ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Impact of degenerative spinal diseases on bone mineral density of the lumbar spine in elderly women

Shigeyuki Muraki · Seizo Yamamoto · Hideaki Ishibashi Toshiyuki Horiuchi · Takayuki Hosoi · Hajime Orimo Kozo Nakamura

Received: 30 June 2003 / Accepted: 13 January 2004 / Published online: 3 March 2004 © International Osteoporosis Foundation and National Osteoporosis Foundation 2004

Abstract Degenerative diseases of lumbar spine commonly noted in elderly people may affect their lumbar spine bone mineral density (BMD). The aim of this study is to determine whether the degree of degenerative spinal diseases is correlated with lumbar spine and femoral neck BMD. This study included 630 women age 60 years or over (mean age 73.3 \pm 6.9 years) visiting the Osteoporosis Outpatient Clinic at the Tokyo Metropolitan Geriatric Medical Center. Subjects underwent anteroposterior and lateral X-rays of the lumbar spine. The score of degenerative spinal diseases for each subject was calculated according to the scores for the Kellgren-Lawrence method, osteophyte formation, bone sclerosis, disk space narrowing, and spondylolisthesis involving L1-L2 through L4-L5 interspaces. Moreover, the number of vertebral fractures at L2 through L4 was recorded. The BMD of the second to fourth lumbar spine from anteroposterior projections and femoral neck were measured using an Expert-5000 (GE Lunar, Madison, WI USA). Most subjects had degenerative diseases of the lumbar spine. Scores for the Kellgren-Lawrence method, osteophyte formation, bone sclerosis, disk space narrowing, and spondylolisthesis were positively correlated with lumbar spine BMD, while they were not correlated with femoral neck BMD. Multiple regression analysis indicated that the scores for osteophyte formation, bone sclerosis, and disk space

S. Muraki (⊠) · S. Yamamoto · H. Ishibashi Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Tokyo Metropolitan Geriatric Medical Center, 35-2 Sakae-cho, Itabashi-ku, Tokyo, Japan E-mail: sy-muraki@nifty.ne.jp Tel.: +81-3-39641141 Fax: +81-3-39641982
T. Horiuchi · T. Hosoi · H. Orimo

Department of Endocrinology, Tokyo Metropolitan Geriatric Medical Center, 35-2 Sakae-cho, Itabashi-ku, Tokyo, Japan

S. Muraki · K. Nakamura Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Tokyo University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan narrowing were independently correlated with lumbar spine BMD. Thus, in this study, the scores for degenerative spinal diseases were correlated with lumbar spine BMD, while they were not correlated with femoral neck BMD. This discrepancy indicates that degenerative spinal diseases are associated with increased lumbar spine BMD measurements. Femoral neck BMD therefore may be more appropriate than lumbar spine BMD in evaluating osteoporosis in elderly women.

Keywords Bone mineral density · Degenerative spinal diseases · Diagnosis · Lumbar spine · Osteoporosis

Introduction

Osteoporosis has been a serious problem in an aging society. Measurement of the lumbar spine bone mineral density (BMD) by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry is now accepted as a standard means for the evaluation of osteoporosis. However, most elderly people have degenerative diseases of the spine, such as osteophyte formation, bone sclerosis, disk space narrowing, spondylolisthesis, and vertebral fractures, which were reported to affect their lumbar spine BMD [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. However, review of the literature indicated that many previous studies were performed based just on the presence or absence of degenerative spinal diseases [1, 3, 4] or that only one degenerative item was used to evaluate the effect of degenerative spinal disease on lumbar spine BMD [2, 5]. In reality, the degree of degenerative spinal disease may also affect lumbar spine BMD, and degenerative spinal diseases such as osteophyte formation, bone sclerosis, disk space narrowing, spondylolisthesis, and vertebral fractures may be correlated with one another. The aim of this study is to determine whether the grade of the Kellgren-Lawrence method, osteophyte formation, bone sclerosis, disk space narrowing, spondylolisthesis, and the number of vertebral fractures are correlated with each other, and whether

lumbar spine BMD is correlated with the degree of each item, using multiple regression analysis.

