ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Prevalence and progression of radiographic ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament and associated factors in the Japanese population: a 3-year follow-up of the ROAD study

N. Yoshimura • K. Nagata • S. Muraki • H. Oka • M. Yoshida • Y. Enyo • R. Kagotani • H. Hashizume • H. Yamada • Y. Ishimoto • M. Teraguchi •

S. Tanaka · H. Kawaguchi · Y. Toyama · K. Nakamura · T. Akune

Received: 14 May 2013 / Accepted: 5 August 2013 / Published online: 22 August 2013 © International Osteoporosis Foundation and National Osteoporosis Foundation 2013

Abstract

Summary The prevalence of radiographic cervical ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament (OPLL) in 1,562 Japanese from a population-based cohort was 1.9 %. The presence of OPLL showed a significant association with the femoral neck bone mineral density (BMD), presence of diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis (DISH) and plasma pentosidine

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s00198-013-2489-0) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

N. Yoshimura (⊠) · H. Oka Department of Joint Disease Research, 22nd Century Medical and Research Center, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8655, Japan e-mail: YOSHIMURAN-ORT@h.u-tokyo.ac.jp

K. Nagata · M. Yoshida · Y. Enyo · R. Kagotani · H. Hashizume ·
H. Yamada · Y. Ishimoto · M. Teraguchi
Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Wakayama Medical University, 811-1 Kimidera, Wakayama, Wakayama 641-8509, Japan

S. Muraki · T. Akune

Department of Clinical Motor System Medicine, 22nd Century Medical and Research Center, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8655, Japan

S. Tanaka · H. Kawaguchi

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8655, Japan

Y. Toyama

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, School of Medicine, Keio University, 35 Shinanomachi, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160-8582, Japan

K. Nakamura

National Rehabilitation Center for Persons with Disabilities, 1, Namiki 4-chome, Tokorozawa, Saitama 359-8555, Japan levels. Only one new case of radiographic OPLL was detected, but OPLL progressed in all affected subjects.

Introduction The purpose of this study was to clarify the prevalence and progression of radiographic OPLL and the associated factors, using the population-based cohort Research on Osteoarthritis/osteoporosis Against Disability (ROAD).

Methods In the ROAD study, 1,690 participants underwent X-ray examination of the entire spine and both knees. Radiographic OPLL, lumbar spondylosis, knee osteoarthritis and DISH were diagnosed by a single, well-experienced orthopaedic surgeon. An interviewer-administered questionnaire and tests for anthropometric measurements were administered, and the BMDs of the lumbar spine and proximal femur were determined. A new OPLL case was considered if heterotopic ossification in the posterior longitudinal ligament was absent at baseline but present during follow-up. Progression was defined as an increase in the maximum length or width of the ossification at follow-up over that at baseline.

Results Radiographic OPLL was detected in 30 (17 men, 13 women) of 1,562 individuals who underwent X-ray examination of the cervical spine (prevalence=1.9 %). Its prevalence was significantly higher in men than in women (p=0.007), but no association with age was observed. In a logistic regression analysis, OPLL showed a significant association with the femoral neck BMD, presence of DISH and plasma pentosidine levels. Only one new case of radiographic OPLL was detected, but OPLL progressed in all affected subjects.

Conclusion This population-based study clarified the prevalence of radiographic OPLL in the Japanese population as well as its progression. OPLL showed significant association with plasma pentosidine levels, BMD and DISH.

Keywords Bone mineral density · Diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis · Ossification of posterior longitudinal ligament of cervical spine · Plasma pentosidine · Prevalence · Progression

Introduction

Ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament of the spine (OPLL) is the pathological ectopic ossification of this ligament at the cervical and thoracic spine. It causes myeloradiculopathy as a result of chronic pressure on the spinal cord and nerve roots [1, 2]. Epidemiologic studies have shown a relatively high prevalence of OPLL among the Japanese, a slightly lower prevalence among East Asians and a substantially lower prevalence among whites [3, 4].

In terms of its characteristics, several epidemiological studies have reported that adult-onset obesity and diabetes mellitus (DM) are independent risk factors of OPLL [5, 6]. Further, OPLL often coincides with diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis (DISH), a systemic disorder of hyperossification. McAfee et al. [7] found that seven (50 %) of 14 patients with OPLL had DISH, and in a Japanese study, DISH was present in 27 (25 %) of 109 patients with OPLL [8].

Besides the coexistence of other disorders such as DM and DISH, little detailed information is available on the profile of OPLL in the general population. These data are important in order to characterise the disease burden. In addition, limited information is available regarding factors associated with OPLL, including biochemical markers of bone turnover, bone mineral density (BMD) values, lifestyle factors, or other coexisting disorders, such as dyslipidaemia, impairment of glucose tolerance, lumbar spondylosis (LS) and knee osteoarthritis (KOA).

Thus, the aims of the present study were to clarify the prevalence of OPLL in the Japanese population and to examine the association of OPLL with biological and environmental factors as well as coexisting disorders. For this, we used a questionnaire survey and the large, population-based cohort Research on Osteoarthritis/osteoporosis Against Disability (ROAD), which included lifestyle factors and nutrition, blood and urinary examinations, BMD measurements and X-ray examinations [9, 10].

Methods

Outline of the ROAD study

We conducted the present study using the cohorts established in 2005 for the ROAD study. The ROAD study is a nationwide, prospective study of OA comprising population-based cohorts from several communities in Japan. The details of the cohort profile have been reported elsewhere [9, 10]. Briefly, in 2005–2007, we created a baseline database that included clinical and genetic information for 3,040 residents of Japan (1,061 men, 1,979 women); the mean age (deviation [SD]) of the participants was 70.3 [11.0] years (71.0 [10.7] years for men and 69.9 [11.2] years for women). The subjects were recruited from resident registration listings in three communities with different characteristics: 1,350 subjects (465 men, 885 women) were

from an urban region in Itabashi, Tokyo; 864 subjects (319 men, 545 women) were from a mountainous region in Hidakagawa, Wakayama and 826 subjects (277 men, 549 women) were from a coastal region in Taiji, Wakayama.

The participants completed an interviewer-administered questionnaire of 400 items that included lifestyle information such as occupation, smoking habits and alcohol consumption; family history; medical history; physical activity; reproductive variables and health-related quality of life. A questionnaire was prepared by modifying the one used in the Osteoporotic Fractures in Men Study [11], and some new items were added to the modified questionnaire. The participants were asked whether they took prescription medication daily or nearly every day (0 = no, 1 = yes). If participants did not know the reason for the prescribed medication, they were asked to bring their medications to the medical doctor (NY).

Anthropometric measurements included height (in centimetres), body weight (in kilograms), arm span (in centimetres), bilateral grip strength (in kilograms) and body mass index (BMI; in kilograms per square metre). Experienced orthopaedic surgeons collected medical information on systematic, local and mental status, including information on back, knee and hip pain; swelling and range of motion of the joints and patellar and Achilles tendon reflexes.

In 2008–2010, we attempted to locate and follow up all 3,040 subjects. They were invited for the second survey of the ROAD study, which included a 3-year follow-up of the same examinations as the baseline.

