards the trivial solution 0 as λ approaches a boundary point of $\sigma(S)$; cf. [10] and the references therein.

The goal of this paper is to prove the existence of nontrivial solutions of (NS) when 0 is a boundary point of the continuous spectrum of $S = -\Delta + V$. This seems to be the first result dealing with the case $0 \in \sigma_{cont}(S)$. Let us state this assumption precisely.

(V₂) $0 \in \sigma(S)$ and there exists $\beta > 0$ such that $(0, \beta] \cap \sigma(S) = \emptyset$.

This implies in particular that V cannot be constant because for $V \equiv \text{const}$ one has $\sigma(-\Delta + V) = [V, \infty)$. The nonlinearity should satisfy the conditions:

(g₁) $g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ is 1-periodic in x_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$.

(g₂) There are constants $a_1 > 0$ and $2 < \gamma \leq \mu < 2^*$ such that

$$a_1 |u|^\mu \leq \gamma G(x, u) \leq g(x, u)u \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(g₃) There are constants $a_2 > 0$ and $2 < p \leq q < 2^*$ such that

$$|g(x, u)| \leq a_2 (|u|^{p-1} + |u|^{q-1}) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Here $2^* = 2N/(N-2)$ if $N \geq 3$, and $2^* = \infty$ if $N = 1, 2$. Our first result is

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose (V₁), (V₂) and (g₁), (g₂), (g₃) hold. Then (NS) has a nontrivial (weak) solution $u \in H_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, u lies in $L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $\mu \leq t \leq 2^*$.*

In contrast to the papers mentioned above we do not know whether or not u lies in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. It is an interesting problem whether (NS) has infinitely many geometrically distinct solutions, that is, solutions which do not just differ by a translation. So far this is only known for $0 < \min \sigma(S)$; cf. [7]. We shall show the existence of infinitely many solutions under additional conditions:

(g₄) There are constants $a_3, \varepsilon > 0$ such that for all x, u, v

$$|g(x, u+v) - g(x, u)| \leq a_3(|u|^{p-2} + |v|^{p-2} + |u|^{q-1})|v| \\ \text{if } |v| \leq \varepsilon.$$

(g₅) g is odd in u : $g(x, -u) = -g(x, u)$ for all x, u .

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose (V_1) , (V_2) and $(g_1) - (g_5)$ hold with $p = \mu$. Then problem (NS) has infinitely many geometrically distinct solutions which lie in $H_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\mu \leq t \leq 2^*$.*

The proofs of the theorems are based on variational methods applied to the functional

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u^2) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x, u) dx$$

where $G(x, u) := \int_0^u g(x, t) dt$ is the primitive of g . It is well known that $\Phi: H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of class C^1 and that critical points of Φ are solutions of (NS). In fact, in the papers mentioned above the authors find critical points of Φ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. This does not seem to work in our case where $0 \in \sigma(S)$. By assumption (V_2) we have a splitting $X = H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) = X^- \oplus X^+$ corresponding to the decomposition of $\sigma(S)$ into $\sigma(S) \cap (-\infty, 0]$ and $\sigma(S) \cap [\beta, \infty)$. We can define a new norm $\|\cdot\|_E$ on X^\pm by setting

$$\|u^\pm\|_E^2 := \pm \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u^\pm|^2 + V(x)|u^\pm|^2) dx \quad \text{for } u^\pm \in X^\pm.$$

Now Φ can be written as

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{2} (\|u^+\|_E^2 - \|u^-\|_E^2) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x, u) dx$$

where $u = u^- + u^+ \in X^- \oplus X^+$.

However, $\|\cdot\|_E$ is not equivalent to the H^1 -norm since $0 \in \sigma(S)$. Thus it is reasonable to work with the completion E of $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_E$. Unfortunately, $\Psi(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x, u) dx$ is not defined on E . The main idea is to use the geometry of Φ on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ in order to construct some kind of Palais-Smale sequence and to show that after translations a subsequence converges in a certain sense to a weak solution u of (NS). More precisely, let E_μ be the completion of $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_\mu = (\|\cdot\|_E^2 + |\cdot|_\mu^2)^{1/2}$, so $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset E_\mu \subset E$. Then $u \in E_\mu$ is the limit of a (PS)*-sequence of Φ with respect to the weak topology on E_μ . The proof of Theorem 1.1 concludes with showing that $u \neq 0$ and $u(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. A major step in this argument is to show that E_μ embeds continuously into $L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $\mu \leq t \leq 2^*$ and that E_μ^- embeds continuously into $H_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Here $E_\mu = E_\mu^- \oplus E_\mu^+$ again corresponds to the above splitting of $\sigma(S)$. It is worthwhile to mention that under the conditions of Theorem 1.1 the functional Ψ is not defined on E_μ .

The more rigorous growth conditions required in Theorem 1.2 imply that Ψ and Φ are defined on E_μ . The existence of infinitely many critical points of $\Phi \in C^1(E_\mu)$ follows from an indirect argument. We first prove an abstract critical point theorem which yields the existence of an unbounded sequence of critical values of Φ provided Φ satisfies certain mountain pass type assumptions. In order to prove an intersection property (a linking) we do not need to introduce a new degree theory as in [19] and [12]. Instead we find a reduction to a finite-dimensional situation where the classical Brouwer degree applies. In our opinion this approach is simpler and more direct than those in [19], [12]. The Palais-Smale condition is replaced essentially by requiring that there exists a discrete subset B of E_μ^+ such that an arbitrary ε -neighborhood of $E_\mu^- \times B$ contains all but finitely many elements of an arbitrary Palais-Smale sequence. We then show that this holds for our Φ provided Φ has only finitely many critical points (up to translations). A similar indirect argument can be found in the papers [6] by Coti-Zelati, Ekeland, Séré and [17], [18] by Séré who were interested in homoclinic orbits of time periodic Hamiltonian systems. The Palais-Smale condition used in these papers is slightly weaker than the version we use.

At the end of this introduction we state two results dealing with the case where 0 is a left end point of $\sigma(S)$, i.e. we replace (V_2) by

(V_3) $0 \in \sigma(S)$ and there exists $\beta > 0$ such that $[-\beta, 0) \cap \sigma(S) = \emptyset$.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose (V_1) , (V_3) hold and $-g$ satisfies $(g_1) - (g_3)$. Then (NS) has a nontrivial solution in $H_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\mu \leq t \leq 2^*$.*

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose (V_1) , (V_3) hold and $-g$ satisfies $(g_1) - (g_5)$ with $p = \mu$. Then (NS) has infinitely many geometrically distinct solutions in $H_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\mu \leq t \leq 2^*$.*

Except for the superlinearity condition (g_2) all other conditions are the same for g or $-g$. Thus if 0 is a left endpoint of $\sigma(S)$ we need that g decays superlinearly. The proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 are analogous to those of 1.1 and 1.2 working with $-\Phi$ instead of Φ .

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we discuss the space E_μ and prove the essential embedding $E_\mu^- \subset H_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\mu \leq t \leq 2^*$. We also prove that a weak solution $u \in E_\mu$ of (NS) satisfies $u(x) \rightarrow 0$, $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. In Sect. 3 we prove Theorem 1.1. The abstract critical point theorem for even functionals is the content of Sect. 4. Finally, in Sect. 5 we deduce Theorem 1.2 from the abstract critical point theorem.

We thank E. Séré for making us aware (after the paper was accepted for publication) of his work [6], [17], [18]. We also thank an unknown referee

for the suggestion to simplify the proof of 2.3 and the reference to the book [1].

