**ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# **Real time FFT identification based time‑varying chatter frequency mitigation in thin‑wall workpiece milling**

**Chenxi Wang<sup>1</sup> · Xingwu Zhang1 · Xuefeng Chen1**

Received: 8 November 2021 / Accepted: 14 January 2022 / Published online: 25 January 2022© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag London Ltd., part of Springer Nature 2022

#### **Abstract**

Due to the detrimental effect on tool life, material removal efficiency, and workpiece surface equality, chatter has become a major obstacle to high-performance milling. According to the previous research, the active noise equalizer (ANE) can decrease chatter frequencies with having a little infuence on normal frequencies, which satisfes the initial control requirement for optimization of actuator performance. Recent researches show that chatter frequencies are time-varying with broadband characteristic in thin-walled component milling. However, tradition studies focused on invariant line spectrum chatter frequency suppression, which cannot meet the time-varied need. To this end, in this research, the real-time fast Fourier transform (FFT) identifcation is introduced into ANE, which can update the reference chatter frequencies for timevarying chatter frequencies' suppression in thin-walled workpiece milling. However, during the period between two FFT, the identifed frequencies remain unchanged, which will deviate from the real chatter frequencies and disable the controller. In order to deal with this problem, the modifed narrowband fltered-x least mean square (NFXLMS) is combined with the real-time FFT identifcation for time-varying chatter frequencies suppression. The modifed NFXLMS is a narrowband active control algorithm, which can handle the small change of chatter frequencies during the period between two FFT. Simulation results show that the proposed two control algorithms can both suppress the time-varying chatter frequencies efectively. The modifed NFXLMS has better control efect than ANE, but it has larger impacts every time the chatter frequencies are identified by FFT. Finally, the thin-wall workpiece milling tests are implemented. The off-line simulation based on practical experimental data is carried out that indicates that the developed algorithms work well in practice.

**Keywords** Time-varying chatter frequency · Milling chatter suppression · Line spectrum control · Narrowband active control · Frequency iterative updating

#### **Abbreviations**



 $\boxtimes$  Xingwu Zhang xwzhang@mail.xjtu.edu.cn

<sup>1</sup> State Key Laboratory for Manufacturing System Engineering, School of Mechanical Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, People's Republic of China





# **1 Introduction**

Due to the high productivity and fexibility, high-speed milling (HSM) has been widely adopted in aerospace and automobiles industry [[1\]](#page-9-0). However, owing to the detrimental effect on tool life, material removal efficiency, and workpiece surface equality, chatter has become a major obstacle to high performance milling [[2](#page-10-0)]. In order to remove this obstacle, more and more scholars are attracted in chatter avoidance or suppression. In general, the methods for chatter avoidance or mitigation are mainly divided into three categories, including stability prediction, passive control, and active control [[2–](#page-10-0)[4\]](#page-10-1).

Stability analysis can provide the guidance for selection of milling parameters (spindle speed and axial cutting depth). The present study aims to obtain the stability lobe diagram (SLD) more quickly and accurately. On the one hand, lots of methods and their improved methods are proposed to acquire the SLD. For instance, Altintas et al. put forward the zero-order approximation method [\[5](#page-10-2)] and the multi-frequency method [\[6](#page-10-3)] in frequency domain. The former is the most efficient method, but cannot be applicable for small radial cutting depth. The latter is suitable to lowimmersion milling, but time-consuming due to the higherorder items' approximation. As to the time domain, many discretization methods are developed. For example, the semi discretization method [\[7](#page-10-4)] and its higher-order methods [[8\]](#page-10-5) have been a popular method for a long time. Unfortunately, the matrix exponential function in SDM depends on both spindle speed and axial cutting depth, which would increase the calculation and lead to low efficiency. The full discretization method [\[9](#page-10-6)] constructs the Floquet matrix through direct integration and linear interpolation, where the matrix exponential function is just related with spindle speed. Besides the discretization strategies, the temporal fnite element analysis is also developed for milling stability analysis with high accuracy and efficiency  $[10]$  $[10]$ . On the other hand, lots of researchers try to obtain the SLD as accurately as possible, through considering practical factors, such as helix angle  $[11, 12]$  $[11, 12]$ , runout  $[13]$  $[13]$ , process damping  $[14]$  $[14]$ , tool deflection [\[12,](#page-10-9) [15\]](#page-10-12), thin-walled workpiece [[16\]](#page-10-13), and material removal [\[17,](#page-10-14) [18\]](#page-10-15). However, this method cannot expand the stability zone of SLD. However, the accurate prediction of SLD can just guide for cutting parameter selection; however, it cannot guarantee that the cutting parameters remain constant and the original stable system may lose stabilization owning to the time-varying property of cutting process [[19](#page-10-16)].

