GENOTOXICITY AND CARCINOGENICITY

# The response of Ty1 test to genotoxins

M. Pesheva · O. Krastanova · R. Stamenova · D. Kantardjiev · P. Venkov

Received: 20 February 2008 / Accepted: 17 March 2008 / Published online: 28 March 2008 © Springer-Verlag 2008

Abstract The Ty1 assay is a short-term test for detection of genotoxins based on induction of the transposition of a gene-engineered Ty1 retrotransposon in Saccharomyces cerevisiae cells. Here, we provide evidence that the Ty1 test responds positively in concentration-dependent manner the carcinogenic genotoxins benz(a)anthracene, to benzo(a)pyrene, chenodeoxycholic and taurodeoxycholic free bile acids and to environmental soil samples polluted with carcinogenic substances. The Ty1 test gives negative results with the noncarcinogenic mutagens benz(b)anthracene, benzo(e)pyrene, lithocholic and taurodeoxycholic conjugated bile acids and to soil samples not polluted with carcinogens. Presence or absence of genotoxins in soil samples was evidenced by chemical analysis. Several explanations for the sensitive differential test's response to genotoxins are proposed and discussed. It is concluded that the Tv1 test can complement existing assays in laboratory and environmental studies showing high sensitivity to a wider spectrum of carcinogenic genotoxins.

**Keywords** Ty1 test · Carcinogens · Soil samples

M. Pesheva (🖂) · O. Krastanova Faculty of Biology, Sofia University, 8 "Dragan Tsankov" Blvd, 1421 Sofia, Bulgaria e-mail: mpesheva2000@yahoo.com

R. Stamenova · P. Venkov Institute of Cryobiology and Food Technology, 53A "Cherni Vrah" Blvd, 1407 Sofia, Bulgaria e-mail: cryobg12@yahoo.com

D. Kantardjiev National Executive Environmental Agency, 136 "Tzar Boris" Str, 1618 Sofia, Bulgaria e-mail: ncesd@online.bg

### Introduction

Biomonitoring requires accurate sensitive easy and fast methods to assess cellular damages. Presently, the Salmonella mutagenicity short-term tests (Maron and Ames 1983) are used to identify genotoxins with mutagenic and carcinogenic potential. Although a considerable overlapping of mutagens and carcinogens tested in the Ames assay was first reported (Bartsch et al. 1980), more recent validations have concluded that the correlation between carcinogenicity and mutagenicity is lower than earlier estimations (Ashby et al. 1991; Ramel et al. 1996). Animal and human carcinogens without apparent genotoxic activity are difficult or impossible for detection by the Ames test, which provoked the development of other short-term tests, such as alkaline elution, nick-translation, single-cell gel electrophoresis (Lerry et al. 1996), the restriction site mutation assay (Parry et al. 1990), the micronucleous expression (Kirsch-Volders and Fenech 2001). Some of these assays were evaluated as promising methods for monitoring exposure to genotoxic chemicals; however, few of them can be applied to large-scale environmental studies.

Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains have been used for construction of short-term tests for detection of mitotic gene conversion and crossingover, forward and reverse mutations (Zimmerman et al. 1975) as well as chromosomal missegregation (Alder and Parry 1993). A system selective for deletions has been constructed in *S. cerevisiae* (Schiestl et al. 1989) and has been termed DEL assay. The results obtained showed that the DEL test has a wider detection spectrum compared to Ames test, as explained by the positive response of the test to deletions inducible by genotoxins (Kirpnick et al. 2005). Although *S. cerevisiae* cells respond to a vast spectrum of genotoxins, the shortterm tests based on yeasts show lower sensitivity compared to bacterial tests (Ramel et al. 1996) due to the lower permeability of *S.cerevisiae* cells (Morita et al. 1989; Staleva et al. 1996; Kirpnick et al. 2005). Recently, the Ty1 transposition assay has been proposed as a short-term test for detection of carcinogenic genotoxins (Pesheva et al. 2005). The test is based on the activation for transposition of the *S. cerevisiae* Ty1 retrotransposon, whose structure and life cycle are very similar to those of the known oncoviruses. The sensitivity of Ty1 test was increased by the introduction into the genome of the tester cells of the mutation ts1/sec53, which increases the permeability of yeast cells (Staleva et al. 1996). The results obtained evidenced that Ty1 transposition is induced by a number of laboratory carcinogens, including those that are undetectable by Ames or DEL tests (Pesheva et al. 2005).

