#### **REVIEW ARTICLE**



# Estrogen for the prevention of recurrent urinary tract infections in postmenopausal women: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Ying-Yu Chen<sup>1,2,3,4</sup> • Tsung-Hsien Su<sup>1,2,3,4</sup> • Hui-Hsuan Lau<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>

Received: 31 March 2020 / Accepted: 12 June 2020 / Published online: 20 June 2020  $\odot$  The International Urogynecological Association 2020

### Abstract

**Introduction and hypothesis** Recurrent urinary tract infections (rUTIs) are commonly encountered in postmenopausal women. Optimal non-antimicrobial prophylaxis for rUTIs is an important health issue. The aim of this study was to evaluate the use of estrogen in the prevention of rUTIs versus placebo.

**Methods** Eligible studies published up to December 2019 were retrieved through searches of MEDLINE, Embase, and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials and Database of Systematic Reviews. We included randomized controlled trials of estrogen therapies versus placebo regarding the outcomes of preventing rUTIs. Changes in vaginal pH and estrogen-associated adverse events were also analyzed.

**Results** Eight studies including 4702 patients (2367 who received estrogen and 2335 who received placebo) were identified. Five studies including 1936 patients evaluated the use of vaginal estrogen, which resulted in a significant reduction in rUTIs (relative risk, 0.42; 95% CI, 0.30–0.59). Three studies including 2766 patients evaluated the outcomes of oral estrogen in the prevention of UTIs and showed no significant difference in the number of rUTIs compared to treatment with placebo (relative risk, 1.11; 95% CI, 0.92–1.35). Two studies reviewed changes in vaginal pH and showed a lower pH (mean difference, -1.81; 95% CI, -3.10-0.52) after vaginal estrogen therapy. Adverse events associated with vaginal estrogen were reported, including vaginal discomfort, irritation, burning, and itching. There was no significance increase in the vaginal estrogen group (relative risk, 3.06; 95% CI, 0.79-11.90).

**Conclusions** Compared with placebo, vaginal estrogen treatment could reduce the number of rUTIs and lower the vaginal pH in postmenopausal women.

Keywords Estrogen · Post-menopause · Prevention · Recurrent urinary tract infection

## Abbreviations

UTI urinary tract infection rUTI recurrent UTI

Hui-Hsuan Lau huihsuan1220@gmail.com

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Medicine, Mackay Medical College, New Taipei, Taiwan
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Mackay Memorial Hospital, 92 Sec. 2 Chung-San North Road, Taipei 104, Taiwan
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Mackay Memorial Hospital, Mackay Medicine, Nursing and Management College, Mackay Medical College, Taipei, Taiwan
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Hsin-Chu Mackay Memorial Hospital, Hsin-Chu, Taiwan

## Introduction

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are common in women, and appropriate antibiotics are the mainstay of treatment of acute infections. Recurrent UTIs (rUTIs) are defined as at least two episodes of UTIs within 6 months or three episodes within 1 year [1]. It is an important health issue for women after menopause. Continuous long-term low-dose antibiotic treatment has been reported to be an effective management strategy to prevent rUTIs; however, it can lead to an increase in drug-resistance rates to the causative microorganisms, thereby leading to another health problem [2]. As a result, the use of optimal non-antimicrobial prophylaxis for rUTIs has become increasingly important. The proposed non-antimicrobial treatments include estrogen, probiotics, and vitamins. However, evidence-based data on the use of these prophylaxis treatments are still required.

exclusion criteria

The prevalence of UTIs increases with age in women [3-5], and 10–15% of women > 60 years old have been reported to have rUTIs [3, 4] compared to > 20% of those > 65 years and 25–50% of those > 80 years old [5]. An important pathogenesis of rUTIs in these women is hormonal deficiency [2-6]. Estrogen deficiency inhibits the growth of vaginal Lactobacillus flora, which can maintain vaginal pH and prevent colonization by uropathogens such as Escherichia coli [7]. The presence of such uropathogens increases the risk of infection. A few randomized controlled trials have evaluated the efficacy of using estrogen to prevent rUTIs, and they have reported the significant efficacy of local estrogen applications compared to systemic estrogen [2-7]. A Cochrane systematic review by Perrotta in 2008 reported that vaginal estrogen treatment could reduce the number of rUTIs in postmenopausal women [7]. However, this finding was based on only two randomized controlled studies [3, 4], and their study was published > 10 years ago. In addition, the methods of estrogen application in the two studies were different, using either vaginal cream or ring. Therefore, the aim of this review was to evaluate current data and evidence to elucidate the efficacy of estrogen treatment as non-antimicrobial prophylaxis for rUTIs in postmenopausal women. Vaginal pH and hormone-associated adverse events were also analyzed to evaluate the safety of the treatment.