The passive control technique attempted to suppress chatter by changing the system behavior, which is based on improving the design of the machine tool to change its performance against vibration (variable pitch [[20](#page-10-17)] or variable helix [\[21](#page-10-18)]) or on the use of extra devices (tuned mass damper [[22\]](#page-10-19)) that can absorb extra energy or disrupt the regenerative effect. However, due to the time-varying property and uncertainty of cutting process, the passive control cannot show good performance without extra energy input [[23,](#page-10-20) [24](#page-10-21)].

As the third strategy, active control is an efective method for chatter suppression, which can satisfy the real-time requirements of the online control system and realize highperformance and high-efficiency cutting. Different actuators can be integrated into spindle, such as electrostrictive actuator [[18\]](#page-10-15), active magnetic bearing [[19](#page-10-16)], and piezoelectric stack [\[20,](#page-10-17) [21](#page-10-18)]. With extra energy input, the active control system is able to change the dynamics property of machine tool and afford additional forces for chatter suppression, so that the stable cutting region can be expanded. For example, Niels and Verschuren [[25\]](#page-10-22) adopted the robust active control method for chatter suppression, which can guarantee the robust stability. Monnin et al. [[26,](#page-10-23) [27](#page-10-24)] adopted the optimal control algorithm for chatter suppression using active spindle equipped with piezoelectric stacks. Using piezoelectric patch as the actuator, Zhang et al. [\[24\]](#page-10-21) applied LMS active control method to milling process and suppress chatter vibration energy almost by 50%.

The traditional active chatter control algorithm takes the vibration signals in time domain as the feedback signals, which will suppress all the vibration frequencies, including both chatter frequencies and normal frequencies. Therefore, actuators in traditional control strategies have to waste much energy for rotation frequency and its frequency multiplications, which cannot obtain the optimal performance and cause the saturation efect of actuators. In order to solve this problem, Wang et al. [[23](#page-10-20)] adopted the adaptive vibration reshaping algorithm for line spectrum control, which can suppress chatter frequencies efectively without changing rotation frequency and its frequency multiplications. However, this algorithm is just suitable to the condition where chatter frequencies keep unchanged. On the basis of researches [\[28](#page-10-25)[–30](#page-10-26)], chatter frequencies vary in thin-walled workpiece milling.

In order to suppress time-varying chatter frequencies, this research introduced the real-time FFT identifcation into ANE [[23\]](#page-10-20) and modified NFXLMS [\[31](#page-10-27)]. ANE can suppress chatter frequencies efectively without changing rotation frequency and its frequency multiplications; however, it is sensitive to the chatter frequencies' identifcation errors. With the chatter frequencies' identifcation errors increasing, the controller performance decreases until fail. Therefore, the real-time FFT identifcation can modify the identifed reference frequencies for better controller performance. However, if the real-time FFT identifcation is implemented at every step, it will increase the calculation burden of controller and the control delay, which will decrease the controller performance a lot  $[23]$  $[23]$  $[23]$ . Thus, in this research, the real-time FFT identifcation is implemented at every N steps, where N depends on the convergence factors [[12\]](#page-10-9). In that case, a new problem arises; that is, chatter frequencies vary during the N steps. Hence, the modifed NFXLMS is adopted to replace ANE, where the reference frequencies can be updated iteratively by a new adaptive algorithm during two chatter frequencies identifcations by FFT. Simulation results show that the modified NFXLMS has better control effect than ANE.

