



Kähler structures on spin 6-manifolds

Stefan Schreieder¹ · Luca Tasin²

Received: 2 February 2017 / Revised: 8 September 2017 / Published online: 7 November 2017
© Springer-Verlag GmbH Deutschland 2017

Abstract We show that many spin 6-manifolds have the homotopy type but not the homeomorphism type of a Kähler manifold. Moreover, for given Betti numbers, there are only finitely many deformation types and hence topological types of smooth complex projective spin threefolds of general type. Finally, on a fixed spin 6-manifold, the Chern numbers take on only finitely many values on all possible Kähler structures.

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 14F45 · 32Q15 · 57R15;
Secondary 14E30 · 57R20

1 Introduction

A classical question in complex algebraic geometry asks which smooth manifolds carry a complex projective or a Kähler structure. Once we know that at least one such structure exists, the next natural question is to ask how many there are. In this paper we address both questions in the case where the underlying smooth manifold is a spin 6-manifold. The condition for a 6-manifold M to be spin depends only on its homotopy type; if M carries a complex structure X , then M is spin if and only if the mod 2 reduction of $c_1(X)$ vanishes.

Communicated by Ngaiming Mok.

✉ Stefan Schreieder
schreieder@math.lmu.de

Luca Tasin
tasin@math.uni-bonn.de

¹ Mathematisches Institut, LMU München, Theresienstr. 39, 80333 München, Germany

² Mathematical Institute of the University of Bonn, Endenicher Allee 60, 53115 Bonn, Germany

The spin condition, though of a purely topological nature, turns out to put strong restrictions on the bimeromorphic geometry of Kähler manifolds. This makes that class of manifolds particularly accessible to the methods of the minimal model program, established for Kähler threefolds only very recently by Höring and Peternell [10, 11]. We will see that this leads to surprisingly strong topological constraints on this class of Kähler manifolds; our main results are Theorems 1, 2 and 4 below.

1.1 Many spin 6-manifolds are non-Kähler but have Kähler homotopy type

Any closed spin 6-manifold carries an almost complex structure, see Sect. 2.1.1. Moreover, a conjecture of Yau predicts that actually any closed spin 6-manifold admits a complex structure, see [20, p. 6] and [40, Problem 52]. On the other hand, our first main result produces many examples that do not carry any Kähler structure. The interesting feature of our result is that it holds for a large class of prescribed homotopy types.

Theorem 1 *Let X be a simply connected Kähler threefold with spin structure and $H^3(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Then there are infinitely many pairwise non-homeomorphic closed spin 6-manifolds M_i with the same oriented homotopy type as X , but which are not homeomorphic to a Kähler manifold.*

To the best knowledge of the authors, the above theorem produces the first examples of manifolds with $b_2 > 1$ that are homotopy equivalent but not homeomorphic to Kähler manifolds. This is interesting because most known topological constraints implied by the Kähler condition (e.g. formality [6], restrictions on the fundamental group [1], or constraints on the cohomology algebra that come from Hodge theory) are only sensitive to the homotopy type, but not to the homeomorphism type.

Simple examples to which Theorem 1 applies are the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^3 in finitely many points, the product of a K3 surface with \mathbb{P}^1 , or, more generally, the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle $\mathbb{P}(K_S \oplus \mathcal{O}_S)$ over any simply connected Kähler surface S ; the surface S may very well be non-spin.

Previously, only rather specific examples of non-Kähler manifolds with Kähler homotopy type had been found. For example, Libgober and Wood [23] proved that the homotopy type of \mathbb{P}^n with $n \leq 6$ determines uniquely the Kähler structure. This proves Theorem 1 in the special case $X = \mathbb{P}^3$, because it is well-known that there are infinitely many pairwise non-homeomorphic 6-manifolds that are homotopy equivalent to \mathbb{P}^3 . A related result of Hirzebruch–Kodaira [9] and Yau [41] asserts that for any n , the homeomorphism type of \mathbb{P}^n determines the Kähler structure uniquely.

1.2 Almost no spin 6-manifold is a complex projective variety of general type

In analogy with the classification of curves or surfaces, one expects that most Kähler structures are of general type. Any Kähler structure of general type is projective and it is natural to ask which smooth manifolds carry such a structure.

Our next result is the following strong finiteness statement.

Theorem 2 *There are only finitely many deformation types of smooth complex projective spin threefolds of general type and with bounded Betti numbers.*

The above theorem shows that the deformation type and hence the topological type of a smooth complex projective spin threefold X of general type is determined up to finite ambiguity by its Betti numbers. In particular, once we fix the Betti numbers, only finitely many fundamental groups $\pi_1(X)$, and only finitely many ring structures and torsion subgroups of $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$ occur. In fact, Theorem 2 implies the following.

Corollary 3 *Only finitely many closed spin 6-manifolds with bounded Betti numbers carry a Kähler structure of general type.*

Corollary 3 has the surprising consequence that almost no closed spin 6-manifold is a complex projective variety of general type. To see this, we start with the case of simply connected spin 6-manifolds M , which are completely classified by the work of Wall, see Sect. 2.1.2. It turns out that for given Betti numbers with $b_2 > 0$, the ring structure on $H^*(M, \mathbb{Z})$ may vary among infinitely many different isomorphism types and almost none of these manifolds can be a variety of general type. In fact, even the corresponding homotopy types cannot be realized by a variety of general type; non-simply connected examples can be produced similarly by taking connected sums with simply connected ones. Going further, it is also possible to produce many new examples of manifolds that are homotopy equivalent but not homeomorphic to a variety of general type, see Corollary 25 below.

Although it is well-known that Theorem 2 holds in dimension two, this cannot be exploited in a similar way. The problem being that the oriented homeomorphism type of a simply connected smooth 4-manifold M is determined by the cup product pairing on $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ by Freedman's work, and only very few (in particular finitely many) isomorphism types occur by Donaldson's theorem.

Our arguments are new and do not seem to apply to other natural classes of Kähler manifolds. Indeed, it is easy to see that Theorem 2 and Corollary 3 fail in higher dimensions. Moreover, both statements are sharp in the sense that they fail if one drops either the spin assumption, or the general type assumption, see Example 24 and Proposition 35 below. Nonetheless, Theorem 1 and 2 lead to the expectation that in some sense, most spin 6-manifolds should not carry any Kähler structure at all.

Finiteness results like Theorem 2 seem to be quite rare; in fact, apart from the aforementioned case of surfaces, we are aware of only one more result in this direction. That result is due to Kollár, who showed [14, Theorem 4.2.3] that all Kähler manifolds with $b_2 = 1$, given cohomology ring and Pontryagin classes form a bounded family. Minimal ruled surfaces show that this statement fails already for $b_2 = 2$. Moreover, for applications like Theorem 1 or Corollary 3, it is crucial not to fix the Pontryagin classes.

1.3 Kähler structures on spin 6-manifolds have bounded Chern numbers

Let us now assume that a given spin 6-manifold M carries a Kähler structure and we ask how many there are. More precisely, it is natural to ask which (homotopy classes of) almost complex structures can be realized by a Kähler structure. Since M is spin, the first Chern class induces a bijection between the homotopy classes of almost complex structures on M and the subgroup $H^2(M, 2\mathbb{Z})$ of classes in $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ whose mod

2 reduction vanishes, see Proposition 8. It follows from Theorem 2 that only finitely many such classes come from Kähler structures of general type. This fails without the general type assumption: there are spin 6-manifolds that admit infinitely many Kähler structures with unbounded first Chern class, see Proposition 35. Nonetheless, our next result shows that the Chern numbers are always bounded.

Theorem 4 *Let X be a smooth compact Kähler threefold with spin structure. Then the Chern numbers of X are determined up to finite ambiguity by the isomorphism type of the cohomology ring $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and the first Pontryagin class $p_1(X)$.*

The following corollary of the above theorem solves a problem of Kotschick in the case of spin structures [17, Problem 1].

Corollary 5 *The Chern numbers take on only finitely many values on the Kähler structures with the same underlying closed spin 6-manifold.*

While the boundedness of c_3 and c_1c_2 on Kähler structures with the same underlying smooth 6-manifold is well-known, the significance of the above results concerns the boundedness of c_1^3 . For non-uniruled Kähler threefolds, our bounds for c_1^3 are effective and depend only on the Betti numbers, see Corollary 33.