Subjects and methods

This study included 630 women age 60 years or over who visited the Osteoporosis Outpatient Clinic at the Tokyo Metropolitan Geriatric Medical Center from April 1999 to October 2000. Women who had femoral neck fractures, osteoarthritis of the hip, and hemiplegia, which affect femoral neck BMD, were excluded from this study. The mean age of the subjects was 73.3 ± 6.9 years. Upon entry into this study, body height and weight were measured, and body mass index (BMI) was calculated. Subjects underwent anteroposterior and lateral X-rays of the lumbar spine including L1 to L5. The score of degenerative spinal diseases for each subject was calculated according to grade in the Kellgren-Lawrence method [6], osteophyte formation, bone sclerosis, disk space narrowing, and spondylolisthesis involving L1-L2 through L4-L5 interspaces. Osteophyte formation was categorized into five grades (0-4) according to the Nathan classification [7]. Bone sclerosis was categorized into three grades of 0 (none), 1 (slight), and 2 (severe). The width of bone sclerosis from the endplate of the vertebral body was measured at the widest site. As for L1-L2 and L4-L5, the width of bone sclerosis was measured at the upper side of L2 and the bottom side of L4, respectively. As for L2-L3, it was measured at both the bottom side of L2 and the upper side of L3, and the wider side was chosen as the width of bone sclerosis at L2-L3, and as for L3-L4, it was measured in the same way. Grade 1 sclerosis was defined as less than 2 mm of the vertebrae and grade 2 sclerosis as at least 2 mm of the vertebrae. Disk space narrowing was categorized into three grades of 0 (none), 1 (slight), and 2 (severe). Grade 1 narrowing was less than 2 mm loss of disk space and grade 2 narrowing was at least 2 mm loss of disk space. Spondylolisthesis was categorized into five grades (0-4) according to the Meyerding method [8]. The radiographs were read to identify the presence and severity of each item at the L1-L2 through L4-L5 interspaces, and the sum of the scores for each item was calculated. The lateral radiographs were read to identify the number of vertebral fractures at L2 through L4. Vertebral fracture was assessed in terms of three findings: a wedge, biconcave, or crush appearance [9]. A wedge appearance was defined as a site where the anterior height of the vertebra was 75% or less than its posterior height, a biconcave appearance was where the height of the center part of a vertebra was 80% or less than that of the anterior and posterior parts of the vertebra, and a crush appearance was where the height of the anterior, center, and posterior parts of an axial vertebra were all reduced to 80% or less of the normal value. The grade of degenerative diseases was read by one observer (S.M.). To assess the intraobserver reproducibility and interobserver reliability of these methods, 40 radiographs were read by the same observer 3 months later, and 130 radiographs were read by another observer (S.Y.). BMD of the lumbar spine and femoral neck were measured using the Expert-5000 (GE Lunar, Madison, WI, USA). Measurements were obtained from anteroposterior projections of the second to fourth lumbar vertebrae and femoral neck. Statistical analysis was performed using Statview 5.0 (Abacus Concepts, Berkeley, CA, USA). Weighted κ statistic was used to examine intraobserver reproducibility and interobserver reliability. Linear regression analysis was used to determine the correlation of the lumbar spine and femoral neck BMD with age. Spearman rank correlation coefficient was used to determine the association among the scores from the Kellgren-Lawrence method, osteophyte formation, bone sclerosis, disk space narrowing, and spondylolisthesis, and the number of vertebral fractures. Multiple regression analysis was used to determine the correlation of the lumbar spine and femoral neck BMD with the scores for the Kellgren-Lawrence method, osteophyte formation, bone sclerosis, disk space narrowing, and spondylolisthesis, and the number of vertebral fractures after adjustment for age and BMI. Furthermore, the independent effects of age, BMI, and the score for each degenerative item, on BMD

were analyzed using multiple regression analysis. On the basis of the multiple regression analysis, adjusted lumbar spine BMD was calculated, and the correlation between age and adjusted lumbar spine BMD was determined using linear regression analysis. Furthermore, The correlation coefficient between observed lumbar spine BMD and femoral neck BMD, and between adjusted lumbar spine BMD and femoral neck BMD, was compared using analysis of variance. Statistical significance was defined as P < 0.05.

Results

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the subjects. Intraobserver and interobserver agreement of these methods were high (Table 2). Lumbar spine BMD had no correlation with age, while femoral neck BMD had a significant correlation with age (r=0.02, P=0.9669; r=-0.303; P=<0.0001, respectively). Degenerative spinal diseases were noted in virtually all of the subjects (Table 3). The scores for the Kellgren-Lawrence method, osteophyte formation, bone sclerosis, and disk space narrowing had a moderate or strong correlation with one another, though the number of vertebral fractures

 Table 1 Characteristics of 630 elderly women in this study. BMI body mass index, BMD bone mineral density