The remainder of this paper is arranged as follows. In Sect. 2, the time-varying chatter frequencies phenomenon is introduced and verifed by milling tests. Section 3 presents the chatter suppression algorithm based on ANE and modifed NFXLMS with FFT. Besides, the numerical simulation is provided. In Sect. 4, the measured vibration data in thinwall workpiece milling is applied for algorithm verifcation. Finally, some conclusions and future works are drawn in Sect. 5.

# **2 The time‑varying chatter frequencies phenomenon in thin‑walled workpiece milling**

As seen in Fig. [1,](#page-2-0) the milling tool is usually assumed to be rigid in thin-walled part milling [\[32](#page-10-28), [33](#page-10-29)]. Then, the governing equation can be expressed by:

$$
\begin{bmatrix} m_x & 0 \\ 0 & m_y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{x}(t) \\ \ddot{y}(t) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} c_x & 0 \\ 0 & c_y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}(t) \\ \dot{y}(t) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} k_x & 0 \\ 0 & k_y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F_x(t) \\ F_y(t) \end{bmatrix}
$$
(1)



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 1** The schematic representation of the milling process

 $\left| \int_{0}^{x} \frac{1}{2} dx \right|$  $0 \thinspace c_{y}$  $\int$ , and  $\begin{bmatrix} k_x & 0 \\ 0 & k_y \end{bmatrix}$  $0 \; k_y$ ] are the modal mass, modal damping, and modal stifness matrixes of the thin-

walled components, respectively;  $[F_x(t)F_y(t)]^T$  and  $[x(t)y(t)]^T$ are the milling forces and vibration displacement vectors in the *x* and *y* directions.

where  $\begin{bmatrix} m_x & 0 \\ 0 & m_y \end{bmatrix}$ 

0 *my*

To calculate the instantaneous milling forces, the tool is discretized into  $N_a$  axial disk layers with  $dz = a_p/N_a$ , where  $a_n$  is the axial milling depth and  $dz$  is the height of each axial discretization disk. Hence, the milling forces can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\begin{bmatrix}\nF_x(t) \\
F_y(t)\n\end{bmatrix} &= \sum_{z=1}^{Na} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \begin{bmatrix}\n-\cos(\phi_j(z,t)) & -\sin(\phi_j(z,t)) \\
-\sin(\phi_j(z,t)) & -\cos(\phi_j(z,t))\n\end{bmatrix} \\
\left\{\n\begin{bmatrix}\n\frac{K_t}{K_n}\n\end{bmatrix} h_j(z,t) + \begin{bmatrix}\nK_{te} \\
K_{ne}\n\end{bmatrix}\n\right\} g(\phi_j(z,t)) dz\n\end{aligned} \tag{2}
$$

where *N* is the number of teeth;  $K_t$  and  $K_n$  are the tangential and radial shearing force coefficients;  $K_{te}$  and  $K_{ne}$  are the tangential and radial ploughing force coefficients; and  $g(\phi_j(z, t))$  is a switch function for determining whether the  $g(\phi_j(z,t)) = 1\phi_{st} < \phi_j(z,t) < \phi_{ex}$ , where  $\phi_{st}$  and  $\phi_{ex}$  are  $g(\phi_j(z,t)) =$  0*otherwise* tooth is in or out of cut, which can be expressed as

the start and exit angles of the cutter tooth.

 $\phi_i(z, t)$  is the angular position of the *j*th tooth at the *z*th axial disk, which can be given by:

$$
\phi_j(z, t) = (2\pi \Omega / 60)t + (j - 1) \cdot 2\pi / N - 2z \tan \gamma / D \tag{3}
$$

where  $\Omega$ ,  $\gamma$ , and *D* are the spindle speed (in rpm), helix angle, and diameter of milling tool, respectively.

The instantaneous uncut chip thickness  $h_i(z, t)$  can be obtained as follows:

$$
h_j(z,t) = f_i \sin(\phi_j(z,t)) + \Delta x_{jz} \sin(\phi_j(z,t)) + \Delta y_{jz} \cos(\phi_j(z,t))
$$
\n(4)

where  $f_t$  is the feed per tooth (in mm/tooth);  $\Delta x_{jz}$  and  $\Delta y_{jz}$ are the instantaneous uncut chip thickness of the *j*th tooth at the *z*th axial disk in the *x* and *y* directions, respectively.