In this communication, the sensitivity of Ty1 test was studied using laboratory genotoxins of similar chemical structure having either carcinogenic potential or mutagenic potential, as well as environmental soil samples. A positive Ty1 test response was found only for the carcinogenic genotoxins and for soil samples polluted with carcinogens.

#### Materials and methods

# Sample collection and processing

Soil (S) samples were collected from regions in Bulgaria declared by the National Executive Environmental Agency (NEEA) as the regions with low (odd number) or high (even number samples) pollution levels. Sample collection was in June 2006 (S1, S2), November 2006 (S3, S4), May 2007 (S5, S6) and October 2007 (S8). Samples extractions were made according to published procedure (Rossi et al. 1991). Samples, each one representing an average taken in 10 m<sup>2</sup> area, were extracted with toluene in Soxhlet apparatus for 24 h; the solvent was evaporated and the residual dry matter was dissolved in dimethyl sulphoxide (Me<sub>2</sub>SO). Samples processed in this way can be directly analyzed chemically and studied in Ty1 test, which gives the advantage to compare the results obtained in the short-term test with the amount of genotoxins found in samples. The reproducibility of Ty1 test response was tested by repetitions in the following 15 days with aliquots of samples stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C. During this period, changes in their activity were not observed.

# Ty1 transposition test

The Ty1 test was performed essentially as described (Pesheva et al. 2005) with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* DG1141ts1 as tester strain. DG1141ts1 cells (*MAT* $\alpha$  *ura3-167 his3* $\Delta$ 200 *Tym HIS3AI ts1*) have a Ty1 element marked with the indicator gene *HIS3AI* developed by Curcio and

Garfinkel (1999). The successive transposition of this Ty1 requires transcription and splicing of Ty1-RNA to remove the artificial *AI* intron and encapsulating the spliced RNA in virus-like particles, where it is reverse-transcribed into Ty1-cDNA with intact *HIS3* gene. Every integration of this Ty1-cDNA into new places of the genome gives rise to a HIS<sup>+</sup> colony on minimal medium lacking histidine. Tester cells that did not undergo Ty1 transposition remain HIS<sup>-</sup> because of the *his3* $\Delta$ 200 deletion and cannot grow on the selective medium. Thus, Ty1 is a quantitative test for determination of the Ty1 transposition induced by genotoxins.

Tester cells were cultivated at 30°C in YEPD liquid medium to a density of  $4-6 \times 10^7$  cells/ml. Laboratory genotoxins dissolved in Me<sub>2</sub>SO were added to culture aliquots for 30 min in presence or absence of metabolic activation by S9 microsomal hepatic fraction. Processed soil samples were tested in presence of S9 fraction. Washed cells were further cultivated in fresh YEPD at 20°C for 12 h to complete the initiated Ty1 transpositions. Dilution of cells were plated on YEPD to determine the titer of survived cells and on SC-HIS for counting the HIS<sup>+</sup> transposants. Median rates of transposition were determined (Drake 1998), and the average value  $\pm$  SD from five to ten repetitions were calculated. In tables, the results are also presented as "fold increase" of Ty1 transposition. The Ty1 transposition in the controls was taken as 1.00, and by analogy with other short-term tests, a fold increase in treated cultures equal or higher than 2.0 is considered as positive response of the Ty1 assay.