Age (year)	73.3 ± 6.9
Height (cm)	147.4 ± 6.5
Weight (kg)	48.0 ± 8.1
BMI (kg/cm^2)	22.1 ± 3.3
Lumbar BMD (g/cm^2)	0.792 ± 0.198
T-score	-1.72 ± 1.64
Neck BMD ^a (g/cm^2)	0.633 ± 0.137
T-score	-2.21 ± 1.15

^aWith a total of 580 subjects

Table 2 Intraobserver reproducibility and interobserver reliability of the classifications for degenerative spinal diseases. Weighted κ statistic was used to determine the intraobserver and interobserver agreement of the classifications for degenerative diseases

	Intraobserver $(n=40)$	Interobserver $(n=130)$
Kellgren-Lawrence	0.76	0.60
Osteophyte formation	0.84	0.60
Bone sclerosis	0.80	0.57
Disk space narrowing	0.87	0.62
Spondylolisthesis	0.87	0.53
Vertebral fractures	0.82	0.76

Table 3 The proportion of subjects with scores for each degenerative disease > 0

	The number of subjects with abnormalities
Kellgren-Lawrence	606 (96.2%)
Osteophyte formation	608 (96.5%)
Bone sclerosis	437 (69.4%)
Disk space narrowing	498 (79.0%)
Spondylolisthesis	121 (19.2%)
Vertebral fractures	159 (25.2%)

the scores for the Kellgren- Lawrence method, osteophyte		Osteophyte	Bone sclerosis	Disk space narrowing	Spondylolisthesis	Vertebral fractures
formation, bone sclerosis, disk space narrowing, and spondylolisthesis, and the number of vertebral fractures * $P < 0.05$. ** $P < 0.01$	Kellgren-Lawrence Osteophyte Bone sclerosis Disk space narrowing Spondylolisthesis	0.613**	0.503** 0.477**	0.737** 0.380** 0.341**	0.165** 0.006 0.073 0.180**	0.116* 0.136** -0.013 0.141** -0.032

and the score for spondylolisthesis had no or weak correlation with the score for other items (Table 4). Figure 1 shows lumbar spine and femoral neck BMD by the severity of each degenerative disease. The scores for the Kellgren-Lawrence method, osteophyte formation, bone sclerosis, disk space narrowing, and spondylolisthesis were positively correlated with lumbar BMD, though they were not correlated with femoral neck BMD (Table 5). The number of vertebral fractures was negatively correlated with both lumbar spine and femoral neck BMD (Table 5). In multiple regression analysis, the scores for osteophyte formation, bone sclerosis, and disk space narrowing, and the number of vertebral fractures were correlated with the BMD of the lumbar spine; however, the scores for spondylolisthesis were not (Table 6). The score for the Kellgren-Lawrence method was not included in the multiple regression analysis, because it was strongly correlated with osteophyte for-

Fig. 1 A Kellgren-Lawrence method, **B** osteophyte formation, **C** bone sclerosis, **D** disk space narrowing, **E** spondylolisthesis, **F** vertebral fracture. Lumbar spine and femoral neck BMD by the severity of each degenerative disease. Subjects were divided into five categories by the score for each degenerative disease. In the case of spondylolisthesis, the number of subjects with a score of two or more was small, so subjects were divided into three categories. In the case of vertebral fracture, subjects were divided into four categories

mation, bone sclerosis, and disk space narrowing. On the basis of this multiple regression analysis, osteophyte formation, bone sclerosis, and disk space narrowing were selected as degenerative spinal diseases that significantly increased lumbar spine BMD measurements, and a degenerative score for each subject was calculated as follows: degenerative score = the score for osteophyte formation + the score for bone sclerosis + the score for disk space narrowing. Eleven (1.7%) subjects had zero points for a degenerative score. The degenerative score was positively correlated with age (Fig. 2) and lumbar spine BMD (Fig. 3A), though it was not correlated with femoral neck BMD (Fig. 3B). The value for lumbar spine BMD after adjustment for osteophyte formation, bone sclerosis, and disk space narrowing can be predicted as follows: adjusted lumbar spine BMD = observed lumbar spine BMD -[0.006 x] (the score for osteophyte formation)] - [0.02 x (the score for bone)]sclerosis)] - [0.011 x (the score for disk space narrowing)]. Adjusted lumbar spine BMD had a weak correlation with age (r = 0.138, P < 0.01). The correlation coefficient between adjusted lumbar spine BMD and femoral neck BMD was 0.597, which was not significantly higher than that between observed lumbar spine BMD and femoral neck BMD.