2. Preliminaries

Let $-\alpha$ be a lower bound for $\sigma(-\Delta + V)$ so that

$$0 \in \sigma(-\Delta + V) \subset (-\alpha, 0] \cup (\beta, \infty).$$

Set $H = L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and let $(P_\lambda: H \rightarrow H)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ denote the spectral family of $S = -\Delta + V$. Setting $H^- := P_0 H$ and $H^+ := (Id - P_0)H$ we have the decomposition $H = H^- \oplus H^+$. The domain of S and $|S|$ is $\mathcal{D}(S) = \mathcal{D}(|S|) = H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and

$$|S|u = \begin{cases} Su & \text{for } u \in \mathcal{D}(S) \cap H^+; \\ -Su & \text{for } u \in \mathcal{D}(S) \cap H^-. \end{cases}$$

Observe that $H^- \subset \mathcal{D}(S)$ because the spectrum of S is bounded below. The domain of $|S|^{1/2}$ is the Hilbert space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with the usual scalar product and associated norm $(|\nabla u|_2^2 + |u|_2^2)^{1/2}$. Here and in the sequel we write $|\cdot|_p$ for the L^p -norm. Let E be the completion of $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_E := \left\| |S|^{1/2}u \right\|_2 = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\nu| d\langle P_\nu u, u \rangle \right)^{1/2}.$$

Clearly E is a Hilbert space with inner product $\langle u, v \rangle_E = \langle |S|^{1/2}u, |S|^{1/2}v \rangle$. We have the orthogonal decomposition $E = E^- \oplus E^+$ corresponding to the decomposition of $\sigma(S)$. We shall write $u = u^- + u^+$ with $u^\pm \in E^\pm$ for $u \in E$. Since the spectrum of S restricted to H^+ is contained in (β, ∞) it is bounded away from 0, hence the norm $\|\cdot\|_E$ is equivalent to the H^1 -norm on E^+ :

$$(2.1) \quad \|\cdot\|_E \sim \|\cdot\|_{H^1} \quad \text{on } E^+$$

so $E^+ = H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap H^+$. However, on the subspace $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap H^-$ the norm $\|\cdot\|_E$ is weaker than $\|\cdot\|_{H^1}$ and $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap H^- = H^-$ is not complete with respect to $\|\cdot\|_E$. Indeed, since $0 \in \sigma(S)$ is a continuous spectrum point there is a sequence (u_k) in $\mathcal{D}(S)$ such that $|u_k|_2 = 1$ and $Su_k \rightarrow 0$, hence $\|u_k\|_E \rightarrow 0$. Since $H^- \subset \mathcal{D}(S)$ we have for $u \in H^-$

$$0 \leq \|u\|_E^2 = -\langle Su, u \rangle = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u^2) dx.$$

Therefore $|\nabla u|_2 \leq c|u|_2$ for $u \in H^-$ and by the Sobolev and Hölder inequalities

$$|u|_t \leq c_1 |\nabla u|_2^{1-\gamma} |u|_2^\gamma \leq c_2 |u|_2 \quad \text{for } u \in H^-$$

where $2 \leq t \leq 2^*$, $\gamma = \frac{2}{t} \cdot \frac{2^*-t}{2^*-2}$, with c, c_1, c_2 positive constants.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we set

$$E_n^- := P_{-1/n}H = P_{-1/n}H^- \subset H^- \subset E^-$$

and

$$E_n := E_n^- \oplus E^+ \subset E.$$

Since the spectrum of S restricted to E_n is bounded away from 0 we have

$$(2.2) \quad \|\cdot\|_E \sim \|\cdot\|_{H^1} \quad \text{on } E_n.$$

Let

$$Q_n := P_{-1/n} + (Id - P_0) : E \rightarrow E_n$$

denote the orthogonal projection. Then we have for any $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$:

(2.3)

$$Q_n u \rightarrow u \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ with respect to } \|\cdot\|_E \text{ and } |\cdot|_t, \quad 2 \leq t < 2^*.$$

Next we recall the \mathbb{Z}^N -action on H given by the formula

$$(a * u)(x) := u(a + x) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathbb{Z}^N, u \in H, x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Clearly the norms $\|\cdot\|_{H^1}$ and $|\cdot|_t$, $2 \leq t \leq 2^*$, are invariant with respect to this action. Moreover, S commutes with this action by (V_1) and so does P_λ for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence $\|\cdot\|_E$ is invariant, the Q_n are equivariant and the subspaces E_n and E^\pm are closed under this action.

We need to introduce yet another norm on E defined by

$$\|u\|_\mu := (\|u\|_E^2 + |u|_\mu^2)^{1/2}.$$

Let E_μ^- be the completion of H^- with respect to $\|\cdot\|_\mu$ and set $E_\mu := E_\mu^- \oplus E^+$. Then E_μ is the completion of $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_\mu$ due to (2.1). Clearly $(E_\mu, \|\cdot\|_\mu)$ is a Banach space, $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset E_\mu \subset E$ and all norms $\|\cdot\|_E$, $\|\cdot\|_{H^1}$, $\|\cdot\|_\mu$ are equivalent on E^+ . It is not difficult to check that $\|\cdot\|_\mu$ is uniformly convex so E_μ is reflexive, hence bounded sets in E_μ are weakly compact.

Lemma 2.1. *E_μ^- embeds continuously into $H_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ hence compactly into $L_{\text{loc}}^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $2 \leq t < 2^*$. Moreover, it embeds continuously into $L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $\mu \leq t \leq 2^*$. Finally $Su \in L^2$ for $u \in E_\mu^-$.*

Proof. For $u \in E_\mu^-$ let $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in H^- with $\|u_n - u\|_\mu \rightarrow 0$, $n \rightarrow \infty$. We first show that $u \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Given a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ we take a function $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\eta \equiv 1$ in Ω . Since for $v \in H^- \subset H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$-\Delta(\eta v)\eta v = \eta^2 \cdot (-\Delta v) \cdot v + v^2 \cdot (-\Delta \eta)\eta - 2\eta v \nabla v \cdot \nabla \eta$$

we get

$$|\nabla(\eta v)|_2^2 \leq \langle Sv, \eta^2 v \rangle + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla(\eta v)|_2^2 + c|v|_\mu^2$$

where c is here and below a generic constant depending on Ω . This implies

$$\frac{1}{2} |\nabla(\eta v)|_2^2 \leq c (\|v\|_\mu + |v|_\mu + |v|_\mu^2)$$

and it follows that $(u_n)_n$ is a Cauchy sequence in $H^1(\Omega)$, so $u \in H^1(\Omega)$.

Next we show that $Su \in L^2$. Since $\inf \sigma(S) > -\alpha > -\infty$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |S(u_n - u_m)|_2^2 &= \int_{-\alpha}^0 \lambda^2 d|P_\lambda(u_n - u_m)|_2^2 \\ &\leq -\alpha \int_{-\alpha}^0 \lambda d|P_\lambda(u_n - u_m)|_2^2 \\ &= \alpha \left| |S|^{1/2}(u_n - u_m) \right|_2^2 \\ &= \alpha \|u_n - u_m\|_E^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(Su_n)_n$ is a Cauchy sequence in L^2 and it follows that $Su_n \rightarrow Su$ in L^2 .