# Materials and methods

We performed a detailed computerized data search in December 2019 of MEDLINE (1950-2019), EMBASE (1980-2019), and the Cochrane Central Register of



Outcomes	ESA Vaginal estrogen prevented FIQ, UTIs in PMP women wi rUTI	Vaginal estrogen resulted i subjective improvement in incontinence, urogeni atrophy, vaginal dryness dyspareunia, vaginal pH and colposcopic parameters; increases in mean MUCP and MUCP	<ul> <li>ire, Local administration of 25 μg of micronized 17β-estradiol is an tion, effective and safe nd, treatment option in the management of women with urgemital complain</li> </ul>	ms, Oral hormone therapy did r reduce frequency of UT bit, reduce frequency of UT inp mass atio, ry se	Estring is useful to prolong the time to next recurren and to decrease the number of recurrences p year. It also alleviated nd other PMP urogenital symptoms	y, Oral estriol (3 mg per day) was not shown to be her superior to placebo in th prevention of rUTI
Tools for evaluations	Non-UTI rate, FSFI, M I, MESA II, PFDI, P EPI	Urinary incontinence, therapeutic efficacy, urogenital atrophic symptoms, vaginal atrophy, vaginal pH, significant bacteriuri vaginal and urethral smeans, and	Full history questionnai micturition diary, gynecologic and cystometric examinat transvaginal ultrasou and serum 17β estra level determination	Vaginal/genital sympton frequency of sexual activity, smoking hal alcohol consumption body weight, waist, l circumference, body index, waist-to-hip ra fasting glucose measurements, urinaa tract infections, stress incontinence, and ur	Time to the first bacteriologically confirmed and symptomatic rUTI, improvement of PMI symptoms, urethral a vaginal mucosal	mauratuon, vaginal F Non-UTI rate, frequenc dysuria, hematuria, incontinence, and oth LUTs
Interventions	<ul> <li>T1: Vaginal estradiol ring</li> <li>2 mg every 3 months</li> <li>T2: conjugated estrogen.</li> <li>Cream 0.625 mg/g dosed</li> <li>at 0.5 g (0.312 mg) twice</li> <li>a week at night.</li> </ul>	T: One intravaginal estriol ovule (1 mg) once daily for 2 weeks and then 2 ovules once weekly as maintenance therapy. C: Vaginal placebo in a similar regimen	T: 25 $\mu$ g of micronized 17 $\beta$ -estradiol once a day over a period of 2 weeks, and then twice a week for the remaining 12 months. C: Placebo at same period	T: One oral tablet containing both conjugated estrogens (0.625 mg) and medroxyprogesterone acctate (2.5 mg) daily. C: One identical placebo tablet daily	<ul> <li>T: A silicone vaginal ring of 2 mg micronized</li> <li>17β-estradiol every</li> <li>12 weeks</li> <li>C: No treatment</li> </ul>	T: Oral estriol (3 mg) daily. C: Placebo tablets
Characteristics of participants	PMP symptoms > 1 year or age > 55 years old. Participants had documented rUTI (2 3 in 1 year or 2 in 6 months) by positive U/C	All participants presented symptoms and signs of USI, vaginal atrophy, and histories of rUTI. None had received estrogen treatment before the study	PMP women>1Y with urogenital symptoms. Participants had rUTI (≥ 2 in 1 year)	PMP women < 80 years old with coronary heart disease and with an intact uterus	PMP women > 2 years with > 3 UTIs treated during the previous 12 months and had normal urine	At least 2 UTIs in the last 18 months; serum estradiol < 220 pmol/l; serum FSH> 40 IU/l; > 2 years since the last menstrual
Study duration	6 months	6 months	12 months	4.1 years	36 weeks	12 months
Number of participants	35 (9, 9, 17)	88 (44, 44)	1612 (828, 784)	2654 (1318, 1336)	108 (53, 55)	72 (36, 36)
Type of RCT	Multicenter, single-blind, randomized, placebo controlled trial	Prospective, randomized, placebo controlled trial	Multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo controlled trial	Double-blind, randomized, controlled trial	Randomized, open, parallel-group trial	Double-blind, randomized, parallel group, placebo controlled trial
Year	2019	2004	] 2003	2001	1999	1998
Author	Ferrante [5]	Dessole [10]	Simunic [10	Brown [11]	Eriksen [4]	Cardozo [6]

