#### **ORIGINAL PAPER**



# **Isolation and characterization of** *lactic acid bacteria* **producing a potent** *anti‑listerial* **bacteriocin‑like inhibitory substance (BLIS) from chhurpi, a fermented milk product**

**Atanu Manna<sup>1</sup> · Subhas Chandra Jana1**

Received: 6 November 2023 / Revised: 11 December 2023 / Accepted: 15 December 2023 / Published online: 22 January 2024 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2024

#### **Abstract**

Nowadays, the bacteriocin industries have seen signifcant growth, supplanting chemical preservatives in its ability to improve the shelf-life and safety of food. The increasing customer desire to use natural preservatives has fueled advancing bacteriocin research. The objective of this study was to identify lactic acid bacteria (LAB) that produce bacteriocin-like inhibitory substance (BLIS) and have strong *anti-listerial* activity. We have identifed and analyzed a LAB obtained from chhurpi samples, a popular milk-derived product in the Himalayan regions of India and Nepal. The strain was studied and identifed based on its morphological, biochemical, and physiological characteristics. Furthermore, the molecular 16s-rDNA analysis suggests that the strain was *Lactococcus* sp. RGUAM1 (98.2% similar to *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *hordniae* NBRC 100931T). The isolated strain can produce a potent BLIS, which has shown efficacy against three gram-positive bacteria responsible for food spoilage, such as *Listeria monocytogenes* (MTCC 657), *Staphylococcus aureus* subsp. *aureus* (MTCC 87), *Lactobacillus plantarum* (MTCC 1407), *Lactobacillus paraplantarum* (MTCC 12904). The scanning electron microscope (SEM) image illustrates that the crude cell-free supernatant (CFS) disrupts the cell envelope, leading to the release of cellular contents and the clustering of cells. In addition, this BLIS can easily withstand a wide range of pH  $(2-12)$ , temperature (up to 100  $^{\circ}$ C for 15 min), bile salt (0.3% *W*/*V*), salinity (4% *W*/*V*), and enzyme activity of 1600 AU/ml against *Listeria monocytogenes*. Our research ofers a robust framework and valuable insights into bio-preservation and its potential applications in diverse food products.

**Keywords** Antimicrobial peptide · Bio-preservative · Lactic acid bacteria · Lactococcus · Fermented food

# **Introduction**

Food is an organic item that can spoil due to physical, chemical or microbial factors, leading to severe health hazards. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), contaminated food causes the deaths of 420,000 people annually (Mohammad et al. [2018\)](#page-10-0). Therefore, food safety and stability are of utmost importance as a global concern. It is essential to use preservation techniques to maintain the quality and extend the shelf life of food products. The use of bio-preservatives in extending the shelf-life of food is a

Communicated by Sunita Varjani.

 $\boxtimes$  Subhas Chandra Jana subhasjana1959@gmail.com new and emerging area of research. For this purpose, fermented food products, benefcial bacteria such as lactic acid (LAB) and their metabolites are generally selected to control spoilage and render pathogens inactive (Colombo et al. [2018](#page-9-0); Phillips [2022;](#page-10-1) Sharma et al. [2020](#page-10-2)). LAB are capable of producing various volatile or non-volatile extrolites such as secondary metabolites, organic acids, vitamins, etc. LABoriginated bioactive compounds like bacteriocin have broad bactericidal activity and considered as good bio-preservative agents due to their non-toxic, thermostable, non-immunogenic nature (Manna and Mondal [2023](#page-10-3); Shafque et al. [2022](#page-10-4)). Most of the LAB bacteriocins act on pathogen cells by interrupting peptidoglycan synthesis or by binds with the Manose phosphotransferase system and forms pores in the bacterial cell membrane through barrel-stave-like pores or carpet mechanism (Scheme [1](#page-1-0)). Additionally, bacteriocin can disrupt DNA, RNA, and protein biosynthesis (Huang et al. [2021;](#page-10-5) Pérez-Ramos et al. [2021\)](#page-10-6). Several reports have

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Microbiology, Raiganj University, Uttar Dinajpur, Raiganj, West Bengal 733134, India



<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Scheme 1** Schematic of expected mechanism of action of BLIS produced by *Lactococcus* sp. RGUAM1 for cell lysis

showed that antimicrobial metabolites produced by Lactococcus lactis exhibit broad inhibitory property towards pathogenic bacteria (Navale et al. [2023](#page-10-7)). Nisin, a bacteriocin used as a food bio-preservative from long time, was discovered in 1928 and approved by the WHO in 1969. Initially, it was the only bacteriocin used in food bio-preservation. Nisin inhibits growth of pathogenic bacterial cells through wedgelike pattern, which causes leakage of cellular components (Bartenslager [2020;](#page-9-1) Sharma et al. [2021\)](#page-10-8). Furthermore, other bacteriocins, such as pediocin and micocin, are also used along with nisin (Aljohani et al. [2023\)](#page-9-2). Therefore, our study was focused on the isolation and identifcation of a BLIS producing *Lactococcus* sp. RGUAM1 from the traditional cottage cheese "soft chhurpi" (a fermented milk product). In addition, we are trying to explore the partial characterization of BLIS and its impact on various potent pathogens.