In order to see $u \in H_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we use the Calderon-Zygmund inequality (cf. [9], Theorem 9.11). For $r > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - u_m\|_{H^2(B(y,r))} \\ \leq c_{r,\varepsilon} \left(|u_n - u_m|_{L^2(B(y,r+\varepsilon))} + |S(u_n - u_m)|_{L^2(B(y,r+\varepsilon))} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This implies $u \in H_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Finally we show $u \in L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $\mu \leq t \leq 2^*$. This is clear for $t = \mu$. For $r > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u|_{L^{2^*}(B(y,r))} &\leq c \|u\|_{H^1(B(y,r))} \\ &\leq c_{r,\varepsilon} \left(|Su|_{L^2(B(y,r+\varepsilon))} + |u|_{L^\mu(B(y,r+\varepsilon))} \right) \end{aligned}$$

hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B(y,r)} |u|^{2^*} dx \\ & \leq c_{r,\varepsilon} \left(|Su|_2^{2^*-2} \int_{B(y,r+\varepsilon)} |Su|^2 dx + |u|_\mu^{2^*-\mu} \int_{B(y,r+\varepsilon)} |u|^\mu dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

We fix $r > 0$ and cover \mathbb{R}^N by balls $B(y, r)$, $y \in Y \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, such that for $\varepsilon > 0$ small, at most $N + 1$ balls $B(y, r + \varepsilon)$, $y \in Y$, intersect nontrivially. It follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} dx \leq c \left(|Su|_2^{2^*} + |u|_\mu^{2^*} \right)$$

so $u \in L^{2^*}$. By interpolation we get $u \in L^t$ for any $t \in [\mu, 2^*]$. \square

Corollary 2.2. *Any bounded sequence (u_k) in E_μ has a subsequence which converges weakly in E_μ and strongly in $L_{\text{loc}}^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $2 \leq t < 2^*$.*

In the proofs of the results from §1 we obtain weak solutions $u \in E_\mu$ of

$$(2.4) \quad -\Delta u + V(x)u = g(x, u) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

By Lemma 2.1 we have $u \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, from our assumptions on V and g it follows that $a(x) \equiv -V(x) + g(x, u)/u \in L_{\text{loc}}^{N/2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. This implies $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $t < \infty$. In addition, using L^p -theory and the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality one can further show that $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$; see e.g. [15], Proposition 2.15. If g is of class \mathcal{C}^1 then a classical bootstrap argument and Schauder estimates instead of L^p -theory show that weak solutions of (2.4) are in fact classical solutions. Now we shall show that a weak solution $u \in E_\mu$ of (2.4) satisfies also $u(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

Corollary 2.3. *If $u \in E_\mu$ solves (2.4) then $u(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. By the above arguments and (5.5) of [1] which we may clearly apply for any ball $B(y, r)$ we have

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in B(y,1)} |u(x)| \leq K_1 \cdot \|u\|_{L^2(B(y,2))}.$$

Hence, the Hölder inequality yields

$$(2.5) \quad \|u\|_{L^\infty(B(y,1))} \leq K_2 \cdot \|u\|_{L^\mu(B(y,2))}$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where K_1 and K_2 are constants independent of $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Now we fix $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrarily. Since $u \in L^\mu(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we have $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| \geq R} |u|^\mu dx = 0$. We may take $R > 0$ so large that $\|u\|_{L^\mu(\{|x| \geq R\})} < \varepsilon$. Then for $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with $|y| \geq R + 2$ we have by (2.5)

$$(2.6) \quad \|u\|_{L^\infty(B(y,1))} \leq K_2 \cdot \varepsilon$$

Since ε is arbitrary (2.6) shows that $u(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. \square

3. One nontrivial solution

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. Thus we assume that (V_1) , (V_2) , (g_1) , (g_2) and (g_3) are satisfied. Let $\Psi: H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $\Psi(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x, u) dx$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u^2) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x, u) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\|u^+\|_E^2 - \|u^-\|_E^2) - \Psi(u) \end{aligned}$$

where $u = u^- + u^+$ according to the splitting $E = E^- \oplus E^+$. Observe that (g_2) and (g_3) imply $p \leq \mu \leq q$. If $p < \mu$ then $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ does not embed into $L^\mu(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Therefore, Ψ is not defined on E_μ except when $p = \mu$. Therefore we shall use an approximation argument.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $\Phi_n := \Phi|_{E_n}$, $\Psi_n := \Psi|_{E_n}$ where $E_n = E_n^- \oplus E_n^+$, $E_n^- = P_{-1/n}H$, is as in Sect. 2. Clearly $\Phi_n, \Psi_n \in C^1(E_n, \mathbb{R})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} D\Psi_n(u)v &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x, u)v dx \\ D\Phi_n(u)v &= \langle Lu, v \rangle_E - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x, u)v dx \end{aligned}$$

where $Lu = u^+ - u^-$.

Definition 3.1. A sequence $(u_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be a $(PS)_c^*$ -sequence for Φ with respect to $(E_n, \|\cdot\|_E)$, some $c \in \mathbb{R}$, if

- $u_j \in E_{n_j}$ with $n_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$;
- $\Phi(u_j) \rightarrow c$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$;
- $\|D\Phi_{n_j}(u_j)\|_E \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 3.2. If (u_j) is a $(PS)_c^*$ -sequence for Φ then $\|u_j\|_E$ and $\|u_j\|_\mu$ are bounded or equivalently, $\|u_j\|_\mu$ is bounded. Moreover $c \geq 0$ and $c = 0$ if and only if $\|u_j\|_\mu \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. As a consequence of (g_2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi(u_j) - \frac{1}{2}D\Phi(u_j)u_j &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{2}g(x, u_j)u_j - G(x, u_j) \right) dx \\
 (3.1) \quad &\geq \frac{\gamma - 2}{2\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x, u_j)u_j dx \\
 &\geq \frac{a_1(\gamma - 2)}{2\gamma} |u_j|_\mu^\mu
 \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\varepsilon_j := \|D\Phi_{n_j}(u_j)\|_E$ this implies

$$(3.2) \quad |u_j|_\mu^\mu \leq d(1 + \varepsilon_j \|u_j\|_E)$$

where d denotes a generic constant independent of j . Let $\theta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ be such that $0 \leq \theta(t) \leq 1$ and $\theta(t) = 0$ if $|t| \leq 1$, $\theta(t) = 1$ if $|t| \geq 2$. We set $g_1(x, t) := \theta(t)g(x, t)$ and $g_2(x, t) = g(x, t) - g_1(x, t) = (1 - \theta(t))g(x, t)$. Then by (g_2) and (g_3) we obtain with $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$, $q' = \frac{q}{q-1}$

$$(3.3) \quad d \cdot |g_1(x, t)|^{q'} \leq g_1(x, t)t \quad \text{and} \quad d \cdot |g_2(x, t)|^{p'} \leq g_2(x, t)t.$$

Using the first inequality in (3.1) we see

$$d \cdot (\Phi(u_j) + \varepsilon_j \|u_j\|_E) \geq |g_1(\cdot, u_j)|_{q'}^{q'} + |g_2(\cdot, u_j)|_{p'}^{p'}.$$

Moreover, the Hölder inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.4) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x, u_j)u_j^+ dx \right| &\leq d \left(|g_1(\cdot, u_j)|_{q'} |u_j^+|_q + |g_2(\cdot, u_j)|_{p'} |u_j^+|_p \right) \\
 &\leq d (\Phi(u_j) + \varepsilon_j \|u_j\|_E)^{1/p'} |u_j^+|_p \\
 &\quad + d (\Phi(u_j) + \varepsilon_j \|u_j\|_E)^{1/q'} |u_j^+|_q.
 \end{aligned}$$

By the form of Φ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.5) \quad \|u_j^+\|_E^2 &= D\Phi(u_j)u_j^+ + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x, u_j)u_j^+ dx \\
 &\leq d \left(1 + \|u_j\|_E^{1/q'} + \|u_j\|_E^{1/p'} \right) \cdot \|u_j^+\|_E.
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad \|u_j^-\|_E^2 \leq 2\Phi(u_j) + \|u_j^+\|_E^2.$$

Since $1/p' < 1$ and $1/q' < 1$ it follows that $\|u_j\|_E$ is bounded, hence, applying (3.2) once more $|u_j|_\mu$ is bounded.