Subjects eligible for the present study

In the present study, we enrolled all 1,690 subjects (men, 596; women, 1,094) from mountainous and coastal areas who had enrolled in the ROAD study. In the ROAD study, X-ray examination of the cervical and thoracic spine had been performed only for these subjects and not for those from the urban region. Further, for all these 1,690 participants, the BMDs for the lumbar spine and the proximal femur had been measured using dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (Hologic Discovery; Hologic, Waltham, MA, USA) during the baseline examination. Additionally, blood and urinary examinations had also been performed for these subjects.

The study participants provided written informed consent, and the study was conducted with the approval of the ethics committees of the University of Tokyo (no. 1264 and no. 1326) and the University of Wakayama Medical University (no. 373).

Radiographic assessment

Plain radiographs were obtained for the cervical, thoracic and lumbar spine in the anteroposterior and lateral views and both knees in the anteroposterior view with weight-bearing and footmap positioning.

Cervical OPLL was diagnosed using plain radiographs of the cervical spine in the lateral view. OPLL was indicated by the presence of heterotopic ossification in the posterior longitudinal ligament on a lateral cervical radiograph. Radiographic OPLL was diagnosed by a single, experienced orthopaedic surgeon (KN) who was blinded to participants' clinical status. OPLL was classified into the following types: continuous, segmental and mixed. In the original OPLL classification by Tsuyama [3], it was categorised into four modes, namely continuous, segmental, mixed and localised. However, here, because of the small number of subjects in the localised category, these subjects were included in the continuous category. If OPLL was observed, the maximum length (continuous and localised type, upper limit to lower limit; segmental and mixed types, upper limit to lower limit of the longest serial region) and width of ossification were measured using the imaging software OsiriX (http://www.osirix-viewer.com/).

In addition, using radiographs of spine and knees, we determined the grade of OA. The severity of radiographic OA was determined according to the Kellgren–Lawrence (KL) grading [12] as follows: KL0, normal; KL1, slight osteophytes; KL2, definite osteophytes; KL3, joint or intervertebral space narrowing with large osteophytes and KL4, bone sclerosis, joint or intervertebral space narrowing and large osteophytes. Radiographs for each site, i.e. the vertebrae and knees, were examined by a single, experienced orthopaedic surgeon (SM) who was blinded to participants' clinical status. In the present study, the subject's KL grade was considered the maximum grade diagnosed for at least one intervertebral level of the lumbar spine or at least one knee joint.

We also investigated the presence of DISH using wholespine X-ray films. The criterion for the definite diagnosis of DISH was the presence of four or more vertebral bodies with contiguous ligamentous ossification and calcification, which is known as Resnick and Niwayama's criterion [13]. DISH was diagnosed by a single, experienced orthopaedic surgeon (RK) who was blinded to participants' clinical status.

Blood and urine examinations

Samples were collected from the end of October to the middle of January from both mountainous and coastal areas. All blood and urine samples were extracted between 0900 and 1500 hours. The blood samples were centrifuged, and the sera and urine samples were immediately placed on dry ice and transferred to a deep freezer within 24 h. The samples were stored at -80 °C until assayed.

The blood samples were used to measure haemoglobin A1c (HbA1c, Japan Diabetes Society), serum levels of total cholesterol, uric acid and creatinine levels. The analyses were performed at the same laboratory within 24 h of collection (Osaka Kessei Research Laboratories, Inc., Osaka, Japan). Serum levels of intact parathyroid hormone (iPTH) were measured using an electrochemiluminescence immunoassay (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). As a marker of bone formation, serum levels of N-terminal propeptide of type I procollagen (PINP) were measured using a radioimmunoassay (Orion Diagnostics, Espoo, Finland). The urinary levels of β -isomerised C-terminal cross-linking telopeptide of type I collagen (β -CTX), a bone resorption marker, were determined using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (Fujirebio, Inc., Tokyo, Japan). Urinary β -CTX values were standardised to urinary creatinine concentrations. Plasma pentosidine levels were detected using a competitive ELISA kit (FSK pentosidine ELISA kit; Fushimi Pharmaceutical, Kagawa, Japan) as previously described [14].

Three-year follow-up and definition of OPLL occurrence and progression

In 2008–2010, the 1,690 subjects were invited to enrol in the second survey of the ROAD study, a 3-year follow-up consisting of examinations identical to those conducted at baseline. Spine and knee radiographs were also obtained at follow-up. All cervical radiographs were read by the same orthopaedic surgeon who read them at the baseline (KN), and he was again blinded to participants' clinical status. He simultaneously compared the X-ray films at the baseline and 3-year follow-up and thereby diagnosed OPLL. A new OPLL case was diagnosed if heterotopic ossification in the posterior longitudinal ligament was absent on the lateral cervical radiograph obtained at baseline but present in that obtained during follow-up. OPLL progression was defined as an increase in the maximum length or width of the heterotopic ossification during follow-up compared to that at baseline.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using STATA statistical software (STATA Corp., College Station, TX, USA). Differences in proportions were compared using the chi-square test. Differences in continuous variables were tested for significance using analysis of variance for multiple groups or Scheffe's least significant difference test for pairs of groups. All *p* values and 95 % confidence intervals (CI) are two sided.

To test the association between OPLL and potential risk factors, we used logistic regression analysis with the presence or absence of OPLL (0 = absence, 1 = presence) as an objective variable and select potential explanatory variables, in addition to basic characteristics such as age (+1 year), gender (0 = men, 1 = women) and regional differences (0 = mountainous area, 1 = coastal area). The selected associated factors were those that showed a significant (p < 0.05) association with OPLL status in a simple linear analysis. To test the association between OPLL progression and associated factors, we used multivariate

regression analysis with the change rate (percent per year) of the maximum length or width as an objective variable and the explanatory variables used in the above-mentioned logistic regression analysis. The explanatory variables in the logistic regression analysis and multivariate regression analysis are described in the "Results" section.

Results

Prevalence of radiographic OPLL

The X-ray radiographs of 1,562 of the 1,690 subjects (92.4 %, 520 men, 1,038 women) showed all parts of the lateral cervical spine, from C1 to C7. Among these 1,562 individuals, 30 (17 men, 13 women) were diagnosed with radiographic OPLL; thus, the prevalence of OPLL was estimated at 1.9 % (men, 3.2 %; women, 1.3 %), and it was significantly higher in men than in women (p =0.007).

Figure 1 shows the prevalence of OPLL classified by age and gender. The prevalence of OPLL was not associated with age in either men or women.

In the 30 subjects with radiographic OPLL, the OPLL was categorised into the continuous type in 13 subjects (six men and seven women, 43.3 %), the segmented type in eight (six men and two women, 26.7 %), the mixed type in seven (four men and three women, 23.3 %) and the localised type in two (one man and one woman, 6.7 %). The largest OPLL region was most commonly observed in C4 (ten individuals; 33.3 %; three men and seven women), followed by C5 (nine individuals; 33.0 %; eight men and one woman), C3 (seven individuals; 23.3 %; four men and three women), C6 (three individuals; 10.0 %; two men and one women) and C2 (one individual; 3.3 %; one woman). The largest OPLL region was not found in C1 or C7 in any subject.