# **Materials and methods**

# **Bacterial strain and culture conditions**

The following indicator bacterial strains were considered to identify potent BLIS-producing LAB: *Escherichia coli* (MTCC 77), *Bacillus cereus* (MTCC 6728), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* subsp. *pneumoniae* (MTCC 39), *Staphylococcus aureus* subsp. *aureus* (MTCC 87), *Salmonella enterica* ser. *typhi* (MTCC 3216), *Enterococcus faecalis* (MTCC 3159), *Streptococcus mutans* (MTCC 497), *Yersinia enterocolitica* (MTCC 859), and *Shigella fexneri* (MTCC 1457) were grown in Nutrient media (HiMedia, India); *Listeria monocytogenes* (MTCC 657) were grown in BHI (Brain Heart Infusion) media; *Lactobacillus plantarum* (MTCC 1407), *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *Lactobacillus paraplantarum* (MTCC

12904) were grown in MRS (de-Man–Rogosa–Sharpe) media (HiMedia, India); *Vibrio cholerae* (MTCC 3904) were grown in LB (Luria Broth) media (HiMedia, India) as instructed by the source of purchase. The strains were maintained in lyophilized frozen stocks at  $-20$  °C when not used regularly.

# **Isolation and purifcation of bacteria from soft chhurpi**

We followed the standard protocol of Chatterjee et al. [\(2021\)](#page-9-3) and Vanniyasingam et al.  $(2019)$  $(2019)$  $(2019)$  with a few modifications to isolate and purify potent BLIS producing LAB from soft chhurpi procured from local market of Sikkim, India (27° 19′ 42.1′′ N 88° 37′ 04.4′′ E). We inoculated the set amount of chhurpi samples into 5 ml MRS broth (pH 6.5) and incubated the solution anaerobically at 37 °C for overnight. After incubation, the grown sample was centrifuged at 6000*g* for 10 min to collect the CFS (cell-free supernatant). The CFS was tested as a spot  $(5 \mu l)$  on a BHI agar plate containing a lawn of 0.8% agar of BHI media and seeded with overnight grown culture of *L. monocytogenes* (MTCC 657). After that, the plates were incubated at 37 °C overnight. The potent BLIS-producing cell appeared as a clear hollow zone. We reassessed the samples to purify the producer strain if a clear zone appeared. We poured the sample onto an MRS plate, and after incubation, each colony that appeared was picked up, mixed with sterilized MRS broth, and incubated again overnight. The colony-containing broths were centrifuged at 6000*g* for 10 min and spotted on the lawn plate of indicator bacteria. Subsequently, the plates were subjected to overnight incubation in anaerobic condition  $(CO<sub>2</sub>$  gas jar) at 37 °C, and if the CFS produced by the producer colony resulted in a clear hollow zone, the potent culture was stored

in 25% glycerol stock at −20 °C for further characterization of bacteriocin.

# **Inoculum preparation**

To prepare the inoculum, begin by transferring a single colony into a 50 ml tube containing 10 ml of MRS broth with a pH of 6.5. Following that, it was incubated at 37 °C for 24 h without agitation. To prepare the inoculum, 1% (*v*/*v*) of the primary culture was added to a 50 mL tube with 10 mL MRS media. Then, the tube was incubated anaerobically at 37 °C for 24 h. The inoculum utilized in all tests had an optical density of 0.03, measured at 600 nm.

# **Identifcation and biochemical characterization of isolated LAB**

# **Vitek‑2 compact automated system‑based bacterial identifcation**

The bacterial culture was suspended in a polystyrene tube containing 3 ml of 0.9% saline solution. The solution was vortex thoroughly to homogenize it uniformly, and the turbidity of the solution was adjusted with VITEK Densichek (BioMérieux) to match the McFarland 0.5–0.63 standard. Afterwards, the VITEK® 2 GP identifcation card was placed in the polystyrene tube, and the VITEK 2 system reported the results automatically.

#### **16s rDNA sequence analysis**

The isolated strains were cultured in 50 ml of MRS broth at 37 °C overnight. The genomic DNA of the isolate was isolated using the phenol: chloroform extraction technique, followed by Park [\(2007\)](#page-10-10). The amplifcation of the 16S ribosomal DNA (rDNA) by Thermal Cycler (BIO-RAD, Hercules, CA, USA) was conducted using the following Universal primers: 27F (5′-AGA GTT TGA TCA TGG CTC AG-3′) and 1492R (5′-AGA GTT TGA TCA TGG CTC AG-3′) (Cho et al. [2023](#page-9-4)). It was frst denatured at 94 °C for 2 min. After that, it was heated to 50  $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$  for 1 min, annealed at 94  $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$  for 30 s, and stretched at 72 °C for 1 min. For 7 min, the fnal expansion was done at 72 °C. The determination of the 16 s rDNA was conducted by Barcode Bioscience Co., located in Bangalore, India. The isolate's 16S rDNA gene was submitted to the EZBioCloud server [\(https://www.ezbiocloud.net/\)](https://www.ezbiocloud.net/) to fnd the most similar type strains. The ClustalW program in MEGAX software was used to obtain multiple sequence alignments for the closest-type strains of isolated strains downloaded from the EZBioCloud server. MEGA X was used to make a phylogenetic tree using the neighbor-joining method for the alignment fle obtained from ClustalW. All of the programs were run with the default set programs. The number of replications for the bootstrap test was modifed to 1000, and the Kimura 2-parameter model was chosen as the replacement model (Some et al. [2020\)](#page-10-11).

# **Determination of growth kinetics and bacteriocin production**

The bacterial growth kinetics were examined by introducing the inoculum (1% *v*/*v*) of overnight-grown producer culture in a 250-ml fask containing 100 ml of MRS broth. Then, the OD will be measured at 600 nm in a colorimeter for bacterial growth arriving at the stationary phase, estimated at 2-h intervals after the incubation and corresponding to the medium pH, and the antimicrobial activity of crude CFS was tested.

#### **Carbohydrate utilization test**

The test for carbohydrate utilization was conducted through the VITEK-2 automated machine.