 Table 1
 Characteristics of the reviewed studies

Author	Year Type of RCT	Number of participants	Study duration	Characteristics of participants	Interventions	Tools for evaluations	Outcomes
Raz [3]	1993 Double-blind, randomized, p Controlled tria	93 (50, 43) acebo. I	8 months	PMP women with rUTI (> 3 in the past year)	T: 0.5 mg of estriol in vaginal cream to be used daily for 2 weeks and twice a week for 8 months	UTI rate, vaginal lactobacilli, vaginal pH, and side effects	The intravaginal administration of estriol prevents rUTI in PMP women
Kirkengen [12]	1992 Double-blind, randomized, p controlled trial	40 (20, 20) lacebo	12 weeks	RUTI (> 1 episode within 2 weeks or >3 episodes during the previous year), vaginal pH, and treatment of current UTI with antibiotics	T: Oral estrict of an daily for 4 weeks, followed by 1 mg daily for 8 weeks. C: Placebo for same periods	The number of bacteriologically verified UTI per week during the treatment period. Possible adverse effects	Estriol reduces rUTI in PMP women
EPI, estimated Aspects of Ag postmenopaus;	percent improvement; F ing Questionnaire; MUP, ul; RCT, randomized com	SFI, Female Sexual Func maximum urethral pressu trolled trial; UTI, urinary 1	tioning Index; rre; MUCP, main tract infection;	FSH, follicle stimulating hormc tximum urethral closure pressure rUTI, recurrent urinary tract infe	me; LUTs, lower urinary trac e; PFDI, Pelvic Floor Disabili ection; U/C, urine culture; USI	t symptoms; MESA, Medical ty Index; PFIQ, Pelvic Floor 1 , urinary stress incontinence	l, Epidemiologic, and Social Impact Questionnaire; PMP,

Table 1 (continued)

Controlled Trials and Database of Systematic Reviews (1991-2019). The search strategy was to use combinations of search terms related to population, intervention, comparison, and outcome as follows: "postmenopausal or menopausal," "recurrent urinary tract infection," "urinary tract infection," "dysuria," "lower urinary tract symptoms," "vaginal or systemic," "estrogen or hormonal therapy," "side effects," and "prophylaxis". The search was limited to human studies. We selected randomized controlled studies that reported on the effectiveness of preventing rUTIs after estrogen treatment versus placebo in postmenopausal women with a minimum of 12 weeks of follow-up. Studies including patients who received different estrogen applications (e.g., vaginal estrogen ring, pessary, tablets, cream, or oral estrogen) compared with placebo were included. Studies which were not in English, those that did not meet the criteria for rUTIs, and those in which the patients received antimicrobial treatments or other non-antimicrobial agents were excluded from the review. Data related to vaginal condition including vaginal pH and hormone-associated adverse events were also retrieved and analyzed.

Data were extracted from each study by two reviewers and confirmed by another reviewer. We assessed the risk of bias of studies using domain-based evaluations, which were produced by The Cochrane Collaboration in 2011 [8]. The risk of bias included generation of the allocation sequence, concealment of the allocation sequence, blinding, incomplete outcome data, selective outcome reporting, and other biases. The risk of bias was rated as "low," "high," or "unclear." In addition, the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) methodology was used to rate the quality of evidence [9]. Meta-analysis was used to estimate the risk ratio (RR) (for binary outcomes) or net change (for continuous outcomes) using RevMan Review Manager Version 5.0 [RevMan Review Manager (RevMan) computer program, version 5.0. 2008. Copenhagen, The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration].