The mean length and width (standard deviation, SD) of the largest region of ossification at the baseline were 27.6 (16.0)

and 3.0 (1.5)mm, respectively. The values in men were 26.1 (14.5) and 2.9 (1.4)mm, and those in women were 29.6 (18.1) and 3.2 (1.5)mm, respectively; thus, no significant difference was observed between men and women in this regard.

Factors associated with OPLL

Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of 1,562 participants with and without OPLL. Overall, subjects with OPLL tended to be taller and heavier than those without OPLL (p < 0.05). Further, compared to individuals without OPLL, those with OPLL had higher plasma pentosidine levels and higher BMD values for both the lumbar spine (L2–4) and femoral neck (p < 0.05).

Table 1 also shows the prevalence of LS, KOA and DISH on the basis of OPLL status. The prevalence of LS with \geq grade 2 KL and that of DISH was higher in the group with OPLL than in the one without OPLL (p < 0.05), although no significant association was observed between the prevalence of KOA and the presence of OPLL.

Logistic regression analysis was performed with the OPLL status as the objective variable (0 = absence, 1 = presence). As explanatory variables, the analysis involved select associated factors that showed a significant (p < 0.05) association with OPLL status in the simple linear analysis, namely, height (in centimetres), weight (in kilograms), values of plasma pentosidine (+1 μ g/mL), BMD of the femoral neck (+1 SD), presence of LS based on KL grade (0 = KL grade 0 or 1, 1 = KLgrade ≥ 2) and DISH (0 = absent, 1 = present), after adjustments were made for age (years) and gender (0 = men, 1 = women). As seen from Table 2, plasma pentosidine levels, BMD of the femoral neck and the presence of DISH were found to be significant associated factors for the presence of OPLL (Table 2). Further, when BMD of the lumbar spine (L2-4) was used instead of that of the femoral neck, this factor was also found to be significantly associated with OPLL (+1 SD; odds ratio (OR), 1.52; 95 % CI, 1.05–2.20; p=0.026), but the