The original motivation for Kotschick's question goes back to a problem of Hirzebruch, asking which linear combinations of Chern and Hodge numbers of a smooth complex projective variety are determined by the underlying smooth manifold [17–19, 21].

Interestingly, Theorem 4 and Corollary 5 fail if one drops the Kähler assumption [22]. Moreover, none of the statements generalizes to higher dimensions. Indeed, for any $n \geq 4$ there are smooth $2n$ -manifolds with infinitely many Kähler structures whose Chern numbers are unbounded, see [31]. The method of that paper can be adapted to produce similar examples with spin structures; more precisely, [31, Theorem 1] remains true for spin manifolds.

Remark 6 Any topological 6-manifold admits at most one equivalence class of smooth structures; the existence of such a structure is detected by the Kirby–Siebenmann invariant. In particular, Corollary 5 remains true if one replaces smooth spin 6-manifolds by topological ones.

1.4 Conventions

All manifolds are smooth, closed and connected. A Kähler manifold is a complex manifold which admits a Kähler metric. All schemes are separated; a variety is an integral scheme of finite type over \mathbb{C} . A family of varieties is a proper flat morphism $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ between finite type schemes over \mathbb{C} whose fibres over closed points are varieties; in this paper, the base B will always be assumed to be reduced. For any (Kähler) manifold X , we denote by $H_{\text{lf}}^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$ the quotient $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})/H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{tors}}$, where $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{tors}}$ is the torsion subgroup of $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Spin 6-manifolds

A smooth orientable 6-manifold M is spin if and only if the second Stiefel–Whitney class $w_2(M) \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ vanishes. It follows from the Wu formula that this condition depends only on the homotopy type of M . Moreover, if X is a complex structure on M , then the mod 2 reduction of $c_1(X)$ coincides with $w_2(M)$ and so it vanishes if and only if M is spin.

For example, by the adjunction formula, a smooth divisor X on a compact complex fourfold Y is spin if it is linearly equivalent to $K_Y + 2L$ for some $L \in \text{Pic}(Y)$. In particular, any smooth complex projective fourfold contains (many) smooth hypersurfaces X with spin structure. The smooth 6-manifold which underlies X , or the blow-up of X in a finite number of points, is spin and so the results of this paper apply.

The following definition generalises the notion of spin threefold; note however that this generalization is not a topological notion anymore.

Definition 7 Let X be a smooth complex projective threefold. We say that $c_1(X)$ is *numerically divisible* by $m \in \mathbb{N}$ if its class in $H_{\text{tr}}^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is divisible by m . Moreover, $c_1(X)$ is *numerically divisible* if it is divisible by some natural number $m \geq 2$.

2.1.1 Almost complex structures

The obstruction for a closed oriented 6-manifold M to carry an almost complex structure is given by the image of the second Stiefel–Whitney class via the Bockstein homomorphism $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^3(M, \mathbb{Z})$ and hence it vanishes if M is spin. In fact, we have the following, see proof of [30, Proposition 8].

Proposition 8 *Let M be a closed spin 6-manifold. Then the first Chern class induces a bijection between the homotopy classes of almost complex structures on M and the subgroup $H^2(M, 2\mathbb{Z}) \subset H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ of classes whose mod 2 reduction vanishes.*

2.1.2 Classification of simply connected spin 6-manifolds

Wall [39] showed that simply connected closed spin 6-manifolds M with torsion free cohomology are classified by the following tuple of algebraic invariants

$$(b_3(M), H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}), F_M, p_1(M)),$$

where F_M denotes the cubic form on $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$, given by cup product, and $p_1(M)$ is the linear form on $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$, given by cup product with the first Pontryagin class, see also [30, Section 1]. Two such manifolds M and M' are orientation-preservingly homeomorphic (or diffeomorphic, see Remark 6 above) if and only if there is an isomorphism between $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^2(M', \mathbb{Z})$ which respects the above tuple of algebraic invariants. A given tuple (b_3, H, F, p_1) can be realized by a simply-connected smooth spin

6-manifold with torsion-free cohomology if and only if b_3 is even and

$$4W^3 \equiv p_1(M)W \pmod{24} \tag{1}$$

for all $W \in H$; such tuples are called admissible.

2.2 Chern numbers of smooth threefolds

Let X be a smooth compact complex threefold. Since $c_3(X) = \sum_i (-1)^i b_i(X)$ coincides with the topological Euler number, it is a topological invariant of X . Moreover, by Riemann–Roch, $c_1 c_2(X) = 24\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is bounded by the Hodge numbers, hence by the Betti numbers if X is Kähler. In particular, in order to prove Theorem 4, we only need to prove the boundedness of c_1^3 . This is known if K_X is nef.

Proposition 9 *Let X be a smooth Kähler threefold such that K_X is nef. Then $c_1^3(X)$ is bounded by the Betti numbers of X .*

Proof If X is of general type, then it is projective because it is Moishezon and Kähler. Following an observation of Kotschick [17], the boundedness of $c_1^3(X)$ follows then from the Miyaoka–Yau inequality

$$0 > c_1^3(X) \geq \frac{8}{3}c_1 c_2(X), \tag{2}$$

see [36,42]. If X has Kodaira dimension 0, 1 or 2, then $c_1^3(X) = 0$, cf. [4]. □

3 The bimeromorphic geometry of Kähler threefolds with spin structure

One of the key ideas of this paper is the observation that for a Kähler threefold, the purely topological condition of being spin puts strong restrictions on its bimeromorphic geometry. This is a consequence of the minimal model program for Kähler threefolds [10, 11], together with some classical results of Mori [26].

Before we state the result, let us recall that a Kähler manifold Y is a Mori fibre space, if there is a proper morphism $f : Y \rightarrow B$ with positive dimensional connected fibres onto a normal \mathbb{Q} -factorial Kähler space B with at most klt singularities, such that $-K_Y$ is f -ample and the relative Picard number is one, see [10]. In this paper, we will only need to deal with the special case where B is smooth.

Theorem 10 [10, 11, 26] *Let X be a smooth Kähler threefold such that $c_1(X)$ is numerically divisible by 2 (e.g. a spin threefold). Then there is a finite sequence of blow-downs to smooth points*

$$X = Y_r \rightarrow Y_{r-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow Y_0 = Y,$$

such that Y is a smooth Kähler threefold with $c_1(Y)$ numerically divisible by 2, which is either a minimal model (i.e. K_Y is nef) or a Mori fibre space. Moreover, if Y is a Mori fibre space, then it is one of the following:

- (1) an unramified conic bundle over a smooth Kähler surface;
- (2) a quadric bundle over a smooth curve;
- (3) a smooth Fano threefold.

Proof By [10, 11], we can run a minimal model program on X . If X is not a minimal model, nor a Mori fibre space, then, since there are no flips in the smooth category [26, 27], there is a divisorial contraction $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with exceptional divisor E . Since $c_1(X)$ is numerically divisible by 2, we get that $K_X \cdot C \leq -2$ for every contracted curve $C \subset E$. It is known to experts, that the theorem follows now from [26] and [28]; we sketch the proof for convenience of the reader.

Since $-K_X$ is f -ample, Mori’s classification of all possible exceptional divisors applies [26, Theorem 3.3]. In particular, f contracts E either to a smooth curve, or to a point. In the former case, f is the blow-up of a smooth curve of Y and so the general fibre of $E \rightarrow f(E)$ is a rational curve $C \subset E$ with $K_X \cdot C = -1$, which contradicts our assumptions. In the latter case, E together with its normal bundle in X is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(1))$, $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(2))$ or $(Q, \mathcal{O}(1))$, where $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is an integral quadric. If $(E, N_{E/X}) = (Q, \mathcal{O}(1))$, then $K_X = f^*K_Y + E$ which implies $K_X \cdot \ell = -1$ for a line $\ell \subset Q$. If $(E, N_{E/X}) = (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(2))$, then $K_X = f^*K_Y + \frac{1}{2}E$ which implies again $K_X \cdot \ell = -1$ for a line $\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^2$. Since K_X is numerically divisible by 2, none of the two possibilities occur, which shows $(E, N_{E/X}) = (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(1))$, and so f is the blow-down to a smooth point of Y . In particular, $K_X = f^*K_Y + 2E$ and so Y is a smooth Kähler threefold such that $c_1(Y)$ is numerically divisible by 2 and $b_2(Y) = b_2(X) - 1$. After a finite number of such blow-downs, we may assume that Y is either a minimal model, or a Mori fibre space.