## Results

We identified 571 publications that evaluated the effect of estrogen preparations versus placebo for the prevention of rUTIs in postmenopausal women. The cited references in the primary articles or specialist reviews and publications were also searched. After removing duplicates, 227 publications were reviewed with regard to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The flow diagram of the literature search and inclusion and exclusion criteria is shown in Fig. 1. A total of 188 articles were excluded, and 9 randomized controlled trials were eligible for initial assessment. Of these, one compared vaginal estrogen rings with antimicrobial agents and one included postmenopausal females who had already received oral hormone therapy before being randomized into the trial, and



Fig. 2 Recurrent urinary tract infection between women undergoing local estrogen therapy and placebo

they were thus excluded from this review. The remaining eight articles were analyzed to assess the efficacy of estrogen as non-antimicrobial prophylaxis for rUTIs compared with placebo. Table 1 shows the characteristics of the studies included in the review. We reviewed the outcomes of different hormonal applications and compared vaginal and oral estrogen preparations versus placebo.

#### Vaginal estrogen

The use of vaginal estrogen was evaluated in five trials involving 324 patients. Two studies used vaginal estradiol silicone rings and conjugated estrogen cream [4, 5], and three used estriol in vaginal inserts (cream, ovules) [3, 10, 11]. Four studies evaluated the reduction or recurrence of new episodes of UTIs as the treatment outcome, whereas one trial assessed the occurrence of bacteriuria. All preparations of vaginal estrogen decreased the number of UTIs compared with placebo or compared to baseline conditions (number of UTIs before starting the trial or baseline bacteriuria) (relative risk, 0.42; 95% confidence interval, 0.30–0.59) (Fig. 2).

#### **Oral estrogen**

Three studies evaluated the outcomes of oral estrogen in the prevention of UTIs, including a total of 2766 patients [6, 12, 13]. Two studies used the same type of estriol but in different doses (3 mg daily for the duration of the study or 3 mg daily for 4 weeks and then 1 mg daily for the duration of the study), and another used oral tablets containing both conjugated estrogens (0.625 mg) and medroxyprogesterone acetate [12]. None of the studies showed a significant reduction in the episodes of UTIs compared with placebo (relative risk, 1.11; 95% confidence interval, 0.92–1.35) (Fig. 3). One study

## reported no improvements in UTI rate in the first 4 weeks but significant improvements at 12 weeks [13], and two studies reported no improvements after systemic estrogen therapy [6, 12].

#### Vaginal pH

Two studies were reviewed for the change in vaginal pH [3, 11], one of which used vaginal ovules and the other used vaginal cream. Estrogen showed significant efficacy in decreasing vaginal pH (mean difference, -1.81; 95% confidence interval, -3.10—0.52) (Fig. 4).

#### Adverse events

The adverse events associated with vaginal estrogen therapy included vaginal discomfort, irritation, burning, and itching. Compared with placebo, there was no significance increase in adverse events (relative risk, 3.06; 95% confidence interval, 0.79–11.90) (Fig. 5). The adverse effects in the oral systemic estrogen group varied widely, so they were difficult to evaluate [6, 12, 13]. One study reported no adverse events related to hormone therapy [13], and one study reported that oral estrogen increased the risks of diabetes, vaginal symptoms, and urge incontinence [12]. Another study reported that three (8.3%) patients had vaginal bleeding and seven (19.4%) had breast pain in the oral estrogen group compared to one (2.8%) with vaginal bleeding and one (2.8%) with breast pain in the placebo group [6].