#### **Lactic acid production test**

The isolated strain was streaked on 0.5% calcium carbonate  $(CaCO<sub>3</sub>)$  containing MRS agar (1.5%) plate for the production of hollow zone due to the formation of calcium lactate after incubation for 24 h at 37 °C.

 $CaCO_3 + CH_3CH(OH)COOH \rightarrow Ca(C_3H_6O_3)_2 + 2H_2O + CO_2.$ 

### **Efect of bile salt**

The test was performed with the method followed by Song et al. ([2015](#page-10-12)). The overnight grown culture of isolate was inoculated (1%) in 10 ml fresh MRS broth containing 0.3%, 0.5%, and 1% (*w*/*v*) of Ox Gall bile (SRL) at 37 °C. Then the bacterial count was performed for bile tolerance by comparing the initial plate count at 0 h and the fnal plate count after 24 h.

#### **Microbial adhesion to hydrocarbon assay**

Determining microbial adhesion to hydrocarbons (MATH) was conducted using the methodology outlined in Krausova et al. ([2019](#page-10-13)). This approach measures the suspension's afnity for xylene, a hydrocarbon solvent. *Lactococcus* sp. RGUAM1 was grown in MRS broth (pH 6.5) overnight at 37 °C and then centrifuged. The cells underwent two rounds of washing with phosphate-bufered saline (pH 7). Following the formation of the bacterial suspension, the absorption was quantifed utilizing a spectrophotometer (Double Beam LI-2700, Lasany UV–Vis Spectrophotometer) with 600 nm

wavelength. 5 mL of bacterial solution was blended with 1 mL of xylene and then incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. The ultimate determination of absorbance was conducted at a wavelength of 600 nm. The affinity of the suspension for xylene was determined by employing the subsequent formula:

Surface hydrophobicity (
$$
\% = A_0 - \frac{A}{A_0} \times 100\%
$$
,

 $A_0$  is the OD value of 600 nm initial suspension; *A* is the OD value of 600 nm suspension after mixed hydrocarbons.

#### **Hemolytic activity assay**

To assess the pathogenicity of the isolated *Lactococcus* sp. RGUAM1, we conducted a hemolytic activity assay. We streaked the test strain and Staphylococcus aureus subsp. aureus MTCC 87 (positive control) on nutrient agar plates supplemented with 5% (w/v) sheep blood and then incubated overnight at 37 °C. We will observe three possible hemolytic activity types: *α* hemolysis (partial hydrolysis), *β* hemolysis (clear zone of hydrolysis), and *γ* hemolysis (no zone around the colonies) (Parveen Rani et al. [2016](#page-10-14)).

#### **EPS production test**

To assess the bacterial exopolysaccharide production, we measured the amount of exopolysaccharides produced by bacteria in a 50 ml liquid medium of MRS (pH 6.5) supplemented with 2% sucrose as a carbon source. The bacteria were cultured anaerobically at 37 °C for three days. After incubation, cells were collected using 1 mm EDTA by adding 500 μl. The mixture was then vigorously mixed until it became uniform and then centrifuged at 9000*g* for 10 min. The supernatant was isolated from the bacterial cell sediment and mixed with a cold acetone solution at a 1:3 ratio. Subsequently, the combination underwent centrifugation at 15,000*g* for 5 min. The biomass is deposited as exopolysaccharide, washed with distilled water, and dried at 60 °C for 24 h or until a constant dry weight is achieved (Subair [2015](#page-10-15)).

#### **NaCl tolerance test**

We tested the ability of isolates to grow under NaCl concentrations by adding 1% (*v*/*v*) of isolates to 5 mL of MRS broth with varying NaCl concentrations (2%, 4%, 6.5%, 8%, and 10% *w*/*w*). These samples were then incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. After incubation the visible growth were observed.

#### **Antimicrobial assessment of BLIS**

The antimicrobial properties of the isolates were determined by spot-on lawn assay using diferent indicator strains:

- The CFS was neutralized using 1N NaOH.
- The lawn plate of diferent indicators (*L. monocytogenes, L. planterum, L. paraplantarum, V. cholerae, S. typhy, E. faecalis, Y. enterocolitica, S. fexneri, S. mutans, B. cereus, K. pneumoniae,*) with 0.8% agar containing specifed media (mentioned by the supplier) was prepared.
- The CFS was spotted on a lawn plate and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h.

After confrming the spot-on lawn assay result, sensitive indicator strains were analyzed under SEM. In this study, *L. monocytogenes* (MTCC 657) and *S. aureus* (MTCC 87) were incubated with CFS for 2 and 5 h. Similarly, *L. plantarum* (MTCC 1407) also underwent incubation with crude CFS for 1 h and 3 h. The cells were collected after centrifuged at 6000*g* for 10 min using a cold centrifuge. Subsequently, the cells were subjected to three rounds of washing with a phosphate-buffered saline  $(0.1 \text{ M at pH 7})$ . The cells were then treated with a 2.5% *v*/*v* glutaraldehyde (HiMedia, India) and incubated overnight at 4 ºC. Subsequently, the dehydration process was carried out using ethanol solutions of varying concentrations (40%, 50%, 60%, 70%, 80%, 90%, 95%, and 100% *v*/*v*). Subsequently, gold coating was applied over the cells, which were examined using a scanning electron microscope (SEM).