It remains to deal with the case where $f : Y \rightarrow B$ is a Mori fibre space. If $\dim(B) \leq 1$, then $h^{2,0}(Y) = 0$ and so Y is projective by Kodaira’s criterion. It thus follows from [26, Theorem 3.5] that B is smooth. Moreover, if $\dim(B) = 1$, then the general fibre of f is a smooth del Pezzo surface with spin structure, hence a smooth quadric in \mathbb{P}^3 . This concludes the case $\dim(B) \leq 1$.

If $\dim(B) = 2$, then, by [28, Corollary 2.4.2], B is smooth and there is a rank 3 vector bundle \mathcal{E} on B together with an inclusion $Y \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ which realises each fiber $f^{-1}(b)$ as a conic in $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_b)$. If $f^{-1}(b)$ is singular, then it contains a line ℓ with $K_Y \cdot \ell = -1$, which contradicts the assumption that $c_1(Y)$ is numerically divisible. This proves that f is an unramified conic bundle over a smooth Kähler surface, which finishes the proof. □

The same argument as above, together with the classification of smooth Fano threefolds [12, §12], shows the following.

Theorem 11 [10, 11, 26] *Let X be a smooth Kähler threefold such that $c_1(X)$ is numerically divisible by some integer $m \geq 3$. Then one of the following holds:*

- (1) X is a minimal model, i.e. K_X is nef;
- (2) $m = 3$ and X is a \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle over a smooth curve;
- (3) $m = 3$ and X is isomorphic to a smooth quadric in \mathbb{P}^4 ;
- (4) $m = 4$ and X is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^3 .

4 Non-Kähler manifolds with Kähler homotopy types

In this section we produce many non-Kähler manifolds with the homotopy type of Kähler manifolds. The main results are Theorem 13 and Proposition 16 below. We start with the following lemma.

Lemma 12 *Let X be a smooth Kähler threefold admitting the structure of an unramified conic bundle $f : X \rightarrow S$ over a smooth Kähler surface S . Then, $p_1(X) \in f^*H^4(S, \mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof If X is projective, then the first statement follows from the observation that for any smooth curve $C \subset S$, the preimage $R := f^{-1}(C)$ is a ruled surface with normal bundle $f^*\mathcal{O}_S(C)|_R$ and so $p_1(X) \cdot R = p_1(R) + p_1(f^*\mathcal{O}_S(C)|_R) = 0$. The second statement can be proven similarly.

In general, the lemma follows from the following topological argument, suggested to us by M. Land. By [34], any smooth S^2 -bundle $f : M \rightarrow S$ can be realized as the sphere bundle of an oriented real rank three vector bundle E on S . Since the tangent bundle of a sphere is stably trivial, TM is stably isomorphic to $f^*(TS \oplus E)$ and so $p_1(M) = f^*p_1(TS \oplus E)$. □

The next result implies Theorem 1 stated in the introduction.

Theorem 13 *Let X be a simply connected spin 3-fold with $b_2(X) \geq 1$ and $H^3(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Then there are infinitely many pairwise non-homeomorphic spin 6-manifolds M_i with the oriented homotopy type of X , and which are not homeomorphic to a Kähler manifold.*

Proof Since X is simply connected with $H^3(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, X has torsion free cohomology, and so the classification of Wall applies, see Sect. 2.1.2. Let

$$(0, H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}), F_X, p_1(X))$$

be the admissible tuple which corresponds to X .

In order to construct examples that are homotopy equivalent to X , we fix a general element

$$\omega \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^\vee \quad \text{with} \quad \omega \equiv 0 \pmod{48}.$$

General means here that its image in rational cohomology lies outside a finite number of proper subvarieties that we will encounter in the process of the proof; it is important to note that these subvarieties are going to depend only on the ring structure of $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$.

For any integer $r \equiv 1 \pmod{48}$, the tuple

$$(0, H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}), F_X, r(p_1(X) + \omega))$$

is admissible, see (1). Let M_r be the corresponding spin 6-manifold. By work of Zhubr (see [43] or [30, Theorem 2]), the homotopy type of M_r depends only on the reduction modulo 48 of $p_1(M_r)$. Our choices ensure therefore that M_r is homotopy equivalent

to X . Since the image of the first Pontryagin class in $H_{r,f}^4(X, \mathbb{Z}) (= H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}))$ is a homeomorphism invariant by Novikov’s theorem [29], M_r and $M_{r'}$ are not homeomorphic if $r \neq r'$, see also Remark 6. In order to conclude Theorem 13, it thus suffices to show that for infinitely many values of r , M_r is not homeomorphic to a Kähler manifold.

For a contradiction, we assume from now on that $r \gg 0$ is sufficiently large and that there is a Kähler manifold X_r which is homeomorphic to M_r . By construction, there is a natural isomorphism

$$H^*(X_r, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}). \tag{3}$$

By Novikov’s theorem, or Remark 6, the above isomorphism identifies $p_1(X_r)$ with $p_1(M_r) = r(p_1(X) + \omega)$. Since the first Pontryagin class of a blow-up of a complex threefold in a point is not divisible by any integer greater than 4, see [30, Proposition 13], it follows from Theorem 10 that X_r is minimal or a Mori fibre space.

Since X_r is Kähler with $b_1(X_r) = b_3(X_r) = 0$, Riemann–Roch says

$$c_1c_2(X_r) = 24 + 24h^{2,0}(X_r) > 0. \tag{4}$$

The Miyaoka–Yau inequality (2) shows then that X_r is not of general type. We claim that it must in fact be of negative Kodaira dimension. Indeed, otherwise $c_1^3(X_r) = 0$ and so

$$p_1(X_r)c_1(X_r) = c_1^3(X_r) - 2c_1c_2(X_r) = -48 - 48h^{2,0}(X_r)$$

would be non-zero and very divisible, whereas $h^{2,0}(X_r)$ is bounded by $b_2(X_r) = b_2(X)$.

We have thus shown that X_r is a Mori fibre space. Since there are only finitely many deformation types of Fano threefolds, and since $p_1(X_r)$ is sufficiently divisible, X_r cannot be Fano.

Let us assume that $f : X_r \rightarrow C$ is a Mori fibre space over a curve. A general fibre Q of f is a smooth quadric surface and so $p_1(X_r) \cdot Q = p_1(Q) = 0$. On the other hand, the line $[Q] \cdot \mathbb{Q} \subset H^2(X_r, \mathbb{Q})$ lies in the locus where the cubic form vanishes and so it is, up to at most three possibilities, uniquely determined by (3). (This uses $b_2(X_r) = 2$, which follows from $\rho(X_r/C) = 1$ and $h^{2,0}(X_r) = 0$). Since ω is sufficiently general, the restriction of $p_1(X_r) \in H^2(X_r, \mathbb{Q})^\vee$ to any of these lines will be nonzero and so this case cannot happen.

It remains to deal with the case where $f : X_r \rightarrow S$ is a Mori fibre space over a Kähler surface S . By Theorem 10, f is an unramified conic bundle. Since $f^*H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \subset H^2(X_r, \mathbb{Z})$ is a hyperplane which is contained in the vanishing locus of the cubic form, it follows from (3) that the subspace $f^*H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \subset H^2(X_r, \mathbb{Z})$ is determined uniquely up to at most three possibilities. Therefore, the line in $H^4(X_r, \mathbb{Q})$ that is spanned by the class of a fibre of f is also determined up to at most three possibilities. Since ω is general, $p_1(X_r)$ is not contained in any of those lines. This contradicts Lemma 12, which finishes the proof of the theorem. □

Remark 14 Any simply connected spin 6-manifold M with torsion free cohomology can be written as a connected sum $M = M_0 \#^{b_3/2}(S^3 \times S^3)$, with $H^3(M_0, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$; the diffeomorphism type of M_0 , called the core of M , is uniquely determined by M , cf. [30, Corollary 1]. In particular, Theorem 13 applies to the core M_0 of any such manifold M as long as $b_2(M) > 0$.

Remark 15 A Kähler threefold X with spin structure and $b_3(X) = 0$ cannot be of general type. Indeed, if it was of general type, then we may by Theorem 10 assume that it is minimal and so the Miyaoka–Yau inequality (2) holds. In particular, $c_1 c_2(X)$ is negative, which contradicts Riemann–Roch (4), because $b_1(X) = 0$ by Hard Lefschetz.