#### **Risk of bias and GRADE quality of evidence evaluation**

The risks of bias assessments for each study are summarized and presented graphically in Figs. 6 and 7. There was a high



Fig. 3 Recurrent urinary tract infection between women undergoing systemic estrogen therapy and placebo

	vagina	al estro	gen	pl	acebo			Mean Difference		M	ean Differend	e	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI Year		IV,	Random, 95%	6 CI	
Raz 1993	3.6	1	50	6.1	2	43	47.7%	-2.50 [-3.16, -1.84] 1993			<b>_</b>		
Dessole 2004	4.12	0.96	44	5.3	0.75	44	52.3%	-1.18 [-1.54, -0.82] 2004			•		
Total (95% CI)			94			87	100.0%	-1.81 [-3.10, -0.52]			٠		
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect:	0.80; Ch Z = 2.75	i² = 11.8 (P = 0.0	87, df = 006)	1 (P = (	0.0006	); I² = 9	2%		-100 Favou	-50 urs vaginal est	0 rogen Favou	50 Irs placebo	100

Fig. 4 Vaginal pH between women undergoing local estrogen therapy and placebo

risk of bias in 50% (4/8) of the trials due to incomplete outcome data. Other biases included blinding of outcome assessment (2/8, 25%), and blinding of the participants (1/8, 12.5%). The GRADE quality of evidence evaluation is summarized in Table 2.

## Discussion

The results showed that vaginal estrogen could reduce the number of rUTIs in postmenopausal women compared with placebo (five studies, 1936 women: RR 0.42; 95% CI, 0.30– 0.59), but that oral estrogen did not (three studies, 2766 women: RR 1.11; 95% CI, 0.92–1.35). Vaginal estrogen treatment significantly lowered vaginal pH (two studies, 211 women: mean difference, -1.81; 95% CI, -3.10-0.52) and was not associated with adverse events, including vaginal discomfort, irritation, burning, or itching (four studies, 324 women: RR 3.06; 95% CI, 0.79–11.90).

Several physiologic changes increase the risk of rUTIs in postmenopausal women, one of which is urogenital atrophy. Thinning of the vaginal or urethral mucosa and relaxation of pelvic floor muscles may cause the development of urinary or fecal incontinence and pelvic organ prolapse. These pelvic floor disorders can then cause an increase in post-voiding residual urine volume and incontinence causing poor hygiene, which are predisposing factors for UTIs. Another physiologic change is the change in vaginal microflora. Estrogen deficiency is not beneficial to lactobacilli-dominated vaginal microflora, which can maintain vaginal pH and prevent colonization by uropathogens [14]. In a prospective study, Meister et al. enrolled 70 postmenopausal women to evaluate changes in the urine inflammatory profile after vaginal estrogen therapy, and they proposed that hormone treatment could help to prevent rUTIs and reduce the symptoms of infection [15]. They also reported that vaginal estrogen could reduce levels of urine interleukin 6 and reduce urine inflammatory scores, indicating the genitourinary inflammatory response and suppression of associated symptoms with local estrogen treatment [15].

Although vaginal estrogen treatment appears to be a promising choice for postmenopausal women, there are concerns over the efficacy and safety of its long-term use. Because estradiol absorption is dose dependent and may be influenced by the delivery system, various formulations and positioning in the vagina have been suggested [16]. A Cochrane systematic review reported that vaginal estrogen cream may be associated with an increase in endometrial thickness compared to estrogen rings because of the higher doses of cream used [7]. Besides endometrial thickness, other estrogen-associated adverse events included breast tenderness, vaginal bleeding or spotting, non-physiologic discharge, vaginal irritation, burning, and itching [7]. Currently, the recommendations for local estrogen dosage and administration for vulvovaginal atrophy are to avoid a significant increase in serum estradiol [17]. The preparations of vaginal estrogen therapy can be classified as low-, intermediate-, and high-dosage preparations [18]. Lowdose vaginal estrogen is defined as around 7.5 µg for vaginal rings and 10 µg for tablets. Long-term low-dose vaginal estrogen administration has been reported to possibly increase plasma estradiol levels, but not above the normal range of  $\leq$ 20 pg/ml [18], suggesting that low-dose estrogen therapy does not increase the rate of adverse events associated with systemic hormone therapy. An intermediate dose is defined as 25 µg estradiol or 0.3 mg conjugated equine estrogen, and a high dose is defined as 50-2000 µg estradiol or 0.625-2.5 mg conjugated equine estrogen. These doses may result in plasma estradiol levels approaching or exceeding 20 pg/ml [18]. In our review, all vaginal estrogen preparations used higher doses; however, no serious adverse events were reported. Although the meta-analysis revealed non-significant side effects, the longest use of vaginal estrogen therapy was only 36 weeks [4]. Therefore, further studies regarding the safety of long-term vaginal estrogen preparations are warranted. In addition, further studies to evaluate the efficacy of low-dose



Fig. 5 Adverse events between women undergoing local estrogen therapy and placebo

Fig. 6 Risk of bias graph



vaginal estrogen for preventing rUTIs are also warranted, because low-dose regimens may be preferred clinically to highdose regimens in women, particularly for those who are concerned about the side effects of chronic estrogen therapy.