Next, letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.1) yields $c \geq 0$. Clearly $c = 0$ if $\|u_j\|_\mu \rightarrow 0$. Now suppose $c = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_j\|_E^2 &= \|u_j^+ + u_j^-\|_E^2 \\ &\leq -2\Phi(u_j) + 2\|u_j^+\|_E^2 \\ &= -2\Phi(u_j) + 2D\Phi(u_j)u_j^+ + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x, u_j)u_j^+ dx \end{aligned}$$

From $\Phi(u_j) \rightarrow c = 0$ and $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$ we now deduce $\|u_j\|_E \rightarrow 0$. Since $\|u_j\|_\mu \rightarrow 0$ follows from (3.1) we have $\|u_j\|_\mu \rightarrow 0$ as claimed. \square

Next we recall a lemma due to P.L. Lions.

Lemma 3.3. *Fix $r > 0$ and $s \in [2, 2^*)$. If (u_n) is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and if*

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B(y,r)} |u_n|^s dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

then $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $t \in (2, 2^*)$.

A proof of this lemma can be found in [13].

Lemma 3.4. *Each $(\text{PS})_c^*$ -sequence with $c > 0$ gives rise to a nontrivial solution of (NS) which lies in E_μ .*

Proof. Let (u_j) be a $(\text{PS})_c^*$ -sequence. By Lemma 3.2 the sequence is bounded with respect to $\|\cdot\|_\mu$, hence, $\|u_j^+\|_{H^1}$ is bounded because of (2.1). We claim that for $r > 0$ arbitrary there exists a sequence (y_j) in \mathbb{R}^N and $\eta > 0$ such that

$$(3.7) \quad \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(y_j,r)} |u_j^+|^2 dx \geq \eta.$$

Indeed, if not then $u_j^+ \rightarrow 0$ in $L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by Lemma 3.3, for any $t \in (2, 2^*)$. Moreover, from (3.4) and the Hölder inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u_j) - \frac{1}{2}D\Phi(u_j)u_j^+ &= -\frac{1}{2}\|u_j^-\|_E^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x, u_j)u_j^+ dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x, u_j) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x, u_j)u_j^+ dx \\ &\leq d \left(|u_j^+|_p + |u_j^+|_q \right) \end{aligned}$$

This yields $c = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(u_j) \leq 0$, a contradiction, thus proving (3.7).

Now we choose $a_j \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ such that $|a_j - y_j| = \min \{|a - y_j| : a \in \mathbb{Z}^N\}$ and set $v_j := a_j * u_j = u_j(\cdot + a_j)$. Using (3.7) and the invariance of E_{n_j}, E^\pm under the action of \mathbb{Z}^N we see that $v_j \in E_{n_j}, v_j^+ \in E^+$ and

$$(3.8) \quad \|v_j^+\|_{L^2(B(0, r + \sqrt{N}/2))} \geq \frac{\eta}{2}.$$

Moreover, $\|v_j\|_E = \|u_j\|_E$ and $|v_j|_\mu = |u_j|_\mu$, hence $\|v_j\|_\mu$ is bounded. Corollary 2.2 yields the existence of a subsequence (which we continue to denote by (v_j)) such that $v_j \rightarrow u$ weakly in E_μ and $v_j \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^t_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, any $t \in [2, 2^*)$. Clearly (3.8) implies $\|u^+\|_{L^2(B(0, r + \sqrt{N}/2))} \geq \frac{\eta}{2}$, so $u \neq 0$.

Let $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be any test function. As in the proof of Lemma 3.2 we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x, v_j)(Id - Q_{n_j})v \, dx \right| \\ & \leq |g_1(\cdot, v_j)|_{q'} |(id - Q_{n_j})v|_q + |g_2(\cdot, v_j)|_{p'} |(Id - Q_{n_j})v|_p \\ & \leq d(|(Id - Q_{n_j})v|_q + |(Id - Q_{n_j})v|_p) \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side converges to 0 as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Lv_j, v \rangle_E &= \langle Lv_j, Q_{n_j}v \rangle_E \\ &= D\Phi(v_j)Q_{n_j}v + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x, v_j)v \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x, v_j)(Id - Q_{n_j})v \, dx \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, letting $j \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + V(x)uv) \, dx = \langle Lu, v \rangle_E = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x, u)v \, dx.$$

This shows that $u \in E_\mu$ solves $-\Delta u + V(x)u = g(x, u)$ in the weak sense. The results of Sect. 2 then show that u lies in $H^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\mu \leq t \leq 2^*$, and u satisfies $u(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. \square

In order to conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1 it suffices to find a $(\text{PS})_c^*$ -sequence for some $c > 0$. This will be done with the help of a linking theorem due to Kryszewski and Szulkin [12], generalizing a theorem of Benci and Rabinowitz [4].

Theorem 3.5. *Let X be a real Hilbert space and suppose $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the hypotheses:*

- (i) *There exists a bounded selfadjoint linear operator $L : X \rightarrow X$ and a functional $\Psi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ which is bounded below, weakly sequentially lower semicontinuous with $\nabla\Psi : X \rightarrow X$ weakly sequentially continuous and such that*

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{2} \langle Lu, u \rangle - \Psi(u).$$

- (i) *There exists a closed separable L -invariant subspace Y of X and a positive constant α such that*

$$\langle Lu, u \rangle \leq -\alpha \|u\|^2 \quad \text{for } u \in Y$$

and

$$\langle Lu, u \rangle \geq \alpha \|u\|^2 \quad \text{for } u \in Z := Y^\perp.$$

- (iii) *There are constants $\kappa, \rho > 0$ such that $\Phi(u) \geq \kappa$ for all $u \in Z$ with $\|u\| = \rho$.*
 (iv) *There exists $z_0 \in Z$, $\|z_0\| = 1$, and $R > \rho$ such that $\Phi(u) \leq 0$ for $u \in \partial M$ where $M = \{u = y + \zeta z_0 : y \in Y, \|u\| < R, \zeta > 0\}$.*

Then there exists a sequence (u_k) such that $\nabla\Phi(u_k) \rightarrow 0$ and $\Phi(u_k) \rightarrow c$ for some $c \in [\kappa, \sup \Phi(\overline{M})]$.

A proof of Theorem 3.5 can be found in [12], Theorem 3.4. Since $\nabla\Psi$ is not compact Kryszewski and Szulkin construct a degree theory which applies to special pseudo-gradient vector fields for Φ . A somewhat simpler proof using only the Brouwer degree is possible with the method from Sect. 4 below.

Lemma 3.6. *There exists $\rho > 0$ such that*

$$\kappa := \inf \{ \Phi(u) : u \in E^+, \|u\|_E = \rho \} > 0.$$

Proof. It follows easily from (g_3) that for $u \in E^+$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_E^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x, u) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_E^2 - d (|u|_p^p + |u|_q^q). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.7. *Fix $e \in E^+$ with $\|e\|_E = 1$. Then there exist $\sigma > 0$ and $R > \rho$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$\sup \Phi|_{\overline{M}_n} \leq \sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(u) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } u \in \partial M_n$$

where $M_n = \{u = u^- + \zeta e : u^- \in E_n^-, \|u\|_E < R, \zeta > 0\}$.