#### **Antibiotic sensitivity of isolate**

The susceptibility of isolated strain to six distinct classes of antibiotics was assessed using the disc difusion test followed Jawan et al. ([2021\)](#page-10-16). To perform antibiotic susceptibility test, frstly prepare lawn plate of isolated strain using MRS soft agar. After that, antibiotic discs were placed on a plate and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. The impact of commercially available discs containing levofloxacin (5 mcg), erythromycin (15 mcg), ampicillin (10 mcg), vancomycin (30 mcg), imipenem (10 mcg), and amikacin (30 mcg) on the isolate was investigated by testing. Next, the measurement of inhibitory zones was conducted and, after that, classified into two categories: sensitive  $(\geq 21 \text{ nm})$  and resistant  $(\geq 15 \text{ nm})$ . The zone diameter for each medication was interpreted according to the guidelines provided by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. (CLSI [2014;](#page-9-5) Ripamonti et al. [2011](#page-10-17)).

# **Characterization of antimicrobial compound (CFS)**

#### **Determination of BLIS concentration**

A serial twofold dilution was made with Whatman®-flter (0.22 µm) sterilized bacteriocin solution and sterile water. From each dilution, 5 µl of diluted samples were spotted on a BHI lawn plate (0.8% agar) seeded with *L. monocytogenes* (MTCC 657), followed by Nielsen et al. [\(1990](#page-10-18)). Plates were incubated overnight at 37 °C. In the current study, one arbitrary unit (AU) is quantifed using a volume of 5 µl from the most diluted bacteriocin solution that elicits a discernible zone of inhibition on the surface of the indicator organism. The resulting measurement is expressed as AU per milliliter (AU/ml).

## **Sensitivity towards protein‑digesting enzyme**

The nature of crude CFS was identifed for proteinaceous in nature by using proteolytic enzymes: Trypsin (SRL, India), Chymotrypsin, proteinase K, Protease, Pepsin (HiMedia, India). The stock solution was produced at a concentration of 2 mg/ml. The fnal concentration of the enzyme treatment after preparation was 1 mg/ml. Next, the enzymatically treated antimicrobial activity was evaluated by spot-on-lawn plate using *L. monocytogenes* (MTCC 657) as the indicator organism.

#### **Efect of temperature**

The effect of temperature on CFS stability was determined by exposing it to diferent temperatures and time durations. The temperatures used were 100 °C for 10 min and 15 min, 60 °C for 10 min and 15 min, and 37 °C for 10 min and 30 min. The bacteriocin activity was then tested using a spot-on lawn assay against *L. monocytogenes* (MTCC 657).

# **Efect of surfactant**

The crude CFS was incubated with diferent surfactants at a fnal volume of 1% (v/v), including EDTA, SDS, Tween 80, and Tween 20 at 37 °C for 2 h. The antimicrobial activity of untreated CFS was measured and used as a positive control. Then, the activity was tested on spot-on lawn assay against *L. monocytogenes* (MTCC 657).

#### **Efect of organic solvent**

The effect of different organic solvents (Ethanol, Isopropanol, Acetone, methanol) on neutralized CFS was determined at a ratio of 1:1 (*v*/*v*). Then, the sample was kept at a temperature of 37 °C for 30 min. After incubation, the mixture was tested on spot-on lawn assay against specifc indicator strain. The activity of untreated crude CFS was considered as a positive control. Then, the activity was tested on spot-on lawn assay against *L. monocytogenes* (MTCC 657).

#### **Efect of diferent pH**

To study the effect of different  $pH$  (2–12) on CFS were tested using 1 M HCl and 1 M NaOH and incubated for 2 h at 37 °C (Powell et al. [2007](#page-10-19)). After incubation, the CFS was adjusted to pH 7 using NaOH and HCl solution. Then, the activity was tested as a zone of inhibition against specifc indicator strain on the spot of lawn assay. Then, the activity was tested on spot-on lawn assay against *L. monocytogenes* (MTCC 657).

# **Statistical analysis**

The studies were conducted in triplicate, and the mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) data are reported in tables and fgures. Microsoft Excel 2021 was used for data analysis and graphical representation.

# **Results and discussion**

## **Identifcation of strain**

Vitek-2 automated system was identifed the strain as *Lactococcus* sp. (94% probability) based on its biochemical characteristics. Moreover, 16s rDNA sequence revealed that the isolated strain was 98.2% similar (NCBI accession no. OQ947165) to its closely related species *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *hordniae* NBRC  $100931<sup>T</sup>$  (Fig. [1](#page-5-0)). Furthermore, the SEM pictures revealed that the isolated strain was coccobacillus in shape and its average diameter was 988 nm (Fig. [2](#page-6-0)). This aligns with the size range of *Lactococcus lactis* strains, which generally vary from 0.5 to 1.5  $\mu$ m (Khelissa et al. [2021](#page-10-20)).

#### **Growth kinetics and BLIS production**

The process of BLIS synthesis commenced promptly after the incubation period, resulting in a steady and optimal production level after 8 h of cultivation in MRS broth (Fig. [3](#page-6-1)). Initially, the pH level of the media was 6.5. However, during the stationary growth phase, the pH level drops to 4.5 due to the production of lactic acid in the medium. Other LAB bacteriocin-producing strains have also reported similar results, i.e., *Lactobacillus plantarum* ST16Pa (Du et al. [2018\)](#page-9-6), *Lactococcus lactis* F01 (Fotso Techeu et al. [2022](#page-9-7)), and Lactobacillus paraplantarum BT‐11 isolated from raw bufalo milk (Kalhoro et al. [2019\)](#page-10-21). The isolated strain produces bacteriocin in the early log phase as a primary metabolite.