In view of Theorem 1 and Remark 15, it is natural to ask for non-Kähler manifolds with the homotopy type of a variety of general type. We were unable to find such examples in the literature. The next result fills this gap; it applies for instance to arbitrary complete intersection threefolds $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$, which might very well be non-spin.

Proposition 16 *Let X be a simply connected 6-manifold with $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. Then there are infinitely many pairwise non-homeomorphic 6-manifolds with the same oriented homotopy type as X , but which are not homeomorphic to a Kähler manifold.*

Proof Let Y be a Kähler threefold which is homotopy equivalent to X . Since $b_2(Y) = 1$, Y is either Fano, Calabi–Yau or K_Y is ample. Moreover, the ample generator L of $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ is uniquely determined by the topological property $L^3 > 0$. If Y is Calabi–Yau, then $p_1(Y) = -2c_2(Y)$ and so

$$p_1(Y) \cdot L < 0 \tag{5}$$

by Miyaoka’s inequality [24, Theorem 1.1].

In order to construct infinitely many pairwise non-homeomorphic manifolds M_i with the homotopy type of X , we may now proceed similar to the proof of Theorem 1. By the classification of simply connected 6-manifolds with torsion free cohomology, see [30, Section 1], we can choose $p_1(M_i)$ sufficiently divisible and of a sign which violates Miyaoka’s inequality (5). It follows that M_i cannot support a Calabi–Yau structure. For sufficiently divisible $p_1(M_i)$, the cases where the canonical bundles are ample or anti-ample cannot happen either, because the corresponding families are bounded by the boundedness of Fano threefolds and Matsusaka’s big theorem together with the Miyaoka–Yau inequality, respectively, cf. [32, Proposition 28]. \square

Remark 17 Borel’s conjecture predicts that a homotopy equivalence between closed manifolds with contractible universal cover is homotopic to a homeomorphism; this is known for large classes of fundamental groups, such as hyperbolic groups, see [2]. Thus the assumption on $\pi_1(X)$ in Theorem 13 and Proposition 16 is important.

By Remark 15, the following question remains open, but see Corollary 25 below, where a weaker statement is proven.

Question 18 *Is there a non-Kähler manifold with large b_2 that has the oriented homotopy type of a smooth complex projective variety of general type?*

As mentioned in the introduction, most topological constraints known for Kähler or projective manifolds are only sensitive to the homotopy type, but not to the homeomorphism type. For instance, Voisin used restrictions on the cohomology algebra to produce Kähler manifolds that are not homotopy equivalent to smooth complex projective varieties [38], but the following question remained open.

Question 19 *Is there a Kähler manifold which has the oriented homotopy type but not the homeomorphism type of a smooth complex projective variety?*

5 Proof of Theorem 2

Theorem 2 stated in the introduction follows from the following result.

Theorem 20 *Let $b \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there are only finitely many deformation types of smooth complex projective threefolds X of general type, such that $c_1(X)$ is numerically divisible and $b_i(X) \leq b$ for all i .*

Proof Let X be a smooth complex projective threefold of general type such that $c_1(X)$ is numerically divisible. By Theorems 10 and 11, there is some $r \geq 0$ and a smooth complex projective minimal threefold Y of general type such that X is obtained from Y by a finite sequence of r blow-ups along points. Clearly, any variety that is obtained from Y by a sequence of r blow-ups along points is deformation equivalent to X . Moreover, the number r of blow-ups is bounded from above by $b_2(X) - 1$.

By [5, Theorem 1.2] (or Proposition 9 above), there is a constant c which depends only on the Betti numbers of X such that

$$\text{vol}(Y, K_Y) \leq c.$$

In order to prove Theorem 20, it is therefore enough to prove that there are only finitely many deformation equivalence classes of smooth complex projective minimal threefolds of general type and with volume bounded by c .

By [8, 35, 37], threefolds of general type and with bounded volume are birationally bounded. That is, there is a projective morphism of normal quasi-projective schemes $\pi^{bdd} : \mathcal{X}^{bdd} \rightarrow B$ such that any smooth complex projective threefold of general type and of volume at most c is birational to a fibre of π^{bdd} . By Noetherian induction, replacing B by a disjoint union of locally closed subsets and resolving \mathcal{X}^{bdd} , we get a smooth projective family $\pi^{sm} : \mathcal{X}^{sm} \rightarrow B$. By the deformation invariance of plurigenera [33], we may assume that any fibre of π^{sm} is of general type. Up to replacing B by a finite étale covering, we can apply the MMP in families [16, Theorem 12.4.2] to π^{sm} and obtain a projective family $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ of minimal models of general type, such that any smooth complex projective threefold of general type and with volume bounded by c is birational to a fibre of π .

In the next step of the proof, we use the family π to construct a second family $\pi' : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow B'$ with the following property:

(*) if $b \in B_i$ is a very general point of some component B_i of B , then the fibre $X_b = \pi^{-1}(b)$ has the property that any of its minimal models is isomorphic to a fibre of $\pi' : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow B'$.

In order to explain our construction, let B_i be a component of B and consider the geometric generic fibre $\mathcal{X}_{i,\bar{\eta}}$ of $\mathcal{X}_i \rightarrow B_i$. The number of minimal models of $\mathcal{X}_{i,\bar{\eta}}$ is finite by [13] and they are all connected by flops by [15]. If $\mathcal{X}'_{i,\bar{\eta}}$ is such a minimal model, then there is a sequence of flops $\mathcal{X}_{i,\bar{\eta}} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{X}'_{i,\bar{\eta}}$. This sequence of flops is defined over some finite extension of the function field $k(\overline{B_i})$, hence over the ring of regular functions of some affine variety B'_i which maps finitely onto a Zariski open and dense subset of B_i . In particular, we can spread $\mathcal{X}'_{i,\bar{\eta}}$ over B'_i to get a family

$$\mathcal{X}'_i \rightarrow B'_i,$$

whose geometric generic fibre is $\mathcal{X}'_{i,\bar{\eta}}$.

We define $\pi' : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow B'$ to be the disjoint union of all such families $\mathcal{X}'_i \rightarrow B'_i$ that we can construct in the above way from all the minimal models of all geometric generic fibres $\mathcal{X}_{i,\bar{\eta}}$ of π . In particular, the number of irreducible components of B' corresponds to the number of minimal models of the geometric generic fibres $\mathcal{X}_{i,\bar{\eta}}$ and so B' has finitely many components. By construction, any minimal model of any $\mathcal{X}_{i,\bar{\eta}}$ appears as geometric generic fibre of π' over some component of B' . Since the geometric generic fibre is abstractly (as scheme over \mathbb{Z}) isomorphic to any very general fibre, this implies that property (*) holds; for convenience of the reader we give some details below.

Lemma 21 *Property (*) holds.*

Proof Let X_b be the fibre above a very general point $b \in B_i$; and let k be a finitely generated extension of \mathbb{Q} over which B_i can be defined. Since B'_i is affine, $B_i \subset \mathbb{A}^N$ for some N and so we may write $b = (b_1, \dots, b_N)$. We can choose an isomorphism of fields

$$\sigma : \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\mathbb{C}(B_i)},$$

which restricts to an isomorphism between the subfield $k(b_1, \dots, b_N)$ and the function field of B_i over k . The field automorphism σ induces an isomorphism

$$\varphi : X_b \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{i,\bar{\eta}}$$

of schemes over \mathbb{Z} (on stalks, this map is not \mathbb{C} -linear but σ^{-1} -linear). Any sequence of flops $X_b \dashrightarrow X_b^+$ corresponds via φ to a sequence of flops of the geometric generic fibre $\mathcal{X}_{i,\bar{\eta}} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{i,\bar{\eta}}^+$. Moreover, $\mathcal{X}_{i,\bar{\eta}}^+$ is a minimal model of $\mathcal{X}_{i,\bar{\eta}}$ because it is obtained by a sequence of flops. By the construction of the family π' , $\mathcal{X}_{i,\bar{\eta}}^+$ is isomorphic to the geometric generic fibre of π' over some component B'_j of B' :

$$\mathcal{X}_{i,\bar{\eta}}^+ \simeq \mathcal{X}' \times_{B'} \overline{\mathbb{C}(B'_j)}.$$

By construction of π', B'_j maps finitely onto a Zariski open subset of B_i , which yields an identification $\overline{\mathbb{C}(B_i)} = \overline{\mathbb{C}(B'_j)}$. Therefore, σ induces a (σ -linear) isomorphism

$$\psi : \mathcal{X}_{i,\bar{\eta}}^+ \longrightarrow X'_{b'}$$

of schemes over \mathbb{Z} , where $X'_{b'} = \pi'^{-1}(b')$ is a fibre of π' for some point $b' \in B'_j$ which maps to b via $B'_j \longrightarrow B_i$. Moreover, the composition

$$X_b \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{X}_{i,\bar{\eta}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{i,\bar{\eta}}^+ \xrightarrow{\psi} X'_{b'},$$

is a rational map $X_b \dashrightarrow X'_{b'}$ which is \mathbb{C} -linear on stalks. Therefore, $X_b \dashrightarrow X'_{b'}$ is a sequence of flops of complex projective varieties, which identifies $X'_{b'}$ with X_b^+ . This proves that (*) holds. □

Let us now consider the following set of deformation equivalence classes of smooth fibres $X'_{b'} := \pi'^{-1}(b')$ of π' :

$$\mathcal{S} := \{[X'_{b'}] \mid b' \in B' \text{ such that } X'_{b'} \text{ is smooth}\}.$$

Since B' has finitely many irreducible components, and since smoothness is an open condition, \mathcal{S} is finite.