QPLL (+) N=1,532 QPLL (+) N=307 QPLL (+) N=507 QPLL (+) N=507 QPLL (+) N=507 QPLL (+) N=507 QPLL (+) N=507 QPLL (+) N=17 Age distribution (prevalence, %) 30 years and younger 43 0 (0.0) 32 0 (0.0) 33 0 (0.0) 30 years and younger 141 1 (0.7) 39 92 3 (3.2) 0 (0.0) 40-49 years 291 7(2,4) 0.729 92 3 (3.2) 0 (0.0) 50-90 years 291 7(2,4) 0.729 92 3 (3.2) 0 (0.0) 70-79 years 449 1 (0.7) 53,00 11,23 175 94,90 0 (3.0) 175 94,90 70-79 years 60.90 years and older 62.9 (1.1) 67.0 (9.3) 0.3495 66.0 (11.7) 707 (8.0) 70-79 years 70.90 years 11,23 0.1135 70.0 163,9(4.9) 70-79 years 80 92 66.0 (11.7) 707 (8.0) 163,9(4.9) 70-79 years 80 92 10.1 193,1(7.5)		Men (N=524)			Women $(N=1, 0)$	038)	
Age distribution (prevalence, %) 43 0 (0.0) 12 0 (0.0) 30 years and younger 141 1 (0.7) 39 0 (0.0) $40 - 49$ years 141 1 (0.7) 39 0 (0.0) $50 - 59$ years 291 $7(2.4)$ 0.729 92 $3(2.2)$ $50 - 59$ years 291 $7(2.4)$ 0.729 92 $3(2.2)$ $70 - 79$ years 449 $1(2.3)$ 142 $1(2.1)$ $70 - 79$ years 468 $11(2.3)$ 47 $12(2.1)$ $70 - 79$ years 468 $11(2.3)$ 47 $12(2.1)$ $70 - 79$ years 140 $2(1.4)$ 47 $12(2.1)$ $70 - 79$ years 80 years and older $229(1.1)$ $670(1.2)$ $607(1.2)$ $70.7(8.0)$ Age (years), mean (SD) $62.9(1.2.1)$ $670(9.3)$ 0.3495 $66.0(11.7)$ $70.7(8.0)$ Age (years), mean (SD) $82.9(1.0.3)$ $63.3(4.0)$ 0.1124 $82.3(6.3)$ $9(4.9)$	$\begin{array}{c c} OPLL (-) & OPLL (+) \\ N=1,532 & N=30 \\ p \end{array}$	OPLL (-) N=507	$\begin{array}{l} \text{OPLL} (+) \\ N = 17 \end{array}$	d	OPLL (-) N=1,025	N = 1,025 N = 13	d
$50-59$ years 291 $7(2,4)$ 0.729 92 $3(2,2)$ $60-69$ years 449 $1(2,3)$ 449 $1(2,3)$ 142 $4(2,7)$ $70-79$ years 468 $11(2,3)$ 175 $9(4,9)$ $70-79$ years 468 $11(2,3)$ 175 $9(4,9)$ $70-79$ years 468 $11(2,3)$ 47 $1(2,1)$ $70-79$ years 468 $11(2,3)$ 47 $1(2,1)$ $70-79$ years $660(11.7)$ $70(8,0)$ 47 $1(2,1)$ Age (years), mean (SD) $62.9(12.1)$ $670(9,3)$ 0.3495 $660(11.7)$ $70.7(8,0)$ Weight (kg/m ⁵), mean (SD) $55.0(10,3)$ $63.9(10,1)$ 0053^{**} $61.6(10,5)$ $62.7(8,2)$ BMI (kg/m ⁵), mean (SD) $52.8(3,2)$ $23.8(3,4)$ 0.1135 $23.0(3,1)$ $70.7(8,0)$ Residing in the coastal area (%) $22.8(3,2)$ $23.8(3,4)$ 0.1135 $23.0(3,1)$ $23.3(2,1)$ Residing in the coastal area (%) $22.8(3,2)$ $23.8(3,4)$ 0.1135 $23.0(3,1)$ $23.3(2,1)$ Residing in the coastal area (%) 12.9 $23.3(3,4)$ 0.1135 $23.0(3,1)$ $23.3(2,1)$ Residing in the coastal area (%) 12.9 $23.3(3,4)$ 0.1135 $23.0(3,1)$ $23.3(2,1)$ Residing in the coastal area (%) 12.9 $23.3(3,4)$ 0.1135 $23.0(3,1)$ $23.3(2,1)$ Residing in the coastal area (%) 12.9 $23.3(3,4)$ 0.1135 $23.6(3,4)$ $23.3(3,1)$ Current smoking habit (regularly, ≥ 1	43 0 (0.0) 141 1 (07)	12 30	0.00) 0		31 102	0 (0.0) 1 (1.0)	
Age (years), mean (SD)629 (12.1) $67.0 (9.3)$ 0.3495 $66.0 (11.7)$ $70.7 (8.0)$ Height (cm), mean (SD)154.9 (9.1)159.1 (7.5) $0.0132*$ $163.3 (7.0)$ $163.9 (5.4)$ Weight (kg), mean (SD)55.0 (10.3) $60.3 (10.1)$ $0.0132*$ $163.3 (7.0)$ $163.9 (5.4)$ Weight (kg), mean (SD)55.0 (10.3) $60.3 (10.1)$ $0.0033**$ $61.6 (10.5)$ $62.7 (8.2)$ BMI (kg/m ²), mean (SD)22.8 (3.2)23.3 0.0571 46.4 58.8 Current smoking habit (regularly, $\geq 1/month) (\%)$ 39.1 49.4 53.3 0.6571 46.4 58.8 Current smoking habit (regularly, $\geq 1/month) (\%)$ 39.1 43.3 0.6571 46.4 58.8 Current smoking habit (regularly, $\geq 1/month) (\%)$ 39.1 43.3 0.6571 46.4 58.8 Current smoking habit (regularly, $\geq 1/month) (\%)$ 39.1 43.3 0.6571 46.4 58.8 Current smoking habit (regularly, $\geq 1/month) (\%)$ 39.1 43.3 0.6571 46.4 58.8 Current smoking habit (regularly, $\geq 1/month) (\%)$ 39.1 43.3 0.6571 46.4 58.8 Current smoking habit (regularly, $\geq 1/month) (\%)$ 39.1 43.3 0.6571 46.4 58.8 Current smoking habit (regularly, $\geq 1/month) (\%)$ 53.3 0.6571 46.4 58.8 Current smoking habit (regularly, $\geq 1/month) (\%)$ 53.3 0.6571 46.4 58.8 Current smoking habit (regularly, $\sim 1/month) (\%$	291 7 (2.4) 0.729 449 9 (2.0) 468 11 (2.3) 140 2 (1.4) 2 (1.4)	92 142 47	(2.1) 3 (3.2) 4 (2.7) 9 (4.9) 1 (2.1)	0.604	199 307 293 93	4 (2.0) 5 (1.6) 2 (0.7) 1 (1.1)	0.787
Residing in the coastal area (%) 49.4 53.3 0.671 46.4 58.8 Current smoking habit (regularly, $\geq 1/month$) (%) 12.9 23.3 0.095 31.1 41.2 Current alcohol consumption (regularly, $\geq 1/month$) (%) 39.1 43.3 0.095 31.1 41.2 Current alcohol consumption (regularly, $\geq 1/month$) (%) 39.1 43.3 0.637 66.1 64.7 Total cholesterol (mg/dL), mean (SD) $208.8 (34.5)$ $209.6 (36.2)$ 0.8954 $198.6 (34.1)$ $204.4 (33.5)$ Uric acid (mg/dL), mean (SD) $2.17 (0.70)$ $5.24 (1.21)$ 0.0943 $5.71 (1.26)$ $5.71 (1.03)$ HbA1c (Japan Diabetes Society) (%), mean (SD) $5.17 (0.70)$ $5.38 (0.79)$ 0.1124 $5.20 (0.79)$ $5.44 (0.95)$ Serum levels of iPTH (pg/mL), mean (SD) $5.79 (27.0)$ $52.6 (29.9)$ 0.2915 $47.5 (22.0)$ $42.6 (34.9)$ Urinary levels of β -CTX (µg/mmol Cr), mean (SD) $187.2 (121.3)$ $150.4 (79.1)$ 0.0985 $128.4 (78.7)$ $110.8 (88.3)$ Urinary levels of pentosidine (µg/mL), mean (SD) $0.058 (0.037)$ $0.085 (0.140)$ 0.0005^{****} $0.061 (0.048)$ $0.102 (0.18)$	62.9 (12.1) 67.0 (9.3) 0.3495 154.9 (9.1) 159.1 (7.5) 0.0132* 55.0 (10.3) 60.3 (10.1) 0.0053** 22.8 (3.2) 23.8 (3.4) 0.1135	66.0 (11.7) 163.3 (7.0) 61.6 (10.5) 23.0 (3.1)	70.7 (8.0) 163.9(5.4) 62.7 (8.2) 23.3 (2.1)	0.0990 0.7414 0.6759 0.7434	64.4 (12.2) 150.8 (6.9) 51.7 (8.5) 22.7 (3.3)	62.2 (9.0) 152.8 (4.6) 57.1 (11.7) 24.4 (4.6)	0.5069 0.2945 0.0219* 0.0671
Total cholesterol (mg/dL), mean (SD) $208.8 (34.5)$ $209.6 (36.2)$ 0.8954 $198.6 (34.1)$ $204.4 (33.5)$ Uric acid (mg/dL), mean (SD) $4.84 (1.30)$ $5.24 (1.21)$ 0.0943 $5.71 (1.26)$ $5.71 (1.03)$ HbA1c (Japan Diabetes Society) (%), mean (SD) $5.17 (0.70)$ $5.38 (0.79)$ 0.1124 $5.20 (0.79)$ $5.44 (0.95)$ Serum levels of iPTH (pg/mL), mean (SD) $5.17 (0.70)$ $5.2.6 (29.9)$ 0.9952 $42.6 (54.4)$ $41.1 (13.9)$ Serum levels of PINP (µg/L), mean (SD) $57.9 (27.0)$ $52.6 (29.9)$ 0.2915 $47.5 (22.0)$ $42.6 (14.9)$ Urinary levels of β-CTX (µg/mmol Cr), mean (SD) $187.2 (121.3)$ $150.4 (79.1)$ 0.0985 $128.4 (78.7)$ $119.8 (58.3)$ Plasma levels of pentosidine (µg/mL), mean (SD) $0.058 (0.037)$ $0.085 (0.140)$ 0.0005^{****} $0.061 (0.048)$ $0.102 (0.18)$	49.4 53.3 0.671 12.9 23.3 0.095 39.1 43.3 0.637	46.4 31.1 66.1	58.8 41.2 64.7	0.311 0.377 0.907	50.9 3.8 25.8	46.2 0.0 15.4	0.732 0.472 0.395
Serum levels of iPTH (pg/mL), mean (SD)41.2 (34.4)41.2 (14.2)0.995242.6 (54.4)41.1 (13.9)Serum levels of PINP (µg/L), mean (SD)57.9 (27.0)52.6 (29.9)0.291547.5 (22.0)42.6 (14.9)Urinary levels of β-CTX (µg/mmol Cr), mean (SD)187.2 (121.3)150.4 (79.1)0.0985128.4 (78.7)119.8 (58.3)Plasma levels of pentosidine (µg/mL), mean (SD)0.058 (0.037)0.085 (0.140)0.0005***0.061 (0.048)0.102 (0.182)	208.8 (34.5) 209.6 (36.2) 0.8954 4.84 (1.30) 5.24 (1.21) 0.0943 5.17 (0.70) 5.38 (0.79) 0.1124	198.6 (34.1) 5.71 (1.26) 5.20 (0.79)	204.4 (33.5) 5.71 (1.03) 5.44 (0.95)	0.4874 0.9867 0.2162	213.8 (33.6) 4.42 (1.09) 5.16 (0.64)	216.4 (39.8) 4.65 (1.21) 5.29 (0.56)	0.7840 0.4528 0.4595
	41.2 (34.4) 41.2 (14.2) 0.9952 57.9 (27.0) 52.6 (29.9) 0.2915 187.2 (121.3) 150.4 (79.1) 0.0985 0.058 (0.037) 0.085 (0.140) 0.0005****	42.6 (54.4) 47.5 (22.0) 128.4 (78.7) 0.061 (0.048)	41.1 (13.9) 42.6 (14.9) 119.8 (58.3) 0.102 (0.184)	0.9083 0.3619 0.6529 0.0042**	40.5 (17.4) 63.1 (27.8) 216.2 (128.0) 0.057 (0.030)	41.3 (15.1) 65.8 (39.2) 190.5 (86.8) 0.062 (0.037)	0.8748 0.7301 0.4693 0.5012
BMD of the lumbar spine L2-4 (g/cm ²), mean (SD) $0.925 (0.205) 1.084 (0.205) < 0.0001^{***} 1.038 (0.203) 1.176 (0.176 BMD of the femoral neck (g/cm2), mean (SD) 0.667 (0.137) 0.747 (0.134) 0.0016^{**} 0.739 (0.132) 0.797 (0.110 BMD of the femoral neck (g/cm2), mean (SD) 0.667 (0.137) 0.747 (0.134) 0.0016^{**} 0.739 (0.132) 0.797 (0.110 BMD of the femoral neck (g/cm2), mean (SD) 0.667 (0.137) 0.747 (0.134) 0.0016^{**} 0.739 (0.132) 0.797 (0.110 BMD of the femoral neck (g/cm2), mean (SD) 0.667 (0.137) 0.747 (0.134) 0.0016^{**} 0.739 (0.132) 0.797 (0.110 BMD of the femoral neck (g/cm2), mean (SD) 0.667 (0.137) 0.747 (0.134) 0.0016^{**} 0.739 (0.132) 0.797 (0.110 BMD of the femoral neck (g/cm2), mean (SD) 0.667 (0.137) 0.747 (0.134) 0.0016^{**} 0.739 (0.132) 0.797 (0.110 BMD of the femoral neck (g/cm2), mean (SD) 0.667 (0.137) 0.747 (0.134) 0.0016^{**} 0.739 (0.132) 0.797 (0.110 BMD of the femoral neck (g/cm2), mean (SD) 0.797 (0.110 BMD of the femoral neck (g/cm2), mean (SD) 0.667 (0.137) 0.747 (0.134) 0.0016^{**} 0.739 (0.132) 0.797 (0.110 BMD of the femoral neck (g/cm2), mean (SD) 0.797 (0.110 BMD of the femoral neck (g/cm2), mean (SD) 0.797 (0.110 BMD of the femoral neck (g/cm2), mean (SD) 0.797 (0.110 BMD of the femoral neck (g/cm2), mean (SD) 0.797 (0.110 BMD of the femoral neck (g/cm2), mean (SD) 0.797 (0.110 BMD of the femoral neck (g/cm2), mean (SD) 0.797 (0.137) 0.747 (0.134) 0.0016^{**} 0.739 (0.132) 0.797 (0.110 BMD of the femoral neck (g/cm2), mean (SD) 0.797 (0.137) 0.747 (0.134) 0.0016^{**} 0.739 (0.132) 0.797 (0.137) 0.797 (0.110 BMD of the femoral neck (g/cm2), mean (SD) 0.797 (0.137) 0.747 (0.134) 0.0016^{**} 0.739 (0.132) 0.797 (0.110 BMD of the femoral neck (g/cm2), mean (SD) 0.797 (0.137) 0.747 (0.134) 0.797 (0.137) 0.797 (0.137) 0.797 (0.137) 0.797 (0.110 BMD of the femoral neck (g/cm2), mean (SD) 0.797 (0.137) 0.797 (0.134) 0.797 (0.137) 0.797 (0.137) 0.797 (0.110 BMD of the femoral neck (g/cm2), mean (SD) 0.797 (0.137) 0.797 (0.137) 0.797 (0$	0.925 (0.205) 1.084 (0.205) <0.0001*** 0.667 (0.137) 0.747 (0.134) 0.0016**	1.038 (0.203) 0.739 (0.132)	1.176 (0.176) 0.797 (0.110)	0.0058** 0.0727	0.868 (0.181) 0.631 (0.124)	0.965 (0.181) 0.681 (0.139)	0.0575 0.1558
Presence of LS (KL grade ≥ 2) (%)61.883.30.016*76.1100.0Presence of KOA (KL grade ≥ 2) (%)49.556.70.44041.441.2Presence of DISH (%)9.433.3<0.001***	61.8 83.3 0.016* 49.5 56.7 0.440 9.4 33.3 <0.001***	76.1 41.4 0.7	100.0 41.2 52.9	0.022* 0.986 0.002**	54.7 53.6 3.8	61.5 76.9 7.7	0.624 0.093 0.469