The modal parameters are usually obtained by fnite element analysis or experiment modal analysis. In thin-walled workpiece milling, the corresponding modal parameters are time-varying with the workpiece material removal and the change of tool position, which will cause the variation of chatter frequencies [[30](#page-10-26)]. The detailed description about milling forces can refer to the literature [[33\]](#page-10-29). The dominant chatter frequencies can be calculated with the developed theory in [[34\]](#page-10-30). Similar as Fig. [2,](#page-3-0) the experimental results in [\[18,](#page-10-15) [28–](#page-10-25)[30\]](#page-10-26) have verifed the existence of the time-varying chatter frequencies phenomenon. For the small-sized thin-walled part in milling tests, the chatter frequency varies from 225 to 220 Hz.



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 2** The time-varying plane of acceleration signals in thin-walled workpiece milling

For the implementation of the successive control algorithm in time domain, the Euler approximation method [[35](#page-10-31)] is adopted to solve the milling dynamic equation numerically to investigate the dynamic behavior under a specifc cutting condition:

$$
\ddot{x}(t_i) = \frac{F_x(t_i) - c_x \dot{x}(t_i) - k_x x(t_i)}{m_x}, \ddot{y}(t_i) = \frac{F_y(t_i) - c_y \dot{y}(t_i) - k_y y(t_i)}{m_y}
$$
\n(5)

$$
\dot{x}(t_i) = \dot{x}(t_{i-1}) + \ddot{x}(t_i)dt, \dot{y}(t_{i-1}) + \ddot{y}(t_i)dt
$$
\n(6)

$$
x(t_i) = x(t_{i-1}) + \dot{x}(t_i)dt, y(t_i) = y(t_{i-1}) + \dot{y}(t_i)dt
$$
 (7)

where *i* is an integer number starting from one and d*t* is the time step. The time domain simulation results have been verifed by the stability lobe diagram [\[23](#page-10-20)] and milling tests [[36\]](#page-10-32), which can be used for control algorithm simulation verifcation in the following sections.

# **3 Real‑time FFT identification‑based time‑varying chatter frequency mitigation**

#### **3.1 Real‑time FFT identification‑based ANE**

In order to elaborate the control block diagram conveniently, some explanations for Figs. [3](#page-3-1) and [4](#page-4-0) are listed as follows: The transfer function  $P(n)$  is the tooltip impulse response function. The transfer function  $S(n)$  is the impulse response function between actuators and tooltip. The red lines and blocks are in physical domain, which are realized by hardware in control process. The black lines and blocks are in electrical domain, which are realized by programs. is the adder in physical domain; is the logical adder in electrical domain.

The ANE based on real-time FFT identifcation is presented in Fig. [3](#page-3-1). Compared with the classical ANE control (Fig. [2](#page-3-0) in [[23](#page-10-20)]), this research adds the FFT identifcation every N steps in the diagram. As for the detailed description, please refer to the literature [[23\]](#page-10-20). Here, just summarize the ANE algorithm as follows:

$$
\mathbf{x}_{a}(n) = \cos(\Omega n), \mathbf{x}_{b}(n) = \sin(\Omega n)
$$
  
\n
$$
y(n) = \mathbf{w}_{a}^{\mathrm{T}}(n)\mathbf{x}_{a}(n) + \mathbf{w}_{b}^{\mathrm{T}}(n)\mathbf{x}_{b}(n)
$$
  
\n
$$
y_{c}(n) = (1 - \beta)y(n), y_{b}(n) = \beta y(n)
$$
  
\n
$$
e(n) = d(n) - y_{c}(n) * s(n)
$$
  
\n
$$
e_{s}(n) = d(n) - y_{b}(n) * s(n)
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathbf{w}_{l}(n+1) = \mathbf{w}_{l}(n) + \mu_{l}e_{s}(n)[\mathbf{x}_{l}(n) * s(n)], (l = a, b)
$$



 $\mathbf{I}$  $\mathbf{I}$  $\mathbf{I}$ ⎪  $\frac{1}{2}$  $\mathbf{I}$  $\mathbf{I}$  $\mathbf{I}$  $\overline{\mathbf{r}}$ 