To conclude, let X be any smooth complex projective minimal threefold of general type and with $\text{vol}(X, K_X) \leq c$. We claim that the deformation equivalence class $[X]$ belongs to \mathcal{S} , which implies the theorem. To prove the claim, recall that $\pi : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow B$ is a family of complex projective minimal threefolds with the property that any smooth threefold of general type whose volume is bounded by c is birational to a fibre of π . In particular, there is a component B_{i_0} and a point $0 \in B_{i_0}$ such that the fibre X_0 above 0 is birational to X . Then, since X and X_0 are birational minimal models, they are connected by a sequence of flops. Therefore, by [16, Theorem 11.10], we can find an analytic neighbourhood $U \subset B_{i_0}$ of $0 \in B_{i_0}$, such that the base change $\mathcal{X}_U \longrightarrow U$ admits a sequence of flops to get a family $\mathcal{X}_U^+ \longrightarrow U$ whose central fibre is isomorphic to X . Since X is projective, we may by [16, Theorem 12.2.10] assume that all fibres of $\mathcal{X}_U^+ \longrightarrow U$ are projective. Moreover, a very general fibre X_t^+ of $\mathcal{X}_U^+ \longrightarrow U$ is connected to a very general fibre of $\mathcal{X}_U \longrightarrow U$ by a sequence of flops. Therefore, X_t^+ is a minimal model of a very general fibre of $\mathcal{X}_{i_0} \longrightarrow B_{i_0}$. Thus, by (*), X_t^+ is isomorphic to a fibre of π' . Since X is smooth, X_t^+ is smooth, which implies $[X] = [X_t^+] \in \mathcal{S}$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 20. □

Remark 22 Generalizing the above argument, we have shown in a joint work with Martinelli [25] that in arbitrary dimension, minimal models of general type and bounded volume form a bounded family.

Remark 23 The \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle $X_n := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2n+1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2})$ over \mathbb{P}^2 is a simply connected spin threefold with torsion free cohomology, $b_2(X_n) = 2$ and $b_3(X_n) = 0$. However, $c_1^3(X_n)$ is unbounded for $n \rightarrow \infty$, and so the X_n 's do not belong to a finite number of

deformation types. Therefore, the general type assumption is needed in Theorem 2. The next example shows that the spin assumption is also necessary.

Example 24 There are infinitely many deformation types of smooth projective threefolds of general type and with bounded Betti numbers. Indeed, we start with a threefold Y of general type which contains a smooth quadric surface Q . Then, Q contains smooth rational curves $C_i \subset Q$ such that the degree of the normal bundle of C_i in Q is unbounded. The blow-up $X_i := \text{Bl}_{C_i} Y$ satisfies $K_{X_i}^3 = K_Y^3 + 2 \deg(N_{C_i/X}) + 6$, see [5, Proposition 4.8] and [30, Proposition 14]. Hence, $c_1^3(X_i)$ is unbounded in i , although the Betti numbers of X_i do not depend on i .

Using the classification of simply connected spin 6-manifolds whose cohomology is not necessarily torsion free, see [43], Theorem 2 implies easily the following; the details are analogous (but simpler) to the proof of Theorem 1 and so we leave them out.

Corollary 25 *Let X be any simply connected Kähler threefold with spin structure. Then there are infinitely many pairwise non-homeomorphic simply connected closed spin 6-manifolds M_i , that have the same oriented homotopy type as X , but which are not homeomorphic to any smooth complex projective variety of general type.*

Corollary 25 has the following amusing consequence: either there is a large supply of examples of homotopy equivalent Kähler manifolds with different Kodaira dimensions, or one can produce many more smooth manifolds which do not carry a Kähler structure although they have the homotopy type of a smooth complex projective variety of general type. The known examples of homotopy equivalent Kähler manifolds with different Kodaira dimensions are rather scarce and come usually from particular complex surfaces, see for instance [14]; it seems unlikely that they form a really big class.

6 Mori fibre spaces

In this section, we analyse the Chern numbers of smooth Mori fibre spaces with spin structure in dimension three. This will be used in the proof of Theorem 4 in Sect. 7.

6.1 Mori fibre spaces over curves

In this subsection we prove the following result.

Proposition 26 *Let $(X_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be a sequence of compact Kähler manifolds of dimension n , admitting the structure of a Mori fibre space $X_i \rightarrow C_i$ over a curve C_i . Suppose that*

- (1) *the Betti numbers of the X_i 's are bounded;*
- (2) *there is an isomorphism $H_{\text{tf}}^{2*}(X_i, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{tf}}^{2*}(X_0, \mathbb{Z})$ between the even torsion free cohomology algebras, which respects the Pontryagin classes.*

Then the images of the Chern classes $c_1(X_i)$ and $c_2(X_i)$ in $H_{1f}^{2*}(X_0, \mathbb{Z})$ are bounded. In particular, for all $0 \leq k \leq n/2$, the sequence of Chern numbers $c_1^{n-2k}c_2^k(X_i)$ is bounded.

Proof Let F_i denote the general fiber of $X_i \rightarrow C_i$. Then

$$H^2(X_0, \mathbb{Q}) = x \cdot \mathbb{Q} \oplus y \cdot \mathbb{Q},$$

where $y = c_1(X_0)$, and $x \in H_{1f}^2(X_0, \mathbb{Z})$ is primitive such that $[F_0]$ is a positive multiple of x . The ring structure satisfies $x^2 = 0$, $y^{n-1}x = c_1^{n-1}(F_0)$ and $y^n = c_1^n(X_0)$. From now on we will use the isomorphism $H^2(X_i, \mathbb{Q}) \simeq H^2(X_0, \mathbb{Q})$ to think about x and y as basis elements of $H^2(X_i, \mathbb{Q})$.

We can write $c_1(X_i) = a_i \cdot x + b_i \cdot y$, for some $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Q}$. Next, since $[F_i]^2 = 0$, $[F_i] = \lambda_i \cdot x$, for some $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.¹ Moreover, since $F_i \subset X_i$ has trivial normal bundle, $c(X_i)|_{F_i} = c(F_i)$. This implies

$$c_1^{n-1}(F_i) = c_1(X_i)^{n-1}[F_i] = (a_i \cdot x + b_i \cdot y)^{n-1}\lambda_i \cdot x = b_i^{n-1}\lambda_i \cdot y^{n-1}x.$$

Since F_i is Fano, b_i and λ_i are both nonzero and bounded, because Fano varieties of a fixed dimension form a bounded family.