## Quantitative chemical analysis

Processed soil samples were studied in NEEA using analytical methods that are internationally recognized in all Reference Centers for the European Environmental Agencies. A short excerpt of the chemical analysis of soil samples is given in Table 4. The chemicals that were analyzed but not included in Table 4, because the concentration of these substances were below the ecological standards for all studied samples, are pesticides such as alpha-HCH, beta-HCH, delta-HCH, epsilon-HCH, heptachlor, aldrin, isodrin, dieldrin, eudrin, *cis*-heptachlor epoxide, *trans*-heptachlorepoxide, oxy-clodran, *cis*-chlodran, endo sulfanI, endo sulfanII, o.p.DDE, p.p.DDE, a.p.DDD, p.p.DDD, a.p.DDT, p.p.DDT, methoxychlor, merix, ACB, and polychlorinated biphenols such as PCB28, PCB52, PCB101, PCB105, PCB118, PCB138, PCB153, PCB156 and PCB180.

#### Materials

All chemicals used were of analytical grade and obtained from Sigma Ltd. They were dissolved in Me<sub>2</sub>SO and dilutions were made into the appropriate aqueous treatment solutions. Me<sub>2</sub>SO added to the treatment flakes was in no case more than 5% v/v. Fraction S9 from rat liver was obtained from Microbiological Associates (Rockville, USA). Nutritional media components were from Difco Chem. Co. (St Louis, USA) and all media were prepared as described (Sherman et al. 1996).

## Results

The Ty1 test response to laboratory genotoxins

Previously, a positive Ty1 test response was found for some carcinogenic genotoxins that were not detectable in other short-term tests, suggesting an increased sensitivity of the test to a wider spectrum of genotoxins (Pesheva et al. 2005). Here, we investigated the sensitivity of Ty1 test to carcinogens by studying pairs of laboratory genotoxins that are very similar in chemical structure, however, one being a strong carcinogen and the second one having only mutagenic activity without being a carcinogen. Benz(a)anthracen [B(a)A] and benz(b)anthracen [B(b)A] are such pair of substances. B(a)A is considered carcinogenic for animals and humans (Seike et al. 2004), while B(b)A is a noncarcinogenic mutagen (Salamone 1981). As presented on Table 1, the Ty1 test showed a positive response to B(a)A at concentrations enabling substantial survival of tester cells. The Ty1 transposition increased in concentrationdependent manner reaching a plateau at about 600 µg/ml B(a)A (data not shown). Contrarily, B(b)A did not induce Ty1 transposition above the control value even at concentrations killing 70% of tester cells. The negative test responses are not due to permeability problems typical for wild type S. cerevisiae cells, since the tester cells containing the ts1/sec53 mutation proved to increase cellular permeability to genotoxins (Staleva et al. 1996; Pesheva et al. 2005). The permeability of cells to studied genotoxins is also evidenced by the positive answer of the test to B(a)A only in presence of S9 mix (Table 1). Similar results were obtained with another pair of genotoxins: benzo(a)pyrene [B(a)P], being strong carcinogen (Perera et al. 2005) and positive in Ty1 test with a fold increase of 19 at 60 µg/ml, and benzo(e)pyrene [B(e)P], a noncarcinogenic mutagen (Chang et al. 1981) giving negative results in the test (fold increase of 1.7 at 130 µg/ml). Recently, considerable evidence is accumulating in support of the view that free but not conjugated bile acids are carcinogenic in humans (Jurek et al. 2005; Looby et al. 2005). We took advantage from these studies to further characterize the Ty1 test response to genotoxins and studied the effect of the free chenodeoxycholic and litocholic bile acids and the conjugated taurodeoxycholic and glycodeoxycholic bile acids. The results obtained (Table 1) showed that the carcinogenic free bile acids induced positive response in Ty1 test, whereas conjugated bile acids defined as noncarcinogenic gave values close to the controls. While the two free bile acids increase the frequency of Ty1 transposition over a 10-fold concentration range, the conjugated acids showed no such activity, even though toxicities of up to 60% were produced (not shown). The positive response of Ty1 test to free bile acids was found only in presence of metabolic activation, evidencing the procarcinogenic status of these compounds suggested previously (Bernstein et al. 2005).