🖄 Springer

p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001

 Table 2
 Odds ratios of potential factors associated with the presence of OPLL vs. the absence of OPLL

Explanatory variables	Reference	OR	95 % CI	р
Age (years)	+1 year	1.03	0.98–1.07	0.269
Gender	0 = men, 1 = women	1.30	0.39-4.34	0.666
Height (cm)	+1 cm	1.04	0.96-1.12	0.352
Weight (kg)	+1 kg	1.00	0.96-1.05	0.909
Pentosidine (µg/mL)	+0.01 µg/mL	1.05	1.00-1.09	0.038*
BMD (femoral neck) (g/cm2)	+1 SD	1.55	1.04-2.33	0.033*
Presence of LS (KL grade≥2)	0 = no, 1 = yes	1.94	0.67-5.61	0.219
Presence of DISH	0 = no, 1 = yes	2.78	1.11-6.92	0.029*

Logistic regression analysis was performed using the status of OPLL as the objective variable (0 = absence, 1 = presence), and the abovementioned factors were correspondingly adjusted

OPLL ossification of posterior longitudinal ligament, *BMD* bone mineral density, *LS* lumbar spondylosis, *KL grade* Kellgren–Lawrence grade, *DISH* diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis, *SD* standard deviation, *OR* odds ratios, *95 % CI* 95 % confidence interval

p*<0.05; *p*<0.01; ****p*<0.001

association of plasma pentosidine levels and DISH weakened (plasma pentosidine +0.01 μ g/mL, 1.04, 0.997–1.087, p=0.069; presence of DISH 2.37, 0.94–6.00, p=0.069).

New occurrence or progression of OPLL

During the three study years, 1,380 individuals (88.3 %; 466 men, 914 women) among the 1,562 subjects at baseline returned for follow-up, and their radiographs were available for observation. Among the 30 individuals with radiographic cervical OPLL at baseline, 25 (83.3 %; 14 men and 11 women) participated in the second survey.

The remaining 1,355 individuals who did not have cervical OPLL at baseline and who participated in the initial and second surveys were regarded as members of the population at risk for the occurrence of OPLL. Among them, only one woman was diagnosed with newly developed radiographic OPLL (incidence 2.46/10,000 per year).

At follow-up, the mean length (in millimetres, SD) and width (in millimetres, SD) of the maximum region of ossification among the 25 individuals with OPLL was 28.7 (16.1) and 3.5 (1.5)mm, respectively. Since the mean values of length and width of the maximum region of ossification of these 25 subjects were 27.0 (16.2) and 3.0 (1.5)mm at the baseline, respectively, both the length and width of the maximum region of ossification increased, although a significant difference was not observed.