What follows is inspired by [14, Theorem 4.2.3]. We have

$$\chi(X_i, \mathcal{O}_{X_i}) = \sum_{s \geq 0} \frac{1}{2^{n+2s}(n-2s)!} c_1(X_i)^{n-2s} A_s(p_1, \dots, p_s),$$

where $A_s(p_1, \dots, p_s)$ is a polynomial in the Pontryagin classes. Since b_i is bounded and $x^2 = 0$, the above expression is a linear polynomial in a_i with bounded coefficients,

$$\chi(X_i, \mathcal{O}_{X_i}) = \mu_1(i) \cdot a_i + \mu_0(i).$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1(i) &= \sum_{s \geq 0} \frac{1}{2^{n+2s}(n-2s)!} (n-2s)x \cdot (b_i y)^{n-1-2s} A_s(p_1, \dots, p_s) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_i} [F_i] \cdot \sum_{s \geq 0} \frac{1}{2^{n+2s}(n-1-2s)!} (b_i y)^{n-1-2s} A_s(p_1, \dots, p_s) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\lambda_i} [F_i] \cdot \sum_{s \geq 0} \frac{1}{2^{n-1+2s}(n-1-2s)!} c_1(X_i)^{n-1-2s} A_s(p_1, \dots, p_s) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\lambda_i} \chi(F_i, \mathcal{O}_{F_i}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\lambda_i}, \end{aligned}$$

¹ In fact, $\lambda_i = \pm 1$, because $X_i \rightarrow C_i$ has a rational section by Grabber–Harris–Starr’s theorem, but we do not use this here.

where we used that the Chern and Pontryagin classes of X_i restrict to those of F_i . The above computation shows $\mu_1(i) \neq 0$ for all i .

Since the Betti numbers of X_i are bounded, and X_i is Kähler, $\chi(X_i, \mathcal{O}_{X_i})$ attains only finitely many values. Since $\mu_0(i)$ and $\mu_1(i) \neq 0$ are bounded, a_i is bounded. This proves that $c_1(X_i)$ is bounded. The boundedness of $c_2(X_i)$ follows from $p_1(X_i) = c_1^2(X_i) - 2c_2(X_i)$. This proves the proposition. \square

Remark 27 The above proof shows that for $n = 3$, one can replace condition (2) in Proposition 26 by the following slightly weaker assumption: for each i there is an isomorphism $H_{if}^2(X_i, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{if}^2(X_0, \mathbb{Z})$ which respects the trilinear forms, given by cup products, and the linear forms, given by the first Pontryagin classes.

6.2 Unramified conic bundles over surfaces

The aim of this subsection is to prove

Proposition 28 *Let $(X_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be a sequence of smooth Kähler threefolds with the structure of an unramified conic bundle $f_i : X_i \rightarrow S_i$ over a smooth Kähler surface S_i . Suppose that*

- (1) *the Betti numbers of the X_i 's are bounded;*
- (2) *for each i , there is an isomorphism $H_{if}^2(X_i, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H_{if}^2(X_0, \mathbb{Z})$ which respects the trilinear forms given by cup products.*

Then the sequence of Chern numbers $c_1^3(X_i)$ is bounded.

We need the following lemma, which is well-known (at least) in the projective case.

Lemma 29 *Let X be a smooth Kähler threefold admitting the structure of an unramified conic bundle $f : X \rightarrow S$ over a smooth Kähler surface S . Then we have the following numerical equivalence on S :*

$$f_* K_X^2 \equiv -4K_S.$$

Proof Note first that the Néron–Severi group $NS(S)$ is generated by smooth curves $C \subset S$; this is clear if S is projective and it follows easily from the classification of surfaces if S is a non-projective Kähler surface, see [3]. It thus suffices to compare the intersection numbers of $f_* K_X^2$ and $-4K_S$ with a smooth curve $C \subset S$. This follows from an easy computation, where one uses that $R := f^{-1}(C)$ is a minimal ruled surface with normal bundle $f^* \mathcal{O}_S(C)|_R$, cf. [12, Proposition 7.1.8] and the references therein.

The following alternative (and slightly more general) argument was suggested to us by D. Kotschick. We have $TX = f^*TS \oplus Tf$, where $Tf = \ker(f_* : TX \rightarrow f^*TS)$ is the tangent bundle along the fibres of f . Hence,

$$c_1^2(X) = f^*c_1^2(S) + 2c_1(Tf)f^*c_1(S) + c_1^2(Tf).$$

As in Lemma 12, Tf is stably isomorphic to f^*E for a real rank three vector bundle E on S . Since Tf is a complex line bundle, we deduce $c_1^2(Tf) = p_1(Tf) \in f^*H^4(S, \mathbb{Z})$ and so $f_*c_1^2(Tf) = 0$. Hence,

$$f_*c_1^2(X) = f_*(2c_1(Tf)f^*c_1(S)) = 4c_1(S),$$

because $c_1(Tf)$ restricts to $c_1(\mathbb{P}^1) = 2$ on each fibre. □

Proof of Proposition 28 Using the isomorphism $H_{if}^2(X_i, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H_{if}^2(X_0, \mathbb{Z})$ which respects the trilinear forms given by cup products, we identify degree two cohomology classes of X_i with those of X_0 . Using Poincaré duality, we further identify classes of $H_{if}^4(X_i, \mathbb{Z})$ with linear forms on $H_{if}^2(X_i, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H_{if}^2(X_0, \mathbb{Z})$.

The codimension one linear subspace $f_i^*\mathbb{P}(H^2(S_i, \mathbb{C}))$ of $\mathbb{P}(H^2(X_0, \mathbb{C}))$ is contained in the cubic hypersurface $\{\alpha \mid \alpha^3 = 0\}$. Passing to a suitable subsequence we can therefore assume that

$$f_i^*H^2(S_i, \mathbb{C}) \subset H^2(X_0, \mathbb{C})$$

does not depend on i . Let $\ell_i \in H_{if}^4(X_0, \mathbb{Z})$ be the class of a fiber of f_i . The action of this class on $H^2(X_0, \mathbb{Q})$ has kernel $f_i^*H^2(S_i, \mathbb{Q})$, and so $\ell_i \cdot \mathbb{Q}$ is independent of i . Since ℓ_i is an integral class with $K_{X_i} \cdot \ell_i = -2$, we may after possibly passing to another subsequence thus assume that $\ell_i = \ell$ does not depend on i .

For any class $y \in H^2(X_0, \mathbb{Q})$ which does not lie in $f_i^*H^2(S_i, \mathbb{Q})$, we have

$$H^2(X_0, \mathbb{Q}) = f_i^*H^2(S_i, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus y \cdot \mathbb{Q} \quad \text{and} \quad H^4(X_0, \mathbb{Q}) = f_i^*H^2(S_i, \mathbb{Q}) \cdot y \oplus \ell \cdot \mathbb{Q}.$$

In particular, $y^2 = uy + \lambda\ell$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $u \in f_i^*H^2(S_i, \mathbb{Q})$. Replacing y by a suitable multiple of $y - \frac{1}{2}u$, we may thus assume that

$$y \cdot \ell = -2 \quad \text{and} \quad y^2 \in f_i^*H^4(S_i, \mathbb{Q}) = \ell \cdot \mathbb{Q}.$$

Using this class, we have

$$K_{X_i} = y + f_i^*z_i,$$

for some $z_i \in H^2(S_i, \mathbb{Q})$. We claim that $z_i^2 \in H^4(S_i, \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Q}$ is a bounded sequence, which implies the theorem, because

$$K_{X_i}^3 = y^3 + 3f_i^*z_i^2 \cdot y = y^3 - 6z_i^2,$$

and y^3 does not depend on i .

By Lemma 29, we have a numerical equivalence

$$f_{i*}K_{X_i}^2 \equiv -4z_i \equiv -4K_{S_i}.$$

In particular, $z_i^2 = K_{S_i}^2$ is bounded by the Betti numbers of X_i , as we want. This finishes the proof of Proposition 28. □

6.3 Chern numbers of Mori fibre spaces with divisible canonical class

The results of the previous subsections imply the following result.

Corollary 30 *Let X be a smooth Kähler threefold which admits the structure of a Mori fibre space $f : X \rightarrow B$. If $c_1(X)$ is numerically divisible, then the Chern numbers of X are determined up to finite ambiguity by the following invariants:*

- (1) *the Betti numbers of X ;*
- (2) *the triple $(H_{1f}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}), F_X, p_1(X))$, where F_X denotes the cubic form on $H_{1f}^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, given by cup product, and $p_1(X)$ denotes the linear form given by the first Pontryagin class.*

Proof The case where B is a point follows from the boundedness of Fano threefolds [12]. Next, note that F_X determines the trilinear form on $H_{1f}^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, given by cup product. If B is a curve, then the assertion follows therefore from Proposition 26 and Remark 27, and if B is a surface, we conclude via Theorems 10 and 11, and Proposition 28. □

7 Proof of Theorem 4

In the Proof of Theorem 4, we will use the following result from [5].