#### Study of environmental soil samples in Ty1 test

Samples collected from clean regions showed low toxicity and gave negative responses in Ty1 test (Table 2). These

<b>Table 1</b> Response of Ty1 testto laboratory genotoxins	Concentration	S9 mix	Survival % <sup>a</sup>	Median rate of transposition per10 <sup>8</sup> cells <sup>b</sup>	Fold increase
	Control (5% Me <sub>2</sub> SO)	+	100 (732)	$35 \pm 9$	1.0
		_	100 (816)	$28\pm8$	1.0
	Benz(a)anthracene (350 µg/ml)	+	89 (652)	$206 \pm 11$	6.6
		_	95 (775)	$39 \pm 10$	1.5
	Benz(b)anthracene (350 µg/ml)	+	85 (622)	$38 \pm 6$	1.3
		-	93 (760)	$25 \pm 12$	1.0
	Chenodeoxycholic acid (300 mM)	+	67 (490)	$193 \pm 15$	8.2
		_	87 (710)	$32 \pm 5$	1.3
	Litocholic acid (200 mM)	+	69 (505)	$211\pm16$	8.7
		-	82 (670)	$41 \pm 8$	1.8
<sup>a</sup> Actual number of colonies is given within parentheses	Taurodeoxycholic acid (400 mM)	+	87 (637)	$29\pm 6$	1.0
		-	97 (790)	$25\pm5$	0.9
<sup>b</sup> Average $\pm$ SD of medium	Glycodeoxycholic acid (400 mM)	+	98 (717)	$31 \pm 7$	0.9
experiments		_	107 (873)	$33 \pm 9$	1.1

Sample	Survival % <sup>a</sup>	Median rate of	Fold	Mutagens/carcinogens <sup>c</sup> found in chemical analysis		
1		transposition per 10 <sup>8</sup> cells <sup>b</sup>	increase			
Control (5% MeSO <sub>4</sub> )	100 (567)	$28 \pm 8$	1.0			
Clean regions						
S1	92 (521)	$22 \pm 10$	0.9			
S3	90 (510)	$27\pm8$	1.1			
S5	97 (550)	$31 \pm 6$	1.2			
Polluted regions						
S2	78 (442)	$131 \pm 16$	6.0	As, B(a)P, B(a)A, pyrene, crysene, benzo (ghi)perylene, B(e)P, fluorenthene, Pb		
S4	67 (380)	$127\pm18$	6.8	As, B(a)P, pyrene, crysene, B(e)P, fluorenthene, Pb		
S6	79 (450)	$99 \pm 9$	4.5	As, B(a)A, pyrene, crysene, fluoranthene, Pb		
S8	42 (240)	$141 \pm 21$	11.9	B(a)P, B(a)A, crysene, petrol products, B(e)P, fluoranthene, Pb		

Table 2 Response of Ty1 test to soil samples

<sup>a</sup> Actual number of colonies is given within parentheses <sup>b</sup> Average values  $\pm$  SD from 10 experiments made in presence of S9 mix

<sup>c</sup> Carcinogens are shown in bold

results evidence that the method chosen for sample processing is adequate, and Ty1 test does not give false positives with extracts of nonpolluted soils. Samples collected from polluted regions showed different toxicity on yeast cells with a higher toxic effect for samples containing petrol products (S8). All samples from polluted regions gave positive responses in Ty1 test with a fold increase ranging from 4 to 12. The positive answer was confirmed in concentration (=volume) dependent and kinetics experiments. As shown in Table 3, the positive responses (fold increase  $\geq 2$ ) appeared at relatively low doses having moderate killing effect on tester cells and gradually rise with increasing the volume of studied sample. No such dosedependent effect was observed with samples from the clean region. With increasing the time of exposure (15–90 min), the tester cells responded to treatment with samples from polluted regions with an increase in the rate of Ty1 transposition reaching saturation levels at longer periods (not shown). Contrary to these results, treatment with S samples from clean regions did not enhance Ty1 transposition, and background values were obtained even for long exposures.