To clarify the risk factors associated with this increase in the length and width of the ossification, we performed multivariate regression analysis using the rate of change in these parameters as objective variables and the explanatory variables as those used in the logistic regression analysis, namely height (in centimetres), weight (in kilograms), plasma pentosidine levels (+1 µg/mL), BMD of the femoral neck (+1 SD), presence of LS based on the KL grade (0 = KL grade 0 or 1, 1 = KL grade ≥ 2)

and DISH (0 = absence, 1 = presence). Adjustments for age (years) and gender (0 = men, 1 = women) were made. However, none of the abovementioned variables was found to be significantly associated with the rate of changes in the length or width.

Discussion

In the present population-based study, we clarified the prevalence of radiographic OPLL in the general Japanese population, and we found that it is significantly associated with high plasma pentosidine levels, high BMD and the presence of DISH. The 3-year follow-up study also showed that new cases were very rare, and the length and width of the maximum region of ossification among the subjects with OPLL tended to increase.

The prevalence of OPLL in Japan has been reported to be 1.9 to 4.3 % among individuals aged 30 years and older [1, 15–17]. In other Asian countries, such as in Korea [18, 19] and Taiwan [20], a similar prevalence was reported, but it was lower in Western countries [21], suggesting that ethnic and/or genetic factor(s) could be associated with the onset of OPLL. In the present study, the prevalence of OPLL was found to be 1.9 %. This is consistent with the value found in previous reports. However, it is difficult to clearly distinguish localised-type OPLL from osteophytic changes, and we included two individuals with localised-type OPLL in the OPLL group. Thus, we may have overestimated the presence of radiographic OPLL. If we exclude individuals with localised-type OPLL from the OPLL group, the prevalence of the OPLL in the present study is 1.8 %.

With regard to the gender difference in OPLL prevalence, the prevalence was previously reported to be three times higher in men than in women [22]. We found that men are 2.5 times more likely to have OPLL than women (men 3.2 %, women 1.3 %), which is consistent with results reported previously among Japanese subjects. In contrast, symptomatic OPLL was reported to be usually observed in the sixth decade of life [22], although we were unable to find a significant association between age and the presence of OPLL. This might be explained by the fact that previous studies on the characteristics of OPLL were performed on the subjects with symptomatic OPLL, i.e. they had been clinically diagnosed with OPLL, while our subjects had radiographic OPLL that had not been clinically diagnosed. If the OPLL in our subjects progresses in the future, the peak age at which the symptoms could be expressed may be their 60s.

With regard to the comorbidities of OPLL, several reports have indicated that obesity and DM might be associated with OPLL [5, 6]. In the present study, the values of BMI tended to be higher in the group with OPLL than in that without OPLL, although this difference was not significant. A similar pattern was found in the values of HbA1c, and this finding could be explained by previous findings that the extent of ossification was significantly associated with the fasting serum insulin level but not with the fasting glucose level or the HbA1c level [23]. However, in the ROAD study, since all subjects could not be requested to fast, we could not confirm the association between fasting serum insulin levels and OPLL.

With regard to the association between biochemical markers of bone turnover and OPLL, Matsui et al. showed that the levels of the bone markers serum procollagen type I carboxyl-terminal peptide and intact osteocalcin were higher in patients with OPLL than in normal subjects [24]. This suggested that OPLL was associated with biochemical markers of bone turnover. In the present study, to evaluate the role of bone metabolism in OPLL, we compared the serum levels of iPTH and PINP as bone formation markers and the urinary levels of β -CTX between the groups with and without OPLL. However, we could not find significant differences between the groups.

Instead, the plasma pentosidine levels of the OPLL group were found to be significantly higher than those of the group without OPLL. This tendency remained after potential associated factors were adjusted for. Pentosidine is an advanced glycation end product, products generated by the sequential nonenzymatic glycosylation of protein amino groups [25] that accumulate in various tissues including kidney and coronary arteries, resulting in the development of diabetic vascular complications [26]. The concentrations of pentosidine in cortical and trabecular bone are reported to be adversely associated with bone strength [27-29]. Yamamoto et al. [30] found that serum pentosidine levels were positively associated with the presence of vertebral fractures in postmenopausal women with type 2 diabetes. Renal insufficiency was reported to be a dominant determinant of serum pentosidine levels [31] because of which serum pentosidine levels are increased in patients with chronic renal failure [32, 33]. However, no report has shown the association between pentosidine levels and the

presence of OPLL. On the basis of the abovementioned reports, we performed multivariate logistic regression analysis using the same explanatory factors we had used in the analysis shown in Table 2, along with the estimated glomerular filtration rate. We found that the plasma pentosidine levels were still significantly related to the presence of OPLL (OR, 1.05; 95 % CI, 1.00–1.09; p = 0.042). We speculate that the levels of pentosidine might be associated with ectopic ossification, such as vascular calcification in patients with renal dysfunction, or the presence of OPLL, directly or indirectly, although the currently available information is inadequate to prove this hypothesis. One reason for the inadequacy of the information obtained in this study could be that we did not evaluate genetic factors in the present study. Further investigations are needed to clarify whether the observed relationship between pentosidine levels and OPLL remains after analysis of other possible confounders, including genetic factors.

In addition to the biochemical markers, high BMDs have been observed in patients with OPLL [24, 34, 35]. However, Morio et al. reported that the BMD was lower in patients with advanced OPLL [36], suggesting that the disuse atrophy may result during advanced-stage OPLL. Our results also showed that subjects with OPLL had higher BMDs. However, the subjects in the present study all had radiographically determined OPLL but few clinical symptoms, so their condition may not have been in the advanced stage. Therefore, based solely on the results of the present study, we were unable to discuss the association between BMD and advanced-stage OPLL.

Several reports have shown that the coexistence of OPLL and DISH is quite common [4, 7, 8]. The pathogenesis of DISH and OPLL has been speculated to be similar, although the details remain unclear. For example, Havelka et al. analysed intron 6 (-4) polymorphisms in the COL 11 A2 gene in Czech patients with DISH and Japanese patients with OPLL, but they found no agreement between the data of subjects with DISH and OPLL [37]. Additional studies with a broader spectrum of genotyping and a larger cohort of patients may clarify the presence or absence of genetic relations between DISH and OPLL.

Few studies have been reported regarding the incidence of OPLL in the general population because OPLL is relatively rare and based on ethnicity, as noted. Using data collected in a pilot study in the corporation of 360 Japanese hospitals [3], Tsuyama described the incidence of OPLL and found that 2,142 patients were treated in these hospitals and the estimated incidence of OPLL was 19 patients per million persons of the total population [3]. In the present study, only one new case of OPLL was detected, so we could not accurately estimate the incidence of OPLL and compare our results to those of previous reports. In order to confirm the incidence of OPLL, we need to follow this cohort for a longer time.

Several studies have investigated the course of OPLL. Chiba et al. use computer-assisted measurement to examine OPLL progression, and they found that the rate of OPLL progression was 56.5 % over 2 years, and this rate was most common in younger patients with continuous- and mixed-type OPLL [38]. Murakami et al. followed the case of a 67-year-old man who had had cervical OPLL for more than 26 years, and they found that the rate of OPLL progression was 2.2, 8.8 and 2.0 mm/year from 1-4, 4-8 and 8-10 years after the first visit, respectively [39]. However, to our knowledge, no study has reported the progression of radiographically defined OPLL in the general population. In the present study, we found that both the length and width of the maximum region of ossification increased during the 3 years of the study, although it was not a significant change. A previous report [39] found no evidence of OPLL progression after 10 years. We must carefully examine whether or not radiographically defined OPLL progresses to clinical OPLL.