Lemma 31 [5] *Let Y be a smooth complex projective threefold and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be the blow-up of a point of Y . If $E \subset X$ denotes the exceptional divisor of f , then*

- (1) *the class $[E] \in H_{1f}^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is determined up to finite ambiguity by the cubic form on $H_{1f}^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$.*
- (2) *the class $[E] \in H_{1f}^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ determines uniquely the subspace $f^*H_{1f}^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \subset H_{1f}^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof The first assertion follows from [30, Proposition 13] and [5, Proposition 3.3]. The second assertion follows from $H_{1f}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) = f^*H_{1f}^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus [E] \cdot \mathbb{Z}$, which shows that the cup product map

$$\cup[E] : H_{1f}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{1f}^4(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

has kernel $f^*H_{1f}^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$. □

The following theorem implies Theorem 4 from the introduction.

Theorem 32 *Let X be a smooth Kähler threefold such that $c_1(X)$ is numerically divisible. Then the Chern numbers of X are determined up to finite ambiguity by the following invariants:*

- (1) *the Betti numbers of X ;*
- (2) *the cubic form $F_X \in S^3 H_{1f}^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^\vee$, given by cup product;*
- (3) *the linear form, given by the first Pontryagin class $p_1(X) \in H_{1f}^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^\vee$.*

Proof Let X be a smooth complex projective threefold such that $c_1(X)$ is numerically divisible. By Theorems 10 and 11, there is a sequence of blow-downs to points in smooth loci

$$X = Y_r \longrightarrow Y_{r-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow Y_1 \longrightarrow Y_0 = Y,$$

such that Y is either a smooth minimal model or a smooth Mori fiber space. Moreover, $c_1(Y)$ is numerically divisible and the number of such blow-downs is bounded by $r \leq \rho(X) - 1$, where $\rho(X)$ denotes the Picard number of X .

Let $E_i \subset Y_i$ be the exceptional divisor of $Y_i \longrightarrow Y_{i-1}$ and let $f_i : X \longrightarrow Y_i$ denote the natural map. By Lemma 31, the class $[E_r] \in H_{1f}^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is determined up to finite ambiguity by the cubic form on $H_{1f}^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, and it determines the subspace $f_{r-1}^* H_{1f}^2(Y_{r-1}, \mathbb{Z}) \subset H_{1f}^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ uniquely. Repeating this argument r times, we conclude that for all i , the classes $f_i^*[E_i]$ as well as the subspaces $f_i^* H_{1f}^2(Y_i, \mathbb{Z}) \subset H_{1f}^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ are up to finite ambiguity determined by the cubic form on $H_{1f}^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

In particular, the isomorphism type of $H_{1f}^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ together with the cubic form F_Y given by cup product is up to finite ambiguity determined by the cubic form on $H_{1f}^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. We aim to show that $p_1(Y)$ is determined up to finite ambiguity as well. In order to see this, we note

$$H_{1f}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) = f^* H_{1f}^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^r f_i^*[E_i] \cdot \mathbb{Z}.$$

Moreover,

$$c_1(X) = f^* c_1(Y) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^r f_i^*[E_i] \quad \text{and} \quad c_2(X) = f^* c_2(Y).$$

Hence,

$$p_1(X) = f^* p_1(Y) + 4 \sum_{i=1}^r f_i^*[E_i]^2,$$

which proves the claim.

We have thus proven that the triple $(H_{1f}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}), F_X, p_1(X))$ determines up to finite ambiguity the isomorphism type of $(H_{1f}^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}), F_Y, p_1(Y))$. Applying Proposition 9 and Corollary 30, we can therefore bound $c_1^3(Y)$ in terms of the Betti numbers of X and the isomorphism type of $(H_{1f}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}), F_X, p_1(X))$. Since $[E_i]^3 = 1$,

$$c_1^3(X) = c_1^3(Y) - 8r, \tag{6}$$

with $0 \leq r \leq \rho(X) - 1$. Hence, $c_1^3(X)$ is also bounded in terms of the Betti numbers of X and the isomorphism type of $(H_{1f}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}), F_X, p_1(X))$. This proves the theorem. □

7.1 Explicit bounds for c_1^3 of non-uniruled Kähler threefolds

Using suitable examples of \mathbb{P}^1 -bundles over surfaces, Kotschick showed that for uniruled spin threefolds X , the Betti numbers do not bound $c_1^3(X)$, see (proof of) [19, Theorem 4]. In contrast to that result, the following corollary of the proof of Theorem 4 shows that such a boundedness result is true if we restrict to non-uniruled spin threefolds.

Corollary 33 *Let X be a smooth Kähler threefold with spin structure. If X is not uniruled, then*

$$0 \geq c_1^3(X) \geq \min(64\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) - 8b_2(X) + 8, -8b_2(X) + 8).$$

Proof of Corollary 33 We use the notation from the proof of Theorem 4. If X is not of general type, then, by [11, Corollary 1.4], the Kodaira dimension of X satisfies $\text{kod}(X) \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Hence, Y is a minimal model which is not of general type and so $c_1^3(Y) = 0$, see for instance [4]. It then follows from (6) that $c_1^3(X) = -8r$ for some $0 \leq r \leq \rho(X) - 1$.

Conversely, if X is of general type, then Y is a minimal model of general type and so the Miyaoka–Yau inequality yields $c_1^3(Y) \geq \frac{8}{3}c_1c_2(Y)$, see [36, 42]. Again by (6),

$$c_1^3(X) = c_1^3(Y) - 8r \geq \frac{8}{3}c_1c_2(Y) - 8r.$$

The corollary follows therefore from $c_1c_2(X) = c_1c_2(Y)$ and $0 \leq r \leq b_2(X) - 1$. \square

Remark 34 Corollary 33 shows that non-uniruled Kähler threefolds X with spin structure satisfy $K_X^3 \geq 0$. This fails without the spin assumption. For instance, if C and E denote smooth projective curves of genus g and 1, then $X = Bl_{\Delta_C \times 0}(C \times C \times E)$ satisfies $K_X^3 = -4g + 10$, which is negative for $g \geq 3$.

8 Examples of unbounded Chern classes and deformation types

By Theorem 2, the deformation types of Kähler structures of general type on a given spin 6-manifold are bounded; by Theorem 4, the same holds for the Chern numbers of arbitrary Kähler structures. In this section, we show that both results are sharp.

Proposition 35 *There is a simply connected spin 6-manifold M , which admits a sequence of Kähler structures X_i , such that $c_1(X_i) \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ is unbounded.*

Proof Let $q \geq 3$ be an odd integer. As in [31], we consider the Dolgachev surface S_q , which is the elliptic surface, obtained from a general pencil of plane cubic curves $S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ by a logarithmic transformation of order 2 and q at two smooth fibres. There is an h-cobordism W_q between S_q and S_3 which induces isomorphisms $H^2(S_q, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^2(S_3, \mathbb{Z})$.

We choose a cohomology class $\omega \in H^2(S_3, \mathbb{Z})$ with $\omega^2 \neq 0$ and such that the mod 2 reduction of $c_1(S_3) + \omega$ vanishes. Since S_q and S_3 are h-cobordant,

$w_2(S_q) \in H^2(S_3, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ does not depend on q and so the mod 2 reduction of $c_1(S_q) + \omega$ vanishes for all q . Since $h^{2,0}(S_q) = 0$, there is a line bundle $L_q \in \text{Pic}(S_q)$ with $c_1(L_q) = \omega$, see [31, Section 2].

Then the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle $X_q := \mathbb{P}(L \oplus \mathcal{O}_{S_q})$ over S_q is spin for all q . Moreover, since S_q is h-cobordant to S_3 and since $L \oplus \mathcal{O}_{S_q}$ extends as a complex vector bundle over the corresponding h-cobordism, it follows from the h-cobordism theorem that X_q is diffeomorphic to X_3 for all q , cf. [17, 19, 31].