The excerpt of the chemical analysis given in the last column of Table 2 shows absence of carcinogenic genotoxins in samples from clean regions and presence of carcino-

Table 3 Dose dependence of Ty1 test response to soil samples

Sample	Sample extract (µl/test)	Survival % <sup>a</sup>	Median rate of transposition per 10 <sup>8</sup> cells <sup>b</sup>	Fold increase
Controls (Me <sub>2</sub> SO)	50	100 (612)	$16\pm 8$	1.0
	100	100 (451)	$19 \pm 10$	1.0
	200	100 (480)	$21 \pm 7$	1.0
	300	100 (555)	$26 \pm 9$	1.0
Clean region (S1) <sup>c</sup>	50	98 (600)	$21 \pm 9$	1.3
	100	99 (445)	$20\pm7$	1.1
	200	91 (435)	$22\pm 6$	1.2
	300	93 (515)	$21 \pm 10$	0.9
Polluted region (S2) <sup>c</sup>	50	89 (545)	$45\pm 6$	3.2
	100	82 (370)	$81 \pm 13$	5.0
	200	76 (365)	$107 \pm 18$	6.7
	300	61 (340)	$156 \pm 22$	9.8

<sup>a</sup> Actual number of colonies is given within parentheses

 $^{\rm b}\,$  Average values  $\pm$  SD from six experiments made in presence of S9 mix

<sup>c</sup> Median rates and survival values for S1 and S2 were related to the corresponding controls of Me<sub>2</sub>SO volumes

**Table 4**Chemical analysis ofsoil samples

Chemicals	Ecological standard (mg/kg)	Pollutants in soil samples (mg/kg)							
		Clean regions samples			Pollute	Polluted regions samples			
		<b>S</b> 1	<b>S</b> 3	S5	S2	<b>S</b> 4	<b>S</b> 6	<b>S</b> 8	
Pb	50	31	24	29	364	386	348	287	
Cu	150	19	36	32	98	105	80	199	
As	25	15	9	12	113	93	82	31	
Zn	200	178	108	98	452	395	274	355	
Cd	2	0.4	0.3	0.5	2.2	3.2	1.5	1.8	
Naphthalene	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.08	0.05	0.10	0.07	
Acenophthene	0.03	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.08	
Acenaphthalene	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.03	
Flourene	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.04	
Phenanthrene	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.05	0.08	
Anthracene	0.05	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.06	0.02	0.09	
Flouranthene	0.02	0.28	0.19	0.03	0.24	0.06	0.13	0.68	
Pyrene	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.28	0.05	0.18	0.49	
B(a)A	0.02	0.06	0.01	0.00	0.10	0.02	0.05	0.47	
Chrysene	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.07	0.06	0.24	
B(e)P	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.09	0.18	0.00	0.18	
B(a)P	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.18	0.00	0.21	
Indeno(1,2,3cd)pyrene	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.01	
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	
Benzo(ghi)pyrene	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.01	
Petrol products	50	0.00	0.00	0.00	2	8	25	195	

gens in samples from polluted regions. Special attention should be given to samples S1 and S3 containing increased amounts of fluoranthene (see Table 4) and showing negative responses in concentration-dependent and kinetics experiments (Tables 2, 3). It has consistently been shown that flouranthene proved to be a potent mutagen in bacterial and mammalian in vitro test systems; however, results from in vivo carcinogenicity studies in rodents indicated that it is not carcinogenic (Goldman et al. 2001; Verschueren 2001).