Table 5 Coefficients of variation of lumbar spine and femoral neck bone mineral density by the scores for the Kellgren-Lawrence method, osteophyte formation, bone sclerosis, disk space narrowing, and spondylolisthesis, and the number of vertebral fractures, after adjustment for age and BMI. *SE* standard error, *N.S.* not significant

	Lumbar spine bone mineral density			Femoral neck bone mineral density ^a		
	Coefficient of variation	SE	P value	Coefficient of variation	SE	P value
Kellgren-Lawrence	0.014	0.002	< 0.0001	-0.00004	0.002	N.S.
Osteophyte formation	0.014	0.002	< 0.0001	0.001	0.002	N.S.
Bone sclerosis	0.029	0.004	< 0.0001	0.005	0.003	N.S.
Disk space narrowing	0.019	0.003	< 0.0001	0.002	0.003	N.S.
Spondylolisthesis	0.037	0.014	< 0.01	0.002	0.012	N.S.
Vertebral fractures	-0.06	0.01	< 0.0001	-0.041	0.008	< 0.0001

 $^{a}N = 580$

Table 6 Multiple regression analysis of lumbar spine bone mineral density with age, BMI, scores for osteophyte formation, bone sclerosis, disk space narrowing, and spondylolisthesis, and the number of vertebral fractures. The overall R^2 was 0.326

	Coefficient of variation	SE	P value
Age	-0.002	0.001	N.S.
ВМІ	0.020	0.002	< 0.0001
Osteophyte formation	0.006	0.003	< 0.05
Bone sclerosis	0.020	0.004	< 0.0001
Disk space narrowing	0.011	0.003	< 0.0001
Spondylolisthesis	0.021	0.013	N.S.
Vertebral fractures	-0.067	0.010	< 0.0001



Fig. 2 The correlation of degenerative score with age. Degenerative score was calculated as follows: degenerative score = the score of osteophyte formation + the score of bone sclerosis + the score of disk space narrowing. Degenerative score was positively correlated with age (r = 0.291, P < 0.0001)

Discussion

This study demonstrated that degenerative diseases of the lumbar spine commonly occurred among elderly people age 60 years or over. However, the subjects in this study were patients admitted to Tokyo Metropolitan Geriatric Medical Center, and thus the proportion of those who had degenerative diseases of the spine might be larger than in the general population. Lumbar spine BMD was positively correlated with the scores for the Kellgren-Lawrence method, osteophyte formation, bone sclerosis, disk space narrowing, and spondylolisthesis. In contrast, femoral neck BMD was not significantly correlated with the score for degenerative spinal diseases. This discrepancy indicates that degenerative spinal diseases increase lumbar spine BMD measurements. Thus, in subjects with severe degenerative spinal diseases, osteoporosis may be underestimated if assessed by lumbar spine BMD.

Lumbar spine BMD has been reported to fall with age in postmenopausal women [10], although no such correlation was noted in this study. This may be mainly due to degenerative diseases of the lumbar spine. In fact, the correlation between age and lumbar spine BMD was improved after adjustment with the score for degenerative spinal diseases. However, the correlation coefficient between the adjusted lumbar spine BMD and femoral neck BMD was not significantly higher than that between the observed lumbar spine BMD and femoral neck BMD, which may indicate that the differences between lumbar spine and femoral neck BMD were not only due to degenerative spinal diseases.

This study showed that the number of vertebral fractures was negatively correlated with both lumbar spine and femoral neck BMD, which do not indicate that vertebral fractures decreased lumbar spine BMD measurements but that subjects with vertebral fractures had more severe osteoporosis.

Multiple regression analysis in this study showed that the scores for osteophyte formation, bone sclerosis, and disk space narrowing were independently correlated with lumbar spine BMD. Using multiple regression analysis, adjusted lumbar spine BMD was calculated in this study as follows: adjusted lumbar spine BMD = observed lumbar spine BMD - [0.006 x (the score for osteophyte formation)] - [0.020 x (the score forsclerosis)] - [0.011 x (the score for disk space narrowing)]. The number of vertebral fractures was also significantly correlated with lumbar spine BMD. However, the number of vertebral fractures was not included in the formula for adjusted lumbar spine BMD, for the aforementioned reason. Applying the mean score in each degenerative spinal disease to the formula resulted in adjusted lumbar spine BMD being 0.119 g/cm² lower Fig. 3A, B The correlation of degenerative score with lumbar spine BMD (A) and femoral neck BMD (B). Degenerative score was significantly correlated with lumbar spine BMD; however, not with femoral neck BMD (r=0.372, P < 0.0001; r = 0.005, P = 0.9162, respectively)



than observed lumbar spine BMD. This amount was 15.0% of the mean lumbar spine BMD of the subjects in this study, which is not a negligible amount. Elderly women age 60 or over are predisposed to degenerative spinal diseases that greatly affect lumbar spine BMD measurements. This should be taken into account in the evaluation of the lumbar spine BMD, or the risk of osteoporosis may be overlooked.