Although none of the studies reported direct outcomes with regard to the growth of lactobacilli flora, the meta-analysis showed that vaginal estrogen could lower vaginal pH in postmenopausal women. It is worth noting that vaginal estradiol absorption is acute with peaks at about 8 h that return to baseline at 12 h [19], indicating that the absorption of estradiol decreases shortly after the start of treatment. This means that vaginal mucosa and acidity may recover from an atrophic state if treatment with estrogen preparations is not continued. In this review, we included one study that used oral conjugated estrogens and medroxyprogesterone acetate; however, there were still insufficient data to compare their use with progestins for rUTIs in postmenopausal women. In addition to estrogen receptors, progesterone receptors are expressed in the bladder, trigone, and vagina, but their role is still not clear [18].

There are several limitations to this review. We limited the searches to English language, which may have missed potentially relevant studies. In addition, the number of patients, vaginal estrogen applications, and follow-up periods varied between the studies. Most of the studies had a limited follow-up period of  $\leq 6$  months, so the long-term outcomes were unclear. One study involved the use of oral conjugated estrogens and medroxyprogesterone acetate. Although there were insufficient data to evaluate the relationship between progestin and rUTIs, this combination of hormone therapy may have caused bias. We also acknowledge that some bias was unavoidable when performing the meta-analysis, especially with regard to the variations in intra-vaginal estrogen methods and formulations. There were too many inherent variables related to individual characteristics, patients' compliance, application skill, and different delivery systems. For example, an estrogen-containing vaginal pessary inside the vagina can provide a steady and continuous delivery of estrogen, unlike vaginal estrogen cream which requires regular use to achieve an optimal functional estrogenization effect. Therefore, the results should be interpreted with caution because of potential bias.

Kirkengen 1992 Ferrante 2019 Simunic 2003 Eriksen 1999 Dessole 2004 Cardozo1998 Brown 200° Raz 1993 ·-> ·.v Ŧ Random sequence generation (selection bias) Ŧ Allocation concealment (selection bias) ·.v <del>.</del>.ي -ა ۍ. + Ŧ Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) Ŧ Ŧ Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) (Ŧ Selective reporting (reporting bias) --> Ŧ Other bias

Fig. 7 Risk of bias summary

Table 2	Quality a

$\overline{\mathbb{A}}$	Sprin	ger

Quality assessme	'nt							Summary of fin	dings		
Outcomes	Number of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication bias	Estrogen (number of patients)	Placebo (number of patients)	RR (95% CI)	Quality
rUTI in local estrogen	s	RCT	Serous limitations (because of incomplete	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	165	159	0.42 (0.30–0.59)	Moderate
rUTI in systemic estrogen vs.	б	RCT	Serous limitations (because of incomplete	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	1374	1392	1.11 (0.92–1.35)	Moderate
placeou pH in local estrogen	7	RCT	Serous limitations (because of incomplete	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	94	87	-1.81 (-3.10.52)	Moderate
vs. placeou Adverse events in local estrogen vs. placebo	4	RCT	data) Serous limitations (because of incomplete data)	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious imprecision (wide 95% CI)	Undetected	165	159	3.06 (0.79–11.9)	Moderate
rUTI, recurrent u	rinary tract	infection;	; RCT, randomized control	lled trial; CI: confide	ence interval; RR	, risk ratio					

There are also several strengths to this review. All of the recruited studies had similar characteristics, and all of the patients were postmenopausal and were diagnosed with rUTIs. To the best of our knowledge, this is the most complete, up-to-date, and relevant review regarding the efficacy of using systemic or local estrogen versus placebo in the prevention of rUTIs. Vaginal estrogen therapy may be an effective prophylaxis for rUTIs in postmenopausal women. Nevertheless, further studies are needed to survey the long-term effectiveness and safety of vaginal estrogen preparations and the lowest efficacious dosage as prophylaxis for rUTIs.