Proof. Hypothesis (g_2) implies for $u = u^- + \zeta e$

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(u) &= \frac{\zeta^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\|u^-\|_E^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x, u) dx \\ &\leq \frac{\zeta^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\|u^-\|_E^2 - \frac{a_1}{\gamma}|u^- + \zeta e|_\mu^\mu \\ &\leq \frac{\zeta^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\|u^-\|_E^2 - d\zeta^\mu\end{aligned}$$

where $d > 0$ is independent of n and u . The lemma follows because $\mu > 2$. \square

Lemma 3.8. $\Phi_n \in \mathcal{C}^1(E_n, \mathbb{R})$ has the form $\Phi_n(u) = \frac{1}{2}\langle Lu, u \rangle_E - \Psi(u)$ where $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}^1(E_n, \mathbb{R})$ is bounded below, weakly sequentially lower semi-continuous and $\nabla_E \Psi : E_n \rightarrow E_n$ is weakly sequentially continuous.

Proof. This follows from the fact (2.2) that $\|\cdot\|_E$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H^1}$ are equivalent on E_n . \square

Setting $X := E_n$, $Y := E_n^-$ and $Z := E^+$ we have proved that Φ_n satisfies all hypotheses of Theorem 3.5. Consequently there exists a sequence $(v_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ in E_n such that $D\Phi_n(v_m) \rightarrow 0$ and $\Phi_n(v_m) \rightarrow c_n \in [\kappa, \sigma]$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. For $m(n)$ large we therefore have

$$\|D\Phi_n(v_{m(n)})\|_E + |c_n - \Phi_n(v_{m(n)})| < \frac{1}{n}.$$

Thus along a subsequence $c_{n_j} \rightarrow c \in [\kappa, \sigma]$ and $u_j := v_{m(n_j)}$ is a $(\text{PS})_c^*$ -sequence as required. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4. An abstract critical point theorem

Throughout this section, let X be a reflexive Banach space with the direct sum decomposition $X = X^- \oplus X^+$, $u = u^- + u^+$ for $u \in X$, and suppose that X^- is separable. Let P^\pm denote the projection onto X^\pm . For a functional Φ on X we set $\Phi_a = \{u \in X : \Phi(u) \geq a\}$, $\Phi^b = \{u \in X : \Phi(u) \leq b\}$ and $\Phi_a^b = \Phi_a \cap \Phi^b$. Finally we write $\mathcal{K} = \{u \in X : \Phi'(u) = 0\}$ for the set of critical points.

We consider a functional Φ satisfying the hypotheses:

- (Φ_1) $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ is even and $\Phi(0) = 0$;
- (Φ_2) there exist $\kappa, \rho > 0$ such that $\Phi(u) \geq \kappa$ for every $u \in X^+$ with $\|u\| = \rho$;

(Φ_3) there exists a strictly increasing sequence of finite dimensional subspaces $Y_n \subset X^+$ such that

$$\sup \Phi(X_n) < \infty \quad \text{where } X_n := X^- \oplus Y_n,$$

and an increasing sequence of real numbers $R_n > 0$ with

$$\sup \Phi(X_n \setminus B_n) < \inf \Phi(B_\rho X)$$

where $B_n := \{u \in X_n : \|u\| \leq R_n\}$ and $B_\rho X := \{u \in X : \|u\| \leq \rho\}$.

Thus Φ has the typical mountain pass geometry. If the (PS) -condition would hold then Φ would have an unbounded sequence of positive critical values. However, this is not the case in our application. In order to formulate the hypotheses which do hold we introduce a new notion.

Definition 4.1. Fix an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. A set $\mathcal{A} \subset X$ is a $(PS)_I$ -attractor if for any $(PS)_c$ -sequence $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $c \in I$, and any $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ one has $u_n \in U_\varepsilon(\mathcal{A} \cap \Phi_{c-\delta}^{c+\delta})$ provided n is large enough.

Clearly, a $(PS)_I$ -attractor contains all critical points with levels in I . If \mathcal{A} is a $(PS)_I$ -attractor so is any set containing \mathcal{A} . In applications it is important to find small $(PS)_I$ -attractors. In general there need not exist a smallest $(PS)_I$ -attractor or minimal ones. Of course, if the Palais-Smale condition holds the set of critical points with values in I is the smallest $(PS)_I$ -attractor.

In the sequel we shall write X_w for the space X with the weak topology and similarly X_w^- . It will be convenient to work with $X_\tau := X_w^- \times X^+$, that is, X_τ is the vector space X with the product topology of $X_w^- \times X^+$. Then notions like open, w -open or τ -open refer to the norm topology, the weak topology or the τ -topology, respectively.

Now we can state the hypotheses which replace the Palais-Smale condition:

(Φ_4) $\Phi' : X_\tau \rightarrow X_w^*$ is continuous, and $\Phi : X_\tau \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is upper semicontinuous.

(Φ_5) for any compact interval $I \subset (0, \infty)$ there exists a $(PS)_I$ -attractor \mathcal{A} such that

$$\inf\{\|u^+ - v^+\| : u, v \in \mathcal{A}, u \neq v\} > 0.$$

Theorem 4.2. If Φ satisfies (Φ_1) – (Φ_5) then there exists an unbounded sequence (c_n) of positive critical values.

The proof of Theorem 4.2 will occupy the rest of this section. For a symmetric subset $A = -A \subset X$ we need the class $\mathcal{M}(A)$ of maps $g : A \rightarrow X$ with the properties

- (4.1) $g: A_\tau \rightarrow X_\tau$ is τ -continuous and odd;
(4.2) $\Phi(g(u)) \leq \Phi(u)$ for every $u \in A$;
(4.3) each $u \in A$ has a τ -neighborhood $W_u \subset X$ such that $(id - g)(W_u)$ is contained in a finite-dimensional subspace of X .

We write $\text{gen}(A) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ for the Krasnoselski genus of a symmetric subset A of X , that is, $\text{gen}(A)$ is the least integer k such that there exists an odd continuous map $A \rightarrow S^{k-1}$. If no such map exists then $\text{gen}(A) := \infty$. Now we define a kind of pseudo-index for the topology of sublevel sets Φ^c by setting

$$\psi(c) := \min\{\text{gen}(g(\Phi^c) \cap S_\rho X^+) : g \in \mathcal{M}(\Phi^c)\} \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$$

where ρ is from (Φ_2) and $S_\rho X^+ = \{u \in X^+ : \|u\| = \rho\}$. From (Φ_2) it follows that $\psi(c) = 0$ for $c < \kappa$ since then $\Phi^c \cap S_\rho X^+ = \emptyset$ and $\text{gen}(\emptyset) = 0$. Therefore Theorem 4.2 is a consequence of the next three lemmas.

Lemma 4.3. *If $c \geq \sup \Phi(X_n)$ then $\psi(c) \geq n$.*

Lemma 4.4. *If there are no critical values in the interval (a, b) , $0 < a < b$, then ψ is constant on (a, b) .*

Lemma 4.5. *$\psi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ assumes only finite values.*