The most harmful problem caused by osteoporosis was hip fractures. Hip fractures lower ADL and QOL and also increase mortality [11, 12, 13]. Mandatory evaluation of the risk of hip fractures is thus required. Measurement of the lumbar spine BMD is now commonly accepted as the standard means for the evaluation of osteoporosis, especially in Japan. However, since (1) elderly people are predisposed to degenerative spinal diseases as evidenced in this study, (2) degenerative spinal diseases greatly affect lumbar spine BMD measurements, and (3) femoral neck BMD was shown to be the better [14, 15, 16] and total neck BMD the best site [17] to evaluate risk for hip fracture, osteoporosis may be better evaluated by means of femoral neck or total neck BMD in principle. Only if subjects have diseases of hip joints, such as osteoarthritis of the hip, and femoral neck fractures, should lumbar spine BMD be used in the evaluation of osteoporosis. In conclusion, the scores for the Kellgren-Lawrence method, osteophyte formation, bone sclerosis, and disk space narrowing were strongly correlated with one another. The scores for the Kellgren-Lawrence method, osteophyte formation, bone sclerosis, disk space narrowing, and spondylolisthesis were positively correlated with lumbar spine BMD. The scores for osteophyte formation, bone sclerosis, and disk space narrowing were independently correlated with lumbar spine BMD.

References

1. Paiva LC, Filardi S, Pinto-Neto AM et al (2002) Impact of degenerative radiographic abnormalities and vertebral fractures

on spinal bone density of women with osteoporosis. Sao Paulo Med J $120(1){:}9{-}12$

- Dalle Carbonare L, Giannini S, Sartori L et al (2000) Lumbar osteoarthritis, bone mineral density, and quantitative ultrasound. Aging (Milan) 12(5):360–365
- Kinoshita H, Tamaki T, Hashimoto T et al (1998) Factors influencing lumbar spine bone mineral density assessment by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry: comparison with lumbar spinal radiogram. J Orthop Sci 3(1):3–9
- Rand T, Schneider B, Grampp S et al (1997) Influence of osteophytic size on bone mineral density measured by dual X-ray absorptiometry. Acta Radiol 38(2):210–213
- 5. Vogt MT, Rubin DA, San Valentin R et al (1999) Degenerative lumbar listhesis and bone mineral density in elderly women: the study of osteoporotic fractures. Spine 24(23):2536– 2541
- Kellgren JH, Lawrence JS (1957) Radiological assessment of osteo-arthrosis. Ann Rheum Dis 16:494–502
- Nathan H, Israel J (1962) Osteophytes of the Vertebral Column. J Bone Joint Surg 44:243–268
- Meyerding HW (1932) Spondylolisthesis. Surg Gynec Obstet 54:371–377
- 9. Inoue T (1990) [Clinical features and findings: osteoporosis] (in Japanese). Bone 4:39–47
- Fujiwara S, Fukunaga M, Nakamura T et al (1998) Rates of change in spinal bone density among Japanese women. Calcif Tissue Int 63(3):202–207
- Fisher ES, Baron JA, Malenka DJ et al. Hip fracture incidence and mortality in New England. Epidemiology 1991; 2(2):116– 22
- Magaziner J, Simonsick EM, Kashner TM et al (1989) Survival experience of aged hip fracture patients. Am J Public Health 79(3):274–278
- 13. Pitto RP (1994) The mortality and social prognosis of hip fractures: a prospective multifactorial study. Int Orthop 18(2):109–113
- 14. Bouxsein ML, Palermo L, Yeung C et al (2002) Digital X-ray radiogrammetry predicts hip, wrist and vertebral fracture risk in elderly women: a prospective analysis from the study of osteoporotic fractures. Osteoporos Int 13(5):358– 365
- Cummings SR, Bates D, Black DM (2002) Clinical use of bone densitometry: scientific review. JAMA 288(15):1889– 1897
- Kanis JA (2002) Diagnosis of osteoporosis and assessment of fracture risk. Lancet 359:1929–1936
- Kanis JA (2000) An update on the diagnosis and assessment of osteoporosis with densitometry. Osteoporos Int 11:192– 202