#### **Spectrum of antimicrobial activity**

Application of crude CFS of overnight grown culture on diferent pathogenic strains has inhibited the growth of *L. planterum* (MTCC 1407), *L. paraplantarum* (MTCC 12904), *L. monocytogenes* (MTCC 657), and *S. aureus* (MTCC 87) (Table [1](#page-6-2) and supplementary table T1). The SEM

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Neighbor-joining (NJ) tree based on nearly complete 16S rRNA gene sequences showing position of the strain *Lactococcus* sp. RGUAM1 amongst its phylogenetic neighbors. Only>50% bootstrap values (expressed as % of 1000 replications) are shown at the nodes



image analysis shows that the cell membrane integrity of pathogenic bacteria (treated cell) signifcantly changes in the presence of crude CFS in comparison to control (indicator bacterial cells without crude CFS) (Fig. [4\)](#page-7-0). Here, *L. plantarum* MTCC 1407 membrane has partially disrupted after 12 h of incubation (Fig.  $4A<sub>1</sub>$  $4A<sub>1</sub>$ ) and complete disruption takes place after 24 h (Fig.  $4A_2$  $4A_2$ ). Similar results were also found in case of *L. monocytogens* MTCC 657 (Fig. [4B](#page-7-0)<sub>1</sub>,  $B_2$ ) and *S. aureus* MTCC 87 (Fig.  $4C_1$ , C<sub>2</sub>). Previous work has shown comparable investigations demonstrating the inhibitory efects of *L. paraplantarum* BT‐11 on the development of *L. monocytogenes*, *S. aureus*, and *Salmonella typhi* (Kalhoro et al. [2019\)](#page-10-21). Kalhoro et al. [\(2023](#page-10-22)) showed that antimicrobial compounds from buffalo milk effectively suppress foodborne pathogens, including *L. monocytogenes*, *S. typhimurium*, *S. aureus*, and *Escherichia coli*, due to their

antibacterial properties. Pathogenic bacteria are responsible for many foodborne diseases from consuming contaminated food (Chen et al. [2022](#page-9-8)). Similar studies by Cossettini et al. ([2022\)](#page-9-9) showed that *E. coli*, *Salmonella typhi*, and *Listeria monocytogenes* have caused numerous outbreaks and fatalities. The isolate has been found to efectively inhibit the growth of *L. monocytogenes* and *S. typhi* by disrupting the cell envelope, known as potent foodborne pathogens.

# **Biochemical characterization of isolate**

The biochemical characterization of the strain is summarized in Table [2.](#page-8-0) The isolated organism was a gram-positive, catalase-negative lactic acid producing bacteria. The isolated bacteria have been found to exhibit *γ* hemolytic activity, in comparison to the positive control strain that shows



**Fig. 2** The scanning electron microscope image of *Lactococcus* sp. RGUAM1isolated from soft chhurpi; arrow indicates size of the isolated strain

<span id="page-6-0"></span>*β* hemolysis (Supplementary Fig. S1). In vitro assessment of hemolytic activity for probiotics is one of the safety necessities used to assess potential probiotic strains (FAO [2002\)](#page-9-10). Our results are supported by Kim et al. ([2022](#page-10-23)) in which *Lactococcus lactis IDCC 2301* exhibited *γ* hemolytic activity and thus confrmed that, this isolate does not cause any health hazard. Performing antibiotic susceptibility testing is paramount to safely utilizing isolated strains within the food sector. The isolated strain exhibits sensitivity to levofoxacin (DNA replication inhibitor), erythromycin and amikacin (protein synthesis inhibitor), and vancomycin (Cell wall synthesis inhibitor) antibiotics. But the isolated strain was resistant to ampicillin and imipenem (cell wall synthesis inhibitor). Similar studies by Morel et al. [\(2020](#page-10-24)) shown that the *L. lactis* Gh1's resistance to various antimicrobial agents allows for evaluating its suitability for human and animal consumption in terms of safety. The isolated bacterial strain can utilize diferent carbon sources, such as lactose, xylose, maltose, D-glucose, raffinose, D-trehalose, melibiose, sucrose, and D-mannose, as energy sources. It can tolerate a growth temperature of 25–37 ºC. The mesophilic bacterium has been found to thrive particularly well at 30 ºC and 37 ºC (Chen et al. [2015\)](#page-9-11). The study investigated the hydrophobicity of *Lactococcus* sp. RGUAM1's cell surface was found to be 86% when exposed to xylene. The variability in hydrophobicity, as determined by the MATH technique, may be attributed to the impact of diferent strains, the period of

<span id="page-6-1"></span>



<span id="page-6-2"></span>**Table 1** Antimicrobial spectrum of BLIS on pathogen. Values are mean±standard deviation of three independent experiments





<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 4** The scanning electron microscope image of *Lactococcus* sp. RGUAM1-BLIS mediated time-dependent killing of potent pathogens through cell membrane disruption. [A<sub>0</sub>—Lactobacillus plan*tarum* MTCC 1407 (Control), A<sub>1</sub>—*Lactobacillus plantarum* MTCC 1407 after 12 h of treatment, A<sub>2</sub>—Lactobacillus plantarum MTCC 1407 after 24 h of treatment; B<sub>0</sub>—*Listeria monocytogenes* MTCC