From now on we think of X_q as a complex projective structure on a fixed spin 6-manifold M . If $c_1(X_q) \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ is bounded, then, by Proposition 8, the almost complex structures underlying the X_q 's belong to finitely many homotopy classes. Let us therefore assume that the almost complex structures which underly X_{q_1} and X_{q_2} are homotopic. Then there is an isomorphism of cohomology algebras,

$$\phi : H^*(X_{q_1}, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^*(X_{q_2}, \mathbb{Z}),$$

which respects the Chern classes. We use the following isomorphisms

$$H^2(X_{q_1}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^2(S_3, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus y\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad H^2(X_{q_2}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^2(S_3, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus y\mathbb{Z},$$

to identify the restriction of ϕ to degree two cohomology classes with an endomorphism of $H^2(S_3, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus y\mathbb{Z}$. Using this identification, the ring structure is determined by $y^2 = -\omega \cdot y$. Since $\{\alpha \in H^2(S_3, \mathbb{Z}) \mid \alpha^2 = \omega\alpha\}$ is an irreducible quadric, one easily proves that the elements of zero cube in $H^2(X_{q_i}, \mathbb{C})$ are given by the union of $H^2(S_3, \mathbb{C})$ with an irreducible quadric. In particular, $\phi(H^2(S_3, \mathbb{Z})) = H^2(S_3, \mathbb{Z})$. Since ϕ respects the ring structures, we deduce

$$\phi(H^4(S_{q_1}, \mathbb{Z})) = H^4(S_{q_2}, \mathbb{Z}), \tag{7}$$

where we identify $H^4(S_q, \mathbb{Z})$ via pullback with a subgroup of $H^4(X_q, \mathbb{Z})$. By assumptions, ϕ respects the second Chern class $c_2(X_{q_i}) = c_2(S_{q_i}) + c_1(S_{q_i})(2y + \omega)$. Since the Euler number of S_q is independent of q , it follows from (7) that

$$\phi(c_1(S_{q_1})(2y + \omega)) = c_1(S_{q_2})(2y + \omega).$$

Multiplying this class with y shows that it is non-zero. Therefore, for q_1 fixed, q_2 is bounded, because $c_1(S_q)$ is nonzero and divisible by $(q - 2)$, see Proposition 3.7 in [7, Section I.3]. This proves the proposition. □

Acknowledgements The first author is member of the SFB/TR 45. During parts of this project, the second author was supported by the DFG Emmy Noether-Nachwuchsgruppe ‘‘Gute Strukturen in der hoherdimensionalen birationalen Geometrie’’ and thereby also member of the SFB/TR 45. We thank D. Kotschick for detailed comments and P. Cascini, M. Land, E. Sernesi, R. Svaldi and B. Totaro for conversations.

References

1. Amoros, J., Burger, M., Corlette, K., Kotschick, D., Toledo, D.: Fundamental Groups of Compact Kahler Manifolds, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 44. AMS, Providence (1996)

2. Bartels, A., Lück, W.: The Borel conjecture for hyperbolic and CAT(0)-groups. *Ann. Math.* **175**, 631–689 (2012)
3. Barth, W.P., Hulek, K., Peters, C.A.M., Van de Ven, A.: *Compact Complex Surfaces*. Springer, Berlin (2004)
4. Campana, F., Höring, A., Peternell, T.: Abundance for Kähler threefolds. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* **49**(4), 971–1025 (2016)
5. Cascini, P., Tasin, L.: On the Chern numbers of a smooth threefold. To appear in *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* (2017)
6. Deligne, P., Griffiths, P., Morgan, J., Sullivan, D.: Real homotopy theory of Kähler manifolds. *Invent. Math.* **2**(9), 245–274 (1975)
7. Friedman, R., Morgan, J.W.: On the diffeomorphism types of certain algebraic surfaces. I. *J. Differ. Geom.* **2**(7), 297–369 (1988)
8. Hacon, C.D., McKernan, J.: Boundedness of pluricanonical maps of varieties of general type. *Invent. Math.* **116**, 1–25 (2006)
9. Hirzebruch, F., Kodaira, K.: On the complex projective spaces. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **3**(6), 201–216 (1957)
10. Höring, A., Peternell, T.: Mori fibre spaces for Kähler threefolds. *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **22**(1), 219–246 (2015)
11. Höring, A., Peternell, T.: Minimal models for Kähler threefolds. *Invent. Math.* **203**(1), 217–264 (2016)
12. Iskovskikh, V.A., Prokhorov, Y.G.: Fano varieties, from: “Algebraic geometry, V”, (I.R. Shafarevich, editor). *Encycl. Math. Sci.* **47**, 1–247 (1999). (Springer)
13. Kawamata, Y., Matsuki, K.: The number of the minimal models for a 3-fold of general type is finite. *Math. Ann.* **276**(4), 595–598 (1987)
14. Kollár, J.: Low degree polynomial equations: arithmetic, geometry and topology. *European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. I (Budapest, 1996)*, 255–288, *Progr. Math.*, vol. 168. Birkhäuser, Basel (1998)
15. Kollár, J.: Flops. *Nagoya Math. J.* **113**, 15–36 (1989)
16. Kollár, J., Mori, S.: Classification of three-dimensional flips. *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **5**(3), 533–703 (1992)
17. Kotschick, D.: Chern numbers and diffeomorphism types of projective varieties. *J. Topol.* **1**, 518–526 (2008)
18. Kotschick, D.: Characteristic numbers of algebraic varieties. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 10114–10115 (2009)
19. Kotschick, D.: Topologically invariant Chern numbers of projective varieties. *Adv. Math.* **229**, 1300–1312 (2012)
20. Kotschick, D.: Updates on Hirzebruch’s 1954 problem list. [arXiv:1305.4623](https://arxiv.org/abs/1305.4623)
21. Kotschick, D., Schreieder, S.: The Hodge ring of Kähler manifolds. *Compositio Math.* **149**, 637–657 (2013)
22. LeBrun, C.: Topology versus Chern numbers of complex 3-folds. *Pacific J. Math.* **191**, 123–131 (1999)
23. Libgober, A.S., Wood, J.W.: Uniqueness of the complex structure on Kähler manifolds of certain homotopy types. *J. Differ. Geom.* **3**(2), 139–154 (1990)
24. Miyaoka, Y.: The Chern classes and Kodaira dimension of a minimal variety, *Alg. Geom. Sendai 1985*. *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* **1**, 449–476 (1987)
25. Martinelli, D., Schreieder, S., Tasin, L.: On the number and boundedness of log minimal models of general type. [arXiv:1610.08932](https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.08932)
26. Mori, S.: Threefolds whose canonical bundles are not numerically effective. *Ann. Math.* **116**, 133–176 (1983)
27. Mori, S.: Flip theorem and the existence of minimal models for 3-folds. *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **1**, 117–253 (1988)
28. Mori, S., Prokhorov, Y.: On \mathcal{Q} -conic bundles. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **4**(4), 315–369 (2008)
29. Novikov, S.P.: On manifolds with free abelian fundamental group and their application. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **3**, 207–246 (1966)
30. Okonek, C., Van de Ven, A.: Cubic forms and complex 3-folds. *Enseign. Math. (2)* **41**(3–4), 297–333 (1995)
31. Schreieder, S., Tasin, L.: Algebraic structures with unbounded Chern numbers. *J. Topol.* **9**, 849–860 (2016)
32. Schreieder, S.: On the construction problem for Hodge numbers. *Geom. Topol.* **1**(9), 295–342 (2015)
33. Siu, Y.-T.: Invariance of plurigenera. *Invent. Math.* **134**(3), 661–673 (1998)
34. Smale, S.: Diffeomorphisms of the 2-sphere. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1**, 621–626 (1959)

35. Takayama, S.: Pluricanonical systems on algebraic varieties of general type. *Invent. Math.* **165**(3), 551–587 (2006)
36. Tsuji, H.: Stability of tangent bundles of minimal algebraic varieties. *Topology* **2**(7), 429–442 (1988)
37. Tsuji, H.: Pluricanonical systems of projective varieties of general type. I. *Osaka J. Math.* **43**(4), 967–995 (2006)
38. Voisin, C.: On the homotopy types of compact Kähler and complex projective manifolds. *Invent. Math.* **157**, 329–343 (2004)
39. Wall, C.T.C.: On the classification problem in differential topology, V. *Invent. Math.* **1**, 355–374 (1966)
40. Yau, S.-T.: Open problems in geometry. In: Yau, S.-T. (ed.) *S.S. Chern: A Great Geometer of the Twentieth Century*. International Press, Hong Kong (1992)
41. Yau, S.-T.: Calabi’s conjecture and some new results in algebraic geometry. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **7**(4), 1798–1799 (1977)
42. Zhang, Y.: Miyaoka–Yau inequality for minimal projective manifolds of general type. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **137**, 2749–2754 (2009)
43. Zhubr, A.V.: Classification of simply connected six-dimensional spinor manifolds, (English). *Math. USSR Izv.* **9**(1975), 793–812 (1976)