<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Fig. 3** The block diagram with ANE based on real-time FFT identifcation



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 4** The block diagram with modifed NFXLMS based on real-time FFT identifcation

## **3.2 Real‑time FFT identification‑based modified NFXLMS**

The modifed NFXLMS based on real-time FFT identifcation is presented in Fig. [4](#page-4-0). Compared with Fig. [3,](#page-3-1) it adds another adaptive algorithm to update the reference frequencies during two chatter frequency identifcations by FFT. The detailed derivation is as follows [[31\]](#page-10-27).

The output of controller can be expressed by:

$$
y(n) = \mathbf{w}_a^{\mathrm{T}}(n)\mathbf{x}_a(n) + \mathbf{w}_b^{\mathrm{T}}(n)\mathbf{x}_b(n)
$$
\n(9)

where  $w_i(n)$ ,  $l = a$ , *b* is the coefficient vector of controller;  $x_a(n)$  and  $x_b(n)$  are the reference signals defined by reference chatter frequencies. The error signal can be written as follows:

$$
e(n) = d(n) - y(n) * s(n)
$$
\n<sup>(10)</sup>

where  $d(n)$  is the primary vibration signal through primary path;  $s(n)$  is the impulse response function between actuators and tooltip.

The reference signals from sinusoids generator can be written as follows:

$$
x_l(n) = 2 \cos \Omega_r \cdot x_l(n-1) - x_l(n-2), (l = a, b)
$$
 (11)

where:

$$
\cos \Omega_r \equiv \text{diag}\left[\cos \omega_r\right] \tag{12}
$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\omega}_r$  is the identified reference signal frequency. Substituting Eq.  $(11)$  $(11)$  into Eqs.  $(9)$  $(9)$  and  $(10)$  $(10)$  $(10)$  leads to:

$$
e(n) = d(n) - \left[2\mathbf{w}_a^T(n)\cos\mathbf{\Omega}_r \cdot \mathbf{x}_a(n-1) + 2\mathbf{w}_b^T(n)\right]
$$
  
\n
$$
\cos\mathbf{\Omega}_r \cdot \mathbf{x}_b(n-1) - \mathbf{w}_a^T(n)\mathbf{x}_a(n-2) - \mathbf{w}_b^T
$$
  
\n
$$
(n)\mathbf{x}_b(n-2)\right] * s(n)
$$
\n(13)

It can be seen that the residual error is a non-linear function of the reference frequencies due to the nonlinear function  $cos\Omega$ <sub>r</sub>. Through the steepest descent method, the updating equation of the reference frequencies can be expressed by:

$$
\Omega_r(n+1) = \Omega_r(n) + \frac{\mu_\omega}{2} \frac{\partial e^2(n)}{\partial \Omega_r}
$$
 (14)

<span id="page-4-2"></span>where  $\mu_{\omega}$  is the convergence factor of adaptive algorithm 2 and the gradient is as follows:

$$
\frac{\partial e^2(n)}{\partial \Omega_r} = \sin \Omega_r \left[ Y(n) * \hat{s}(n) \right] e(n) \tag{15}
$$

where:

<span id="page-4-1"></span> $\mathbf{I}$  $\mathbf{I}$  $\mathbf{I}$ ⎪  $\frac{1}{2}$  $\mathbf{I}$  $\mathbf{I}$  $\mathbf{I}$  $\overline{\mathbf{r}}$ 

<span id="page-4-3"></span>
$$
Y(n) = \left[ w_a(n)x_a^T(n-1) + w_b(n)x_b^T(n-1) \right]
$$
 (16)

where  $\hat{s}(n)$  is the identified model of  $s(n)$ .