This study has several limitations. First, although the ROAD study includes a large number of participants, these participants may not truly be representative of the general population. To address this, we compared the anthropometric measurements and the frequencies of smoking and alcohol consumption between the study participants and the general Japanese population. No significant differences were found, with the exception that male ROAD study participants aged 70-74 years were significantly smaller in terms of body structure than men from the overall Japanese population (p <0.05) [10]. This difference should be considered when evaluating potential risk factors for men aged 70-74 years; factors such as body build, particularly weight, are known to be associated with metabolic risk factors and KOA. Therefore, our results may have underestimated the prevalence of these conditions. Second, the total number of subjects with confirmed OPLL was very small, which might make the results somewhat less credible. In the present study, we used logistic regression analysis to adjust for gender differences. When the total number of the objective variable, namely OPLL cases, is small, using the multivariate model to adjust for gender differences may be more useful than using a gender-specific analysis. This is because the total number of cases in a gender-specific analysis will be even smaller, which reduces the statistical power. Although the significant associations between OPLL and the plasma levels of pentosidine and between OPLL and DISH were observed only in men in the simple comparative analysis, the pentosidine levels and DISH remained significant factors associated with the presence of OPLL even in the logistic regression analysis with adjustments for gender. We interpreted this result to mean that the female sex might dilute the strength of the association between OPLL and DISH, but the tendency in both genders remained significant.

To clarify the effect of sex differences in the interaction among OPLL, pentosidine levels and DISH, the logistic regression analysis was performed in men and women separately (Supplementary Table 1). In this logistic regression analysis, the presence of OPLL was significantly associated with the pentosidine levels and femoral neck BMD in men, but the association of OPLL with the presence of DISH was diluted to a marginal association (p=0.080). Further, since all male patients with DISH had radiographic LS, we could not evaluate the association between OPLL and LS. In women, the associations among OPLL, pentosidine levels and DISH were not significant. Although these results may indicate that the significant associated factors were observed only in men, they may even be skewed by the small number of female cases. Under these circumstances, it is difficult to distinguish which model should be used, i.e. logistic regression analysis or the multivariate model. It may be necessary to first include an adequate number of OPLL cases before this can be decided. To compensate for these limitations, we decided to include the urban cohort of the ROAD study in the OPLL survey. Thus, more participants will be included in the third ROAD survey planned from 2012 to 2013, and further detailed investigation regarding the risk factors for the presence, occurrence or exacerbation of OPLL may be possible.

In summary, the present study clarified that the prevalence of radiographic cervical OPLL in 1,562 individuals was 1.9 %, which was significantly higher in men than in women (p = 0.007), but no association with age was observed. In logistic regression analysis, OPLL showed a significant association with the femoral neck BMD, presence of DISH and plasma pentosidine levels. Only one new case of radiographic OPLL was detected, but OPLL progressed in all affected subjects.

Acknowledgments This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research B23390172 and B20390182 to NY, C20591737 to TA and C20591774 to SM; grants for Young Scientists A18689031 to HO; Collaborating Research with NSF 08033011-00262 (Director, NY) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology and H17-Men-eki-009 (Director, KN), H18-Choujyu-037 (Director, TN), H20-Choujyu-009 (Director, NY), H23-Choujyu-002 (Director, TA), H23-Nanchi-Ippan-032 (Director, YT) and H25-Choujyu-007 (Director, NY) from the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare in Japan. This study was also supported by grants from the Japan Osteoporosis Society (NY, SM, HO and TA) and research aid from the Japanese Orthopaedic Association (JOA-Subsidized Science Project Research 2006-1 and 2010-2, Director, HK). The authors wish to thank Dr. Takako Nojiri and Mr. Kazuhiro Hatanaka from the Gobo Public Health Centre; Dr. Naoki Hirabayashi of the Kawakami Clinic, Hidakagawa Town; Mrs. Tomoko Takijiri, Mrs. Kumiko Shinou, Mrs. Rie Takiguchi, Mrs. Kyoko Maeda, Ms. Ikuyo Ueyama, Mrs. Michiko Mori, Mrs. Hisayo Sugimoto and other members of the public office in Hidakagawa Town; Dr. Shinji Matsuda of the Shingu Public Health Centre and Mrs. Tamako Tsutsumi, Mrs. Kanami Maeda, Mr. Shoichi Shimoichi, Mrs. Megumi Takino, Mrs. Shuko Okada, Mrs. Kazuyo Setoh, Mrs. Chise Ryouno, Mrs. Miki Shimosaki, Mrs. Chika Yamaguchi, Mrs. Yuki Shimoji and other members of the public office in Taiji Town for their assistance in locating and scheduling participants for examinations. We also thank Ms. Kyoko Yoshimura, Mrs. Toki Sakurai and Mrs. Saeko Sahara for their assistance with data reduction and administration.

Conflicts of interest None.

References

- Sakou T, Matsunaga S, Koga H (2000) Recent progress in the study of pathogenesis of ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament. J Orthop Sci 5:310–315
- Schmidt MH, Quinones-Hinojosa A, Rosenberg WS (2002) Cervical myelopathy associated with degenerative spine disease and ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament. Semin Neurol 22:143–148
- Tsuyama N (1984) Ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament of the spine. Clin Orthop Relat Res 184:71–84
- Inamasu J, Guiot BH, Sachs DC (2006) Ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament: an update on its biology, epidemiology, and natural history. Neurosurgery 58:1027–1039
- 5. Kobashi G, Washio M, Okamoto K, Sasaki S, Yokoyama T, Miyake Y, Sakamoto N, Ohta K, Inaba Y, Tanaka H, Japan Collaborative Epidemiological Study Group for Evaluation of Ossification of the Posterior Longitudinal Ligament of the Spine Risk (2004) High body mass index after age 20 and diabetes mellitus are independent risk factors for ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament of the spine in Japanese subjects: a case–control study in multiple hospitals. Spine 29:1006–1010
- Shingyouchi Y, Nagahama A, Niida M (1996) Ligamentous ossification of the cervical spine in the late middle-aged Japanese men. Its relation to body mass index and glucose metabolism. Spine 21:2474– 2478
- McAfee PC, Regan JJ, Bohlman HH (1987) Cervical cord compression from ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament in nonorientals. J Bone Joint Surg Br 69:569–575
- Ehara S, Shimamura T, Nakamura R, Yamazaki K (1998) Paravertebral ligamentous ossification: DISH, OPLL and OLF. Eur J Radiol 27:196–205
- Yoshimura N, Muraki S, Oka H, Kawaguchi H, Nakamura K, Akune T (2010) Cohort profile: Research on Osteoarthritis/Osteoporosis Against Disability (ROAD) study. Int J Epidemiol 39:988–995
- Yoshimura N, Muraki S, Oka H, Mabuchi A, En-yo Y, Yoshida M, Saika A, Yoshida H, Suzuki T, Yamamoto S, Ishibashi H, Kawaguchi H, Nakamura K, Akune T (2009) Prevalence of knee osteoarthritis, lumbar spondylosis, and osteoporosis in Japanese men and women: the research on osteoarthritis/osteoporosis against disability study. J Bone Miner Metab 27:620–628. doi:10.1007/s00774-009-0080-8
- 11. Orwoll E, Blank JB, Barrett-Connor E, Cauley J, Cummings S, Ensrud K, Lewis C, Cawthon PM, Marcus R, Marshall LM, McGowan J, Phipps K, Sherman S, Stefanick ML, Stone K (2005) Design and baseline characteristics of the osteoporotic fractures in men (MrOS) study: a large observational study of the determinants of fracture in older men. Contemp Clin Trials 26:569–585
- Kellgren JH, Lawrence LS (1957) Radiological assessment of osteoarthrosis. Ann Rheum Dis 16:494–502
- Resnick D, Niwayama G (1976) Radiographic and pathologic features of spinal involvement in diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis (DISH). Radiology 119:559–568
- 14. Sanaka T, Funaki T, Tanaka T, Hoshi S, Niwayama J, Taitoh T, Nishimura H, Higuchi C (2002) Plasma pentosidine levels measured by a newly developed method using ELISA in patients with chronic renal failure. Nephron 91:64–73
- Matsunaga S, Yamaguchi M, Hayashi K, Sakou T (1999) Genetic analysis of ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 24:937–938
- 16. Okamoto K, Kobashi G, Washio M, Sasaki S, Yokoyama T, Miyake Y, Sakamoto N, Ohta K, Inaba Y, Tanaka H, Japan Collaborative Epidemiological Study Group for Evaluation of Ossification of the Posterior Longitudinal Ligament of the Spine (OPLL) Risk (2004) Dietary habits and risk of ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligaments of the spine (OPLL); findings from a case–control study in Japan. J Bone Miner Metab 22:612–617