Proof of Lemma 4.3. Set $B_n := \{u \in X^- \oplus Y_n : \|u\| \leq R_n\}$ and fix $c \geq \sup \Phi(X_n) = \sup \Phi(B_n)$. We shall show that $\text{gen}(g(B_n) \cap S_\rho X^+) \geq n$ for any $g \in \mathcal{M}(\Phi^c)$. Then $\psi(c) \geq n$ because $B_n \subset \Phi^c$ and because the genus is monotone. Fix $g \in \mathcal{M}(\Phi^c)$. Since B_n is τ -compact it follows from (4.3) that $(id - g)(B_n)$ is contained in a finite-dimensional subspace F of X . We may assume that $F^+ := P^+ F \supset Y_n$ and $F = F^- \oplus F^+$ with $F^- := P^- F \subset X^-$. Consider the set

$$\mathcal{O} := \{u \in B_n \cap F : \|g(u)\| < \rho\} \subset F$$

and the map

$$h: \partial \mathcal{O} \rightarrow F^-, \quad h(u) := P^- \circ g(u).$$

We observe that $g(B_n \cap F) \subset F$ because $(id - g)(B_n) \subset F$. Thus h is well defined. Moreover, $g: B_n \cap F \rightarrow F$ is continuous by (4.1) since F is finite-dimensional. In addition, (4.2) implies that $0 \in \mathcal{O}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{O}} \subset \text{int}(B_n \cap F)$. Therefore \mathcal{O} is a bounded open neighborhood of 0 in $F_n := F \cap (X^- \oplus Y_n)$, hence, $\text{gen}(\partial \mathcal{O}) = \dim F_n^-$. From the monotonicity of the genus we obtain

$$\text{gen}(\partial \mathcal{O} \setminus h^{-1}(0)) \leq \text{gen}(F_n^- \setminus \{0\}) = \dim F_n^-.$$

The continuity and the subadditivity yield

$$\text{gen}(\partial\mathcal{O}) \leq \text{gen}((h^{-1}(0)) + \text{gen}(\partial\mathcal{O} \setminus h^{-1}(0))$$

It follows that

$$\text{gen}(h^{-1}(0)) \geq \dim F_n - \dim F_n^- = \dim Y_n \geq n.$$

Finally, $h(u) = 0$ implies $g(u) \in X^+$ and $u \in \partial\mathcal{O}$ implies $\|g(u)\| = \rho$, thus $g(h^{-1}(0)) \subset g(B_n) \cap S_\rho X^+$. Therefore, using the monotonicity of the genus once more we obtain the desired inequality

$$\text{gen}(g(B_n) \cap S_\rho X^+) \geq \text{gen}(g(h^{-1}(0))) \geq \text{gen}(h^{-1}(0)) \geq n.$$

□

Proof of Lemma 4.4. Given positive numbers $a < b$ such that Φ has no critical values in (a, b) we want to show that ψ is constant on (a, b) . We may assume that there are no critical values in $I := [a, b]$ and fix $c < d$ in (a, b) . By the monotonicity of the genus we have $\psi(c) \leq \psi(d)$. In order to prove $\psi(d) \leq \psi(c)$ we shall construct a map $g \in \mathcal{M}(\Phi^d)$ with $g(\Phi^d) \subset \Phi^c$. Then $h \circ g \in \mathcal{M}(\Phi^c)$ for any $h \in \mathcal{M}(\Phi^c)$ because $id - h \circ g = id - g + (id - h) \circ g$ is τ -locally finite-dimensional as in (4.3) if $id - g$ and $id - h$ have this property. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(c) &= \inf\{\text{gen}(h(\Phi^c) \cap S_\rho X^+) : h \in \mathcal{M}(\Phi^c)\} \\ &\geq \inf\{\text{gen}(h(g(\Phi^d)) \cap S_\rho X^+) : h \in \mathcal{M}(\Phi^c)\} \\ &\geq \inf\{\text{gen}(h(\Phi^d) \cap S_\rho X^+) : h \in \mathcal{M}(\Phi^d)\} \\ &= \psi(d) \end{aligned}$$

as required. Here we used the monotonicity of the genus in the second line. In order to construct $g \in \mathcal{M}(\Phi^d)$ with $g(\Phi^d) \subset \Phi^c$ we choose a $(PS)_I$ -attractor \mathcal{A} and $\sigma > 0$ such that

$$(4.4) \quad \|u^+ - v^+\| > 2\sigma \quad \text{for } u, v \in \mathcal{A}, u \neq v.$$

This exists according to (Φ_5) . We set

$$B := P^+(\mathcal{A}) = \{u^+ : u \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset X^+$$

and consider the τ -open set

$$\begin{aligned} U_\sigma &:= \{u \in X : \|u^+ - v^+\| < \sigma \quad \text{for some } v \in \mathcal{A}\} \\ &= X^- \times U_\sigma(B) \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathcal{A} is a $(\text{PS})_I$ -attractor and $U_\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subset U_\sigma$ there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$(4.5) \quad \|\Phi'(u)\| \geq 2\alpha \quad \text{for } u \in \Phi_c^d \setminus U_\sigma.$$

For $u \in \Phi_a^b$ we choose a pseudo-gradient vector $w(u) \in X$ satisfying $\|w(u)\| \leq 2$ and $\Phi'(u)w(u) > \|\Phi'(u)\|$. If $u \in \Phi_c^d \setminus U_\sigma$ we therefore have $\Phi'(u)w(u) \geq 2\alpha$. Therefore there exists a τ -open neighborhood N_u of u such that

$$(4.6) \quad \Phi'(v)w(u) > \alpha \quad \text{for } v \in N_u, u \in \Phi_c^d \setminus U_\sigma.$$

Here we used the hypothesis (Φ_4) that $\Phi' : X_\tau \rightarrow X_w^*$ is continuous. Similarly, every $u \in \Phi_c^d \cap U$, hence by (4.4), $u \in X^- \times U_\sigma(v^+)$ for some $v \in \mathcal{A}$, has a τ -open neighborhood $N_u \subset X^- \times U_\sigma(v^+)$ such that

$$(4.7) \quad \Phi'(v)w(u) \geq \|\Phi'(u)\| \quad \text{for } v \in N_u, u \in \Phi_c^d \cap U_\sigma.$$

Finally, if $\Phi(u) < c$ we set $N_u := X \setminus \Phi_c$ and $w(u) := 0$. Since $\Phi : X_\tau \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is τ -upper semicontinuous, N_u is τ -open. It follows from results of Dowker [8] and Michael [14] that X_τ and every subset of X_τ are paracompact. Thus there exists a τ -locally finite partition of unity $(\pi_j)_{j \in J}$ subordinate to the covering $(N_u : u \in \Phi^d)$ of Φ^d . Here $\pi_j : \Phi^d \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous with respect to the τ -topology on Φ^d , hence it is continuous with the norm topology on Φ^d . It is not difficult to see that one may construct the maps π_j such that π_j is also locally Lipschitz continuous with respect to the norm in Φ^d .

For $j \in J$ we choose $u_j \in \Phi^d$ with $\text{supp } \pi_j \subset N_{u_j}$ and define

$$V_0(u) := \sum_{j \in J} \pi_j(u)w(u_j)$$

and

$$V : \Phi^d \rightarrow X, \quad V(u) := \frac{1}{2}(V_0(u) - V_0(-u)).$$

Then V is odd, locally Lipschitz continuous and, in addition, continuous with the τ -topology on Φ^d and on X . Moreover, for every $u \in \Phi^d$ there exists a τ -neighborhood W_u such that $(id - V)(W_u)$ is contained in a finite-dimensional subspace of X . We also have

$$(4.8) \quad \|V(u)\| \leq 2 \quad \text{for all } u \in \Phi^d;$$

$$(4.9) \quad \Phi'(u)V(u) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in \Phi^d;$$

$$(4.10) \quad \Phi'(u)V(u) > \alpha \quad \text{for all } u \in \Phi_c^d \setminus U_\sigma;$$

$$(4.11) \quad \Phi'(u)V(u) > 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in \Phi_c^d \cap U_\sigma.$$