657 (Control), B1—*Listeria monocytogenes* MTCC 657 after 12 h of treatment, B<sub>2</sub>-Listeria monocytogenes MTCC 657 after 24 h of treatment; C<sub>0</sub>—*Staphylococcus aureus* MTCC 87 (Control), C1*—Staphylococcus aureus* MTCC 87 after 12 h of treatment, C<sub>2</sub>—*Staphylococcus aureus* MTCC 87 after 24 h of treatment]

culture, the specifc cultural medium, the presence of acids, and the choice of solvent (Darmastuti et al. [2021\)](#page-9-12). The EPS production capability by isolated bacteria is measured as the dry weight of the EPS. The EPS produced by isolate was  $3.17 \pm 0.02$  mg/ml. The isolated strain grows well in MRS broth with 2% and 4% NaCl, which can resist high osmotic pressure in the gastrointestinal system and maintain a relative osmotic pressure equilibrium. The salt tolerance of bacteria will facilitate to counteract the negative efects of high osmotic pressure inside the gastrointestinal tract (Xu et al. [2019](#page-10-25)). The isolated strain can grow with a 0.3% bile salt concentration. However, it cannot survive in the presence of 0.5% and 1.0% bile salt concentrations. The ability to tolerate bile salt in the medium is a crucial characteristic of probiotic bacteria (Kumar & Kumar [2015\)](#page-10-26). Similar studies by Ramalho et al. ([2019](#page-10-27)) suggest that *L. lactis* can tolerate high levels of salt (6% NaCl) and bile salts (0.3%) in a medium, making it suitable for use in food preservation.

# **Sensitivity to enzymes, pH, temperature, surfactant, and organic solvents**

The biochemical characterization of crude CFS is summarized in Table [3.](#page-8-1) The study included conducting experiments to investigate the impact of trypsin, chymotrypsin, proteinase K, protease, and pepsin on the antibacterial characteristics of





<span id="page-8-1"></span><span id="page-8-0"></span>

crude bacteriocin. The study revealed that all tested enzymes eliminated the bacteriocin's antimicrobial properties, leading to a 100% reduction in activity. Yildirim and Johnson [\(1998\)](#page-10-28) reported a similar result, indicating that the bacteriocin produced by *Bifdobacterium bifdum* NCFB 1454 is susceptible to proteolytic degradation. So, the susceptibility of protein-degrading enzymes to the activity of crude CFS may be attributed to the proteinaceous character of the BLIS.

Moreover, the bacteriocin can withstand pH variations from 2 to 12 and temperatures up to 100 ºC for 15 min. Similar studies by Azhar et al. ([2017\)](#page-9-13) shows that, the antimicrobial compound produced by *L. lactis* A5 can withstand the high temperature (100 °C). De Vuyst and Vandamme [\(2012\)](#page-9-14) suggest that thermal stability at high temperatures is attributed to several factors, including forming small spherical structures, hydrophobic regions, strong links between molecules, and a high glycine concentration. Generally, bacteriocin was an efective food preservative if it could withstand heat, as numerous food-processing steps entail heating (Ghrairi et al. [2008](#page-10-29)). Furthermore, the crude bacteriocin activity remains unaltered when exposed to surfactants like Tween 80, Tween 20, EDTA, and SDS and organic solvents such as Acetone, Ethanol, Methanol, and Butanol.

# **Conclusion**

Based on this research, it has been determined that *Lactococcus sp.* RGUAM1 and its bacteriocin-like inhibitory substances (BLIS) are deemed secure and suitable for utilization in the food sector. This strain exhibits probiotic characteristics, including resistance to bile salts, adaptability to varying salt concentrations in the growing medium, utilization of diverse carbohydrates, and susceptibility to various antibiotics. The BLIS exhibit exceptional stability throughout various pH levels and temperatures, making them valuable preservatives. Using *Lactococcus lactis* strains with BLIS production capabilities might augment scientifc comprehension and provide concrete benefts in several sectors, including food manufacturing, medicinal research, and poultry feed.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-023-03797-1>.

**Acknowledgements** AM is thankful to S.V.M.C.M. scholarship (WBP211618382055), Govt. of West Bengal, India. The authors are thankful to the Mr. Sanjeet Manna and Central Instrumentation Facility (C.I.F.), Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology (O.U.A.T.), Odisha, India, for the scanning electron microscope (S.E.M.) analysis.

**Author contributions** A.M. and S.C.J. designed the initial study; A.M. was involved in writing, image presentation, and table preparation; S.C.J. was involved in manuscript refnement, important intellectual content discussion, and overall supervision; and A.M. and S.C.J. were engaged in reviewing and editing the manuscript.

**Funding** The authors declare that no funds, grants, or other support were received during the preparation of this manuscript.

### **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** The authors have no confict of interest regarding the publication of this article. The authors have no relevant fnancial or non-fnancial interests to disclose.