Then, summarize the NFXLMS algorithm as follows:

$$
\mathbf{x}_a(n) = 2\cos\Omega_r(n)\mathbf{x}_a(n-1) - \mathbf{x}_a(n-2)
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathbf{x}_b(n) = 2\cos\Omega_r(n)\mathbf{x}_b(n-1) - \mathbf{x}_b(n-2)
$$
  
\n
$$
y(n) = \mathbf{w}_a^T(n)\mathbf{x}_a(n) + \mathbf{w}_b^T(n)\mathbf{x}_b(n)
$$
  
\n
$$
e(n) = d(n) - y(n) * s(n)
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathbf{w}_l(n+1) = \mathbf{w}_l(n) + \mu_l e(n) [\mathbf{x}_l(n) * \hat{s}(n)], (l = a, b)
$$
  
\n
$$
\Omega_r(n+1) = \Omega_r(n) + \mu_\omega \sin\Omega_r [\mathbf{Y}(n) * \hat{s}(n)] e(n)
$$

## **3.3 Numerical simulation for time‑varying chatter frequency suppression**

In order to verify the proposed algorithm, numerical simulation is implemented in this section. The milling parameters are presented in Table [1](#page-5-0) with spindle speed 7500 rpm and

<span id="page-5-0"></span>



axial cutting depth 1 mm. In milling process, the stifness of thin-wall workpiece change by 4%, which will cause timevarying chatter frequencies [[30\]](#page-10-26). The controller parameters in control process are as follows. The convergence factors of ANE are 0.0015 and 0.001 in the *x* and *y* directions, respectively. The convergence factors of two adaptive algorithms in modifed NFXLMS are 0.014 and 0.05.

Figure [5](#page-5-1) gives the vibration displacement in time domain with ANE control based on FFT. For comparison, the simulation result without FFT is also presented. Results show that ANE with FFT keeps normal working condition, while the controller performance without FFT decreases until fails. The vibration displacement in frequency domain for the whole cutting process and the stable control time are presented in Figs. [6](#page-6-0) and [7](#page-6-1), respectively, which display that time-varying chatter frequencies are suppressed while normal chatter frequencies are not infuenced.

Figure [8](#page-6-2) gives the vibration displacement in time domain with modifed NFXLMS based on FFT. For comparison, the simulation result with ANE based on FFT is also presented. Results show that the modifed NFX-LMS has better control effect than ANE. The vibration displacement in frequency domain for the whole cutting process and the stable control time are presented in Fig. [9](#page-6-3) and Fig. [10,](#page-7-0) respectively, which display that time-varying chatter frequencies are suppressed while normal chatter frequencies are hardly infuenced and the modifed NFX-LMS based on FFT has better control results. In addition, the iteratively updated reference frequencies in modifed NFXLMS are also provided Fig. [11,](#page-7-1) which shows that the reference frequencies vary during two chatter frequency identifcations by FFT.

### **4 Case study using experimental data**

In order to verify the proposed algorithm, the measured data in Sect. 2 is used to off-line simulation. The detailed description about set-up and experimental parameters can refer the literature [\[30\]](#page-10-26). The calculation results are given in Fig. [12,](#page-7-2) [13](#page-8-0), [14,](#page-8-1) [15,](#page-8-2) [16](#page-9-1), [17.](#page-9-2) These figures indicate that, with two developed algorithms, the time-varying chatter frequencies (marked with numbers) are suppressed while normal frequencies keep unchanged. The off-line simulation using experimental data displays that the proposed algorithm can suppress the time-varying chatter frequencies with no influence on normal frequencies.

<span id="page-5-1"></span>

<span id="page-6-3"></span><span id="page-6-1"></span><span id="page-6-0"></span>

<span id="page-6-2"></span>**Fig. 8** The vibration displacement comparison under ANE and NFXLMS control in time domain

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 10** The vibration displacement comparison under ANE and NFXLMS control in frequency domain for the stable control time



<span id="page-7-1"></span>

<span id="page-7-2"></span>**Fig. 12** The vibration signals with ANE control based on FFT



7411

<span id="page-8-1"></span><span id="page-8-0"></span>

<span id="page-8-2"></span>Time/s

<span id="page-9-1"></span>



<span id="page-9-2"></span>**Fig. 17** The vibration signal in frequency domain with NFX-LMS control based on FFT for the stable control time

# **5 Conclusions**

In this research, the real-time FFT identifcation is introduced into ANE and modifed NFXLMS for time-varying chatter frequencies suppression in thin-walled workpiece milling. Simulation results show that the proposed two control algorithms can both suppress the time-varying chatter frequencies efectively. The modifed NFXLMS has better control effect than ANE, but it has larger impacts every time the chatter frequencies are identifed by FFT, which is harmful to part surface quality. Finally, the offline simulation based on practical experimental data is implemented that indicates that the developed algorithms work well in practice. In this research, the chatter frequency identifcation based on FFT is not continuous; therefore, the continuous chatter frequencies tracking algorithm need to be developed for time-varying chatter detection and mitigation in the future.