Each author's participation in the manuscript Ying-Yu Chen: Protocol/ project development, Data Collection and management, Data Analysis, Manuscript writing.

TH Su: Protocol/project development, Data collection and management.

HH Lau: Protocol/project development, Manuscript writing and editing.

#### Compliance with ethical standards

Financial disclaimer/conflict of interest None.

## References

- Raz R, Colodner R, Rohana Y, et al. Effectiveness of estriolcontaining vaginal pessaries and nitrofurantoin macrocrystal therapy in the prevention of recurrent urinary tract infection in postmenopausal women. Clin Infect Dis. 2003;36:1362–8.
- Wawrysiuk S, Naber K, Rechberger T, Miotla P. Prevention and treatment of uncomplicated lower urinary tract infections in the era of increasing antimicrobial resistance-non-antibiotic approaches: a systemic review. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2019;300:821–8.
- Raz R, Stamm WE. A controlled trial of intravaginal estriol in postmenopausal women with recurrent urinary tract infections. N Engl J Med. 1993;329:753–7.
- Eriksen B. A randomized, open, parallel-group study on the preventive effect of an estradiol-releasing vaginal ring (Estring) on recurrent urinary tract infections in postmenopausal women. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1999;180:1072–9.
- Ferrante KL, Wasenda EJ, Jung CE et al (2019) Vaginal estrogen for the prevention of recurrent urinary tract infection in postmenopausal women: a randomized clinical trial. Female Pelvic Med Reconstr Surg.
- Cardozo L, Benness C, Abbott D. Low dose oestrogen prophylaxis for recurrent urinary tract infections in elderly women. Br J Obstet Gynaecol. 1998;105:403–7.
- Perrotta C, Aznar M, Mejia R, Albert X, Ng CW. Oestrogens for preventing recurrent urinary tract infection in postmenopausal women. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2008;2:CD005131.
- Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gotzsche PC, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. Br Med J. 2011;343:d5928.
- Guyatt G, Oxman AD, Akl EA, et al. GRADE guidelines: 1. Introduction-GRADE evidence profiles and summary of findings tables. J Clin Epidemiol. 2011;64:383–94.
- Simunić V, Banović I, Ciglar S, et al. Local Estrogen treatment in patients with urogenital symptoms. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2003;82: 187–97.

- Dessole S, Rubattu G, Ambrosini G, et al. Efficacy of low-dose intravaginal estriol on urogenital aging in postmenopausal women. Menopause. 2004;11:49–56.
- Brown JS, Vittinghoff E, Kanaya AM. Urinary tract infections in postmenopausal women: effect of hormone therapy and risk factors. Obstet Gynecol. 2001;98:1045–52.
- Kirkengen AL, Andersen P, Gjersøe E, Johannessen GR, Johnsen N, Bodd E. Oestriol in the prophylactic treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in postmenopausal women. Scand J Prim Health Care. 1992;10:139–42.
- Lüthje P, Hirschberg AL, Brauner A. Estrogenic action on innate defense mechanisms in the urinary tract. Maturitas. 2014;77:32–6.
- Meister MR, Wang C, Lowder JL, Mysorekar IU (2019) Vaginal estrogen therapy is associated with decreased inflammatory response in postmenopausal women with recurrent urinary tract infections. Female Pelvic Med Reconstr Surg.

- Santen RJ, Mirkin S, Bernick B, Constantine GD. Systemic estradiol levels with low-dose vaginal estrogens. Menopause. 2019;27: 361–70.
- Simon JA, Maamari RV. Ultra-low-dose vaginal estrogen tablets for the treatment of postmenopausal vaginal atrophy. Climacteric. 2013;16:37–43.
- Dudley R, Philip TH, Linda C. The effect of hormones on the lower urinary tract. Menopause Int. 2013;19:155–62.
- Santen RJ. Vaginal administration of estradiol: effects of dose, preparation and timing on plasma estradiol levels. Climacteric. 2015;18:121–34.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.