# **References**

- <span id="page-9-2"></span>Aljohani AB, Al-Hejin AM, Shori AB (2023) Bacteriocins as promising antimicrobial peptides, defnition, classifcation, and their potential applications in cheeses. Food Sci Technol. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1590/fst.118021) [org/10.1590/fst.118021](https://doi.org/10.1590/fst.118021)
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>Azhar NS, Zin NHM, Hamid THTA (2017) Lactococcus lactis strain a5 producing nisin-like bacteriocin active against gram positive and negative bacteria. Trop Life Sci Res 28(2):107
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>Bartenslager A (2020) Investigating microbiomes and developing direct-fed microbials to improve cattle health
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>Chatterjee M, Jana SC, Raychaudhuri U (2021) Isolation, purifcation and characterization of a bacteriocin with broad spectrum activity from Lactococcus lactis JC10 from perishable papaya fruit. J Microbiol Biotechnol Food Sci 2021:655–660
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>Chen J, Shen J, Ingvar Hellgren L, Ruhdal Jensen P, Solem C (2015) Adaptation of *Lactococcus lactis* to high growth temperature leads to a dramatic increase in acidifcation rate. Sci Rep 5(1):14199. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep14199>
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>Chen L, Sun L, Zhang R, Liao N, Qi X, Chen J (2022) Surveillance for foodborne disease outbreaks in Zhejiang Province, China, 2015–2020. BMC Public Health 22(1):1–9
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>Cho Y, Han HT, Kim T-R, Sohn M, Park Y-S (2023) Immunostimulatory activity of *Lactococcus lactis* LM1185 isolated from Hydrangea macrophylla. Food Sci Biotechnol 32(4):497–506. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10068-022-01199-5) [org/10.1007/s10068-022-01199-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10068-022-01199-5)
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>CLSI (2014) Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing 24th informational supplement. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>Colombo M, Castilho NP, Todorov SD, Nero LA (2018) Benefcial properties of lactic acid bacteria naturally present in dairy production. BMC Microbiol 18:1–12
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>Cossettini A, Vidic J, Maifreni M, Marino M, Pinamonti D, Manzano M (2022) Rapid detection of *Listeria monocytogenes*, Salmonella, Campylobacter spp., and *Escherichia coli* in food using biosensors. Food Control 137:108962
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>Darmastuti A, Hasan PN, Wikandari R, Utami T, Rahayu ES, Suroto DA (2021) Adhesion properties of *Lactobacillus plantarum* Dad-13 and *Lactobacillus plantarum* Mut-7 on Sprague Dawley rat intestine. Microorganisms 9(11):2336
- <span id="page-9-14"></span>De Vuyst L, Vandamme EJ (2012) Bacteriocins of lactic acid bacteria: microbiology, genetics and applications. Springer
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>Du H, Yang J, Lu X, Lu Z, Bie X, Zhao H, Zhang C, Lu F (2018) Purifcation, characterization, and mode of action of plantaricin GZ1-27, a novel bacteriocin against Bacillus cereus. J Agric Food Chem 66(18):4716–4724
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>FAO (2002) WHO working group report on drafting guidelines for the evaluation of probiotics in food. London Ontario Canada 30(1):16–22
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>FotsoTecheu UD, Kaktcham PM, Momo HK, FokoKouam EM, TchamaniPiame L, Ngouenam RJ, ZambouNgoufack F (2022) Isolation, characterization, and efect on bioflm formation of bacteriocin produced by *Lactococcus lactis* F01 isolated from *Cyprinus*

*carpio* and application for biopreservation of fish sausage. Biomed Res Int 2022:8437926

- <span id="page-10-29"></span>Ghrairi T, Frere J, Berjeaud J, Manai M (2008) Purifcation and characterisation of bacteriocins produced by *Enterococcus faecium* from *Tunisian rigouta* cheese. Food Control 19(2):162–169
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>Huang K, Zeng J, Liu X, Jiang T, Wang J (2021) Structure of the mannose phosphotransferase system (man-PTS) complexed with microcin E492, a pore-forming bacteriocin. Cell Discovery 7(1):20
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>Jawan R, Abbasiliasi S, Mustafa S, Kapri MR, Halim M, Arif AB (2021) In vitro evaluation of potential probiotic strain *Lactococcus lactis* Gh1 and its bacteriocin-like inhibitory substances for potential use in the food industry. Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins 13:422–440. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12602-020-09690-3>
- <span id="page-10-21"></span>Kalhoro MS, Visessanguan W, Nguyen LT, Anal AK (2019) Probiotic potential of *Lactobacillus paraplantarum* BT-11 isolated from raw bufalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) milk and characterization of bacteriocin-like inhibitory substance produced. J Food Process Preserv 43(8):e14015
- <span id="page-10-22"></span>Kalhoro MS, Anal AK, Kalhoro DH, Hussain T, Murtaza G, Mangi MH (2023) Antimicrobial activities and biopreservation potential of Lactic Acid Bacteria (LAB) from Raw Bufalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) Milk. Oxid Med Cell Longev.<https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/8475995>
- <span id="page-10-20"></span>Khelissa S, Chihib N-E, Gharsallaoui A (2021) Conditions of nisin production by *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. lactis and its main uses as a food preservative. Arch Microbiol 203:465–480. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-020-02054-z) [1007/s00203-020-02054-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-020-02054-z)
- <span id="page-10-23"></span>Kim T, Mondal SC, Jeong CR, Kim SR, Ban OH, Jung YH, Yang J, Kim SJ (2022) Safety evaluation of *Lactococcus lactis* IDCC 2301 isolated from homemade cheese. Food Sci Nutr 10(1):67–74. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.2648) [doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.2648](https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.2648)
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>Krausova G, Hyrslova I, Hynstova I (2019) In vitro evaluation of adhesion capacity, hydrophobicity, and auto-aggregation of newly isolated potential probiotic strains. Fermentation 5(4):100. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation5040100) [10.3390/fermentation5040100](https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation5040100)
- <span id="page-10-26"></span>Kumar A, Kumar D (2015) Characterization of Lactobacillus isolated from dairy samples for probiotic properties. Anaerobe 33:117–123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2015.03.004>
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>Manna A, Mondal R (2023) Bacteriocin-mediated food preservation in conjugation with silver nanoparticles: a green approach. Food Chem Adv. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.focha.2023.100464>
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>Mohammad A-M, Chowdhury T, Biswas B, Absar N (2018) Food poisoning and intoxication: a global leading concern for human health. Food safety and preservation. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 307–352
- <span id="page-10-24"></span>Morel CM, Lindahl O, Harbarth S, de Kraker ME, Edwards S, Hollis A (2020) Industry incentives and antibiotic resistance: an introduction to the antibiotic susceptibility bonus. J Antibiot 73(7):421–428. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41429-020-0300-y>
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>Navale VD, Borade BR, Rama Krishna G, Vamkudoth KR, Kontham R (2023) Metabolites from *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. lactis: Isolation, structure elucidation, and antimicrobial activity. ACS Omega 8:36628–36635
- <span id="page-10-18"></span>Nielsen JW, Dickson JS, Crouse JD (1990) Use of a bacteriocin produced by *Pediococcus acidilactici* to inhibit Listeria monocytogenes associated with fresh meat. Appl Environ Microbiol 56(7):2142–2145. <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.56.7.2142-2145.1990>
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>Park D (2007) Genomic DNA isolation from diferent biological materials. Protocol Nucleic Acid Anal Nonradioact Probes. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1385/1-59745-229-7:3) [10.1385/1-59745-229-7:3](https://doi.org/10.1385/1-59745-229-7:3)
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>Parveen Rani R, Anandharaj M, Hema S, Deepika R, David Ravindran A (2016) Purifcation of antilisterial peptide (Subtilosin A) from novel bacillus tequilensis FR9 and demonstrate their pathogen invasion protection ability using human carcinoma cell line. Front Microbiol 7:1910
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>Pérez-Ramos A, Madi-Moussa D, Coucheney F, Drider D (2021) Current knowledge of the mode of action and immunity mechanisms of