Let $\varphi: \Phi^d \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \Phi^d$, $\varphi(x, t) = \varphi^t(x)$, be the semiflow associated to $-V$, that is $d\varphi^t/dt = -V \circ \varphi^t$ for $t > 0$ and $\varphi^0 = id$. For every $u \in \Phi^d$ and every $t > 0$ there exists a τ -neighborhood W_u and an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $(id - \varphi)(W_u \times (t - \varepsilon, t + \varepsilon))$ is contained in a finite-dimensional subspace of X . Since the vector field $V: (\Phi^d)_\tau \rightarrow X_\tau$ is τ -continuous also $\varphi: (\Phi^d)_\tau \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow (\Phi^d)_\tau$ is τ -continuous. Now we claim that for every $u \in \Phi^d$ there exists a time $T_1(u) > 0$ such that $\Phi(\varphi(u, T_1(u))) < c$. If this has been proved then there also exists a τ -open neighborhood W_u of u such that $\Phi(\varphi(v, T_1(u))) < c$ for $v \in W_u$. As above we choose a partition of unity $(\pi_j: \Phi^d \rightarrow [0, 1])_{j \in J}$ subordinate to $(W_u : u \in \Phi^d)$ and define $T(u) := \sum_{j \in J} \pi_j(u)T_1(u_j)$ where u_j is chosen so that $\text{supp } \pi_j \subset W_{u_j}$. It is not difficult to check that the map

$$g: \Phi^d \rightarrow \Phi^c, \quad g(u) := \varphi(u, T(u))$$

is well defined and lies in $\mathcal{M}(\Phi^d)$. Thus the proof of Lemma 4.4 is finished once the existence of $T_1(u)$ is established.

We fix $u \in \Phi^d$ and suppose $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(\varphi^t(u)) \geq c$. Since \mathcal{A} is a $(PS)_I$ -attractor $\|\Phi'(v)\|$ is bounded away from 0 for v outside an arbitrarily small neighborhood of \mathcal{A} in Φ_a^b . This implies that there exists a time $T > 0$ such that $\varphi^t(u) \in U_\sigma$ for all $t \geq T$. By (4.4) there exists $v \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\varphi^t(u) \in X^- \times U_\sigma(v^+)$ for all $t \geq T$. By the construction of the pseudo-gradient vector field V it follows for $t \geq T$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Phi(\varphi^t(u)) &\leq -\inf \{ \|\Phi'(u_j)\| : \pi_j(\varphi^t(u)) \neq 0 \} \\ &\leq -\inf \{ \|\Phi'(u_j)\| : u_j \in \Phi_c^d \cap X^- \times U_\sigma(v^+) \} \end{aligned}$$

This cannot be bounded away from 0 because $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(\varphi^t(u)) \geq c$. So there exists a sequence $(u_{j_k})_k$ in $\Phi_c^d \cap X^- \times U_\sigma(v^+)$ with $\|\Phi'(u_{j_k})\| \rightarrow 0$. Then u_{j_k} lies in arbitrarily small (norm) neighborhoods of \mathcal{A} for k large, hence, $u_{j_k} \rightarrow v$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $\Phi'(v) = 0$ and $\Phi(v) \in [c, d]$ which is a contradiction to the assumption that there are no critical values in $[a, b]$. \square

Proof of Lemma 4.5. We work with a comparison function $\psi_d: [0, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ in order to show the finiteness of ψ . For $d > 0$ fixed set

$$\mathcal{M}_0(\Phi^d) := \{g \in \mathcal{M}(\Phi^d) : g \text{ is a homeomorphism from } \Phi^d \text{ to } g(\Phi^d)\}.$$

Then we define for $c \in [0, d]$

$$\psi_d(c) := \min \left\{ \text{gen}(g(\Phi^c) \cap S_\rho X^+) : g \in \mathcal{M}_0(\Phi^d) \right\}.$$

Since $\mathcal{M}_0(\Phi^d) \subset \mathcal{M}(\Phi^d) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\Phi^c)$ via restriction $g \mapsto g|_{\Phi^c}$ we have $\psi(c) \leq \psi_d(c)$. Thus it suffices to show $\psi_d(c) < \infty$ for $c < d$. Clearly $\psi_d(c) = 0$ for $c < \kappa$ by (Φ_3) because $id \in \mathcal{M}_0(\Phi^d)$. We claim that for any $c \in [\kappa, d)$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\psi_d(c + \delta) \leq \psi_d(c - \delta) + 1$. This implies the finiteness of $\psi_d(c)$ for $c \in [0, d)$. We proceed as in the proof of Lemma 4.4. For $I := [\kappa/2, d]$ there exists a $(PS)_I$ -attractor \mathcal{A} and $\sigma > 0$ such that

$$(4.12) \quad \|u^+ - v^+\| > 6\sigma \quad \text{for } u, v \in \mathcal{A}, u \neq v.$$

Setting $B := P^+(\mathcal{A})$ and $U_\sigma := X^- \times U_\sigma(B)$ there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$(4.13) \quad \|\Phi'(u)\| \geq 2\alpha \quad \text{for } u \in \Phi_{\kappa/2}^d \setminus U_\sigma.$$

Next we construct a pseudo-gradient vector field $V: \Phi^d \rightarrow X$. For $u \in \Phi_{\kappa/2}^d \setminus U_\sigma$ we choose $w(u) \in X$ with $\|w(u)\| \leq 2$ and $\Phi'(u)w(u) \geq \|\Phi'(u)\| \geq 2\alpha > \alpha$. This implies $\Phi'(v)w(u) > \alpha$ for v in some τ -neighborhood N_u of u . If $\Phi(u) < \kappa/2$ then we set $N_u := X \setminus \Phi_{\kappa/2}$ and $w(u) = 0$. If $u \in \Phi_{\kappa/2}^d \cap U_\sigma$ we set $N_u := U_\sigma$ and $w(u) := 0$. Let $(\pi_j)_{j \in J}$ be a τ -locally finite partition of unity subordinated to the τ -open covering $(N_u : u \in \Phi^d)$ of Φ^d . As before the maps $\pi_j: \Phi^d \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are Lipschitz continuous with the norm on Φ^d and continuous with the τ -topology on Φ^d . Now we define $V_0(u) := \sum_{j \in J} \pi_j(u)w(u_j)$ and $V(u) := \frac{1}{2}(V_0(u) - V_0(-u))$ and let $\varphi^t: \Phi^d \rightarrow \Phi^d$, $t \geq 0$, be the semiflow associated to $-V$. We claim that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(4.14) \quad \varphi^1(\Phi^{c+\delta}) \subset \Phi^{c-\delta} \cup U_{3\sigma}$$

where $U_{3\sigma} := X^- \times U_{3\sigma}(B)$. Postponing the proof of (4.14) we first deduce $\psi_d(c + \delta) \leq \psi_d(c - \delta) + 1$. Choose $g \in \mathcal{M}_0(\Phi^d)$ such that $\psi_d(c) = \text{gen}(g(\Phi^{c-\delta}) \cap S_\rho X^+)$. Then $g \circ \varphi^1 \in \mathcal{M}_0(\Phi^d)$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_d(c + \delta) &\leq \text{gen}(g \circ \varphi^1(\Phi^{c+\delta}) \cap S_\rho X^+) \\ &\leq \text{gen}(g(\Phi^{c-\delta} \cup U_{3\sigma}) \cap S_\rho X^+) \\ &\leq \text{gen}(g(\Phi^{c-\delta}) \cap S_\rho X^+) + \text{gen}(g(U_{3\sigma})) \\ &\leq \psi_d(c - \delta) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the standard properties of the genus and in addition that $g(U_{3\sigma})$ is homeomorphic to $U_{3\sigma}$ which in turn is homotopy equivalent to the discrete set B by (4.12). The homotopy equivalence $g(U_{3\sigma}) \rightarrow B$ is odd hence $\text{gen}(g(U_{3\sigma})) \leq \text{gen}(B) \leq 1$.