**Funding** This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation of China under Grant 52105480 and 52175114, and the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation under Grant 2021M692589.

**Data availability** The data that support the fndings of this study are available on request.

**Code availability** Code can be partially shared upon request.

#### **Declarations**

**Ethics approval** The authors confrm that they have abided to the publication ethics and state that this work is original and has not been used for publication anywhere before. No ethical approval required for the experiments conducted.

**Consent to participate** The authors hereby consent to participate.

**Consent for publication** The authors hereby consent to the publication of the proposed manuscript in JAMT.

**Competing interests** The authors declare no competing interests.

# **References**

<span id="page-9-0"></span>1. Cao H, Zhang X, Chen X (2017) The concept and progress of intelligent spindles: a review. Int J Mach Tools Manuf 112:21–52

- <span id="page-10-0"></span>3. Siddhpura M, Paurobally R (2012) A review of chatter vibration research in turning. International Journal of Machine tools manufacture 61:27–47
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>4. Wang C, Zhang X, Liu Y, Cao H, Chen X (2018) Stifness variation method for milling chatter suppression via piezoelectric stack actuators. Int J Mach Tools Manuf 124:53–66
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>5. Altintas Y, Budak E (1995) Analytical prediction of stability lobes in milling. CIRP Ann 44(1):357–362
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>6. Merdol SD, Altintas Y (2004) Multi frequency solution of chatter stability for low immersion milling. J Manuf Sci Eng 126(3):459–466
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>7. Insperger T, Stépán G (2004) Updated semi-discretization method for periodic delay-diferential equations with discrete delay. Int J Numer Meth Eng 61(1):117–141
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>8. Insperger T, Stépán G (2011) Semi-discretization for time-delay systems: stability and engineering applications, vol 178. Springer Science & Business Media
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>9. Ding Y, Zhu L, Zhang X, Ding H (2010) A full-discretization method for prediction of milling stability. Int J Mach Tools Manuf 50(5):502–509
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>10. Bayly PV, Halley JE, Mann BP, Davies MA (2003) Stability of interrupted cutting by temporal fnite element analysis. J Manuf Sci Eng 125(2):220–225
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>11. Lin Z, Huang X, Akhtar MM (2011) Chatter stability of lowimmersion milling in multi-frequency with helix angle. Adv Sci Lett 4(6–7):2076–2081
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>12. Wang C, Zhang X, Cao H, Chen X, Xiang J (2018) Milling stability prediction and adaptive chatter suppression considering helix angle and bending. The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology 95(9–12):3665–3677
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>13. Niu J, Ding Y, Geng Z, Zhu L, Ding H (2018) Patterns of regenerative milling chatter under joint influences of cutting parameters, tool geometries, and runout. J Manuf Sci Eng 140(12):121004
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>14. Ahmadi K, Ismail F (2011) Analytical stability lobes including nonlinear process damping efect on machining chatter. Int J Mach Tools Manuf 51(4):296–308
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>15. Totis G, Albertelli P, Torta M, Sortino M, Monno M (2017) Upgraded stability analysis of milling operations by means of advanced modeling of tooling system bending. J International Journal of Machine Tools Manufacture 113:19–34
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>16. Aslan D, Altintas Y (2018) On-line chatter detection in milling using drive motor current commands extracted from CNC. Int J Mach Tools Manuf 132:64–80