#### Chemical analysis of soil samples

Parts of the protocols for the quantitative analytical study of the soil samples are given in Table 4. For all chemicals that were analyzed but not included in the table (indicated in "Materials and methods"), concentrations values, which were below the accepted ecological standards for all studied samples, were found. The results obtained showed a significant pollution of samples collected from polluted regions. The amounts of some heavy metals (Pb, As, Zn) were 4- to 6-fold higher when compared to ecological norms. Most samples were also polluted with the carcinogenic genotoxins B(a)P, B(a)A, benzo(hgi)perylene, petrol products and different mutagenic compounds. The samples collected from the clean regions did not contain any carcinogenic substances or heavy metals above the ecological standards; however, some of them (S1, S3) contained significantly higher amounts of flouranthene.

The results obtained confirmed previous analytical data of NEEA for a significant pollution of some regions (named "polluted" in this study) with different pollutants, including carcinogenic genotoxins. Soil samples, for which the presence of carcinogens over the ecological norm was evidenced, gave positive results in the Ty1 test, while samples polluted with noncarcinogenic mutagens (S1, S3) or samples collected from the clean regions (S5) were negative in this test.

# Discussion

The study in Ty1 test of several laboratory genotoxins, free and conjugated bile acids and soil samples polluted or not polluted with carcinogenic substances showed a dosedependent positive response of the test to carcinogenic genotoxins or environmental samples, for which the presence of carcinogens has been evidenced by chemical analysis. The Ty1 test gave negative results with laboratory noncarcinogenic genotoxins and with soil samples not polluted with carcinogens. A special interest represents the negative responses to B(e)P, B(b)A and flouranthene, which induce mutations that are not necessarily carcinogenic. It is now evidenced that Ty1 elements are activated for transposition by certain type of injuries to the genome through the DNA-damage signaling pathways (reviewed in Garlfinkel 2005). Our observation suggests that DNA damages induced by carcinogenic genotoxins are strong activators of Ty1 transposition. It should be noted however that some of our results were obtained by testing chemicals selected purposely for characterization of the Ty1 test, and the conclusion for a selective or nonselective test response to carcinogenic genotoxins can be made only after the study of large number randomly chosen genotoxins.

Several explanations can be proposed for the sensitive response of Ty1 test to genotoxins. First, Ty1 is a retrotransposon with structure and life cycle that are very similar to those of the known retrovirial oncoviruses (Garfinkel 1992). This may be considered as precondition for the similar responses to carcinogenic genotoxins of Ty1 retrotransposons and oncoviruses. It has been shown that Ty1 transposition induced by laboratory carcinogens depends on the function of RAD9 gene and transit through G1 phase of the life cycle (Staleva and Venkov 2001). RAD9 is a component of the DNA damage-signaling pathway, which monitors the integrity of the genome and can arrest the cell cycle to allow DNA repair to occur. The RAD9 checkpoint gene is the yeast functional counterpart of the human tumor suppressor gene TP53, which has among its functions the ability to monitor the integrity of genome and the property to delay DNA replication in G1 phase until repair has been completed (Bertram 2001). These data suggest the existence of similarities in certain steps of Ty1 transposition and neoplastic differentiation of cells, which can be interpreted in favor of a positive response of Ty1 test to carcinogens.

Second, the integration of Ty1 into new locations of genomic DNA creates genome instability and is accompanied by the appearance of DNA damages such as deletions, insertions, point mutations, gene-conversions, gene rearrangements (Garfinkel 1992). Most of these DNA damages were also found in different tumors induced by treatment with carcinogens (Bertram 2001). While each of the available short-term tests was developed to detect a specific genetic end-point, the Ty1 test will respond to the different DNA lesions induced by carcinogenic genotoxins, which increases its detection spectrum.