LAB-bacteriocins. Microorganisms 9(10):2107. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9102107) [3390/microorganisms9102107](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9102107)

- <span id="page-10-1"></span>Phillips MC (2022) Metabolic strategies in healthcare: a new era. Aging Dis 13(3):655.<https://doi.org/10.14336/AD.2021.1018>
- <span id="page-10-19"></span>Powell J, Witthuhn R, Todorov S, Dicks L (2007) Characterization of bacteriocin ST8KF produced by a kefr isolate *Lactobacillus plantarum* ST8KF. Int Dairy J 17(3):190–198. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2006.02.012) [idairyj.2006.02.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2006.02.012)
- <span id="page-10-27"></span>Ramalho JB, Soares MB, Spiazzi CC, Bicca DF, Soares VM, Pereira JG, Da Silva WP, Sehn CP, Cibin FW (2019) In vitro probiotic and antioxidant potential of *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. cremoris LL95 and its efect in mice behaviour. Nutrients 11(4):901
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>Ripamonti B, Agazzi A, Bersani C, De Dea P, Pecorini C, Pirani S, Rebucci R, Savoini G, Stella S, Stenico A (2011) Screening of species-specifc lactic acid bacteria for veal calves multi-strain probiotic adjuncts. Anaerobe 17(3):97–105. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2011.05.001) [anaerobe.2011.05.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2011.05.001)
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>Shafque B, Ranjha MMAN, Murtaza MA, Walayat N, Nawaz A, Khalid W, Mahmood S, Nadeem M, Manzoor MF, Ameer K (2022) Recent trends and applications of nanoencapsulated bacteriocins against microbes in food quality and safety. Microorganisms 11(1):85. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms11010085>
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>Sharma R, Garg P, Kumar P, Bhatia SK, Kulshrestha S (2020) Microbial fermentation and its role in quality improvement of fermented foods. Fermentation 6(4):106. [https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentati](https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation6040106) [on6040106](https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation6040106)
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>Sharma K, Kaur S, Singh R, Kumar N (2021) Classifcation and mechanism of bacteriocin induced cell death: a review: bacteriocin classifcation and their mode action. J Microbiol Biotechnol Food Sci 11(3):e3733–e3733.<https://doi.org/10.15414/jmbfs.3733>
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>Some S, Sarkar B, Biswas K, Jana TK, Bhattacharjya D, Dam P, Mondal R, Kumar A, Deb AK, Sadat A (2020) Bio-molecule functionalized rapid one-pot green synthesis of silver nanoparticles and their efficacy toward the multidrug resistant (MDR) gut bacteria of silkworms (*Bombyx mori*). RSC Adv 10(38):22742–22757. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0RA03451G) [org/10.1039/D0RA03451G](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0RA03451G)
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>Song M, Yun B, Moon J-H, Park D-J, Lim K, Oh S (2015) Characterization of selected Lactobacillus strains for use as probiotics. Korean J Food Sci Anim Resour 35(4):551. [https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.](https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2015.35.4.551) [2015.35.4.551](https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2015.35.4.551)
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>Subair H (2015) Isolation and Screening Bacterial Exopolysaccharide (EPS) from potato rhizosphere in highland and the potential as a producer Indole Acetic Acid (IAA). Procedia Food Sci 3:74–81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.profoo.2015.01.007>
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>Vanniyasingam J, Kapilan R, Vasantharuba S (2019) Isolation and characterization of potential probiotic lactic acid bacteria isolated from cow milk and milk products. AGRIEAST. [https://doi.org/10.4038/](https://doi.org/10.4038/agrieast.v13i1.62) [agrieast.v13i1.62](https://doi.org/10.4038/agrieast.v13i1.62)
- <span id="page-10-25"></span>Xu Y, Tian Y, Cao Y, Li J, Guo H, Su Y, Tian Y, Wang C, Wang T, Zhang L (2019) Probiotic properties of *Lactobacillus paracasei* subsp. paracasei L1 and its growth performance-promotion in chicken by improving the intestinal microflora. Front Physiol 10:937
- <span id="page-10-28"></span>Yildirim Z, Johnson MG (1998) Characterization and antimicrobial spectrum of bifdocin B, a bacteriocin produced by *Bifdobacterium bifdum* NCFB 1454. J Food Prot 61(1):47–51. [https://doi.org/10.4315/](https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-61.1.47) [0362-028X-61.1.47](https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-61.1.47)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.