It remains to prove (4.14). We argue indirectly and suppose there exists a sequence $u_n \in \Phi^{c+1/n}$ with $\varphi^1(u_n) \notin \Phi^{c-1/n} \cup U_{3\sigma}$. For $n > 2/\alpha$ with α from (4.13) there exists $t_n \in (0, 1)$ such that $\varphi^{t_n}(u_n) \in U_\sigma$. Thus there

exists $0 \leq r_n < s_n \leq 1$ with $\varphi^{r_n}(u_n) \in \partial U_\sigma$, $\varphi^{s_n}(u_n) \in \partial U_{3\sigma}$ and $\varphi^t(u_n) \in U_{3\sigma} \setminus U_\sigma$ for $t \in (r_n, s_n)$. This implies $\|\varphi^{r_n}(u_n) - \varphi^{s_n}(u_n)\| \geq 2\sigma$ hence, $s_n - r_n \geq \sigma$ because $\|V(u)\| \leq 2$. Now (4.13) yields

$$\begin{aligned} c - \frac{1}{n} &< \Phi(\varphi^{s_n}(u_n)) \\ &< \Phi(\varphi^{r_n}(u_n)) - \sigma\alpha \\ &< c + \frac{1}{n} - \sigma\alpha \end{aligned}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This contradiction finishes the proof of (4.14) hence the proof of Lemma 4.5. \square

5. Proof of Theorem 1.2

As in Sect. 3 the solutions of (NS) will be obtained as critical points of the functional

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u^2) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x, u) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\|u^+\|_E^2 - \|u^-\|_E^2) - \Psi(u). \end{aligned}$$

From (g_4) it follows that Φ is defined on the Banach space $X := E_\mu$. Let \mathcal{K} be the set of critical points of Φ and observe that for $u \in \mathcal{K} \setminus \{0\}$

$$\Phi(u) - \frac{1}{2} \Phi'(u)u = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (g(x, u)u - G(x, u)) > 0$$

by (g_2) , hence

$$(5.1) \quad \mathcal{K} \subset \Phi_0 \text{ and } \mathcal{K} \cap X^- = \{0\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{K}$ consist of arbitrarily chosen representatives of the orbits of \mathcal{K} under the action of \mathbb{Z}^N . Since g is odd by assumption (g_5) we may assume that $\mathcal{F} = -\mathcal{F}$. As a consequence of the invariance of Φ under the group action $*$ we obtain

$$(5.2) \quad (\mathbb{Z}^N * u_1) \cap (\mathbb{Z}^N * u_2) = \emptyset \quad \text{if } u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{K} \text{ with } \Phi(u_1) \neq \Phi(u_2).$$

It is not difficult to verify that Φ satisfies $(\Phi_1) - (\Phi_4)$. In order to apply Theorem 4.2 we need to check (Φ_5) . Let $[r]$ denote the integer part of r for any $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Along the lines of the proof of [12], Proposition 4.2 (see also [7]), one can easily establish the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 be satisfied and assume that*

$$(5.3) \quad \inf_{\mathcal{K} \setminus \{0\}} \Phi > \alpha > 0.$$

Let $(u_n) \subset E_\mu$ be a $(PS)_c$ -sequence. Then either $u_n \rightarrow 0$ (corresponding to $c = 0$); or $c \geq \alpha$ and there are $l \leq [c/\alpha]$, $v_i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\}$, $i = 1, \dots, l$, a subsequence denoted again by (u_n) , and l sequences $(a_{in})_n$ in \mathbb{Z} , $i = 1, \dots, l$ such that

$$\|u_n - \sum_{i=1}^l a_{in} * v_i\|_\mu \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$|a_{in} - a_{jn}| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{if } i \neq j,$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^l \Phi(v_i) = c.$$

Now suppose (NS) has only finitely many geometrically distinct solutions in E_μ , that is, \mathcal{F} is finite. It follows from (5.1) that $\alpha := \frac{1}{2} \min \Phi(\mathcal{K} \setminus \{0\}) > 0$. Given a compact interval $I \subset (0, \infty)$ with $d := \max I$ we set $l := [d/\alpha]$ and

$$[\mathcal{F}, l] := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^l k_i * v_i; 1 \leq j \leq l, k_i \in \mathbb{Z}^N, v_i \in \mathcal{F} \right\}.$$

As a consequence of Lemma 5.1 we see that $[\mathcal{F}, l]$ is a $(PS)_I$ -attractor. It is easy to check that

$$(5.4) \quad \inf\{\|u^+ - v^+\| : u, v \in [\mathcal{F}, l], u \neq v\} > 0$$

(see e.g. [7]). Therefore (Φ_5) is also satisfied and Theorem 4.2 yields the existence of an unbounded sequence of critical values of Φ . Hence \mathcal{F} cannot be finite and Theorem 1.2 is proved.

Acknowledgement. The second named author is supported by the Alexander von Humboldt-Stiftung in Germany. He is very grateful to the Alexander von Humboldt-Stiftung for allowing him a visit to Universität Gießen.

References

1. S. Agmon, Lectures on exponential decay of solutions of second order elliptic equations, Mathematical Notes, vol. 29, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1982.
2. S. Alama, Y.Y. Li, Existence of solutions for semilinear elliptic equations with indefinite linear part, J. Differential Equations **96** (1992), 89–115.
3. S. Alama, Y.Y. Li, On “multibump” bound states for certain semilinear elliptic equations, Indiana J. Math. **41** (1992), 983–1026.
4. V. Benci, P.H. Rabinowitz, Critical point theorems for indefinite functionals, Invent. Math. **52** (1979), 241–273.

5. B. Buffoni, L. Jeanjean, C.A. Stuart, Existence of nontrivial solutions to a strongly indefinite semilinear equation, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **119** (1993), 179–186.
6. V. Coti-Zelati, I. Ekeland, E. Séré, A variational approach to homoclinic orbits in hamiltonian systems, *Math. Ann.* **288** (1990), 133–160.
7. V. Coti-Zelati, P. H. Rabinowitz, Homoclinic type solutions for a semilinear elliptic PDE on \mathbb{R}^N , *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **45** (1992), 1217–1269.
8. C.H. Dowker, An embedding theorem for paracompact metric spaces, *Duke Math. J.* **14** (1947), 639–645.
9. D. Gilbarg, N. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo, 1983.
10. H.-P. Heinz, T. Küpper, C. A. Stuart, Existence and bifurcation of solutions for nonlinear perturbations of the periodic Schrödinger equation, *J. Differential Equations* **100** (1992), 341–354.
11. L. Jeanjean, Solutions in spectral gaps for a nonlinear equation of Schrödinger type, *J. Differential Equations* **112** (1994), 53–80.
12. W. Kryszewski, A. Szulkin, Generalized linking theorem with an application to semilinear Schrödinger equation, *Adv. in Differential Equations* (to appear).
13. P.-L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case. Part II, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré. Anal. non linéaire* **1** (1984), 223–283.
14. E. Michael, A note on paracompact spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **4** (1953), 831–838.
15. P. H. Rabinowitz, A note on a semilinear elliptic equation on \mathbb{R}^N , *Nonlinear Analysis. A Tribute in Honour of Giovanni Prodi* (A. Ambrosetti, A. Marino, eds.), Quaderni, Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1991, pp. 307–317.
16. M. Reed, B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics, vol. IV*, Academic Press, 1978.
17. E. Séré, Existence of infinitely many homoclinic orbits in Hamiltonian systems, *Math. Z.* **209** (1992), 27–42.
18. E. Séré, Looking for the Bernoulli shift, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Analyse non linéaire* **10** (1993), 561–590.
19. C. Troestler, M. Willem, Nontrivial solution of a semilinear Schrödinger equation, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* (to appear).