Third, results published recently evidence an increased production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) by the genotoxins that are positive in Ty1 test. B(a)P and B(a)A induced oxidative damages in human DNA with the formation of 8-oxo-7,8-dihydro-2-deoxyguanosine (Seike et al. 2004). At least 15 reports from 1980 to 2003 indicated that free but not conjugated bile acids cause induction of oxidative stress and enhanced production of ROS that damage DNA (Bernstein et al. 2005). These data suggests that the positive response of Ty1 test to genotoxins may depend on increased production of ROS by some carcinogens. Our preliminary data with mitochondrial rho- mutants of the tester strain indicated that the selectivity of Ty1 test to carcinogens is lost in cells with mitochondrial dysfunctions (Stoycheva et al. 2007). Since the oxidative phosphorylation in mitochondria, which is lacking in rho<sup>-</sup> mutants, is the main source of ROS production, this result also suggests the role of ROS in determining the response of Ty1 test to carcinogenic genotoxins. We favor this possibility for explaining the response of Ty1 test to genotoxins, and a detailed study on the role of elevated ROS production in the induction of Ty1 transposition is now in progress.

The advantages that the Ty1 test may have in environmental studies consist in its properties to detect sensitively the carcinogenic pollutants. It is a cheap, fast and sensitive method for detection of carcinogenic genotoxins, including those that are negative in other short-term tests. The usage of Ty1 test together with other tests for monitoring the environment might give a more complete picture of the pollution status in the studied regions.

Acknowledgments This research was supported partially by grant NATO SfP 977977 given to P.V.

## References

- Alder ID, Parry JM (1993) Development of screening tests for aneuploidy induction by environmental pollutants. Environ Health Perspect 101:5–9
- Ashby J, Tennant R, Definite W (1991) Defined relationship among chemical structure, carcinogenicity and mutagenicity for 301 chemicals tested by the U.S. NTP. Mutat Res 275:229–306
- Bartsch H, Mallaveille C, Camus AM, Martel-Planche G, Brun G, Hautefuelle A, Sabadie N, Barbin A, Kuroki T, Drevon C, Piccoli C, Montesano R (1980) Validation and comparative studies on 180 chemicals with *S. typhimurium* strains and V79 Chinese hamster cells in the presence of various metabolizing systems. Mutat Res 76:1–50
- Bernstein H, Bernstein C, Payne CM, Dvorakova K, Garawel H, (2005) Bile acids as carcinogens in human gastrointestinal cancers. Mutat Res 589:87–93
- Bertram JS (2001) The molecular biology of cancer. Mol Aspects Med 21:167–223
- Chang RL, Levin W, Word AW, Lekr RE, Kumar S, Yagi H, Jerina DM, Conney AH (1981) Tumorogenicity of the diasterometric bay-region benzo(e)pyrene 9,10-diol-11,12-expodes in newborn mice. Cancer Res 41:915–918
- Curcio MJ, Garfinkel DJ (1999) New lines of host defense: inhibition of Ty1 retrotransposition by Fus 3p and NER/TFII H. Trends Genet 15:43–45
- Drake JW (1998) The molecular bases of mutation. Holden Day, Oakland, p 382
- Garfinkel DJ (1992) Retroelements in microorganisms. In: Levy JA (ed) Retroviridae. Plenum Press, New York, pp 107–163