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>17. Dang X-B, Wan M, Yang Y, Zhang W-H (2019) Efficient prediction of varying dynamic characteristics in thin-wall milling using freedom and mode reduction methods. Int J Mech Sci 150:202–216
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>18. Wan M, Dang X-B, Zhang W-H, Yang Y (2018) Optimization and improvement of stable processing condition by attaching additional masses for milling of thin-walled workpiece. Mech Syst Signal Process 103:196–215
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>19. Wang C, Zhang X, Liu J, Yan R, Cao H, Chen X (2019) Multi harmonic and random stifness excitation for milling chatter suppression. Mech Syst Signal Process 120:777–792
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>20. Iglesias A, Dombovari Z, Gonzalez G, Munoa J, Stepan G (2019) Optimum selection of variable pitch for chatter suppression in face milling operations. Materials 12(1):112
- <span id="page-10-18"></span>21. Otto A, Rauh S, Ihlenfeldt S, Radons G (2017) Stability of milling with non-uniform pitch and variable helix tools. The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology 89(9–12):2613–2625
- <span id="page-10-19"></span>22. Burtscher J, Fleischer J (2017) Adaptive tuned mass damper with variable mass for chatter avoidance. CIRP Ann 66(1):397–400
- <span id="page-10-20"></span>23. Wang C, Zhang X, Liu J, Cao H, Chen X (2019) Adaptive vibration reshaping based milling chatter suppression. Int J Mach Tools Manuf 141:30–35
- <span id="page-10-21"></span>24. Zhang X, Wang C, Gao R, Yan R, Chen X, Wang S (2016) A novel hybrid error criterion-based active control method for online milling vibration suppression with piezoelectric actuators and sensors. Sensors 16(1):68
- <span id="page-10-22"></span>25. van Dijk NJ, van de Wouw N, Doppenberg EJ, Oosterling HA, Nijmeijer H (2011) Robust active chatter control in the high-speed milling process. IEEE Trans Control Syst Technol 20(4):901–917
- <span id="page-10-23"></span>26. Monnin J, Kuster F, Wegener K (2014) Optimal control for chatter mitigation in milling—part 1: modeling and control design. Control Eng Pract 24:156–166
- <span id="page-10-24"></span>27. Monnin J, Kuster F, Wegener K (2014) Optimal control for chatter mitigation in milling—part 2: experimental validation. Control Eng Pract 24:167–175
- <span id="page-10-25"></span>28. Yang Y, Zhang W-H, Ma Y-C, Wan M (2016) Chatter prediction for the peripheral milling of thin-walled workpieces with curved surfaces. Int J Mach Tools Manuf 109:36–48
- 29. Feng J, Wan M, Gao T-Q, Zhang W-H (2018) Mechanism of process damping in milling of thin-walled workpiece. Int J Mach Tools Manuf 134:1–19
- <span id="page-10-26"></span>30. Wang C, Zhang X, Chen X, Cao H (2019) Time-Varying chatter frequency characteristics in thin-walled workpiece milling with B-spline wavelet on interval fnite element method. J Manuf Sci Eng 141(5):051008
- <span id="page-10-27"></span>31. Liu J, Chen X, Yang L, Gao J, Zhang X (2017) Analysis and compensation of reference frequency mismatch in multiple-frequency feedforward active noise and vibration control system. J Sound Vib 409:145–164
- <span id="page-10-28"></span>32. Song Q, Liu Z, Wan Y, Ju G, Shi J (2015) Application of Sherman– Morrison–Woodbury formulas in instantaneous dynamic of peripheral milling for thin-walled component. Int J Mech Sci 96:79–90
- <span id="page-10-29"></span>33. Liu Y, Wu B, Ma J, Zhang D (2017) Chatter identifcation of the milling process considering dynamics of the thin-walled workpiece. The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology 89(5–8):1765–1773
- <span id="page-10-30"></span>34. Dombovari Z, Iglesias A, Zatarain M, Insperger T (2011) Prediction of multiple dominant chatter frequencies in milling processes. Int J Mach Tools Manuf 51(6):457–464
- <span id="page-10-31"></span>35. Schmitz TL, Smith KS (2014) Machining dynamics. Springer
- <span id="page-10-32"></span>36. Honeycutt A, Schmitz T (2017) A numerical and experimental investigation of period-n bifurcations in milling. J Manuf Sci Eng 139(1):011003

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.