- 17. Washio M, Kobashi G, Okamoto K, Sasaki S, Yokoyama T, Miyake Y, Sakamoto N, Ohta K, Inaba Y, Tanaka H, Japan Collaborative Epidemiological Study Group for Evaluation of Ossification of the Posterior Longitudinal Ligament of the Spine Risk (2004) Sleeping habit and other life styles in the prime of life and risk for ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament of the spine (OPLL): a case-control study in Japan. J Epidemiol 14:168–173
- Jin BH, Kim YS (1991) Ossification of spinal ligaments. J Korean Neurosurg Soc 20:875–884
- Kim TJ, Bae KW, Uhm WS, Kim TH, Joo KB, Jun JB (2008) Prevalence of ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament of the cervical spine. Joint Bone Spine 75:471–474, d
- Wang PN, Chen SS, Liu HC, Fuh JL, Kuo BI, Wang SJ (1999) Ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament of the spine. A case–control risk factor study. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 24:142–145
- Resnick D (1994) Diagnosis of bone and joint disorders. Saunders, London, pp 1496–1507
- 22. Otsuka K, Terayama K, Yanagihara M (1986) An epidemiological survey on ossification of ligaments in the cervical and thoracic spine in individuals over 50 years of age. J Jpn Orthop Assoc 60:1087– 1098
- 23. Akune T, Ogata N, Seichi A, Ohnishi I, Nakamura K, Kawaguchi H (2001) Insulin secretory response is positively associated with the extent of ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament of the spine. J Bone Joint Surg Am 183A:1537–1544
- Matsui H, Yudoh K, Tsuji H (1996) Significance of serum levels of type I procollagen peptide and intact osteocalcin and bone mineral density in patients with ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligaments. Calcif Tissue Int 59:397–400
- Brownlee M (1995) Advanced protein glycosylation in diabetes and aging. Annu Rev Med 46:223–234
- Brownlee M, Cerami A, Vlassara H (1988) Advanced glycosylation end products in tissue and the biochemical basis of diabetic complications. N Engl J Med 318:1315–1321
- Hernandez CJ, Tang SY, Baumbach BM, Hwu PB, Sakkee AN, van der Ham F, DeGroot J, Bank RA, Keaveny TM (2005) Trabecular microfracture and the influence of pyridinium and non-enzymatic glycation-mediated collagen crosslinks. Bone 37:825–832
- Wang X, Shen X, Li X, Agrawal CM (2002) Age-related changes in the collagen network and toughness of bone. Bone 31:1–7
- Viguet-Carrin S, Roux JP, Arlot ME, Merabet Z, Leeming DJ, Byrjalsen I, Delmas PD, Bouxsein ML (2006) Contribution of the advanced glycation end product pentosidine and of maturation of type I collagen to compressive biomechanical properties of human lumbar vertebrae. Bone 39:1073–1079
- 30. Yamamoto M, Yamaguchi T, Yamauchi M, Yano S, Sugimoto T (2008) Serum pentosidine levels are positively associated with the presence of vertebral fractures in postmenopausal women with type 2 diabetes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 93:1013–1019
- Hricik DE, Schulak JA, Sell DR, Fogarty JF, Monnier VM (1993) Effects of kidney or kidney–pancreas transplantation on plasma pentosidine. Kidney Int 43:398–403
- 32. Sugiyama S, Miyata T, Ueda Y, Tanaka H, Maeda K, Kawashima S, Ypersele V, de Strihou C, Kurokawa K (1998) Plasma levels of pentosidine in diabetic patients: an advanced glycation end product. J Am Soc Nephrol 9:1681–1688
- 33. Miyata T, Ueda Y, Shinzato T, Iida Y, Tanaka S, Kurokawa K, van Ypersele de Strihou C, Maeda K (1996) Accumulation of albuminlinked and free-form pentosidine in the circulation of uremic patients with end-stage renal failure: renal implications in the pathophysiology of pentosidine. J Am Soc Nephrol 7:1198–1206
- 34. Hirai N, Ikata T, Murase M, Morita T, Katoh S (1995) Bone mineral density of the lumbar spine in patients with ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament of the cervical spine. J Spinal Disord 8:337–341
- 35. Yamauchi T, Taketomi E, Matsunaga S, Sakou T (1999) Bone mineral density in patients with ossification of the posterior

longitudinal ligament in the cervical spine. J Bone Miner Metab 17:296–300

- 36. Morio Y, Yamamoto K, Kishimoto H, Hagino H, Kuranobu K, Kagawa T (1993) Bone mineral density of the radius in patients with ossification of the cervical posterior longitudinal ligament. A longitudinal study. Spine 18:2513–2516
- Havelka S, Vesela M, Pavelkova A, Ruzickova S, Koga H, Maeda S, Inoue I, Halman L (2001) Are DISH and OPLL genetically related? Ann Rheum Dis 60:902–903
- Chiba K, Yamamoto I, Hirabayashi H, Iwasaki M, Goto H, Yonenobu K, Toyama Y (2005) Multicenter study investigating the postoperative progression of ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament in the cervical spine: a new computer-assisted measurement. J Neurosurg Spine 3:17–23
- Murakami M, Seichi A, Chikuda H, Takeshita K, Nakamura K, Kimura A (2010) Long-term follow-up of the progression of ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament. Case report. J Neurosurg Spine 12:577–579