- Garfinkel DJ (2005) Genome evolution mediated by Ty elements in *Saccharomyces*. Cytogenet Genome Res 110:63–69
- Goldman R, Enwold L, Pellizzari E, Beach G, Bowman E, Krishnan S, Shields P (2001) Smoking increases carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in human lung tissue. Cancer Res 61:6064– 6371
- Jurek D, Fleckl E, Marian B (2005) Bile acid induced gene expression in LT97 colonic adenoma cells. Food Chem Toxicol 43:87–93
- Kirpnick Z, Homiski M, Rubitski E, Repnevskaya M, Howlett N, Aubrecht J, Schiestl RH (2005) Yeast DEL assay detect clastogens. Mutat Res 582:116–134
- Kirsch-Volders M, Fenech M (2001) Induction of micronuclei in nondividing mononuclear lymphocytes and necrosis/apoptosis may provide a more comprehensive cytokinesis block micronucleous assay for biomonitoring purposes. Mutagenesis 16:51–58
- Lerry T, Hummelen van P, Anard D, Castelian P, Kirsh-Volders M, Lamveris R, Lison D (1996) Evaluation of three methods for the detection of DNA single-stranded breaks in human lymphocytes. J Toxicol Environ Health 47:409–442
- Looby E, Long A, Kelleher D, Velkov Y (2005) Bile acid deoxycholate induces differential subcellular localization of the PKC isoenzymes beta1, epsilon and delta in colonic epithelial cells in a sodium butyrate insensitive manner. Int J Cancer 144:887–895
- Maron DM, Ames B (1983) Revised methods for the *Salmonella* mutagenicity test. Mutat Res 113:173–215
- Morita T, Iwamoto Y, Shimizu T, Maswazawa T, Yanagihara Y (1989) Mutagenicity test with a permeable mutant of yeast on carcinogens showing false negative *Salmonella* assay. Chem Pharm Bull 37:407–409
- Parry JM, Shamsher M, Skibinski DO (1990) Restriction site mutation analysis, a proposed methodology for the detection and study of DNA base changes following mutagen exposure. Mutagenesis 5:209–212
- Perera F, Tang D, Whyatt R, Lederman W, Jedrychowski W (2005) DNA damage from polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons measured by benzo(a)pyrene-DNA adduct in mother and newborn from Northeon Manhatten, the Word Trade Center Area, Poland and China. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 14:709–714

- Pesheva M, Krastanova O, Staleva L, Dentcheva V, Hadzhitodorov M, Venkov P (2005) The Ty1 transposition test: a new short-term test for detection of carcinogens. J Microbiol Methods 61:1–8
- Ramel C, Cederberg H, Magmusson J, Vogel E, Natarajen AT, Mullander LH, Nivard JM, Parry JM, Leyson A, Comendator MA, Siera LM Ferreiro JA, Consuegra S (1996) Somatic recombination gene amplification and cancer. Mutat Res 353:85–107
- Rossi C, Poli P, Buschini A, Cassoni F, Galli A, Vellosi R, Carratore R (1991) Genetic activity of samples collected from a waste incinerator and its neighboring areas. Toxic Environ Chem 30:51–61
- Salamone MF (1981) Toxicity of 41 carcinogens and noncarcinogenic analogs. Prog Mutat Res 1:682–685
- Schiestl RH, Geitz RD, Mehta RD, Hastings PJ (1989) Carcinogens induce intrachromosomal recombination in yeast. Carcinogenesis 10:1445–1455
- Seike K, Murate M, Harakawa K, Deyashiky Y, Kawanaishi S (2004) Oxidative DNA damage induced by benz(a)anthracene dihydrodiols in the presence of dihydrodioldehydrogenase. Chem Res Toxicol 17:1445–1451
- Sherman F, Fink GR, Hicks R (1996) Laboratory course manual for methods in yeast genetics. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New York
- Staleva L, Walcheva L, Venkov P (1996) Enchanced permeability increases the sensitivity of a yeast test for mutagens. Mutat Res 370:81–89
- Staleva LS, Venkov PV (2001) Activation of Ty1 transposition by mutagens. Mutat Res 474:93–103
- Stoycheva T, Massarado DR, Pesheva M, Venkov P, Wolf K, Giudice LD, Pontieri P (2007) Ty1 transposition induced by carcinogens in *S. cerevisiae* yeast depends on mitochondrial function. Gene 389:212–218
- Verschueren E (2001) Handbook at Environmental Data on Organic Chemicals, 4th edn, vol 1. Wiley, New York, pp 1158–1161
- Zimmerman FK, Kern R, Rassenberg H (1975) A yeast strain for simultaneous detection of induced mitotic crossingover, mitotic gene conversion and reverse mutation. Mutat Res 28:381–338